OFFICE OF CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT ANALYSIS/MODEL COVER SHEET

1. QA: QA

Page: 1 of: 8

Complete Only Applicable Items

2. ☒ Analysis Check all that apply	3. ☐ Model Check all that apply				
<table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 20%;">Type of Analysis</td> <td> ☒ Engineering ☐ Performance Assessment ☐ Scientific </td> </tr> </table>	Type of Analysis	☒ Engineering ☐ Performance Assessment ☐ Scientific	<table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 20%;">Type of Model</td> <td> ☐ Conceptual Model ☐ Abstraction Model ☐ Mathematical Model ☐ System Model ☐ Process Model </td> </tr> </table>	Type of Model	☐ Conceptual Model ☐ Abstraction Model ☐ Mathematical Model ☐ System Model ☐ Process Model
Type of Analysis	☒ Engineering ☐ Performance Assessment ☐ Scientific				
Type of Model	☐ Conceptual Model ☐ Abstraction Model ☐ Mathematical Model ☐ System Model ☐ Process Model				
<table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 20%;">Intended Use of Analysis</td> <td> ☐ Input to Calculation ☐ Input to another Analysis or Model ☐ Input to Technical Document ☒ Input to other Technical Products </td> </tr> </table>	Intended Use of Analysis	☐ Input to Calculation ☐ Input to another Analysis or Model ☐ Input to Technical Document ☒ Input to other Technical Products	<table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td style="width: 20%;">Intended Use of Model</td> <td> ☐ Input to Calculation ☐ Input to another Model or Analysis ☐ Input to Technical Document ☐ Input to other Technical Products </td> </tr> </table>	Intended Use of Model	☐ Input to Calculation ☐ Input to another Model or Analysis ☐ Input to Technical Document ☐ Input to other Technical Products
Intended Use of Analysis	☐ Input to Calculation ☐ Input to another Analysis or Model ☐ Input to Technical Document ☒ Input to other Technical Products				
Intended Use of Model	☐ Input to Calculation ☐ Input to another Model or Analysis ☐ Input to Technical Document ☐ Input to other Technical Products				
Describe use: QA Classification of the MGR Carrier Preparation Building Materials Handling System for input to the Q-List and other technical documents as appropriate.					

4. Title:
Classification of the MGR Carrier Preparation Building Materials Handling System

5. Document Identifier (including Rev. No. and Change No., if applicable):
ANL-CMH-SE-000001 REV 01

6. Total Attachments:
3

7. Attachment Numbers - No. of Pages in Each:
I-1; II-4; III-10

	Printed Name	Signature	Date
8. Originator	Jo A. Ziegler	<i>Jo A. Ziegler</i>	2/8/01
9. Checker	Robert J. Garrett	<i>Robert J. Garrett</i>	2/8/01
10. Lead/Supervisor	Thomas D. Dunn	<i>Thomas D. Dunn</i>	2/8/01
11. Responsible	Dealis W. Gwyn	<i>Dealis W. Gwyn</i>	2/8/01

12. Remarks:
This analysis bases the classification of MGR structures, systems, and components on the criteria of proposed rule 10 CFR 63 (64 FR 8640). A review has determined that the changes made to proposed rule 10 CFR 63 by Interim Guidance Pending Issuance of New U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Regulations for Yucca Mountain, Nevada (Dyer 1999) do not impact the classification conclusions of this analysis.

OFFICE OF CIVILIAN RADIOACTIVE WASTE MANAGEMENT
ANALYSIS/MODEL REVISION RECORD

1. Page: 2 of: 8

Complete Only Applicable Items

2. Analysis or Model Title:

Classification of the MGR Carrier Preparation Materials Handling System

3. Document Identifier (including Rev. No. and Change No., if applicable):

ANL-CMH-SE-000001 REV 01

4. Revision/Change No.	5. Description of Revision/Change
00	Initial issue.
01	This revision is a complete rewrite of the initial issue. The Carrier Preparation Building Materials Handling System architecture has been expanded to include subsystems (that were not included in the initial issue) that are classified in this revision.

CONTENTS

	Page
1. PURPOSE	4
2. QUALITY ASSURANCE.....	4
3. COMPUTER SOFTWARE AND MODEL USAGE	4
4. INPUTS	4
4.1 PARAMETERS	4
4.2 CRITERIA	5
4.3 CODES, STANDARDS, AND REGULATIONS	5
5. ASSUMPTIONS.....	5
6. ANALYSIS	5
6.1 METHOD	5
6.2 MGR DESIGN CONFIGURATION AND ARCHITECTURE	6
6.3 DESIGN BASIS EVENT ANALYSIS	6
6.4 QUALITY ASSURANCE CLASSIFICATION OF MGR SSCs	6
7. CONCLUSIONS	7
8. INPUTS AND REFERENCES	7
8.1 DOCUMENTS CITED	7
8.2 CODES, STANDARDS, AND REGULATIONS	8
8.3 PROCEDURES.....	8
9. ATTACHMENTS.....	8

Tables

1. Carrier Preparation Building Materials Handling System QA Classification	7
---	---

1. PURPOSE

The purpose of this analysis is to document the Quality Assurance (QA) classification of the Monitored Geologic Repository (MGR) carrier preparation building materials handling system structures, systems and components (SSCs) performed by the MGR Preclosure Safety and Systems Engineering Section. This analysis also provides the basis for revision of YMP/90-55Q, *Q-List* (YMP 2000). The *Q-List* identifies those MGR SSCs subject to the requirements of DOE/RW-0333P, *Quality Assurance Requirements and Description* (QARD) (DOE 2000).

This QA classification incorporates the current MGR design and the results of the *Design Basis Event Frequency and Dose Calculation for Site Recommendation* (CRWMS M&O 2000b). The content and technical approach of this analysis is in accordance with the *Technical Work Plan for: Preclosure Safety Analysis*, TWP-MGR-SE-000010 (CRWMS M&O 2000c).

2. QUALITY ASSURANCE

This analysis is subject to the requirements of the QARD (DOE 2000) as determined by the activity evaluation contained in *Technical Work Plan for: Preclosure Safety Analysis*, TWP-MGR-SE-000010 (CRWMS M&O 2000c) in accordance with procedure AP-2.21Q, *Quality Determinations and Planning for Scientific, Engineering, and Regulatory Compliance Activities*. Controls prescribed by procedure AP-SV.1Q, *Control of Electronic Management of Information*, are addressed by information management controls, such as access privileges through passwords, backup and storage of data, etc. No quality affecting software is used in the preparation of this analysis.

This analysis is performed in accordance with procedures QAP-2-3, *Classification of Permanent Items*, and AP-3.10Q, *Analyses and Models*, and provides input to the design of SSCs included on the *Q-List* (YMP 2000). Unverified design inputs are identified and tracked in accordance with AP-3.15Q, *Managing Technical Product Inputs*.

3. COMPUTER SOFTWARE AND MODEL USAGE

This analysis uses no software required to be controlled in accordance with procedure AP-SI.1Q, *Software Management*.

4. INPUTS

4.1 PARAMETERS

The offsite radiological consequences of MGR Category 1 and 2 design basis events (DBEs), as calculated in *Design Basis Event Frequency and Dose Calculation for Site Recommendation* (CRWMS M&O 2000b), are utilized in the QA classification of MGR SSCs. These results represent a conservative evaluation of MGR DBEs and the best information available. As discussed in Section 6.1 of this analysis, NUREG-1318, *Technical Position on Items and Activities in the High-Level Waste Geologic Repository Program Subject to Quality Assurance Requirements* (Duncan et al. 1988, Section 4.2(a)) allows the use of engineering judgement and conservative bounding

assumptions in the QA classification of facility SSCs when data sources are limited. Also, procedure YAP-2.7Q, *Item Classification and Maintenance of the Q-List* (Attachment 3, Section a), directs the use of the highest level of detail available to support the conclusion of the QA classification analysis. Currently, no DBEs associated with this system are identified by the DBE dose calculation (CRWMS M&O 2000b).

4.2 CRITERIA

The criteria used in the QA classification of MGR SSCs are provided in procedure QAP-2-3 as discussed in Section 6.1. These criteria satisfy the requirement of Section 2.2.2 of the QARD, "Classifying Items" (DOE 2000).

4.3 CODES, STANDARDS, AND REGULATIONS

10 CFR 20. Energy: Standards for Protection Against Radiation.

Interim Guidance Pending Issuance of New U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Regulations for Yucca Mountain, Nevada (Dyer 1999).

64 FR 8640. Disposal of High-Level Radioactive Wastes in a Proposed Geologic Repository at Yucca Mountain, Nevada. Proposed rule 10 CFR 63.

5. ASSUMPTIONS

No assumptions are made in this analysis.

6. ANALYSIS

6.1 METHOD

The basic process for classifying permanent MGR SSCs is provided by procedure QAP-2-3. Guidance provided by procedure YAP-2.7Q is also used in this analysis. The process consists of establishing the configuration and function of MGR SSCs and the effect of the SSC on MGR radiological safety. This information is then evaluated against criteria provided in QAP-2-3 to determine the QA classification of the particular item. The classification criteria are provided in the form of checklists in procedure QAP-2-3. The following classification categories are specified by QAP-2-3 to meet the requirements of Section 2 of the QARD (DOE 2000).

Quality Level 1 (QL-1): Those SSCs whose failure could *directly* result in a condition adversely affecting public safety. These items have a high safety or waste isolation significance.

Quality Level 2 (QL-2): Those SSCs whose failure or malfunction could *indirectly* result in a condition adversely affecting public safety, or whose *direct* failure would result in consequences in excess of normal operational limits. These items have a low safety or waste isolation significance.

Quality Level 3 (QL-3): Those SSCs whose failure or malfunction would not significantly impact public or worker safety, including those defense-in-depth design features intended to keep doses ALARA (As Low As is Reasonably Achievable). These items have a minor impact on public and worker safety and waste isolation.

Conventional Quality (CQ): Those SSCs not meeting any of the criteria for Quality Levels 1, 2, or 3. Conventional quality items are not subject to the requirements of QARD.

This analysis method is based on an iterative design-classification process where each analysis iteration is considered a final product for that phase of design. In this case, the system design and the DBE analysis are evaluated to determine which of the system's SSCs require design control under the QA program. The analysis presented in this document, therefore, will be reevaluated as necessary using a methodology appropriate to the level of DBE analysis and system design detail. This approach is consistent with NUREG-1318 (Duncan et al. 1988, Section 4.2(a)), which allows engineering judgement and conservative bounding assumptions to be used in cases where data are limited.

6.2 MGR DESIGN CONFIGURATION AND ARCHITECTURE

Prior to the QA classification of MGR SSCs, the system design configuration as well as the function of system's SSCs are established. This classification analysis refers to the system design and functions as established by the *Carrier Preparation Building Materials Handling System Description Document* (SDD) (CRWMS M&O 2000a). Any decontamination of transportation casks performed in the carrier preparation building materials handling system will be done manually by radiologically trained personnel using hand tools and supplies. There is no hardware or SSCs associated with decontamination. In the process of QA classification, if two or more subsystems perform similar functions or are similarly classified, these subsystems are classified as a group under the higher level system and not listed individually.

6.3 DESIGN BASIS EVENT ANALYSIS

A dose calculation of MGR DBEs (CRWMS M&O 2000b) has been performed to determine the effects of internal and external events on facility radiological safety and is utilized by this analysis in the classification of MGR SSCs. The DBE analysis addresses both the DBE frequencies and dose consequences at the site boundary. This analysis utilizes the results of the DBE analysis to evaluate MGR SSCs against the classification criteria of procedure QAP-2-3.

6.4 QUALITY ASSURANCE CLASSIFICATION OF MGR SSCS

The MGR SSCs are evaluated against the criteria of QAP-2-3 to determine the item QA classification level. The results of the MGR preliminary DBE calculations (CRWMS M&O 2000b) are utilized in this evaluation.

7. CONCLUSIONS

The results of this QA classification analysis are provided in Table 1. As the design of the MGR proceeds and further DBE analyses of MGR hazards are performed, this classification analysis will be reviewed for impact and revised as necessary. The MGR classification checklist questions included in procedure QAP-2-3 are reproduced in Attachment II. The basis for the classification evaluation is provided in Attachment III.

Table 1. Carrier Preparation Building Materials Handling System QA Classification

Carrier Preparation Building Materials Handling System	QL-1	QL-2	QL-3	CQ
Control and Tracking System			X	
Handling Equipment				
Overhead Bridge Crane			X	
Remote Manipulator			X	
Tooling and Fixtures				X
Inspection Systems				X
Decontamination System *				

* There is no hardware or SSC associated with decontamination.

8. INPUTS AND REFERENCES

8.1 DOCUMENTS CITED

CRWMS M&O (Civilian Radioactive Waste Management System Management and Operating Contractor) 2000a. *Carrier Preparation Building Materials Handling System Description Document*. SDD-CMH-SE-000001 REV 01 ICN 01. Las Vegas, Nevada: CRWMS M&O. ACC: MOL.20000807.0092.

CRWMS M&O 2000b. *Design Basis Event Frequency and Dose Calculation for Site Recommendation*. CAL-WHS-SE-000001 REV 01. Las Vegas, Nevada: CRWMS M&O. ACC: MOL.20000627.0214.

CRWMS M&O 2000c. *Technical Work Plan for: Preclosure Safety Analysis*. TWP-MGR-SE-000010 REV 00. Las Vegas, Nevada: CRWMS M&O. ACC: MOL.20001220.0017.

DOE (Department of Energy) 2000. *Quality Assurance Requirements and Description*. DOE/RW-0333P, Rev. 10. Washington D.C.: U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Civilian Radioactive Waste Management. ACC: MOL.20000427.0422.

Duncan, A.B.; Bilhorn, S.G.; and Kennedy, J.E. 1988. *Technical Position on Items and Activities in the High-Level Waste Geologic Repository Program Subject to Quality Assurance Requirements*. NUREG-1318. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission. TIC: 200650.

Dyer, J.R. 1999. "Revised Interim Guidance Pending Issuance of New U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) Regulations (Revision 01, July 22, 1999), for Yucca Mountain, Nevada." Letter from J.R. Dyer (DOE/YMSCO) to D.R. Wilkins (CRWMS M&O), September 3, 1999. OL&RC:SB-1714, with enclosure, "Interim Guidance Pending Issuance of New NRC Regulations for Yucca Mountain (Revision 01)." ACC: MOL.19990910.0079.

YMP (Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Project) 2000. *Q-List*. YMP/90-55Q, Rev. 6. Las Vegas, Nevada: Yucca Mountain Site Characterization Office. ACC: MOL.20000510.0177.

8.2 CODES, STANDARDS, AND REGULATIONS

10 CFR (Code of Federal Regulations) 20. Energy: Standards for Protection Against Radiation. Readily available.

64 FR (Federal Register) 8640. Disposal of High-Level Radioactive Wastes in a Proposed Geologic Repository at Yucca Mountain, Nevada. Proposed rule 10 CFR 63. Readily available.

8.3 PROCEDURES

AP-2.21Q, Rev. 1. *Quality Determinations and Planning for Scientific, Engineering, and Regulatory Compliance Activities*. ACC: MOL.20010129.0145.

AP-3.10Q, Rev. 2, ICN 3. *Analyses and Models*. ACC: MOL.20000918.0282.

AP-SI.1Q, Rev. 2, ICN 4, ECN 1. *Software Management*. ACC: MOL.20001019.0023.

AP-SV.1Q, Rev. 0, ICN 2. *Control of the Electronic Management of Information*. ACC: MOL.20000831.0065.

AP-3.15Q, Rev. 2. *Managing Technical Product Inputs*. ACC: MOL.20001109.0051.

QAP-2-3, Rev. 10. *Classification of Permanent Items*. ACC: MOL.19990316.0006.

YAP-2.7Q, Rev. 1, ICN 2. *Item Classification and Maintenance of the Q-List*. ACC: MOL.19991214.0628.

9. ATTACHMENTS

Attachment I	Acronyms
Attachment II	MGR Classification Checklist Questions
Attachment III	MGR QA Classification

Attachment I

Acronyms

CQ	Conventional Quality
DBE	Design Basis Event
MGR	Monitored Geologic Repository
QA	Quality Assurance
QARD	Quality Assurance Requirements and Description
QL	Quality Level
SDD	System Description Document
SSCs	Structures, Systems, and Components
TEDE	Total Effective Dose Equivalent

Attachment II

MGR Classification Checklist Questions

CRWMS/M&O

Importance to Safety or Waste Isolation Evaluation for MGR

Complete only applicable items.

QA: L

Page: 2 Of: 4

MGR Quality Level 2 Checklist

	Yes	No	
8.			<p>Preclosure Phase:</p> <p>2.1. Does the item function to provide control and management (i.e., collection and/or confinement) of site-generated liquid, gaseous, or solid low-level or mixed radioactive waste?</p> <p>NOTE: Systems with trace concentration of radionuclides, the failure of which could result in offsite doses less than 0.25 mrem per year, are not considered to perform radioactive waste management or control functions for the purpose of this quality level determination.</p>
			2.2. Does the item provide fire detection, fire suppression, or otherwise protect the important-to-radiological safety or waste isolation functions of Quality Level 1 SSCs from the hazards of a fire?
			2.3. As a result of a DBE, could consequential failure of the item, which is not intended to perform a Quality Level 1 radiological safety function, prevent Quality Level 1 SSCs from performing their intended radiological safety function?
			2.4. Is the item required to prevent or mitigate a Category 1 DBE that could result in offsite doses greater than or equal to 25 mrem TEDE, per event, to any member of the public located on or beyond the site boundary [10 CFR 63.111(a) and 10 CFR 20.1301(a)(1)]? Category 1 DBE "per event" limits are interpreted as the sum of the normal operating dose and anticipated operational occurrences plus the consequences from any single additional low frequency Category 1 DBE. This sum is stated on an annual basis and consistent with 10 CFR 63.111(a) or 10 CFR 20.
			2.5. Is the item, in conjunction with an additional item or administrative control (i.e., indirect impact), required to prevent or mitigate a Category 1 DBE that could result in offsite doses greater than or equal to 100 mrem TEDE, per event, to any member of the public located on or beyond the site boundary? Category 1 DBE "per event" limits are interpreted as the sum of the normal operating dose and anticipated operational occurrences plus the consequences from any single additional low frequency Category 1 DBE. This sum is stated on an annual basis and consistent with 10 CFR 63.111(a) or 10 CFR 20.
			2.6. Is the item, in conjunction with an additional item or administrative control (i.e., indirect impact), required to prevent or mitigate a Category 2 DBE that could result in offsite doses greater than or equal to 5 rem TEDE, 50 rem combined deep dose equivalent and committed dose equivalent to any individual organ or tissue (other than the lens of the eye), 15 rem dose equivalent to the lens of the eye, or 50 rem shallow dose equivalent to the skin, per event, to any individual located on or beyond any point on the boundary of the site?
9.			<p>Postclosure Phase:</p> <p>2.7. As a result of a DBE, could consequential failure of the item, which is not intended to perform a Quality Level 1 waste isolation function, result in:</p> <p style="margin-left: 20px;">a. the inability of Quality Level 1 engineered barriers to perform their intended long-term waste isolation function in the postclosure phase?</p>
			b. long-term changes to the hydrological characteristics of natural barriers by creating significant ponding or the possibility of drainage into the postclosure underground?
			c. the introduction of fluids or other materials that could adversely affect the long-term geo-mechanical characteristics of natural barriers in the postclosure phase?
			d. compromising the ability of the natural barriers to isolate waste in the postclosure phase?
10.			Do the answers to Blocks 8 and 9 qualify the item as a Quality Level 2 item?

Attachment II

MGR Classification Checklist Questions

CRWMS/M&O

Importance to Safety or Waste Isolation Evaluation for MGR

Complete only applicable items.

QA: L

Page: 4 Of: 4

MGR Quality Level 3 Checklist

Yes No

[illegible]

System: **Carrier Preparation Building Materials Handling System**
 SSC Classified **Control and Tracking System**

QL1 ☐
 PS1 ☒ QL2 ☐
 PS2 ☐ QL3 ☒
 CQ ☐

SDD Reference: SDD-CMH-SE-000001 R1 ICN 1

Assumptions Applicable to this Item: N/A

Pre-Screen - Importance to Safety or Waste Isolation Evaluation

	Yes	No	Rationale:
PS1	☐	☒	This item is relied upon to provide operations support necessary for waste handling safety. This item is not directly or indirectly relied upon to provide one of the following Important to Safety functions for radioactive wastes received or handled at the MGR: confinement or containment, criticality control, shielding, heat transfer, or structural integrity. The control and tracking system facilitates the remote operations of the crane and manipulator.
	☐	☒	
	☐	☒	
	☐	☒	
	☐	☒	
	☒	☐	
PS2	☐	☒	The control and tracking system is not directly or indirectly relied upon to provide an Important to Waste Isolation function.

Note: A Yes answer has been selected for either PS1 or PS2, therefore, the item is subject to QARD requirements. An Importance to Safety or Waste Isolation evaluation is required. Please continue with the evaluation checklists below.

QL1 - Quality Level 1: High Safety or Waste Isolation Significance

	Yes	No	Rationale:
1.1	☐	☒	Failure of the control and tracking system does not directly result in loss of waste package containment or criticality control.
1.2	☐	☒	The control and tracking system is not required to prevent or mitigate a Category 1 DBE that could result in offsite doses greater than or equal to 100 mrem total effective dose equivalent (TEDE).
1.3	☐	☒	The control and tracking system is not required to prevent or mitigate a Category 2 DBE that could result in offsite doses greater than or equal to 5 rem TEDE, 50 rem combined deep and committed dose equivalents to any individual organ or tissue, 15 rem to the lens of the eye, or 50 rem shallow dose equivalent to the skin.
1.4	☐	☒	The control and tracking system is not a part of the natural or engineered barriers important to waste isolation.
	☐	☒	

QL2 - Quality Level 2: Low Safety or Waste Isolation Significance

	Yes	No	Rationale:
2.1	☐	☒	The control and tracking system does not collect, contain, or monitor any site-generated radioactive waste.
2.2	☐	☒	The control and tracking system does not protect QL-1 SSCs from the effects of fire.
2.3	☐	☒	Failure of the control and tracking system resulting from a DBE will not cause damage to the shipping cask and thus impair the cask capability to perform its intended radiological safety function.

System: Carrier Preparation Building Materials Handling System

SSC Classified Control and Tracking System

	QL1	☐
PS1	☒	QL2 ☐
PS2	☐	QL3 ☒
	CQ	☐

- 2.4 ☐ ☒ This item is not required to prevent or mitigate a Category 1 DBE that could result in offsite doses greater than or equal to 25 mrem TEDE, per event, to any member of the public located on or beyond the site boundary [10 CFR 63.111(a) and 10 CFR 20.1301 (a)(1)].
- 2.5 ☐ ☒ This item, in conjunction with an additional item or administrative control (i.e., indirect impact), is not required to prevent or mitigate a Category 1 DBE that could result in offsite doses greater than or equal to 100 mrem TEDE, per event, to any member of the public located on or beyond the site boundary.
- 2.6 ☐ ☒ This item, in conjunction with an additional item or administrative control (i.e., indirect impact), is not required to prevent or mitigate a Category 2 DBE that could result in offsite doses greater than or equal to the more limiting of 10 CFR 63.111(b)(2) doses to any individual located on, or beyond, any point on the site boundary.
- 2.7 ☐ ☒ a. Failure of the control and tracking system as a result of a DBE does not compromise the ability of natural or engineered barriers to isolate waste.
☐ ☒ b.
☐ ☒ c.
☐ ☒ d.

QL3 - Quality Level 3: Minor Safety Significance or Occupational Exposure Significance

	Yes	No	Rationale:
3.1	☐	☒	This item does not function to provide an alarm to warn of significant increases in radiation levels or concentrations of radioactive materials.
3.2	☐	☒	This item does not function to monitor variables to verify that operating conditions are within technical specifications.
3.3	☐	☒	This item is not used in MGR emergency response to provide prompt evacuation of personnel, or to monitor variables used in helping to determine the cause or consequences of DBEs (during post accident investigations).
3.4	☐	☒	This item does not function as part of the radiological, meteorological, or environmental monitoring systems required to assess radionuclide release or dispersion following a DBE.
3.5	☐	☒	This item is not part of the design or design objectives for keeping levels of radioactive material in effluent to unrestricted areas as low as practicable during normal operations.
3.6	☒	☐	This item functions to limit onsite worker doses from normal operations and during Category 1 DBEs, including planned recovery operations, to less than 10 CFR 63.111(a)(1) [10 CFR 20.1201] requirements. The control and tracking system operates the crane, which permits remote operations and increased distance between facility operators and radiation sources.

System: Carrier Preparation Building Materials Handling System

SSC Classified Overhead Bridge Crane

	QL1	☐
PS1	☒	QL2 ☐
PS2	☐	QL3 ☒
	CQ	☐

SDD Reference: SDD-CMH-SE-000001 R1 ICN 1

Assumptions Applicable to this Item: N/A

Pre-Screen - Importance to Safety or Waste Isolation Evaluation

	Yes	No	Rationale:
PS1	☐	☒	<p>a. The overhead bridge crane is used for removing and installing personnel barriers, impact limiters, cask trunnions, and cask tie-downs. This crane is not used to lift a transportation cask. The remote handling capability of the crane facilitates maintenance and dose reduction. This item is relied upon to provide operations support necessary for waste handling safety.</p>
	☐	☒	
	☐	☒	
	☐	☒	
	☐	☒	
	☒	☐	
PS2	☐	☒	This item is not directly or indirectly relied upon to provide an Important to Waste Isolation function.

Note: A Yes answer has been selected for either PS1 or PS2, therefore, the item is subject to QARD requirements. An Importance to Safety or Waste Isolation evaluation is required. Please continue with the evaluation checklists below.

QL1 - Quality Level 1: High Safety or Waste Isolation Significance

	Yes	No	Rationale:
1.1	☐	☒	Failure of the overhead bridge crane does not directly result in loss of waste package containment or criticality control.
1.2	☐	☒	The overhead bridge crane is not required to prevent or mitigate a Category 1 DBE that could result in offsite doses greater than or equal to 100 mrem total effective dose equivalent (TEDE).
1.3	☐	☒	The overhead bridge crane is not required to prevent or mitigate a Category 2 DBE that could result in offsite doses greater than or equal to 5 rem TEDE, 50 rem combined deep and committed dose equivalents to any individual organ or tissue, 15 rem to the lens of the eye, or 50 rem shallow dose equivalent to the skin.
1.4	☐	☒	The overhead bridge crane is not a part of the natural or engineered barriers important to waste isolation.
	☐	☒	

QL2 - Quality Level 2: Low Safety or Waste Isolation Significance

	Yes	No	Rationale:
2.1	☐	☒	The overhead bridge crane does not collect, contain, or monitor any site-generated radioactive waste.
2.2	☐	☒	The overhead bridge crane does not protect QL-1 SSCs from the effects of fire.
2.3	☐	☒	Failure of the overhead bridge crane resulting from a DBE will not cause damage to the shipping cask and thus impair the cask capability to perform its intended radiological safety function. This SSC is not expected to be of sufficient mass to damage a transportation cask.

System: **Carrier Preparation Building Materials Handling System**SSC Classified **Overhead Bridge Crane**

	QL1	☐
PS1	☒	QL2 ☐
PS2	☐	QL3 ☒
	CQ	☐

- 2.4 ☐ ☒ This item is not required to prevent or mitigate a Category 1 DBE that could result in offsite doses greater than or equal to 25 mrem TEDE, per event, to any member of the public located on or beyond the site boundary [10 CFR 63.111(a) and 10 CFR 20.1301 (a)(1)].
- 2.5 ☐ ☒ This item, in conjunction with an additional item or administrative control (i.e., indirect impact), is not required to prevent or mitigate a Category 1 DBE that could result in offsite doses greater than or equal to 100 mrem TEDE, per event, to any member of the public located on or beyond the site boundary.
- 2.6 ☐ ☒ This item, in conjunction with an additional item or administrative control (i.e., indirect impact), is not required to prevent or mitigate a Category 2 DBE that could result in offsite doses greater than or equal to the more limiting of 10 CFR 63.111(b)(2) doses to any individual located on, or beyond, any point on the site boundary.
- 2.7 ☐ ☒ a. Failure of the overhead bridge crane as a result of a DBE does not compromise the ability of natural or engineered barriers to isolate waste.
☐ ☒ b.
☐ ☒ c.
☐ ☒ d.

QL3 - Quality Level 3: Minor Safety Significance or Occupational Exposure Significance

	Yes	No	Rationale:
3.1	☐	☒	This item does not function to provide an alarm to warn of significant increases in radiation levels or concentrations of radioactive materials.
3.2	☐	☒	This item does not function to monitor variables to verify that operating conditions are within technical specifications.
3.3	☐	☒	This item is not used in MGR emergency response to provide prompt evacuation of personnel, or to monitor variables used in helping to determine the cause or consequences of DBEs (during post accident investigations).
3.4	☐	☒	This item does not function as part of the radiological, meteorological, or environmental monitoring systems required to assess radionuclide release or dispersion following a DBE.
3.5	☐	☒	This item is not part of the design or design objectives for keeping levels of radioactive material in effluent to unrestricted areas as low as practicable during normal operations.
3.6	☒	☐	This item functions to limit onsite worker doses from normal operations and during Category 1 DBEs, including planned recovery operations, to less than 10 CFR 63.111(a)(1) [10 CFR 20.1201] requirements. The crane permits remote operations and increased distance between facility operators and radiation sources.

System: Carrier Preparation Building Materials Handling System

SSC Classified Remote Manipulator

	QL1	☐
PS1	☒	QL2 ☐
PS2	☐	QL3 ☒
	CQ	☐

SDD Reference: SDD-CMH-SE-000001 R1 ICN 1

Assumptions Applicable to this Item: N/A

Pre-Screen - Importance to Safety or Waste Isolation Evaluation

	Yes	No	Rationale:
PS1	☐	☒	This item is relied upon to provide operations support necessary for waste handling safety. This item is not directly or indirectly relied upon to provide one of the following Important to Safety functions for radioactive wastes received or handled at the MGR: confinement or containment, criticality control, shielding, heat transfer, or structural integrity.
	☐	☒	
	☐	☒	
	☐	☒	
	☐	☒	
	☒	☐	
PS2	☐	☒	This item is not directly or indirectly relied upon to provide an Important to Waste Isolation function.

Note: A Yes answer has been selected for either PS1 or PS2, therefore, the item is subject to QARD requirements. An Importance to Safety or Waste Isolation evaluation is required. Please continue with the evaluation checklists below.

QL1 - Quality Level 1: High Safety or Waste Isolation Significance

	Yes	No	Rationale:
1.1	☐	☒	Failure of the manipulator does not directly result in loss of waste package containment or criticality control.
1.2	☐	☒	The manipulator is not required to prevent or mitigate a Category 1 DBE that could result in offsite doses greater than or equal to 100 mrem total effective dose equivalent (TEDE).
1.3	☐	☒	The manipulator is not required to prevent or mitigate a Category 2 DBE that could result in offsite doses greater than or equal to 5 rem TEDE, 50 rem combined deep and committed dose equivalents to any individual organ or tissue, 15 rem to the lens of the eye, or 50 rem shallow dose equivalent to the skin.
1.4	☐	☒	The manipulator does not perform a waste isolation function.
	☐	☒	

QL2 - Quality Level 2: Low Safety or Waste Isolation Significance

	Yes	No	Rationale:
2.1	☐	☒	The manipulator does not perform a site-generated radioactive waste control function.
2.2	☐	☒	The manipulator does not perform a fire protection function.
2.3	☐	☒	Failure of the manipulator as a result of a DBE does not impair the capability of a QL-1 High Safety Significant SSC to perform its radiological safety function.

System: **Carrier Preparation Building Materials Handling System**SSC Classified **Remote Manipulator**

QL1	☐
PS1	☒
QL2	☐
PS2	☐
QL3	☒
CQ	☐

- 2.4 ☐ ☒ This item is not required to prevent or mitigate a Category 1 DBE that could result in offsite doses greater than or equal to 25 mrem TEDE, per event, to any member of the public located on or beyond the site boundary [10 CFR 63.111(a) and 10 CFR 20.1301 (a)(1)].
- 2.5 ☐ ☒ This item, in conjunction with an additional item or administrative control (i.e., indirect impact), is not required to prevent or mitigate a Category 1 DBE that could result in offsite doses greater than or equal to 100 mrem TEDE, per event, to any member of the public located on or beyond the site boundary.
- 2.6 ☐ ☒ This item, in conjunction with an additional item or administrative control (i.e., indirect impact), is not required to prevent or mitigate a Category 2 DBE that could result in offsite doses greater than or equal to the more limiting of 10 CFR 63.111(b)(2) doses to any individual located on, or beyond, any point on the site boundary.
- 2.7 ☐ ☒ a. Failure of the manipulator as a result of a DBE does not compromise the ability of a QL-1 High Waste Isolation Significant
☐ ☒ b. SSC to perform its waste isolation function.
☐ ☒ c.
☐ ☒ d.

QL3 - Quality Level 3: Minor Safety Significance or Occupational Exposure Significance

	Yes	No	Rationale:
3.1	☐	☒	This item does not function to provide an alarm to warn of significant increases in radiation levels or concentrations of radioactive materials.
3.2	☐	☒	This item does not function to monitor variables to verify that operating conditions are within technical specifications.
3.3	☐	☒	This item is not used in MGR emergency response to provide prompt evacuation of personnel, or to monitor variables used in helping to determine the cause or consequences of DBEs (during post accident investigations).
3.4	☐	☒	This item does not function as part of the radiological, meteorological, or environmental monitoring systems required to assess radionuclide release or dispersion following a DBE.
3.5	☐	☒	This item is not part of the design or design objectives for keeping levels of radioactive material in effluent to unrestricted areas as low as practicable during normal operations.
3.6	☒	☐	This item functions to limit onsite worker doses from normal operations and during Category 1 DBEs, including planned recovery operations, to less than 10 CFR 63.111(a)(1) [10 CFR 20.1201] requirements. The manipulator permits remote operations and increased distance between facility operators and radiation sources.

System: Carrier Preparation Building Materials Handling System

SSC Classified Tooling and Fixtures

	QL1	☐
PS1	QL2	☐
PS2	QL3	☐
	CQ	☒

SDD Reference: SDD-CMH-SE-000001 R1 ICN 1

Assumptions Applicable to this Item: N/A

Pre-Screen - Importance to Safety or Waste Isolation Evaluation

	Yes	No	Rationale:
PS1	☐	☒	This item is not directly or indirectly relied upon to provide one of the following Important to Safety functions for radioactive wastes received or handled at the MGR: confinement or containment, criticality control, shielding, heat transfer, structural integrity, or operations support necessary for waste handling safety.
	☐	☒	
	☐	☒	
	☐	☒	
	☐	☒	
	☐	☒	
PS2	☐	☒	This item is not directly or indirectly relied upon to provide an Important to Waste Isolation function.

Note: If only No answers are given, the item is not subject to QARD requirements. The item is classified as Conventional Quality and an Importance to Safety or Waste Isolation evaluation is not required. Stop Here.

QL1 - Quality Level 1: High Safety or Waste Isolation Significance

	Yes	No	Rationale:
1.1	☐	☐	N/A
1.2	☐	☐	N/A
1.3	☐	☐	N/A
1.4	☐	☐	N/A
	☐	☐	

QL2 - Quality Level 2: Low Safety or Waste Isolation Significance

	Yes	No	Rationale:
2.1	☐	☐	N/A
2.2	☐	☐	N/A
2.3	☐	☐	N/A

CMH

Classification Analysis Checklists

Classification Results

System: **Carrier Preparation Building Materials Handling System**

SSC Classified Tooling and Fixtures

	QL1	☐
PS1	☐	QL2 ☐
PS2	☐	QL3 ☐
	CQ	☒

2.4 ☐ ☐

N/A

2.5 ☐ ☐

N/A

2.6 ☐ ☐

N/A

2.7 ☐ ☐ a.
☐ ☐ b.
☐ ☐ c.
☐ ☐ d.

N/A

QL3 - Quality Level 3: Minor Safety Significance or Occupational Exposure Significance

Yes No

Rationale:

3.1 ☐ ☐

N/A

3.2 ☐ ☐

N/A

3.3 ☐ ☐

N/A

3.4 ☐ ☐

N/A

3.5 ☐ ☐

N/A

3.6 ☐ ☐

N/A

System: Carrier Preparation Building Materials Handling System

SSC Classified Inspection Systems

	QL1	☐
PS1	QL2	☐
PS2	QL3	☐
	CQ	☒

SDD Reference: SDD-CMH-SE-000001 R1 ICN 1

Assumptions Applicable to this Item: N/A

Pre-Screen - Importance to Safety or Waste Isolation Evaluation

	Yes	No	Rationale:
PS1	☐	☒	This item is not directly or indirectly relied upon to provide one of the following Important to Safety functions for radioactive wastes received or handled at the MGR: confinement or containment, criticality control, shielding, heat transfer, structural integrity, or operations support necessary for waste handling safety.
	☐	☒	
	☐	☒	
	☐	☒	
	☐	☒	
	☐	☒	
PS2	☐	☒	This item is not directly or indirectly relied upon to provide an Important to Waste Isolation function.

Note: If only No answers are given, the item is not subject to QARD requirements. The item is classified as Conventional Quality and an Importance to Safety or Waste Isolation evaluation is not required. Stop Here.

QL1 - Quality Level 1: High Safety or Waste Isolation Significance

	Yes	No	Rationale:
1.1	☐	☐	N/A
1.2	☐	☐	N/A
1.3	☐	☐	N/A
1.4	☐	☐	N/A
	☐	☐	

QL2 - Quality Level 2: Low Safety or Waste Isolation Significance

	Yes	No	Rationale:
2.1	☐	☐	N/A
2.2	☐	☐	N/A
2.3	☐	☐	N/A

CMH

Classification Analysis Checklists

Classification Results

System: **Carrier Preparation Building Materials Handling System**

SSC Classified **Inspection Systems**

QL1 ☐
PS1 ☐ QL2 ☐
PS2 ☐ QL3 ☐
CQ ☒

2.4	☐	☐	N/A
2.5	☐	☐	N/A
2.6	☐	☐	N/A
2.7	☐	☐	a. N/A
	☐	☐	b.
	☐	☐	c.
	☐	☐	d.

QL3 - Quality Level 3: Minor Safety Significance or Occupational Exposure Significance

	Yes	No	Rationale:
3.1	☐	☐	N/A
3.2	☐	☐	N/A
3.3	☐	☐	N/A
3.4	☐	☐	N/A
3.5	☐	☐	N/A
3.6	☐	☐	N/A