DOC.20040421.0002

QA: QA

MDL-EBS-GS-000002 REV 01

April 2004

Igneous Intrusion Impacts on Waste Packages and Waste Forms

Prepared for:
U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management
Office of Repository Development
1551 Hillshire Drive
Las Vegas, Nevada 89134-6321

Prepared by:
Bechtel SAIC Company, LLC
1180 Town Center Drive
Las Vegas, Nevada 89144

Under Contract Number
DE-AC28-01RW12101

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

OCRWM	MODEL SIGNATURE PAGE/CHANGE HISTORY	Page iii
		1. Total Pages: 114

2. Type of Mathematical Model

☒ Process Model ☐ Abstraction Model ☐ System Model

Describe Intended Use of Model

This model will assess the impacts of igneous intrusion event on the Total Repository System Performance

3. Title

Igneous Intrusion Impacts on Waste Packages and Waste Forms

4. DI (including Rev. No. and Change No., if applicable):

MDL-EBS-GS-000002 REV01

5. Total Appendices	6. No. of Pages in Each Appendix
Four (4)	I-8; II-7, III-1 plus 2 CD ROMS; IV-19

	Printed Name	Signature	Date
7. Originator	Patricia Bernot		4/19/04
8. Independent Technical Reviewer	David Stahl		4-19-04
9. Checker	Steve Alcorn		04-19-04
10. QER	Darrell Svalstad		4/19/04
11. Responsible Manager/Lead	Howard Adkins		04-19-04
12. Responsible Manager	Paul Dixon		4-19-04

13. Remarks

REV 01 authors: Patricia Bernot with assistance from Emma Thomas and John Case.

This report was checked by Steve Alcorn with assistance from Susan LeStrange and Kaveh Zarrabi.

Change History	
14. Revision/ICN No.	15. Description of Change
REV 00	Initial Issue.
REV 01	Extensive revision of section involving basalt/water interaction. Revised normalized basalt composition resulting in recalculation of pH, ionic strength, and fluoride concentration at a log CO ₂ fugacity of -3. Added calculations of pH, ionic strength, and fluoride concentration during basalt/groundwater interactions at log CO ₂ fugacity of -2, -2.5, -3.5, and -4. Added impacts to invert during igneous intrusion. Removed gas flow analysis

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

CONTENTS

	Page
1. PURPOSE.....	1
2. QUALITY ASSURANCE.....	2
3. USE OF SOFTWARE.....	3
3.1 QUALIFIED SOFTWARE.....	3
3.1.1 EQ3/6 Version 7.2b.....	3
3.1.2 EQ6 Version 7.2bLV.....	4
3.1.3 ASPRIN.....	4
3.2 EXEMPT SOFTWARE.....	4
4. INPUTS.....	4
4.1 DIRECT INPUTS.....	5
4.1.1 Inputs for Heat Flow Calculations and Model Simulations.....	6
4.1.2 Inputs for EQ6 Simulation of Water/Basalt Reaction Hydrochemistry.....	7
4.2 CRITERIA.....	14
4.3 CODES AND STANDARDS.....	15
5. ASSUMPTIONS.....	15
5.1 Permeability of Metamorphic Aureole and Cooled Basalt.....	15
5.2 Fracturing of Basalt and Block Size.....	15
5.3 Thermal Properties of the Magma.....	16
5.4 Exsolved Volcanic Gas Movement from Zone 1 to Zone 2 Emplacement Drifts Due to Dike Intrusion.....	16
6. MODEL DISCUSSION.....	17
6.1 MODELING OBJECTIVES.....	17
6.2 INCLUDED FEATURES, EVENTS AND PROCESSES.....	18
6.3 BASE-CASE CONCEPTUAL MODEL.....	19
6.4 ALTERNATE CONCEPTUAL MODELS.....	20
6.4.1 Reaction Between Waste Forms, Metals, and Basalt.....	20
6.4.2 Reaction Between Corrosion Products, Metals, and Basalt.....	21
6.4.3 Permeability of Contact Metamorphic Aureole.....	22
6.4.4 Fragmentation of Waste Forms.....	22
6.5 BASE-CASE CONCEPTUAL MODEL RESULTS.....	22
6.5.1 Intrusion of Basalt Magma into Zone 1 Emplacement Drifts.....	24
6.5.2 Heat and Gas Flow from Zone 1 Emplacement Drifts Filled with Basalt Magma.....	27
6.5.3 Reaction of Seepage Water with Basalt After Reversion to Normal In- Drift Environmental Conditions.....	32
6.6 DISCUSSION OF UNCERTAINTIES.....	39
6.6.1 Uncertainty in Heat Conduction Analysis.....	40
6.6.2 Uncertainty in the Geochemical Modeling Using EQ6.....	40

6.7	IMPLEMENTATION OF IGNEOUS INTRUSION MODEL FOR TSPA-LA	44
6.7.1	Igneous Intrusion Impacts on Zone 1 Materials	44
6.7.2	Crown Seepage Reaction with Cooled Basalt	45
7.	MODEL VALIDATION	47
7.1	CORROBORATION	48
7.2	CONSERVATISM	50
7.3	SUPPORTING CRITERIA	51
8.	CONCLUSIONS	51
8.1	MODEL OUTPUT	51
8.2	OUTPUT UNCERTAINTY	56
8.2.1	Heat Flow Calculation	56
8.2.2	EQ6 Calculations	56
8.3	RESTRICTIONS	56
8.4	YUCCA MOUNTAIN REVIEW PLAN ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA	57
9.	INPUTS AND REFERENCES	65
9.1	DOCUMENTS CITED	65
9.2	CODES, STANDARDS, REGULATIONS, AND PROCEDURES	70
9.3	SOURCE DATA, LISTED BY DATA TRACKING NUMBER	71
9.4	OUTPUT DATA, LISTED BY DATA TRACKING NUMBER	71
9.5	SOFTWARE CODES	71
10.	ATTACHMENTS	71

FIGURES

	Page
Figure 6-1. Heat Conduction from Magma Flow for Dry Tptpll.....	29
Figure 6-2. Heat Conduction from Magma Flow for Wet Tptpll	29
Figure 6-3: Latent Heat Effect at Centerline.....	30
Figure 6-4: Latent Heat effect at Drift Wall	31

TABLES

	Page
Table 3-1. Computers Used	3
Table 3-2. Qualified Computer Software Used in the Igneous Intrusion Impacts Model	3
Table 4-1. A Summary of Input Parameters and Data.....	5
Table 4-2. Summary of Primary Thermal Conductivity Statistics	6
Table 4-3. Basalt Characteristics	8
Table 4-4. Mineral Densities.....	8
Table 4-5. Dissolution Rates of Aluminosilicate Minerals.....	9
Table 4-6. Dissolution Rates of Olivine and Pyroxene Minerals	10
Table 4-7. Dissolution Rates of Hydroxylapatite, Magnetite, and Ilmenite	11
Table 4-8. Water Composition and Redox Conditions Used in EQ3	12
Table 4-9. Parameter and Values Changes for Abstraction Cases for Basalt/Water Interaction	12
Table 4-10. Water Composition and Redox Conditions Used in the EQ3 for Sensitivity Cases	13
Table 6-1. Included FEP for This Model Report and Its Disposition in TSPA-LA	19
Table 6-2. Corroborative Sources.....	23
Table 6-3. Idealized Mineral Composition of Basalt.....	34
Table 6-4. Surface Area and Moles of Mineral Reactants.....	34
Table 6-5. Dissolution Rates of Basaltic Minerals	35
Table 6-6. Water Composition and Flow Rate Used in the EQ3/6 Input Files of Water/Basalt Interaction	35
Table 6-7. Surface Area and Moles of Mineral Reactants with Different Pore Fraction and Saturation	36
Table 6-8. Elements Added as "Trace" to Water.....	37
Table 6-9. Water Composition Used in the EQ3/6 Input Files of Water/Basalt Interaction for Sensitivity Cases	38
Table 6-10. Composition of Lathrop Wells Cone Basalt.....	41
Table 6-11. Temporal Maximum and Minimum Values for pH and Ionic Strength.....	43
Table 6-12. Temperature of Waste Forms Inside Intruded Magma Body	45
Table 8-1. Look-Up Tables for Temperature of Waste Forms	54
Table 8-2. Look-Up Table of Temporal Maximum and Minimum Values for pH and Ionic Strength for Use in TSPA-LA.....	55
Table 8.3. Valid Range of pH and Ionic Strength Reported in This Model Report	57

ACRONYMS

DR	dissolution rate
EBS	engineered barrier system
FEP	feature, event, or process
TSPA	Total System Performance Assessment
TSPA-LA	Total System Performance Assessment for the License Application

1. PURPOSE

The purpose of this model report is to assess the potential impacts of igneous intrusion on waste packages and waste forms in the emplacement drifts at the Yucca Mountain Repository. The models are based on conceptual models and includes an assessment of deleterious dynamic, thermal, hydrologic, and chemical impacts. The models described in this report constitute the waste package and waste form impacts submodel of the Total System Performance Assessment for the License Application (TSPA-LA) model assessing the impacts of a hypothetical igneous intrusion event on the repository total system performance. This submodel is carried out in accordance with *Technical Work Plan for Waste Form Degradation Modeling, Testing, and Analyses in Support of LA* (BSC 2004 [DIRS:167796]) and *Total System Performance Assessment-License Application Methods and Approaches* (BSC 2003 [DIRS:166296]). The technical work plan was prepared in accordance with AP-2.27Q, *Planning for Science Activities*. Any deviations from the technical work plan are documented in the following sections as they occur.

The TSPA-LA approach to implementing the models for waste package and waste form response during igneous intrusion is based on identification of damage zones. Zone 1 includes all emplacement drifts intruded by the basalt dike, and Zone 2 includes all other emplacement drifts in the repository that are not in Zone 1. This model report will document the following model assessments:

- Mechanical and thermal impacts of basalt magma intrusion on the invert, waste packages and waste forms of the intersected emplacement drifts of Zone 1.
- Temperature and pressure trends of basaltic magma intrusion intersecting Zone 1 and their potential effects on waste packages and waste forms in Zone 2 emplacement drifts.
- Deleterious volatile gases, exsolving from the intruded basalt magma and their potential effects on waste packages of Zone 2 emplacement drifts.
- Post-intrusive physical-chemical environment and seepage water alteration by reaction with intruded basalt.

The scope of this model only includes impacts to the components stated above, and does not include impacts to other engineered barrier system (EBS) components such as the waste package pallet.

The results of this model report will be used in TSPA for several parameters including 1) temperature of magma (used for the temperature of the waste form after an intrusion) 2) temperature increase in Zone 2 due to intrusion to evaluate impact to Zone 2 waste packages; 3) effects of exsolved gases reaching Zone 2 to evaluate impact to Zone 2 waste packages; and 4) pH and ionic strength of water reacted with basalt to be used in conjunction with *Dissolved Concentration Limits of Radioactive Elements* (BSC 2003 [DIRS:163152]) to determine solubility of spent fuel within the basalt. Ionic strength will also be used in conjunction with *Waste Form and In-Drift Colloids-Associated Radionuclide Concentrations: Abstraction and Summary* (BSC 2003 [DIRS: 166845]) for stability of colloids.

The pH and ionic strength values developed in this model report for reaction of seepage water and basalt are valid for the range of parameters listed in Table 8-3.

Consequences of igneous activity to the Yucca Mountain Repository are evaluated in TSPA-LA models for the eruptive (volcanic eruption intersecting repository causing direct release of radioactive waste) and igneous-intrusion groundwater-transport modeling cases (damage to waste packages that are engulfed or otherwise affected by igneous intrusion) (BSC 2003 [DIRS 166296]). This model report explicitly supports the igneous-intrusion groundwater-transport modeling case (BSC 2003 [DIRS 166296]) by providing impacts to waste packages and waste forms due to igneous intrusion and changes in groundwater chemistry caused by water percolating through a basalt filled drift. Other features of an igneous event are captured in other model reports. *Characterize Eruptive Processes at Yucca Mountain, Nevada* (BSC 2003 [DIRS:166407]) provides information about natural volcanic systems and provides parameters that can be used to model their behavior. Parameters provided are appropriate for analysis of the consequences of volcanic eruptions at Yucca Mountain. *Number of Waste Packages Hit by Igneous Intrusion* (BSC 2004 [DIRS:164650]) calculates the number of waste packages that could be damaged in a potential future igneous disruption. *Dike/Drift Interactions* (BSC 2003 [DIRS:165923]) provides an analysis of the intersection of ascending magma with repository drifts including a discussion on dike propagation, magma flow, and gas flow and conductive cooling of a magma cooled drift. *Atmospheric Dispersal and Deposition of Tephra from a potential Volcanic Eruption at Yucca Mountain* (BSC 2004 [DIRS:167616]) (referred to as ASHPLUME) provides information on the redistribution of contaminated tephra from a potential volcanic eruption for the prediction of radioactive waste/ash deposition on the ground surface.

2. QUALITY ASSURANCE

Development of this model report has been determined to be subject to the Yucca Mountain Project's quality assurance program (BSC 2004 [DIRS:167796], Attachment I) because it will support performance assessment. This report was prepared in accordance with AP-SIII-10Q, *Models*, and reviewed in accordance AP-2.14Q, *Document Review*. The development of this report does not investigate any items on the Q-List.

The control of the electronic media was evaluated in accordance with AP-SV.1Q, *Control of Electronic Management of Information*. Methods used for electronic information control include:

- Records submitted in accordance with AP-17.1Q, *Records Management*, and AP-6.1Q, *Document Control*, and are retrievable through the Records Processing Center.
- Engineering technical information stored on CD-ROMs that are not rewriteable. Conditions, location, retention time, and access are through the records center following submittal in accordance with AP-17.1Q and AP-6.1Q.
- Media identified in accordance with AP-17.1Q and AP-SIII.10Q.

3. USE OF SOFTWARE

This section describes the computer software used to conduct the modeling of thermal and chemical impacts of igneous intrusion. All applicable products are obtained from Software Configuration Management and have been verified appropriate for the application. The software products are run on standard computers listed in Table 3-1.

Table 3-1. Computers Used

Computer Make	CPU #	Operating System	Software Used
Dell Optiplex GX300	117728	Windows 95	EQ3/6 V7.2b, EQ6 V7.2bLV, ASPRIN
Dell Optiplex GX260	152393	Windows 2000	Microsoft Excel

3.1 QUALIFIED SOFTWARE

A brief description of the computer software is included in Table 3-2. More in-depth descriptions of the software follows.

Table 3-2. Qualified Computer Software Used in the Igneous Intrusion Impacts Model

Software Name	Version	Software Tracking Number	Description and Components Used	Input and Output Files
EQ3/6	Version 7.2b	LLNL: UCRL-MA-110662 (Qualified on Windows 95)	EQ3NR: a speciation-solubility code	Input: *.3i Pickup: *.3p Output: *.3o
			EQPT: a data file preprocessor	Input: data0.* Output: data1.*
EQ6	Version 7.2bLV	10075-7.2bLV-00 (Qualified on Windows 95)	EQ6: a reaction path code which models water/rock interaction or fluid mixing in either a pure reaction progress mode or a time mode	Input: *.6i Pickup: *.6p Output: *.6o *.elem_aqu.txt *.elem_min.txt *.elem_tot.txt *.min_info.txt *.bin
ASPRIN	Version 1	10487-1.0-00 (Qualified on Windows 95)	Performs post-processing of numerical information from EQ6	Input: *.bin Output: *.txt

3.1.1 EQ3/6 Version 7.2b

The major components of the EQ3/6 package (EQ3/6 V7.2b, STN: LLNL UCRL-MA-110662) include EQ3NR, a speciation-solubility code; EQ6, a reaction path code which models water/rock interaction or fluid mixing in either a pure reaction progress mode or a time mode; EQPT, a data file preprocessor; EQLIB, a supporting software library; and several supporting thermodynamic data files. The software deals with the concepts of thermodynamic equilibrium, thermodynamic disequilibrium, and reaction kinetics. EQPT takes a formatted data file (a "data0" file) and writes an unformatted near-equivalent called a "data1" file, which is actually

the form read by EQ3NR and EQ6. EQ3NR is used for analyzing groundwater chemistry data, calculating chemical species, solubility limits, and determining whether certain reactions are in states of partial equilibrium or disequilibrium. EQ3NR is also required to initialize an EQ6 calculation.

EQ6 calculates the consequences of exposing an aqueous solution to a set of reactants. It can also analyze fluid mixing and the consequences of changes in temperature. This code operates both in a pure reaction progress frame and in a time frame. In a time frame calculation, the user specifies rate laws for the progress of the irreversible reactions. Otherwise, only relative rates are specified. EQ3NR and EQ6 use a hybrid Newton-Raphson technique to make thermodynamic calculations. This is supported by a set of algorithms that create and optimize starting values. EQ6 uses an ordinary differential equation integration algorithm to solve rate equations in time mode.

3.1.2 EQ6 Version 7.2bLV

EQ6 7.2bLV (EQ6 V7.2bLV, STN: 10075-7.2bLV-00) contains the solid-centered flow-through mode that allows water to enter the basalt in Zone 1, flow through and react with minerals, and eventually flow out of the basalt block. EQ6 version 7.2bLV is also capable of incorporating radioactive decay and passing time-varying aqueous compositions from run to run. However, these two new features were not required for the basalt/water interaction analysis in this model, so were not employed.

3.1.3 ASPRIN

ASPRIN Version 1 (ASPRIN V1.0, STN: 10487-1.0-00) performs post-processing of numerical information from an output data file created by EQ6 (*.bin). Although originally designed to calculate the isotopic inventory of radionuclides, this program is also able to extract output data (i.e., moles left of materials, solution composition, etc.) from the binary file produced as EQ6 Version 7.2bLV output.

3.2 EXEMPT SOFTWARE

Microsoft Excel (EXCEL 97') is a problem-solving environment used in calculations and analyses. It is also used to tabulate and chart results. The user-defined expressions, inputs, and results are documented in sufficient detail to allow an independent repetition of computations. Thus, Microsoft Excel is used for graphical representation and arithmetical manipulations in worksheets and not as software routines. Therefore it is used as commercial off-the-shelf software and is exempt in accordance with LP-SI.11Q-BSC, *Software Management*. The formulae and algorithms used for Microsoft Excel, including the inputs (*.txt files) and outputs (*.xls files), are given in the attachments.

4. INPUTS

All of the information in the following subsections is used as direct input to the Igneous Intrusion Impacts Model. Discussion of input uncertainties is included in Section 6.6.

4.1 DIRECT INPUTS

A summary of input data and parameters used in the model and related analyses are presented in Table 4-1. Details of all input data are given in subsequent sections of Section 4. All calculated inputs are presented in Section 6.5 within their respective sections. Discussion of uncertainty in the input parameters is addressed in Section 6.6.

Table 4-1. A Summary of Input Parameters and Data

Model component	Input	Reference document	Section
Intrusive impacts on waste package and waste forms	Initial intrusive magma conditions	BSC 2003 [DIRS:166407]	Section 6.5
Intrusive heat flow	Thermal conductivities, porosity and density of rock units (Values in Table 4-2 of this report)	BSC 2002 [DIRS:160319], Table 7-10	Attachment I Corresponding results presented in Section 6.5.2
	Mean and Standard Deviation values for uncertainty analysis (Delta Method)	BSC 2004 [DIRS:168720]	
	Heat capacity (Values in Table 4-2 of this report)	DTN: SN0307T0510902.003	
	density & specific heat of water	Incropera and DeWitt 2002, 924, Table A.6	
Reaction of seepage water with cooled basalt in EQ6	Dissolution of nepheline (Table 4-7 of this report)	Tole et al. 1986	Attachment III Corresponding results presented in Section 6.5.3
	Dissolution of albite (Table 4-7 of this report)	Chou and Wollast 1985	
	Dissolution of anorthite and enstatite (Values in Tables 4.7 and 4-8 of this report)	Brady and Walther 1989	
	Dissolution of olivine (Values in Table 4-8 of this report)	Wogelius and Walther 1991	
	Dissolution of diopside (Values in Table 4-8 of this report)	Brantley and Chen 1995	
	Dissolution of Hydroxylapatite (Values in Table 4-9 of this report)	Valsami-Jones et al., 1998	
	Dissolution of Magnetite and Ilmenite (Values in Table 4-9 of this report)	White et al., 1994	
	Basaltic composition in oxides (Values in Table 4-5 of this report)	BSC 2003 [DIRS:166407], Table 6	
	Density of minerals (Table 4-6 of this report)	Roberts et al. 1990	
	Atomic weights of elements	Parrington et al. 1996	
	Pore fractions	BSC 2002 [DIRS:160319], Table 7-10	
	Flux rate	DTN:LB0310AMRU0120.001	
	Saturation	BSC 2004 [DIRS:168848]	

Table 4-1 (continued). A Summary of Input Parameters and Data

Model component	Input	Reference document	Section
EQ3 input water compositions	Bin 8 seepage water (Table 4-10 of this report)	DTN: MO0310SPAEBSCB.003	Attachment III Corresponding results presented in Section 6.5.3
	Bin 11 seepage water (Table 4-12 of this report)	DTN: MO0310SPAEBSCB.003	
	J-13 well water (Table 4-12 of this report)	DTN: MO0006J13WTRCM.000	

4.1.1 Inputs for Heat Flow Calculations and Model Simulations

The primary thermal conductivity statistics are contained in Table 4-2.

Table 4-2. Summary of Primary Thermal Conductivity Statistics

Stratigraphic Unit	Bulk Dry Rock Mass Thermal Conductivity, k_{rm} (W/m-K)	Bulk Wet Rock Mass Thermal Conductivity, k_{rm} (W/m-K)	Matrix Porosity, ϕ_m	Lithophysal Porosity, ϕ	Dry Bulk Density, ρ_{bd} (kg/m ³)	Specific Heat Capacity of Solids, C_p J/(g-K)
Ttpul	1.18	1.77	0.17	0.12	1830	0.93
Ttpmn	1.42	2.07	0.13	0.03	2150	0.93
Ttpll	1.28	1.89	0.15	0.09	1980	0.93
Ttpln	1.49	2.13	0.11	0.03	2210	0.93

Source: BSC 2002 [DIRS:160319], Table 7-10, DTN:SN0307T0510902.003

NOTE: Porosity is the ratio of void volume to total rock volume, and has units of m³ void/m³ rock.

The following equations (from Attachment I) and parameter were used for the heat flow analysis in Attachment I:

- **Equation I-1:** Equation for one-dimensional unsteady heat conduction in a rod model, subject to constant heat content (Chapman 1974, p. 137).
- **Equation I-2:** Equation for finite difference expression approximations to first and second order derivatives for the radial heat conduction (Carnahan et al. 1990, p. 462).
- **Equation I-8:** Equation for volumetric heat capacity (BSC 2004 [DIRS:168720], Equation II-15).
- **Equation I-11:** Equation for grain density of solids (BSC 2002 [DIRS:160319], p. 41, Equation 6-4).
- Liquidus temperature of intruding magma = 1046 to 1169°C (BSC 2003 [DIRS:166407], Table 8).

The sources for the conductivity, porosity, dry bulk density and heat capacity listed in Table 4-2, and the temperature of the intruding magma are appropriate input for this report because they come from project work conducted specifically for the purpose of gathering this type of information for the project and completed under the same quality program. The use of the

equations by *Heat Transfer* (Chapman 1974) and *Applied Numerical Methods* (Carnahan et al. 1990) for the heat conduction model are appropriate because they are well-accepted heat transfer handbooks. For simplicity, the model for one-dimensional flow is a very idealized concept of heat transfer, but it is conservative because it predicts the maximum heat flow by neglecting axial flow. The equations for volumetric heat capacity and grain density are appropriate input for this report because they come from project documents completed under the same quality program.

4.1.2 Inputs for EQ6 Simulation of Water/Basalt Reaction Hydrochemistry

For EQ3/6 calculations, the incoming water composition is run through EQ3NR. The output composition from EQ3NR (in the form of a pick up file, See Section 3) then becomes part of the EQ6 input file. In addition to the incoming water composition provided through EQ3NR, EQ6 also requires surface area, moles, and reaction rate (in this case, TST rates) of reactants (minerals) as inputs. These inputs were calculated through spreadsheet analysis and are presented in 6.5.3. Direct inputs that feed those spreadsheet analyses are presented in this section.

4.1.2.1 Composition for Intruded Basalt Material

The basalt characteristics in Table 4-3 serve as the basis for the calculations of basalt/water interaction. The densities of normalized minerals (mineral species calculated in "basalt-separated.xls" in Attachment III, Disc 1) are included in Table 4-4. The converted values (for normalized minerals) used for EQ6 calculations are located in Section 6.5.3. This composition was derived in BSC 2003 [DIRS:166407] through analysis of 45 samples taken from the Lathrop Wells Cone. The Lathrop Wells Cone is the youngest volcanic center in the Yucca Mountain region, and is therefore considered an adequate analog for the composition of a possible igneous intrusion into Yucca Mountain. The mineral densities used in the model calculations (Table 4-4) come from a handbook data source and are considered appropriate for use in the model. The saturation values chosen are those for the maximum and minimum saturation found in BSC 2004 ([DIRS:168848] Table 6.4-1). Saturation values are discussed further in Section 4.1.2.3. A high pore fraction will allow maximum exposure of water with the basalt. Effects of pore fraction on water chemistry was investigated in the EQ6 calculation (as indicated in Section 6.5.3.1) and is part of the abstracted values in Table 6-11.

Table 4-3. Basalt Characteristics

Basalt Composition ^a			
Oxide	Mean Wt%	Oxide	Mean Wt%
SiO ₂	48.5	K ₂ O	1.84
Al ₂ O ₃	16.74	TiO ₂	1.93
Fe ₂ O ₃	1.74	P ₂ O ₅	1.22
MgO	5.83	MnO	0.17
CaO	8.6	FeO	8.9
Na ₂ O	3.53	Total	99
Pore fraction = 0.17 ^b			
Saturation = 0.12 ^c			

Sources: ^a BSC 2003 [DIRS:166407], Table 6^b BSC 2002 [DIRS:160319], Table 7-10^c BSC 2004 [DIRS:168848], Table 6.4-1

Table 4-4. Mineral Densities

Mineral	Density (g/cm ³)	Mineral	Density (g/cm ³)
Anorthite	2.74-2.76 (p. 32)	Fayalite	4.32 (p. 268)
Albite	2.60-2.63 (p. 12)	Forsterite	3.275 (p. 291-292)
Nepheline	2.55-2.665 (p. 603)	Hedenbergite	3.50-3.56 (p. 353)
Sanidine	2.56-2.62 (p. 754)	Enstatite	3.209-3.431 (p. 250)
Magnetite	5.175 (p. 515)	Hydroxylapatite	3.08 (p. 389)
Ilmenite	4.72 (p. 394)		

Source: Roberts et al. 1990.

NOTE: All density values are for measured density.

The minerals indicated in Table 4-4 were derived from normative analysis of oxides in Table 4-3. The normative analysis is presented in Section 6.5.3. Uncertainty from using a normative composition rather than a strictly modal composition is presented in Section 6.6.2.

4.1.2.2 Dissolution Rates of Basalt Materials/Minerals

The dissolution rates of minerals come from various literature sources and are presented in Tables 4-5, 4-6, and 4-7 below. These values are used in Microsoft Excel spreadsheets in Attachment III (Disc 1) to calculate EQ6 dissolution rates for minerals. These calculated rates are presented in Section 6.5.3.1 and Attachment II. All of the values for mineral dissolution (presented in Table 4-5, Table 4-6, and Table 4-7) were obtained through experiments specifically to determine the dissolution rates of the mineral. Also, all references come from peer-reviewed journals (AP-SIII-10Q, Section 5.2.1k, "reliability of data source") and provide data from experiments developed and carried out specifically to determine dissolution rates of minerals (AP-SIII-10Q, Section 5.2.1k, "extent to which the data demonstrates the properties of interest"). Therefore, they are considered appropriate and qualified for use in this model.

Table 4-5. Dissolution Rates of Aluminosilicate Minerals

Albite ^a		Anorthite ^b		Nepheline ^c	
pH	Log DR (moles/cm ² ·s)	pH	Log DR (moles/cm ² ·s)	pH	DR (moles/m ² ·s)
1.2	-14.25	3	-13.3	3	4.03E-07
2.1	-14.60	3	-13.9	5	4.00E-09
2.5	-14.86	3.1	-13.0	7	2.07E-09
2.95	-15.26	3.25	-13.5	11	1.28E-08
3.05	-15.18	3.5	-14.3	—	—
3.5	-15.44	4	-15.0	—	—
4.1	-15.50	4.5	-15.2	—	—
5.1	-15.82	5	-15.5	—	—
5.4	-15.78	5.5	-15.5	—	—
5.5	-15.68	5.5	-15.6	—	—
5.6	-15.82	6	-15.7	—	—
5.6	-15.92	6	-15.0	—	—
7.8	-15.86	6	-14.8	—	—
8	-15.70	6.5	-15.7	—	—
9.45	-15.30	7	-15.7	—	—
9.55	-15.22	7.25	-15.6	—	—
10	-15.18	9.5	-14.8	—	—
10	-15.04	10	-14.7	—	—
10.7	-15.00	12	-14.2	—	—
11.2	-14.60	—	—	—	—
11.6	-14.64	—	—	—	—
12.3	-14.40	—	—	—	—

Sources: ^a Chou and Wollast 1985, Figure 5^b Brady and Walther 1989, Figure 4^c Tole et al. 1986, Table 2 (based on release of sodium)

NOTES: Due to lack of dissolution rates of sanidine, the rates for albite are used as a surrogate.

DR = dissolution rate.

Table 4-6. Dissolution Rates of Olivine and Pyroxene Minerals

Olivine ^a		Diopside ^b		Enstatite ^c	
pH	Log DR (moles/cm ² ·s)	pH	Log DR (moles/cm ² ·s)	pH	Log DR (moles/cm ² ·s)
4.1	-13.07	2.4	-12.30	1	-12.30
5.7	-13.78	3	-12.60	2	-13.00
12	-14.36	4	-13.30	4	-14.30
10.4	-14.74	4.5	-13.90	6	-15.15
7.4	-13.80	5	-14.10	8.4	-15.20
9.9	-14.46	5.6	-14.50	9.4	-14.95
9.9	-14.58	6	-14.80	10.1	-14.40
9.9	-14.38	6	-14.90	12.2	-13.60
3.7	-12.27	7.6	-15.00	—	—
10.8	-15.27	9.4	-15.20	—	—
3	-12.79	12.1	-15.20	—	—
5	-12.73	1	-13.50	—	—
5.7	-14.34	1.5	-12.10	—	—
2	-11.99	2	-12.20	—	—
9.1	-14.54	1	-12.20	—	—
3.7	-12.31	—	—	—	—
2.5	-12.21	—	—	—	—
4.4	-12.52	—	—	—	—
3.1	-12.34	—	—	—	—
4	-12.42	—	—	—	—
3	-12.29	—	—	—	—
3.5	-12.30	—	—	—	—
3.1	-11.88	—	—	—	—
5.3	-12.77	—	—	—	—
10.8	-14.27	—	—	—	—

Sources: ^a Wogelius and Walther 1991, Table 2 (based on release of Mg)

^b Brantley and Chen 1995, Table 1 (Data are from rate studies by Schott and Knauss which can be found in Brantley and Chen (1995), which is a compilation of dissolution data from different sources)

^c Brady and Walther 1989, Figure 5

NOTES: Due to lack of dissolution rates of hedenbergite, the rates for diopside are used as a surrogate. (See section 6.5.3)

Olivine rates used for both forsterite and fayalite.

DR = dissolution rate.

Table 4-7. Dissolution Rates of Hydroxylapatite, Magnetite, and Ilmenite

Hydroxylapatite ^a		Magnetite ^b		Ilmenite ^b	
pH	DR (moles/m ² ·min)	pH	DR (moles/cm ² ·s)	pH	DR (moles/cm ² ·s)
2.2	1.40E-07	1.01-1.02	1.31E-13	1.15-1.21	1.23E-13
2.8	6.00E-08	1.00-1.01	1.61E-13	3.01-3.02	2.51E-14
2.9	4.00E-08	2.01-2.07	6.61E-14	3.02-3.03	1.09E-14
6.5	3.20E-09	2.95-3.10	2.51E-14	4.00-4.04	8.10E-15
6.7	2.70E-09	2.98-3.05	3.71E-14	5.01-5.03	3.40E-15
—	—	3.98-4.05	2.51E-14	6.01-6.05	5.00E-16
—	—	4.01-4.03	3.10E-14	—	—
—	—	4.94-4.95	1.21E-14	—	—
—	—	5.98-6.02	1.02E-14	—	—
—	—	6.67-7.03	5.70E-15	—	—

Sources: ^a Valsami-Jones et al., 1998, Table 2

^b White et al., 1994, Table 2 (magnetite values for ISH magnetite. This study included 3 different magnetite specimens [ISH, MAR, and TAH magnetite, defined in White et al., 1994] of which ISH magnetite was the purest form)

NOTES: Rates used for magnetite and ilmenite are from anoxic tests. Since the Fe and Ti released by these minerals are quickly taken up into minerals and are not important to the aqueous parameters of interest, these rates are considered adequate for use.

Rates of hydroxylapatite dissolution are from tests using synthetic specimens. The synthetic specimens were used rather than the natural samples because rates for the synthetic samples covered a range of 5.5 pH units (2.20-6.70) while the range for the natural samples covered only 1.25 pH units (3.95-5.20). Also, 5 synthetic samples were tested at various pH values, whereas there were only two data points for the natural samples. The synthetic samples were used since the data cover a larger range of possible conditions.

DR = dissolution rate.

4.1.2.3 Composition and Flow Rate of Incoming Water

The composition of the water flowing through the basalt in Zone 1 (Table 4-8) is taken as that resembling Bin 8 seepage water from DTN: MO0310SPAEBSCB.003. Since the EQ6 simulations of water/basalt interaction were carried out at 25°C, Bin 8 (at 40.18°C) was chosen as all other water types were for higher temperatures. Sensitivity of results to groundwater composition is investigated in section 6.5.3.2. The water composition parameters and their values for Bin 8 seepage water (DTN: MO0310SPAEBSCB.003) are given in Table 4-8.

Table 4-8. Water Composition and Redox Conditions Used in EQ3

EQ3NR Input Composition Values		
Element	Concentration	Units
Na ⁺	7.31E-03	moles/kg
SiO ₂ (aq)	1.79E-03	moles/kg
Ca ⁺⁺	5.73E-04	moles/kg
K ⁺	2.76E-04	moles/kg
Mg ⁺⁺	8.51E-05	moles/kg
H ⁺	7.94	pH
F ⁻	6.43E-04	moles/kg
Cl ⁻	5.61E-04	moles/kg
NO ₃ ⁻	3.97E-05	moles/kg
SO ₄ ⁻	3.55E-04	moles/kg
AlO ₂	1.50E-09	moles/kg
HFeO ₂ (aq)	1.49E-12	moles/kg

DTN:MO0310SPAEBSCB.003, Temperature = 40°C

A total of 6 cases of basalt/water interaction were run for each CO₂ fugacity. These cases involved a base case in which the flux is 0.4 mm/year, all mineral surfaces are available for reaction, saturation of 0.12, basalt is fractured in meter sized blocks, and a pore fraction of 0.17. The other 5 cases involve changing base-case parameters as shown in Table 4-9.

Saturation values chosen are 0.12 (lowest saturation) and 1.0 (highest saturation). These two values bound the range of possible saturation values. The base case flux rate of 0.4 mm/year represents the lower-bound climate scenario flux for the repository area which is used in TSPA (BSC 2004 [DIRS:168848], Table 6.4-1). Percolation fluxes of 3.8 and 11.7 from the mean climate scenario were also used to incorporated differences in percolation flux into the abstraction. Higher values of percolation flux (25, 50, and 100 mm/year) were used solely for determining the flux where groundwater was unaffected by reaction with basalt and are not part of the abstraction (Section 6.5.3.1).

Table 4-9. Parameter and Values Changes for Abstraction Cases for Basalt/Water Interaction

Parameter	Base Case Value	Changed Value
Flux (mm/year) ^a	0.4 mm/year	3.8 mm/year
		11.7 mm/year
Saturation ^b	0.12	1.0
Pore Fraction ^c	0.17	0.13
Surface Area of Minerals ^d	Values in Table 6-4 of this report	0.1 times values in Table 6.4
Sources: ^a DTN: LB0310AMRU0120.001, "flow_field_summary_tables.doc"		
^b BSC 2004 [DIRS:168848], Table 6.4-1		
^c BSC 2002 [DIRS:160319], Table 7-10		
^d Calculated in Attachment III (Disc 1) (see section 6.5.3.1)		

4.1.2.4 Sensitivity Cases

Two sensitivity cases look at the effect that different water compositions have on the chemistry of the basalt/water interaction. The composition of the two modeled water types are presented below in Table 4-10. EQ3NR output for input into EQ6 is listed in Table 6-6.

Table 4-10. Water Composition and Redox Conditions Used in the EQ3 for Sensitivity Cases

EQ3NR Input Composition Values				
Species	Bin 11 Seepage Water ^a		J-13 Well Water ^b	
	Concentration	Units	Concentration	Units
Na ⁺	4.80E-03	moles/kg	45.8	mg/L
SiO ₂ (aq)	1.19E-02	moles/kg	28.5 ^c	mg/L
Ca ⁺⁺	3.34E-04	moles/kg	13.0	mg/L
K ⁺	7.50E-04	moles/kg	5.04	mg/L
Mg ⁺⁺	6.34E-06	moles/kg	2.01	mg/L
H ⁺	7.759	pH	7.41	pH
F ⁻	1.38E-03	moles/kg	2.18	mg/L
Cl ⁻	1.30E-03	moles/kg	7.14	mg/L
NO ₃ ⁻	1.26E-04	moles/kg	8.78	mg/L
SO ₄ ⁻	7.29E-04	moles/kg	18.4	mg/L
AlO ₂	1.42E-09	moles/kg	—	—
HFeO ₂ (aq)	2.08E-12	moles/kg	—	—
Temperature	95.6	°C	25	°C

NOTES: ^a DTN: MO0310SPAEBSCB.003

^b DTN: MO0006J13WTRCM.000

^c Value is for Si instead of SiO₂

4.1.2.5 General EQ6 Inputs That Apply to All EQ6 Cases in This Model

4.1.2.5.1 Thermodynamic Database

The thermodynamic database used for the EQ6 calculations was the “data0.slt” file used at 25°C, which is the qualified database (“data0.ympr.R2,” DTN: MO0302SPATHDYN.000), but with commercial spent nuclear fuel and Savannah River Laboratory Glass included as “Minerals” and with 34 gas species deleted. The changes made to the database were not made specifically for this report, but for general use in EQ6 calculations of waste package corrosion. The reason for deleting 34 gas species is that the EQ6 constraints only accommodate a limited number of gas species to be read from the database and the deleted gas species are not required for these types of calculations. The database can be found on Attachment III (Disc 1). The “data0.ympr.R2” database is used in this model as it is the most comprehensive database available for modeling of aqueous systems.

4.1.2.5.2 Atomic Weights

Atomic weights used in the model calculations come from handbook data sources (*Atomic Mass Adjustment, Mass List for Analysis* (Audi and Wapstra 1995) and *Nuclides and Isotopes, Chart of the Nuclides* (Parrington et al. 1996)) and are considered appropriate for use in the model.

4.2 CRITERIA

Project Requirements Document (Canori and Leitner 2003) identifies the high-level requirements for the Yucca Mountain Project. The requirements that pertain to this modeling report, and their link to 10 CFR Part 63, are shown below.

1. **PRD-002/T-014 (page 3-14) Performance Objectives for the Geologic Repository After Permanent Closure** (Regulation 10 CFR 63.113):

This section specifies the repository performance objectives that must be met following permanent closure. It includes a requirement for multiple barriers and limits on radiological exposure.

2. **PRD-002/T-015 (page 3-14) Requirements for Performance Assessment** (Regulation 10 CFR 63.114):

This section specifies the technical requirements to be used in performing a performance assessment. It includes requirements for calculations, including data related to site geology, hydrology, and variability in the models, and deterioration or degradation processes, including waste form degradation.

The following acceptance criteria from *Yucca Mountain Review Plan, Final Report* (NRC 2003) were identified as applicable to this technical product. Section 8.4 identifies information in this report that addresses the acceptance criteria associated with the integrated sub-issue of Mechanical Disruption of Engineered Barriers.

Mechanical Disruption of Engineered Barriers Acceptance Criteria (NRC 2003, Section 2.2.1.3.2.3):

- Acceptance Criterion 1: System description and model integration are adequate.
- Acceptance Criterion 2: Data are sufficient for model justification.
- Acceptance Criterion 3: Data uncertainty is characterized and propagated through the model abstraction.
- Acceptance Criterion 4: Model uncertainty is characterized and propagated through the model abstraction.
- Acceptance Criterion 5: Model abstraction output is supported by objective comparisons.

4.3 CODES AND STANDARDS

None

5. ASSUMPTIONS

This section identifies relevant assumptions, along with their respective rationale, that are essential for process modeling and assessing the impacts of igneous intrusion on waste packages and waste forms.

5.1 Permeability of Metamorphic Aureole and Cooled Basalt

Assumption: The permeability of any contact metamorphic aureole surrounding the intruded drifts is assumed to be as great as that of the bulk host rock. The basalt is assumed to fracture during cooling so that it too provides no barrier to flow.

Rationale: Natural analogs indicate that a number of different processes could lower permeabilities in the host rock immediately in contact with an intrusion (Lichner et al. 1999, pages 8 and 9, and Frankel, 1967). However, the extent or uniformity of any changes to the host rock caused by the intrusion is not known. Therefore, it is assumed that hydraulic properties of the aureole are the same as those of the host rock.

In the case of the intrusive, the basalt would crack during magma cooling and degassing, providing paths for water flow. Maximum fracturing would occur at the flow margins resulting in greater permeability at the margins than in the center of the flow. However, the amount of fracturing that would occur and the resulting permeability variation is unknown so it is assumed that fracturing is uniform throughout the flow. It is further assumed that the fracturing is extensive enough that the basalt provides no barrier to flow such that it is the same as the host rock.

Confirmation Status: This assumption is conservative because it permits maximum water flow through the basalt-filled drifts. Since the assumption is not used to limit flow of water through the basalt, confirmation is not required.

Use in the Model: This assumption is used in Sections 6.4.3 and 6.5.3..

5.2 Fracturing of Basalt and Block Size

Assumption: It is assumed that basalt will fragment into meter sized blocks.

Rationale: Joints in basaltic rocks can vary in size from cm to meter scale blocks. As an example, in common thin flows of the Hawaiian volcanoes which cool relatively quickly, most blocks are 0.5 meters across or smaller. However in thicker flows where the magma has slowly cooled, blocks are much larger. In several areas where flows remained thick due to confinement in valleys, blocks 10 or more feet across were noted (Macdonald, 1967). Hearn et al. (1985, Page 8) report blocks approximately 3 feet in diameter in the slowly cooled interior portion of flows from the Columbia Plateau while the quickly cooled margins show much smaller columns.. In

many parts of the world, thick basalt lava flows have well developed joints. Jointing is not solely restricted to surface basaltic flows. Many intrusive bodies also show well developed joints. Dikes 1 meter thick are known to be broken into irregular columns (Macdonald, 1967). Joints develop progressively as the magma cools and, as shown above, size and shape are related to rate of cooling (larger flows whose interiors cool much more slowly than thin flows have larger joint blocks). The margins of the potential dike intrusion will likely cool quickly due to a chilling effect caused by the cooler drift walls. However, the interior of the magma (as shown in Section 6.5.2) cools relatively slowly, taking several months to solidify. Therefore, the fractures/joints that form in the basalt are expected to be large (meter scale). One meter size blocks were chosen for the base case scenario. Larger block sizes would effectually cause a decrease in the available reactive surface area in contact with water percolating through the basalt. One case in the abstraction looks at the effects of decreased surface area on the water chemistry. Therefore, the affect caused by even larger blocks has also been taken into account in the abstraction

Confirmation Status: Using the meter sized blocks is considered realistic. Therefore, no further confirmation needed.

Use in the Model: This assumption is used in Section 6.5.3.1.

5.3 Thermal Properties of the Magma

Assumption: It is assumed that thermal conductivity and thermal diffusivity of the magma are the same as the welded tuff.

Rationale: The exact properties of the magma that could intrude into the emplacement drift(s) are unknown. The assumption that the thermal properties (thermal conductivity and diffusivity) of the in-drift magma is the same as the drift wall rocks is reasonable for this type of order of magnitude analysis. In addition, the sensitivity of these parameters was examined in the uncertainty section (Section 6.6.1), and it was determined that the resulting peak temperature is not sensitive to this parameter.

Confirmation Status: Attachment I shows that the results are not sensitive to these parameters. Therefore, no further confirmation is needed.

Use in the Model: This assumption is used in Section 6.5.2.1.

5.4 Exsolved Volcanic Gas Movement from Zone 1 to Zone 2 Emplacement Drifts Due to Dike Intrusion

Assumption: It is assumed that, due to reactions with pore fluids and the tuff host rocks of the repository horizon as well as decreasing pressures, corrosive gases released during a dike intrusion will not reach Zone 2 emplacement drifts in quantities able to affect corrosion resistance of materials.

Rationale: The flow of air, H₂O, SO₂, and CO₂ between Zone 1 and Zone 2 emplacement drifts is analyzed in *Dike/Drift Interactions* (BSC 2003 [DIRS: 165923]). The model report concludes that several processes impede the movement of gases toward Zone 2 drifts. The boiling zone created within the intruded drift limits the migration of gases. As well as the dissolution of gases into the aqueous phase, the gases would diffuse back into the rock matrix after gas pressure from

the intrusion subside. Gases flowing through the coarse backfill in perimeter drifts would be diluted by air. Crushed tuff material and water content would also retard gas movement. In addition, oxidation/reduction reactions involving sulfur species would further retard gas migration.

Characterize Eruptive Processes at Yucca Mountain, Nevada (BSC 2003 [DIRS:166407]) recommends a composition of volatile gases in mole percent. BSC 2003 [DIRS: 165923] shows that the gases that compose the majority of the exsolved gases (H_2O , CO_2 , and SO_2) are significantly retarded so that they have no effect on Zone 2 emplacement drifts. It is therefore reasonable to assume that other reactive gases such as HF and HCl, which are present in minor quantities in the gas front (0.87 and 0.17 mole percent respectively, BSC 2003 [DIRS:166407]), are also retarded through reaction with the tuff host rock and water.

Confirmation Status: Needs further confirmation through analysis.

Use in the Model: This assumption is used in Section 6.5.2.2.

6. MODEL DISCUSSION

6.1 MODELING OBJECTIVES

The main objective of this model is to assess many of the potential impacts of igneous intrusion on waste packages and waste forms in the emplacement drifts at the Yucca Mountain Repository. The model is based on conceptual models and includes an assessment of deleterious dynamic, thermal, hydrologic, and chemical conditions.

The TSPA-LA approach to implementing the models for waste package and waste form response during igneous intrusion is based on identification of damage zones. Zone 1 includes all emplacement drifts intruded by the basalt dike, and Zone 2 includes all other emplacement drifts in the repository that are not in Zone 1. This model report will document the following model assessments for implementation into TSPA damage zones as:

- Mechanical and thermal impacts of basalt magma intrusion on the invert, waste packages and waste forms of the intersected emplacement drifts of Zone 1.
- Temperature and pressure trends of basaltic magma intrusion intersecting Zone 1 and their potential effects on waste packages and waste forms in Zone 2 emplacement drifts.
- Deleterious volatile gases exsolving from the intruded basalt magma, and their potential effects on waste packages of Zone 2 emplacement drifts.
- Post-intrusive physical-chemical environment and seepage water alteration by reaction with intruded basalt in Zone 1.

The scope of this model only includes impacts to the components stated above, and does not include impacts to other engineered barrier system (EBS) components such as the waste package pallet.

The results of this model report will be used in TSPA for several parameters including 1) temperature of magma (used for the temperature of the fuel after an intrusion), 2) amount of temperature increase in Zone 2 due to intrusion to evaluate impact to Zone 2 waste packages, 3) effects of exsolved gases reaching Zone 2 (to evaluate impact to Zone 2 waste packages), and 4) pH and ionic strength of water reacted with basalt (to be used in conjunction with *Dissolved Concentration Limits of Radioactive Elements* BSC 2003 [DIRS:163152]) to determine solubility of spent fuel within the basalt. Ionic strength will also be used in conjunction with *Waste Form and In-Drift Colloids-Associated Radionuclide Concentrations: Abstraction and Summary* (BSC 2003 [DIRS:166845]) for stability of colloids after intrusion.

Consequences of igneous activity to the Yucca Mountain Repository are evaluated in TSPA-LA models for the eruptive (volcanic eruption intersecting repository causing direct release of radioactive waste) and igneous-intrusion groundwater-transport modeling cases (damage to waste packages that are engulfed or otherwise affected by igneous intrusion) (BSC 2003 [DIRS 166296]). This model report explicitly supports the igneous-intrusion groundwater-transport modeling case (BSC 2003 [DIRS 166296]) by providing impacts to waste packages and waste forms due to igneous intrusion and changes in groundwater chemistry caused by water percolating through a basalt filled drift. Other features of an igneous event are captured in other model reports. *Characterize Eruptive Processes at Yucca Mountain, Nevada* (BSC 2003 [DIRS:166407]) provides information about natural volcanic systems and provides parameters that can be used to model their behavior. Parameters provided are appropriate for analysis of the consequences of volcanic eruptions at Yucca Mountain. *Number of Waste Packages Hit by Igneous Intrusion* (BSC 2004 [DIRS:164650]) calculates the number of waste packages that could be damaged in a potential future igneous disruption. *Dike/Drift Interactions* (BSC 2003 [DIRS:165923]) provides an analysis of the intersection of ascending magma with repository drifts including a discussion on dike propagation, magma flow, and gas flow and conductive cooling of a magma cooled drift. *Atmospheric Dispersal and Deposition of Tephra from a potential Volcanic Eruption at Yucca Mountain* (BSC 2004 [DIRS:167616]) (referred to as ASHPLUME) provides information on the redistribution of contaminated tephra from a potential volcanic eruption for the prediction of radioactive waste/ash deposition on the ground surface.

The objectives of the model assessments are realized through model simulations, sensitivity analyses, and corroboration with relevant natural and industrial analogs or published data. These assessments and their results and limitations are provided in Sections 6.5, 6.6, 6.7, 7, and 8.

6.2 INCLUDED FEATURES, EVENTS AND PROCESSES

In support of TSPA-LA, one feature, event, or process (FEP) is considered (FEP 1.2.04.04.0A, Interaction of igneous intrusion with engineered barrier system components). The disposition of this FEP is summarized in Table 6-1.

Table 6-1. Included FEP for This Model Report and Its Disposition in TSPA-LA

FEP Number	License Application FEP Title	Section Where Disposition is Described
1.2.04.04.0A	Igneous intrusion interacts with EBS components	Sections 6.5.1, 6.5.2, and 6.7 Attachment I
<p>Description: An igneous intrusion in the form of a dike occurs through the repository, intersecting the repository drifts. Magma, pyroclastics, and volcanic gases enter the drift and interact with the EBS components including the drip shields, the waste packages, pedestal, and invert. This leads to accelerated drip shield and waste package failure (e.g. attack by magmatic volatiles, damage by flowing or fragmented magma, thermal effects) and dissolution or volatilization of waste. (DTN: MO0307SEPFEPS4.000)</p> <p>Summary of Disposition in TSPA-LA:</p> <p>The effects of an igneous intrusion into the repository are implemented in the TSPA through the delineation of two zones. Zone 1 encompasses portions of the drifts where EBS components are directly contacted by magma. Zone 2 encompasses the remainder of the drifts, where EBS components are not contacted by magma, but are exposed to thermal effects and magmatic gases. A summary of the effects of igneous intrusion on EBS components is presented here.</p> <p>Section 6.5.1 indicates the igneous intrusion would render the drip shields, waste packages and cladding in the Zone 1 emplacement drifts ineffective so they would provide no further protection to the waste. Also, waste package materials would be distributed throughout the cooled magma, though exactly how is unknown. Spent fuel is itself a refractory material and is considered to be chemically unaffected by exposure to the intruding magma. Likewise, waste glass degradation behavior is considered likely to be minimally affected by consequent devitrification (BSC 2004 [DIRS:167619], Section 7.5.4). As the waste packages/waste forms will be distributed throughout the magma body, then the temperature of those waste forms can be considered the same as the temperature of the cooling magma. These values are presented in DTN: MO0402SPAHCIG.002.</p> <p>The impacts of magmatic heat conduction from Zone 1 to Zone 2 emplacement drifts were modeled by numerical simulations of Non-Steady State heat conduction with radial flow in Section 6.5.2.1 and Attachment I. The simulation modeling of heat conduction away from the intruded drifts demonstrates that the initial 1150°C temperature reduces to about 30°C at the center of the drift in about 30 years, and the maximum temperature rise expected in the Zone 2 emplacement drifts is less than 1°C. Model results show that the rock separating drifts limits flow of heat from magma-filled drift. No thermal impacts from Magma-filled drifts are expected for waste packages, drip shields, or cladding in Zone 2 emplacement drifts.</p> <p>The information about gas flow from Zone 1 to Zone 2 emplacement drifts comes from BSC 2003 [DIRS:165923]. This report shows that due to reactions and decreasing pressures, H₂O, SO₂ and CO₂ released from the intrusion event will not reach Zone 2 in sufficient quantities to affect waste packages and waste forms in Zone 2 emplacement drifts. Effects of other corrosive gases is discussed in Section 5.4 and 6.5.2.2.</p> <p>After post-intrusive magma cooling and reversion to normal in-drift environmental conditions, seepage water percolating through the intruded basalt will be chemically altered by reaction with basaltic minerals. As shown in Section 6.7.2 of this model report, this alteration involves significant changes to the pH and ionic strength of the solution. The pH and fCO₂ values obtained through reaction of seepage water with basalt should be used in conjunction with Dissolved Concentration Limits of Radioactive Elements (BSC, 2003 [DIRS:163152]) to describe the solubility of the waste forms. Because waste forms are considered chemically unchanged (Section 6.5.1.2), the dissolved concentration of radioelements in water would have the same dependency on water chemistry in regions influenced by an igneous intrusion as radionuclides in those regions that are not. Thus, the solubility tables given in <i>Dissolved Concentration Limits of Radioactive Elements</i> (BSC 2003 [DIRS: 163152]) should be used to determine concentrations of radionuclides in basalt-filled drifts the same way they are used in the nominal case.</p>		

6.3 BASE-CASE CONCEPTUAL MODEL

The base-case conceptual model is based on the igneous intrusion and associated processes; these are outlined in the following:

- A basaltic magma dike intrudes into Zone 1 emplacement drifts. Once inside the drift, the magma flows over the invert leaving it essentially intact.
- Hot magma fills the drift. Materials become surrounded by magma intruded into Zone 1 drifts.

- Post-intrusive perturbations occur to the in-drift environment due to magma cooling. These perturbations include heat loss by conduction, degassing, and fracturing of the intrusive body. During the post-intrusive perturbations, the magma would cool and the deleterious volatile gases, exsolving from the intruded magma, would diffuse through the host rock (tuff) toward Zone 2 emplacement drifts.
- The in-drift environment reverts to normal conditions, with re-establishment of seepage flow. Seepage water entering the intruded drifts would react and equilibrate with the cooled and fractured basalt.

6.4 ALTERNATE CONCEPTUAL MODELS

The model that serves as the base-case for this model report is necessarily very simple because of the lack of detailed information about the precise conditions that are most likely to prevail given the occurrence of an igneous intrusion event.

Alternatives that may be relevant for igneous intrusive event impacts on the waste packages and waste forms are discussed in the remainder of Section 6.4.

6.4.1 Reaction Between Waste Forms, Metals, and Basalt

The base case model does not consider the effects of reactions between the waste forms and the basalt and its reactive exudates. The spent fuel within the commercial fuel rods is a ceramic material with a high melting point temperature with a range of approximately 2600°C (Todreas and Kazimi 1990, Page 307 for LWR fuels) to 2800°C (Lide 2002, Page 4-92 for UO₂). Therefore, the commercial spent nuclear fuel exposed to hot magma is not expected to melt at temperatures up to the maximum magma temperature of 1169°C (BSC 2003 [DIRS:166407], Table 8). However, when the waste canisters/assemblies and fuel claddings are damaged, the fuel pellets/rods may be assimilated into cooling basalt magma. Under this scenario, two types of processes are expected: chemical interaction between waste forms and the magma and chemical interaction between the waste forms and the metal of waste canisters/assemblies and cladding in the presence of hot magma.

In the first case, oxidation of UO₂ fuel in the presence of water-rich volatiles exsolving from cooling basalt magma may occur (Einziger et al. 1991). In addition, radionuclides in the waste could be incorporated into crystallizing silicate mineral phases such as soddyite [(UO₂)₂(SiO₄) × 2H₂O]. Fission products (Cs, Tc, etc) may also be incorporated into new mineral phases. Silicate phases may have some of these cations loosely incorporated making them more soluble in post-cooling groundwater. However, it is unknown which phases and mineral structures are more likely to form.

In the second case, chemical interactions may occur between fuel and metals/alloys of damaged waste canisters/assemblies and claddings in the presence of the cooling magma that may result in the formation of new phases. This type of interaction is demonstrated by experiments conducted between UO₂ and Zircaloy-4 under isothermal and transient temperatures of 1000 to 1700°C and at 1 to 80 bars pressures for a duration of 1-150 minutes (Hofmann and Kerwin-Peck 1984). The authors show that under reducing conditions, oxygen from UO₂ is transported to the Zircaloy via the gas phase, and as a result, uranium metal is formed. Uranium then diffuses to react with

zirconium to form a layer of U-Zr alloy at about 800°C – 1150°C. Subsequently, several other solid solution layers of interactive U-Zr and Zr-oxides are formed at lower temperatures.

It is expected that mineral phases would result from interaction between waste, waste package materials, and magma, but the compositions of such phases and their properties are not known. Since development of mineral phases would tend to limit subsequent reactivity of the altered waste forms, no further analysis of this alternative conceptual model has been done.

6.4.2 Reaction Between Corrosion Products, Metals, and Basalt

Igneous intrusion could effect chemical reactions within the waste packages and the drift. As magma intrudes into the drift, it compromises the integrity of the waste packages and could enable a “thermite-type reaction” between aluminum and iron oxide (from corrosion of waste package components) by the following reaction:

These types of reactions are extremely exothermic and the activation energy required for this reaction to proceed could be provided by the temperature increase in the drift from intruded magma. The $\Delta H^\circ_{\text{rxn}}$ (heat of reaction) is about –847.6 kJ/mole, and the temperatures from this reaction can exceed 1500°C, producing molten iron. However, there are several conditions that make occurrence of this type of reactions less likely:

- A requirement for this reaction is direct contact between iron oxides and aluminum. If during magma intrusion (magma acts as a continuous medium), iron oxide and aluminum were to come into contact with each other and the magma, they would likely react with the magma components to form secondary phases. In a study of ferritic stainless steels exposed to basaltic magma at a temperature of 1150°C (Douglass 1983), a Cr_2O_3 scale formed on the outer surface of the metals, while internally sulfidation and oxidation occurred in the low Cr steel. Furthermore, Fe dissolved in the magma reacted with the surface scale to create spinels. Ehrlich and Douglass (1982) indicate that lower alloy steels form a surface scale of both FeS and MoO_2 . Crystals within the sulfide-oxide grain boundary network within the iron were a silicate of the type $(\text{Mg,Ca,Fe})_2\text{Si}_2\text{O}_6$, believed to be a clinopyroxene. It is therefore reasonable that reaction would also occur between basaltic magma, carbon steel, aluminum, and oxides.
- Both aluminum and carbon steel degrade very quickly (McCright, 1998 and Hollingsworth and Hunsicker, 1987) to form aluminum oxides and iron oxides. Therefore it is highly unlikely that unoxidized/non-corroded aluminum would be in contact with iron oxides inside waste packages.

If any of the components to the thermite reaction are missing (i.e., unoxidized/non-corroded aluminum and iron oxides), the thermite reaction will not occur. Because these materials will easily be incorporated into the magma or into secondary phases, the likelihood of having the unaltered aluminum and iron oxides in contact is very small. Therefore, this alternative conceptual model is not analyzed further in this model.

6.4.3 Permeability of Contact Metamorphic Aureole

During the intrusive and magma-cooling periods, propagation of dynamic pressures, fluid flow, conduction of heat and diffusion of volatile gases from Zone 1 drifts could induce a perturbed physical, thermal and chemical environment between Zones 1 and 2. Among the expected perturbations is the formation of a contact metamorphic aureole. Magma fluids at high temperatures would interact with the drift wall rock (tuff), modifying the rock mineralogical composition, reducing the permeability and porosity of the contacted tuff. Natural analogs also indicate that at the contacts of basalt dikes with tuffs of the types found at Yucca Mountain there is fusion and devitrification of glass to clays (Lichtner et al. 1999, pp. 8 and 9). Because the extent and uniformity of the aureole is not known, the base case model makes the conservative assumption that the hydraulic properties of the host rock remain the same after intrusion. (see Section 5.1).

6.4.4 Fragmentation of Waste Forms

The data presented in Attachment I of *Miscellaneous Waste-Form FEPs* (CRWMS M&O 2001) presents the distribution of different size fractions of ground (ground by mortar and pestle in the laboratory) UO_2 fuel including unaltered fuel (uncorroded and unoxidized), dry-air oxidized fuel, and aqueous corroded fuel. The TSPA-LA eruptive model requires a fine particle size distribution ranging from 0.0001 to 0.05 cm to implement transport calculations within the ASHPLUME model (BSC 2004 [DIRS 167616]). The distribution is assumed for use in a mathematical construct, an incorporation ratio, used in the ASHPLUME model to abstract airborne transport of the fuel as attached to ash particles. Consequently, the following discussion is not relevant to the igneous intrusion model and is discussed only to address alternative models.

The technical basis for the waste fuel particle size distribution used in the eruptive model is based on laboratory data. UO_2 fuel in various forms was purposely pulverized by mortar and pestle in the laboratory for a corrosion experiment (CRWMS M&O 2001 [DIRS 153938], Attachment I). After the UO_2 fuel was crushed initially, it was noted that there were numerous large fragments (>0.015 cm), material less than 0.0045 cm and a relatively small amount of particles between 0.0045 and 0.015 cm. Larger pieces were re-ground and the materials milled again. The final mean diameter of particles ranged from 0.002 to 0.0002 cm. It is reemphasized that the resulting particle size distribution is used solely to implement the ASHPLUME model. The particle distribution is not intended to represent actual fragmentation expected from a pyroclastic eruption or an effusive igneous intrusion.

6.5 BASE-CASE CONCEPTUAL MODEL RESULTS

For assessing the impacts of igneous intrusion, a conservative approach is taken by considering sequential events and processes during the intrusive and post-intrusive periods. (Given the nature of the intrusive event and processes, the modeling and assessment of impacts are governed by aleatoric and epistemic uncertainties. Aleatoric uncertainty refers to uncertainty for which sufficient knowledge is unobtainable such that the corresponding parameters are treated as chance of occurrences of FEPs. Epistemic uncertainty arises from a lack of knowledge about a parameter because the data are limited or there are alternative interpretations of the available data. A discussion of these uncertainties is presented in Section 6.6.) To compensate for

uncertainties, a conservative approach is taken in the modeling of and assessing the impacts of igneous intrusion. Expected conditions such as intrusive temperature, pressure, flow velocity and volume, and total compression of waste packages, cladding and waste forms (see Section 5) have been bounded. In addition, the results of this study are corroborated by relevant natural and industrial analog and experimental data. As noted in Section 1, this MR is intended to support the igneous intrusion groundwater model (BSC 2003 [DIRS 166296]).

A summary of input data and parameters used in the model and related analyses are presented in Table 4-1. A summary of corroborative data and parameters are presented in Table 6-2.

Table 6-2. Corroborative Sources.

Source	Specifically Used From:	Section Used In	Description
Best 1982	Page 197	Section 6.5.3	General composition of hawaiite basalt
BSC 2003 [166407]	Sections 6.3.2.1 and 6.3.2.4, Tables 6, 7, and 8	Sections 1, 5.4, 6.4.1, 6.1, 6.5.1.1, 6.5.2, 6.5.3, and 6.6.2.1	Assumptions and initial magma condition
BSC 2003 [162153]	Section 6.2.4	Sections 6.7.2 and 8.1	Rind volume
BSC 2004 [167619]	Attachment VI	Sections 6.5.1.2, 6.6.2.1 and 6.7.2	Rind volume and borosilicate glass formulation and basalt natural analogue
BSC 2003 [163152]	Entire	Sections 6.5.1.3, 6.6.2.1, 6.7.1, and 6.7.2	Use of igneous MR results with the dissolved concentrations MR
BSC 2003 (165923)	Section 6.5.1	Section 5.4 and 6.5.2.2	Volatile gas flow between Zone 1 and Zone 2 emplacement drifts after dike intrusion
BSC 2003 (166845)	Entire	Section 1, 6.1, and 6.7.2	Use of igneous MR results with the colloids MR
CRWMS M&O 1999 [121300]	Section 6.0	Section 6.5.1.1	Behavior of materials in magma
BSC 2004 [165057]	Results Section 6.0	Section 6.5.1.1	Behavior of materials in magma
CRWMS M&O 2001 [153938]	Attachment I	Section 6.4.4	Particle size distribution of crushed UO ₂ and how they were derived
DOE 1982	Volume 1, Section 5.2.3 and Volume II, Section 6.2	Section 6.6.2.1 and 6.7.2	pH and ionic strength of water in contact with basalt
Douglass 1983	Results Section	Sections 6.4.2 and 6.5.1.1	Products of reaction of magma and associated gases with metals
Ehrlich and Douglass 1982	Entire	Sections 6.4.2 and 6.5.1.1	Durability of metals in magma
Einzig et al. 1991	Entire	Section 6.4.1	Oxidation of UO ₂
Gordon 2003	Sections 2 and 5	Sections 6.5.1.1 and 7.1	Performance of waste packages and drip shields in magmatic environments
Hearn et al. 1985	Table 2	Section 5.4 and 6.7.2	Size of basalt blocks within fractures and pH for water in contact with basalt

Table 6-2 (Continued). Corroborative Sources.

Source	Specifically Used From:	Section Used In	Description
Hillner et al. 1998	Entire	Section 6.5.1.1	Effects of high temperature water vapor on cladding
Hofmann and Kerwin-Peck 1984	Entire	Section 6.4.1	Reaction of zircaloy with UO_2
Hollingsworth and Hunsicker 1987	Entire	Section 6.4.2	Rates of aluminum corrosion
Klein and Hurlbut 1999	Pages 230-231	Section 6.5.3.1	Formational environments of dolomite
Li, Peacor, et al. 1997	Entire	Section 6.5.3.1	Formational environments of celadonite
Li, Lowenstein, and Blackburn 1997	Entire	Section 6.5.3.1	Formational environments of celadonite
Lichtner et al. 1999	Abstract, Pages 8-10 of Section 3.1, and page 17 of Section 3.3	Sections 6.4.3	Expected devitrification and revitrification of tuff glass during an igneous intrusion
Lide 2002	Page 4-92	Section 6.4.1 and 6.5.1.2	Melting point of UO_2
McCright 1998	Supplement 1 and 2	Section 6.4.2	Corrosion rates of carbon steel
Parry and Straub 1996	Entire	Section 6.5.3 and 6.6.2.1	Composition of Lathrop Wells Cone basalts
Roberts et al. 1990	Pages 32, 36, 149, 394, 515, 586, 670-671, 709-710, 754, and 881-882	Sections 6.5.3	Formational environments of minerals
Todreas and Kazimi 1990	Page 307	Section 6.4.1 and 6.5.1.2	Melting point of commercial fuel
Vaniman et al. 1982	Pages 341-357	Sections 6.5.3 and 6.6.3.1	Crater Flat modal mineral basalt composition and age of volcanic centers in Crater Flat
Wolery and Daveler 1992	Section 3.3.3	6.5.3.1	TST formalism in EQ6

Each stage of the sequence of events and processes, and their resulting effects on waste packages, drip shields, cladding, waste forms, and the in-drift environment of Zones 1 and 2 are described below.

6.5.1 Intrusion of Basalt Magma into Zone 1 Emplacement Drifts

There are two possibilities for the state of the magma as it moves upward into the repository (BSC 2003 [DIRS 165923]):

- Magma steadily releases gas as it approaches the cavity and flows effusively into the repository cavity.
- Magma explosively releases gas from a magma/gas mixture as it approaches the cavity.

The effects of flowing magma are outlined in the remainder of Section 6.5.1, consistent with decoupling of the igneous intrusion groundwater transport model from the eruptive model in TSPA.

6.5.1.1 Impacts on Waste Packages

In TSPA, the igneous intrusion event damages the waste packages and other barrier materials in Zone 1. The damage causes these barriers to fail, providing no further protection to the waste forms. The summary below provides further corroboration for this.

Several lines of evidence support that the igneous intrusion would render the drip shields, waste packages and cladding in the Zone 1 emplacement drifts ineffective so they would provide no further protection to the waste. These evaluations are summarized below.

The temperature of the intruding magma may be as high as 1169°C (BSC 2003 [DIRS:166407], Table 8). The melting temperatures of Alloy C-22 (1357°C) and 316NG Stainless Steel (1375°C) (CRWMS M&O 1999, Section 5.1) are above the magma temperature, but the metals would certainly lose strength at the magma temperature.

Waste Package Behavior in Magma (CRWMS M&O 1999) provides calculations of the pressures that would develop within waste packages as the result of gas expansion at high temperatures. It concludes that as temperatures approach 1200°C the resulting stresses on the packages would reach the tensile strength of the package materials. If a package has been corroded or otherwise weakened it would have a lower tensile strength that could be exceeded by the internal pressure developed at lower temperatures. This provides a possible mechanism for package breaching.

Findings of a literature review on the performance of waste package and drip shield materials in magmatic environments, documented by Structural Integrity Associates (Gordon 2003, Section 5), suggest that the structural integrity of these materials would be severely compromised and damage could occur. For example, Types 310 and 446 stainless steels, exposed to Hawaiian basaltic lava at 1300°C and 2 psi for 100 hours, underwent extensive reaction and damage, while alloy 718 suffered a loss of structural integrity (Gordon 2003, Section 5).

During the course of magma cooling, waste packages and canisters/assemblies may be subject to corrosion, the degree of which may vary depending on their composition, contact magma temperature, and in situ geochemical environment. Magma temperatures, pressures, and geochemical environment in the drift, influenced by the magma composition and volume of exsolving volatile gases, such as H₂O, H₂, CO₂, CO, SO₂, S₂, HCl, HF, and H₂S may have a significant impact on corrosion rates. Literature review findings related to oxidation, sulfidation and corrosion behavior and rates of Alloy 22 waste package materials, drip shield titanium alloy, and similar alloys in magmatic environments shed light on the degree of corrosion (Gordon 2003, Section 2).

Kinetic corrosion rate calculations suggest that Zircaloy cladding can be significantly damaged by corrosion in a matter of days in the presence of water vapor at temperatures between 250°C to 360°C (Hillner et al. 1998; CRWMS M&O 2000). Based on this information, damage to cladding could occur after the intrusion of magma with exsolving volatiles.

One study of binary and ternary alloys containing various amounts of molybdenum and chromium and exposed to basaltic magma at 1150°C for periods of 24 and 96 hours shows that the formation of secondary phases and oxidation or sulfidation of metals is extremely dependent on metal composition (Ehrlich and Douglass 1982; Douglass 1983). Another finding, from a qualitative study of various alloys in contact with Hawaiian basalt lava, indicates that Types 310 and 446 stainless steel reacted extensively with the degassed lava while Udimet 700 and Alloy 718 suffered a loss of structural integrity (Gordon 2003, Section 5). Findings from another study, conducted at 727°C for 96 hours, indicate that under a reducing atmosphere of 1.5 percent H₂S and 98.5 percent H₂, the corrosion is significantly higher than in an oxidizing-sulfidizing environment with 59 percent Ar, 3 percent O₂, 36.5 percent H₂, and 1.5 percent H₂S (Gordon 2003, Section 2). All these corroborative findings suggest that metals embedded in the cooling basalt magma would be subjected to various degrees of corrosion.

6.5.1.2 Impact on Waste Forms

With the failure of the waste packages, the waste forms are also subject to disruption. Effects may include:

- Exposure to high temperatures
- Chemical interactions with magma and associated fluids and gases which could alter the solubility of the waste forms.

The types and extents of such changes would depend upon such factors as the degree and duration of magma contact with waste forms, the contact pressure, viscosity, temperature; the redox conditions at the time of intrusion, and the physical and chemical characteristics of the waste forms. The spent fuel within the commercial fuel rods is a ceramic material with a high melting point temperature with a range of approximately 2600°C (Todreas and Kazimi 1990, Page 307 for LWR fuels) to 2800°C (Lide 2002, Page 4-92 for UO₂). Therefore, the commercial spent nuclear fuel exposed to hot magma is not expected to melt at temperatures up to the maximum magma temperature of 1169°C (BSC 2003 [DIRS:166407], Table 8).

As indicated in Section 6.4.1, chemical interaction between waste forms and the magma and chemical interaction between the waste forms and the metal of waste canisters/assemblies and cladding in the presence of hot magma can occur. Since the extent of development of mineral phases is unknown and would tend to limit subsequent reactivity of the altered waste forms, the waste is considered conservatively chemically unchanged.

The heating of canistered glass waste forms to temperatures near 1150°C by magma during an intrusion event followed by slow cooling will result in the glass melting and possibly devitrification as the glass cools. The extent of devitrification will depend on the composition of the glass, temperature, and cooling rate. Borosilicate HLW glasses are currently formulated to minimize the formation of precipitates while molten in the melter (at about 1150°C) and as they solidify in the steel canister. The waste loading of most HLW streams processed with a Joule-heated melter are limited by formation of phases in the glass-melt such as spinel (Ni,Fe,Mn)(Cr,Fe)₂O₄ and acmite NaFe(Si₂O₆), particularly for Fe-rich waste streams. The formation of these phases does not have a significant impact on the glass durability because the

silicate network is not significantly affected by the formation of these phases (BSC 2004 [DIRS:167619]).

The formation of some phases reduces the chemical durability of the glass. Glasses are formulated to avoid formation of phases such as nepheline (NaAlSiO_4) because they lead to a composition change in the glass. Composition changes that result in a higher proportion of alkali in the glass usually reduce the glass durability. This can occur when a higher proportion of components that form the silicate structure of the glass, such as Al and Si, are removed from the glass melt than components that interrupt the glass structure, such as alkali metals, as these phases form. Likewise, glass additives are controlled to avoid both the formation of soluble inclusion phases, such as lithium metasilicate (Li_2SiO_3), and glass/glass phase separation. The lower cooling rate of glass that remelts in the repository will remain within the range that is favorable for precipitating spinels, nepheline, etc (at 600-900°C) for longer periods than during glass manufacture. The formation of less durable phases could impact the performance of waste (BSC 2004 [DIRS:167619]). However, the slow cooling also results in less cracking and less exposed glass surface area than occurs in manufacture. Because the extent of secondary phases in the glass is unknown and the decrease in exposed surface area will aid in durability, the glass is considered to be unchanged.

6.5.1.3 Results of Base Case Model for Waste Form Properties in Basalt-Filled (Zone 1) Drifts

It is conservatively concluded that the igneous intrusion would render the drip shields, waste packages, and cladding in the Zone 1 emplacement drifts ineffective so they would provide no further protection to spent fuel and waste forms.

It is also concluded that the waste packages would be distributed throughout the cooled magma, though exactly how is unknown. Spent fuel is a refractory material and in the base case is considered to be chemically unaffected by exposure to the intruding magma. Likewise, waste glass is considered to be minimally affected because HLW glasses are currently formulated to minimize the formation of mineral precipitates which could effect the durability of the material.

Because waste forms are considered chemically unchanged (see Section 6.5.1.2) in the base case model, the dissolved concentration of radioelements in seepage water in the basalt that has reacted with waste forms would have the same dependency on water chemistry as does waste dissolving in the nominal case. Thus, the solubility tables given in *Dissolved Concentration Limits of Radioactive Elements* (BSC 2003 [DIRS:163152]) should be used to determine concentrations of radionuclides in water in basalt-filled drifts the same way that they are used in the nominal case.

6.5.2 Heat and Gas Flow from Zone 1 Emplacement Drifts Filled with Basalt Magma

6.5.2.1 Numerical Simulations of Non-Steady State Heat Conduction with Radial Flow

The temperature distribution for a magma flow filling a Zone 1 emplacement drift can be estimated using a highly idealized, one-dimensional unsteady heat conduction in a rod model, subject to constant heat content. This is a simplified model used to determine the length of time it

takes for the repository to cool back to 100°C, and thus at which time water re-enters the system. The contribution of latent heat and heat transfer due to convection is neglected. The magma is considered to be at an initial intrusive temperature of 1150°C (BSC 2003 [DIRS:166407]). The magma fills the drift entirely and the temperature dissipates both spatially and temporally. The temperature of the repository is considered to be between 25°C to 200°C at the time of the intrusion. The heat transfer thermal properties of the magma are considered to be the same as those for the densely welded tuff (see Section 5.3) for a first order analysis. The logic flow for the heat conduction model is presented in detail, along with pertinent equations, in Attachment I.

6.5.2.1.1 Results of Model Simulations

The model simulations and analysis consider four types of lithophysal and nonlithophysal units that comprise the repository horizon (Ttpul, Ttpmn, Ttppl, and Ttpln). All of these units are from the Topopah Spring Tuff (Tpt). The fourth letter in the unit designation represents the crystal poor zone of this tuff. The last two letters in each unit designator are defined as follows: ul = upper lithophysal zone, mn = middle nonlithophysal zone, ll = lower lithophysal zone, and ln = lower nonlithophysal zone. To cover a broad range of potential conditions, the analysis assumes two different alternative thermal properties of the tuff matrix: saturated with water, and void of water (dry conditions). The model considers ideal saturation: completely saturated and completely dry (as opposed to real saturation conditions, which are somewhere in between). Simulations of radial conduction of intrusive heat into the areas surrounding the intruded emplacement drifts are carried out.

The magma intrudes into the Zone 1 emplacement drifts in a single instantaneous event at a temperature of 1150°C, and heat diffuses to the surrounding welded tuff units by radial heat conduction with constant heat content. The rate at which thermal diffusion takes place depends on the thermal conductivity, and the volumetric heat capacity of the welded tuff.

The results of the analysis for different repository horizon rock units for a period of 50 years are presented in Figures 6-1 and 6-2 for the wet and dry analysis for Ttppl. The results for the three other regions were so similar to the Ttppl results, that the differences can not be distinguished in the graphs, and only the results for Ttppl were plotted. These figures show a simplified configuration of intruded drift and the adjacent drift. The results show that the temperatures near the drift are high. However, the temperatures attenuate rapidly into the surrounding tuff. The peak temperature is reduced with radius and shifted out in time. For example, the peak temperature at a 10-meter radius is less than boiling, and is attained after one year while the peak temperature at a radius of 20 m is approximately 40°C after several years. Under either completely dry or completely wet conditions, the temperature reduces to about 30°C at drift center in about 30 years. Further, the analysis shows that the high temperatures after the magma event attenuate rapidly with distance. The maximum temperature rise in the adjacent emplacement drifts in Zone 2 is small (less than 10°C), and the rock provides an effective thermal barrier to the impacts of heat transfer from magmatic intrusion. Therefore, the spatial and temporal heat conduction simulations and analysis suggest that the waste packages in Zone 2 emplacement drifts would not be affected by the heat conducting from the magma intruded into Zone 1 emplacement drifts.

Figure 6-1. Heat Conduction from Magma Flow for Dry Tptpll

Figure 6-2. Heat Conduction from Magma Flow for Wet Tptpll

6.5.2.1.2 Heat Flow Sensitivity

Latent Heat

The latent heat generated by the crystallization of the cooling magma can be significant, as shown in *Dike/Drift Interactions* (BSC 2003 [DIRS: 165923]). Latent heat will likely add a significant amount of heat at early times, increasing the temperature by as much as 100°C, and will prolong the cooling times within the magma, as shown in *Dike/Drift Interactions* (BSC 2003 [DIRS: 165923], Section 6.5.2.6). However, this effect was examined in a sensitivity study by rerunning the model at 1250°C, to roughly account for the latent heat. The results are compared with the base case and presented in Figures 6-3 and 6-4. The figures show little change in the results. At the center of the drift, the temperature falls below 100°C at 2.51 years, or at 2.73 years if the effects of latent heat are approximated. At the drift wall, the temperature falls below 100°C at 2.46 years, or at 2.59 years if the effects of latent heat are approximated. Considering the geologic timeframes of interest, this difference is negligible.

Figure 6-3: Latent Heat Effect at Centerline

Figure 6-4: Latent Heat effect at Drift Wall

Saturation

BSC 2004 ([DIRS 168720], Section 8.1) shows that the change in temperature based on the saturation of the matrix is less than 5%, therefore the effects of enhanced vapor diffusion will be minimal. Convection in the saturated matrix is also a consideration, but is expected to be minimal based on the low porosity of the rock, the fact that the temperatures attenuate rapidly over time, and that the high temperatures are only sustained over short distances.

6.5.2.2 Flow of Volatile Gas Components from Intrusive Magma

The flow of air, H₂O, SO₂, and CO₂ between Zone 1 and Zone 2 emplacement drifts is analyzed in *Dike/Drift Interactions* (BSC 2003 [DIRS: 165923]). The model report concludes that the boiling zone created within the intruded drift limits the migration of those gases. As well as the dissolution of gases into the aqueous phase, the gases would diffuse back into the rock matrix after pressures from the intrusion subside. Gases flowing through the coarse backfill in perimeter drifts would be diluted by air. Crushed tuff material and water content would also retard gas movement. In addition, oxidation/reduction reactions involving sulfur species would further retard gas migration.

Characterize Eruptive Processes at Yucca Mountain, Nevada (BSC 2003 [DIRS:166407]) recommends a composition of volatile gases in mole percent. BSC 2003 [DIRS: 165923] shows that the gases that compose the majority (96.89 mole percent from BSC 2003 [DIRS:166407]) of the exsolved gases (H₂O, CO₂, and SO₂) are significantly retarded so that they have no effect on Zone 2 emplacement drifts. It is therefore assume (Section 5.4) that other reactive gases such as HF and HCl, which are present in minor quantities in the gas front (0.87 and 0.17 mole percent respectively, BSC 2003 [DIRS:166407]), are also retarded.

Therefore, it is concluded that the waste packages in Zone 2 emplacement drifts would not be impacted by volatile gases exsolving from the basalt magma intruded into Zone 1 emplacement drifts.

6.5.3 Reaction of Seepage Water with Basalt After Reversion to Normal In-Drift Environmental Conditions

After post-intrusive magma cooling and reversion to normal in-drift environmental conditions, the seepage water is expected to flow through the contact metamorphic aureole and react first with the basalt in the intruded emplacement drifts, resulting in basalt-equilibrated seepage water. The geochemical interaction of seepage water with the basalt and the resulting hydrochemistry are simulated using EQ3/6.

The following sections describes calculated parameters used as inputs into EQ6 simulations. All data for EQ6 simulations taken directly from controlled sources can be found in Section 4.1.2. The results of the EQ6 simulations are located in Section 6.5.3.4.

The abstracted minerals used in the simulations were derived by manipulation of the oxide chemical composition of the basalt taken from *Characterize Eruptive Processes at Yucca Mountain, Nevada* (BSC 2003 [DIRS:166407]) to reflect, as much as possible, the mineral composition of Crater Flat basalt described by Vaniman et al. (1982) and Perry and Straub (1996). The "normative calculation" which converts the basalt composition in oxides to composition in minerals (presented in Table 6-3) was based on the following points:

- Vaniman et al. (1982) indicates that the groundmass is primarily plagioclase, pyroxene, and olivine with olivine phenocrysts (up to 3%). Perry and Straub (1996) indicate a groundmass composition of plagioclase, olivine, titanomagnetite, and clinopyroxene with olivine and plagioclase phenocrysts. Plagioclase phenocrysts are found primarily in chronostratigraphic unit I and largely absent from chronostratigraphic units II, III, and IV (See Perry and Straub 1996 for definition of units).
- Olivine phenocrysts comprise three modal percent of the youngest basalt at Crater Flat Vaniman et al. (1982). The phenocrysts are entirely olivine. The olivine in the normative calculation was divided between the two end members of forsterite (Mg-olivine) and fayalite (Fe-olivine) with forsterite composing between 76-79% of the olivine (Vaniman et al., 1982).
- The plagioclase feldspar andesine is indicated as the major plagioclase species in the Crater Flat area (Vaniman et al., 1982). However, this mineral is not included in the EQ6 database and must therefore be represented in the normative analysis by the two end members albite and anorthite. According to Vaniman et al. (1982), the end member anorthite represents 30-53% of the plagioclase.
- Pyroxenes in the Crater Flat area are primarily augite and hypersthene. Hypersthene, which is isomorphous with enstatite, is not included in the EQ6 database and must therefore be represented in the normative analysis by enstatite. Augite is also not included in the EQ6 database. Although augite can be described by the end members of

diopside and hedenbergite, for simplicity in the normative analysis, only hedenbergite was used.

- Nepheline is not indicated as a major mineral at Crater Flat in Vaniman et al. (1982). However, it is included in their normative analysis of the Lathrop Wells Cone at between 0 and 2.2 percent, indicating that the Lathrop Wells Cone basalt is sufficiently silica poor that nepheline, under certain conditions, may form. Additionally, hawaiite basalts are known to contain minor nepheline (Best 1982) so a small portion is justified here.
- Sanidine is not indicated as a major mineral at Crater Flat in Vaniman et al. (1982). However, orthoclase is included in their normative analysis of the Lathrop Wells Cone at 10.5 percent. Sanidine is a monoclinic disordered orthoclase feldspar very common as a constituent of acidic and alkaline volcanic rocks (Roberts et al, 1990, p. 754) and was thusly considered justified here. Therefore, sanidine was included to account for the K_2O in the normative analysis.
- The apatite group consists of minerals commonly found in igneous rocks. Although apatite is not indicated as a major mineral at Crater Flat in Vaniman et al. (1982), it is included in their normative analysis of the Lathrop Wells Cone at 2.4 to 3.0 percent. The mineral hydroxylapatite (Roberts et al., 1990, p. 389) was added to account for the P_2O_5 in the normative analysis.
- Several of the minerals above can contain minor amounts of titanium. However, due to database constraints, this cannot be taken into account. Also, Vaniman et al. (1982) mention the amphibole magnesiohastingsite which can contain up to 4% TiO_2 . This amphibole is rare at Crater Flat and thermodynamic data for it are not included in the EQ6 database, so it was not taken into account. Therefore, ilmenite was used for all the TiO_2 in the normative analysis. Ilmenite is widespread as a common accessory mineral in igneous rocks. (Roberts et al., 1990, p. 394). The use of ilmenite is also supported by the normative analysis of the Lathrop Wells Cone done in Vaniman et al. (1982) that shows 2.5 to 2.6 percent ilmenite.
- Magnetite was allotted the remaining iron in the normative analysis. Magnetite occurs abundantly and widespread as magmatic segregations and as an accessory mineral in igneous rocks. (Roberts et al., 1990, p. 515). In the normative analysis presented in Vaniman et al. (1982), magnetite and hematite have a combined percentage between 3.6 and 3.9.

Table 6-3. Idealized Mineral Composition of Basalt

EQ6 Minerals	EQ6 Mineral Formula	Mineral Molecular Weight	Wt% ^a
Forsterite	Mg ₂ SiO ₄	140.69	2.363
Fayalite	Fe ₂ SiO ₄	203.77	0.90977
Magnetite	Fe ₃ O ₄	231.53	6.06248
Ilmenite	FeTiO ₃	151.71	3.69225
Hydroxylapatite	Ca ₅ (PO ₄) ₃ (OH)	502.31	2.8987
Sanidine	KAlSi ₃ O ₈	278.33	10.9511
Anorthite	CaAl ₂ (SiO ₄) ₂	278.21	24.5643
Albite	NaAlSi ₃ O ₈	262.22	25.6512
Nepheline	NaAlSiO ₄	142.05	2.40048
Hedenbergite	FeCaSi ₂ O ₆	248.09	9.25437
Enstatite	MgSiO ₃	100.39	11.2524

Source: ^aValues above calculated in "basalt-separated.xls" in Attachment III (Disc 1) from composition provided in Table 4-3.

6.5.3.1 EQ6 Basalt/Water Interaction

EQ6 also requires the input of mineral surface areas and moles. These values, calculated in "basalt-separated.xls" in Attachment III (Disc 1) are shown in Table 6-4. It should be noted that these values are based on having meter sized basalt blocks (see Section 5.2) in the fractured areas and a saturation of 0.1 (saturation of 0.12 from Table 4-9 rounded to 0.1).

Table 6-4. Surface Area and Moles of Mineral Reactants

Mineral	Moles	Surface Area (cm ²)
Forsterite	2.531E+01	6.92E+01
Fayalite	6.728E+00	2.67E+01
Magnetite	3.946E+01	1.78E+02
Ilmenite	3.668E+01	1.08E+02
Hydroxylapatite	8.697E+00	8.49E+01
Sanidine	5.930E+01	3.21E+02
Anorthite	1.331E+02	7.20E+02
Albite	1.474E+02	7.51E+02
Nepheline	2.547E+01	7.03E+01
Hedenbergite	5.622E+01	2.71E+02
Enstatite	1.689E+02	3.30E+02

NOTE: Calculated in "basalt-separated.xls" in Attachment III (Disc 1) From values in Table 6-3

The dissolution rates of materials come from various literature sources and are presented in Tables 4-5, 4-6, and 4-7. The calculated rates for EQ6 calculations using those rates from Tables 4-5, 4-6, and 4-7 are presented in Table 6-5 and Attachment II. The rates for the minerals in the EQ6 cases were cast in the transition state theory formalism (Wolery and Daveler 1992,

Section 3.3.3). The sources for the mineral dissolution rates are used here because they come from peer reviewed journals and in many cases they are the only source of information available for dissolution of minerals. A more in-depth discussion on the mineral dissolution rates can be found in Attachment II.

Table 6-5. Dissolution Rates of Basaltic Minerals

Mineral	Acidic leg		Basic Leg	
	k ₁	S1	k ₂	S2
Anorthite	1.58E-11	0.91	2.0E-18	-0.3
Albite_low	7.94E-15	0.33	5.01E-19	-0.32
Nepheline	3.98E-08	1.0	7.94E-15	-0.2
Sanidine_high	Used same rate as albite			
Fayalite	7.94E-12	0.4	5.25E-15	0
Forsterite	7.94E-12	0.4	5.25E-15	0
Enstatite	1.58E-12	0.57	1.26E-19	-0.44
Hedenbergite	3.16E-11	0.7	6.31E-16	0
Hydroxylapatite	1.00E-12	0.35	1.17E-17	-0.35
Ilmenite	4.57E-13	0.462	1.55E-19	-0.462
Magnetite	2.09E-13	0.232	1.17E-16	-0.232

NOTES: Total Dissolution Rate = $k_1[H^+]^{S1} + k_2[H^+]^{S2}$ (moles/cm².s).

All values above were extrapolated in Microsoft Excel spreadsheets "Aluminosilicate rates.xls", "pyroxene rates.xls", "olivine rate.xls", "oxides rate.xls", and "apatite rate.xls" in Attachment III (Disc 1) from rats in Tables 4-5, 4-6, and 4-7.

"S" represents the negative slope of the Log(rate) versus pH presented in this table and in Attachment II

The composition of the water running through the basalt block (Table 6-6) is the EQ3 equilibrated Bin 8 seepage water from DTN: MO03010SPAEBSCB.003. The flow rates below were derived from infiltration rates in DTN: LB0310AMRU0120.001 and are calculated in "basalt-separated.xls" on Attachment III (Disc 1).

Table 6-6. Water Composition and Flow Rate Used in the EQ3/6 Input Files of Water/Basalt Interaction

EQ3NR Input Composition Values				EQ6 Input File Composition ^c		
Element	Basis Switch	Concentration ^a	Units	Element	Concentration	Units
redox		-0.7 ^b	Log fO ₂	Na	7.31E-03	Moles/kg
Na ⁺		168.0	mg/L	Si	1.80E-03	Moles/kg
SiO ₂ (aq)		108.0	mg/L	Ca	5.74E-04	Moles/kg
Ca ⁺⁺		23.0	mg/L	K	2.76E-04	Moles/kg
K ⁺		10.8	mg/L	Mg	8.52E-05	Moles/kg
Mg ⁺⁺		2.07	mg/L	O	5.55E+01	Moles/kg
H ⁺		7.94	pH	F	6.42E-04	Moles/kg
F ⁻		12.2	mg/L	Cl	5.61E-04	Moles/kg
Cl ⁻		19.9	mg/L	N	3.97E-05	Moles/kg
NO ₃ ⁻	NH ₃ (aq)	2.46	mg/L	S	3.55E-04	Moles/kg
SO ₄ ⁻		34.1	mg/L	Al	1.50E-09	Moles/kg

Table 6-6 (Continued). Water Composition and Flow Rate Used in the EQ3/6 Input Files of Water/Basalt Interaction

EQ3NR Input Composition Values				EQ6 Input File Composition ^c		
Al		4.05E-05	mg/L	Fe	1.49E-12	Moles/kg
Fe ⁺⁺		8.32E-08	mg/L			
pH and Carbon Concentrations ^c						
Log fCO ₂ value (bar)	-2	-2.5	-3	-3.5	-4	
EQ6 Starting pH	7.65	8.13	8.60	9.01	9.38	
C (moles/kg)	7.09E-03	6.75E-03	6.29E-03	5.58E-03	4.73E-03	
Flow Rate						
Rate	Saturation	Porosity	EQ6 Rate ^d			
0.4 mm/year	0.1	0.17	1.73E-10 moles/s			
	1.0	0.17	1.73E-11 moles/s			
	0.1	0.13	2.26E-10 moles/s			
3.8	0.1	0.17	1.64E-09 moles/s			
11.7	0.1	0.17	5.05E-09 moles/s			

NOTES: ^aCalculated in "conversions.xls" in Attachment II from values in Table 4-8.

^bEquilibrium atmospheric levels of oxygen.

^cThese values are the output from EQ3NR for input into EQ6 input files.

^dCalculated in Microsoft Excel spreadsheet "basalt-separated.xls".

By changing the saturation to 1.0 from 0.1 and the pore fraction from 0.17 to 0.13, the moles and surface areas of minerals input into EQ6 also change slightly from the base case. These values are presented in Table 6.7.

Table 6-7. Surface Area and Moles of Mineral Reactants with Different Pore Fraction and Saturation

Mineral	Saturation of 1.0		Pore fraction of 0.13	
	Moles	Surface Area (cm ²)	Moles	Surface Area (cm ²)
Forsterite	2.531E+00	6.92E+00	3.470E+01	9.49E+01
Fayalite	6.728E-01	2.67E+00	9.223E+00	3.65E+01
Magnetite	3.946E+00	1.78E+01	5.409E+01	2.43E+02
Ilmenite	3.668E+00	1.08E+01	5.028E+01	1.48E+02
Hydroxylapatite	8.697E-01	8.49E+00	1.192E+01	1.16E+02
Sanidine	5.930E+00	3.21E+01	8.128E+01	4.40E+02
Anorthite	1.331E+01	7.20E+01	1.824E+02	9.86E+02
Albite	1.474E+01	7.51E+01	2.021E+02	1.03E+03
Nepheline	2.547E+00	7.03E+00	3.491E+01	9.64E+01
Hedenbergite	5.622E+00	2.71E+01	7.706E+01	3.72E+02
Enstatite	1.689E+01	3.30E+01	2.315E+02	4.52E+02

NOTE: Calculated in "basalt-separated.xls" in Attachment III (Disc 1)

Another case involves decreasing the surface area in the case by 10. These values are taken as 0.1 times the surface area values in Table 6.4.

To find the flow rate at which the incoming water is unaffected by reaction with the basalt, 3 cases were run which increased the flow rate of the water from that listed in Section 6.5.3.1. These rates include fluxes of 25 mm/year ($1.079\text{E-}08$ moles/sec), 50 mm/year ($2.158\text{E-}08$ moles/sec), and 100 mm/year ($4.315\text{E-}08$ moles/sec).

For EQ3 and EQ6 runs, trace concentrations of elements are added to Bin 8 seepage water, Bin 11 seepage water and J-13 well water composition that are not in the original composition, but are in the composition of the basalt. This is to ensure numerical stability in EQ6 runs. The trace elements added are found in Table 6-8.

Table 6-8. Elements Added as "Trace" to Water

Ti ^a	P ^a	Mn ^a	Al ^b	Fe ^b
-----------------	----------------	-----------------	-----------------	-----------------

NOTES: ^a Added to all three water types as trace.

^b Added only to J-13 well water as trace.

During EQ6 simulations, upon reaction with basalt, mineral phases precipitate from solution into the fractures within the basalt. Several minerals are suppressed from forming in the EQ6 runs because, though thermodynamically favored, they are kinetically unlikely to form upon reaction of water with cooled basalt. The following minerals were suppressed (not allowed to form) in all EQ6 runs:

Quartz	Tridymite	Phlogopite	Annite	Muscovite
Celadonite	Dolomite	Dolomite-dis	Dolomite-ord	

The micas (muscovite, annite, celadonite, and phlogopite), quartz, and tridymite are high temperature ($>500^{\circ}\text{C}$) minerals (Roberts et al. 1990) and are not expected to form. Celadonite can form at low temperatures, but this is due to either diagenesis of pre-existing material, very low grade metamorphic processes, or concentration of the liquid environment through evaporation (Li, Peacor, et al. 1997; Li, Lowenstein, and Blackburn 1997), so celadonite is not expected to form. Dolomite is usually derived by secondary mineralization. Since it rarely occurs as a primary mineral, it was also suppressed. (Klein and Hurlbut 1999).

6.5.3.2 Sensitivity Cases

Two different water types were used for the sensitivity analysis. The EQ6 input file compositions of Bin 11 seepage-water and J-13 well water (from EQ3NR output) are presented in Table 6-9.

Table 6-9. Water Composition Used in the EQ3/6 Input Files of Water/Basalt Interaction for Sensitivity Cases

EQ6 Input File Composition					
Bin11 Seepage Water			J-13 Well Water		
Element	Concentration	Units	Element	Concentration	Units
pH	8.00	pH	pH	8.1	pH
Na	4.78E-03	Moles/kg	Na	1.99E-03	Moles/kg
Si	1.19E-02	Moles/kg	Si	1.02E-03	Moles/kg
Ca	3.34E-04	Moles/kg	Ca	3.24E-04	Moles/kg
K	7.49E-04	Moles/kg	K	1.29E-04	Moles/kg
Mg	6.34E-06	Moles/kg	Mg	8.27E-05	Moles/kg
O	5.55E+01	Moles/kg	O	5.55E+01	Moles/kg
C	5.62E-04	Moles/kg	C	2.07E-03	Moles/kg
F	1.38E-03	Moles/kg	F	1.15E-04	Moles/kg
Cl	1.30E-03	Moles/kg	Cl	2.01E-04	Moles/kg
N	1.26E-04	Moles/kg	N	1.42E-04	Moles/kg
S	7.30E-04	Moles/kg	S	1.92E-04	Moles/kg
Al	1.42E-09	Moles/kg	Al	1.00E-16	Moles/kg
Fe	2.08E-12	Moles/kg	Fe	1.00E-16	Moles/kg
P	1.00E-16	Moles/kg	P	1.00E-16	Moles/kg
Mn	1.00E-16	Moles/kg	Mn	1.00E-16	Moles/kg
Ti	1.00E-16	Moles/kg	Ti	1.00E-16	Moles/kg

NOTE: These values are the output from EQ3NR for input into EQ6 input files. Input values for EQ3NR are located in Table 4-10.

6.5.3.3 EQ6 Nomenclature for Water/Basalt Cases

The name for EQ6 cases contains various numbers and letters describing the inputs into that particular case. This nomenclature is defined here.

The first part of the EQ6 case names is indicative of the water type reacted with the basalt indicated with:

- b11 = Bin 11 Seepage Water, b8 = Bin 8 Seepage Water, and j13 = J-13 well water.

The letter in the file name immediately following the water type is indicative of the conditions in which the run was carried out as indicated below:

- b = base case where saturation = 0.1, flux = 0.4 mm/year, pore fraction = 0.17, and the basalt is fractured into meter sized particles.
- p = pore fraction of base case changed to 0.13.
- a = surface area of minerals available for reaction decreased to 0.1 times surface area of the base case.

- s = saturation of base case changed to 1.0.
- f1 = flux rate of base case changed to 3.8 mm/year.
- f2 = flux rate of base case changed to 11.7 mm/year.

To find the flow rate at which the incoming water is unaffected by reaction with the basalt, 3 cases were run which increased the flow rate of the water from that listed above for the abstraction. These cases, like those above, are indicated by the letter in the file name immediately following the water type. These include:

- f3 = flux rate of base case changed to 25 mm/year.
- f4 = flux rate of base case changed to 50 mm/year.
- f5 = flux rate of base case changed to 100 mm/year.

The next set of letters is simply indicative of the ordering of consecutive runs. In several instances, cases did not reach the 20,000 year mark in 30,000 EQ6 time steps. In order to keep files small and manageable, these cases were broken up into several cases. The first step in these cases is indicated by an "_" as are all those cases in which the run went to completion in 30,000 time steps. The letters x, y, z, q, r, and s indicate the consecutive files with x directly following "-", y following x and so on.

The final set of letters in the case name is indicative of the CO₂ fugacity with which the water was reacted as indicated by:

- 2 = fCO₂ of 10⁻² bar, 25 = fCO₂ of 10^{-2.5} bar, 3 = fCO₂ of 10⁻³ bar, 35 = fCO₂ of 10^{-3.5} bar, 4 = fCO₂ of 10⁻⁴ bar

6.5.3.4 Results of EQ6 Simulations of Crown Seepage Water Reaction with Cooled Basalt

Due to the large amount of output generated through the EQ6 simulations of crown seepage water reaction with cooled basalt in an emplacement drift, these files are located on Attachment III (Disc 1 and 2). The results of the abstraction of values (pH, ionic strength, fO₂, and fCO₂) for use in TSPA-LA can be found in Section 6.7.2.

6.6 DISCUSSION OF UNCERTAINTIES

The inferences, assumptions, and the results presented in this model report are based on the conceptual models (base-case and alternative) which describe a hypothetical igneous intrusive event and associated processes and conditions that could occur in the repository. Given the nature of the events and processes associated with an igneous intrusion scenario, the prediction of impacts on the repository system and, in particular, on the waste packages and waste forms is complex. To compensate for uncertainties, the model simulations and analyses are based on conservative assumptions and bounding parameter values, and supported by corroborative natural and industrial analogs and experimental results.

6.6.1 Uncertainty in Heat Conduction Analysis

The range of thermal conductivities for wet and dry conditions for the four units (Ttptul, Ttptmn, Ttptll, and Ttptln) is considered large enough to bound uncertainty in the results of radial heat conduction simulations. While detailed analyses have not been performed, it is likely, based on Figures 6-1 and 6-2, that temperatures would exceed the boiling point of water only within the first few meters from the drift, and that the temperature of most of the rock would remain below boiling. A source of uncertainty derives from the fact that the thermal conductivity of the solid phase is several times larger than the thermal conductivity of water. Since the thermal gradients within the pore space are more likely to be influenced by liquid water, the effective thermal gradients for a uniform heat flux would be higher. The volumetric heat capacity is reduced with a reduction in saturation or water content because water with a high specific heat is substituted for air with a low specific heat capacity. Theoretical considerations indicate that the thermal gradients are much higher, depending on the spatial variations in the properties of the rock media between the drifts. Additionally, the idea that the magma is subject to constant heat content ignores any heat that may be generated by exothermic reactions. Though this heat is expected to be small compared to the heat of the magma, it is, nonetheless, a source of uncertainty.

A detailed sensitivity analysis is shown in Attachment I. This analysis provides information on the percent contribution values of each model component to the heat conduction/flow system variance. The sensitivity of the heat flow model to the uncertainty in key inputs was investigated using the Delta Method, as described in Attachment I. The variance shows that the resulting peak temperature at a distance of 10 m is sensitive only to the initial temperature. Therefore, the uncertainty in the initial temperature was used to calculate its variance, and that change in the peak temperature, $\pm 3^{\circ}\text{C}$, sampled as a uniform distribution, is used as the uncertainty in the temperature output provide in Tables 6-12 and 8-1.

6.6.2 Uncertainty in the Geochemical Modeling Using EQ6

6.6.2.1 Uncertainty in EQ6 Inputs

Uncertainties in input values related to geochemical modeling using the EQ6 code for the base case include: pore fraction, reactive surface area of materials, flow rate of water through the basalt, saturation, composition of oxides that make up the basalt, CO_2 and O_2 fugacity, and type of water flowing through the basalt (water types investigated are presented in Table 4-8 and Table 4-10). Several of these parameters are included in cases which were used in the pH abstractions and are thus already incorporated into the model output. These parameters, as well as the range of values used, are:

- Pore Fraction: 0.13 through 0.17.
- Flux: 0.4 mm/year through 11.7 mm/year.
- Surface Area: all surfaces are available and 10 percent of all surfaces available.
- Saturation: 0.1 through 1.0.
- Log $f\text{CO}_2$: -2 through -4 bar

The composition of oxides that make up the basalt was taken from BSC 2003 [DIRS:166407], Table 6. These values are derived in BSC 2003 [DIRS:166407] from 45 samples collected from the Lathrop Wells Cone. Materials from the Lathrop Wells Cone represent the most recent eruptive event (0.3 million years, Vaniman et al. 1982) in the Yucca Mountain region. Therefore it may better represent the composition of the hypothetical igneous intrusion than older volcanic centers in the area ranging in age from approximately 1.1 million to 3.7 million years (Vaniman et al. 1982). The composition of the Lathrop Wells Cone along with corresponding uncertainty is presented in Table 6-10.

Table 6-10. Composition of Lathrop Wells Cone Basalt

Basalt Composition					
Oxide	Mean Wt%	Standard Deviation	Oxide	Mean Wt%	Standard Deviation
SiO ₂	48.5	0.58	K ₂ O	1.84	0.04
Al ₂ O ₃	16.74	0.22	TiO ₂	1.93	0.06
Fe ₂ O ₃	1.74	0.03	P ₂ O ₅	1.22	0.03
MgO	5.83	0.11	MnO	0.17	0.00
CaO	8.6	0.22	FeO	8.9	0.17
Na ₂ O	3.53	0.09			

Source: BSC 2003 [DIRS:166407], Table 7

Because of the small deviations from the mean, any differences arising in water chemistry from basalt water interactions with a slightly different basalt composition would be very small due to the low dissolution rates of basaltic minerals. Therefore, no change to the water chemistry from that presented for reaction with mean basalt composition is expected.

The abstracted minerals used in the simulations were derived by manipulation of the oxide chemical composition of the basalt taken from *Characterize Eruptive Processes at Yucca Mountain, Nevada* (BSC 2003 [DIRS:166407]) to reflect, as much as possible, the modal mineral composition of Crater Flat basalt, specifically, the Lathrop Wells Cone. Vaniman et al. (1982) indicates that the groundmass is primarily plagioclase, pyroxene, and olivine with olivine phenocrysts (up to 3%). Perry and Straub (1996) indicate a composition of plagioclase, olivine, titanomagnetite, and clinopyroxene with olivine and plagioclase phenocrysts. Plagioclase phenocrysts are found primarily in chronostratigraphic unit I and largely absent from chronostratigraphic units II, III, and IV (See Perry and Straub 1996 for definition of units).

The normative composition was necessary over the strictly modal composition of Lathrop Wells Cone basalt primarily due to database constraints. Some of these include:

- In the EQ6 database, not all minerals belonging to a series are included, only the endmembers.
- Mineral chemical formulas are dictated by composition in the data base (ie. even though magnetite at the Lathrop Wells Cone contains significant Ti, the database uses the formula of Fe₃O₄ in calculations.)

Several minerals were also included in the normative analysis that have not been specifically cited at the Lathrop Wells Cone. These include nepheline, sanidine, apatite, and ilmenite. The

oxide components of these minerals can all be found in other minerals or glass that are part of the groundmass. The reasons for their use in the normative are outlined in Section 6.5.3.

Since titanomagnetite is not included in the database, magnetite and ilmenite were used in its place. The use of these two minerals in EQ6 cases over titanomagnetite does not effect the abstracted results as they have no effect on the pH of the system, the Fe and Ti components being taken almost immediately into secondary minerals.

The composition of any glass within the Lathrop Wells Cone is not well documented. The K_2O and P_2O_5 used in the normative analysis for hydroxylapatite and sanidine may be contained within glass. Some basaltic glasses are known to be very resistant to dissolution while other dissolves quite readily. The durability of glass is due primarily to its composition. Without a firm knowledge of that composition, it is hard to determine the durability of glasses at the Lathrop Wells Cone.

Basalt glasses have been used in a number of references for natural analogues to the dissolution of nuclear waste glasses (as shown in Section 7.3 of BSC 2004 [DIRS: 167619]). BSC 2004 [DIRS: 167619] indicates that the rate expressions for defense HLW glass Degradation bounds the long term dissolution rates of basalt glass. The “most likely” rate presented in BSC 2004 [DIRS: 167619] for the pH range of interest (between 6.7 and 10.1 from Hearn et al., 1985 and DOE 1982) is lower than the dissolution rates of the minerals used in the EQ6 calculations. If glass composes a significant portion of the groundmass, this would effectively lower the pH of the water percolating through the basalt than that calculated in the abstraction. The maximum glass rate presented in BSC 2004 [DIRS: 167619] is within the same range of dissolution of the less durable minerals used within EQ6 calculations (such as hydroxylapatite). This may raise the pH slightly, but the exact amount is not known.

The abstraction results (using the normative minerals) provided in Table 8-2 have been validated using natural analogue studies on the chemical characteristics of basalt ground water. They are therefore considered valid and appropriate for use.

The base-case water type reacted with the basalt was Bin 8 seepage water from DTN: MO0310SPAEBSCB.003. To determine the effects that other water types had on the pH, ionic strength, and fluoride content of water reacted with basalt, the base-case EQ6 run (see Section 6.5.3.3 for definition of this run) was run with Bin 11 seepage water from DTN: MO0310SPAEBSCB.003 and J-13 well water from DTN: MO0006J13WTRCM.000. These two water types represent seepage waters that are: 1) more concentrated, and 2) more dilute than the Bin 8 seepage water. The EQ6 output (Spreadsheet “sensitivity.xls” on Attachment III, Disc 1) shows that the values for ionic strength and pH for the three water types are very similar. Therefore, the type of water percolating through the basalt will not have an impact on the final reacted water chemistry.

The EQ6 cases for this report were run at atmospheric oxygen condition for the repository horizon ($\log f_{O_2} = -0.7$ bar). and at $\log f_{CO_2}$ between -2 and -4 bar. To use the solubility look-up table in *Dissolved Concentration Limits of Radioactive Elements* (BSC 2003 [DIRS:163152]), a constant value for these parameters must be used for any abstraction.

6.6.2.2 Uncertainty in EQ6 Outputs

The water chemistry parameters required for use in TSPA are temporal values for pH, ionic strength, and fluoride content. As pointed out in Section 6.7.2, many EQ6 simulations of basalt/water interactions show no change in fluoride content. Therefore, the fluoride content of the aqueous fluid is dependent solely on the content that is already in the fluid as it contacts the basalt. The temporal variation of pH and ionic strength along with corresponding uncertainty in the form of maximum and minimum values are presented in Table 6-11.

Values were obtained by first calculating a time-weighted average for each case in the abstraction. The minimum and maximum of these time-weighted averages are then presented in Table 6-11. Time-weighted averages were used due to the large time steps used in TSPA calculations.

Table 6-11. Temporal Maximum and Minimum Values for pH and Ionic Strength

Log fCO ₂ = -2 bar				
	pH		Ionic Strength (moles/kg)	
Time Period*	Maximum	Minimum	Maximum	Minimum
x ≤ 25 years	7.9	7.6	1.87E-02	9.64E-03
25 > x ≤ 250 years	8.1	7.8	3.59E-02	1.23E-02
250 > x ≤ 2500 years	8.1	7.8	4.00E-02	1.22E-02
2500 > x ≤ 20000 years	8.1	7.7	3.58E-02	1.15E-02
Log fCO ₂ = -2.5 bar				
	pH		Ionic Strength (moles/kg)	
Time Period*	Maximum	Minimum	Maximum	Minimum
x ≤ 25 years	8.4	8.1	2.09E-02	9.23E-03
25 > x ≤ 250 years	8.6	8.3	4.33E-02	1.31E-02
250 > x ≤ 2500 years	8.6	8.3	5.50E-02	1.29E-02
2500 > x ≤ 20000 years	8.6	8.2	4.22E-02	1.18E-02
Log fCO ₂ = -3 bar				
	pH		Ionic Strength (moles/kg)	
Time Period*	Maximum	Minimum	Maximum	Minimum
x ≤ 25 years	8.9	8.6	2.43E-02	9.14E-03
25 > x ≤ 250 years	9.0	8.8	6.85E-02	1.40E-02
250 > x ≤ 2500 years	9.1	8.8	8.85E-02	1.37E-02
2500 > x ≤ 20000 years	9.1	8.7	8.79E-02	1.19E-02
Log fCO ₂ = -3.5 bar				
	pH		Ionic Strength (moles/kg)	
Time Period*	Maximum	Minimum	Maximum	Minimum
x ≤ 25 years	9.4	9.0	3.00E-02	9.44E-03
25 > x ≤ 250 years	9.5	9.2	9.78E-02	1.67E-02
250 > x ≤ 2500 years	9.6	9.2	1.60E-01	1.62E-02
2500 > x ≤ 20000 years	9.5	9.1	9.65E-02	1.30E-02

Table 6-11 (Continued). Temporal Maximum and Minimum Values for pH and Ionic Strength

Log fCO ₂ = -4 bar				
Time Period*	pH		Ionic Strength (moles/kg)	
	Maximum	Minimum	Maximum	Minimum
x ≤ 25 years	9.7	9.4	3.42E-02	1.02E-02
25 > x ≤ 250 years	9.9	9.6	1.17E-01	1.97E-02
250 > x ≤ 2500 years	9.9	9.6	1.86E-01	1.89E-02
2500 > x ≤ 20000 years	9.9	9.6	1.07E-01	1.43E-02

Source: Calculated in Spreadsheets "abstraction-2.xls", "abstraction-25.xls", "abstraction-3.xls", "abstraction-35.xls, and "abstraction-4.xls" in Attachment III (Disc 1 and 2)

NOTE: * Time period represents time after re-establishment of seepage flow.
"x" = "time"

6.7 IMPLEMENTATION OF IGNEOUS INTRUSION MODEL FOR TSPA-LA

6.7.1 Igneous Intrusion Impacts on Zone 1 Materials

Section 6.5.1 describes the basis for the conservative conclusion that the igneous intrusion would render the drip shields, waste packages, and cladding in the Zone 1 emplacement drifts ineffective so they would provide no further protection to the waste forms. Because the waste is considered chemically unchanged in the base case model, the dissolved concentration of radioelements in water in the basalt, due to reactions with the waste, would have the same dependency on water chemistry as does waste dissolving in the nominal case. Thus, the solubility tables given in *Dissolved Concentration Limits of Radioactive Elements* (BSC 2003 [DIRS:163152]) are appropriate to determine concentrations of radionuclides in water in basalt-filled emplacement drifts.

For the waste packages in Zone 1 are enclosed within the magma body, the temperature of the internal waste forms can be considered to be the same as the temperature of the cooling magma. These values are presented in the lookup-table (Table 6-12) for magma cooling temperatures (for $T_{r=0m}$). The temperature of the invert can also be taken from Table 6-12 for temperatures at a radius of 3 meters from the center of the magma filled drift ($T_{r=3m}$). Because of the rapid change in temperature early in time, the temperatures for times between zero and the length of the TSPA time step (in years) should be sampled uniformly. The temperatures for times greater than the TSPA time step but less than 100 years should be taken directly from Table 6-12. The uncertainty in the temperature, as indicated in Section 6.6.1, is $\pm 3^\circ\text{C}$ for the temperature output. These values are warehoused in DTN: MO0402SPAHWCIG.002.

Table 6-12. Temperature of Waste Forms Inside Intruded Magma Body

Time (t) Years*	For an Intrusion into a Repository at 25°C		For an Intrusion into a Repository at 50°C		For an Intrusion into a Repository at 100°C		For an Intrusion into a Repository at 150°C		For an Intrusion into a Repository at 200°C	
	$T_{r=0m}$	$T_{r=3m}$	$T_{r=0m}$	$T_{r=3m}$	$T_{r=0m}$	$T_{r=3m}$	$T_{r=0m}$	$T_{r=3m}$	$T_{r=0m}$	$T_{r=3m}$
0	1150	1150	1150	1150	1150	1150	1150	1150	1150	1150
0.1	977	592	1006	620	1012	644	1019	668	1026	692
0.2	702	462	749	494	768	524	786	553	804	583
0.3	530	382	577	416	603	449	629	483	655	516
0.4	422	326	467	360	498	396	529	432	560	468
0.5	351	284	394	318	428	356	462	394	497	431
0.6	301	252	341	286	378	325	415	364	452	403
0.7	264	226	303	260	341	300	380	341	418	381
0.8	236	206	273	239	313	281	353	322	392	363
0.9	213	189	249	222	290	264	331	306	372	349
1	195	175	230	208	272	251	314	293	356	336
2	111	106	142	136	187	182	233	228	279	274
3	82.8	80.4	111	109	158	156	206	203	253	251
4	68.4	67.0	96.0	94.5	144	142	192	190	240	238
5	59.7	58.9	86.8	85.8	135	134	183	183	232	231
6	54.0	53.4	80.7	80.0	129	129	178	177	227	226
7	49.8	49.4	76.3	75.8	125	125	174	173	223	222
8	46.7	46.4	73.0	72.6	122	122	171	171	220	220
9	44.3	44.0	70.5	70.2	120	119	169	168	218	217
10	42.4	42.2	68.4	68.2	118	117	167	167	216	216
20	33.7	33.6	59.2	59.2	109	109	158	158	208	208
30	30.8	30.8	56.1	56.1	106	106	156	156	205	205
30	29.3	29.3	54.6	54.6	104	104	154	154	204	204
50	28.5	28.5	53.7	53.7	104	104	153	153	203	203
60	27.9	27.9	53.1	53.1	103	103	153	153	203	203
70	27.5	27.5	52.6	52.6	103	103	152	152	202	202
80	27.2	27.2	52.3	52.3	102	102	152	152	202	202
90	26.9	26.9	52.0	52.0	102	102	152	152	202	202
100	26.7	26.7	51.8	51.8	102	102	152	152	202	202

Source: Calculated in spreadsheets in Attachment III (Disc 1, "Heat" folder)

NOTES: $T_{r=0}$ is the centerline temperature and $T_{r=3}$ is the temperature at the edge of the dike.

*Time (t) represents time from the igneous intrusive event.

6.7.2 Crown Seepage Reaction with Cooled Basalt

The chemistry of water seeping into Zone 1 drifts is considered to be the same as if there had been no intrusion. However, the chemistry of the incoming seepage may be affected by basalt-water reactions within the drift. The effects of these reactions on a typical crown seepage water have been modeled (Section 6.5.3). Table 6-11 in Section 6.6.2.2 shows the abstracted values for minimum and maximum pH and ionic strength. A comparative water type for use in validation of

ionic strength values derived by EQ6 could not be found for values of ionic strength. Since these upper values of ionic strength cannot be validated, this model recommends using the upper bound of waste form colloid stability presented in *Waste Form and In-Drift Colloids-Associated Radionuclide Concentrations: Abstraction and Summary* (BSC 2003 [DIRS: 166845], Table 17) in cases where the maximum ionic strength exceeds 0.05 moles/kg. Since this value (0.05 moles/kg) represents the upper bound at which waste colloids are stable, it is conservative in that it allows colloids to remain stable. These values are presented in Table 8-2. The output water chemistry parameters ionic strength and pH are warehoused in DTN: MO0402SPAHWCIG.002.

The values presented in Tables 8-2 (from Table 6-11) do not represent an absolute range, but the range of values for the parameters expressed in Section 6.6.2.1. If those ranges were slightly modified, it is expected that the pH and ionic strength values may change slightly. Therefore, it is recommended that TSPA sample uniformly between the minimum and maximum values in Table 8-2.

Fluoride content of the water in contact with basalt is listed as one of the outputs of this model in *Technical Work Plan for Waste Form Degradation Modeling, Testing, and Analyses in Support of LA* (BSC 2004 [DIRS:167796]). However, this parameter is not necessary to determine fuel dissolution rates per *Dissolved Concentration Limits of Radioactive Elements* (BSC 2003 [DIRS:163152]) where it is presented as an uncertainty. Additionally, several EQ6 cases (see Attachment III, Disc 1 and 2, for output files) show that concentration can decrease from precipitation of mineral phases that contain fluorine (F). However, this is highly dependent on the phosphorus content of the fluid. Many EQ6 simulations of basalt/water interactions show no change in fluoride content. Therefore, no abstraction of this parameter was completed. Should fluoride content be of future interest, the fluoride content used should be the initial fluoride content of the water entering the drift.

The EQ6 simulations of basalt/water interactions were carried out at atmospheric oxygen condition ($\log f_{O_2} = -0.7$ bar) and $\log CO_2$ fugacity values between -2 and -4 bar.

As the seepage water percolates through the basalt, the water would contact with waste forms. Formation of secondary mineral phases (commonly called rind) develop on the waste forms making the radionuclides available for release. Although rind volumes were not specifically calculated in this model report, it is important to discuss how the rind volume from nominal case scenarios relates to the igneous intrusion scenario. The rind volume for the commercial spent nuclear fuel waste form is described in *Clad Degradation – Summary and Abstraction for LA* (BSC 2003 [DIRS:162153]). This model accounts for rind volume of commercial spent nuclear fuel in both nominal and volcanic cases. In the volcanic case, no credit is taken for cladding so that the waste form rind volume is essentially modeled from the bare fuel. Rind volume for high level waste glass is discussed in *Defense HLW Glass Degradation Model* (BSC 2004 [DIRS:167619]). In this model report, the rind volume is obtained using bare glass logs without any protection from the steel glass pour canister. Since glass waste was modeled the same as the commercial spent nuclear fuel for the volcanic case, the rind volume for the glass is also considered appropriate for use in the igneous intrusion event. For the specifics on the rind volume and how they should be applied to the TSPA, refer to the individual model reports cited here: *Clad Degradation – Summary and Abstraction for LA* (BSC 2003 [DIRS:162153]) and *Defense HLW Glass Degradation Model* (BSC 2004 [DIRS:167619]).

In some instances, TSPA may need pH and ionic strength values for a range of parameters that are outside the range of validity of this model. These parameters include flux and log $f\text{CO}_2$. In the case of flux, it was observed in EQ6 calculations (see "rate comparison.xls" in Attachment III) that when a flux rate of 50 mm/year or greater was obtained, the output water composition was within 0.08 pH units and $1.15\text{E-}03$ moles/kg of the original pH and ionic strength values (i.e. Time=0 values). For this reason, a flux rate of 50 mm/year is chosen for TSPA as the point where the pH and ionic strength of the water (equilibrated with atmospheric gas levels) percolating into the basalt block equals the pH and the ionic strength of the water leaving the basalt block. For flux rates less than 50 mm/year, the values from Table 8-2 can be used. As shown in *Dissolved Concentration Limits of Radioactive Elements* (BSC 2003 [DIRS:163152]), the two most important parameters affecting the solubility of radioelements include pH and $f\text{CO}_2$. Since pH decreases with increasing flux rate, using the values for flux between 0.4 and 11.7 mm/year for any cases where the flux is below 50 mm/year is conservative since the radionuclides will be more soluble at the higher pH values shown in Table 8-2.

Convergence difficulties arise in EQ6 calculations carried out at high pH and low CO_2 levels. For this reason, the model includes log $f\text{CO}_2$ values only from -2 to -4 bar. For TSPA calculations where the log $f\text{CO}_2$ is between -4 and -5 bar, it is recommended that the values in Table 8-2 for log $f\text{CO}_2$ of -4 bar be used. Natural analogues (Hearn et al., 1985 and DOE 1982) show that the pH of water in contact with basalt (depending on the CO_2 levels) can be between 6.7 and 10.1 with the majority of data showing pH values between 8.5 to almost 10. Ionic strength is shown between $1.8\text{E-}02$ to a minimum of $7.4\text{E-}03$ moles/kg. Therefore the pH and ionic strength for log $f\text{CO}_2$ between -4 and -5 bar should, realistically, not be much greater than those presented in Table 8-2. For the upper end of the log $f\text{CO}_2$ range used by TSPA (-1.7 bar), TSPA calculations should use the log $f\text{CO}_2$ values at -2 bar.

7. MODEL VALIDATION

The purpose of this process model is to assess the potential impacts of an igneous intrusion on waste packages and waste forms, including dynamic, thermal, hydrologic, and chemical impacts. This assessment constitutes the waste package and waste form impacts submodel of the TSPA-LA model assessing the impacts of a potential igneous intrusion event on system performance.

Technical Work Plan for Waste Form Degradation Modeling, Testing, and Analyses in Support of LA (BSC 2004 [DIRS:167796]) lists eight criteria, as required by AP-SIII.10Q, Section 5.4, to be used to determine whether the required level of confidence has been documented (BSC 2004 [DIRS:167796], Section 2.1.4.3).

The criteria from the technical work plan (BSC 2004 [DIRS:167796]) used to validate this model (in Section 7.1) are as follows:

Criterion One: Corroboration of model results with data acquired from the laboratory, field experiments, analog studies, or other relevant observations, not previously used to develop or calibrate the model.

Criterion Three: Corroboration with data published in referenced journals or literature.

Criterion Eight: Demonstration that the model is conservative

The technical work plan (BSC 2004 [DIRS:167796]) defines the level of confidence by the number of criteria met to validate the model. At least one criterion must be met to show a required low level of confidence has been satisfied. High confidence is satisfied when more than one criterion is satisfied. As indicated in Section 2.1.4.4 of the technical work plan (BSC 2004 [DIRS:167796]), the Igneous Intrusion Impacts Model requires a medium level of confidence and, therefore, it is required to satisfy at least two criteria for model validation. The technical work plan (BSC 2004 [DIRS:167796]) Section 2.1.4.4 states that criteria 1 and 3 dealing with corroboration by laboratory analog, or field data and corroboration with published data are appropriate criteria for this model report. In addition, Criterion 8 is also applicable and will be discussed.

7.1 CORROBORATION

Have the model results been corroborated by data or other relevant observations that were not used to develop or calibrate the model?

In the assessment of potential impact of an igneous intrusion on the waste packages and waste forms in Zone 1 emplacement drifts, it was shown that the drip shields, cladding, and waste packages would be damaged, and fail to provide protection for the waste forms. The confidence in this conservative approach is augmented by corroborative information. Consideration of maximum service temperatures of Stainless Steel Type 304 and 316 (925°C, Davison et al. 1987) and of Alloy 22 (about 1200°C, Mankins and Lamb, 1998), suggest that, although the intrusive temperature (1150°C) is lower than the melting points of stainless steel, the structural integrity of these engineered materials can be diminished upon an intrusive event. The intrusive temperature is right at the maximum service temperature of Alloy 22. Softening, creeping, and breaking down of engineered materials are expected at the intrusive temperature.

Yau and Webster (1987) indicate that zirconium forms a very protective ZrO_2 film. However, above temperatures of 700°C, it will absorb oxygen and become brittle. Hydrogen absorption can begin at 760°C also causing the metal to become brittle. Zirconium is also unstable in gases (such as CO , CO_2 , and SO_2) at temperatures above 400°C and in halides at about 200°C. Therefore in the presence of corrosives at high magmatic temperatures, zirconium will become highly corroded.

Results of magma-metal/alloys compatibility tests, conducted in a volatile-rich rhyolite magma at 850°C and 15-200 MPa pressures for durations of 1 hour to 30 days show trends of metal corrosion and on the reaction of metals in contact with a magma, and on the formation of secondary phases. Although the volatile content and temperature of intrusive basaltic magma at Yucca Mountain are different from those considered in the tests, the test results are used to demonstrate the possibility of reaction of metals at the metal-magma contacts. Some pertinent results of the tests outlined by Westrich 1990 include formation of Fe, Cr, and Mn oxides (e.g., magnetite- Fe_3O_4), silicates (e.g., fayalite- Fe_2SiO_4), and aluminum oxides (e.g., hercynite- $FeAl_2O_4$) and mobilization of sulfur from magma and steels.

The tests were conducted for periods ranging from several minutes to 22 days under conditions similar to the environment of a shallow rhyolitic magma (850°C and 100 MPa). The preliminary results for high carbon steel, under vapor saturated conditions, indicated the initial growth of large euhedral crystals of fayalite (Fe_2SiO_4) outward from the magma-metal interfaces followed by growth of two spinel phases and initial phases of hercynite (FeAl_2O_4) and magnetite (Fe_3O_4). The results of the runs of longer duration showed continued growth of magnetite. The tests have also indicated that high carbon steel showed a zone of sulfidation beneath the zone of Fe oxides.

For the reaction of seepage water with the cooled basalt body, EQ6 indicates that the pH of the water is between 7.6 and 9.9. This is highly dependent on the pCO_2 of the system. Higher pCO_2 values show pH values between 7.6 and 8.6. Values between 9.0 and 9.6 are obtained with a log pCO_2 of -3.5 and pH values between 9.4 to 9.9 can be obtained with a log pCO_2 of -4. The pH values correspond to those obtained from groundwater taken from different basalt units within the Columbia Plateau, Washington. Hearn et al., 1985 indicate pH values between 6.7 and 9.3 and DOE 1982 indicates pH values between 7.6 and 10.1 with a mean of 8.1 for these basalt units. More specifically, Gislason and Eugster (1987) carried out laboratory studies on the reaction of meteoric waters with basalt. They show that at higher pCO_2 values, the pH of the system tends to be near neutral (between 7 and 8) while those where pCO_2 was limited had much higher pH waters (between 9 and 10). At higher pCO_2 values, the buffering capacity of aqueous CO_2 dominates. However, when CO_2 is diminished, the buffering capacity is controlled by aqueous silica and carbon species, allowing the pH to rise between 9 and 10 (Gislason and Eugster (1987)).

Minimum ionic strength values calculated with EQ6 are between $9.14\text{E-}03$ and $1.97\text{E-}02$ moles/kg. The ionic strength indicated in DOE 1982 for one water is between a maximum of $1.8\text{E-}02$ to a minimum of $7.4\text{E-}03$ moles/kg. Ionic strength calculated from the reported ions in various waters range from about $6.0\text{E-}03$ to almost $3.0\text{E-}02$ moles/kg. These values also agree with the values obtained from ionic species in White et al. 1980 and Hem 1985. The minimum values for ionic strength are in good agreement with the values obtained from DOE 1982, White et al. 1980, and Hem 1986. The maximum values obtained by EQ6 cannot be validated by corroboration with natural analogues. Instead, the maximum values recommended for use in TSPA in Table 8-2 are validated in Section 7.2.

For the heat calculation, the results are corroborated by the results from the *Dike/Drift Interactions* (BSC 2003 [DIRS: 165923]). The Dike/Drift model shows that the values for the thermal properties of the magma and tuff may vary from those of newer measurements, which might differ by up to 10 percent. Calculations based on varying these properties by 10 percent (with the exception of the initial magma temperature) shows that each property varied accounts for only ~3 percent of the change in calculated temperatures. With respect to the thermal effects on neighbor drifts (~81 m distant), a slight thermal pulse (~1°C) might be felt after 60 years of cooling. After 500 years of cooling, the magma-filled drift and surrounding tuff has cooled to within 0.5°C of the initial temperature (30°C). These results are consistent with this model and therefor validate it.

In summary, model validation shows that the model predictions have been corroborated, where necessary, with pertinent natural and industrial analogs, published journal articles/literature and

experimental results and the criterion have been met. Therefore, the level of confidence required for this model has been obtained.

7.2 CONSERVATISM

Has the model been validated by demonstrating that it is conservative?

Given the complex nature of the hypothetical igneous intrusion event and associated processes, the prediction of impacts on waste packages and waste forms is difficult to quantify. The impact assessment, model simulations, and analyses are based on conservative assumptions and bounding parameter values, and augmented by corroborative natural and industrial analogs and experimental results. Consequently, confidence in the model and its results is increased. In this assessment, bounding conditions associated with basalt intrusion are considered. The drip shield, cladding, and waste packages in Zone 1 fail to provide protection for the waste forms after the intrusion (see Section 6.5.1). Bounding parameters including a high temperature of 1150°C, viscosity of 2.678 log poise units, density of 2663 kg/m³, and rapid ascent flux (1×10^6 kg s⁻¹ m⁻¹ or volumetric velocity of 2.15×10^3 m³ s⁻¹) of magma.

For modeling the chemical interaction of seepage water with basalt, a conservative approach was taken. For example, since the nature and extent of fracturing of the solidifying basalt are unknown and there are no data on the permeability of the intruding basalt, the basalt in the intersected drifts was assumed to have permeability at least as great as the drift wall rock (see Section 5.1). This assumption is conservative because, it ensures that the cooled magma does not act as a barrier to the flow of seepage water from the rock above the drifts to the waste forms after reversion of the system to normal conditions. The degree to which the seepage water equilibrates during its movement through the basalt is unknown, although some degree of chemical interaction based equilibration is expected.

The ionic strength of water from different basalt units is discussed in Section 7.1. Calculated ionic strength was dependent on the available data on ionic species from basalt waters. EQ6 shows that as the pH increases, the ionic strength increases, the highest ionic strength values occurring at the highest pH (correlating with the lowest CO₂ values). At higher pH values, many more carbonate and silica species will be present in solution. These ions are not reported in the references cited for validation in Section 7.1. It is therefore reasonable that at higher pH values (lower CO₂), that the ionic strength is higher than that represented in Section 7.1. Therefore, this model recommends using the upper bound of waste colloid stability presented *Waste Form and In-Drift Colloids-Associated Radionuclide Concentrations: Abstraction and Summary* (BSC 2003 [DIRS: 166845], Table 17) in cases where the maximum ionic strength exceeds 0.05 moles/kg. Since this value (0.05 moles/kg) represents the upper bound at which waste colloids are stable, it is conservative in that it allows colloids to exist.

In summary, model validation shows that the model is conservative and this corroborative criterion has been met.

The model has met the criterion for a medium level of confidence per the technical work plan (BSC 2004 [DIRS:167796]), as discussed above in Sections 7.1 and 7.2. In addition to validation using the 3 criteria stated at the beginning of Section 7, an additional observation is

discussed for further verification and corroboration. This supporting criteria is presented in Section 7.3.

7.3 SUPPORTING CRITERIA

Has the model considered a credible scientific approach, principles and concepts?

This process model has considered probable igneous intrusion and consequential processes during intrusion, cooling of intrusion and post-cooling of intrusion. Based on these sequential processes, two sets of conceptual models, with conservative assumptions, were considered: a) Base-Case Conceptual Model and b) Alternative Conceptual Models.

The base-case conceptual model was based on the igneous intrusion event and resulting processes stated in Section 6.3.

In contrast to the base-case model scenario, several alternative conceptual models were developed to cover other possibilities that may be relevant for igneous intrusive event impacts on the waste packages and waste forms. These include the scenarios presented in Section 6.4 of this report.

Due to the large uncertainty of the parameters used in the alternative conceptual models, the models were only described briefly in Section 6.4.

In summary, model validation shows that the model considers credible scientific approaches, principles, and concepts and the corroborative criterion has been met.

8. CONCLUSIONS

The characteristics of a basaltic hypothetical igneous intrusion are given in *Characterize Eruptive Processes at Yucca Mountain, Nevada* (BSC 2003 [DIRS:166407]).

In this model, damage to waste package and waste forms from an igneous intrusion is considered in terms of two damage zones. Zone 1, comprising the entirety of the drifts intersected by the intrusion, and Zone 2, comprising all other parts of the repository. Impacts of the intrusion to waste packages and waste forms as well as analyses of the affects of heat and gas flow from Zone 1 to Zone 2 were conducted to assess the impacts to waste packages and waste forms in Zone 2. Further analysis was conducted on the change in seepage water composition that may occur after the basalt cools and seepage water flow is re-established, allowing it to react with the intruded basalt in Zone 1.

8.1 MODEL OUTPUT

The information in Section 6.5.1.1 supports the use of the TSPA assumption that waste packages in Zone 1 emplacement drifts no longer provide protection for the waste forms. The waste packages and other drift materials would be enclosed by the magma. The full detail of effects of magma intrusion on waste packages and cladding are not known. However, these results are

conservative because, in this approach, no credit is taken for any residual waste-shielding effects of remaining waste package or cladding material.

The impacts of magmatic heat conduction on the waste packages in Zone 2 emplacement drifts was modeled. Temperatures were modeled by numerical simulations of non-steady state heat conduction with radial flow.

The simulation modeling of heat conduction away from the intruded drifts demonstrates that the initial 1150°C temperature reduces to about 30°C at the center of the drift in about 30 years, and the maximum temperature rise expected in the Zone 2 emplacement drifts is less than 1°C. The drift-rock in Zone 2 provides an effective thermal insulation barrier to the impacts of high temperature of the intruded magma, and there would not be any impact of igneous heat on the waste packages in Zone 2 emplacement drifts.

Thermal calculations show that the effects of intrusions on Zone 2 would be negligible. BSC 2003 [DIRS: 165923] shows that the gases that compose the majority of the exsolved gases (H₂O, CO₂, and SO₂) of the gas front are significantly retarded so that they have no effect on Zone 2 emplacement drifts. Therefore, it is assumed (Section 5.4) that other corrosive gases such as HF and HCl, which are present in minor quantities in the gas front, are also retarded. Therefore, TSPA-LA treatment of the barrier capability of waste packages in Zone 2 emplacement drifts should be the same as that under the nominal scenario.

As the waste packages are expected to be within the magma body, then the temperature of waste forms can be considered the same as the temperature of the cooling magma. These values are presented in the lookup-table (Table 8-1) for magma cooling temperatures (for $T_r = 0m$). The temperature of the invert can also be taken from Table 8-1 for temperatures of the magma body at a radius of 3 meters from the center of the magma filled drift ($T_{r=3m}$). Because of the rapid change in temperature early in time, the temperatures for times between zero and the length of the TSPA time step (in years) should be sampled uniformly. The temperatures for times greater than the TSPA time step but less than 100 years should be taken directly from Table 8-1. The uncertainty in the temperature, as indicated in Section 6.6.1, is $\pm 3^\circ\text{C}$ sampled as a uniform distribution. These values are warehoused in DTN: MO0402SPAHWCIG.002.

Processes accompanying magma intrusion and cooling may influence environmental conditions affecting radionuclide release from Zone 1. These processes include physical damage and disruption of the drift walls, formation of a contact metamorphic aureole and the filling of the drift with solid basalt. Based on consideration of the properties of natural analogs of contact metamorphic aureoles and of the development of fractures in cooling basalt, it was assumed (Section 5.1) that seepage rate through the basalt-filled Zone 1 drifts would be the same as if no intrusion had occurred. *Abstraction of Drift Seepage* (BSC 2004 [DIRS:167970]) indicates that it is reasonable to use non-degraded drift water inflow as the estimated water flow after an igneous event. Additional conservatism can be added by using the seepage for a collapsed drift. For the aqueous chemistry of the in-drift environment, it is appropriate to use the non-degraded configuration.

The chemistry of the incoming seepage may be affected by basalt-water reactions within the drift. The effects of these reactions on a typical crown seepage water have been modeled in

Section 6.5.3 and the results of the abstraction for feed to TSPA are outlined in Section 6.7.2 and are reiterated below.

The values presented in Table 8-2 do not represent an absolute range, but the range of values for the parameters expressed in Section 6.6.2.1. If those ranges were slightly modified, it is expected that the pH and ionic strength values may change slightly. Therefore, it is recommended that TSPA sample uniformly between the minimum and maximum values in Table 8-2. These values are warehoused in DTN: MO0402SPAHCIG.002.

The model recommends using the upper bound of waste colloid stability presented in *Waste Form and In-Drift Colloids-Associated Radionuclide Concentrations: Abstraction and Summary* (BSC 2003 [DIRS: 166845], Table 17) in cases where the maximum ionic strength exceeds 0.05 moles/kg. Since this value (0.05 moles/kg) represents the upper bound at which waste colloids are stable, it is conservative in that it allows colloids to remain stable. Setting the maximum ionic strength to 0.05 moles/kg has no effect on how TSPA will use this information in conjunction with *Dissolved Concentration Limits of Radioactive Elements* (BSC 2003 [DIRS:163152]) since the model indicates that ionic strength must be above 1 mole/kg before this factor begins to affect dissolution of waste forms.

Fluoride content of the water in contact with basalt is listed as one of the outputs of this model in *Technical Work Plan for Waste Form Degradation Modeling, Testing, and Analyses in Support of LA* (BSC 2004 [DIRS:167796]). However, this parameter is not necessary to determine fuel dissolution rates per *Dissolved Concentration Limits of Radioactive Elements* (BSC 2003 [DIRS:163152]) where it is presented as an uncertainty. Additionally, several EQ6 cases (see Attachment III, Disc 1 and 2, for output files) show that concentration can decrease from precipitation of mineral phases that contain fluorine (F). However, this is highly dependent on the phosphorus content of the fluid. Many EQ6 simulations of basalt/water interactions show no change in fluoride content. Therefore, no abstraction of this parameter was completed. Should fluoride content be of future interest, the fluoride content used should be the initial fluoride content of the water entering the drift.

Water seeping through the cooled, fractured basalt would contact the spent fuel and waste and begin to dissolve them. The solubility of the waste forms would depend on the chemistry of the water as described in *Dissolved Concentration Limits of Radioactive Elements* (BSC 2003 [DIRS:163152]). This report gives tables of the solubilities of elements with safety-relevant radionuclides over a range of values of pH and $f\text{CO}_2$. Variations in other water chemistry parameters including temperature and fluoride concentrations are included in the uncertainties associated with the solubilities.

As the seepage water percolates through the basalt and comes into contact with the waste forms, it may form secondary mineral phases (commonly called rind) on the waste forms making the radionuclides available for release. Instructions for the use of these rinds in the TSPA-LA can be found in Section 6.7.2. These instructions dictate that the TSPA-LA should refer to the individual model reports cited here: *Clad Degradation – Summary and Abstraction for LA* (BSC 2003 [DIRS:162153]) and *Defense HLW Glass Degradation Model* (BSC 2004 [DIRS:167619]). See Section 6.7.2 for more information.

Table 8-1. Look-Up Tables for Temperature of Waste Forms

Time (t) Years*	For an Intrusion into a Repository at 25°C		For an Intrusion into a Repository at 50°C		For an Intrusion into a Repository at 100°C		For an Intrusion into a Repository at 150°C		For an Intrusion into a Repository at 200°C	
	$T_{r=0m}$	$T_{r=3m}$	$T_{r=0m}$	$T_{r=3m}$	$T_{r=0m}$	$T_{r=3m}$	$T_{r=0m}$	$T_{r=3m}$	$T_{r=0m}$	$T_{r=3m}$
0	1150	1150	1150	1150	1150	1150	1150	1150	1150	1150
0.1	977	592	1006	620	1012	644	1019	668	1026	692
0.2	702	462	749	494	768	524	786	553	804	583
0.3	530	382	577	416	603	449	629	483	655	516
0.4	422	326	467	360	498	396	529	432	560	468
0.5	351	284	394	318	428	356	462	394	497	431
0.6	301	252	341	286	378	325	415	364	452	403
0.7	264	226	303	260	341	300	380	341	418	381
0.8	236	206	273	239	313	281	353	322	392	363
0.9	213	189	249	222	290	264	331	306	372	349
1	195	175	230	208	272	251	314	293	356	336
2	111	106	142	136	187	182	233	228	279	274
3	82.8	80.4	111	109	158	156	206	203	253	251
4	68.4	67.0	96.0	94.5	144	142	192	190	240	238
5	59.7	58.9	86.8	85.8	135	134	183	183	232	231
6	54.0	53.4	80.7	80.0	129	129	178	177	227	226
7	49.8	49.4	76.3	75.8	125	125	174	173	223	222
8	46.7	46.4	73.0	72.6	122	122	171	171	220	220
9	44.3	44.0	70.5	70.2	120	119	169	168	218	217
10	42.4	42.2	68.4	68.2	118	117	167	167	216	216
20	33.7	33.6	59.2	59.2	109	109	158	158	208	208
30	30.8	30.8	56.1	56.1	106	106	156	156	205	205
30	29.3	29.3	54.6	54.6	104	104	154	154	204	204
50	28.5	28.5	53.7	53.7	104	104	153	153	203	203
60	27.9	27.9	53.1	53.1	103	103	153	153	203	203
70	27.5	27.5	52.6	52.6	103	103	152	152	202	202
80	27.2	27.2	52.3	52.3	102	102	152	152	202	202
90	26.9	26.9	52.0	52.0	102	102	152	152	202	202
100	26.7	26.7	51.8	51.8	102	102	152	152	202	202

Source: Calculated in various spreadsheets in Attachment III (Disc 1, "Heat" folder)

NOTES: $T_{r=0}$ is the centerline temperature and $T_{r=3}$ is the temperature at the edge of the dike.

*Time (t) represents time from the igneous intrusive event.

Values archived in output DTN: MO0402SPAHCIG.002

Table 8-2. Look-Up Table of Temporal Maximum and Minimum Values for pH and Ionic Strength for Use in TSPA-LA

Log fCO ₂ = -2 bar				
	pH		Ionic Strength (moles/kg)	
Time Period*	Maximum	Minimum	Maximum	Minimum
x ≤ 25 years	7.9	7.6	1.87E-02	9.64E-03
25 > x ≤ 250 years	8.1	7.8	3.59E-02	1.23E-02
250 > x ≤ 2500 years	8.1	7.8	4.00E-02	1.22E-02
2500 > x ≤ 20000 years	8.1	7.7	3.58E-02	1.15E-02
Log fCO ₂ = -2.5 bar				
	pH		Ionic Strength (moles/kg)	
Time Period*	Maximum	Minimum	Maximum	Minimum
x ≤ 25 years	8.4	8.1	2.09E-02	9.23E-03
25 > x ≤ 250 years	8.6	8.3	4.33E-02	1.31E-02
250 > x ≤ 2500 years	8.6	8.3	5.00E-02	1.29E-02
2500 > x ≤ 20000 years	8.6	8.2	4.22E-02	1.18E-02
Log fCO ₂ = -3 bar				
	pH		Ionic Strength (moles/kg)	
Time Period*	Maximum	Minimum	Maximum	Minimum
x ≤ 25 years	8.9	8.6	2.43E-02	9.14E-03
25 > x ≤ 250 years	9.0	8.8	5.00E-02	1.40E-02
250 > x ≤ 2500 years	9.1	8.8	5.00E-02	1.37E-02
2500 > x ≤ 20000 years	9.1	8.7	5.00E-02	1.19E-02
Log fCO ₂ = -3.5 bar				
	pH		Ionic Strength (moles/kg)	
Time Period*	Maximum	Minimum	Maximum	Minimum
x ≤ 25 years	9.4	9.0	3.00E-02	9.44E-03
25 > x ≤ 250 years	9.5	9.2	5.00E-02	1.67E-02
250 > x ≤ 2500 years	9.6	9.2	5.00E-02	1.62E-02
2500 > x ≤ 20000 years	9.5	9.1	5.00E-02	1.30E-02
Log fCO ₂ = -4 bar				
	pH		Ionic Strength (moles/kg)	
Time Period*	Maximum	Minimum	Maximum	Minimum
x ≤ 25 years	9.7	9.4	3.42E-02	1.02E-02
25 > x ≤ 250 years	9.9	9.6	5.00E-02	1.97E-02
250 > x ≤ 2500 years	9.9	9.6	5.00E-02	1.89E-02
2500 > x ≤ 20000 years	9.9	9.6	5.00E-02	1.43E-02

NOTE: * Time period represents time after re-establishment of seepage flow.

Values archived in output DTN: MO0402SPAHCIG.002

In some instances, TSPA may need pH and ionic strength values for a range of parameters that are outside the range of validity of this model. These parameters include flux and Log fCO₂. In the case of flux, it was observed in EQ6 calculations (See "rate comparison.xls" in Attachment

III) that after a flux rate of 50 mm/year was obtained, the output water composition was within 0.8 pH units and $1.15\text{E-}03$ moles/kg of the original pH and ionic strength values (i.e. Time=0 values). For this reason, a flux rate of 50 mm/year is chosen for TSPA as the point where the pH and ionic strength of the water (equilibrated with atmospheric gas levels) flowing into the basalt block equals the pH and the ionic strength of the water leaving the basalt block. For flux rates less than 50 mm/year, the values from Table 8-2 can be used. As shown in *Dissolved Concentration Limits of Radioactive Elements* (BSC 2003 [DIRS:163152]), the two most important parameters affecting the solubility of radioelements include pH and $f\text{CO}_2$. Since pH decreases with increasing flux rate, using the values for flux between 0.4 and 11.7 mm/year for any cases where the flux is below 50 mm/year is conservative since the radionuclides will be more soluble at the higher pH values shown in Table 8-2.

Convergence difficulties arise in EQ6 calculations carried out at high pH and low CO_2 levels. For this reason, the model includes log $f\text{CO}_2$ values only from -2 to -4 bar. For TSPA calculations where the log $f\text{CO}_2$ is between -4 and -5 bar, it is recommended that the values in Table 8-2 for log $f\text{CO}_2$ of -4 bar be used. Natural analogues (Hearn et al., 1985 and DOE 1982) show that the pH of water in contact with basalt (depending on the CO_2 levels) can be between 6.7 and 10.1 with the majority of data showing pH values of 8.5 to almost 10. Ionic strength is shown between $1.8\text{E-}02$ to a minimum of $7.4\text{E-}03$ moles/kg. Therefore the pH and ionic strength for log $f\text{CO}_2$ between -4 and -5 bar should, realistically, not be much greater than those presented in Table 8-2. For the upper end of the CO_2 range used by TSPA (-1.7 bar), TSPA calculations should use the log $f\text{CO}_2$ values at -2 bar.

8.2 OUTPUT UNCERTAINTY

8.2.1 Heat Flow Calculation

Uncertainties from various sources have been addressed in this model report (Attachment I and Section 6.6.1). These include thermal conductivity, grain density, specific heat capacity, matrix porosity, saturation, lithophysal porosity, and initial temperature. Of these, it was shown that only the initial temperature had any effect. The uncertainty in the temperature, as indicated in Section 6.6.1, is $\pm 3^\circ\text{C}$ for the temperature output.

8.2.2 EQ6 Calculations

Uncertainties from various sources have been addressed in this model report (Section 6.6.2). These include pore fraction, reactive surface area of materials, flow rate of water through the basalt, saturation, composition of oxides that make up the basalt, CO_2 and O_2 fugacity, and type of water flowing through the basalt. The uncertainty in the output for reaction of basalt and seepage waters is summarized in Table 6-11.

8.3 RESTRICTIONS

As discussed in Section 6.6.2, the pH and ionic strength values developed in this model report for reaction of seepage water and basalt are valid for certain parameter ranges. These are provided in Table 8-3.

Table 8.3. Valid Range of pH and Ionic Strength Reported in This Model Report

Parameter	Value or Range of Values Used
Pore fraction	0.13 through 0.17
Flow rate (flux)	0.4mm/year through 11.7 mm/year
Surface area	All surfaces are available and 10% of all surfaces available
Saturation	0.1 through 1.0
Log f_{CO_2}	-2 through -4 bar
Log f_{O_2}	-0.7 bar

The end of Section 8.1 describes how this model is to be used in TSPA should values of flow rate and f_{CO_2} values are outside the range presented in Table 8-3.

8.4 YUCCA MOUNTAIN REVIEW PLAN ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA

The purpose of the Waste Package and Waste Form Impacts model (TSPA-LA submodel) is to provide an analytical framework to evaluate the potential effects of a hypothetical, future basaltic igneous intrusion that intersects the repository. Of specific interest are potentially deleterious dynamic, thermal, hydrologic, and chemical impacts that could result from the intrusion of a basaltic dike into one or more repository drifts. The TSPA-LA approach for implementing the models for waste package and waste form response during and following an igneous intrusion is based on the identification of two damage zones. Zone 1 includes the emplacement drift intruded by the basalt dike. Zone 2 includes the emplacement drifts adjacent to Zone 1 but not directly intersected by a dike. The model describes:

- Impacts of magma intrusion on components of engineered barrier system (drip shields, cladding and waste packages) in Zone 1, and the fate of waste forms
- Impacts of intrusion-related thermal conduction/convection and migrating magmatic gases on the drip shields, cladding and waste packages in Zone 2 emplacement drifts, adjacent to the intruded drift
- Impacts of intrusion on in-drift thermal and geochemical environments, including seepage hydrochemistry, which may affect the release, and fate and transport of radionuclides.

The following description identifies information in this report that addresses acceptance criteria and/or review methods from *Yucca Mountain Review Plan, Final Report* (NRC 2003, Section 2.2.1.3.2.3) that are related to the integrated sub-issue of mechanical disruption of engineered barriers.

Acceptance Criterion 1: System description and model integration are adequate

The objectives for modeling magma-waste package/waste form interactions are described in Section 6.1 of the model report. The main objective of this model is to assess the potential impacts of an igneous intrusion on waste package and waste form performance, including:

- Mechanical and thermal impacts of basalt magma intrusion on the waste packages and waste forms of the intersected emplacement drifts of zone
 - Temperature and pressure trends of basaltic magma intrusion intersecting the Zone 1 and their potential effects on waste packages and waste forms in Zone 2 emplacement drifts
 - Geochemical conditions, due to basaltic magma intrusion intersecting the Zone 1 drift(s), and their potential effects on waste packages and waste forms in Zones 1 and 2
 - Deleterious volatile gases from the intruded basalt magma and their potential effects on waste packages of Zone 2 emplacement drifts
 - Post-intrusive physical-chemical environment and seepage water alteration by reaction with intruded basalt.
- (1) Total system performance assessment adequately incorporates important design features, physical phenomena, and couplings, and uses consistent and appropriate assumptions throughout the mechanical disruption of engineered barrier abstraction process.

The report documents the base-case conceptual model (Section 6.3) for magma-waste package/waste form interactions and alternative conceptual models (Section 6.4). Results from exercise of the base-case model are described in Section 6.5. Impacts on waste packages in Zone 1 are described in Section 6.5.1.1 and impacts on waste forms in Zone 1 are discussed in Section 6.5.1.2. The numerical simulations of heat flow around Zone 1 are described in Section 6.5.2.1. Results of the heat flow modeling are described in Section 6.5.2.1.1. Gas flow is described in Section 6.5.2.2. The results indicate the waste packages in Zone 2 would not be affected by heat conducted from magma in drifts in Zone 1.

Based on the description in Sections 6.5.2.1 and 6.5.2.2, there is little basis or need to define damage Zone 2 (as described in TSPA-SR) peripheral to Zone 1 for TSPA-LA.

Section 6.5.3 describes EQ6 modeling of post-intrusion geochemical interactions of seepage water with basalt (Zone 1) after cooling of the basalt.

- (2) The description of geological and engineering aspects of design features, physical phenomena, and couplings that may affect mechanical disruption of engineered barriers is adequate. For example, the description may include materials used in the construction of engineered barrier components, environmental effects (e.g., temperature, water chemistry, humidity, radiation, etc.) on these materials, and mechanical failure processes and concomitant failure criteria used to assess the performance capabilities of these materials. Conditions and assumptions in the abstraction of mechanical disruption of engineered barriers are readily identified and consistent with the body of data presented in the description.

Properties of the basalt are described in Section 4.1.2 and presented in Tables 4-3 through 4-6. The material properties of the basalt are used to model changes in water that contacts the basalt.

Numerical simulation of heat flow around Zone 1 emplacement drifts is described in Section 6.5.2, and the simulation results indicate that the increase in temperatures in the surrounding drifts (in Zone 2) is small ($< 10^{\circ}\text{C}$). This result is interpreted to show that the rocks provide an effective thermal barrier (insulation) and would limit the effects from a high temperature intrusion of basaltic magma. Hence, the results indicate that waste packages in Zone 2 would not be affected by heat transfer from Zone 1 drifts.

- (3) The abstraction of mechanical disruption of engineered barriers uses assumptions, technical bases, data, and models that are appropriate and consistent with other related U.S. Department of Energy abstractions. For example, assumptions used for mechanical disruption of engineered barriers are consistent with the abstraction of degradation of engineered barriers (Section 2.2.1.3.1 of *Yucca Mountain Review Plan, Final Report* [NRC 2003]). The descriptions and technical bases provide transparent and traceable support for the abstraction of mechanical disruption of engineered barriers.

This model report documents the development of the conceptual models, model enumeration, and simulations of interactions between basaltic magma, and waste packages and waste forms in emplacement drifts intersected by a dike and adjacent to the intersected drifts. The material properties of the basalt (from Section 4.1.2) are used to model changes in water that contacts basalt.

Inputs for heat flow calculations and model simulations are described in Section 4.1.1. Inputs for EQ6 simulation of water/basalt reaction hydrochemistry are described in Section 4.1.2.

Documentation of assumptions specific to the intrusive event and its effects on waste packages and waste forms is provided in Section 5.

- (4) Boundary and initial conditions used in the total system performance assessment abstraction of mechanical disruption of engineered barriers are propagated throughout the abstraction approaches.

Basalt properties are described in Section 4.1.2 and presented in Tables 4-3 through 4-6. The material properties of the basalt are used to model changes in water that contacts the basalt. Inputs for heat flow calculations and model simulations are described in Section 4.1.1. Inputs for EQ6 simulation of water/basalt reaction hydrochemistry are described in Section 4.1.2.

Documentation of assumptions specific to the intrusive event and its effects on waste packages and waste forms and EQ3/6 simulations is provided in Section 5.

- (5) Sufficient data and technical bases to assess the degree to which features, events, and processes have been included in this abstraction are provided.

FEPs that are specifically addressed by information in this model report are identified in Table 6-1. One FEP, 1.2.04.04.0A, Igneous intrusion interacts with EBS components, is addressed in this model report. The table identifies sections of the report in which disposition of the FEP is described and includes a summary of the TSPA-LA disposition.

Basically, the output of the model provides descriptions of physical and chemical conditions for application in the TSPA-LA in-drift sub-models.

- (6) The conclusion, with respect to the impact of transient criticality on the integrity of the engineered barriers, is defensible.

This model report does not address the impact of transient criticality on the integrity of the engineered barriers.

- (7) Guidance in NUREG-1297 (Altman et al. 1988a) and NUREG-1298 (Altman et al. 1988b), or other acceptable approaches, is followed.

NUREG-1297 (Altman et al. 1988a) describes the generic technical position with respect to the use of peer reviews on high-level waste repository programs. Peer review was not used in the development of the report. NUREG-1298 (Altman et al. 1988b), describes the generic technical position with respect to qualification of existing data. This report does not document the results of qualification of existing data.

Acceptance Criterion 2: Data are sufficient for model justification

- (1) Geological and engineering values, used in the license application to evaluate mechanical disruption of engineered barriers, are adequately justified. Adequate descriptions of how the data were used and appropriately synthesized into the parameters are provided.

The basalt properties are described in Section 4.1.2 and presented in Tables 4-3 through 4-6. The material properties of basalt are used to model changes in water that contacts basalt. Inputs for heat flow calculations and model simulations are described in Section 4.1.1. Inputs for EQ6 simulation of water/basalt reaction hydrochemistry are described in Section 4.1.2.

Documentation of assumptions specific to the intrusive event and its effects on waste packages and waste forms as well as EQ3/6 simulations is provided in Section 5.

- (2) Sufficient data have been collected on the geology of the natural system, engineering materials, and initial manufacturing defects to establish initial and boundary conditions for the total system performance abstraction of mechanical disruption of engineered barriers.

The basalt properties are described in Section 4.1.2 and presented in Tables 4-3 through 4-6. The material properties of basalt are used to model changes in water that contacts basalt. Inputs for heat flow calculations and model simulations are described in Section 4.1.1. Inputs for EQ6 simulation of water/basalt reaction hydrochemistry are described in Section 4.1.2.

- (3) Data on geology of the natural system, engineering materials, and initial manufacturing defects, used in the total system performance assessment abstraction, are based on appropriate techniques. These techniques may include laboratory experiments, site-specific field measurements, natural analog research, and process-level modeling studies. As appropriate, sensitivity or uncertainty analyses used to support the U.S. Department of

Energy total system performance assessment abstraction are adequate to determine the possible need for additional data.

The basalt properties are described in Section 4.1.2 and presented in Tables 4-3 through 4-6. The material properties of basalt are used to model changes in water that contacts basalt. Inputs for heat flow calculations and model simulations are described in Section 4.1.1. Inputs for EQ6 simulation of water/basalt reaction hydrochemistry are described in Section 4.1.2.

- (4) Engineered barrier mechanical failure models for disruption events are adequate. For example, these models may consider effects of prolonged exposure to the expected emplacement drift environment, material test results not specifically designed or performed for the Yucca Mountain site, and engineered barrier component fabrication flaws.

The objectives of the modeling of igneous intrusion impacts on the waste package and waste form are described in Section 6.1. The base-case conceptual model and alternative conceptual models are described, respectively, in Sections 6.3 and 6.4. Identification of the software codes used in the analysis and their applicability to the modeling of magma-waste package and magma-waste form interactions are described in Section 3.1. Section 3.1 compares the specifics of the EQ3/6 and EQ6 codes. Simulation conditions for the base-case conceptual model are described in Section 4.1.1, 4.1.2, 6.5.1, 6.5.2, and 6.5.3, and results of numerical simulations of heat flow around Zone 1 emplacement drifts filled with basalt magma are described in Section 6.5.2.1. Gas flow around Zone 1 emplacement drifts filled with basalt magma is described in Section 6.5.2.2. Results of post-intrusion geochemical interactions of seepage water with basalt are described in Section 6.5.3.

Acceptance Criterion 3: Data uncertainty is characterized and propagated through the model abstraction

Data and parameters used for the analysis of magma-waste package and magma-waste form interactions is described in Section 4.1.

- (1) Models use parameter values, assumed ranges, probability distributions, and bounding assumptions that are technically defensible, reasonably account for uncertainties, and variabilities, and do not result in an under-representation of risk.

Inputs for heat flow calculations and model simulations are described in Section 4.1.1. Inputs for EQ6 simulation of water/basalt reaction hydrochemistry are described in Section 4.1.2. The uncertainty in inputs as they are propagated in the calculation in the model is described in Section 6.6.

The representation of risk is a TSPA-LA responsibility. This report describes no results that could be used to evaluate the representation of risk from magma-drift and magma-waste package interactions.

- (2) Process-level models used to represent mechanically disruptive events within the emplacement drifts at the proposed Yucca Mountain repository are adequate. Parameter values are adequately constrained by Yucca Mountain site data, such that the estimates of

mechanically disruptive events on engineered barrier integrity are not underestimated. Parameters within conceptual models for mechanically disruptive events are consistent with the range of characteristics observed at Yucca Mountain.

The base-case conceptual model and alternative conceptual models are described, respectively, in Sections 6.3 and 6.4. Identification of the software codes used in the analysis and their applicability to the modeling of magma-waste package and magma-waste form interactions are described in Section 3.1. Section 3.1 compares the specifics of the EQ3/6 and EQ6 codes. Simulation conditions for the base-case conceptual model are described in Sections 4.1.1, 4.1.2, 6.5.1, 6.5.2, and 6.5.3, and results of numerical simulations of heat flow around Zone 1 emplacement drifts filled with basalt magma are described in Section 6.5.2.1. Flow of volatile components from a basaltic intrusion are described in Section 6.5.2.2, and results of post-intrusion geochemical interactions of seepage water with basalt are described in Section 6.5.3.

Assumptions needed to support the modeling of magma-waste package and magma-waste form interactions are described in Section 5.

Inputs for heat flow calculations and model simulations are described in Section 4.1.1. Inputs for EQ6 simulation of water/basalt reaction hydrochemistry are described in Section 4.1.2.

Modeling results are consistent with assuming that once intrusion occurs, waste packages in Zone 1 provide no further protection for the waste. However, for packages in Zone 2, Section 6.5.2.1 and 6.5.2.2 show that the intrusion will have minimal effect.

- (3) Uncertainty is adequately represented in parameter development for conceptual models, process-level models, and alternative conceptual models considered in developing the assessment abstraction of mechanical disruption of engineered barriers. This may be done either through sensitivity analyses or use of conservative limits.

Alternative conceptual models that were considered in the development of the model of igneous intrusion impacts on waste packages and waste forms are described in Section 6.4. Uncertainties in the modeling are described in Section 6.6, and specific descriptions are provided of process level uncertainties, including uncertainty in heat conduction analysis (Section 6.6.1) and uncertainty in geochemical modeling using EQ6 (Section 6.6.2). The restrictions to the use of the output data are located in Section 8.3.

Attachment I contains the verification for heat conduction and verification of the calculations used in assessing the effects of magma intrusion for regions in the repository host horizon.

- (4) Where sufficient data do not exist, the definition of parameter values and conceptual models is based on appropriate use of expert elicitation, conducted in accordance with NUREG-1563 (Kotra et al. 1996). If other approaches are used, the U.S. Department of Energy adequately justifies their use.

Expert elicitation was not used in the development of the model of igneous activity impacts on waste packages and waste forms.

Acceptance Criterion 4: Model uncertainty is characterized and propagated through the model abstraction

- (1) Alternative modeling approaches of features, events, and processes are considered and are consistent with available data and current scientific understanding, and the results and limitations are appropriately considered in the abstraction.

Alternative conceptual models that were considered in the development of the model of igneous intrusion impacts on waste packages and waste forms are described in Section 6.4. Uncertainties in the modeling are described in Section 6.6, and specific descriptions are provided of process level uncertainties, including uncertainty in heat conduction analysis (Section 6.6.1) and uncertainty in geochemical modeling using EQ6 (Section 6.6.2). The restrictions to the use of the output data are located in Section 8.3.

- (2) Consideration of conceptual model uncertainty is consistent with available site characterization data, laboratory experiments, field measurements, natural analog information and process-level modeling studies; and the treatment of conceptual model uncertainty does not result in an under-representation of the risk estimate.

Alternative conceptual models that were considered in the development of the model of igneous intrusion impacts on waste packages and waste forms are described in Section 6.4. Uncertainties in the modeling are described in Section 6.6, and specific descriptions are provided of process level uncertainties, including uncertainty in heat conduction analysis (Section 6.6.1) and uncertainty in geochemical modeling using EQ6 (Section 6.6.2). The restrictions to the use of the output data are located in Section 8.3. The documentation includes conservatism incorporated in the consideration of uncertainties and bounding values that result.

The representation of risk is a TSPA-LA responsibility. This report describes no results that could be used to evaluate the representation of risk from magma-drift and magma-waste package interactions.

- (3) Appropriate alternative modeling approaches are investigated that are consistent with available data and current scientific knowledge, and appropriately consider their results and limitations using tests and analyses that are sensitive to the processes modeled.

Alternative conceptual models that were considered in the development of the model of igneous intrusion impacts on waste packages and waste forms are described in Section 6.4.

Attachment I contains verification for heat conduction and verification of the calculations used in assessing the effects of magma intrusion for regions in the repository host horizon.

Acceptance Criterion 5: Model abstraction output is supported by objective comparisons

- (1) Models implemented in this total system performance assessment abstraction provide results consistent with output from detailed process-level models and/or empirical observations (laboratory and field testing and/or natural analogs).

The waste package damage model for Zone 1 conservatively shows that all waste packages contacted by magma are damaged to the extent that they provide no further protection for the waste. Analog studies at erupting basaltic volcanoes suggest that this damage is possible. Waste packages in Zone 2 are expected to show no effects from conducted heat and volatile gases because the repository host rocks provide good insulation around the Zone 1 drifts, and corrosive gases are not expected to reach Zone 2 in concentrations that would damage the waste packages. The consistency of these results with site conditions and process models is described in Section 7.

- (2) Outputs of mechanical disruption of engineered barrier abstractions reasonably produce or bound the results of corresponding process-level models, empirical observations, or both.

For waste packages in Zone 1, TSPA-LA assumes that the waste packages are damaged to the extent that they provide no further protection for the waste. This assumption represents a bound on process-level modeling further corroborated by the information in Section 6.5.1.1. Waste form modifications are consistent with documented experimental petrology studies and are, therefore, considered reasonable representations of processes that could occur following intrusion.

Waste packages in Zone 2 are expected to show no effects from conducted heat because the repository host rocks provide good insulation around the Zone 1 drifts. The consistency of these results with site conditions and process models is described in Section 7.

- (3) Well documented procedures that have been accepted by the scientific community to construct and test the mathematical and numerical models are used to simulate mechanical disruption of engineered barriers.

The basis for the selection of EQ3/6 Version 7.2b and EQ6 Version 7.2bLV for the modeling of seepage water/basalt interactions is documented in Sections 3.1.1 and 3.1.2. Validation of the model and consistency with well-understood processes are described in Section 7. Documentation of the consistency of the development of the EQ3/6 code with documented procedures for the development of software is beyond the scope of this report. Such documentation should be available in the EQ6 manual (CRWMS M&O 1998).

- (4) Sensitivity analyses or bounding analyses are provided to support the total system performance assessment abstraction of mechanical disruption of engineered barriers that cover ranges consistent with site data, field or laboratory experiments and tests, and natural analog research.

Results from analyses using the base-case model are documented in Sections 6.5.1, 6.5.2, and 6.5.3. Consistency of the model with data from site studies, field and laboratory experiments and tests, and natural analog research is described in Section 7. Additional information about consistency of specific model components with site features and processes is provided in Section 6.6 in the discussion of uncertainties.

9. INPUTS AND REFERENCES

9.1 DOCUMENTS CITED

Altman, W.D.; Donnelly, J.P.; and Kennedy, J.E. 1988a. *Peer Review for High-Level Nuclear Waste Repositories: Generic Technical Position*. NUREG-1297. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. TIC: 200651.

Altman, W.D.; Donnelly, J.P.; and Kennedy, J.E. 1988b. *Qualification of Existing Data for High-Level Nuclear Waste Repositories: Generic Technical Position*. NUREG-1298. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. TIC: 200652.

Audi, G. and Wapstra, A.H. 1995. *Atomic Mass Adjustment, Mass List for Analysis*. Upton, New York: Brookhaven National Laboratory, National Nuclear Data Center. TIC: 242718.

Best, M.G. 1982. *Igneous and Metamorphic Petrology*. New York, New York: W.H. Freeman and Company. TIC: 247662.

Brady, P.V. and Walther, J.V. 1989. "Controls on Silicate Dissolution Rates in Neutral and Basic pH Solutions at 25°C." *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 53, 2823-2830. New York, New York: Pergamon Press. TIC: 235216.

Brantley, S.L. and Chen, Y. 1995. "Chemical Weathering Rates of Pyroxenes and Amphiboles." Chapter 4 of *Chemical Weathering Rates of Silicate Minerals*. White, A.F. and Brantley, S.L., eds. Reviews in Mineralogy Volume 31. Washington, D.C.: Mineralogical Society of America. TIC: 222496.

BSC 2002. *Thermal Conductivity of the Potential Repository Horizon Model Report*. MDL-NBS-GS-000005 REV 00. Las Vegas, Nevada: Bechtel SAIC Company. ACC: MOL.20020923.0167. [160319]

BSC (Bechtel SAIC Company) 2003. *Characterize Eruptive Processes at Yucca Mountain, Nevada*. ANL-MGR-GS-000002 REV 01. Las Vegas, Nevada: Bechtel SAIC Company. ACC: DOC.20031218.0003. [166407]

BSC 2003. *Dissolved Concentration Limits of Radioactive Elements*. ANL-WIS-MD-000010 REV 02 Las Vegas, Nevada: Bechtel SAIC Company. ACC: DOC.20030624.0003. [163152]

BSC 2003. *Clad Degradation – Summary and Abstraction for LA*. ANL-WIS-MD-000021 REV 00. Las Vegas, Nevada: Bechtel SAIC Company. ACC: DOC.20030626.0002. [162153]

BSC 2003. *Waste Form and In-Drift Colloids-Associated Radionuclide Concentrations: Abstraction and Summary*. MDL-EBS-PA-000004 REV 00 ICN 01. Las Vegas, Nevada: Bechtel SAIC Company. ACC: DOC.20031222.0012. [166845]

BSC (Bechtel SAIC Company) 2003. *Dike/Drift Interactions*. MDL-MGR-GS-000005 REV 00. Las Vegas, Nevada: Bechtel SAIC Company. ACC: DOC.20031022.0001 [165923]

BSC (Bechtel SAIC Company) 2003. *Total System Performance Assessment-License Application Methods and Approach*. TDR-WIS-PA-000006 REV 00 ICN 01. Las Vegas, Nevada: Bechtel SAIC Company. ACC: DOC.20031215.0001. [166296]

BSC (Bechtel SAIC Company) 2004. *Errata 002 for Drift-Scale Coupled Processes (DST and THC Seepage) Models*. MDL-NBS-HS-000001 REV 02. Las Vegas, Nevada: Bechtel SAIC Company. ACC: DOC.20030804.0004; DOC.20040219.0002; DOC.20040405.0005. [168848]

BSC (Bechtel SAIC Company) 2004. *Atmospheric Dispersal and Deposition of Tephra from a Potential Volcanic Eruption at Yucca Mountain, Nevada*. MDL-MGR-GS-000002 REV 00. Las Vegas, Nevada: Bechtel SAIC Company. ACC: DOC.20040218.0003. [167616]

BSC (Bechtel SAIC Company) 2004. *Defense HLW Glass Degradation Model*. ANL-EBS-MD-000016 REV 01 ICN 01. Las Vegas, Nevada: Bechtel SAIC Company. ACC: DOC.20040223.0006. [167619]

BSC (Bechtel SAIC Company) 2004. *Errata 001 for Abstraction of Drift Seepage*. MDL-NBS-HS-000019 REV 00 ICN 01. Las Vegas, Nevada: Bechtel SAIC Company. ACC: DOC.20031112.0002, DOC.20040223.0001. [167970]

BSC (Bechtel SAIC Company) 2004. *Clad Degradation – FEPs Screening Arguments*. ANL-WIS-MD-000008 REV 01. Las Vegas, Nevada: Bechtel SAIC Company. ACC: DOC.20040322.0001. [165057]

BSC (Bechtel SAIC Company) 2004. *Errata for Ventilation Model and Analysis Report*. ANL-EBS-MD-000030 REV 03 ICN 03, Errata 2. Las Vegas, Nevada: Bechtel SAIC Company. ACC: DOC.20031216.0002; DOC.20040202.0004; DOC.20040325.0003. [168720]

BSC (Bechtel SAIC Company) 2004. *Technical Work Plan for Waste Form Degradation Modeling, Testing, and Analyses in Support of LA*. TWP-WIS-MD-000008 Rev 02 ICN 04. Las Vegas, NV: Bechtel SAIC Company. ACC: DOC.20040218.0001. [167796]

BSC (Bechtel SAIC Company) 2004. *Number of Waste Packages Hit by Igneous Intrusion*. ANL-MGR-GS-000003 REV 00. Las Vegas, Nevada: Bechtel SAIC Company. ACC: DOC.20040323.0002. [164650]

Canori, G.F. and Leitner, M.M. 2003. *Project Requirements Document*. TER-MGR-MD-000001 REV 02. Las Vegas, Nevada: Bechtel SAIC Company. ACC: DOC.20031222.0006.

Carnahan, B.; Luther, H.A.; and Wilkes, J.O. 1990. *Applied Numerical Methods*. Malabar, Florida: Krieger Publishing. TIC: 224042.

Chapman, A.J. 1974. *Heat Transfer*. 3rd Edition. New York, New York: Macmillan Publishing. TIC: 245061.

Chou, L. and Wollast, R. 1985. "Steady-State Kinetics and Dissolution Mechanisms of Albite." *American Journal of Science*, 285, 963-993. New Haven, Connecticut: Yale University, Kline Geology Laboratory. TIC: 223169.

CRWMS M&O (Civilian Radioactive Waste Management System Management and Operating Contractor) 1998. *Software Qualification Report (SQR) Addendum to Existing LLNL Document UCRL-MA-110662 PT IV: Implementation of a Solid-Centered Flow-Through Mode for EQ6 Version 7.2B*. CSCI: UCRL-MA-110662 V 7.2b. SCR: LSCR198. Las Vegas, Nevada: CRWMS M&O. ACC: MOL.19990920.0169.

CRWMS M&O 1999. *Waste Package Behavior in Magma*. CAL-EBS-ME-000002 REV 00. Las Vegas, Nevada: CRWMS M&O. ACC: MOL.19991022.0201.

CRWMS M&O 2001. *Miscellaneous Waste-Form FEPs*. ANL-WIS-MD-000009 REV 00 ICN 01. Las Vegas, Nevada: CRWMS M&O. ACC: MOL.20010216.0006.

Davison, R.M.; DeBold, T.; and Johnson, M.J. 1987. "Corrosion of Stainless Steels." In *Corrosion*, Volume 13, Pages 547-565 of *ASM Handbook*. Formerly 9th Edition, Metals Handbook. [Materials Park, Ohio]: ASM International. TIC: 240704.

DOE (Department of Energy) 1982. *Site Characterization Report for the Basalt Waste Isolation Project*. DOE/RL 82-3. Three Volumes. Washington, D.C.: Department of Energy. ACC: MOL.20040120.0079.

Douglass, D.L. 1983. "The Corrosion of Ferritic Stainless Steels and High-Purity Fe-Cr Alloys in Basaltic Lava and Simulated Magmatic Gas." *Oxidation of Metals*, Vol. 20, (Nos. 3/4), pp. 161-183. New York, New York: Plenum Press. TIC: 238278.

Ehrlich, S.A. and Douglass, D.L. 1982. *The Effect of Molybdenum Plus Chromium on the Corrosion of Iron-, Nickel, and Cobalt-Base Alloys in Basaltic Lava and Simulated Magmatic Gas at 1150°C*. SAND82-7055. Albuquerque, New Mexico: Sandia National Laboratories. ACC: MOL.19981028.0003.

Einzig, R.E.; Marschman, S.C.; and Buchanan, H.C. 1991. "Spent-Fuel Dry-Bath Oxidation Testing." *Nuclear Technology*, 94, 383-393. Hinsdale, Illinois: American Nuclear Society. TIC: 246459.

Frankel, J.J. 1967. "Forms and Structures of Intrusive Basaltic Rocks." *Basalts, the Poldervaart Treatise on Rocks of Basaltic Composition*. Volume 1. Hess, H.H. and Poldervaart, A., eds. Pages 63-102. New York, New York: Interscience Publishers. TIC: 254505.

Gislason, S.R. and Eugster, H.P. 1987. "Meteoric Water-Basalt Interactions: A Laboratory Study." *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, Vol. 51, pp. 2827-2840. Tarrytown, NY: Pergamon Journals LTD.. TIC: 235295.

Gordon, B.M. 2003. *Literature Review of Waste Package and Drip Shield Materials' Corrosion Performance in Magmatic-Type Environments*. SIR-02-168, Rev. 0. San Jose, California: Structural Integrity Associates. ACC: MOL.20030414.0260.

Hahn, G.J. and Shapiro, S.S. 1967. *Statistical Models in Engineering*. New York, New York: John Wiley & Sons. TIC: 247729.

- Hearn, P.P.; Steinkampf, W.C.; Bortleson, G.C.; and Drost, B.W. 1985. *Geochemical Controls on Dissolved Sodium in Basalt Aquifers of the Columbia Plateau, Washington*. USGS/WRI 84-4304. U.S. Geological Survey, Lakewood: Colorado. TIC: 230835.
- Hem, J.D. 1985. *Study and Interpretation of the Chemical Characteristics of Natural Water*. 3rd Edition. Geological Survey Water-Supply Paper 2254. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office. ACC: NNA.19940427.0181.
- Hillner, E.; Franklin, D.G.; and Smee, J.D. 1998. *The Corrosion of Zircaloy-Clad Fuel Assemblies in a Geologic Repository Environment*. WAPD-T-3173. West Mifflin, Pennsylvania: Bettis Atomic Power Laboratory. TIC: 237127.
- Hofmann, P. and Kerwin-Peck, D. 1984. "UO₂/Zircaloy-4 Chemical Interactions from 1000 to 1700°C under Isothermal and Transient Temperature Conditions." *Journal of Nuclear Materials*, 124, 80-105. New York, New York: North-Holland. TIC: 253983.
- Hollingsworth, E.H. and Hunsicker, H.Y. 1987. "Corrosion of Aluminum and Aluminum Alloys." In *Corrosion*, Volume 13, Pages 583-609 of *Metals Handbook*. 9th Edition. Metals Park, Ohio: ASM International. TIC: 209807.
- Incropera, F.P. and DeWitt, D.P. 2002. *Fundamentals of Heat and Mass Transfer*. 5th Edition. New York, New York: John Wiley & Sons. TIC: 254280.
- Klein, C. and Hurlbut, C.S., Jr. 1999. *Manual of Mineralogy*. 21st Edition, Revised. New York, New York: John Wiley & Sons. TIC: 246258.
- Kotra, J.P.; Lee, M.P.; Eisenberg, N.A.; and DeWispelare, A.R. 1996. *Branch Technical Position on the Use of Expert Elicitation in the High-Level Radioactive Waste Program*. NUREG-1563. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. TIC: 226832.
- Li, G.; Peacor, D.R.; Coombs, D.S.; and Kawachi, Y. 1997. "Solid Solution in the Celadonite Family: The New Minerals Ferroceldonite, K₂Fe²⁺₂+Fe³⁺₂+Si₈O₂₀(OH)₄, and Ferroaluminoceldonite, K₂Fe²⁺₂+Al₂Si₈O₂₀(OH)₄." *American Mineralogist*, 82, (5-6), 503-511. Washington, D.C.: Mineralogical Society of America. TIC: 252472.
- Li, J.; Lowenstein, T.K.; and Blackburn, I.R. 1997. "Responses of Evaporite Mineralogy to Inflow Water Sources and Climate During the Past 100 k.y. in Death Valley, California." *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, 109, (10), 1361-1371. Boulder, Colorado: Geological Society of America. TIC: 247723.
- Lichtner, P.C.; Keating, G.; and Carey, B. 1999. *A Natural Analog for Thermal-Hydrological-Chemical Coupled Processes at the Proposed Nuclear Waste Repository at Yucca Mountain, Nevada*. LA-13610-MS. Los Alamos, New Mexico: Los Alamos National Laboratory. TIC: 246032.
- Lide, D.R., ed. 2002. *CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics*. 83rd Edition. Boca Raton, Florida: CRC Press. TIC: 253582.

- MacDonald, G.A. 1967. "Forms and Structures of Extrusive Basaltic Rocks." *Basalts, the Poldervaart Treatise on Rocks of Basaltic Composition*. Volume 1. Hess, H.H. and Poldervaart, A., eds. Pages 1-62. New York, New York: Interscience Publishers. TIC: 254505.
- Mankins, W.L. and Lamb, S. 1990. "Nickel and Nickel Alloys." In Volume 2, Properties and Selection: Nonferrous Alloys and Special-Purpose Materials, Pages 428-445 of ASM Handbook. Formerly Tenth Edition, Metals Handbook. [Materials Park, Ohio]: ASM International. TIC: 241059.
- McCright, R.D. 1998. *Corrosion Data and Modeling, Update for Viability Assessment*. Volume 3 of *Engineered Materials Characterization Report*. UCRL-ID-119564, Rev. 1.1. Livermore, California: Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory. ACC: MOL.19980806.0177.
- NRC (U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission) 2003. *Yucca Mountain Review Plan, Final Report*. NUREG-1804, Rev. 2. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards. TIC: 254568.
- Parrington, J.R.; Knox, H.D.; Breneman, S.L.; Baum, E.M.; and Feiner, F. 1996. *Nuclides and Isotopes, Chart of the Nuclides*. 15th Edition. San Jose, California: General Electric Company and KAPL, Inc. TIC: 233705.
- Perry, F.V. and Straub, K.T. 1996. *Geochemistry of the Lathrop Wells Volcanic Center*. LA-13113-MS. Los Alamos, New Mexico: Los Alamos National Laboratory. ACC: MOL.19961015.0079.
- Roberts, W.L.; Campbell, T.J.; and Rapp, G.R., Jr. 1990. *Encyclopedia of Minerals*. 2nd Edition. New York, New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold. TIC: 242976.
- Todreas, N.E. and Kazimi, M.S. 1990. *Nuclear Systems I, Thermal Hydraulic Fundamentals*. New York, New York: Hemisphere Publishing. TIC: 226511.
- Tole, M.P.; Lasaga, A.C.; Pantano, C.; and White, W.B. 1986. "The Kinetics of Dissolution of Nepheline (NaAlSiO₄)." *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 50, (3), 379-392. New York, New York: Pergamon Press. TIC: 253992.
- Valsami-Jones, E.; Ragnarsdottir, K.V.; Putnis, A.; Bosbach, D.; Kemp, A.J.; and Cressey, G. 1998. "The Dissolution of Apatite in the Presence of Aqueous Metal Cations at pH 2-7." *Chemical Geology*, 151, 215-233. [New York, New York]: Elsevier. TIC: 255204.
- Vaniman, D.T.; Crowe, B.M.; and Gladney, E.S. 1982. "Petrology and Geochemistry of Hawaiite Lavas from Crater Flat, Nevada." *Contributions to Mineralogy and Petrology*, 80, 341-357. Berlin, Germany: Springer-Verlag. TIC: 201799.
- Westrich, H.R. 1990. "Materials Compatibility Studies for the Magma Energy Extraction Project." *Geothermics*, 19, (4), 341-357. Elmsford, New York: Pergamon Press. TIC: 254234.

White, D.E.; Hem, J.D.; and Waring, G.A. 1980. "Chemical Composition of Subsurface Waters." Chapter F of *Data of Geochemistry*. 6th Edition. Geological Survey Professional Paper 440-F. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office. TIC: 249975.

White, A.F.; Peterson, M.L.; and Hochells, M.F., Jr. 1994. "Electrochemistry and Dissolution Kinetics of Magnetite and Ilmenite." *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 58, (8), 1859-1875. Oxford, United Kingdom: Elsevier. TIC: 246373.

Wogelius, R.A. and Walther, J.V. 1991. "Olivine Dissolution at 25°C: Effects of pH, CO₂, and Organic Acids." *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 55, (4), 943-954. New York, New York: Pergamon Press. TIC: 236732.

Wolery, T.J. and Daveler, S.A. 1992. *EQ6, A Computer Program for Reaction Path Modeling of Aqueous Geochemical Systems: Theoretical Manual, User's Guide, and Related Documentation (Version 7.0)*. UCRL-MA-110662 PT IV. Livermore, California: Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory. ACC: MOL.19980701.0459.

Yau, T.L. and Webster, R.T. 1987. "Corrosion of Zirconium and Hafnium." In *Corrosion*, Volume 13, Pages 707-721 of *Metals Handbook*. 9th Edition. Metals Park, Ohio: ASM International. TIC: 209807.

9.2 CODES, STANDARDS, REGULATIONS, AND PROCEDURES

10 CFR 63. Energy: Disposal of High-Level Radioactive Wastes in a Geologic Repository at Yucca Mountain, Nevada. Readily available.

AP-2.14Q, Rev. 3, ICN 0. *Document Review*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management. ACC: DOC.20030827.0018.

AP-6.1Q, Rev. 7, ICN 2. *Document Control*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management. ACC: DOC.20030929.0009.

AP-17.1Q, Rev. 3, ICN 2. *Records Management*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management. ACC: DOC.20040407.0004.

LP-SI.11Q-BSC, Rev. 0, ICN 0. *Software Management*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management. ACC: DOC.20040225.0007.

AP-SV.1Q, Rev. 1, ICN 1. *Control of the Electronic Management of Information*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management. ACC: DOC.20040308.0001.

AP-SIII.10Q, Rev. 2, ICN 3. *Models*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management. ACC: DOC.20040407.0001.

9.3 SOURCE DATA, LISTED BY DATA TRACKING NUMBER

LB0207REVUZPRP.002. Matrix Properties for UZ Model Layers Developed from Field and Laboratory Data. Submittal date: 07/15/2002.

LB0310AMRU0120.001. Supporting Calculations and Analysis for Seepage Abstraction and Summary of Abstraction Results. Submittal date: 10/23/2003.

MO0006J13WTRCM.000. Recommended Mean Values of Major Constituents in J-13 Well Water. Submittal date: 06/07/2000.

MO0302SPATHDYN.000. Thermodynamic Data Input Files - Data0.YMP.R2. Submittal date: 02/05/2003.

MO0307SEPFEPS4.000. LA FEP List. Submittal date: 07/31/2003.

MO0310SPAEBSCB.003. EBS Chemistry Binning Abstraction Results of the THC Seepage Model. Submittal date: 10/15/03.

SN0307T0510902.003. Updated Heat Capacity of Yucca Mountain Stratigraphic Units. Submittal date: 07/15/2003.

9.4 OUTPUT DATA, LISTED BY DATA TRACKING NUMBER

DTN. MO0402SPAHCWIG.002. Heat and Water Chemistry Output from Igneous Intrusion. Submittal date: 02/23/2004.

9.5 SOFTWARE CODES

Software Code: ASPRIN. V1.0. 10487-1.0-00.

Software Code: EQ3/6. V7.2b. LLNL: UCRL-MA-110662.

Software Code: EQ6, Version 7.2bLV. V7.2bLV. 10075-7.2bLV-00.

10. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment I Verification of Heat Conduction Model Simulation Calculations

Attachment II EQ6 Mineral Dissolution Rates

Attachment III Two Attached CD-ROMS

Attachment IV File Listing of Attached CD-ROMS

ATTACHMENT I

VERIFICATION OF HEAT CONDUCTION MODEL SIMULATION CALCULATIONS

I.1 HEAT FLOW MODEL

A one-dimensional second order partial differential equation (Chapman 1974, p. 137), subject to initial temperature (due to the constant heat content) and a far field temperature boundary condition applies to the problem of magma intrusion:

$$k \left[\frac{1}{r} \cdot \frac{\partial T}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial r^2} \right] = \rho \cdot C_p \cdot \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} \quad (\text{Eq. I-1})$$

The temperature distribution for a magma flow filling a Zone 1 emplacement drift can be estimated using a one-dimensional unsteady radial heat conduction model, subject to constant heat content. The magma is considered to be at an initial intrusive temperature of 1150°C (BSC 2003 [DIRS:166407]). The magma fills the drift entirely and instantaneously, and then the temperature spatially and temporally dissipates. The temperature of the drift wall and the far-field is considered to be 25°C, and up to 200°C, at the time of the intrusion. The heat transfer thermal properties of the magma are considered to be the same as those for the densely welded tuff for a first order analysis.

Carnahan et al. (1990, p. 462) provides finite difference expression approximations to first and second order derivatives for the radial heat conduction problem. The governing equation may be expressed as:

$$\frac{1}{r_i} \cdot \left(\frac{T'_{i+1} - T'_{i-1}}{2 \cdot \Delta r} \right) + \frac{1}{\Delta r^2} \cdot [T'_{i+1} + T'_{i-1} - 2 \cdot T'_i] = \frac{1}{\alpha} \cdot \frac{T_i - T'_i}{\Delta t} \quad (\text{Eq. I-2})$$

Using the following definition of thermal diffusivity (Incropera and DeWitt 2002, p. 59):

$$\alpha = \frac{k}{\rho C_p} \quad (\text{Eq. I-3})$$

where

- Δt is the change in time (time-step) in years
- r_i is the total distance to the center of the cylinder (units: m)
- Δr is the change in distance from the center of the cylinder in one time-step (units: m)
- T_i is the temperature at distance r_i from the center of the cylinder (°C)
- T'_i is the temperature from the previous time-step at a distance of r_i from the center of the cylinder
- T'_{i+1} is the temperature from the previous time-step at a distance of r_{i+1} from the center of the cylinder
- T'_{i-1} is the temperature from the previous time-step at a distance of r_{i-1} from the center of the cylinder
- α is the thermal diffusivity of the rock mass (and thus the magma) (m²/year)

- k is the thermal conductivity of the rock mass (and thus the magma) (W/m·K)
 ρ is the density (kg/m³)
 C_p is the specific heat (J/kg·K)

Factoring the expression to express T_i in terms of T'_{i+1} , T'_{i-1} , and T'_i in an explicit finite difference calculation:

$$T_i = \alpha \cdot \Delta t \cdot \left[\frac{1}{r_i \cdot 2 \cdot \Delta r} + \frac{1}{\Delta r^2} \right] \cdot T'_{i+1} + \alpha \cdot \Delta t \cdot \left[\frac{-1}{r_i \cdot 2 \cdot \Delta r} + \frac{1}{\Delta r^2} \right] \cdot T'_{i-1} - \frac{2\alpha \cdot \Delta t \cdot T'_i}{\Delta r^2} + T'_i \quad (\text{Eq. I-4})$$

Radial Equation ($r_i > 0$)

A simple explicit finite difference calculation was set up in Microsoft Excel based upon the second order finite difference expression for radial flow. The stability of the calculation was confirmed by using an energy balance check within the spreadsheet. The explicit finite difference calculation can be simplified by defining the coefficients for T'_{i+1} , T'_{i-1} , and T'_i as α' , β' , and γ' , respectively:

$$\alpha' = \left[\frac{1}{r_i \cdot 2 \cdot \Delta r} + \frac{1}{\Delta r^2} \right] \quad (\text{Eq. I-4a})$$

$$\beta' = \left[\frac{-1}{r_i \cdot 2 \cdot \Delta r} + \frac{1}{\Delta r^2} \right] \quad (\text{Eq. I-4b})$$

$$\gamma' = \left(-\frac{2}{\Delta r^2} \right) \quad (\text{Eq. I-4c})$$

The explicit finite difference expression (Equation I-4) then becomes:

$$T_i = \alpha \cdot \Delta t \cdot [\alpha' \cdot T'_{i+1} + \beta' \cdot T'_{i-1} + \gamma' \cdot T'_i] + T'_i \quad (\text{Eq. I-5})$$

The far-field temperature boundary condition at a distance of 150 meters (approximately 10 m less than twice the drift spacing) is set to a constant ambient temperature of 25°C. Additional calculations look at ambient temperatures up to 200°C.

Centerline Equation ($r_i = 0$)

To obtain an equation for the centerline temperature, a finite difference expression at the center of the model sets the insulated temperature boundary condition equal to the centerline temperature ($T'_{i-1} = T'_{i+1}$).

$$T_i = \alpha \cdot \Delta t \cdot \left[\frac{1}{r_i \cdot 2 \cdot \Delta r} + \frac{1}{\Delta r^2} \right] \cdot T'_{i+1} + \alpha \cdot \Delta t \cdot \left[\frac{-1}{r_i \cdot 2 \cdot \Delta r} + \frac{1}{\Delta r^2} \right] \cdot T'_{i-1} - \frac{2\alpha \cdot \Delta t \cdot T'_i}{\Delta r^2} + T'_i \quad (\text{Eq. I-6})$$

Simplifying this equation:

$$T_i = \left[\frac{2\alpha \cdot \Delta t}{\Delta r^2} \right] \cdot [T'_{i+1} - T'_i] + T'_i \quad (\text{Eq. I-7})$$

The model was implemented by entering Equations I-4 and I-7 into Microsoft Excel spreadsheets in Attachment III (Disc 1, "Heat" folder). The required thermal diffusivity (α) was calculated using the method discussed below.

Thermal Diffusivity

Assessment of the effects of temperatures of intruded magma (intruded into Zone 1 drifts) on Zone 2 waste packages requires the rock mass thermal diffusivity, which in turn requires an estimate of the rock mass volumetric heat capacity and thermal conductivity of the welded tuff at the repository horizon.

The volumetric heat capacity was calculated using an equation derived in *Ventilation Model and Analysis Report* (BSC 2004 [DIRS:168720], Equation II-15).

$$C_{rock} = \frac{S \cdot \frac{\phi_m}{1-\phi_m} C_{vw} + \rho_g \cdot C_p}{\left(1 + \frac{\phi_m}{1-\phi_m} + \frac{\phi_l}{1-\phi_l} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{\phi_m}{1-\phi_m} \right) \right)} \quad (\text{Eq. I-8})$$

Simplifying this equation:

$$C_{rock} = \frac{S \cdot \frac{\phi_m}{1-\phi_m} C_{vw} + \rho_g \cdot C_p}{\left(1 + \frac{\phi_l}{1-\phi_l} \right) \cdot \left(1 + \frac{\phi_m}{1-\phi_m} \right)} \quad (\text{Eq. I-9})$$

where

- C_{rock} volumetric heat capacity of the rock mass ($\text{J/m}^3 \cdot \text{K}$)
- S saturation ($S = 0$: dry; $S = 1$: wet)
- ϕ_m matrix porosity
- ϕ_l lithophysal porosity
- C_{vw} volumetric heat capacity of water ($\text{J/m}^3 \cdot \text{K}$)
- ρ_g grain density of solids (kg/m^3)
- C_p specific heat capacity of solids ($\text{J/kg} \cdot \text{K}$)

The saturation in this heat flow calculation is either completely dry (no water; $S = 0$), or completely wet (matrix is completely filled with water; $S = 1$). Real conditions of saturation are not so absolute; unsaturated conditions really lie between 10 and 20 percent saturation (DTN: LB0207REVUZPRP.002), and fully saturated conditions do not consider the lithophysae to be filled with water.

The Yucca Mountain Project assessed the thermal conductivity of the potential repository horizon for spatial variability and uncertainty of thermal conductivity in the host horizon (BSC 2002 [DIRS:160319], Table 7-10). Table I-1 presents the properties taken from that report for the rock units near the repository horizon.

Table I-1. Summary of Primary Thermal Conductivity Statistics

Stratigraphic Unit	Bulk Dry Rock Mass Thermal Conductivity (W/m-K)	Bulk Wet Rock Mass Thermal Conductivity (W/m-K)	Matrix Porosity	Lithophysal Porosity	Dry Bulk Density (kg/m ³)	Specific Heat Capacity of Solids J/(kg-K)
	k_m	k_m	ϕ_m	ϕ_l	ρ_{bd}	C_p
Tptpul	1.18	1.77	0.17	0.12	1830	934
Tptpmn	1.42	2.07	0.13	0.03	2150	932
Tptpll	1.28	1.89	0.15	0.09	1980	933
Tptpln	1.49	2.13	0.11	0.03	2210	933

Source: BSC 2002 [DIRS:160319], Table 7-10, DTN:SN0307T0510902.003

NOTE: Porosity is the ratio of void volume to total rock volume, and has units of m³ void/m³ rock.

The volume heat capacity of water (C_{vw}) is calculated for saturated water at 62°C by multiplying the density of water (kg/m³) to the specific heat of water (J/kg·K) (Incropera and DeWitt 2002, pp. 58 and 59). Such that:

$$C_{vw} = C_p \cdot \rho \quad (\text{Eq. I-10})$$

where

ρ density of water (1/v_f) 982.3 (kg/m³)
 C_p specific heat capacity 4186 J/kg·K
 (Incropera and DeWitt 2002, p. 924, Table A.6)

The grain density of solids can be calculated from the dry bulk density (ρ_{bd}) and the porosities of the matrix and lithophysae using the following equation from *Thermal Conductivity of the Potential Repository Horizon Model Report* (BSC 2002 [DIRS:160319], p. 41, Equation 6-4):

$$\rho_g = (1 - \phi_l)(1 - \phi_m)\rho_g \quad (\text{Eq. I-11})$$

Solving for the grain density of solids:

$$\rho_g = \frac{\rho_{bd}}{(1 - \phi_l)(1 - \phi_m)} \quad (\text{Eq. I-12})$$

After plugging in the required values into Equation I-9 and solving for C_{rock} , the thermal diffusivity can be calculated by combining Equation I-3 with Equation I-10:

$$\alpha = \frac{k}{C_{rock}} \quad (\text{Eq. I-13})$$

Note: The units of watts are converted to joules (W=J/s), and then seconds converted to years, since Δt is in terms of years.

Table I-2 contains all of the values calculated using the values presented in Table I-1, and Equation 10 through Equation 13, which were then used in the heat transfer explicit finite difference calculation.

Table I-2. Summary of Volumetric Heat Capacity and Thermal Diffusivity Calculations

Stratigraphic Unit	Grain Density of Solids (kg/m ³)	Dry Volumetric Heat Capacity (J/(m ³ ·K))	Wet Volumetric Heat Capacity (J/(m ³ ·K))	Dry Thermal Diffusivity (m ² /yr)	Wet Thermal Diffusivity (m ² /yr)
	ρ_g	C_{rock}	C_{rock}	α	α
Tptpul	2504	1709220.0	2335595.2	21.84	23.98
Tptpmn	2532	2003800	2528980	22.35	25.88
Tptpll	2551	1847340	2414589	21.84	24.69
Tptpln	2548	2061930	2491536	22.80	26.98

NOTE: Calculated in Attachment III (Disc 1, "Heat" folder).

I.2 HEAT FLOW MODEL UNCERTAINTY ANALYSIS OF PEAK TEMPERATURE IN THE ROCK MASS AT A DISTANCE OF 10 M

The generation of system moments allows a determination of the relative importance of each component variable by examining the magnitude of its partial derivative. Hahn and Shapiro (1967, p. 229) provide an expression for the mean system performance (for example the maximum temperature at a radius of 10 m from the drift with magma) through the expression under the assumption that the parameters are independent for the composite model:

$$E(z) = h((E(x_1), E(x_2), \dots, E(x_n))) + \frac{1}{2} \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial x_i^2} \cdot \text{Var}(x_i) \quad (\text{Eq. I-14})$$

where

- $E(z)$ = Expectation for the System Performance
- $E(x_i)$ = Expectation of the Component Variables
- $x_1, \dots, x_n$ = Component Variables
- $h()$ = Functional relationship between the component variables and the system performance

Hahn and Shapiro (1967, p. 231) present the following relationship for the variance in which the higher order moments are not used:

$$Var(z) = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 \cdot Var(x_i) \quad (\text{Eq. I-15})$$

This relation is frequently a satisfactory approximation to calculating the variance for independent parameters.

The peak temperature at a radius of 10 m for the constant heat content process depends on the rock mass thermal conductivity and thermal diffusivity that in turn depend on the solids thermal conductivity; the solids specific heat capacity; the solids grain density; the matrix porosity; the matrix saturation; and the lithophysal porosity. This set of parameters is taken as the independent set of parameters.

Table I-3 presents the evaluation of the system variance. The values for mean and standard deviation were obtained from *Ventilation Model and Analysis Report* (BSC 2004 [DIRS:168720], Section 6.11).

Table I-3. Sensitivity of Uncertainty in Input Parameters (with Standard Deviation for Use in Delta Method)

Input/Design Parameter	Mean Value	Standard Deviation	Rock Mass Thermal Conductivity ^a		Rock Mass Thermal Diffusivity ^a		Peak Temperature ^a			Percent Contribution ^a
			Plus	Minus	Plus	Minus	Plus	Minus	Variance	
Solids Thermal Conductivity (W/(m·K))	2.603	0.3413	2.02	1.62	26.68	21.48	78.17	78.12	5.55E-04	0%
Solids Grain Density (kg/m ³)	2593	138	1.82	1.82	23.14	25.16	78.13	78.15	8.54E-05	0%
Solids Specific Heat Capacity (J/(kg·K))	930	170	1.82	1.82	21.09	28.15	78.11	78.16	4.50E-04	0%
Matrix Porosity	14.86%	3.40%	1.74	1.91	22.59	25.79	78.13	78.16	2.08E-04	0%
Matrix Saturation	90.50%	10%	1.89	1.76	24.39	23.82	78.14	78.14	5.91E-06	0%
Lithophysal Porosity	8.83%	5.40%	1.72	1.93	24.13	24.09	78.14	78.14	1.92E-08	0%
Initial Temperature*	1150	35.51	1.82	1.82	24.11	24.11	73.23	79.04	2.81	100%
Sum									2.81	100%
Standard Deviation									1.68	

NOTE: ^aCalculated in "Heat Conduction – Uncertainty Analysis.xls" in "heat.zip" in Attachment III (Disc 1).

The Method of Moments provides information as to the source of uncertainty from the individual variables. In Equation I-13, the variance of each individual variable x_i is multiplied by the square of the derivative of the system function for that parameter. The square of the derivative represents the sensitivity of the system variance to the individual parameter. If the sensitivity, and the variance to an individual parameter are large, then the system variance is dominated by this contribution. Conversely, if the sensitivity, and the variance to an individual parameter are small, then the system variance is not influenced by this individual contribution.

Table I-3 represents the results of the analysis using the method of generating system moments. The analysis is performed by calculating the first order partial derivatives of temperature to the solids thermal conductivity (k_s); the solids specific heat capacity (C_p); the solids grain density (ρ_g); matrix porosity (ϕ_m); the matrix saturation (S_m); and the lithophysal porosity (ϕ_l). Each

component is perturbed from its mean value by plus or minus one standard deviation while the other components are evaluated at their mean value. The rock mass thermal conductivity and the thermal diffusivity are then calculated based upon the relations for thermal conductivity and thermal diffusivity presented previously. The finite difference calculations are then performed and the peak temperature at a radius of 10 m is evaluated for the parameters. The first order partial derivatives are approximated for the mean values plus or minus one standard deviation for each component and then substituted into Equations I-14.

Figure I-1. Peak Temperatures for Variations in Key Inputs

Figure I-1 shows that variations in key inputs other than initial temperature result in a shift in the time when the peak temperature occurs, but the actual peak temperature remains the same.

The contributions to variance are then calculated for each component as the product of the sensitivity time the variance. The contributions are then summed. The analysis provides information on the percent contributions to system variance from each of the component variables. The analysis suggests that the principal source of uncertainty is the initial temperature (100 percent of the uncertainty). The variance caused by the uncertainty of the initial temperature should be used as the uncertainty of the output temperatures, which is $\pm 3^{\circ}\text{C}$.

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

ATTACHMENT II

EQ6 MINERAL DISSOLUTION RATES

This attachment contains figures that show input values to, calculation of, and EQ6 rate comparisons of the rates for the dissolution of minerals in the EQ6 simulation of basalt/water interaction. Calculation of the mineral dissolution rates for EQ6 is as follows:

- Using Microsoft Excel, a trendline was added (linear) to each of the pH legs (i.e., low pH values were fit with one trendline and high pH values were fit with a different trendline). These linear fits are shown by the black trendlines in the figures. The linear equation for these lines is also presented as well as the rounded values used for the calculation of the rate for EQ6.
- EQ6 calculates the rate of dissolution at any pH by the following formula

$$\text{Total Dissolution Rate} = k_1[H^+]^{S1} + k_2[H^+]^{S2} \text{ (moles/cm}^2\cdot\text{s)} \quad (\text{Eq. II-1})$$

where k_1 and k_2 represent the exponential of the intercept and S1 and S2 values represent the slope of the trendlines.

- The EQ6 curve (pH dependent dissolution rate) is calculated by using the above stated values to calculate the dissolution rate for each pH leg. These values are then combined to create one dissolution rate by the model.

NOTE: Calculated in Spreadsheet "Aluminosilicate rates.xls" on Attachment III, Disc 1

Figure II-1. EQ6 Dissolution Rate of Albite (also used for Sanidine)

NOTE: Calculated in Spreadsheet "Aluminosilicate rates.xls" on Attachment III, Disc 1

Figure II-2. EQ6 Dissolution Rate of Anorthite

NOTE: Calculated in Spreadsheet "Aluminosilicate rates.xls" on Attachment III, Disc 1 from Na release data found in Table 4-7. Release rates for Si, Al, and K can be found in Table II-1

Figure II-3. EQ6 Dissolution Rate of Nepheline

Table II-1. Additional Nepheline Dissolution Rates Shown on Figure II-3

pH	Based on release of Si		Based on release of Al		Based on release of K	
	DR (mole/cm ² s)	Log DR	DR (mole/cm ² s)	Log DR	DR (mole/cm ² s)	Log DR
3	5.03E-11	-10.30	4.22E-11	-10.37	8.61E-12	-11.06
5	5.78E-13	-12.24	3.94E-13	-12.40	5.81E-13	-12.24
7	2.42E-13	-12.62	-----	-----	7.50E-13	-12.12
11	2.78E-13	-12.56	8.61E-13	-12.06	1.89E-13	-12.72

NOTE: DR from Tole et al., 1986, Table 2, Log DR calculated on "Aluminosilicate rates.xls" on Attachment III, Disc 1.

DR = Dissolution Rate

NOTE: Calculated in Spreadsheet "olivine rate.xls" on Attachment III, Disc 1 from Mg release data found in Table 4-8. Release rates for Si and Fe can be found in Table II-2

Figure II-4. EQ6 Dissolution Rate of Olivine (Used for Forsterite and Fayalite)

Table II-2. Additional Olivine Dissolution Rates Shown on Figure II-4

Based on release of Si					
pH	Log DR (mole/cm ² s)	pH	Log DR (mole/cm ² s)	pH	Log DR (mole/cm ² s)
4.1	-13.10	5	-12.72	3.1	-12.18
10.4	-14.14	5.7	-14.21	4	-12.72
7.4	-13.77	2	-12.15	3	-12.49
9.9	-14.47	9.1	-14.03	3.5	-12.26
9.9	-14.10	3.7	-12.30	3.1	-12.39
3.7	-12.25	2.5	-12.17	5.3	-12.73
10.8	-14.52	4.4	-12.22	10.8	-13.90
3	-12.83				
Based on release of Fe					
pH	Log DR (mole/cm ² s)	pH	Log DR (mole/cm ² s)	pH	Log DR (mole/cm ² s)
10.4	-13.37	3.7	-12.40	3	-12.52
7.4	-13.65	4	-12.78	10.8	-14.29
3.7	-12.31				

NOTE: Log DR from Wogelius and Walther, Table 2

DR = Dissolution Rate

NOTE: Calculated in Spreadsheet "apatite rate.xls" on Attachment III, Disc 1.

Figure II-5. EQ6 Dissolution Rate of Hydroxylapatite

NOTE: All plotted data are from Table 1 of Brantley and Chen (1995), which is a compilation of dissolution data from different sources mentioned in the plot inset box. Calculated in Spreadsheet "pyroxene rates.xls" on Attachment III, Disc 1, from Schott data found in Table 4-8. Other release rates shown on the plot can be found in Table II-3

Figure II-6. EQ6 Dissolution Rate of Diopside (Used for Hedenbergite)

Table II-3. Additional Diopside Dissolution Rates Shown on Figure II-6

pH	Log DR (mole/cm ² s)	pH	Log DR (mole/cm ² s)	pH	Log DR (mole/cm ² s)
Brantley and Chen, 1995					
1.2	-14.50	3.6	-15.00	3.2	-15.00
2	-14.50	1.2	-14.70	3.6	-15.20
3.2	-14.70	2	-15.00		
Knauss data presented in Brantley and Chen, 1995					
2	-14.00	4.1	-14.10	6	-14.80
Engelston data presented in Brantley and Chen, 1995					
1	-14.30				

NOTE: DR = Dissolution Rate

NOTE: Calculated in Spreadsheet "pyroxene rates.xls" on Attachment III, Disc 1.

Figure II-7. EQ6 Dissolution Rate of Enstatite

NOTE: Calculated in Spreadsheet "oxides rate.xls" on Attachment III, Disc 1.

Figure II-8. EQ6 Dissolution Rate of Magnetite

NOTE: Calculated in Spreadsheet "oxides rate.xls" on Attachment III, Disc 1.

Figure II-9. EQ6 Dissolution Rate of Ilmenite

Note: Calculated in Spreadsheet "Diss-Rate Summary.xls" on Attachment III, Disc 1

Figure II-10. Comparison of Rates Used in EQ6 Calculations

ATTACHMENT III

TWO ATTACHED CD-ROMS

See attached CD ROMS

ATTACHMENT IV

FILE LISTING OF ATTACHED CD-ROMS

NOTE: The attached CD-ROMS contain files of various types. These are:

- 1) Excel files (extension = xls).
- 2) EQ3/6 input files (extensions = 3i or 6i).
- 3) EQ3/6 output files (text, extension = 3o or 6o).
- 4) EQ3/6 pickup files (text, extension = 3p or 6p)
- 5) Tab-delimited text files (extension = txt). These contain: total aqueous moles (*.elem_aqu.txt); total moles in minerals and aqueous phase (*.elem_m_a.txt); total moles in minerals, aqueous phase, and remain special reactants (*.elem_tot.txt); and the total moles in minerals alone (*.elem_min.txt). The *.elem_tot.txt and *.elem_min.txt also have the volume in cm³ of the minerals and total solids (including special reactants) in the system.
- 6) EQ6 text data file used for the calculations, named data0.slt.
- 7) ASPRIN output files (text, extension = txt).

Directory of CD-ROM 1 of 2

Directory of D:\

12/05/2003	03:22p	<DIR>	CO2-2
12/16/2003	09:52a	<DIR>	CO2-25
11/18/2003	10:44a	<DIR>	database
02/05/2004	04:45p	<DIR>	EQ3-6 Inputs
11/23/2003	10:24a	<DIR>	Eq3-bin8
04/16/2004	11:33a		236,132,523 Heat.zip
01/21/2004	02:36p	<DIR>	sensitivity
01/27/2004	02:50p	<DIR>	water rate
		1 File(s)	236,132,523 bytes

Directory of D:\CO2-2

01/20/2004	11:32a	<DIR>	asprin-2
12/05/2003	10:49a		41,447 b8a_2.6i
12/05/2003	11:05a		955,077 b8a_2.6o
12/05/2003	11:05a		38,172 b8a_2.6p
12/05/2003	11:05a		7,118 b8a_2.elem_aqu.txt
12/05/2003	11:05a		6,525 b8a_2.elem_min.txt
12/05/2003	11:05a		6,538 b8a_2.elem_tot.txt

Igneous Intrusion Impacts on Waste Packages and Waste Forms

12/05/2003	11:05a	14,237	b8a_2.min_info.txt
12/05/2003	10:49a	41,449	b8b_2.6i
12/05/2003	11:43a	10,970,599	b8b_2.6o
12/05/2003	11:43a	38,172	b8b_2.6p
12/05/2003	11:43a	53,100	b8b_2.elem_aqu.txt
12/05/2003	11:43a	48,523	b8b_2.elem_min.txt
12/05/2003	11:43a	48,536	b8b_2.elem_tot.txt
12/05/2003	11:43a	114,961	b8b_2.min_info.txt
12/05/2003	10:58a	41,449	b8f1_2.6i
12/05/2003	11:49a	4,644,445	b8f1_2.6o
12/05/2003	11:49a	38,172	b8f1_2.6p
12/05/2003	11:49a	28,724	b8f1_2.elem_aqu.txt
12/05/2003	11:49a	26,259	b8f1_2.elem_min.txt
12/05/2003	11:49a	26,272	b8f1_2.elem_tot.txt
12/05/2003	11:49a	57,605	b8f1_2.min_info.txt
12/05/2003	10:57a	41,449	b8f2_2.6i
12/05/2003	12:02p	13,190,388	b8f2_2.6o
12/05/2003	12:02p	37,844	b8f2_2.6p
12/05/2003	12:02p	78,584	b8f2_2.elem_aqu.txt
12/05/2003	12:02p	71,799	b8f2_2.elem_min.txt
12/05/2003	12:02p	71,812	b8f2_2.elem_tot.txt
12/05/2003	12:02p	151,179	b8f2_2.min_info.txt
12/05/2003	01:15p	38,172	b8px2.6i
12/05/2003	03:15p	677,295	b8px2.6o
12/05/2003	03:15p	38,172	b8px2.6p
12/05/2003	03:15p	3,517	b8px2.elem_aqu.txt
12/05/2003	03:15p	3,236	b8px2.elem_min.txt
12/05/2003	03:15p	3,249	b8px2.elem_tot.txt
12/05/2003	03:15p	6,735	b8px2.min_info.txt
12/05/2003	10:49a	41,449	b8p_2.6i
12/05/2003	12:46p	13,044,899	b8p_2.6o
12/05/2003	12:46p	38,172	b8p_2.6p
12/05/2003	12:46p	57,125	b8p_2.elem_min.txt
12/05/2003	12:46p	62,518	b8p_2.elem_aqu.txt
12/05/2003	12:46p	57,138	b8p_2.elem_tot.txt
12/05/2003	12:46p	135,497	b8p_2.min_info.txt
12/05/2003	10:54a	41,449	b8s_2.6i

Igneous Intrusion Impacts on Waste Packages and Waste Forms

12/05/2003	12:55p	2,955,291	b8s_2.6o
12/05/2003	12:55p	38,172	b8s_2.6p
12/05/2003	12:55p	14,887	b8s_2.elem_tot.txt
12/05/2003	12:55p	16,259	b8s_2.elem_aqu.txt
12/05/2003	12:55p	14,874	b8s_2.elem_min.txt
12/05/2003	12:55p	34,629	b8s_2.min_info.txt
	49 File(s)	48,213,170	bytes

Directory of D:\CO2-2\asprin-2

01/20/2004	11:32a	55,018,496	abstraction-2.xls
12/05/2003	03:25p	57,897	b8a_2.txt
12/05/2003	03:27p	1,449,609	b8b_2.txt
12/05/2003	03:27p	452,881	b8f1_2.txt
12/05/2003	03:28p	1,438,592	b8f2_2.txt
12/05/2003	03:29p	79,706	b8px2.txt
12/05/2003	03:29p	1,741,059	b8p_2.txt
12/05/2003	03:30p	333,630	b8s_2.txt
	8 File(s)	60,571,870	bytes

Directory of D:\CO2-25

01/20/2004	11:33a	<DIR>	asprin-25
12/05/2003	11:06a	41,449	b8a_25.6i
12/05/2003	01:19p	1,060,855	b8a_25.6o
12/05/2003	01:19p	38,174	b8a_25.6p
12/05/2003	01:18p	7,672	b8a_25.elem_aqu.txt
12/05/2003	01:18p	7,031	b8a_25.elem_min.txt
12/05/2003	01:18p	7,044	b8a_25.elem_tot.txt
12/05/2003	01:18p	16,086	b8a_25.min_info.txt
12/05/2003	11:08a	41,449	b8b_25.6i
12/05/2003	01:58p	11,425,134	b8b_25.6o
12/05/2003	01:58p	38,256	b8b_25.6p
12/05/2003	01:57p	51,319	b8b_25.elem_tot.txt
12/05/2003	01:57p	56,147	b8b_25.elem_aqu.txt
12/05/2003	01:57p	51,306	b8b_25.elem_min.txt
12/05/2003	01:57p	132,566	b8b_25.min_info.txt

Igneous Intrusion Impacts on Waste Packages and Waste Forms

12/05/2003	11:09a	41,448	b8f1_25.6i
12/05/2003	02:03p	4,839,732	b8f1_25.6o
12/05/2003	02:03p	38,256	b8f1_25.6p
12/05/2003	02:03p	27,284	b8f1_25.elem_tot.txt
12/05/2003	02:03p	29,832	b8f1_25.elem_aqu.txt
12/05/2003	02:03p	27,271	b8f1_25.elem_min.txt
12/05/2003	02:03p	65,366	b8f1_25.min_info.txt
12/05/2003	11:10a	41,449	b8f2_25.6i
12/05/2003	02:19p	13,632,923	b8f2_25.6o
12/05/2003	02:19p	38,174	b8f2_25.6p
12/05/2003	02:19p	72,824	b8f2_25.elem_tot.txt
12/05/2003	02:19p	79,692	b8f2_25.elem_aqu.txt
12/05/2003	02:19p	72,811	b8f2_25.elem_min.txt
12/05/2003	02:19p	169,716	b8f2_25.min_info.txt
12/05/2003	03:20p	38,256	b8px25.6i
12/05/2003	03:36p	1,059,213	b8px25.6o
12/05/2003	03:36p	38,256	b8px25.6p
12/05/2003	03:36p	4,754	b8px25.elem_min.txt
12/05/2003	03:36p	5,179	b8px25.elem_aqu.txt
12/05/2003	03:36p	4,767	b8px25.elem_tot.txt
12/05/2003	03:36p	9,809	b8px25.min_info.txt
12/05/2003	11:12a	41,448	b8p_25.6i
12/05/2003	03:03p	13,255,497	b8p_25.6o
12/05/2003	03:03p	38,256	b8p_25.6p
12/05/2003	03:03p	152,050	b8p_25.min_info.txt
12/05/2003	03:03p	64,180	b8p_25.elem_aqu.txt
12/05/2003	03:03p	58,643	b8p_25.elem_min.txt
12/05/2003	03:03p	58,656	b8p_25.elem_tot.txt
12/05/2003	11:13a	41,449	b8s_25.6i
12/05/2003	03:14p	3,520,354	b8s_25.6o
12/05/2003	03:14p	38,256	b8s_25.6p
12/05/2003	03:14p	17,670	b8s_25.elem_tot.txt
12/05/2003	03:14p	19,306	b8s_25.elem_aqu.txt
12/05/2003	03:14p	17,657	b8s_25.elem_min.txt
12/05/2003	03:14p	44,302	b8s_25.min_info.txt
49 File(s)		50,679,224	bytes

Igneous Intrusion Impacts on Waste Packages and Waste Forms

Directory of D:\CO2-25\asprin-25

01/20/2004	11:33a	57,953,792	abstraction-25.xls
12/05/2003	03:25p	64,223	b8a_25.txt
12/05/2003	03:26p	1,478,786	b8b_25.txt
12/05/2003	03:31p	460,250	b8f1_25.txt
12/05/2003	03:31p	1,445,671	b8f2_25.txt
12/05/2003	03:37p	134,113	b8px25.txt
12/05/2003	03:32p	1,741,062	b8p_25.txt
12/05/2003	03:32p	391,981	b8s_25.txt
	8 File(s)	63,669,878	bytes

Directory of D:\database

04/04/2003	10:33a	2,719,044	data0.slt
	1 File(s)	2,719,044	bytes

Directory of D:\EQ3-6 Inputs

01/20/2004	12:01p	56,832	Aluminosilicate rates.xls
02/04/2004	10:16a	27,136	apatite rate.xls
02/23/2004	04:17p	79,872	basalt-separated.xls
12/16/2003	11:23a	20,992	conversions.xls
01/20/2004	08:41a	25,600	Diss-Rate Summary.xls
06/26/2003	09:43a	30,720	olivine rate.xls
11/19/2003	02:50p	40,960	oxides rate.xls
07/01/2003	03:40p	39,936	pyroxene rates.xls
	8 File(s)	322,048	bytes

Directory of D:\Eq3-bin8

11/22/2003	12:23p	12,672	b8pw2.3i
11/22/2003	12:24p	78,651	b8pw2.3o
11/22/2003	12:24p	9,524	b8pw2.3p
11/23/2003	10:21a	12,674	b8pw25.3i
11/23/2003	10:23a	79,421	b8pw25.3o
11/23/2003	10:23a	9,526	b8pw25.3p

Igneous Intrusion Impacts on Waste Packages and Waste Forms

11/21/2003	03:54p	12,671	b8pw3.3i
11/21/2003	03:54p	80,206	b8pw3.3o
11/21/2003	03:54p	9,523	b8pw3.3p
11/23/2003	10:23a	12,674	b8pw35.3i
11/23/2003	10:23a	80,953	b8pw35.3o
11/23/2003	10:23a	9,526	b8pw35.3p
11/22/2003	12:24p	12,672	b8pw4.3i
11/22/2003	12:25p	81,833	b8pw4.3o
11/22/2003	12:25p	9,524	b8pw4.3p
	15 File(s)	512,050	bytes

Directory of D:\sensitivity

01/21/2004	09:02a	41,496	b11b_3.6i
01/21/2004	09:14a	3,480,401	b11b_3.6o
01/21/2004	09:14a	38,258	b11b_3.6p
01/21/2004	09:39a	346,857	b11b_3.txt
01/21/2004	09:14a	20,691	b11b_3.elem_aqu.txt
01/21/2004	09:14a	18,922	b11b_3.elem_min.txt
01/21/2004	09:14a	18,935	b11b_3.elem_tot.txt
01/21/2004	09:14a	48,840	b11b_3.min_info.txt
12/16/2003	11:24a	12,715	b11pw.3i
12/16/2003	11:25a	78,484	b11pw.3o
12/16/2003	11:25a	9,567	b11pw.3p
01/21/2004	09:25a	41,284	j13b_3.6i
01/21/2004	09:35a	3,463,274	j13b_3.6o
01/21/2004	09:35a	38,170	j13b_3.6p
01/21/2004	09:39a	378,061	j13b_3.txt
01/21/2004	09:35a	17,923	j13b_3.elem_tot.txt
01/21/2004	09:35a	19,583	j13b_3.elem_aqu.txt
01/21/2004	09:35a	17,910	j13b_3.elem_min.txt
01/21/2004	09:35a	40,368	j13b_3.min_info.txt
07/16/2003	10:54a	74,420	j13nom30.3o
07/16/2003	10:54a	9,358	j13nom30.3p
07/16/2003	10:53a	12,506	j13nom30i.3i
01/21/2004	09:50a	3,070,976	sensitivity.xls
	23 File(s)	11,298,999	bytes

Igneous Intrusion Impacts on Waste Packages and Waste Forms

Directory of D:\water rate

01/27/2004	09:36a	41,453	b8f3_3.6i
01/27/2004	09:54a	16,109,969	b8f3_3.6o
01/27/2004	09:54a	38,174	b8f3_3.6p
01/27/2004	09:54a	93,265	b8f3_3.elem_aqu.txt
01/27/2004	09:54a	85,208	b8f3_3.elem_min.txt
01/27/2004	09:54a	85,221	b8f3_3.elem_tot.txt
01/27/2004	09:54a	207,368	b8f3_3.min_info.txt
01/27/2004	10:41a	41,453	b8f4_3.6i
01/27/2004	11:01a	16,282,497	b8f4_3.6o
01/27/2004	11:01a	38,502	b8f4_3.6p
01/27/2004	11:01a	84,449	b8f4_3.elem_min.txt
01/27/2004	11:01a	92,434	b8f4_3.elem_aqu.txt
01/27/2004	11:01a	84,462	b8f4_3.elem_tot.txt
01/27/2004	11:01a	197,790	b8f4_3.min_info.txt
01/27/2004	10:40a	41,453	b8f5_3.6i
01/27/2004	11:25a	15,967,440	b8f5_3.6o
01/27/2004	11:25a	38,420	b8f5_3.6p
01/27/2004	11:25a	83,450	b8f5_3.elem_tot.txt
01/27/2004	11:25a	91,326	b8f5_3.elem_aqu.txt
01/27/2004	11:25a	83,437	b8f5_3.elem_min.txt
01/27/2004	11:25a	180,034	b8f5_3.min_info.txt
01/27/2004	02:50p	379,904	rate comparison.xls
22 File(s)		50,347,709	bytes

Directory of D:\heat.zip

04/07/2004	10:58a	<DIR>	Heat
0 File(s)		0 bytes	

Directory of D:\heat.zip\Heat

04/07/2004	01:45p	43,247,104	1200 Heat Conduction - Dry TPTPUL.xls
04/07/2004	01:24p	43,214,848	50 at 1200 Heat Conduction - Dry TPTPUL.xls
12/15/2003	03:18p	38,245,376	Heat Conduction - Dry TPTPLL.xls
12/15/2003	03:21p	38,246,400	Heat Conduction - Dry TPTPLN.xls

Igneous Intrusion Impacts on Waste Packages and Waste Forms

12/15/2003	03:29p	38,246,400	Heat Conduction - Dry	TPTPMN.xls
04/04/2004	02:03p	38,246,400	Heat Conduction - Dry	TPTPUL.xls
12/15/2003	03:20p	38,245,888	Heat Conduction - Dry	TPTPLL 300C.xls
12/15/2003	03:20p	38,245,888	Heat Conduction - Dry	TPTPLL 50C.xls
12/15/2003	03:30p	38,246,400	Heat Conduction - Wet	TPTPLN.xls
12/15/2003	03:30p	38,245,376	Heat Conduction - Wet	TPTPLL.xls
12/15/2003	03:31p	38,246,400	Heat Conduction - Wet	TPTPUL.xls
12/15/2003	03:30p	38,246,400	Heat Conduction - Wet	TPTPMN.xls
03/29/2004	02:00p	43,208,192	Heat Conduction - Uncertainty	Analysis.xls
12/15/2003	03:19p	38,245,888	Heat Conduction - Dry	TPTPLL 100C.xls
12/15/2003	03:19p	38,245,888	Heat Conduction - Dry	TPTPLL 150C.xls
12/15/2003	03:20p	38,245,888	Heat Conduction - Dry	TPTPLL 200C.xls
16 File(s)		626,868,736	bytes	

Directory of CD-ROM 2 of 2

Directory of D:\

12/16/2003	09:57a	<DIR>	CO2-3
01/25/2004	09:25a	<DIR>	CO2-35
12/10/2003	04:57p	<DIR>	CO2-4
0 File(s)		0 bytes	

Directory of D:\CO2-3

01/20/2004	11:35a	<DIR>	asprin-3
12/05/2003	03:05p	41,450	b8a_3.6i
12/05/2003	03:46p	1,103,545	b8a_3.6o
12/05/2003	03:46p	38,172	b8a_3.6p
12/05/2003	03:46p	7,949	b8a_3.elem_aqu.txt
12/05/2003	03:46p	7,284	b8a_3.elem_min.txt
12/05/2003	03:46p	7,297	b8a_3.elem_tot.txt
12/05/2003	03:46p	17,928	b8a_3.min_info.txt
12/05/2003	03:07p	41,452	b8b_3.6i
12/05/2003	04:26p	12,660,648	b8b_3.6o
12/05/2003	04:26p	38,172	b8b_3.6p
12/05/2003	04:26p	55,367	b8b_3.elem_tot.txt

Igneous Intrusion Impacts on Waste Packages and Waste Forms

12/05/2003	04:26p	60,579	b8b_3.elem_aqu.txt
12/05/2003	04:26p	55,354	b8b_3.elem_min.txt
12/05/2003	04:26p	149,108	b8b_3.min_info.txt
12/05/2003	03:11p	41,452	b8f1_3.6i
12/05/2003	04:32p	4,768,841	b8f1_3.6o
12/05/2003	04:32p	38,254	b8f1_3.6p
12/05/2003	04:32p	26,778	b8f1_3.elem_tot.txt
12/05/2003	04:32p	29,278	b8f1_3.elem_aqu.txt
12/05/2003	04:32p	26,765	b8f1_3.elem_min.txt
12/05/2003	04:32p	67,208	b8f1_3.min_info.txt
12/05/2003	03:13p	41,452	b8f2_3.6i
12/05/2003	04:46p	13,632,565	b8f2_3.6o
12/05/2003	04:46p	38,336	b8f2_3.6p
12/05/2003	04:46p	72,824	b8f2_3.elem_tot.txt
12/05/2003	04:46p	79,692	b8f2_3.elem_aqu.txt
12/05/2003	04:46p	72,811	b8f2_3.elem_min.txt
12/05/2003	04:46p	183,712	b8f2_3.min_info.txt
12/05/2003	03:15p	41,452	b8p_3.6i
12/05/2003	10:09a	6,942,186	b8p_3.6o
12/05/2003	10:09a	38,254	b8p_3.6p
12/05/2003	10:08a	35,095	b8p_3.elem_aqu.txt
12/05/2003	10:08a	32,078	b8p_3.elem_min.txt
12/05/2003	10:08a	32,091	b8p_3.elem_tot.txt
12/05/2003	10:08a	85,812	b8p_3.min_info.txt
12/08/2003	11:15a	38,172	b8sx3.6i
12/08/2003	11:27a	2,432,453	b8sx3.6o
12/08/2003	11:27a	38,172	b8sx3.6p
12/08/2003	11:27a	10,320	b8sx3.elem_min.txt
12/08/2003	11:27a	11,273	b8sx3.elem_aqu.txt
12/08/2003	11:27a	10,333	b8sx3.elem_tot.txt
12/08/2003	11:27a	18,450	b8sx3.min_info.txt
12/05/2003	03:17p	41,452	b8s_3.6i
12/05/2003	10:44a	13,066,111	b8s_3.6o
12/05/2003	10:44a	38,172	b8s_3.6p
12/05/2003	10:44a	56,632	b8s_3.elem_tot.txt
12/05/2003	10:44a	61,964	b8s_3.elem_aqu.txt
12/05/2003	10:44a	56,619	b8s_3.elem_min.txt

Igneous Intrusion Impacts on Waste Packages and Waste Forms

12/05/2003 10:44a 152,548 b8s_3.min_info.txt
49 File(s) 56,643,912 bytes

Directory of D:\CO2-3\asprin-3

01/20/2004 11:35a 50,713,088 abstraction-3.xls
12/08/2003 11:16a 65,264 b8a_3.txt
12/08/2003 11:17a 1,669,951 b8b_3.txt
12/08/2003 11:17a 458,043 b8f1_3.txt
12/08/2003 11:18a 1,443,348 b8f2_3.txt
12/08/2003 11:19a 857,835 b8p_3.txt
12/08/2003 11:29a 329,802 b8sx3.txt
12/08/2003 11:19a 1,741,059 b8s_3.txt
8 File(s) 57,278,390 bytes

Directory of D:\CO2-35

03/01/2004 12:28p <DIR> asprin-35
12/05/2003 03:04p 41,449 b8a_35.6i
12/05/2003 10:45a 987,210 b8a_35.6o
12/05/2003 10:45a 38,256 b8a_35.6p
12/05/2003 10:45a 6,538 b8a_35.elem_tot.txt
12/05/2003 10:45a 7,118 b8a_35.elem_aqu.txt
12/05/2003 10:45a 6,525 b8a_35.elem_min.txt
12/05/2003 10:45a 15,742 b8a_35.min_info.txt
01/20/2004 04:37p 38,174 b8bq35.6i
01/20/2004 10:05a 12,484,547 b8bq35.6o
01/20/2004 10:05a 38,174 b8bq35.6p
01/20/2004 10:05a 56,424 b8bq35.elem_aqu.txt
01/20/2004 10:05a 51,559 b8bq35.elem_min.txt
01/20/2004 10:05a 51,572 b8bq35.elem_tot.txt
01/20/2004 10:05a 87,565 b8bq35.min_info.txt
01/20/2004 10:10a 38,174 b8br35.6i
01/20/2004 10:39a 12,514,046 b8br35.6o
01/20/2004 10:39a 38,174 b8br35.6p
01/20/2004 10:39a 56,701 b8br35.elem_aqu.txt
01/20/2004 10:39a 51,812 b8br35.elem_min.txt

Igneous Intrusion Impacts on Waste Packages and Waste Forms

01/20/2004	10:39a	51,825	b8br35.elem_tot.txt
01/20/2004	10:39a	87,989	b8br35.min_info.txt
03/01/2004	11:08a	38,174	b8bs35.6i
03/01/2004	11:32a	9,621,286	b8bs35.6o
03/01/2004	11:32a	38,256	b8bs35.6p
03/01/2004	11:32a	43,405	b8bs35.elem_aqu.txt
03/01/2004	11:32a	39,668	b8bs35.elem_min.txt
03/01/2004	11:32a	39,681	b8bs35.elem_tot.txt
03/01/2004	11:32a	70,396	b8bs35.min_info.txt
01/20/2004	09:28a	38,174	b8bx35.6i
01/20/2004	09:56a	12,523,029	b8bx35.6o
01/20/2004	09:56a	38,174	b8bx35.6p
01/20/2004	09:56a	56,424	b8bx35.elem_aqu.txt
01/20/2004	09:56a	51,559	b8bx35.elem_min.txt
01/20/2004	09:56a	51,572	b8bx35.elem_tot.txt
01/20/2004	09:56a	87,565	b8bx35.min_info.txt
01/20/2004	11:20a	38,174	b8by35.6i
01/20/2004	11:47a	12,516,734	b8by35.6o
01/20/2004	11:47a	38,174	b8by35.6p
01/20/2004	11:47a	56,424	b8by35.elem_aqu.txt
01/20/2004	11:47a	51,559	b8by35.elem_min.txt
01/20/2004	11:47a	51,572	b8by35.elem_tot.txt
01/20/2004	11:47a	87,565	b8by35.min_info.txt
01/20/2004	11:52a	38,174	b8bz35.6i
01/20/2004	12:21p	12,504,895	b8bz35.6o
01/20/2004	12:21p	38,174	b8bz35.6p
01/20/2004	12:21p	56,424	b8bz35.elem_aqu.txt
01/20/2004	12:21p	51,559	b8bz35.elem_min.txt
01/20/2004	12:21p	51,572	b8bz35.elem_tot.txt
01/20/2004	12:21p	87,565	b8bz35.min_info.txt
01/20/2004	08:58a	41,294	b8b_35.6i
01/20/2004	09:11a	4,782,264	b8b_35.6o
01/20/2004	09:11a	38,174	b8b_35.6p
01/20/2004	09:11a	24,569	b8b_35.elem_aqu.txt
01/20/2004	09:11a	22,464	b8b_35.elem_min.txt
01/20/2004	09:11a	22,477	b8b_35.elem_tot.txt
01/20/2004	09:11a	56,283	b8b_35.min_info.txt

Igneous Intrusion Impacts on Waste Packages and Waste Forms

12/05/2003	03:11p	41,449	b8f1_35.6i
12/05/2003	11:24a	4,634,878	b8f1_35.6o
12/05/2003	11:24a	38,256	b8f1_35.6p
12/05/2003	11:24a	25,766	b8f1_35.elem_tot.txt
12/05/2003	11:24a	28,170	b8f1_35.elem_aqu.txt
12/05/2003	11:24a	25,753	b8f1_35.elem_min.txt
12/05/2003	11:24a	62,558	b8f1_35.min_info.txt
12/05/2003	03:13p	41,449	b8f2_35.6i
12/05/2003	11:38a	13,432,671	b8f2_35.6o
12/05/2003	11:38a	38,174	b8f2_35.6p
12/05/2003	11:38a	71,812	b8f2_35.elem_tot.txt
12/05/2003	11:38a	78,584	b8f2_35.elem_aqu.txt
12/05/2003	11:38a	71,799	b8f2_35.elem_min.txt
12/05/2003	11:38a	168,188	b8f2_35.min_info.txt
12/10/2003	03:05p	38,174	b8pq35.6i
12/10/2003	03:33p	12,520,661	b8pq35.6o
12/10/2003	03:33p	38,174	b8pq35.6p
12/10/2003	03:33p	56,424	b8pq35.elem_aqu.txt
12/10/2003	03:33p	51,559	b8pq35.elem_min.txt
12/10/2003	03:33p	51,572	b8pq35.elem_tot.txt
12/10/2003	03:33p	87,562	b8pq35.min_info.txt
12/10/2003	04:12p	38,174	b8pr35.6i
12/10/2003	04:38p	12,532,839	b8pr35.6o
12/10/2003	04:38p	38,174	b8pr35.6p
12/10/2003	04:38p	56,701	b8pr35.elem_aqu.txt
12/10/2003	04:38p	51,812	b8pr35.elem_min.txt
12/10/2003	04:38p	51,825	b8pr35.elem_tot.txt
12/10/2003	04:38p	87,986	b8pr35.min_info.txt
12/09/2003	01:53p	38,174	b8px35.6i
12/09/2003	02:54p	12,498,549	b8px35.6o
12/09/2003	02:54p	38,174	b8px35.6p
12/09/2003	02:54p	56,147	b8px35.elem_aqu.txt
12/09/2003	02:54p	51,306	b8px35.elem_min.txt
12/09/2003	02:54p	51,319	b8px35.elem_tot.txt
12/09/2003	02:54p	87,138	b8px35.min_info.txt
12/10/2003	09:14a	38,174	b8py35.6i
12/10/2003	10:13a	12,504,211	b8py35.6o

Igneous Intrusion Impacts on Waste Packages and Waste Forms

12/10/2003	10:13a	38,174	b8py35.6p
12/10/2003	10:13a	56,147	b8py35.elem_aqu.txt
12/10/2003	10:13a	51,306	b8py35.elem_min.txt
12/10/2003	10:13a	51,319	b8py35.elem_tot.txt
12/10/2003	10:13a	87,138	b8py35.min_info.txt
12/10/2003	11:35a	38,174	b8pz35.6i
12/10/2003	12:03p	12,524,530	b8pz35.6o
12/10/2003	12:03p	38,174	b8pz35.6p
12/10/2003	12:02p	56,424	b8pz35.elem_aqu.txt
12/10/2003	12:02p	51,559	b8pz35.elem_min.txt
12/10/2003	12:02p	51,572	b8pz35.elem_tot.txt
12/10/2003	12:02p	87,562	b8pz35.min_info.txt
12/08/2003	11:39a	41,449	b8p_35.6i
12/08/2003	12:02p	4,780,999	b8p_35.6o
12/08/2003	12:02p	38,174	b8p_35.6p
12/08/2003	12:02p	24,569	b8p_35.elem_aqu.txt
12/08/2003	12:02p	22,464	b8p_35.elem_min.txt
12/08/2003	12:02p	22,477	b8p_35.elem_tot.txt
12/08/2003	12:02p	56,280	b8p_35.min_info.txt
01/20/2004	01:20p	38,174	b8sq35.6i
01/20/2004	01:50p	10,580,460	b8sq35.6o
01/20/2004	01:50p	38,256	b8sq35.6p
01/20/2004	01:50p	47,837	b8sq35.elem_aqu.txt
01/20/2004	01:50p	43,716	b8sq35.elem_min.txt
01/20/2004	01:50p	43,729	b8sq35.elem_tot.txt
01/20/2004	01:50p	75,071	b8sq35.min_info.txt
01/20/2004	09:29a	38,174	b8sx35.6i
01/20/2004	10:25a	12,472,609	b8sx35.6o
01/20/2004	10:25a	38,174	b8sx35.6p
01/20/2004	10:25a	56,147	b8sx35.elem_aqu.txt
01/20/2004	10:25a	51,306	b8sx35.elem_min.txt
01/20/2004	10:25a	51,319	b8sx35.elem_tot.txt
01/20/2004	10:25a	87,141	b8sx35.min_info.txt
01/20/2004	10:47a	38,174	b8sy35.6i
01/20/2004	11:15a	12,469,812	b8sy35.6o
01/20/2004	11:15a	38,174	b8sy35.6p
01/20/2004	11:15a	51,306	b8sy35.elem_min.txt

Igneous Intrusion Impacts on Waste Packages and Waste Forms

01/20/2004	11:15a	56,147	b8sy35.elem_aqu.txt
01/20/2004	11:15a	51,319	b8sy35.elem_tot.txt
01/20/2004	11:15a	87,141	b8sy35.min_info.txt
01/20/2004	11:53a	38,174	b8sz35.6i
01/20/2004	12:51p	12,453,342	b8sz35.6o
01/20/2004	12:51p	38,174	b8sz35.6p
01/20/2004	12:51p	56,147	b8sz35.elem_aqu.txt
01/20/2004	12:51p	51,306	b8sz35.elem_min.txt
01/20/2004	12:51p	51,319	b8sz35.elem_tot.txt
01/20/2004	12:51p	87,141	b8sz35.min_info.txt
01/20/2004	08:58a	41,295	b8s_35.6i
01/20/2004	09:22a	4,821,987	b8s_35.6o
01/20/2004	09:22a	38,174	b8s_35.6p
01/20/2004	09:22a	24,846	b8s_35.elem_aqu.txt
01/20/2004	09:22a	22,717	b8s_35.elem_min.txt
01/20/2004	09:22a	22,730	b8s_35.elem_tot.txt
01/20/2004	09:22a	56,899	b8s_35.min_info.txt
	147 File(s)	222,344,291	bytes

Directory of D:\CO2-35\asprin-35

03/01/2004	12:28p	29,227,008	abstraction-35.xls
12/10/2003	04:58p	59,061	b8a_35.txt
01/21/2004	03:57p	1,741,062	b8bq35.txt
01/21/2004	03:59p	1,741,062	b8br35.txt
03/01/2004	12:03p	1,335,004	b8bs35.txt
01/21/2004	03:53p	1,741,062	b8bx35.txt
01/21/2004	03:56p	1,741,062	b8by35.txt
01/21/2004	03:56p	1,741,062	b8bz35.txt
01/21/2004	03:53p	581,062	b8b_35.txt
12/10/2003	10:12a	457,524	b8f1_35.txt
12/10/2003	10:18a	1,449,789	b8f2_35.txt
12/10/2003	10:22a	1,741,062	b8pq35.txt
12/10/2003	10:23a	1,741,062	b8pr35.txt
12/10/2003	10:20a	1,741,062	b8px35.txt
12/10/2003	10:21a	1,741,062	b8py35.txt
12/10/2003	10:21a	1,741,062	b8pz35.txt

Igneous Intrusion Impacts on Waste Packages and Waste Forms

12/10/2003	10:19a	581,062	b8p_35.txt
01/21/2004	04:18p	1,471,478	b8sq35.txt
01/21/2004	04:15p	1,741,062	b8sx35.txt
01/21/2004	04:16p	1,741,062	b8sy35.txt
01/21/2004	04:17p	1,741,062	b8sz35.txt
01/21/2004	04:14p	581,062	b8s_35.txt
	22 File(s)	58,376,856 bytes	

Directory of D:\CO2-4

01/27/2004	11:31a	<DIR>	asprin-4
12/05/2003	03:06p	41,449	b8a_4.6i
12/05/2003	12:43p	961,316	b8a_4.6o
12/05/2003	12:43p	38,172	b8a_4.6p
12/05/2003	12:43p	6,538	b8a_4.elem_tot.txt
12/05/2003	12:43p	7,118	b8a_4.elem_aqu.txt
12/05/2003	12:43p	6,525	b8a_4.elem_min.txt
12/05/2003	12:43p	15,742	b8a_4.min_info.txt
12/10/2003	11:35a	38,172	b8bq4.6i
12/10/2003	01:04p	12,603,955	b8bq4.6o
12/10/2003	01:04p	38,254	b8bq4.6p
12/10/2003	01:04p	56,701	b8bq4.elem_aqu.txt
12/10/2003	01:04p	51,812	b8bq4.elem_min.txt
12/10/2003	01:04p	51,825	b8bq4.elem_tot.txt
12/10/2003	01:04p	91,420	b8bq4.min_info.txt
12/10/2003	01:34p	38,254	b8br4.6i
12/10/2003	02:13p	5,174,632	b8br4.6o
12/10/2003	02:13p	38,254	b8br4.6p
12/10/2003	02:13p	23,461	b8br4.elem_aqu.txt
12/10/2003	02:13p	21,452	b8br4.elem_min.txt
12/10/2003	02:13p	21,465	b8br4.elem_tot.txt
12/10/2003	02:13p	39,148	b8br4.min_info.txt
12/08/2003	02:02p	38,254	b8bx4.6i
12/08/2003	03:31p	12,542,025	b8bx4.6o
12/08/2003	03:31p	38,172	b8bx4.6p
12/08/2003	03:31p	56,424	b8bx4.elem_aqu.txt
12/08/2003	03:31p	51,559	b8bx4.elem_min.txt

Igneous Intrusion Impacts on Waste Packages and Waste Forms

12/08/2003	03:31p	51,572	b8bx4.elem_tot.txt
12/08/2003	03:31p	92,460	b8bx4.min_info.txt
12/08/2003	10:01a	38,172	b8by4.6i
12/08/2003	11:07a	12,539,215	b8by4.6o
12/08/2003	11:07a	38,172	b8by4.6p
12/08/2003	11:07a	56,424	b8by4.elem_aqu.txt
12/08/2003	11:07a	51,559	b8by4.elem_min.txt
12/08/2003	11:07a	51,572	b8by4.elem_tot.txt
12/08/2003	11:07a	87,562	b8by4.min_info.txt
12/09/2003	10:36a	38,172	b8bz4.6i
12/09/2003	12:05p	12,548,076	b8bz4.6o
12/09/2003	12:05p	38,172	b8bz4.6p
12/09/2003	12:05p	56,424	b8bz4.elem_aqu.txt
12/09/2003	12:05p	51,559	b8bz4.elem_min.txt
12/09/2003	12:05p	51,572	b8bz4.elem_tot.txt
12/09/2003	12:05p	87,562	b8bz4.min_info.txt
12/08/2003	11:39a	41,451	b8b_4.6i
12/08/2003	12:26p	4,668,965	b8b_4.6o
12/08/2003	12:26p	38,254	b8b_4.6p
12/08/2003	12:26p	23,184	b8b_4.elem_aqu.txt
12/08/2003	12:26p	21,199	b8b_4.elem_min.txt
12/08/2003	12:26p	21,212	b8b_4.elem_tot.txt
12/08/2003	12:26p	53,008	b8b_4.min_info.txt
11/22/2003	12:58p	41,450	b8d_4.6i
12/09/2003	01:56p	38,172	b8flq4.6i
12/09/2003	03:59p	8,536,982	b8flq4.6o
12/09/2003	03:59p	38,172	b8flq4.6p
12/09/2003	03:59p	39,250	b8flq4.elem_aqu.txt
12/09/2003	03:59p	35,873	b8flq4.elem_min.txt
12/09/2003	03:59p	35,886	b8flq4.elem_tot.txt
12/09/2003	03:59p	61,274	b8flq4.min_info.txt
12/08/2003	02:03p	38,172	b8flx4.6i
12/08/2003	04:04p	12,613,772	b8flx4.6o
12/08/2003	04:04p	38,172	b8flx4.6p
12/08/2003	04:03p	57,809	b8flx4.elem_aqu.txt
12/08/2003	04:03p	52,824	b8flx4.elem_min.txt
12/08/2003	04:03p	52,837	b8flx4.elem_tot.txt

Igneous Intrusion Impacts on Waste Packages and Waste Forms

12/08/2003	04:04p	89,682	b8f1x4.min_info.txt
12/08/2003	10:03a	38,172	b8f1y4.6i
12/08/2003	11:41a	12,559,800	b8f1y4.6o
12/08/2003	11:41a	38,172	b8f1y4.6p
12/08/2003	11:40a	57,255	b8f1y4.elem_aqu.txt
12/08/2003	11:40a	52,318	b8f1y4.elem_min.txt
12/08/2003	11:40a	52,331	b8f1y4.elem_tot.txt
12/08/2003	11:41a	88,834	b8f1y4.min_info.txt
12/09/2003	08:56a	38,172	b8f1z4.6i
12/09/2003	10:44a	12,670,010	b8f1z4.6o
12/09/2003	10:44a	38,172	b8f1z4.6p
12/09/2003	10:44a	58,363	b8f1z4.elem_aqu.txt
12/09/2003	10:44a	53,330	b8f1z4.elem_min.txt
12/09/2003	10:44a	53,343	b8f1z4.elem_tot.txt
12/09/2003	10:44a	90,530	b8f1z4.min_info.txt
12/08/2003	11:39a	41,451	b8f1_4.6i
12/08/2003	12:38p	5,102,125	b8f1_4.6o
12/08/2003	12:38p	38,172	b8f1_4.6p
12/08/2003	12:37p	27,893	b8f1_4.elem_aqu.txt
12/08/2003	12:37p	25,500	b8f1_4.elem_min.txt
12/08/2003	12:37p	25,513	b8f1_4.elem_tot.txt
12/08/2003	12:37p	61,942	b8f1_4.min_info.txt
12/05/2003	03:14p	41,451	b8f2_4.6i
12/05/2003	02:13p	13,408,358	b8f2_4.6o
12/05/2003	02:13p	38,172	b8f2_4.6p
12/05/2003	02:12p	71,812	b8f2_4.elem_tot.txt
12/05/2003	02:12p	78,584	b8f2_4.elem_aqu.txt
12/05/2003	02:12p	71,799	b8f2_4.elem_min.txt
12/05/2003	02:12p	168,188	b8f2_4.min_info.txt
12/09/2003	04:24p	38,172	b8pq4.6i
12/09/2003	10:51a	12,403,722	b8pq4.6o
12/09/2003	10:51a	38,254	b8pq4.6p
12/09/2003	10:51a	55,870	b8pq4.elem_aqu.txt
12/09/2003	10:51a	51,053	b8pq4.elem_min.txt
12/09/2003	10:51a	51,066	b8pq4.elem_tot.txt
12/09/2003	10:51a	91,300	b8pq4.min_info.txt
12/08/2003	02:06p	38,172	b8px4.6i

Igneous Intrusion Impacts on Waste Packages and Waste Forms

12/08/2003	04:33p	12,537,460	b8px4.6o
12/08/2003	04:33p	38,172	b8px4.6p
12/08/2003	04:33p	56,424	b8px4.elem_aqu.txt
12/08/2003	04:33p	51,559	b8px4.elem_min.txt
12/08/2003	04:33p	51,572	b8px4.elem_tot.txt
12/08/2003	04:33p	87,562	b8px4.min_info.txt
12/08/2003	10:05a	38,172	b8py4.6i
12/08/2003	12:11p	12,557,370	b8py4.6o
12/08/2003	12:11p	38,172	b8py4.6p
12/08/2003	12:11p	56,701	b8py4.elem_aqu.txt
12/08/2003	12:11p	51,812	b8py4.elem_min.txt
12/08/2003	12:11p	51,825	b8py4.elem_tot.txt
12/08/2003	12:11p	87,986	b8py4.min_info.txt
12/09/2003	08:57a	38,172	b8pz4.6i
12/09/2003	11:14a	12,574,906	b8pz4.6o
12/09/2003	11:14a	38,172	b8pz4.6p
12/09/2003	11:14a	56,701	b8pz4.elem_aqu.txt
12/09/2003	11:14a	51,812	b8pz4.elem_min.txt
12/09/2003	11:14a	51,825	b8pz4.elem_tot.txt
12/09/2003	11:14a	87,986	b8pz4.min_info.txt
12/08/2003	11:39a	41,451	b8p_4.6i
12/08/2003	12:51p	4,698,092	b8p_4.6o
12/08/2003	12:51p	38,172	b8p_4.6p
12/08/2003	12:51p	23,461	b8p_4.elem_aqu.txt
12/08/2003	12:51p	21,452	b8p_4.elem_min.txt
12/08/2003	12:51p	21,465	b8p_4.elem_tot.txt
12/08/2003	12:51p	53,672	b8p_4.min_info.txt
12/08/2003	02:07p	38,254	b8sx4.6i
12/08/2003	04:55p	9,292,188	b8sx4.6o
12/08/2003	04:55p	38,254	b8sx4.6p
12/08/2003	04:55p	37,644	b8sx4.elem_min.txt
12/08/2003	04:55p	41,189	b8sx4.elem_aqu.txt
12/08/2003	04:55p	37,657	b8sx4.elem_tot.txt
12/08/2003	04:55p	67,820	b8sx4.min_info.txt
12/08/2003	11:39a	41,451	b8s_4.6i
12/08/2003	01:05p	4,669,230	b8s_4.6o
12/08/2003	01:05p	38,254	b8s_4.6p

Igneous Intrusion Impacts on Waste Packages and Waste Forms

12/08/2003	01:05p	23,184	b8s_4.elem_aqu.txt
12/08/2003	01:05p	21,199	b8s_4.elem_min.txt
12/08/2003	01:05p	21,212	b8s_4.elem_tot.txt
12/08/2003	01:05p	52,984	b8s_4.min_info.txt
141 File(s)		200,387,961	bytes

Directory of D:\CO2-4\asprin-4

01/27/2004	11:31a	56,294,400	abstraction-4.xls
12/10/2003	04:58p	53,431	b8a_4.txt
12/10/2003	10:06a	1,741,059	b8bq4.txt
12/10/2003	10:06a	705,759	b8br4.txt
12/10/2003	10:00a	1,741,059	b8bx4.txt
12/10/2003	10:01a	1,741,059	b8by4.txt
12/10/2003	10:05a	1,741,059	b8bz4.txt
12/10/2003	04:59p	581,059	b8b_4.txt
12/10/2003	10:16a	1,173,706	b8flq4.txt
12/10/2003	10:14a	1,741,062	b8flx4.txt
12/10/2003	10:14a	1,741,062	b8fly4.txt
12/10/2003	10:15a	1,741,062	b8flz4.txt
12/10/2003	10:13a	581,062	b8fl_4.txt
12/10/2003	10:17a	1,451,236	b8f2_4.txt
12/10/2003	10:23a	1,708,579	b8pq4.txt
12/10/2003	10:25a	1,741,059	b8px4.txt
12/10/2003	10:24a	1,741,059	b8py4.txt
12/10/2003	10:24a	1,741,059	b8pz4.txt
12/10/2003	10:26a	581,059	b8p_4.txt
12/10/2003	10:28a	1,262,501	b8sx4.txt
12/10/2003	10:27a	581,059	b8s_4.txt
21 File(s)		82,384,450	bytes

OCRWM

SPECIAL INSTRUCTION SHEET

1. QA: QA
Page 1 of 1✓ file sent
ok DE
5/23/04

This is a placeholder page for records that cannot be scanned.

2. Record Date

04/16/04

3. Accession Number

Attachment to: DOC. 20040421.0002

4. Author Name(s)

Patricia Bernot

5. Authorization Organization

Waste Form

6. Title/Description

Igneous Intrusion Impacts on Waste Packages and Waste Forms

7. Document Number(s)

MDL-EBS-GS-000002

8. Version Designator

Rev. 01

9. Document Type dc 040525

~~Attachment III~~

Data

10. Medium

CD (4)

11. Access Control Code

PUB

12. Traceability Designator

MDL-EBS-GS-000002 Rev. 01

13. Comments

Excel

EQ3/6 V7 .2b

EQ6 V7 .2bLV

ASPRIN

● File Transfer Validation Statement

Author signature and date

4/20/04THIS IS AN ELECTRONIC
ATTACHMENT

D:\CO2-2

File Edit View Favorites Tools Help

Back Search Folders

Address D:\CO2-2 Go

Folders	Name	Size	Type	Modified
Desktop	b8f2_2.elem_aqu	77 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 12:02 PM
My Documents	b8f2_2.elem_min	71 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 12:02 PM
My Pictures	b8f2_2.elem_tot	71 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 12:02 PM
My Computer	b8f2_2.min_info	148 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 12:02 PM
3 1/2 Floppy (A:)	b8p_2.6i	41 KB	6I File	12/5/2003 10:49 AM
Local Disk (C:)	b8p_2.6o	12,740 KB	6O File	12/5/2003 12:46 PM
040416_1230 (D:)	b8p_2.6p	38 KB	6P File	12/5/2003 12:46 PM
CO2-2	b8p_2.elem_aqu	62 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 12:46 PM
CO2-25	b8p_2.elem_min	56 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 12:46 PM
database	b8p_2.elem_tot	56 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 12:46 PM
EQ3-6 Inputs	b8p_2.min_info	133 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 12:46 PM
Eq3-bin8	b8px2.6i	38 KB	6I File	12/5/2003 1:15 PM
sensitivity	b8px2.6o	662 KB	6O File	12/5/2003 3:15 PM
water rate	b8px2.6p	38 KB	6P File	12/5/2003 3:15 PM
global on 'ymnts16' (G:)	b8px2.elem_aqu	4 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 3:15 PM
Brownpc\$ on 'ym2kd3' (H:)	b8px2.elem_min	4 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 3:15 PM
Intra-web on 'ym2kd2' (N:)	b8px2.elem_tot	4 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 3:15 PM
group on 'ym2kd2' (O:)	b8px2.min_info	7 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 3:15 PM
data on 'ym2kd2' (Q:)	b8s_2.6i	41 KB	6I File	12/5/2003 10:54 AM
apps on 'ym2kd2' (X:)	b8s_2.6o	2,887 KB	6O File	12/5/2003 12:55 PM
Control Panel	b8s_2.6p	38 KB	6P File	12/5/2003 12:55 PM
My Network Places	b8s_2.elem_aqu	16 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 12:55 PM
Recycle Bin	b8s_2.elem_min	15 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 12:55 PM
Internet Explorer	b8s_2.elem_tot	15 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 12:55 PM
	b8s_2.min_info	34 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 12:55 PM

Type: Text Document Size: 76.7 KB 76.7 KB My Computer

File Edit View Favorites Tools Help

Back Forward Stop Search Folders

Address D:\CO2-25 Go

Folders	Name	Size	Type	Modified
Desktop	asprin-25		File Folder	1/20/2004 11:33 AM
My Documents	b8a_25.6i	41 KB	6I File	12/5/2003 11:06 AM
My Pictures	b8a_25.6o	1,036 KB	6O File	12/5/2003 1:19 PM
My Computer	b8a_25.6p	38 KB	6P File	12/5/2003 1:19 PM
3 1/2 Floppy (A:)	b8a_25.elem_aqu	8 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 1:18 PM
Local Disk (C:)	b8a_25.elem_min	7 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 1:18 PM
040416_1230 (D:)	b8a_25.elem_tot	7 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 1:18 PM
CO2-2	b8a_25.min_info	16 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 1:18 PM
CO2-25	b8b_25.6i	41 KB	6I File	12/5/2003 11:08 AM
database	b8b_25.6o	11,158 KB	6O File	12/5/2003 1:58 PM
EQ3-6 Inputs	b8b_25.6p	38 KB	6P File	12/5/2003 1:58 PM
Eq3-bin8	b8b_25.elem_aqu	55 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 1:57 PM
sensitivity	b8b_25.elem_min	51 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 1:57 PM
water rate	b8b_25.elem_tot	51 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 1:57 PM
global on 'ymnts16' (G:)	b8b_25.min_info	130 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 1:57 PM
Brownpc\$ on 'ym2kd3' (H:)	b8f1_25.6i	41 KB	6I File	12/5/2003 11:09 AM
Intra-web on 'ym2kd2' (N:)	b8f1_25.6o	4,727 KB	6O File	12/5/2003 2:03 PM
group on 'ym2kd2' (O:)	b8f1_25.6p	38 KB	6P File	12/5/2003 2:03 PM
data on 'ym2kd2' (Q:)	b8f1_25.elem_aqu	30 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 2:03 PM
apps on 'ym2kd2' (X:)	b8f1_25.elem_min	27 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 2:03 PM
Control Panel	b8f1_25.elem_tot	27 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 2:03 PM
My Network Places	b8f1_25.min_info	64 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 2:03 PM
Recycle Bin	b8f2_25.6i	41 KB	6I File	12/5/2003 11:10 AM
Internet Explorer	b8f2_25.6o	13,314 KB	6O File	12/5/2003 2:19 PM
	b8f2_25.6p	38 KB	6P File	12/5/2003 2:19 PM

50 object(s) (Disk free space: 0 bytes) 48.3 MB My Computer

D:\CO2-25

File Edit View Favorites Tools Help

Back Search Folders

Address D:\CO2-25 Go

Folders	Name	Size	Type	Modified
Desktop	b8f2_25.elem_aqu	78 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 2:19 PM
My Documents	b8f2_25.elem_min	72 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 2:19 PM
My Pictures	b8f2_25.elem_tot	72 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 2:19 PM
My Computer	b8f2_25.min_info	166 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 2:19 PM
3 1/2 Floppy (A:)	b8p_25.6i	41 KB	6I File	12/5/2003 11:12 AM
Local Disk (C:)	b8p_25.6o	12,945 KB	6O File	12/5/2003 3:03 PM
D40416_1230 (D:)	b8p_25.6p	38 KB	6P File	12/5/2003 3:03 PM
CO2-2	b8p_25.elem_aqu	63 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 3:03 PM
CO2-25	b8p_25.elem_min	58 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 3:03 PM
database	b8p_25.elem_tot	58 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 3:03 PM
EQ3-6 Inputs	b8p_25.min_info	149 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 3:03 PM
Eq3-bin8	b8px25.6i	38 KB	6I File	12/5/2003 3:20 PM
sensitivity	b8px25.6o	1,035 KB	6O File	12/5/2003 3:36 PM
water rate	b8px25.6p	38 KB	6P File	12/5/2003 3:36 PM
global on 'ymnts16' (G:)	b8px25.elem_aqu	6 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 3:36 PM
Brownpc\$ on 'ym2kd3' (H:)	b8px25.elem_min	5 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 3:36 PM
intra-web on 'ym2kd2' (N:)	b8px25.elem_tot	5 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 3:36 PM
group on 'ym2kd2' (O:)	b8px25.min_info	10 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 3:36 PM
data on 'ym2kd2' (Q:)	b8s_25.6i	41 KB	6I File	12/5/2003 11:13 AM
apps on 'ym2kd2' (X:)	b8s_25.6o	3,438 KB	6O File	12/5/2003 3:14 PM
Control Panel	b8s_25.6p	38 KB	6P File	12/5/2003 3:14 PM
My Network Places	b8s_25.elem_aqu	19 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 3:14 PM
Recycle Bin	b8s_25.elem_min	18 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 3:14 PM
Internet Explorer	b8s_25.elem_tot	18 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 3:14 PM
	b8s_25.min_info	44 KB	Text Document	12/5/2003 3:14 PM

Type: Text Document Size: 77.8 KB 77.8 KB My Computer

D:\water rate

File Edit View Favorites Tools Help

Back Forward Stop Search Folders

Address D:\water rate Go

Folders	Name	Size	Type	Modified
Desktop	b8f3_3.6i	41 KB	6I File	1/27/2004 9:36 AM
My Documents	b8f3_3.6o	15,733 KB	6O File	1/27/2004 9:54 AM
My Pictures	b8f3_3.6p	38 KB	6P File	1/27/2004 9:54 AM
My Computer	b8f3_3.elem_aqu	92 KB	Text Document	1/27/2004 9:54 AM
3 1/2 Floppy (A:)	b8f3_3.elem_min	84 KB	Text Document	1/27/2004 9:54 AM
Local Disk (C:)	b8f3_3.elem_tot	84 KB	Text Document	1/27/2004 9:54 AM
040416_1230 (D:)	b8f3_3.min_info	203 KB	Text Document	1/27/2004 9:54 AM
CO2-2	b8f4_3.6i	41 KB	6I File	1/27/2004 10:41 AM
CO2-25	b8f4_3.6o	15,901 KB	6O File	1/27/2004 11:01 AM
database	b8f4_3.6p	38 KB	6P File	1/27/2004 11:01 AM
EQ3-6 Inputs	b8f4_3.elem_aqu	91 KB	Text Document	1/27/2004 11:01 AM
Eq3-bin8	b8f4_3.elem_min	83 KB	Text Document	1/27/2004 11:01 AM
sensitivity	b8f4_3.elem_tot	83 KB	Text Document	1/27/2004 11:01 AM
water rate	b8f4_3.min_info	194 KB	Text Document	1/27/2004 11:01 AM
global on 'ymnts16' (G:)	b8f5_3.6i	41 KB	6I File	1/27/2004 10:40 AM
Brownpc\$ on 'ym2kd3' (H:)	b8f5_3.6o	15,594 KB	6O File	1/27/2004 11:25 AM
intra-web on 'ym2kd2' (I:)	b8f5_3.6p	38 KB	6P File	1/27/2004 11:25 AM
group on 'ym2kd2' (O:)	b8f5_3.elem_aqu	90 KB	Text Document	1/27/2004 11:25 AM
data on 'ym2kd2' (Q:)	b8f5_3.elem_min	82 KB	Text Document	1/27/2004 11:25 AM
apps on 'ym2kd2' (X:)	b8f5_3.elem_tot	82 KB	Text Document	1/27/2004 11:25 AM
Control Panel	b8f5_3.min_info	176 KB	Text Document	1/27/2004 11:25 AM
My Network Places	rate comparison	371 KB	Microsoft Excel Wor...	1/27/2004 2:50 PM
Recycle Bin				
Internet Explorer				

22 object(s) (Disk free space: 0 bytes) 48.0 MB My Computer

Name	Modified	Size	Ratio	Packed	Path
1200 Heat Conduction - Dry T...	4/7/2004 1:45 PM	43,247,104	62%	16,293,615	Heat\
50 at 1200 Heat Conduction -...	4/7/2004 1:24 PM	43,214,848	63%	16,182,125	Heat\
Heat Conduction - Dry TPTPL...	12/15/2003 3:19 PM	38,245,888	63%	14,328,120	Heat\
Heat Conduction - Dry TPTPL...	12/15/2003 3:19 PM	38,245,888	63%	14,150,476	Heat\
Heat Conduction - Dry TPTPL...	12/15/2003 3:20 PM	38,245,888	63%	14,128,632	Heat\
Heat Conduction - Dry TPTPL...	12/15/2003 3:20 PM	38,245,888	64%	13,910,452	Heat\
Heat Conduction - Dry TPTPL...	12/15/2003 3:20 PM	38,245,888	62%	14,473,570	Heat\
Heat Conduction - Dry TPTPL...	12/15/2003 3:18 PM	38,245,376	62%	14,583,471	Heat\
Heat Conduction - Dry TPTPL...	12/15/2003 3:21 PM	38,246,400	62%	14,587,942	Heat\
Heat Conduction - Dry TPTP...	12/15/2003 3:29 PM	38,246,400	62%	14,584,973	Heat\
Heat Conduction - Dry TPTP...	4/4/2004 2:03 PM	38,246,400	62%	14,579,614	Heat\
Heat Conduction - Uncertain...	3/29/2004 2:00 PM	43,208,192	63%	15,899,233	Heat\
Heat Conduction - Wet TPTP...	12/15/2003 3:30 PM	38,245,376	62%	14,606,388	Heat\
Heat Conduction - Wet TPTP...	12/15/2003 3:30 PM	38,246,400	62%	14,611,242	Heat\
Heat Conduction - Wet TPTP...	12/15/2003 3:30 PM	38,246,400	62%	14,609,919	Heat\
Heat Conduction - Wet TPTP...	12/15/2003 3:31 PM	38,246,400	62%	14,600,133	Heat\
16 file(s)		626,868,736	62%	236,129,905	