8-Oxoguanine Enhances Bending of DNA that Favors Binding to Glycosylases

John H. Miller,^{*,†} Cheng-Chih P. Fan-Chiang,[†] T. P. Straatsma,[‡] and Michael A. Kennedy[‡]

Contribution from the Washington State University Tri-Cities, Richland, Washington 99352, and Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, Washington 99352

Received November 12, 2002; E-mail: jhmiller@tricity.wsu.edu

Abstract: Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations were carried out on the DNA oligonucleotide GGGAA-CAACTAG:CTAGTTGTTCCC in its native form and with guanine in the central G₁₉:C₆ base pair replaced by 8-oxoguanine (8oxoG). A box of explicit water molecules was used for solvation, and Na⁺ counterions were added to neutralize the system. The direction and magnitude of global bending were assessed by a technique used previously to analyze simulations of DNA containing a thymine dimer. The presence of 8oxoG did not greatly affect the magnitude of DNA bending; however, bending into the major groove was significantly more probable when 8oxoG replaced G₁₉. Crystal structures of glycosylases bound to damaged-DNA substrates consistently show a sharp bend into the major groove at the damage site. We conclude that changes in bending dynamics that assist the formation of this kink are a part of the mechanism by which glycosylases of the base excision repair pathway recognize the presence of 8oxoG in DNA.

1. Introduction

A variety of environmental and endogenous agents, including ionizing radiation and aerobic metabolism, produce oxidative DNA damage. One of the most abundant and extensively studied forms of oxidative DNA damage is 8-oxo-7,8-dihydroguanine (8oxoG).¹ Its tendency to mispair with adenine during replication makes 8oxoG a direct source of GC to TA transversion mutations; consequently, elaborate defense systems to protect against the genotoxic effects of 8oxoG are found in most organisms from bacteria to humans.² Base excision repair (BER), a multistep process that begins with glycosylase activity to remove damaged bases, is a major component of these systems.^{3,4}

Formamidopyrimidine DNA glycosylase (Fpg) removes 8oxoG and other structurally related lesions from bacterial DNA. Fpg and endonuclease VIII (Nei) belong to a family of enzymes that differs in sequence and tertiary structure from a larger family of DNA glycosylases, the Nth family named for its prototype endonuclease III, that contains the eukaryotic 8oxoG-DNA glycosylase Ogg1. Despite these differences, recent crystal structures of these glycosylases bound to their substrates^{5–8} exhibit remarkable similarities.

Both Fpg and the catalytic domain of Ogg1 bind to DNA in the minor groove and extrude the damaged base through the major groove. A sharp bend into the major groove at the damaged site (75° for Fpg bound to an abasic site and 70° for Ogg1 bound to 8oxoG) expands the minor groove and contributes to a relatively large burial of previously exposed solvent accessible surface (25.1 and 22.7 nm² for Fpg and Ogg1, respectively). Contacts with the backbone of the damaged strand are more numerous than those with the undamaged strand, a distinctive feature of the interaction between DNA-glycosylases and their substrates that has become the basis for several models of damage recognition. Proposed mechanisms include capture of spontaneously flipped-out bases,⁹ strand separation,¹⁰ assistance by accessory proteins,¹¹ migration of base flipping,¹² and damaged-strand pinching.¹³

Structures of oligonucleotides containing 8oxoG:C and 8oxoG:A base pairs have been determined by both NMR^{14–16} and X-ray crystallography.^{17,18} These studies confirmed the pre-

[†] Washington State University Tri-Cities.

[‡] Pacific Northwest National Laboratory.

- (1) Burrows, C. J.; Muller, J. G. *Chem. Rev.* **1998**, *98*, 1109–1151.
- (2) Lindahl, T.; Wood, R. D. *Science* **1999**, *286*, 1897–1905.
- (3) McCullough, A. K.; Dodson, M. L.; Lloyd, R. S. In *Annual Review of Biochemistry*; Richardson, C. C., Ed.; Annual Reviews: Palo Alto, CA, 1999; Vol. 68, pp 255–285.
- (4) Mol, C. D.; Parikh, S. S.; Putnam, C. D.; Lo, T. P.; Tainer, J. A. *Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct.* **1999**, *28*, 101–128.
- (5) Bruner, S. D.; Norman, D. P. G.; Verdine, G. L. *Nature* **2000**, *403*, 859–866.
- (6) Norman, D. P. G.; Bruner, S. D.; Verdine, G. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 359–360.
- (7) Fromme, J. C.; Verdine, G. L. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* **2002**, *9*, 544–552.
- (8) Gilboa, R.; Zharkov, D. O.; Golan, G.; et al. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2002**, *277*, 19811–19816.
- (9) Panayotou, G.; Brown, T.; Barlow, T.; Pearl, L. H.; Savva, R. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1998**, *273*, 45–50.
- (10) Vassilyev, D. G.; Morikawa, K. *Structure* **1996**, *4*, 1381–1385.
- (11) Cheng, X.; Blumenthal, R. M. *Structure* **1996**, *4*, 639–645.
- (12) Verdine, G. L.; Bruner, S. D. *Chem. Biol.* **1997**, *4*, 329–334.
- (13) Parikh, S. S.; Putnam, C. D.; Tainer, J. A. *Mutat. Res.* **2000**, *460*, 183–199.
- (14) Guschlbauer, W.; Duplax, A.-M.; Guy, A.; Teoule, R.; Fazakerley, G. V. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **1991**, *19*, 1753–1758.
- (15) Kouchakdjian, M.; Bodepudi, V.; Shibutani, S.; Eisenberg, M.; Johnson, F.; Grollman, A. P.; Patel, D. J. *Biochemistry* **1991**, *30*, 1403–1412.
- (16) Oda, Y.; Uesugi, S.; Ikehara, M.; Nishimura, S.; Kawase, Y.; Ishikawa, H.; Inoue, H.; Ohtsuka, E. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **1991**, *19*, 1407–1412.
- (17) Lipscomb, L. A.; Peek, M. E.; Morningstar, M. L.; Verghis, S. M.; Miller, E. M.; Rich, A.; Essigmann, J. M.; Williams, L. D. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **1995**, *92*, 719–723.
- (18) Shui, X.; Sines, C. C.; McFail-Isom, I.; VanDerveer, D.; Williams, L. D. *Biochemistry* **1998**, *37*, 16877–16887.

dominance of the 6,8-diketo tautomer at physiological pH,¹⁹ the normal anti–anti form of the 8oxoG:C base pair, and the Hoogsteen syn–anti form of the 8oxoG:A. On the basis of these data, patterns of hydrogen-bond donor and acceptor groups in the grooves of DNA seemed critical for recognition of 8oxoG by DNA glycosylases.²⁰ Experimental studies of substrate specificity²¹ supported this conclusion.

Dodson and Lloyd²² applied principle-component analysis to DNA structures sampled from MD simulations of substrates of Fpg and MutY containing 8oxoG:C and 8oxoG:A, respectively. 74% of the parameters judged to be significant in discriminating substrates from undamaged DNA came from analysis of the dynamics of the oligomer containing 8oxoG:C, which indicated that this oligomer often adopted conformations conducive to Fpg binding. Most of the backbone parameters in this subset were associated with the undamaged strand, which suggested that Fpg flips out cytosine when it binds a substrate that contains an 8oxoG:C base pair.

Recent structural and biochemical studies²³ have revealed the important role of kinetics in damage recognition by glycosylases. Kinetic proof reading has long been recognized as a major contributor to the fidelity of biosynthesis.²⁴ Kinetic control of damage recognition is based on the idea that complexes between glycosylases and undamaged DNA decay too quickly to permit base flipping, while at damaged sites, some aspect of protein–DNA interaction stabilizes the complex for a longer period. The sharp bend or kink in DNA at the damaged base observed in crystal structures^{5–8} suggests that a reduced force constant for bending into the major groove could enhance the stability of these complexes. In addition, DNA bending lowers the free energy of base opening;^{25,26} hence, if a bent configuration can be maintained, then the energy barrier to base flipping remains relatively low.

Osman et al.²⁷ proposed that changes in the bending dynamics of DNA induced by thymine dimers²⁸ were part of the mechanism by which T4 endonuclease V recognizes these lesions. In this paper, we report calculations similar to those performed on DNA containing a thymine dimer²⁸ to investigate the effects of 8oxoG on the bending dynamics of a 12-base-pair oligonucleotide. Larger curvature is slightly more probable when 8oxoG is present; however, the main effect is on the preferred direction of bending. In the absence of 8oxoG, bending into either groove is about equally likely; however, when 8oxoG is present, bending into the major groove is strongly preferred.

2. Methods

2.1. Force-Field Parameters. Partial atomic charges for 8-oxo-7,8-dihydroguanine in the 6,8-diketo form were evaluated in the RESP

Table 1. Existing AMBER Force-Field Parameters Substituted for Parameters Needed To Simulate DNA Containing 8oxoG

missing parameter	existing AMBER parameter
CK–O	C–O
CB–NA	CB–NB
CK–NA	CK–NB
CB–CB–NA	CB–C–NA
C–CB–NA	C–CB–NB
CB–NA–H	CC/CR/CW–NA–H
CB–NA–CK	CB–NB–CK
NA–CK–O	NA–C–O
N*–CK–O	N*–C–O
N*–CK–NA	N*–C–NA
CK–NA–H	CC/CR/CW–NA–H
X–CB–NA–X	X–CB–N*–X
X–CK–NA–X	X–CC–NA–X
X–X–CK–O	X–X–C–O

model of Bayly et al.²⁹ based on an electrostatic potential energy calculated with the 6-31G* basis set for consistency with other atomic charges in the AMBER force field.³⁰ The total charge on a methyl group added at N9 to replace the sugar moiety was constrained at 0.0888 eu, which made the net charge on 8oxoG the same as that on guanine in the AMBER force field.³⁰ The resulting atomic charges are as follows: N1(–0.4025), H1(0.3266), C2(0.7208), N2(–0.9625), 1H2(0.4371), 2H2(0.4371), N3(–0.6118), C4(0.2108), C5(–0.0211), C6(0.4299), O6(–0.55), N7(–0.5129), H7(0.4077), C8(0.4468), O8(–0.5558), and N9(–0.111).

Carbon and nitrogen atoms on the five-membered ring of guanine are not normally bonded to extracyclic oxygen and hydrogen atoms, respectively; hence, 8oxoG introduces a need for new AMBER force-field parameters. Extracyclic O and H are bound to C and N, respectively, on the six-membered ring of guanine. We assumed that force-field parameters that apply to these interactions in the six-membered ring are a reasonable approximation to their appearance in the five-membered ring of 8oxoG. The resulting connection to existing AMBER force-field parameters is shown in Table 1.

2.2. Base Sequence and Starting Structures. The sequence context of 8oxoG in the dodecamer ⁵GGGAACAAC TAG³:⁵CTAGTT-8oGTTCCC³ has the form shown by Hatahet et al.³¹ to be cleaved efficiently by Fpg. Canonical B-DNA³² was used as a starting structure for all MD simulations when native guanine was present in the C₆:G₁₉ base pair. One starting structure for a 2 ns simulation of the damaged oligomer was obtained from canonical B-DNA by replacing the hydrogen at the C8 position on G₁₉ with an oxygen atom and adding hydrogen to the N7 position. Another 2 ns simulation of the damaged oligomer was performed after analysis of the first simulation suggested an effect of 8oxoG on DNA bending dynamics. The starting structure for the second simulation was obtained by making the above modifications at C8 and N7 on G₁₉ in a conformation of the native sequence that was bent into the minor groove; hence, the second MD simulation of the damaged oligomer was a test of the dependence on the starting structure of our observation that 8oxoG induces a preference for bending into the major groove.

To neutralize the net charge on the 12-base-pair DNA oligonucleotide, 22 Na⁺ counterions were added at energetically favorable positions at least 1 nm from any DNA atom. DNA and counterions were placed in a box of SPC/E water molecules.³³ The total system

- (19) Cho, B. P.; Kadlubar, F. F.; Culp, S. J.; Evans, F. E. *Chem. Res. Toxicol.* **1990**, *3*, 445–452.
- (20) Grollman, A. P.; Johnson, F.; Tchou, J.; Eisenberg, M. In *DNA Damage: Effects on DNA Structure and Protein Recognition*; Wallace, S. S., van Houten, B., Kow, Y.-W., Eds.; Annals NY Acad. Sci.: New York, 1994; Vol. 726, pp 208–214.
- (21) Tchou, J.; Bodepudi, V.; Shibutani, S.; Antoshechkin, I.; Miller, J.; Grollman, A. P.; Johnson, F. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1994**, *269*, 15318–15324.
- (22) Dodson, M. L.; Lloyd, R. S. *Mutat. Res.* **2001**, *487*, 93–108.
- (23) Tainer, J. A. *Prog. Nucleic Acid Res.* **2001**, *68*, 299–304.
- (24) Hopfield, J. J. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **1974**, *71*, 4135–4139.
- (25) Ramstein, J.; Lavery, R. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **1988**, *85*, 7231–7235.
- (26) van Aalten, D. M. F.; Erlanson, D. A.; Verdine, G. L.; Joshua-Tor, L. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **1999**, *96*, 11809–11814.
- (27) Osman, R.; Fuxreiter, M.; Luo, N. *Comput. Chem.* **2000**, *24*, 331–339.
- (28) Miskiewicz, K.; Miller, J.; Cooney, M.; Osman, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 9156–9163.

- (29) Bayly, C.; Cieplak, P.; Cornell, W.; Kollman, P. A. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1993**, *97*, 10269–10280.
- (30) Cornell, W. D.; Cieplik, P.; Bayly, C. I.; et al. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1995**, *117*, 5179–5197.
- (31) Hatahet, Z.; Meixia, Z.; Reha-Krantz, L. J.; Morrical, S. W.; Wallace, S. S. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **1998**, *95*, 8556–8561.
- (32) Arnott, S.; Chandrasekaran, R.; Birdsall, D. L.; Leslie, A. G. W.; Ratliff, R. L. *Nature* **1980**, *283*, 743–746.
- (33) Berendsen, H. J. C.; Grigera, J. R.; Straatsma, T. P. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1987**, *91*, 6269–6271.

contained 760 DNA atoms (761 when 8oxoG replaced G₁₉), 22 counterions, and 6966 water molecules for a total of 21 680 atoms.

2.3. Equilibration and Data Collection. All MD simulations were conducted with the NWChem³⁴ computational chemistry package using the PARM99 version³⁵ of the AMBER force field.³⁰ A short-range cutoff of 1 nm was placed on nonbonded interactions. Long-range electrostatic interactions were treated by the particle-mesh Ewald (PME) method³⁶ using a $64 \times 64 \times 64$ grid. Bond lengths between hydrogen and heavy atoms were constrained by the SHAKE algorithm³⁷ with a tolerance of 10^{-4} nm. To equilibrate the solvent, 20 ps of MD simulation at 50 K were followed by 10 ps at 298 K, both with solute atoms fixed. Velocities were reassigned every 0.2 ps. To equilibrate the solute, 200 steps of steepest descent energy minimization were followed by successive 20 ps simulations at 50, 100, 150, 200, and 298 K, all with solvent coordinates fixed. After equilibration, 2 ns of MD simulation was performed at constant temperature and pressure without constraints on either solute or solvent. Temperature was maintained at 298 K by coupling to a Berendsen thermostat³⁸ with a relaxation time of 0.1 ps. A 2 fs time step was used in all MD simulations. Physical properties (temperature, pressure, density, total energy, etc.) were recorded every 0.2 ps along with the coordinates of solute atoms and counterions. The coordinates of all atoms, including water molecules, were stored every 2 ps.

2.4. Analysis. The NWChem³⁴ analysis package was used to display root-mean-square deviations (RMSDs) from various reference structures as well as time series of system properties and selected interatomic distances. The CURVES algorithm³⁹ was applied as a standalone version and as implemented in the Dials and Windows program⁴⁰ to extract helical parameters from the Cartesian coordinates of solute atoms. Global DNA curvature was assessed by a method described previously²⁸ that involves fitting a circle to the CURVES helical axis reference points³⁹ projected onto the best plane passing through them.⁴¹ The reciprocal of the radius of curvature was chosen as the measure of bending magnitude. To specify the direction of bending, a vector from the helical axis reference point of the C₆:G₁₉ base pair to the center of curvature was projected onto the best plane through the atoms of the C₆:G₁₉ base pair. The case where the projected vector coincided with the pseudo-dyad axis⁴² and pointed into the major groove was chosen as a bending direction of 0°.

3. Results and Discussion

Figure 1 shows root-mean-square deviations (RMSDs) from ideal A- and B-DNA of simulated structures with and without 8oxoG present. Both MD simulations, which started from B-DNA conformations, show the development of A-DNA-like properties during the first 200 ps. The native sequence continues this development between 400 and 800 ps and then relaxes back to conformations with RMSDs from B-DNA in the 0.25–0.35 nm range. When 8oxoG is present, RMSDs from B-DNA stay in the 0.2–0.3 nm range from 0.2 to 2 ns except for a 200 ps period around 1 ns in which conformations become slightly more like A-DNA.

Figure 1. RMSD from ideal A- and B-DNA during 2 ns MD simulations of oligonucleotide GGAACAAGTAG:CTAGTTGTTCCC; native form (top), G19 replaced by 8oxoG (bottom).

Most of the base pairs in both the native and the damaged oligomers exhibited values of the CURVES³⁹ parameters x -displacement (XDP) and inclination (INC) closer to B-DNA values (-0.07 nm and -5.9°) than to A-DNA values (-0.54 nm and 19°). Base pairs near the end of the oligonucleotide with 5 purine bases in the same strand showed large INC like that seen in A-DNA. Backbone torsion angles also showed a preference for B-DNA-like values. Most of the exceptions to this general characteristic were seen in the sugar pucker and δ torsion angle at C₆, the base opposite 8oxoG in the damaged oligomer.

No evidence for opening of the 8oxoG:C base pair was seen in either of the 2 ns MD simulations carried out on the damaged oligomer. This is consistent with other recent simulations of DNA oligomers containing 8oxoG^{22,43} as well as experimental studies⁴⁴ that established a millisecond time scale for base flipping. Ishida⁴³ observed BI to BII transitions in the ϵ – ζ backbone torsion angles in an MD simulation of the 12mer d(CGCGAATTCGCG)₂ with 8oxoG replacing G₄. We found these transitions at C₆ in our simulations when 8oxoG was present in the opposite strand, but they were not present in the backbone of the damaged strand.

Unlike Ishida,⁴³ we did not find any significant deviations of 8oxoG's glycosyl angle, XPD of the 8oxoG:C base pair, or twist of the double helix from values expected for B-DNA.³⁹ These differences in simulation results are probably due to the differences in base sequence and force-field parameters. Ishida used the force field reported by Cornell et al.³⁰ and allowed the

- (34) Harrison, R. J.; Nichols, J. A.; Straatsma, T. P.; et al. NWChem, a computational chemistry package for parallel computers, Version 4.0. Richland, WA: Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, 2000.
- (35) Cheatham, T. E.; Cieplak, P.; Kollman, P. A. *J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn.* **1999**, *16*, 845–862.
- (36) Essmann, U.; Perera, L.; Berkowitz, M. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1995**, *103*, 8577–8593.
- (37) Ryckaert, J. P.; Cicciotti, G.; Berendsen, H. J. C. *J. Comput. Phys.* **1977**, *23*, 327–341.
- (38) Berendsen, H. J. C.; Postma, J. P. M.; van Gunsteren, W. F.; DiNola, A.; Haak, J. R. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1984**, *81*, 3684–3690.
- (39) Lavery, R.; Sklenar, H. *J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn.* **1989**, *6*, 655–667.
- (40) Ravishanker, G.; Swaminathan, S.; Beveridge, D. L.; Lavery, R.; Sklenar, H. *J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn.* **1989**, *6*, 669–699.
- (41) Soumpasis, D. M.; Tung, C.-S.; Garcia, A. E. *J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn.* **1991**, *8*, 867–888.
- (42) Saenger, W. *Principles of Nucleic Acid Structure*; Springer-Verlag: New York, 1983; p 123.

(43) Ishida, H. *J. Biomol. Struct. Dyn.* **2002**, *19*, 839–851.

(44) Stivers, J. T. *Biochemistry* **1999**, *38*, 952–963.

Figure 2. Mean axis kinks at base pairs in GGGAACTAG:CTAGTTGTTCCC from 2 ns simulations of the native form (■) and with G₁₉ replaced by 8oxoG (●).

Figure 3. Distribution of global axis curvature in GGGAACTAG:CTAGTTGTTCCC from 2 ns simulations of the native form and with G₁₉ replaced by 8oxoG as compared to the curvature of DNA in the hOgg1 crystal structure.⁵

partial charge on the O4' atom of the damaged deoxyribonucleoside to be 27% more negative than the standard AMBER value. Even though the partial charge on the O8 atom of 8oxoG was essentially the same as that in our model, more electrostatic repulsion between O4' and O8 was present in Ishida's simulation of the damaged oligomer.

Average values of the axis-angle CURVES parameter³⁹ from 2 ns simulations in the presence and absence of 8oxoG are shown in Figure 2. Standard deviations of the angles between segments of the helical axis are typically 2–4° in our simulations; hence, the increase in the kink of the helical axis between the C₆:G₁₉ and A₇:T₁₈ base pairs in the presence of 8oxoG is not statistically significant. Nevertheless, the trend suggests that 8oxoG influences bending into the major groove. To further investigate this effect, the magnitude and direction of global bending were analyzed by a method used previously for DNA containing a thymine dimer²⁸ (see the Methods section). Qualitatively similar results were obtained with the Madbend analysis program⁴⁵ where global bending is assessed by accumulated roll and tilt of base pairs.

The presence of 8oxoG had very little effect on the magnitude of bending. A small shift toward larger curvature is evident in

Figure 4. Polar plots of curvature (nm⁻¹) versus direction of bending for conformations sampled from 2 ns MD simulations of GGGAACTAG:CTAGTTGTTCCC in the native form (A), with 8oxoG replacing G₁₉ in an ideal B-DNA starting structure (B), and with 8oxoG replacing G₁₉ in a starting structure bent toward the minor groove (C). Bending characteristics plotted in (C) are from the second nanosecond of MD simulation.

the distribution of bending magnitudes shown in Figure 3. The conformation of DNA in the crystal structure⁵ of Ogg1 bound to a substrate with 8oxoG flipped out exhibits more of a kink than the smooth bending typical of our simulations; nevertheless, application of our bending analysis to those DNA coordinates gave a magnitude of bending twice as large as the average curvature found in our simulations. Results shown in Figure 3 indicate that this magnitude of bending rarely occurs in the absence of protein binding.

The curvature of DNA conformations sampled from our MD simulations is plotted as a function of their direction of bending in Figure 4 with bending directly into the major and minor grooves denoted by 0° and 180°, respectively. Effects of 8oxoG on the preferred direction of bending are clearly evident. Conformations sampled from the 2 ns simulation of the native sequence (A) exhibit a slight preference for bending toward the minor groove. Samples from our first simulation of the damaged oligomer (B) showed a strong preference for bending into the major groove.

(45) Strahs, D.; Schlick, T. *J. Mol. Biol.* **2000**, *301*, 643–663.

Figure 5. Distribution of minimum distances between Na^+ counterions and an atom of GGGAACAAGTAG:CTAGTTGTTCCC during 2 ns MD simulations of the native form and with G_{19} replaced by 8oxoG.

To ensure that this preference was not an artifact of the initial ideal B-DNA starting structure, a second 2 ns simulation of the damaged oligomer was started from a conformation bent in the preferred direction of the native sequence (140°) and with a curvature near the mean value of 0.2 nm^{-1} seen in all simulations. During the first nanosecond of this simulation, a population of conformations bent into the major groove gradually emerged. Global bending characteristics of samples from the second nanosecond of this simulation of the damaged oligomer (C) also exhibit a strong preference for bending into the major groove.

Preferential bending of DNA has been associated with asymmetric phosphate neutralization.⁴⁶ To investigate whether this is the mechanism for the changes in bending dynamics induced by 8oxoG, we analyzed the effect of 8oxoG on the spatial distribution of counterions in our simulations. The minimum distance of each counterion from an atom of the DNA oligonucleotide was determined in conformations sampled from MD trajectories. Figure 5 shows a histogram of these distances with the fraction of counterions found at a given distance from DNA plotted on the vertical axis.

The following groups are evident in Figure 5: (1) counterions less than 0.32 nm from a DNA atom, (2) counterions with a broader distribution of separations from DNA and a mean value of about 0.45 nm, and (3) counterions with a significant probability of being far from DNA (exceeding 2 nm in some cases) but with a most probable separation of about 0.7 nm. In simulations of the native sequence, the mean number of counterions in each of these groups was 2.1, 6.5, and 13.4, respectively. When 8oxoG was present, these populations changed to 3.5, 6.6, and 11.9, respectively.

The presence of 8oxoG caused counterions to stay closer to DNA with the major change being the number of counterions in close proximity to a DNA atom. These close contacts were not uniformly distributed. A counterion near the O2 atom of base T_{18} accounted for 21% of the cases where a counterion was within 0.32 nm of a DNA atom (first group defined above). Counterions near the O8 atom of 8oxoG and phosphate oxygen atoms adjacent to the $8\text{oxoG}_{19}:\text{C}_6$ base pair accounted for another 30% of the population of this group. None of these atoms showed a particular attraction for counterions in simulations of the native sequence.

Greater affinity of counterions for oxygen atoms in or near the damaged base is consistent with the changed electrostatic potential due to the presence of 8oxoG.⁴⁷ However, the O2 atom

Figure 6. Polar plot of the distance (nm) between atoms H8 and O2P in nucleotide G_{19} of GGGAACAAGTAG:CTAGTTGTTCCC as a function of global bending direction during a 2 ns MD simulation of the native oligonucleotide.

of T_{18} is on the minor-groove side of the $\text{T}_{18}:\text{A}_7$ base pair, and close contacts between counterions and oxygen atoms of the $8\text{oxoG}_{19}:\text{C}_6$ base pair do not preferentially neutralize the damaged strand. Hence, asymmetric neutralization does not appear to be the mechanism for enhanced bending into the major groove when 8oxoG is present in DNA.

Electrostatic repulsion between the O8 atom of 8oxoG and nearby oxygen atoms of the DNA backbone is also a possible mechanism for preferred bending of the damaged oligonucleotide into the major groove. H8 to O2P separations in the G_{19} nucleotide of the native oligomer are plotted as a function of bending direction in Figure 6.

Figure 4A showed that the native oligomer frequently had bending directions between 120° and 180° . That cluster of samples from the 2 ns simulation of the native oligomer is also evident in Figure 6 and is associated with H8–O2P separations that are rarely larger than 0.4 nm. Figure 4A also showed a cluster of conformations of the undamaged oligomer with bending directions centered about 60° . These conformations have a broader distribution of distances between H8 and O2P with a significant probability of separations as large as 0.55 nm (see Figure 6).

The correlation coefficient between bending direction and the separation of H8 and O2P is -0.227 for the 503 points shown in Figure 6 between 0° and 180° , which suggests that the H8 atom of G_{19} is closer to the backbone phosphate group when the native oligonucleotide is bent toward the minor groove. A similar result was obtained for the correlation between bending directions and H8 to O1P distances (correlation coefficient = -0.255). A weaker correlation exists between bending directions and H8 to O4' distances (correlation coefficient = 0.152). For the native sequence, bending toward the minor groove correlates with shorter H8–O2P and H8–O1P separations; therefore, electrostatic repulsion between O8 and these phosphate oxygens is probably one of the reasons why bending into the minor groove is avoided when G_{19} is replaced by 8oxoG.

4. Conclusions

No tendency for base opening was detected in 2 ns MD simulations of the oligonucleotide GGGAACAAGTAG:CTAGTT8oxoGTTCCC. The most pronounced local changes induced by the presence of 8oxoG were an attraction for counterions and a slightly larger kink in the helical axis between

(46) Strauss, J. K.; Maher, L. J. *Science* **1994**, *266*, 1829–1834.

(47) Aida, M.; Nishimura, S. *Mutat. Res.* **1987**, *192*, 83–89.

base pairs 8oxoG₁₉:C₆ and T₁₈:A₇. The damaged base had a large effect on the dynamics of global bending. Conformations with the oligomer bent in the direction of minor groove were rarely seen when 8oxoG was present even though that was the preferred direction of bending for the native sequence.

The mechanisms that relate local perturbations due to 8oxoG to changes in global bending dynamics are uncertain. Attraction of counterions to the damage site did not preferentially neutralize atoms on the major-groove face of the oligomer. Electrostatic repulsion between O8 and the phosphate oxygen atoms of the 8oxoG nucleotide appears to be one of the factors inhibiting bending into the minor groove when 8oxoG is present. As Aida and Nishimura⁴⁷ point out, changes in the electrostatic potential also affect stacking interactions, which may influence bending dynamics. Further investigation of these mechanisms is needed.

Because binding of Ogg1 to DNA containing 8oxoG induces a sharp bend into the major groove at the damage site,⁵ a preference for bending into the major groove could be a factor in recognition of 8oxoG by this DNA glycosylases, as Osman et al.²⁷ proposed for the recognition of thymine dimers by T4 endonuclease V. This concept is being investigated further by potential-of-mean-force calculations⁴⁸ to determine the effect

of 8oxoG on the free energy of conformational changes that mimic the “pinch–push–pull” mechanism of base flipping.¹³ These changes include decreasing the separation of phosphate groups at the damaged site and rotation of the damaged base out of the helix.

Acknowledgment. This research was supported by the Office of Science (BER), U.S. Department of Energy, Grant No. DE-FG03-02ER63350. Computing resources were available through a Computational Grand Challenge Application grant from the Molecular Sciences Computing Facility in the Environmental Molecular Sciences Laboratory. The NWChem 4.0.1 computational chemistry package for massively parallel computers was used for this study. NWChem was developed by the Molecular Sciences Software group of the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, which is operated by the Battelle Memorial Institute for the U.S. Department of Energy.

JA029312N

(48) Straatsma, T. P. In *Reviews of Computational Chemistry*; Lipkowitz, K. B., Boyd, D. B., Eds.; VCH Publishers: New York, 1996; Vol. 9, pp 81–127.