DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency Thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

Final Technical Report for USDOE Contract DE-FG02-87ER40326

Nuclear Astrophysics and Neutron Cross Section Measurements Using the ORELA

R. R. Winters
Denison University

DOE Patent Clearance Granted

Mark P Dvorscak

3.19.01

Date

Mark P Dvorscak

(630) 252-2393

E-mail mark.dvorscak@ch.doe.gov

Office of Intellectual Property Law

DOE Chicago Operations Office

I. Unexpended Funds

We anticipate **no unexpended funds** for the current funding period, January 1, 2000-December 31, 2000.

II. Introduction

This is the final report for a research program supported continuously since 1971, first by the AEC, then by the ERDA, and finally by the DOE. I am retiring from Denison University effective at the end of August 2000 and can no longer be a Primary Investigator on a contract with the DOE. It is with some pride tinged with just a dash of melancholy that I terminate what has been a very productive relationship with the DOE. Dick Meyer, Gene Henry, Terry Valasich are fine folks who make doing research much easier than it might be without the aid of such able administrators.

Over the 29 years supported by the AEC, ERDA, and DOE, my colleagues and I have measured neutron cross sections for over 90% of the stable isotopes. The funding by these agencies has supported the publication of 58 papers in the refereed literature and the presentation of 161 papers at professional meetings. Note that this level of productivity was possible only because of the support from the AEC, ERDA, and DOE.

The neutron total and capture cross sections measured over these past 29 years are of importance to our understanding of the s-process of stellar nucleosynthesis. More work remains to be done. Given the improvements in modeling the s-process, many of the cross sections need to be measured to lower neutron energies and/or re-measured to better precision. The realization of the importance of the neutron capture cross sections below 2 keV and questions about systematic errors in some of the earlier work make such measurements especially important. I am committed to continuing this work, and am working out an arrangement with Middle Tennessee State University which will allow me to be a secondary Investigator on a grant currently held by one of my research colleagues, Dr. Robert Carlton.

III. Final Performance Report

III.A. Introduction

The work performed during the past year involved measurements and analysis of the $^{88}\text{Sr}+n$ cross total and capture cross sections and the analysis of the $^{208}\text{Pb}+n$ cross section. The $^{88}\text{Sr}+n$ cross

total and capture cross sections are important for the normalization of the s-process fluence ($N_s s_\gamma$, i.e. s-process abundance times Maxwellian-averaged neutron capture cross section) and the $^{208}\text{Pb}+n$ cross section is important in reactor design. The very low backgrounds and excellent energy resolution at ORELA cleanly resolves most resonances below 20 keV and allows us to work with such small samples.

III.B. Neutron total and capture Cross Section Measurements for $^{88}\text{Sr}+n$

The $^{88}\text{Sr}(n,\gamma)$ cross section is important to nuclear astrophysics for at least three reasons.

(i) Because this cross section is very small, $^{88}\text{Sr}(n,\gamma)$ acts as a bottleneck in s-process nucleosynthesis [Ka90a]. The $A\sim 100$ region is complicated, with several different nucleosynthesis processes contributing to the abundances of nuclides in this region. Although the s-process path is complicated by branchings at the radioisotopes ^{85}Kr and ^{86}Rb , all branches eventually lead through ^{88}Sr . Because of its bottleneck character, it is crucial to know accurately the cross section for the $^{88}\text{Sr}(n,\gamma)$ reaction so that the relative contributions of various processes to the solar system abundances can be disentangled. (ii) It has been shown that a measurement of the Rb/Sr ratio in stars can be used to extract the neutron density during the s-process. Current results [La95] indicate that the neutron density derived from these data is consistent only with the s-process occurring during the interpulse phase in low mass asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars. Because the cross sections were not measured to low enough energies to determine accurately the low-temperature reaction rates needed in the models, this conclusion is based mainly on extrapolations of previous neutron capture measurements. Strontium is the normalization point for these data and strontium is mostly $^{88}\text{Sr}(n,\gamma)$. Therefore, new measurements of the $^{88}\text{Sr}(n,\gamma)$ cross section are needed at lower neutron energies to provide a more robust test of stellar models. (iii) Non-solar ratios for isotopes of strontium and other elements have been observed in SiC grains in certain meteorites [Ni98]. Such observations provide the s-process abundance ratios for isotopes of several elements, thereby greatly expanding the number of 'effectively s-only' calibration points for models of the s-process. Calculations indicate that isotopes with small (n,γ) cross sections provide the most sensitive test of s-process models when comparing to the meteorite data. Hence, more precise reaction rates are needed for nuclides such as ^{88}Sr to more fully exploit the opportunity offered by meteorite data for improved understanding of the s-process.

There have been three previously reported measurements of $^{88}\text{Sr}(n,\gamma)$ cross section from which the reaction rate has been determined [Ka90a, Ma67a, Bo76]. None of these previous measurements can be used reliably to determine the reaction rate across the range of temperatures needed for stellar model calculations---the first because it was made with a pseudo-Maxwellian source and hence determines the reaction rate at the single temperature $kT=25$ keV, the latter two because they are too imprecise and because they did not extend low enough in energy. Also, subsequent work [Al81] has shown that relatively large systematic errors may plague these data. Finally, a resonance at 2.780 keV has been reported [Ad65], but apparently ignored in evaluations of the reaction rate. Although the reported parameters for this resonance have large uncertainties, its inclusion leads to a 77% increase in the reaction rate at 5 keV over the rate calculated from all the other resonances reported in [Bo76]. Hence, it is vitally important to make new measurements in this energy range.

III.B.a. Experimental Procedures

The measurements were performed using the ORELA white neutron source facility. The ORELA was operated at a pulse rate of 525 Hz, a pulse width of 8 ns, and a power of 7-8 kW. Neutron energy was determined using time-of-flight. The samples were isotopically enriched (99.83% ^{88}Sr , 0.12% ^{87}Sr , and 0.05% ^{86}Sr) metallic strontium. After fabrication in an inert atmosphere, the sample for the capture experiment was shipped in a vacuum container. During the experiment, the sample was placed in the evacuated beam line between the γ -ray detectors. The samples for the transmission experiments were sealed in thin walled aluminum containers. The use of metallic samples substantially reduced sample dependent backgrounds and correction factors compared to previous measurements in which carbonate samples were used. In addition, the use of metallic rather than carbonate samples in the transmission experiments substantially increased the sensitivity to small resonances.

The capture measurements were made on ORELA flight path 7 at a source-to-sample distance of 40.12 m. The sample was 2.54 cm wide by 5.08 cm high by 0.01061 at/b thick. A ^{10}B filter was used to remove overlap neutrons from preceding beam bursts and a 1.27 cm thick lead filter was used to reduce γ -flash effects. The capture cross sections were determined using the pulse-height weighting technique with a pair of C_6D_6 scintillators serving as the γ -ray detectors. The overall normalization of the counts to cross section was made via the saturated resonance technique [Ma79] using the 4.9 eV resonance in the ^{197}Au cross section. As described in [Ko96], the capture apparatus has been improved in several significant ways compared to the setup [Ma71] used in many of the previous ORELA measurements. These changes have substantially reduced sample dependent backgrounds and corrections and have improved the accuracy with which absolute cross sections can be determined. These improvements were especially important in the present case because the capture cross section is much smaller and the sample dependent backgrounds potentially much larger than in any other of our previous measurements.

A ^6Li loaded glass scintillator, located 43 cm ahead of the sample in the neutron beam, was used to measure the energy dependence of the neutron flux. Separate sample-out background measurements were made and measurements with a carbon sample were used to subtract the smoothly varying background due to sample scattered neutrons.

Two separate transmission measurements were made on ORELA flight path 1. In one measurement, a ^6Li loaded glass scintillator was employed at a source-to-detector distance of 80.117 m and the sample was 0.05429 at/b thick. In the other measurement, a plastic scintillation detector was used at a source-to-sample distance of 201.575 m and the sample was 0.1100 at/b thick. In both measurements, a ^{10}B filter was used to remove overlap neutrons from preceding beam bursts, and a Pb filter was used to reduce effects due to the γ -flash at the beginning of each pulse from the ORELA. The strontium sample was exchanged periodically with an empty container having the same dimensions as the sample holder and with polyethylene and bismuth absorbers which were used for determination of backgrounds.

III.B.b. Resonance Analysis

The multi-level, multi-channel, R-matrix code SAMMY [La96] was used to fit both our transmission and capture data and extract resonance parameters. Orbital angular momentum up to

and including f-waves were allowed. Channel radii of 7.1 fm were used for all partial waves.

Resonances in both the neutron capture and transmission data could be fitted from 100 eV to 350 keV. Below this range, the neutron flux on the sample was too low due to the ^{10}B overlap filter. Above 350 keV, both the statistical precision and the energy resolution in the neutron capture data were too poor to be able to include it in the resonance analysis. The 80-m transmission data was fitted for energies below 160 keV. The 200-m transmission data was fitted at higher energies because both the resolution and the statistical precision of these data were better than that of the 80-m data in this region. Using SAMMY, we determined the parameters for 101 resonances in the energy range below 350 keV. Additional details and the resulting resonance parameters are given in a paper already accepted by Phys. Rev. C.

III.C. R-Matrix Parameter Analysis of the $n + ^{208}\text{Pb}$ Cross Section Measurement.

Collaborators: K. Guber and R. F. Carlton

The R-matrix re-analysis of the neutron transmission made at the ORELA 500 meter station on flight path 1 is essentially complete. In the following, the R-matrix analysis will be described. A major difficulty has been to correctly describe the measured cross section at low energy with the R-Matrix parameters derived from resonance analysis at higher energy. In particular, the R-Matrix description from our earlier analysis increased too rapidly below 1 keV yielding a value for the thermal cross section much higher than previously measured. New ORELA measurements of the ^{208}Pb neutron total cross section at lower energy using two short flight paths, 80 and 12 meters, have confirmed that the low energy portion of the cross section as measured on the ORELA 200 meter flight path is correct to a precision of less than 1%. We have discovered that the R-Matrix parameters usually called the R-External function which represent non-resonant interactions and the effects of resonances outside the region of our measurements were not correct for the minor isotopes in the ^{208}Pb sample. These 'background' functions are discussed below. The extrapolated thermal cross section is in excellent agreement with published results.

In order to introduce the notation, a brief description of the R-matrix formalism follows. For the case of a spin-0 nuclide and for neutron energies below the inelastic threshold, the scattering function $S(E)$ for neutron orbital and total angular momentum ℓ and J can be expressed in terms of real phase shifts, $\phi_\ell(E)$ and complex R-functions, $R_{\ell J}(E)$,

$$s_{\ell J} = e^{-2i\phi_\ell(E)} \frac{1 - L^* R_{\ell J}(E)}{1 - L R_{\ell J}(E)}.$$

The total cross section can be written in terms of $S_{\ell J}$,

$$\sigma_T(E) = \frac{2\pi}{k^2} \sum_J g(J) [1 - \text{Re}(s_{\ell J}(E))],$$

where $g(J)$ is the statistical spin factor and k is the neutron wave number.

The R-function $R_{\ell J}(E)$ is a sum over all the resonances with quantum numbers ℓJ observed within

the region or domain of the analysis, plus a smoothly increasing function of energy which describes the aggregate effect of levels external to the domain,

$$R_{\ell J}(E) = \sum_{\lambda=1}^N \frac{\gamma_{\ell J \lambda}^2}{E_{\ell J \lambda} - E - \frac{i\Gamma_{\ell J \lambda}}{2}} + R_{\ell J}^{\text{ext}}(E),$$

where $\gamma_{\ell J \lambda}^2$ and $E_{\ell J \lambda}$ are free parameters representing the reduced width and energy of the λ^{th} resonance with angular momenta ℓ and J . The quantities $\Gamma_{\ell J \lambda}$, the total widths, are given by $\Gamma_{\ell J \lambda} = \Gamma_{n \ell J \lambda} + \Gamma_{\gamma \ell J \lambda}$, where $\Gamma_{\gamma \ell J \lambda}$ is the total radiation width for the resonance.

In order to evaluate the external R-function, $R_{\ell J}^{\text{ext}}(E)$, we first note that if the actual discrete levels for all energies are replaced by a continuous density of reduced widths, i.e., a strength function $s_{\ell J}(E)$, then a smoothed real R-function can be defined

$$\bar{R}_{\ell J}(E) = \wp \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} dE' \frac{s_{\ell J}(E')}{E' - E},$$

where $\wp$ denotes the principal value integral. The external R-function is found by subtracting the contribution from levels within the domain [$E_1 = 0.0$ MeV, $E_2 = 1.71$ MeV],

$$R_{\ell J}^{\text{ext}}(E) = \bar{R}_{\ell J}(E) - \wp \int_{E_1}^{E_2} \frac{dE' s_{\ell J}(E')}{E' - E}.$$

If the strength function is chosen consistently with the observed strength within the domain, this formulation can give a good average description of the effects of the external levels, especially near the end points where the effects of levels just outside the domain are particularly important. We parameterize $s_{\ell J}(E)$ and $\bar{R}_{\ell J}(E)$ by

$$s_{\ell J}(E) = \alpha_{\ell J} + \beta_{\ell J}(E - E_1)$$

and

$$\bar{R}_{\ell J}(E) = a_{\ell J} + b_{\ell J}(E - E_1),$$

where the coefficients are free parameters. The choice of $s_{\ell J}(E)$ follows an iterative procedure; it is finally chosen to give a good description of the observed average reduced neutron width per energy interval, $\frac{\langle \gamma_{\ell J \lambda}^2 \rangle}{D_{\ell J}}$, within the domain, [E_1, E_2].

III.D. A Spherical Optical Model for $n + {}^{208}\text{Pb}$ Collaborator: R. F. Carlton

The optical model is expected to describe the cumulative effect of the resonance in the $n + {}^{208}\text{Pb}$ cross section. The influence of resonances not observed in the necessarily limited energy region [E_1, E_2] of the measurement must be included in the analysis. This information is expressed in terms of statistical quantities, the external R-functions and the strength functions, one pair for each ℓJ . It is these functions which the optical model describes. Since only the neutron entrance

channel is important in this case, the R-matrix reduces to a single-channel R-function such that the scattering function $S_{\ell J}(E)$ for orbital angular momentum ℓ and total angular momentum J can be written in terms of a smoothly varying complex R-function,

$$s_{\ell J}^{OMP}(E) = e^{-2i\phi_{\ell}(E)} \frac{1 + P_{\ell} \bar{R}_{\ell J}^{OMP}(E)}{1 - P_{\ell} \bar{R}_{\ell J}^{OMP}(E)},$$

where $P_{\ell}(E)$ and $\phi_{\ell}(E)$ are the penetrability and the hard-sphere phase shift evaluated at the channel radius. The real part of $\bar{R}_{\ell J}^{OMP}(E)$ is identified with $\bar{R}_{\ell J}(E)$ and the imaginary part with $-i\pi s_{\ell J}$. A channel radius must be chosen but its value is not critical; for the present analysis it was 8.59 fm. The boundary condition has been set equal to the shift factor at all energies.

The real and imaginary well depths, V_0 and W_D , are varied to produce optimal descriptions of the $\bar{R}_{\ell J}^{OMP}$ and $s_{\ell J}^{OMP}$ for each channel (ℓ, J). As part of the work during the rest of this grant period, dispersive terms will be used in the optical model analysis in order to find an optical potential which can properly describe both the bound and unbound states in $^{208}\text{Pb}+n$.

IV. References

- [Ka90a] F. Kaeppler, W.R. Zhao, H. Beer, and U. Ratzel, *Astrophys. J.* **355**, 348(1990).
- [La95] D.L. Lambert, V.V. Smith, M. Busso, R. Gallino, and O. Straniero, *Astrophys. J.* **450**, 302(1995).
- [Ni98] G.K. Nicolussi, M.J. Pellin, R.S. Lewis, A.M. Davis, R.N. Clayton, and S. Amari, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 3583(1998).
- [Ma67a] R.L. Macklin and J.H. Gibbons, *Astrophys. J.* **149**, 577(1967).
- [Bo76] J.W. Boldeman, B.J. Allen, A.R. D.L. Musgrove, R.L. Macklin, and R.R. Winters, *Nucl. Phys.* **A269**, 397(1976).
- [Al81] B.J. Allen, R. Shelley, T.V. der Veen, A. Brusegan, and G. Vanpraet, in *Capture Gamma-ray Spectroscopy and Related Topics*, edited by T.V. Egidy, F. Gonnenswein, and B. Maier (The Institute of Physics, Bristol, 1981), p.404.
- [Ad65] Y.V. Adamchuk, L.S. Danelyan, S.S. Moskalev, G.V. Muradyan, and Y.G. Shchepkin, *Euronuclear* **2**, 183(1965).
- [Ma79] R.L. Macklin, J. Halperin, and R.R. Winters, *Nucl. Instr. and Meth.* **164**, 213(1979).
- [Ko96] P.E. Koehler, R.R. Spencer, R.R. Winters, K.H. Guber, J.A. Harvey, N.W. Hill, and M.S. Smith, *Phys. Rev. C* **54**, 1463(1996).

- [Ma71] R.L. Macklin and B.J. Allen, Nucl. Instr. and Meth. **91**, 565(1971).
- [La96] N.M. Larson, Technical Report No. ORNL/TM-9179/R3, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, 1996 (unpublished).
- [Ko98c] P.E. Koehler, R.R. Spencer, K.H. Guber, J.A. Harvey, T. Rauscher, J.C. Blackmon, S. Raman, D.C. Larson, D.W. Bardayan, and T.~A. Lewis, in *Nuclei in the Cosmos*, edited by N. Prantzos and S. Harissopulos (Editions Frontieres, Paris, 1998), p.196.
- [Mu81] S.F. Mughabghab, M. Divadeenam, and N.E. Holden, *Neutron Cross Sections* (Academic, New York, 1981), Vol.1.
- [Dy63] F.J. Dyson and M.L. Metha, J. Math. Phys. **4**, 489(1963).
- [La60] A.M. Lane and J.E. Lynn, Nucl. Phys. **17**, 586(1960).
- [Ca88a] R.F. Carlton, R.R. Winters, C.H. Johnson, N.W. Hill, and J.A. Harvey, Phys. Rev. C **38**, 1605(1988).
- [Jo80] C.H. Johnson and R.R. Winters, Phys. Rev. C **21**, 2190(1980).
- [Co99] F. Corvi, Technical Report No. NEA/NSC/DOC(95)1, NEA, Unknown (unpublished).
- [Be92] H. Beer, F. Voss, and R.R. Winters, Astrophys. J. Suppl. **80**, 403(1992).
- [Ro58] J.C. Roy, P.J. Berry, and L.P. Roy, Can. J. Chem. **36**, 731(1958).
- [Be82] H. Beer and R.L. Macklin, Phys. Rev. C **26**, 1404(1982).
- [Kr95] H. Krauss (unpublished).
- [Ki87] K.H. Kim, M.H. Park, and B.T. Kim, Phys. Rev. C **23**, 363(1987).
- [Ko84] A.M. Kobos, B.A. Brown, R. Lindsay, and G.R. Satchler, Nucl. Phys. **A425**, 205(1984).
- [Hi76] E.R. Hilf, H.V. Groote, and K. Takahashi, in *Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Nuclei Far from Stability*, edited by Unknown (CERN 76-13, Geneva, 1976), p.142.
- [Ko81] L. Koester, K. Knopf, and W. Waschowski, Z. Phys. **A301**, 215(1981).
- [Au95] G. Audi and A.H. Wapstra, Nucl. Phys. **A595**, 409(1995).
- [Fi96] R.B. Firestone, *Table of Isotopes*, Eighth Edition (Wiley and Sons, New York, 1996).

- [Wi89a] C. Winter, B. Krusche, K.P. Lieb, S. MichaelSEN, G. Hlawatsch, H. Linder, T.V. Egidy, F. Hoyler, and R.F. Casten, Nucl. Phys. A491, 395(1989).
- [Be99] H. Beer, 1999, private communication.
- [Al82] B.J. Allen, J.W. Boldeman, and R.L. Macklin, Nucl. Sci. Eng. 82, 230(1982).
- [Ga99] R. Gallino, 1999, private communication.
- [Ott90] U. Ott and F. Begemann, Astrophys. J. 353, L57 (1990).
- [Z93] E. Zinner, S. Amari, and R. S. Lewis, Astrophys. J. 382, L47 (1991).
- [Pro93] C. A. Prombo, F. A. Podosek, S. Amari, and R. S. Lewis, Astrophys. J. 410, 393 (1993).
- [Ga90] R. Gallino, R. Busso, G. Picchio, and C. M. Raiteri, Nature (London) 348, 298 (1990).
- [St95] O. Straniero, R. Gallino, M. Busso, A. Chieffi, R. M. Raiteri, M. Limongi, and M. Salaris, Astrophys. J. 440, L85 (1995).
- [Ga97] R. Gallino, M. Busso, and M. Lugaro, in Astrophysical Implications of the Laboratory Study of Presolar Materials, edited by T. Bematowicz and E. Zinner (American Institute of Physics, New York, 1997), p. 115.
- [Gu97a] K. H. Guber, R. R. Spencer, P. E. Koehler, and R. R. Winters, Phys. Rev. Lett. 78, 2704 (1997).
- [Ko96] P. E. Koehler R. R. Spencer R. R. Winters, K. H. Guber, J. A. Harvey, N. W. Hill, and M. S. Smith, Phys. Rev. C 54, 1463, 1996.
- [Wis96] K. Wisshak, F. Voss, C. Theis, F. Kaeppler, K. Guber, L. Kazakov, N. Kornilov, and G. Reffo, Phys. Rev. C 54, 1451 (1996).
- [Wiss98] K. Wisshak, F. Voss, F. Kaeppler, L. Kazakov, and G. Reffo, Phys. Rev. C 57, 391 (1998).
- [Ga93] R. Gallino, C. M. Raiteri, and M. Busso, Astrophys. J. 410, 400(1993).
- [Vo94] F. Voss, K. Wisshak, K. Guber, F. Kdppeler, and G. Reffo, Phys. Rev. C 50, 2582 (1994); F. Voss, K. Wisshak, K. Guber, F. Kdppeler, and G. Reffo, Technical Report No. KfK 5253, Kernforschungszentrum Karlsruhe, 1994 (unpublished).
- [Gu97b] K. H. Guber, R. R. Spencer, P. E. Koehler, and R. R. Winters, Nucl. Phys. A621, 266c (1997).
- [Wiss97] K. Wisshak, F. Voss, F. Kdppeler, and L. Kazakov, Nucl. Phys. A621, 270c (1997).

- [Lu83] G. Lugmair, T. Shimamura, R. Lewis, and E. Anders, *Science* **222**, 1015 (1983).
- [Cl83] D.D. Clayton, *Principles of Stellar Evolution and Nucleosynthesis* (McGraw-Hill, New York, 1968 University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1983), Ch. 7.
- [Ma84] G.J. Mathews and F. Kaeppeler, *Astrophys. J.* **286**, 810 (1984).
- [Zin91] E. Zinner, S. Amari, and R. Lewis, *Astrophys. J.* **382**, L47 (1991).
- [To95] K. Toukan, K. Debus, F. Kaeppeler, and G. Reffo, *Phys. Rev. C* **51**, 1540 (1995).

Vita: RON R. WINTERS

Tight Family Chair in the Physical Sciences
Professor of Physics
Department of Physics & Astronomy
Denison University
Granville, Ohio 43023

Phone: (740) 587-6227
FAX: (740) 587-6240
email: winters@denison.edu

EDUCATION

1968 Ph.D (Nuclear Physics) Virginia Polytechnic Institute & State Univ.
"Half-life of ^{176}Lu ", Ph.D. Dissertation
1963 A.B. (**Magna Cum Laude**) King College, Bristol, TN

AWARDS AND HONORS

The Tight Family Chair in the Physical Sciences	Phi Beta Kappa
Fellow of the American Physical Society	Sigma Pi Sigma
The 1989 Association of Independent Colleges and Universities in Ohio Award for Outstanding Research Contributions	Sigma Xi
The Oak Ridge National Laboratory NOVA "Paper of the Year 1997 Award"	King College Scholar Award

TEACHING EXPERIENCE

1977-2000 Professor, Denison University
1971-77 Associate Professor, Denison University
1966-71 Assistant Professor, Denison University

ADMINISTRATIVE EXPERIENCE

1999-present President of the Ohio Section/American Physical Society
1997-present Director, GLCA Oak Ridge Science Semester Program
1991-1992 Director, GLCA Oak Ridge Science Semester Program
1984-85 Acting Director, GLCA Oak Ridge Science Semester Program
1980-82 Chairman, Department of Physics, Denison University
1976-99 Ass't Treas./Treas., Ohio Section/American Physical Society
1975-78 Director, GLCA Oak Ridge Science Semester Program
1975 V. President, Ohio Academy of Science, Section E
1971 (fall) Resident Director, GLCA Oak Ridge Science Semester, ORNL

RESEARCH EXPERIENCE

1994-99 Guest Scientist, Physics Div., Oak Ridge National Laboratory
1989-93 Guest Scientist, Engineering Phys. and Mathematics Div., ORNL
1971-88 Guest Scientist, Physics Div., Oak Ridge National Laboratory
1982 (fall) Guest Scientist, Kernforschungszentrum Karlsruhe IAK, W. Germany
1980 Guest Scientist, Kernforschungszentrum Karlsruhe IAK, W. Germany
1969-70 Visiting Research Associate, Experimental Astronomy Lab, M.I.T.
1966-69 Research Appointment, Research Reactor. V.P.I.S.U.

RESEARCH INTERESTS

Nuclear Astrophysics:

s-process Nucleosynthesis
 Maxwellian-Averaged Neutron
 Capture Cross Sections

Lunar Origin:

Lunar Capture Hypothesis
 Late Proterozoic Glaciations

Nuclear Physics:

Neutron Total and Capture Cross Sections
 R-Matrix Resonance Parameters
 Dispersive Optical Model
 Statistical Models
 Level Densities and Strength Functions

RESEARCH FUNDING

1987-99 U.S. Department of Energy, Div. of High Energy and Nuclear Physics
 1994-96 U.S. Dept. of Education, Fund for Improvement of Postsecondary
 Education (FIPSE)
 1994-96 W.M. Keck Foundation
 1991 Denison University Research Foundation Grant
 1989 Denison University, Robert C. Good Fellowship
 1989 Research Corporation
 1987 Denison University Research Foundation Grant
 1978-86 U.S. Department of Energy, Division of Nuclear Physics
 1985 Denison University Research Foundation Grant
 1984 Denison University Professional Development Grant
 1975-77 U.S. Energy Research and Development Administration
 1978 Denison University Professional Development Grant
 1975 Denison University Faculty Development Grant
 1966-69 Denison University Research Foundation Grant
 1963-66 NASA Traineeship, V.P.I.S.U.
 1962-63 NSF Undergraduate Research Grant in Astronomy, King College
 1961-62 NREA Research Award in Optical Spectroscopy, King College

PUBLICATIONS

- "High resolution neutron capture cross section of ^{88}Sr ", P.E. Koehler, R.R. Winters. K.H. Guber, T. Rauscher, J.A. Harvey, S. Raman, R.R. Spencer, J.C. Blackmon, D.C. Larson, D.W. Darayan, and T.A. Lewis, Accepted for publication in Phys. Rev. C (2000).
- "High resolution neutron capture and transmission measurements on ^{137}Ba and their impact on the interpretation of meteoric barium anomalies", P.E. Koehler, R.R. Spencer. K.H. Guber, R.R. Winters, S. Raman, J.A. Harvey, N.W. Hill, J.C. Blackmon, D.W. Darayan, D.C. Larson, T.A. Lewis, D.E. Pierce, and M.S. Smith, submitted to Rapid Communications, Phys. Rev. C **57**, No. 4, R1558-R1561 (1998).
- "An Amusing Representation of $x/\sin x$, Scott Ahlgren, Lars English, and Ron Winters, The American Mathematical Monthly, **104**, 964-966 (1997).
- "Measurements of $^{142,144}\text{Nd}(n,g)$ Cross Sections at ORELA for Astrophysical s-process Studies", K.H. Guber, R.R. Spencer, P.E. Koehler, and R.R. Winters, Nuclear Physics A **621**, 266-269 (1997).

- "New Neutron Capture and Transmission Measurements for $^{134,136}\text{Ba}$ at ORELA and their Impact on s-process Nucleosynthesis Calculations", P.E. Koehler, R.R. Spencer, R.R. Winters, K.H. Guber, J.A. Harvey, N.W. Hill, M.S. Smith, Nuclear Physics A 621, 258-261 (1997).
- "A BaF_2 Detector System for (n,g) Cross Section Measurements at ORELA", K.H. Guber, R.R. Spencer, P.E. Koehler, R.R. Winters, Nuclear Physics A 621 254-257 (1997).
- "New $^{142,144}\text{Nd}$ (n,g) Cross Sections and the s-process Origin of the Nd Anomalies in Presolar Meteoric Silicon Carbide Grains", K.H. Guber, R.R. Spencer, P.E. Koehler, and R.R. Winters, Phys. Rev. Ltrs. 78, No. 14 2704 (1997).
- "Continued Fractions and the Harmonic Oscillator Using Feynman's Path Integrals", L.Q. English and R.R. Winters, Am. J. of Physics 65, No. 5 390 (1997).
- "Resonance Neutron Capture and Transmission Measurements and the Stellar Neutron Capture Cross Sections of ^{134}Ba and ^{136}Ba ", P.E. Koehler, R.R. Spencer, R.R. Winters, K. H. Guber, J.A. Harvey, N.W. Hill, M.S. Smith, Phys. Rev. C 54, No. 3 1463 (1996).
- "On the Calculation of Maxwellian Averaged Capture Cross Sections", Hermann Beer, F. Voss, R.R. Winters, Astrophys. J. Supp. Series 80, 403 (1992).
- "A Gravitational Capture Origin for the Earth-Moon System: Implications for the Early History of Earth and Moon", R.J. Malcuit, D.M. Mehringer, and R.R. Winters, The Archaean: Terrains, Processes and Metallogeny, Publication 22, 223-235, J.E. Glover and S.E. Ho, eds., Geology Dept. (Key Center) and Univ. Extension, The Univ. of Western Australia, (1992).
- "Evidence for Parity Dependence in the Neutron- ^{40}Ar Optical Model Potential", C.H. Johnson, R.F. Carlton, R.R. Winters, Phys. Rev. C 44, 657 (1991).
- "Total Cross Section and Neutron Resonance Spectroscopy for $n+^{40}\text{Ar}$ ", R.R. Winters, R.F. Carlton, C.H. Johnson, N.W. Hill, M.R. Lacerna, Phys. Rev. C 43, 492 (1991).

1969-1989 46 Additional Publications

INVITED PAPERS

- "Computer-Based Symbolic Computation: Not Just for Mathematics Anymore", R.R. Winters, Z.A. Karian, Proceedings of the Seventh Annual International Conference on Technology in College Mathematics, p. 535 (1996)
- "Symbolic Manipulators in Undergraduate Physics Courses", R.R. Winters, Program/Abstracts, 159th Nat'l Meeting of the Am. Assoc. for the Advancement of Science, 151, Boston, MA, Feb. 1993.
- "Insights: Physical and Mathematical", R. R. Winters, Proceedings of the Denison Conference on the Use of Symbolic Computation in Undergraduate Mathematics, Denison University, June 1992.

1969-1989 3 Additional Invited Talks

WORKSHOPS

- "Use of the Maple Symbolic Computing System in Science and Mathematics Instruction", R.R. Winters and Z.A. Karian, Directors, NSF Chautauqua Workshop, Dayton, OH, May 1997.
- "Science and Engineering Instruction with Maple", Z.A. Karian and R.R. Winters, workshop presented at 9th Annual Internat'l Conf. on Technology in Collegiate Mathematics, Reno, NV, Nov. 1996.
- "Use of the Maple Symbolic Computing System in Science and Mathematics Instruction", R.R. Winters and Z.A. Karian, Directors, NSF Chautauqua Workshop, Dayton, OH, May 1996.

"Hands-on demonstrations of applications of symbolic computation", Z.A. Karian and R.R. Winters, Teaching and Technology Workshop - GLCA Pilot Project, Denison University, April 1996.

PAPERS

- Measurements of the Astrophysical Rates for the $^{192,194,195,196}\text{Pt}(n,\gamma)$ Reactions, P.E. Koehler, J.A. Harvey, S. Raman, K.H. Guber, R.R. Winters, J. Becker, American Chemical Society Meeting, 'Nucleosynthesis 2000', Washington D.C., August 21-23, 2000.
- "High resolution neutron capture and transmission measurements on ^{137}Ba and their impact on the interpretation of meteoric barium anomalies", P.E. Koehler, R.R. Spencer, K.H. Guber, R.R. Winters, S. Raman, J.A. Harvey, N.W. Hill, J.C. Blackmon, D.W. Darayan, D.C. Larson, T.A. Lewis, D.E. Pierce, and M.S. Smith, Second Annual Oak Ridge Atomic and Nuclear Astrophysics Conference, Oak Ridge, TN, December 2-6, 1997.
- "High Resolution Neutron Capture and Transmission Measurements and the Stellar Neutron Capture Cross Section of $^{116,120}\text{Sn}$ ", P.E. Koehler, R.R. Spencer, K.H. Guber, J.A. Harvey, N.W. Hill, and R.R. Winters, Internat'l Conference on Nuclear Data for Science and Technology, May 19-24, 1997, Trieste, Italy.
- "High Resolution Neutron Capture and Transmission Measurements and the Stellar Neutron Capture Cross Section of $^{116,120}\text{Sn}$ ", P.E. Koehler, R.R. Spencer, K.H. Guber, J.A. Harvey, N.W. Hill, and R.R. Winters, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 42, No. 2 983 (1997).
- "Predictable Patterns for Tidally-Generated Rhythmites of Archean Age for both Tidal-Capture and Giant-Impact Scenarios", R.J. Malcuit, R.R. Winters, Abstracts with Programs, 1996 Annual Meeting, Geological Society of America, V. 28, No. 7, p. A-227 (1996).
- "New High Precision Transmission Measurements on ^{208}Pb at ORELA and their Impact on the Electric Polarizability of the Neutron", K.H. Guber, J.A. Harvey, N.W. Hill, P. Riehs, S. Kopecky, and R.R. Winters, Bull. of Am. Phys. Soc., DNP Program 41, No. 5 1236 (1996).
- "A Prograde Gravitational Capture Model for the Origin of the Earth-Moon System: Is it compatible with the geological record of earth and moon?", R.J. Malcuit, R.R. Winters, Abstracts, V. 1 of 3, p. 6, 30th International Geological Congress, August 1996.
- "Numerical Simulation of Gravitational Capture Scenarios for Planets Venus and Earth: Implications for the contrasting thermal histories of these planets", R.J. Malcuit, R.R. Winters, Abstracts, V. 3 of 3, p. 506, 30th International Geological Congress, August 1996.
- "A BaF_2 Detector System for (n,g) Cross Section Measurements at ORELA", K.H. Guber, R.R. Spencer, P.E. Koehler and R.R. Winters, presented at Nuclei in the Cosmos IV, Notre Dame, IN, June 1996.
- "New (n,g) and Total Cross Section Measurements for $^{134,136}\text{Ba}$ and their Impact on s-process Nucleosynthesis Calculations", P.E. Koehler, R.R. Spencer, R.R. Winters, K.H. Guber, J.A. Harvey and N.W. Hill, presented at Nuclei in the Cosmos IV, Notre Dame, IN, June 1996.
- "Measurements of $^{142,144}\text{Nd}(n,g)$ Cross Sections at ORELA for Astrophysical s-Process Studies", K.H. Guber, R.R. Spencer, P.E. Koehler, and R.R. Winters, presented at Nuclei in the Cosmos IV, Notre Dame, IN, June 1996.
- "Symbolic Computation in Classical and Quantum Mechanics Courses", R. R. Winters, Div. C Symposium - Symbolic computation in undergraduate science instruction, Am. Educational Research Assoc., 1996 Annual Meeting Program, p. 68, April 1996.
- "Geometry of Stable Capture Zones for Planet Earth: Potential for calculation of the probabilities of gravitational capture of satellites", R.J. Malcuit, R.R. Winters, Ohio Journal of Science,

- April, Program Abstracts, 96, No. 2, A-30 (1996).
- "Evolution of the Earth-Moon System: Can the geological record of tidal deposits be used to distinguish between giant-impact and tidal capture scenarios? ", R.J. Malcuit, R.R. Winters, Abstracts, Tidalites >96, International Conference on Tidal Sedimentology, p.67-68 (1996).
- "Geometry of Stable Capture Zones for Planet Earth and Implications for Estimating the Probability of Stable Gravitational Capture of Planetoids from Heliocentric Orbit", R.J. Malcuit, R.R. Winters, Abstract Volume, Part 2, 27th Lunar and Planetary Science Conference, p. 799-800 (1996).
- "Maple, Quarks, and a Pedagogical Disappointment", R.R. Winters, Bull. of Am. Phys. Soc., Transactions B 40 No. 14, 2101 (1995).
- "Science Instruction Through the Use of Maple", R.R. Winters and Z.A. Karian, The Eighth Annual International Conference on Technology in Collegiate Mathematics, ICTCM Program, p. 36 November 1995.
- "Planetoid Capture Models: Implications for the Great Contrast in Planetological Features of Planets Venus and Earth", R.J. Malcuit, R.R. Winters, Abstracts with Programs, 1995 Annual Meeting, Geological Society of Am., V. 27, No.7, p. A208 (1995).
- "A BaF₂ Detector for (n,g) Cross Section Measurements for Astrophysical s-process Studies", R.R. Winters, K.H. Guber, R.R. Spencer et al., Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. DNP Program 40 No. 10, 1627 (1995).
- "^{142,144}Nd(n, γ) Cross Sections for Astrophysical s-process Studies", K.H. Guber, R.R. Spencer, R.R. Winters, et al., Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. DNP Program 40 No. 10, 1627 (1995).
- "^{134,136}Ba Neutron Total Cross Section Measurements for s-process Studies", P.E. Koehler, J.A. Harvey, N.W. Hill, R.R. Winters et al., Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. DNP Program 40 No. 10, 1627 (1995).
- "^{134,136}Ba(n, γ) Cross Sections for s-process Studies", R.R. Spencer, R.R. Winters, K.H. Guber et al., Bull. Am. Phys. Soc., DNP Program 40 No. 10, 1628 (1995).
- "Calculation of Stellar-Averaged Neutron Capture Cross Sections Directly from Evaluated Nuclear Data File", N.M. Larson, D.C. Larson., M.S. Smith, A.R. Braeutigam, R.R. Winters, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. DNP Program 40 No. 10, 1628 (1995).
- "Numerical Simulation of Retrograde Gravitational Capture of a Satellite by Venus: Implications for the Thermal History of the Planet", R.J. Malcuit, R.R. Winters, Abstract Volume, 26th Lunar and Planetary Science Conference p. 885-886 (1995).
- "Numerical Simulation of Terrestrial Tidal-Thermal Effects of Lunar Orbital Evolution for Tidal-Capture and Giant-Impact Scenarios: Implications for Precambrian Tectonics", R.J. Malcuit, R.R. Winters, Abstracts with Programs, 1994 Annual Meeting, Geological Society of Am., Vol. 26, p. A-425 (1994).
- "Statistical Tests of the Resonance Parameters Derived from a Measurement of ²⁰⁸Pb Neutron Total Cross Section", R.R. Winters and R.F. Carlton, Bull. of Am. Phys. Soc., Transactions, A 39, No. 3 1290 (1994).
- "Computer-Based Symbolic Computing Can Promote Discovery", G. Jewell, D. Rinehart, C. Swanson, and R.R. Winters, Bull. of Am. Phys. Soc., Transactions, A 39, No. 3 1297 (1994).
- "Origin and Evolution of the Earth-Moon System: Can the various models be tested by way of the Archean sedimentary rock record?", R.J. Malcuit and R.R. Winters, Ohio Journal of Science, April Program Abstracts 94, No. 2, 29 (1994).
- "Numerical Simulation of Tidal Capture of a Lunar-Mass Planetoid by an Earth-like Planet: Two-dimensional limits of a prograde stable capture zone," Abstract Volume, 25th Lunar and Planetary Science Conference p. 829-830 (1994).

- "Origin and Evolution of the Earth-Moon System: Can the Archean sedimentary rock record be used to distinguish between giant-impact and tidal-capture scenarios?", R.J. Malcuit and R.R. Winters, Abstracts with Programs, 1993 Annual Meeting, Geological Society of America, V. 25, No. 6, p. A388 (1993).
- "Origin and Evolution of the Earth-Moon System: Are models testable via the Archean Stratigraphic record?", R.J. Malcuit and R.R. Winters, Abstracts with Programs, Society for Sedimentary Geology Topical Conference: Stratigraphic Record of Global Change, p. 27 (1993).
- "Numerical Simulation of Tidal Capture of a Lunar-Mass Planetoid by an earth-mass planet: Dimensions of two prograde and one retrograde stable capture orientations", R.J. Malcuit and R.R. Winters, Poster Paper presented at Gordon Conference: "Origins of Solar Systems" in New London, NH, July, 1993.
- "Numerical Simulation of Tidal Capture of a Lunar-Mass Planetoid by an Earth-Mass Planet: Dimensions of Two Prograde and One Retrograde Stable Capture Orientations", R.J. Malcuit and R.R. Winters, presented at Spring Meeting of Am. Geophys. Union, EOS Supp., Baltimore, MD., p.192, May 1993.
- "A Spherical Optical Model Potential for $n+^{208}\text{Pb}$ Near Neutron Binding", R.R. Winters, R.F. Carlton, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 38, No. 7 1661 (1993).
- "Calculation of the $^{58}\text{Ni}+n$ Maxwellian-Averaged Cross Section Over the Energy Region of Stellar Nucleosynthesis," R.R. Winters, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 38, No. 7 1654 (1993).
- "Gravitational Capture of a Lunar-Like Planetoid by an Earth-Like Planet: Geometry of Stable Capture Orientations", R.J. Malcuit and R.R. Winters, Ohio J. of Science, April Program Abstracts, Youngstown State University, 93, No. 2, 39 (1993)
- "Tidal Regime of Intact Planetoid Capture Model for the Earth-Moon System: Does It Relate to the Archean Sedimentary Rock Record?" R.J. Malcuit and R.R. Winters, Abstracts, 24th Lunar and Planetary Science Conference, 923 (1993).
- "Using a Symbolic Manipulator in an Undergraduate Quantum Mechanics Course", R.R. Winters, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 37, No. 9 1888 (1992).
- "The Use of a Symbolic Manipulator in an Undergraduate Classical Mechanics Course," R.R. Winters and Z.A. Karian, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 37, No. 9 1885 (1992).
- "The Maxwellian-Averaged Neutron Capture Cross Section for ^{16}O ," R.R. Winters, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 37, No. 9 1878 (1992).
- "Comparison of the Calculation of the ^{16}O Maxwellian-Averaged Neutron Capture Cross Section Using MAXWL and Maple," R.R. Winters, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 37, No.7 1676 (1992).
- "The Archean-Proterozoic Transition: Could it be associated with a lunar orbital circularization sequence that is characteristic of a tidal capture model for the origin of the Earth's Moon?", R.J. Malcuit, R.R. Winters, Abstracts with Program, Geol. Soc. of Am. 24, No. 7 A178 (1992).
- "Numerical Simulation of Tidal Capture of a Triton-Mass Body by Neptune: Dimensions of a Two-Dimensional Retrograde Stable Capture Zone", R.J. Malcuit, D.M. Mehringer, and R.R. Winters, Trans., Am. Geophys. Union 73, 191 No. 14, Spring Meeting EOS Supp. (1992).
- "The Lunar Capture Model and the Predicted Tidal Regime of the Archean," R.J. Malcuit and R.R. Winters, Ohio J. of Science, April Program Abstracts, at Akron Univ. 92, 19 (1992).
- "Numerical Simulation of Retrograde Tidal Capture of a Triton-like Planetoid by a Neptune-like Planet: Two-dimensional Limits of a Stable Capture Zone", R.J. Malcuit, D.M. Mehringer, and R.R. Winters, Abstracts, 23rd Lunar and Planetary Science Conference, 827 (1992).
- "Recalculation of the ^{16}O Maxwellian-Averaged Neutron Capture Cross Section Over the Energy Region of Stellar Nucleosynthesis", R.R. Winters, H. Beer, F. Voss, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 37

- No. 2, 869 (1992).
- "Numerical Simulation of the Tidal Effects of an Intact Gravitational Capture Model for the Origin of the Earth-Moon System: Implications for the Archean Sedimentary Rock Record", R.J. Malcuit, and R.R. Winters, Abstracts with Programs for National Meeting (San Diego), Geol. Soc. of Am. 23 No. 5, A453 (1991).
- "Numerical Simulation of Retrograde Tidal Capture of a Triton-like Planetoid by Neptune", R.J. Malcuit, D.M. Mehringer, R.R. Winters, presented at a Gordon Conference on the topic of Origins of Solar Systems, July 1991.
- "Numerical Simulation of Retrograde Tidal Capture of a Triton-like Planetoid by Neptune", R.J. Malcuit, D.M. Mehringer, R.R. Winters, Trans., Am. Geophys. Union (Baltimore), 72, 185 (1991).
- "s- and p-wave Strength Functions and Level Densities in $n+^{208}\text{Pb}$ ", R.R. Winters, R.F. Carlton, J.A. Harvey, N.W. Hill, Joerg Schmiedmayer, C.H. Johnson, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 36 No. 5, 1693 (1991).
- "Numerical Simulation of Planetoid Capture by an Earth-Like Planet: Effect of the Mass of the Planetoid", R.J. Malcuit, D.M. Mehringer, R.R. Winters, Ohio Journal of Science, April Program Abstracts 91 No. 2, 34 (1991).
- "R-Matrix Analysis of an ORELA Measurement of the $n+^{208}\text{Pb}$ Total Cross Section from 78 to 1700 keV", R.F. Carlton, R.R. Winters, J.A. Harvey, N.W. Hill, C.H. Johnson, J. Schmiedmayer, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 36 No. 4, 1349 (1991).
- "Dispersive Optical Model Potential for $n+^{40}\text{Ar}$ ", R.R. Winters, C.H. Johnson, R.F. Carlton, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 36 No. 4, 1349 (1991).
- "Solid-Earth and Ocean Tidal Eras Associated with a Stable Gravitational Capture Scenario", R.J. Malcuit, D.M. Mehringer, R.R. Winters, Abstracts with Programs, Geo. Soc. Am., North-Central Section, 23 No. 3, 26 (1991).
- "Level Densities and Neutron Strength Functions in $n+^{40}\text{Ar}$ ", R.R. Winters, R.F. Carlton, Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 36 No. 1, 118 (1991).
- "Numerical Simulation of Retrograde Tidal Capture of a Triton-like Planetoid by a Neptune-like Planet", R.J. Malcuit, D.M. Mehringer, R.R. Winters, Abstracts, 22nd Lunar and Planetary Science Conf., 845 (1991).
- "A Gravitational Capture Origin for the Earth-Moon System: Implications for the Early History of Earth and Moon," R.J. Malcuit, D.M. Mehringer, R.R. Winters Extended Abstracts Volume, 3rd Intern'l Archean Symposium, Geoconferences, Glover & Ho, eds., (Western Australia) Inc. Perth, 7-8 (1990).
- "Numerical Simulation of Planetoid Capture by an Earth-like Planet and Subsequent Orbital Evolution: Implications for the Primitive Earth", R.J. Malcuit, D.M. Mehringer, R.R. Winters, Abstracts with Programs, Geo. Soc. Am. 22 No. 7, A218 (1990).
- "Numerical Simulation of Planetoid Capture: Identification of Some Favorable Capture Orientations", R.J. Malcuit, D.M. Mehringer, R.R. Winters, Trans., Am. Geophys. Union 71, 551 (1990).
- "Intact Planetoid Capture: Identification of Some Favorable Capture Orientation for a Lunar-like Planetoid", R.J. Malcuit, D.M. Mehringer, R.R. Winters, Abstracts, 21st Lunar and Planetary Science Conf, 730 (1990).
- "Origins of the Earth's Moon by Intact Capture: Identification of Some Favorable Capture Orientations", R.J. Malcuit, D.M. Mehringer, R.R. Winters, Ohio J. of Science, April Program Abstracts, 90 No. 2, 10 (1990).

Ron R. Winters

- 17 -

Denison University

1969-1989 100 Additional Papers Presented

High-resolution neutron capture and transmission measurements and the stellar neutron-capture cross section of ^{88}Sr

P. E. Koehler,¹ R. R. Winters,² K. H. Guber,³ T. Rauscher,^{4,5} J. A. Harvey,¹ S. Raman,¹
R. R. Spencer,³ J. C. Blackmon,¹ D. C. Larson,¹ D. W. Bardayan,¹ and T. A. Lewis¹

¹*Physics Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee*

37831

²*Department of Physics, Denison University, Granville, Ohio 43023*

³*Computational Physics and Engineering Division,*

Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831

⁴*Departement für Physik und Astronomie,*

Universität Basel, CH-4056 Basel, Switzerland

⁵*Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics,*

University of California at Santa Cruz, Santa Cruz, California, 95064

(August 3, 2000)

Abstract

We have made new and improved measurements of the neutron capture and total cross sections for ^{88}Sr at the Oak Ridge Electron Linear Accelerator (ORELA). Improvements over previous measurements include a wider incident neutron energy range, better resolution, the use of metallic rather than carbonate samples, better background subtraction, reduced sensitivity to sample-dependent backgrounds, and better pulse-height weighting functions. Because of its small cross section, the $^{88}\text{Sr}(n,\gamma)$ reaction is an important bottleneck during *s*-process nucleosynthesis. Hence, an accurate determination of this rate is needed to better constrain the neutron exposure in *s*-process models and to better understand the recently discovered isotopic anomalies

in certain meteorites. We performed an $\mathcal{R}$ -matrix analysis of our capture and transmission data to extract parameters for 101 resonances between 100 eV and 350 keV. In addition, we fitted our transmission data alone to extract parameters for 342 additional resonances between 350 and 950 keV. We used this information to calculate average properties of the $^{88}\text{Sr}+n$ system for comparison to previous work. Although previous data and resonance analyses were much less extensive, they are, in general, in good agreement with our results except that the average radiation widths as well as the p -wave correlation coefficients we determined are significantly smaller, and the s -wave correlation coefficient we determined has opposite sign from that reported in previous work. We used these resonance parameters together with a calculation of the small, but significant direct-capture contribution to determine the astrophysical reaction rate for the $^{88}\text{Sr}(n,\gamma)$ reaction to approximately 3% accuracy across the entire range of temperatures needed by s -process models. Our new rate is in good agreement with the results from a high-precision activation measurement at $kT=25$ keV, but it is approximately 9.5% lower than the rate used in most previous nucleosynthesis calculations in the temperature range ($kT=6-8$ keV), where most of the neutron exposure occurs in current stellar models of the s process. We discuss the possible astrophysical impact of this new, lower rate.

I. INTRODUCTION

The $^{88}\text{Sr}(n,\gamma)$ cross section is important to nuclear astrophysics for at least three reasons.

- (i) ^{88}Sr acts as a bottleneck in s -process nucleosynthesis [1]. The $A \approx 100$ region is complicated, with several different nucleosynthesis processes contributing to the abundances of nuclides in this region. For example, the path of the s process near strontium is depicted in Fig. 1. Although the s -process path is complicated by branchings at the radioisotopes ^{85}Kr

and ^{86}Rb , all branches eventually lead through ^{88}Sr . Because of its closed neutron shell, the $^{88}\text{Sr}(n, \gamma)$ reaction rate is very small, so it acts as a bottleneck; hence, it is crucial to know accurately the cross section for the $^{88}\text{Sr}(n, \gamma)$ reaction so that the relative contributions of various processes to the solar system abundances can be disentangled. (ii) It has been shown that a measurement of the Rb/Sr ratio in stars can be used to extract the neutron density during the s process. Current results [2] indicate that the neutron density derived from these data is consistent only when the s process occurs during the interpulse phase in low-mass asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars. Because the cross sections were not measured to low enough energies such as to determine accurately the low-temperature reaction rates needed in the models, this conclusion is based mainly on extrapolations of previous neutron-capture measurements. Strontium is the normalization point for these data, and strontium is predominantly ^{88}Sr . Therefore, new measurements of the $^{88}\text{Sr}(n, \gamma)$ cross section are needed at lower neutron energies to provide a more robust test of stellar models. (iii) Nonsolar ratios for isotopes of strontium and other elements have been observed in SiC grains in certain meteorites [3]. Such observations provide the s -process abundance ratios for isotopes of several elements, thereby greatly expanding the number of “effectively s only” calibration points for models of the s process. Calculations indicate that isotopes with small (n, γ) cross sections provide the most sensitive test of s -process models when comparing the model results to the meteorite data. Hence, more precise reaction rates are needed for nuclides such as ^{88}Sr to more fully exploit the opportunity offered by meteorite data for improved understanding of the s process and AGB stars.

There have been three previously reported measurements [1,4,5] of the $^{88}\text{Sr}(n, \gamma)$ cross section from which the reaction rate has been determined. None of these previous measurements can be used reliably to determine the reaction rate across the range of temperatures needed for stellar model calculations—the first [6] because it was made with a pseudo-Maxwellian source and, hence, determines the reaction rate at the single temperature $kT = 25$ keV, and the latter two [4,5] because they are too imprecise and because they did not extend low enough in energy. Also, subsequent work [7] has shown that relatively

large systematic errors may plague these data. Finally, a resonance at 2.780 keV has been reported [8], but apparently ignored in evaluations of the reaction rate. Although the reported parameters for this resonance have large uncertainties, inclusion of this resonance leads to a 77% increase in the reaction rate at 5 keV over the rate calculated from all the other resonances reported in Ref. [5]. Hence, it is vitally important to make new measurements in this energy range.

II. EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

The samples were isotopically enriched (99.83% ^{88}Sr , 0.12% ^{87}Sr , and 0.05% ^{86}Sr) metallic strontium. Because strontium metal is chemically very reactive, the samples were fabricated in an inert atmosphere, and the sample for the capture experiment was shipped in a vacuum container. During the experiment, the sample was placed in the evacuated beam line between the γ -ray detectors. The samples for the transmission experiments were sealed in thin-walled, aluminum containers. The use of metallic samples substantially reduced sample-dependent backgrounds and correction factors—as compared to previous measurements in which carbonate samples were used. In addition, the use of metallic rather than carbonate samples in the transmission experiments substantially increased the sensitivity to small resonances.

The measurements were performed using the ORELA white-neutron-source facility. Neutron energy was determined using the time of flight. The capture measurements were made on ORELA flight path 7 at a source-to-sample distance of 40.12 m. The ORELA was operated at a pulse rate of 525 Hz, a pulse width of 8 ns, and a power of 7–8 kW. The sample was 2.54 cm wide by 5.08 cm high by 0.0106 at/b thick. A ^{10}B filter was used to remove overlap neutrons from preceding beam bursts, and a Pb filter was used to reduce γ -flash effects. These filters were placed in the beam at a distance of 5 m from the neutron source. The capture cross sections were determined using the pulse-height weighting technique with a pair of C_6D_6 scintillators, which served as the γ -ray detectors. The overall normalization

of the counts to cross section was made via the saturated resonance technique [9] using the 4.9-eV resonance in the ^{197}Au cross section. As described in Ref. [10], the capture apparatus has been improved in several significant ways as compared to the setup [11] used in most of the previous ORELA measurements. These changes have substantially reduced sample-dependent backgrounds and have improved the accuracy with which absolute cross sections can be determined. The changes have also simplified the calculation and improved the reliability of the pulse-height weighting functions, which must be used in the conversion of the counts to cross sections. These improvements were especially important in the current case because the capture cross section is much smaller and the sample-dependent backgrounds are potentially much larger than in any other of our previous measurements.

A ^6Li -loaded glass scintillator, located 43 cm ahead of the sample in the neutron beam, was used to measure the energy dependence of the neutron flux. Separate sample-out background measurements were made, and measurements with a carbon sample were used to subtract the smoothly varying background caused by the sample-scattered neutrons.

Two separate transmission measurements were made on ORELA flight path 1. In one measurement, a ^6Li -loaded glass scintillator was used at a source-to-detector distance of 80.117 m, and the sample was 0.0543 at/b thick. The ORELA was operated at a pulse rate of 400 Hz, a pulse width of 16 ns, and a power of 12 kW. In the other measurement, a plastic scintillation detector was used at a source-to-sample distance of 201.575 m, and the sample was 0.110 at/b thick. The ORELA was operated at a pulse rate of 577 Hz, a pulse width of 4 ns, and a power of 4 kW. In both measurements, a ^{10}B filter was used to remove overlap neutrons from preceding beam bursts. In the 80-m measurement, a Pb filter was used to reduce the effects caused by the γ flash at the beginning of each pulse from the ORELA, while a U filter was used for this purpose during the 200-m measurement. These filters were placed in the beam at a distance of 5 m from the neutron source. The strontium sample was exchanged periodically with an empty container, which had the same dimensions as the sample holder, and with polyethylene and bismuth absorbers, which were used for determination of backgrounds.

III. RESONANCE ANALYSIS

The multilevel, multichannel, $\mathcal{R}$ -matrix code SAMMY [12] was used to fit both our transmission and capture data and extract resonance parameters. Orbital angular momenta up to and including f waves were included in the analysis. Channel radii of 7.1 fm were used for all partial waves.

Resonances in both the neutron-capture and transmission data could be fitted from 100 eV to 350 keV. Below this range, the neutron flux on the sample was too low because of the ^{10}B overlap filter. Above 350 keV, both the statistical precision and the energy resolution in the neutron capture data were too poor to be able to include them in the resonance analysis. The 80-m transmission data were fitted for energies below 160 keV. The 200-m transmission data were fitted at higher energies because both the resolution and the statistical precision of these data were better than those of the 80-m data in this region. Using SAMMY, we determined the parameters for 101 resonances in the energy range below 350 keV. Representative data and fits are shown in Fig. 2. The resonance parameters are given in Table I.

Firm ℓ and J^π values could be determined for resonances which were sufficiently strong in the transmission data. Using the fitted parameters of these firm assignments, we determined average radiation widths of 190 ± 120 , 220 ± 230 , and 280 ± 210 meV for $s_{1/2}$ (13 resonances), $p_{1/2}$ (8 resonances), and $p_{3/2}$ (18 resonances) resonances, respectively. The uncertainties quoted are the standard deviations of the distributions of the radiation widths. For weaker resonances or for those resonances which were visible in only the capture or the transmission data, there is some arbitrariness in determining the resonance parameters. In an attempt to minimize the arbitrariness of these parameters, the prescription outlined in Ref. [10] was followed. For example, for resonances that could be seen in the capture but not the transmission data, the radiation widths were fixed to the average values given previously while the capture data were fitted by letting the neutron width vary. In all these cases, the neutron widths obtained by fitting the capture data were consistent with the transmission

data. Originally [13], we had used the resonance parameters in Ref. [14] in the energy range above 350 keV as background levels—except that we allowed the neutron widths of some of the broader resonances in this region to vary to obtain better fits to the data below 350 keV. We have since used our transmission data to obtain better resonance parameters in the 350–950-keV region, resulting in parameters for 342 resonances in this region. The radiation widths for s - and p -wave resonances were fixed at the average values previously given. In addition, the radiation widths for resonances of all the other partial waves were set equal to 280 meV. We decided to terminate the fitting at 950 keV because of the sheer number of overlapping resonances and the strong interference effects. Above 900 keV, we did not attempt to fit the narrower resonances. Representative data and fits are shown in Fig. 3, where it can be seen that our new parameters represent a substantial improvement over previous results. The parameters for resonances in this range are given in Table II.

Given the excellent energy resolution at ORELA and the large resonance spacing in $n+^{88}\text{Sr}$, we might expect to miss or spuriously assign few resonances. If the resonance parameter set can be shown to be relatively complete, then it would be useful for testing various models. However, we find that except for s waves, the firmness of the (ℓJ) assignments are less than satisfactory. Never-the-less, we report average resonance parameters for both s and p waves because our data and analysis are more extensive than any previous work.

The distinctive interference shape of the s -wave resonances allowed for much more reliable (ℓJ) assignments than for the higher ℓ partial waves. A plot of the number of observed resonances versus energy for the s waves is linear up to about 700 keV, indicating few missing or spuriously assigned resonances. To estimate the number of missing or spuriously assigned resonances, we used two tests. First, we fitted the subset of the s -wave resonances, with reduced neutron widths larger than one-fourth the average reduced neutron width, to a Porter-Thomas (PT) distribution [15–17]. The average reduced width for the optimal PT distribution provides a measure of the population's mean reduced width, and, therefore, provides an estimate for the number of missed resonances. The results of this test for $s_{1/2}$ resonances are shown in Fig. 4. Extrapolation of the fitted PT curve to zero reduced width

yields the estimate of no missing s -wave resonances over the range of our measurement. A second test for missing or spuriously assigned resonances for which incorrect (ℓJ) assignments have been made is provided by the Δ_3 test of Dyson and Mehta [18]. Up to a neutron energy of 450 keV, the observed value of the Δ_3 statistic is consistent with no missed or spuriously identified $s_{1/2}$ resonances. Inclusion of a 58.90-keV resonance in the $s_{1/2}$ resonance set produces values of Δ_3 within one standard deviation of the expected value. Hence, this narrow resonance could be an s wave. Above 450 keV, the Δ_3 test implies that a few s -wave resonances are missed or spuriously assigned as $\frac{1}{2}^+$. Because the s -wave assignments appear to be rather firm, the correlation coefficient, $\rho(\gamma_\lambda^2, \Gamma_{\gamma\lambda})$, between the neutron widths and γ -partial widths can be calculated with some confidence. Significant correlation coefficients have been interpreted [5] as evidence for the applicability of the valence model of neutron capture [19]. From our fitted parameters for s -wave resonances, we calculate that $\rho = (0.23 \pm 0.06)$. Drawing reduced neutron widths from a PT distribution with $\langle \gamma_\lambda^2 \rangle$ equal to that for the observed s -wave resonances and radiation widths from a χ^2 distribution with 18 degrees of freedom, we find a likelihood of exceeding this value of ρ of about 6%.

The situation for the p -wave resonance (ℓJ) assignments is much less satisfactory. The difficulty is that below about 350 keV the shapes of the $p_{1/2}$ and $p_{3/2}$ resonances are very similar. Despite the excellent ORELA energy resolution, distinguishing between the $p_{1/2}$ and $p_{3/2}$ resonances is possible only for the widest p waves. If the assignments shown in Table I are assumed correct, the Δ_3 test indicates no missed or spuriously assigned $p_{1/2}$ resonances only up to 75 keV and no missed or spuriously assigned $p_{3/2}$ resonances up to 150 keV. The PT tests are consistent with 8 missing $p_{1/2}$ and 10 missing $p_{3/2}$ resonances, although the observed distributions, as shown in Fig. 4, are not in good agreement with the expected PT distributions. If we assume that the 35 $p_{1/2}$ and 49 $p_{3/2}$ resonances have been correctly assigned and that few small resonances are missed in our work, we can calculate the correlation coefficients. For the 35 $p_{1/2}$ resonances, we find $\rho = (0.55 \pm 0.09)$. Drawing γ_λ^2 from a PT distribution with a mean equal to that observed and Γ_γ from a χ_ν^2 with 3

degrees of freedom, we find that the likelihood of exceeding this value of ρ is less than 1%. For the 49 $p_{3/2}$ resonances identified in our work up to 350 keV, we find $\rho = (0.59 \pm 0.09)$. The probability of exceeding $\rho = 0.59$ is less than 1%.

Because few s -wave resonances up to 450 keV appear to have been missed or spuriously assigned in this work, the s -wave level spacing is calculated using resonances below that energy. The resulting s -wave spacing is $D_{0\frac{1}{2}} = (23.7 \pm 2.9)$ keV. Even though the (ℓJ) assignments for the p -wave resonances below 350 keV can be made unambiguously in only a few cases, we are confident that, in most cases, at higher energies the ℓ assignments are reasonably firm. Hence, we included all the p -wave resonances to calculate an average resonance spacing (5.4 ± 0.2) keV.

The observed neutron strength functions $s_{\ell J}$ are defined as

$$s_{\ell J} = \frac{\langle \gamma_{\lambda \ell J}^2 \rangle}{D_{\ell J}} = \frac{N^{obs} - 1}{N^{obs}} \times \frac{\sum_{\lambda} \gamma_{\lambda \ell J}^2}{\Delta E_{\ell J}}, \quad (1)$$

where the $\Delta E_{\ell J}$ are the energy intervals between the lowest- and highest-energy resonances of type (ℓJ) . The angle-bracket notation indicates the averaging process. The $s_{\ell J}$ are approximately the slopes of the cumulative reduced width versus energy distributions, as shown in Fig. 5 for the $s_{1/2}$, $p_{1/2}$, and $p_{3/2}$ resonances. The $s_{\ell J}$ values were determined from a linear fit to the observed cumulative reduced width distributions up to 450 keV for the s waves and up to 950 keV for the p waves. The resulting values are (0.010 ± 0.004) , (0.075 ± 0.014) , (0.22 ± 0.03) , respectively, for $s_{1/2}$, $p_{1/2}$, and $p_{3/2}$. Perhaps a more meaningful quantity to report for the p -wave resonances would be the strength function calculated by grouping all such resonances and using $g_J \gamma_{\lambda}^2$ rather than γ_{λ}^2 . The resulting p -wave strength function is (0.17 ± 0.02) . The observed strength functions should be corrected for the effects of missed resonances. However, in the present work, these corrections are negligible for the s -wave resonances and not well known for the p waves.

The strength functions given above were calculated using $\mathcal{R}$ -matrix reduced widths. The strength function which has been most often reported [14] in the earlier literature is the ratio

$$S_{\ell J} = \frac{\langle \Gamma_{nJ}^{\ell} \rangle}{D_{\ell J}}, \quad (2)$$

where the Γ_{nJ}^{ℓ} are the conventionally reduced widths,

$$\Gamma_{nJ}^{\ell} = \left(\frac{1 \text{ eV}}{E_{\lambda J}} \right)^{1/2} \frac{\Gamma_{\lambda J}}{v_{\ell}}, \quad (3)$$

and the v_{ℓ} are the neutron penetration factors [14] for partial waves with orbital angular momenta ℓ . The strength functions $S_{\ell J}$ and $s_{\ell J}$ are related by,

$$S_{\ell J} = 4 \times 10^{-4} \frac{A}{A+1} a_c s_{\ell J}, \quad (4)$$

where $a_c = 7.1 \text{ fm}$ (in this work). The relationship between $S_{\ell J}$ and $s_{\ell J}$ is discussed more fully in Ref. [20]. The value of the conventionally defined s -wave and p -wave strength functions from this work are $S_0 = (0.32 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-4}$ and $S_1 = (5.1 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-4}$.

In our analysis, the external $\mathcal{R}$ -functions $R_{\ell J}^{\text{ext}}(E)$, which represent the effects of resonances outside the fitted energy range ($E_{\text{low}} = 0$ to $E_{\text{up}} = 955 \text{ keV}$), were parametrized as

$$R_{\ell J}^{\text{ext}}(E) = \bar{R}_{\ell J}(E) - s_{\ell J}^{\text{ext}} \ln \frac{E_{\text{up}} - E}{E - E_{\text{low}}}. \quad (5)$$

This representation was used (i) because it has been found [21] to give a good average description of the effects of the external resonances, especially near the end points, where the effects of resonances just outside the fitted range are particularly important, and (ii) because it lends itself to interpretation in terms of the optical model. We parametrized $\bar{R}_{\ell J}(E)$ by

$$\bar{R}_{\ell J}(E) = a_{\ell J} + b_{\ell J} E, \quad (6)$$

where the coefficients $a_{\ell J}$ and $b_{\ell J}$ were free parameters determined in the fit to the data. The choice of $s_{\ell J}^{\text{ext}}$ followed an iterative procedure: it was finally chosen to give a good description of the observed average reduced neutron width per energy interval within the fitted energy range. In Table III are listed the values of $a_{\ell J}$, $b_{\ell J}$, and $s_{\ell J}^{\text{ext}}$, which were derived from the $\mathcal{R}$ -matrix analysis. The values of $R_{\ell J}^{\text{ext}}(E)$, which were evaluated at a few energies, are shown in Fig. 6. Well depths for the spherical optical model potential (OMP) were least-squares

adjusted to fit the observed σ_{int} and integrated strength (see Fig. 5) following the procedures of Ref. [21]. The summed strength was used because that statistic is relatively unaffected by a few missing small resonances. The resulting optical-model $R_{\text{if}}^{\text{ext}}$ and integrated strength are compared to the values extracted from the $\mathcal{R}$ -matrix analysis in Figs. 5 and 6. The fitted OMP real well depths are 50.2 ± 0.2 MeV and 53.5 ± 0.2 MeV for s and p waves, respectively. The fitted surface imaginary well depths are 1.5 ± 0.1 MeV, 2.4 ± 0.3 MeV, and 4.2 ± 0.3 MeV for $s_{1/2}$, $p_{1/2}$, and $p_{3/2}$, respectively. A spin-orbit well depth $V_{\text{so}} = 8 \pm 1$ MeV was determined by requiring the same real well depth for both p -wave channels.

The s -wave potential scattering radius R' is determined from $\bar{R}_{0,1/2}(E)$, which was evaluated near $E=0$,

$$R' = a_c[1 - \bar{R}_{0,1/2}(0)] = 6.8 \text{ fm.} \quad (7)$$

(Note that R' is independent of the value chosen for the channel radius a_c .)

IV. NEUTRON SENSITIVITY CORRECTION

Since the implementation of the new apparatus for (n, γ) measurements at ORELA [10], we have not made corrections to our resonance parameters for the background resulting from the prompt capture of scattered neutrons. In the old ORELA setup, this “neutron sensitivity” background could be substantial for resonances with large neutron widths. Since the change from C_6F_6 to C_6D_6 detectors, the replacement of the aluminum beam line and sample changer apparatus with a much less massive carbon fiber beam tube, and the elimination of the massive metallic cans which enclosed the detectors, this background has been reduced so much that it so far has been immeasurable. However, the relatively large level spacing and small cross section for $^{88}\text{Sr}(n, \gamma)$ allow us to make a good determination of the upper limit for this background. The data show that the prompt neutron sensitivity of the ORELA apparatus is much smaller than that of any other similar apparatus for which data have been published and that the corrections due to this effect are very small or negligible in

all cases we have studied to date, thus justifying our lack of corrections to previous data. To calculate the size of this background, we compared our data to measurements on $^{208}\text{Pb}(n, \gamma)$ [22] and $^{88}\text{Sr}(n, \gamma)$ [7], which were made with a similar apparatus at Geel. In Ref. [22], time-of-flight peaks at 27.05 and 34.75 keV, due to the prompt capture of neutrons scattered from the ^{208}Pb sample by the fluorine and aluminum housing of the C_6D_6 detectors, are clearly evident. We used the data in Fig. 5 of Ref. [22] to estimate the effective capture areas of the fluorine and aluminum peaks to be 14.2 and 22.9 b eV, respectively. In our $^{88}\text{Sr}(n, \gamma)$ data, such peaks are not visible. However, we have used SAMMY to extract upper limits on their capture areas by fitting our data in the regions of these peaks and fixing their energies and neutron widths to the values given in Ref. [14]. The resulting upper limits from our data are 1.46 and 1.96 b eV, respectively for fluorine and aluminum. Our results must be scaled (by a factor of 1.29) to account for the larger scattering cross section of ^{208}Pb as compared to that of ^{88}Sr . The resulting ratios indicate that the sensitivity of the Geel apparatus to this background from scattered neutrons is at least 7.6 (fluorine) to 9.1 (aluminum) times worse (i.e., greater) than ours. Considering the fact that extracting an upper limit for the fluorine resonance from our data is complicated by a (much narrower) $^{88}\text{Sr}(n, \gamma)$ resonance at almost the same energy, these two ratios are in good agreement. Although the number derived from the aluminum resonance should be more reliable, we used the more conservative average ratio of 8.4. The larger neutron sensitivity of the Geel apparatus is most likely a result of the much larger amount of fluorine and aluminum in their detector housings. Because of the similarity of the apparatus, we expect that the energy dependence of this background is the same at ORELA as it is at Geel. Unfortunately, the exact energy dependence of the correction factor is not given in Ref. [22]. However, a preliminary estimate of the energy dependence of the neutron sensitivity of the Geel apparatus was given in Ref. [7]. Using the formula given in Ref. [7], we calculated a correction factor of 4.9×10^{-5} for the 77.85-keV resonance in ^{208}Pb . This value is in agreement within the quoted uncertainty with the value given (6.0×10^{-5}) for this resonance in Ref. [22]. In addition, the energy dependence of the correction factor given by the formula in Ref. [7] follows the general trend of the various

estimates of the neutron sensitivity correction factor given in Ref. [22]. For these reasons, we used the energy dependence given in Ref. [7], scaled (by a factor of $\frac{6.0}{4.9}$ to the data of Ref. [22], and scaled (by a factor of $\frac{1}{8.4}$) for the smaller neutron sensitivity of our apparatus. The resulting upper limit on the correction for the neutron sensitivity of our apparatus, expressed as an amount by which our Γ_γ values must be reduced, is

$$C_n = 2.4 \times 10^{-4} E_r^{-0.8} \Gamma_n, \quad (8)$$

where the resonance energy, E_r , is in keV and the correction is in the same units as those of the neutron width Γ_n . This correction factor for the ORELA apparatus is compared to that for the Geel apparatus in Fig. 7.

The Γ_γ values, given in Table I, have been corrected using this formula. The corrections are negligible in almost all cases. Only 16 of the 101 resonances have corrections larger than 1%, and for 8 of these the corrections are this size only because they have comparably small radiation widths ($\Gamma_\gamma < 100$ meV compared to an average Γ_γ of 200 to 300 meV). The largest correction is 7% for the 13.846-keV resonance, which has a small radiation width $\Gamma_\gamma = 80.5$ meV. Because the corrections are small and because most of the resonances with the largest corrections have relatively small capture areas or are at relatively high energies, there is very little effect on the astrophysical reaction rate determined from our data. We calculated that the effect of this correction is to decrease the reaction rate by 0.95% at $kT = 8$ keV and 0.60% at $kT = 30$ keV.

The smooth energy dependence of the neutron sensitivity correction indicated by Eq. 8 is only an approximation. There could be significant structure due to resonances in the materials from which the detectors and their immediate surroundings are constructed. Previous measurements (e.g. Ref. [22]) as well as Monte Carlo calculations we have made indicate that the largest effects in our apparatus should be due to aluminum and fluorine. The fact that we do not observe effects at the energies corresponding to large resonances in these materials gives us confidence that the corrections at energies away from these resonances are significantly smaller than given by Eq. 8.

V. ASTROPHYSICAL REACTION RATE

Astrophysical reaction rates, $N_A \langle \sigma v \rangle$, calculated from our data, are shown in Fig. 8. We show reaction rates rather than average cross sections to better reveal the temperature dependence apart from the $1/v$ factor. The Maxwellian-averaged cross sections $\langle \sigma \rangle = N_A \langle \sigma v \rangle / N_A v_T$ calculated from our data at selected temperatures are given in Table IV. The reaction rates and Maxwellian-averaged cross sections were calculated from our resonance parameters using the method described in Ref. [23]. In particular, the contribution from broad resonances was obtained using numerical integration. Also, the very small $1/v$ component resulting from the portion of the thermal cross section [24] (the value given in Ref. [14] is incorrect by a factor of 10) not accounted for by known s -wave resonances (in the 100 eV to 350 keV region) was included. The statistical uncertainties in the reaction rates are negligible when compared to the overall normalization uncertainty. From the uncertainty in the $^{197}\text{Au}(n, \gamma)$ and $^6\text{Li}(n, \alpha)$ cross sections [25], the statistical precision of the calibration measurements, and the repeatability of the calibration runs, we calculate that the normalization uncertainty is 3%.

A. Direct-capture component of the reaction rate

Because the average cross section resulting from resonances is small, the contribution from direct capture (DC) of neutrons may constitute a significant portion of the total average capture cross section. This DC component cannot be measured directly using the present technique, but it can be calculated reliably because sufficient information is available from other experiments.

To determine the DC contribution to the cross section, we performed a calculation using the code TEDCA [26]. The theoretical cross section σ^{th} is derived from the direct-capture cross section σ^{DC} using [27]

$$\sigma^{\text{th}} = \sum_i C_i^2 S_i \sigma_i^{\text{DC}} \quad . \quad (9)$$

The sum extends over all possible final states (ground state and excited states) in the final nucleus. The isospin Clebsch-Gordan coefficients and spectroscopic factors are denoted by C_i and S_i , respectively.

For the determination of the optical potentials for the bound state and the entrance channel, we used a folding procedure. In this approach the nuclear target density ρ_T is folded with an energy- and density-dependent nucleon-nucleon interaction v_{eff} [28]:

$$V(R) = \lambda V_F(R) = \lambda \int \rho_T(r) v_{\text{eff}}(E, \rho_T, |R - r|) dr, \quad (10)$$

with R being the separation of the centers of mass of the two colliding nuclei. The normalization factor λ accounts for effects of antisymmetrization and is close to unity.

The nuclear density ρ_T was calculated with the wave functions obtained from a mass model [29]. The only free parameter λ in the bound-state potential can be fixed by the requirement of reproducing the binding energy of the considered state. For the entrance channel, the value of λ was determined from elastic neutron scattering data [30]. The measured thermal scattering length was reproduced by assuming $\lambda = 0.948$. The reaction Q values were derived from the recent mass compilation [31] and experimental level information [32].

In our calculation we accounted for capture to the ground state ($5/2^+$) and to the first four excited states ($1/2^+$, $7/2^+$, $5/2^+$, $3/2^+$). The possible transitions, which are considered in the code, are $E1$, $E2$ and $M1$. The spectroscopic factors S_i were taken from a (d,p) experiment [33].

Because all low-lying states have positive parity, p -wave capture is dominant above thermal energy. In ^{89}Sr , negative-parity states are found only above 2.3-MeV excitation energy [32] and, therefore, will have lower Q values and small spectroscopic factors, both leading to a reduced contribution to the cross section. The negative-parity states cannot be directly included in the calculation because of the lack of spectroscopic information, but their contribution can be estimated. Assuming that the difference between the measured thermal cross section [24] and the thermal value derived from the resonance information in

this work is entirely caused by s -wave direct capture, an upper limit for the DC contribution at thermal energy of about 2.2 mb can be deduced. Extrapolating the $1/v$ behavior, we arrive at an s -wave contribution of 1.9 μb at 25 keV, which is negligible.

Capture to the $1/2^+$ state at 1.032 MeV and to the $3/2^+$ state at about 2 MeV contributes most to the capture cross section because capture to states with higher spins can proceed only via odd partial waves with $l > 2$ in the case of the dominating $E1$ transition.

The total DC cross section is $\sigma^{\text{th}} = 0.33$ mb at $E_n = 25$ keV and has an energy dependence approximately proportional to $E_n^{1/2}$. Converting our result to a Maxwellian-averaged cross section, we arrive at a value of 0.54 mb at $kT = 25$ keV, as compared to 6.14 mb resulting from the measured resonances. Results at other temperatures are given in Table IV.

VI. COMPARISON TO PREVIOUS RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Our data are compared to previous work in Figs. 8 and 9. Our results represent a substantial improvement over previous knowledge.

A. Resonance parameters

There is reasonable agreement between the capture kernels, $(g_J \Gamma_n \Gamma_\gamma / \Gamma)$, from the fits to our data and previous work [5,7]—with the exception that the capture kernels from Ref. [5] are, on average, somewhat larger, especially for those resonances with very large Γ_n . This difference would seem to indicate that the correction for the background caused by the prompt capture of scattered neutrons was underestimated in Ref. [5]. However, there is a more obvious trend for the capture kernels of Ref. [5] to be systematically larger than ours with increasing neutron energy. It is possible that this trend could result from improper background subtraction or from improper corrections for resonance self-shielding and multiple scattering (because the neutron widths for many of the resonances were not known in the previous work) and from an undercorrection for prompt neutron background in Ref.

[5]. In our work, the backgrounds were determined in separate measurements, whereas in Ref. [5] these backgrounds were estimated using a smooth function, whose magnitude was adjusted in the fitting procedure used to determine the resonance parameters. In addition, the self-shielding and multiple scattering corrections were well constrained in our work because we had transmission data. Because both the relative sizes of the backgrounds and these corrections increase with neutron energy, it is possible that the observed trend (of the capture kernels of Ref. [5] to increase with increasing neutron energy) could at least in part be due to these effects.

In Fig. 9, we see further evidence that the prompt neutron-scattering background was underestimated in Refs. [5,7]. This figure shows our capture and transmission data, our fits to these data, and the effective capture cross sections calculated using the resonance parameters of Refs. [5,7]. It is important to note that our data and our resonance parameters have *not* been corrected for the background resulting from the prompt capture of scattered neutrons, whereas the parameters of the previous works received substantial corrections for this background. The calculations using the parameters of Refs. [5] is in fairly good agreement with the data for the narrower resonances, but they are substantially larger than our capture data for the two broadest resonances near 290 and 325 keV. The calculation using the parameters of Ref. [7] also appears to be larger than the data. However, only the parameters for the resonances with large Γ_γ were reported in Ref. [7], so our parameters were used for the other resonances which are shown in Fig. 9.

The correlation coefficients $\rho(\gamma_\lambda^2, \Gamma_{\gamma\lambda})$, which were determined from our resonance parameters, are considerably different from those of Ref. [5]. In particular, our *s*-wave correlation coefficient has opposite sign, 0.23 ± 0.06 herein vs. -0.18 in Ref. [5]. In addition, our *p*-wave correlation coefficients are significantly smaller, 0.55 ± 0.09 and 0.59 ± 0.9 for $p_{1/2}$ and $p_{3/2}$, respectively, herein vs. 0.78 and 0.96 in Ref. [5]. This finding is probably another indication that the neutron-sensitivity correction was underestimated in Ref. [5]. On the other hand, our *p*-wave correlation coefficients are in agreement to within the quoted uncertainties with those reported in Ref. [7], 0.40 ± 0.23 for $p_{1/2}$ and 0.57 ± 0.16 for $p_{3/2}$. Although these cor-

relations are sizeable, and our data (and analysis) are much better than those previously available, the fact that the (ℓJ) assignments for many p -wave resonances remain uncertain makes interpretation in terms of the valence model less than convincing. Even so, if the Γ_γ are assumed to be χ^2_ν distributed, then the variances of the observed distributions suggest $\nu = 3$ and $\nu = 7$ for the $p_{1/2}$ and $p_{3/2}$ resonances, respectively. The small number of radiation partial widths these numbers imply is also consistent with the valence model.

The average radiation width for the firm s -wave resonances we observed (190 meV) is in reasonable agreement with the value reported (220 meV) in Ref. [5]. On the other hand, the values we measure for the average $p_{1/2}$ (220 meV) and $p_{3/2}$ (280 meV) radiation widths are more than a factor of 2 smaller than those reported (both 670 meV) in Ref. [5]. Because in the present case p -wave resonances tend to be much broader than s -wave resonances, it is likely that the much larger average radiation widths for p -wave resonances reported in Ref. [5] were the result of an undercorrection for the backgrounds and self-shielding effects which are discussed previously.

The values of the conventionally defined s - and p -wave strength functions calculated from our resonance parameters (0.32 ± 0.06 and 5.1 ± 0.6 , respectively, in units of 10^{-4}) are consistent with those resulting from earlier work (0.45 ± 0.1 , 5.0 ± 0.7) [14]. Similarly, our value for the s -wave potential scattering radius (6.8 fm) is in agreement with earlier work (7.1 fm) [14].

B. Reaction rates

There is no indication of the resonance reported in Ref. [8] at 2.780 keV in our capture or transmission data on ^{88}Sr ; hence, if this resonance exists, it is much too small to have any significant impact on the astrophysical reaction rate. The most likely explanation seems to be that this resonance is actually in another strontium isotope. In Ref. [14], there is a resonance reported in $^{87}\text{Sr}+n$ at very nearly the same energy (2.756 keV). In addition, (n,γ) measurements that we have made with a natural strontium sample also show a resonance

at this energy.

The reaction rate determined in this work is compared to previous results [1,23] in Fig. 8. For Ref. [1], we show the result of the actual measurement at $kT=25$ keV in addition to the more commonly quoted value resulting from the extrapolation to $kT=30$ keV. We do so because the $1/v$ shape, used in Ref. [1] for this extrapolation, is clearly incorrect, as shown in Fig. 8. Our total (resonance plus direct capture) reaction rate at $kT=25$ keV is in excellent agreement with the activation measurement of Ref. [1]. This result lends further confidence to our direct-capture calculation because the difference between the Maxwellian-averaged cross section determined from our resonance data alone and the activation measurement of Ref. [1] (0.58 ± 0.27 mb), is in excellent agreement with the calculated direct contribution (0.54 mb). Hence, for the first time with our new data, the $^{88}\text{Sr}(n,\gamma)$ reaction rate is known with good precision across the entire range of temperatures needed by stellar models of the s process.

The evaluated rate of Ref. [23] has been used most frequently in recent s -process nucleosynthesis calculations. Unfortunately, the authors of Ref. [23] used the resonance parameters given in Ref. [14] rather than those in the primary sources to calculate the reaction rate. In Ref. [14], the radiation width of the first s -wave resonance at 13.852 keV was scaled upward by 35% to fit the thermal cross section. Because the level density is fairly low and because this resonance is rather broad, this change causes a significant increase in the reaction rate across a range of temperatures, as compared to the rate calculated using the reported [5] radiation width for this resonance. It is also important to note that both the rate and its uncertainty given in Ref. [23] have been scaled [34] to the single-temperature measurement of Ref. [1]; hence, the uncertainty given in Ref. [23] is too small, except at $kT=25$ keV. Moreover, subsequent correction factors [35] to the data of Ref. [5] have been disregarded in Ref. [23].

Even with the addition of the calculated direct-capture component, our new rate is still about 9.5% smaller than that of Ref. [23] in the $kT=6-8$ keV region, where most of the neutron exposure occurs in current s -process stellar models. This smaller reaction rate will,

in general, lead to an increase in the ^{88}Sr abundance calculated by a given s -process model or, alternatively, to a lower neutron exposure required in the model to reproduce the observed abundances. With our new rate, the agreement between the results of stellar s -process model calculations [36] and recently measured isotopic ratios in meteoric SiC grains [3] has improved although significant differences remain. For example, the calculated $^{88}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios show a much wider variation than the measured ratios, and, when plotted versus $^{84}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$, appear to be systematically smaller than the measured ratios over most of the range of $^{84}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$. These differences may be providing important clues for improving stellar models of the s process. However, the relatively large uncertainties in the $^{86,87}\text{Sr}(n,\gamma)$ reaction rates cloud the comparison between theory and experiment; so, more precise measurements for these rates are needed to attempt to understand these differences in terms of stellar models.

This research was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract No. DE-AC05-00OR22725 with UT-Battelle, LLC and Contract No. DE-FG02-87ER40326 with Denison University, and by the U.S. National Science Foundation under contract NSF-AST-97-31569 with the University of California at Santa Cruz. R. R. W. was supported in part by the Joint Institute for Heavy Ion Research. T. R. was supported by a PROFIL fellowship of the Swiss National Science Foundation under grant 2124-055832.98.

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Käppeler, W. R. Zhao, H. Beer, and U. Ratzel, *Astrophys. J.* **355**, 348 (1990).
- [2] D. L. Lambert, V. V. Smith, M. Busso, R. Gallino, and O. Straniero, *Astrophys. J.* **450**, 302 (1995).
- [3] G. K. Nicolussi, M. J. Pellin, R. S. Lewis, A. M. Davis, R. N. Clayton, and S. Amari, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **81**, 3583 (1998).
- [4] R. L. Macklin and J. H. Gibbons, *Astrophys. J.* **149**, 577 (1967).
- [5] J. W. Boldeman, B. J. Allen, A. R. D. L. Musgrove, R. L. Macklin, and R. R. Winters, *Nucl. Phys.* **A269**, 397 (1976).
- [6] F. Käppeler, R. Gallino, M. Busso, G. Picchio, and C. M. Raiteri, *Astrophys. J.* **354**, 630 (1990).
- [7] B. J. Allen, R. Shelley, T. V. der Veen, A. Brusegan, and G. Vanpraet, in *Capture Gamma-ray Spectroscopy and Related Topics*, edited by T. V. Egidy, F. Gonnennwein, and B. Maier (The Institute of Physics, Bristol, 1981), p. 404.
- [8] Y. V. Adamchuck, L. S. Danelyan, S. S. Moskalev, G. V. Muradyan, and Y. G. Shchepkin, *Euronuclear* **2**, 183 (1965).
- [9] R. L. Macklin, J. Halperin, and R. R. Winters, *Nucl. Instrum. and Meth.* **164**, 213 (1979).
- [10] P. E. Koehler, R. R. Spencer, R. R. Winters, K. H. Guber, J. A. Harvey, N. W. Hill, and M. S. Smith, *Phys. Rev. C* **54**, 1463 (1996).
- [11] R. L. Macklin and B. J. Allen, *Nucl. Instrum. and Meth.* **91**, 565 (1971).
- [12] N. M. Larson, Technical Report No. ORNL/TM-9179/R3, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, 1996 (unpublished).

- [13] P. E. Koehler, R. R. Spencer, K. H. Guber, J. A. Harvey, T. Rauscher, J. C. Blackmon, S. Raman, D. C. Larson, D. W. Bardayan, and T. A. Lewis, in *Nuclei in the Cosmos*, edited by N. Prantzos and S. Harissopulos (Editions Frontieres, Paris, 1998), p. 196.
- [14] S. F. Mughabghab, M. Divadeenam, and N. E. Holden, *Neutron Cross Sections* (Academic, New York, 1981), Vol. 1.
- [15] C. E. Porter and R. G. Thomas, *Phys. Rev.* **104**, 483 (1956).
- [16] M. S. Moore, J. D. Moses, and G. A. Keyworth, *Phys. Rev. C* **18**, 1328 (1978).
- [17] R. R. Winters, R. F. Carlton, C. H. Johnson, N. W. Hill, and M. R. Lacerna, *Phys. Rev. C* **43**, 492 (1991).
- [18] F. J. Dyson and M. L. Metha, *J. Math. Phys.* **4**, 489 (1963).
- [19] A. M. Lane and J. E. Lynn, *Nucl. Phys.* **17**, 586 (1960).
- [20] R. F. Carlton, R. R. Winters, C. H. Johnson, N. W. Hill, and J. A. Harvey, *Phys. Rev. C* **38**, 1605 (1988).
- [21] C. H. Johnson and R. R. Winters, *Phys. Rev. C* **21**, 2190 (1980).
- [22] F. Corvi, in *Proceedings of the Specialists Meeting on the Measurement, Calculation, and Evaluation of Photon Production Data*, edited by C. Coceva (NEA, Bologna, 1995), No. NEA/NSC/DOC(95)1, p. 229.
- [23] H. Beer, F. Voss, and R. R. Winters, *Astrophys. J. Suppl.* **80**, 403 (1992).
- [24] J. C. Roy, P. J. Berry, and L. P. Roy, *Can. J. Chem.* **36**, 731 (1958).
- [25] H. Beer and R. L. Macklin, *Phys. Rev. C* **26**, 1404 (1982).
- [26] H. Krauss (unpublished).
- [27] K. H. Kim, M. H. Park, and B. T. Kim, *Phys. Rev. C* **23**, 363 (1987).

- [28] A. M. Kobos, B. A. Brown, R. Lindsay, and G. R. Satchler, Nucl. Phys. **A425**, 205 (1984).
- [29] E. R. Hilf, H. V. Groote, and K. Takahashi, in *Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Nuclei Far from Stability* (CERN 76-13, Geneva, 1976), No. CERN 76-13, p. 142.
- [30] L. Koester, K. Knopf, and W. Waschkowski, Z. Phys. A **301**, 215 (1981).
- [31] G. Audi and A. H. Wapstra, Nucl. Phys. **A595**, 409 (1995).
- [32] R. B. Firestone, *Table of Isotopes, Eighth Edition* (Wiley and Sons, New York, 1996).
- [33] C. Winter, B. Krusche, K. P. Lieb, S. Michaelsen, G. Hlawatsch, H. Linder, T. V. Egidy, F. Hoyler, and R. F. Casten, Nucl. Phys. **A491**, 395 (1989).
- [34] H. Beer, 1999, private communication.
- [35] B. J. Allen, J. W. Boldeman, and R. L. Macklin, Nucl. Sci. Engrg. **82**, 230 (1982).
- [36] R. Gallino, 1999, private communication.

FIGURES

FIG. 1. The s -process path in the strontium region. Arrows depict the path of the s process in this region. Solid boxes represent stable nuclides, and dashed boxes indicate radioactive ones. The thick boxes for ^{86}Sr and ^{87}Sr denote that these two isotopes are produced solely by the s process and, hence, are important calibration points for s -process models. The nucleosynthesis path branches at ^{85}Kr and ^{86}Rb , where neutron capture competes with β decay. However, all branches combine again at ^{88}Sr , which has a closed neutron shell ($N=50$) and, hence, a very small neutron capture cross section.

FIG. 2. Representative data (points) and SAMMY fits (solid curves) from our capture (top) and transmission (bottom) measurements on ^{88}Sr . The effective capture cross sections have not been corrected for finite-thickness effects. The corrections are included by the code SAMMY; hence, the fits represent the theoretical cross sections, calculated from the resonance parameters, after adjustment for these sample-dependent effects. The scales for the capture data are on the left of each plot, whereas the transmission scales are on the right.

FIG. 3. Representative data (points) and SAMMY fits (solid curves) to our total cross section data in the region from 350 to 950 keV. Shown for comparison is the total cross section calculated using the resonance parameters of Ref. [14] (dashed curves).

FIG. 4. PT distribution for s and p waves. Plotted are the number of resonances having a reduced width amplitude larger than a given size versus the size of the reduced width amplitude. In each subplot, the histogram shows the results from our resonance analysis, whereas the dashed curve shows the theoretical PT shape least-squares fitted to the data having reduced widths greater than $1/4$ the average width.

FIG. 5. Cumulative reduced width versus resonance energy for s - and p -wave resonances. The solid "staircase" curves are the cumulative reduced widths determined from our resonance analysis. The dashed curves are from the optical model fits to the data (see the text for details).

FIG. 6. Comparison of the R_{IJ}^{ext} functions from our resonance analysis (points with error bars) to those calculated by fitting an optical-model potential to these data (curves).

FIG. 7. Neutron sensitivity of the capture apparatus at ORELA. Plotted is the ratio of the efficiency for detecting scattered neutrons to the efficiency for detecting γ rays. The neutron sensitivity of the Geel apparatus is shown for comparison. Note that the greater the neutron sensitivity of the apparatus, the larger the sample-dependent background in the measurements. See the text for details.

FIG. 8. Astrophysical rates for the $^{88}\text{Sr}(n, \gamma)$ reaction calculated from the data of the present work plus the calculated contribution due to direct capture (solid curve, with dashed curves depicting the uncertainties). Also shown is the evaluated rate of Ref. [23] which has been used in most previous nucleosynthesis calculations (circles) as well as the rate measured in an activation experiment [1] (X's).

FIG. 9. ^{88}Sr neutron capture and transmission data from the present work (circles with error bars) and our SAMMY fits the the data (solid curves) in the region from 270 to 350 keV. Also shown are the effective capture cross sections calculated using the radiation widths of Refs. [5] (dotted curve) and [7] (dashed curve). See the text for details.

TABLES

TABLE I. ^{88}Sr resonance parameters in the region below 350 keV, where we fitted both our neutron capture and transmission data. Note that in the second column, two times the total angular momentum of each resonance is listed.

E_n (keV)	$2J^\pi$	$g\Gamma_n$ (eV)	Γ_γ (meV)	$\frac{g\Gamma_n\Gamma_\gamma}{\Gamma}$ (meV)
12.41	(1) ⁻	20.86 ± 0.16	446.2 ± 6.1	436.9 ± 5.8
12.91	(1) ⁻	0.0048 ± 0.0009	220	4.67 ± 0.83
13.84	1 ⁺	194.15 ± 0.54	80.5 ± 7.4	80.5 ± 7.4
18.21	(3) ⁻	0.0017 ± 0.0008	280	1.68 ± 0.80
20.81	(1) ⁻	0.0897 ± 0.0054	220	63.7 ± 2.7
23.61	3 ⁻	132.14 ± 0.87	131.5 ± 4.8	262.5 ± 9.6
26.98	(1) ⁻	0.0105 ± 0.0025	220	10.1 ± 2.2
29.52	3 ⁻	137.0 ± 1.2	198.9 ± 6.5	397 ± 13
36.78	(1) ⁻	0.0679 ± 0.0082	220	51.9 ± 4.7
39.07	(1) ⁻	3.89 ± 0.47	84.2 ± 6.3	82.4 ± 6.1
40.15	(1) ⁻	0.099 ± 0.012	220	68.2 ± 5.6
46.47	(1) ⁻	0.118 ± 0.018	220	76.8 ± 7.4
47.95	(3) ⁻	0.151 ± 0.014	280	119.2 ± 9.0
48.57	1 ⁺	7.97 ± 0.68	66.3 ± 7.7	65.7 ± 7.6
53.79	(1) ⁻	2.24 ± 0.55	110 ± 11	105 ± 10
54.66	(3) ⁻	38.5 ± 1.4	221.1 ± 7.8	437 ± 15
55.95	1 ⁻	146.3 ± 2.0	79 ± 12	79 ± 12
56.99	(3) ⁻	0.126 ± 0.012	280	103.2 ± 7.7
58.90	(1) ⁺	2.03 ± 0.64	23.4 ± 5.1	23.1 ± 5.0
65.48	(1) ⁻	0.083 ± 0.014	220	60.4 ± 7.2
73.77	(3) ⁻	0.090 ± 0.013	280	77.9 ± 9.6

75.50	(3 ⁻)	0.360 ± 0.098	300 ± 130	224 ± 13
76.89	(3 ⁻)	0.118 ± 0.018	280	98 ± 12
88.56	3 ⁻	421.7 ± 6.2	99 ± 11	197 ± 22
91.34	1 ⁺	953 ± 13	273 ± 33	273 ± 33
93.08	(3 ⁻)	0.139 ± 0.021	280	111 ± 14
95.37	3 ⁻	447.9 ± 7.5	227 ± 20	453 ± 41
101.95	(3 ⁻)	0.122 ± 0.024	280	100 ± 16
105.46	1 ⁺	256.2 ± 7.1	305 ± 26	305 ± 26
107.45	(3 ⁻)	0.143 ± 0.024	280	114 ± 16
110.15	1 ⁻	284.9 ± 8.4	146 ± 28	146 ± 28
115.92	(3 ⁻)	0.150 ± 0.029	280	118 ± 19
117.15	(1 ⁻)	0.091 ± 0.034	220	64 ± 17
120.10	(1 ⁻)	0.058 ± 0.028	220	46 ± 16
122.29	3 ⁻	3111 ± 23	625 ± 39	1249 ± 77
125.95	(3 ⁻)	0.129 ± 0.029	280	105 ± 19
126.40	(3 ⁻)	0.189 ± 0.038	280	141 ± 22
127.90	(3 ⁻)	0.301 ± 0.058	280	196 ± 26
132.85	(3 ⁻)	0.152 ± 0.036	280	119 ± 23
137.35	(3 ⁻)	0.121 ± 0.030	280	99 ± 21
141.68	1 ⁺	501 ± 13	79 ± 29	79 ± 29
147.30	(3 ⁻)	0.226 ± 0.042	280	161 ± 23
150.20	(3 ⁻)	43.4 ± 7.2	84 ± 20	166 ± 40
150.87	1 ⁻	1205 ± 24	703 ± 87	702 ± 87
153.89	3 ⁻	558 ± 12	85 ± 21	171 ± 42
156.00	(3 ⁻)	0.191 ± 0.042	280	142 ± 25
160.85	(3 ⁻)	0.227 ± 0.069	280	161 ± 34
169.85	(3 ⁻)	0.105 ± 0.039	280	88 ± 27

170.79	3 ⁻	662.9 ± 5.0	91 ± 24	182 ± 48
173.38	(3 ⁻)	2.06 ± 0.29	339 ± 41	509 ± 50
177.85	(3 ⁻)	6.3 ± 1.1	196 ± 21	370 ± 38
181.06	1 ⁺	176.3 ± 2.6	100 ± 36	100 ± 36
186.87	(1 ⁻)	4.88 ± 0.45	114 ± 36	111 ± 34
187.62	(3 ⁻)	5.36 ± 0.51	202 ± 29	376 ± 50
192.85	(3 ⁻)	0.198 ± 0.056	280	146 ± 34
194.81	1 ⁺	47.4 ± 2.9	166 ± 50	166 ± 49
195.23	3 ⁻	2214.6 ± 8.7	173 ± 43	347 ± 85
200.67	1 ⁻	425.3 ± 4.5	78 ± 29	78 ± 29
202.53	(1 ⁻)	16.1 ± 1.5	81 ± 23	80 ± 23
204.21	3 ⁻	151.3 ± 2.6	240 ± 22	479 ± 44
212.13	(3 ⁻)	0.367 ± 0.075	280	222 ± 31
214.29	3 ⁻	1000.0 ± 5.5	191 ± 24	382 ± 48
224.76	(3 ⁻)	3.82 ± 0.47	153 ± 24	283 ± 41
225.19	(1 ⁻)	8.53 ± 0.78	58 ± 26	57 ± 26
227.69	1 ⁻	364.5 ± 6.9	415 ± 60	415 ± 59
228.29	3 ⁻	1885.4 ± 9.5	94 ± 32	188 ± 65
230.20	1 ⁺	1452 ± 10	419 ± 71	419 ± 71
232.93	(1 ⁻)	0.92 ± 0.51	152 ± 33	131 ± 26
235.76	(1 ⁻)	15.7 ± 1.1	56 ± 23	56 ± 23
241.08	(1 ⁻)	10.23 ± 0.92	117 ± 32	115 ± 31
245.11	(1 ⁻)	9.3 ± 1.5	281 ± 46	273 ± 43
246.06	(1 ⁻)	10.9 ± 1.9	126 ± 38	124 ± 37
247.03	3 ⁻	3620 ± 11	571 ± 47	1141 ± 93
252.02	(3 ⁻)	0.197 ± 0.050	280	145 ± 29
256.24	(1 ⁻)	29.0 ± 1.5	187 ± 36	186 ± 35

258.67	1 ⁺	476.3 ± 4.4	339 ± 88	339 ± 88
258.99	3 ⁻	48.1 ± 2.1	319 ± 44	629 ± 85
265.06	1 ⁺	153.5 ± 2.7	98 ± 31	98 ± 31
266.53	3 ⁺	50.5 ± 2.0	121 ± 37	241 ± 73
267.96	(1 ⁻)	7.0 ± 1.8	67 ± 33	67 ± 33
270.60	(3 ⁻)	0.187 ± 0.054	280	140 ± 31
277.64	(1 ⁻)	7.1 ± 1.5	615 ± 72	566 ± 61
278.79	3 ⁻	71.5 ± 2.6	128 ± 24	255 ± 49
281.63	(3 ⁻)	0.76 ± 0.33	280 ± 83	322 ± 79
287.47	(3 ⁻)	14.6 ± 6.8	680 ± 130	1240 ± 220
289.49	3 ⁻	24932 ± 31	718 ± 96	1440 ± 190
297.82	(1 ⁻)	11.8 ± 1.8	70 ± 26	70 ± 26
298.04	(1 ⁻)	12.5 ± 1.7	54 ± 21	54 ± 21
302.08	1 ⁺	232.8 ± 3.6	297 ± 70	296 ± 70
302.96	3 ⁻	2517.0 ± 9.4	479 ± 61	960 ± 120
308.13	(1 ⁻)	96.5 ± 2.5	68 ± 30	68 ± 30
310.96	(1 ⁻)	5.8 ± 1.2	286 ± 52	273 ± 48
318.81	1 ⁺	21.2 ± 1.6	178 ± 44	177 ± 43
322.67	1 ⁻	183.8 ± 5.0	182 ± 44	182 ± 44
325.30	3 ⁻	22082 ± 29	572 ± 97	1140 ± 190
329.40	3 ⁺	211.4 ± 5.7	27 ± 6	54 ± 31
330.04	(1 ⁻)	23.4 ± 3.0	29 ± 18	29 ± 18
334.33	1 ⁻	90.2 ± 3.1	92 ± 31	92 ± 31
340.12	(1 ⁺)	3.2 ± 1.2	100 ± 47	97 ± 44
344.33	3 ⁻	4053 ± 12	90 ± 33	181 ± 66
347.29	1 ⁺	202.0 ± 3.7	64 ± 23	64 ± 23

TABLE II. ^{88}Sr resonance parameters for the region above 350 keV.

E_n (keV)	$2J^\pi$	$g\Gamma_n$ (eV)	E_n (keV)	$2J^\pi$	$g\Gamma_n$ (eV)	E_n (keV)	$2J^\pi$	$g\Gamma_n$ (eV)
350.86	1^-	47.7 ± 2.5	505.92	(5^-)	13.3 ± 2.9	623.58	(3^+)	346 ± 10
353.64	1^-	17.1 ± 2.2	506.14	1^-	3699 ± 28	625.72	(5^-)	22.2 ± 3.7
355.03	1^-	472.7 ± 5.4	506.52	(3^-)	11.5 ± 2.3	626.95	(5^-)	170 ± 16
358.98	1^-	44.7 ± 2.2	506.93	(5^-)	15.8 ± 3.3	627.09	(5^-)	187.5 ± 9.6
364.88	3^-	990.4 ± 6.0	507.36	(5^-)	15.5 ± 4.1	628.24	(3^+)	317.4 ± 6.0
368.18	1^-	158.1 ± 4.6	509.33	(5^-)	25.3 ± 3.2	632.67	1^+	339.4 ± 7.1
368.30	3^-	140.5 ± 3.3	513.79	(3^-)	136.4 ± 4.7	637.58	(5^-)	116.4 ± 5.8
370.21	3^-	33.5 ± 2.2	516.50	1^-	584.1 ± 9.7	638.20	(5^-)	194.3 ± 6.3
373.57	3^-	28.1 ± 2.1	521.03	1^-	14568 ± 84	639.07	(7^-)	82.5 ± 8.9
377.70	3^-	154.6 ± 3.0	520.92	3^-	43008 ± 65	639.30	(7^-)	280.3 ± 9.7
379.46	3^-	68.1 ± 2.7	522.21	(5^-)	101.6 ± 6.5	639.53	3^-	12432 ± 40
382.29	3^-	706.3 ± 5.5	524.90	3^-	1146 ± 30	643.88	1^-	395 ± 11
385.85	1^-	938 ± 11	528.84	(7^-)	239.8 ± 6.0	652.13	(5^-)	87.0 ± 5.6
386.08	3^-	4395 ± 14	530.58	(5^-)	115.1 ± 4.9	652.44	(5^-)	109.4 ± 5.6
392.24	1^+	24.4 ± 1.8	531.75	(3^-)	7.7 ± 2.6	655.83	1^+	42.3 ± 3.8
400.58	3^-	332.9 ± 4.4	532.86	(5^-)	236.4 ± 5.3	656.60	(5^-)	31.2 ± 4.3
403.96	1^-	6158 ± 23	533.66	(7^-)	111.7 ± 4.8	659.84	1^-	303.6 ± 9.5
408.46	(5^-)	31.8 ± 2.6	535.26	3^-	2203 ± 14	660.68	1^-	140.3 ± 6.8
409.11	(3^+)	39.3 ± 2.8	536.66	1^-	10997 ± 65	662.09	3^-	2952 ± 21
409.28	(5^-)	116.5 ± 3.5	542.43	3^-	3048 ± 20	664.62	(5^-)	115.9 ± 5.8
409.82	(5^+)	10.9 ± 2.2	542.50	(5^-)	49.3 ± 5.8	666.29	3^-	13906 ± 53
410.11	3^-	357.9 ± 4.7	544.62	(5^-)	27.0 ± 3.6	670.69	1^+	336 ± 12
418.86	1^+	478.5 ± 6.0	545.53	3^-	2182 ± 15	671.15	(7^-)	131.3 ± 6.6
422.45	(3^+)	1913 ± 13	551.43	1^-	51.5 ± 3.0	672.62	(7^-)	46.9 ± 5.2

422.64	1 ⁺	22.4 ± 2.9	553.15	3 ⁻	1918 ± 11	673.09	(5 ⁻)	44.2 ± 5.0
422.90	1 ⁻	109.2 ± 6.1	563.44	3 ⁻	14878 ± 32	673.89	(7 ⁻)	50.3 ± 5.1
423.30	1 ⁻	95.3 ± 4.4	564.47	(5 ⁺)	84.7 ± 5.3	674.40	(5 ⁻)	182.8 ± 5.6
426.87	(3 ⁺)	63.7 ± 2.9	571.05	(5 ⁺)	382.6 ± 3.2	676.17	(5 ⁻)	141.6 ± 5.3
430.58	(5 ⁻)	30.2 ± 3.9	570.61	3 ⁺	16.3 ± 6.0	676.76	(7 ⁻)	40.3 ± 4.5
430.69	3 ⁻	1135.9 ± 8.1	571.18	1 ⁻	1759 ± 16	677.52	(5 ⁻)	51.0 ± 4.9
432.86	(3 ⁺)	114.6 ± 3.4	574.72	7 ⁻	76.7 ± 3.7	678.11	(5 ⁻)	49.9 ± 5.0
436.09	1 ⁻	374.5 ± 6.7	575.19	(5 ⁻)	105.8 ± 5.2	678.64	(7 ⁻)	273.3 ± 7.0
437.92	1 ⁻	13.7 ± 2.7	581.55	3 ⁻	7198 ± 26	680.22	3 ⁻	8295 ± 45
441.32	1 ⁺	718.8 ± 9.5	584.81	1 ⁺	3132 ± 29	681.19	(7 ⁻)	135.3 ± 8.5
441.65	3 ⁻	16403 ± 33	585.72	(3 ⁺)	177.7 ± 5.5	681.52	(5 ⁻)	156.5 ± 8.4
446.25	1 ⁻	118.5 ± 5.0	589.46	3 ⁻	23370 ± 46	682.23	(5 ⁻)	60.2 ± 6.5
447.04	1 ⁻	66.8 ± 4.0	591.94	3 ⁻	260 ± 21	682.85	(5 ⁻)	40.3 ± 5.9
455.76	1 ⁺	6.8 ± 1.7	592.32	(5 ⁻)	38.8 ± 5.0	684.72	(7 ⁻)	282.9 ± 7.2
458.35	(3 ⁺)	229.5 ± 3.9	593.40	(3 ⁺)	183.3 ± 6.2	686.40	1 ⁺	21.6 ± 4.0
460.09	1 ⁺	20.1 ± 2.1	596.11	(3 ⁺)	182.9 ± 5.9	687.25	1 ⁺	29.5 ± 4.1
466.11	1 ⁻	38.4 ± 3.3	598.88	(5 ⁻)	66.7 ± 5.5	687.79	1 ⁻	29.4 ± 4.1
469.38	(3 ⁺)	411.1 ± 4.6	600.41	(7 ⁻)	51.1 ± 5.2	688.32	(5 ⁻)	15.1 ± 3.8
475.49	3 ⁻	899.4 ± 7.4	603.12	(3 ⁻)	43.2 ± 4.1	689.93	(7 ⁻)	82.8 ± 5.5
477.07	(5 ⁺)	65.7 ± 4.0	604.65	(7 ⁻)	40.0 ± 6.3	693.42	1 ⁻	1039 ± 66
477.62	1 ⁺	374.1 ± 7.5	605.90	1 ⁺	53.9 ± 3.6	693.46	1 ⁺	57.7 ± 7.7
480.86	(3 ⁺)	54.1 ± 3.1	611.00	(3 ⁺)	48.3 ± 4.2	694.33	(7 ⁻)	201 ± 13
485.30	1 ⁻	196.3 ± 6.2	611.87	(3 ⁺)	48.9 ± 4.2	694.90	(5 ⁻)	324 ± 21
486.74	(5 ⁻)	253.7 ± 7.6	613.46	(5 ⁻)	148.9 ± 5.1	694.57	(7 ⁻)	316 ± 27
486.96	1 ⁻	133.1 ± 9.0	615.30	1 ⁺	470 ± 10	695.03	(5 ⁺)	140 ± 16
487.18	(5 ⁻)	35.2 ± 3.9	615.79	(3 ⁺)	102.5 ± 5.7	695.83	1 ⁻	28.1 ± 4.3
487.54	(3 ⁻)	15.0 ± 3.4	616.96	1 ⁻	5071 ± 40	696.88	1 ⁻	12074 ± 90

487.58	3 ⁻	3688 ± 15	618.45	(7 ⁻)	168.4 ± 6.6	696.95	(7 ⁻)	40.2 ± 5.5
491.42	(3 ⁺)	64.6 ± 3.5	619.55	(5 ⁻)	58.4 ± 6.6	697.43	(5 ⁻)	68.9 ± 6.4
492.81	3 ⁻	3258 ± 14	620.89	(3 ⁻)	28.5 ± 4.2	697.81	(7 ⁻)	98.0 ± 7.6
494.78	(3 ⁺)	54.1 ± 3.2	621.20	(1 ⁻)	156.9 ± 6.4	698.23	(7 ⁻)	139.4 ± 8.4
497.31	(3 ⁺)	33.4 ± 2.9	621.99	(1 ⁻)	221.1 ± 7.6	698.65	(5 ⁻)	90.0 ± 7.6
502.47	3 ⁻	2762 ± 14	623.19	(1 ⁻)	60.1 ± 7.1	699.04	3 ⁻	3054 ± 34
699.38	1 ⁺	52.2 ± 6.6	786.74	(7 ⁻)	25 ± 10	871.39	(5 ⁻)	78.9 ± 8.2
700.12	(5 ⁻)	56.4 ± 9.3	788.40	(3 ⁻)	532 ± 11	872.12	(7 ⁻)	87.7 ± 8.4
704.17	1 ⁺	34.0 ± 4.6	788.17	7 ⁻	460 ± 23	874.94	3 ⁺	3012 ± 28
704.78	(5 ⁻)	44.9 ± 5.9	793.44	(5 ⁻)	190.6 ± 8.0	874.97	(5 ⁻)	120 ± 10
705.48	(5 ⁻)	44.2 ± 6.4	794.43	(3 ⁻)	14740 ± 10	877.72	(7 ⁻)	462 ± 12
706.09	(5 ⁻)	335.2 ± 8.2	794.34	5 ⁻	156 ± 62	878.20	(5 ⁻)	860 ± 16
708.65	3 ⁺	7394 ± 44	794.82	(7 ⁻)	428 ± 12	878.85	(7 ⁻)	255 ± 10
709.71	(7 ⁻)	70.9 ± 6.9	795.31	(7 ⁻)	182 ± 10	880.07	(5 ⁻)	265.8 ± 9.9
710.41	(5 ⁻)	92.5 ± 7.1	798.66	(5 ⁻)	375.4 ± 9.5	880.67	(7 ⁻)	521 ± 11
714.57	(5 ⁻)	131.1 ± 6.4	801.29	(5 ⁻)	129.1 ± 8.7	881.18	(5 ⁻)	539 ± 12
715.89	1 ⁻	3064 ± 33	801.88	1 ⁻	46.4 ± 6.2	881.78	(7 ⁻)	531 ± 12
716.98	(5 ⁻)	239.2 ± 8.9	802.51	(5 ⁻)	214.1 ± 8.8	883.73	1 ⁺	19.6 ± 7.4
717.07	1 ⁺	490 ± 16	804.25	(5 ⁻)	102.1 ± 7.8	883.57	1 ⁺	17.8 ± 7.4
719.11	(5 ⁻)	144.4 ± 8.5	807.41	(7 ⁻)	280.2 ± 8.3	884.37	(7 ⁻)	241.4 ± 9.2
719.53	3 ⁻	519 ± 20	808.71	3 ⁻	745 ± 11	885.22	1 ⁺	28.1 ± 6.1
722.50	(5 ⁺)	154.4 ± 6.9	810.94	1 ⁺	29.1 ± 5.6	887.65	(5 ⁻)	70.9 ± 7.7
723.10	3 ⁻	4633 ± 27	811.72	1 ⁻	40.6 ± 5.6	888.32	(7 ⁻)	82.8 ± 8.4
724.38	(5 ⁻)	67.1 ± 5.5	812.87	(7 ⁻)	216.7 ± 9.3	889.16	(5 ⁻)	614 ± 13
726.86	(5 ⁻)	40.2 ± 5.5	813.34	(7 ⁻)	272 ± 11	889.71	(5 ⁻)	170 ± 12
731.13	3 ⁻	15094 ± 43	813.76	3 ⁻	1306 ± 20	890.56	(7 ⁻)	891 ± 14
731.63	(5 ⁻)	112.6 ± 7.1	814.26	1 ⁻	1482 ± 32	891.09	(5 ⁻)	484 ± 12

734.93	(5 ⁻)	53.9 ± 5.6	815.55	(5 ⁻)	77.2 ± 9.5	891.68	(7 ⁻)	618 ± 13
739.52	3 ⁻	6431 ± 28	816.13	(5 ⁻)	48.9 ± 7.4	892.79	(5 ⁻)	207 ± 14
739.67	1 ⁻	373 ± 12	816.90	(7 ⁻)	133.3 ± 7.8	893.15	(5 ⁻)	377 ± 16
743.79	1 ⁺	718 ± 14	820.23	(5 ⁻)	294.9 ± 8.8	893.83	3 ⁻	32920 ± 150
743.81	1 ⁻	20.4 ± 5.0	820.83	3 ⁻	10798 ± 61	893.85	(7 ⁻)	133 ± 11
747.23	(5 ⁻)	61.6 ± 5.5	821.29	1 ⁺	15.5 ± 6.2	894.53	(7 ⁻)	97 ± 13
751.12	(5 ⁻)	66.2 ± 6.2	821.68	1 ⁺	67.4 ± 8.3	895.50	(7 ⁻)	86 ± 18
754.67	3 ⁻	15547 ± 61	822.41	(5 ⁻)	501.7 ± 9.7	895.56	1 ⁺	326 ± 29
757.94	(5 ⁻)	230.0 ± 7.0	822.97	3 ⁻	5434 ± 45	896.62	(7 ⁻)	119 ± 10
758.90	(5 ⁻)	241.2 ± 7.0	823.82	1 ⁺	48.1 ± 6.7	898.73	(5 ⁻)	91 ± 21
761.83	(5 ⁻)	309.8 ± 7.7	827.64	3 ⁻	4227 ± 30	899.09	(7 ⁻)	501 ± 21
764.15	1 ⁺	408 ± 11	828.50	(5 ⁻)	119.3 ± 8.5	899.29	1 ⁻	517 ± 60
765.62	(5 ⁻)	46.8 ± 6.6	832.60	(5 ⁻)	576 ± 13	899.44	(5 ⁻)	388 ± 26
766.16	(7 ⁻)	64.7 ± 7.8	833.17	1 ⁻	1331 ± 55	900.04	(7 ⁻)	83 ± 14
766.26	3 ⁻	31360 ± 170	834.00	(5 ⁻)	395 ± 19	902.48	1 ⁺	237 ± 13
766.63	(7 ⁻)	144.5 ± 9.8	836.11	1 ⁺	1163 ± 23	904.25	(7 ⁻)	324 ± 13
767.04	(5 ⁻)	412 ± 11	837.36	1 ⁺	72.4 ± 7.3	905.66	3 ⁻	885 ± 17
767.71	(5 ⁻)	75.6 ± 8.1	838.50	1 ⁺	36.4 ± 5.8	911.42	(7 ⁻)	52.3 ± 7.6
770.42	(1 ⁺)	112 ± 13	839.69	(5 ⁻)	299.8 ± 8.3	913.76	(7 ⁻)	495 ± 15
770.04	(7 ⁻)	120 ± 33	840.54	1 ⁺	75.2 ± 6.2	913.88	1 ⁺	622 ± 24
770.28	7 ⁻	308 ± 53	841.47	(7 ⁻)	213.4 ± 8.1	915.82	(7 ⁻)	301 ± 10
772.62	3 ⁻	1655 ± 37	842.67	(7 ⁻)	25.2 ± 5.9	917.05	1 ⁺	127.9 ± 8.9
774.70	(3 ⁻)	19405 ± 13	846.03	(7 ⁻)	101.2 ± 8.0	921.43	(7 ⁻)	113 ± 96
774.55	5 ⁻	210 ± 110	847.53	(3 ⁺)	391 ± 12	920.49	(3 ⁻)	20346 ± 11
774.88	5 ⁻	663 ± 14	847.90	(7 ⁻)	770 ± 11	928.44	(7 ⁻)	1226 ± 13
775.81	(7 ⁻)	44.0 ± 7.0	849.61	(5 ⁺)	215 ± 11	930.38	(3 ⁻)	8637 ± 59
776.38	(7 ⁻)	116.9 ± 8.3	850.28	(3 ⁺)	1503 ± 22	933.82	(7 ⁻)	69 ± 17

780.50	3 ⁻	2285 ± 15	850.98	1 ⁻	1620 ± 33	934.26	(7 ⁻)	1541 ± 19
780.24	1 ⁻	534 ± 26	851.97	3 ⁻	38570 ± 140	939.11	(7 ⁻)	1546 ± 15
781.90	(5 ⁻)	101 ± 11	853.26	(7 ⁻)	71.0 ± 8.9	940.78	1 ⁺	79.8 ± 7.6
782.38	(7 ⁻)	174.6 ± 9.3	861.36	(5 ⁻)	113.8 ± 9.0	942.29	(7 ⁻)	615 ± 12
782.82	(7 ⁻)	147.0 ± 8.2	862.31	1 ⁻	60.9 ± 7.2	943.34	1 ⁺	199 ± 11
783.30	(5 ⁻)	125.7 ± 7.9	863.85	(7 ⁻)	64.0 ± 8.7	944.20	(7 ⁻)	414 ± 13
785.31	3 ⁻	12002 ± 67	866.75	1 ⁻	526 ± 17	945.42	(7 ⁻)	455 ± 14
785.55	(5 ⁻)	191.1 ± 8.8	868.47	1 ⁻	53.2 ± 7.1	947.56	(7 ⁻)	35 ± 11
786.19	(7 ⁻)	262 ± 11	870.32	1 ⁻	38.3 ± 6.4	948.23	(3 ⁻)	20270 ± 210.

TABLE III. Parameters defining the external $\mathcal{R}$ functions

l_J	a_{lJ}	b_{lJ} (eV $^{-1}$)	s_{lJ}^{ext}
$s_{1/2}$	-4.3×10^{-2}	2.8×10^{-8}	1.0×10^{-2}
$p_{1/2}$	0.45	3.2×10^{-7}	7.5×10^{-2}
$p_{3/2}$	0.43	-1.8×10^{-7}	0.22
$d_{3/2}$	-0.70	2.3×10^{-6}	4.1×10^{-2}
$d_{5/2}$	-2.5	3.3×10^{-6}	2.6×10^{-3}
$f_{5/2}$	1.0×10^{-3}	4.6×10^{-7}	0.24
$f_{7/2}$	1.0×10^{-3}	9.0×10^{-8}	0.19

TABLE IV. Maxwellian averaged neutron capture cross sections.

Thermal energy kT (keV)	$\langle\sigma v\rangle/v_T$ (mb)		
	From measurements	From direct capture calculation	Total
5.0	8.97	0.22	9.19 ± 0.30
8.0	10.42	0.28	10.70 ± 0.34
10	10.02	0.31	10.33 ± 0.33
15	8.48	0.40	8.88 ± 0.27
20	7.13	0.47	7.60 ± 0.23
25	6.14	0.54	6.68 ± 0.20
30	5.40	0.61	6.01 ± 0.17
40	4.42	0.73	5.15 ± 0.16
50	3.80	0.83	4.63 ± 0.15
60	3.36	0.90	4.26 ± 0.14
70	3.02	0.94	3.96 ± 0.13
85	2.63	0.96	3.59 ± 0.12

Dial \Sr88Fig1.ps

CT7122

R_{ext}

Fig. 7. Koehler *et al.*