**ADVANCED TECHNOLOGIES FOR
STRIPPER GAS WELL ENHANCEMENT
QUARTERLY REPORT**

October 1, 2002 – December 31, 2002

**Ronald J. MacDonald P.G.
Senior Petroleum Geologist, Principal Investigator**

January 2003

DE-FG26-99FT40700

**Schlumberger
Holditch-Reservoir Technologies Consulting Services**

**1310 Commerce Drive
Park Ridge 1
Pittsburgh, PA 15275-1011**

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

ABSTRACT

As part of Task 1 in Advanced Technologies for Stripper Gas Well Enhancement, Schlumberger Data & Consulting Services (DCS) joined with two Appalachian Basin producers, Great Lakes Energy Partners, LLC, and Belden & Blake Corporation to develop methodologies for identification and enhancement of stripper wells with economic upside potential. These industry partners previously provided us with data for more than 700 wells in northwestern Pennsylvania.

Phase 1 goals of this project are to develop and validate methodologies that can quickly and cost-effectively identify wells with enhancement potential. We have enhanced and streamlined our software, and we are using the final version of our new MicrosoftTM Access/Excel based software. We have received additional data from Great Lakes pertaining to a Cooperstown field that is expected to have numerous remediation candidates. This field will provide a rigorous test of our software and analytical methods.

We have processed all the information provided to us before receiving the Cooperstown data and are currently analyzing the new data. Great Lakes will be providing supplemental data in the near future that will identify the original operator of the wells. This will prove valuable in determining whether a statistically significant number of underperformers are a result of specific operators and their associated completion/stimulation methods.

We have identified potential candidate wells for Phase 2 to validate the new methodologies. In addition, a draft version of a final report has been reviewed by DOE.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DISCLAIMER	I
ABSTRACT	II
TABLE OF CONTENTS	III
INTRODUCTION	1
EXPERIMENTAL	1
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	2
CONCLUSION	2

INTRODUCTION

During this report period, we continued to work with our two industry partners, Great Lakes Energy Partners, LLC (Great Lakes), and Belden & Blake Corporation (B&B). As mentioned in our last update, Great Lakes has supplied data for approximately 205 wells located in Warren, Venango, and Crawford counties, Pennsylvania, and B&B provided information for $\pm$ 501 wells situated in Venango and Warren counties, Pennsylvania. We have used this data to test and improve our MicrosoftTM Access/Excel software, and recognize underperformers.

As an enhancement to our analysis, Great Lakes has provided us with production data for more than 2,000 additional wells for the Cooperstown Field which is located in Crawford, Venango, and Indiana Counties, Pennsylvania. Evaluation of this field is underway.

EXPERIMENTAL

We have utilized our new software tools to conduct a rapid, first-pass search for wells possessing enhancement potential. To begin the process, we generated a single Production Indicator (PI) capable of representing the entire production life of a well. The software has the ability to calculate two PI's (x-year cumulative versus Date of First Production (DOFP), and normalized rate versus DOFP). The first indicator is obtained by determining the cumulative gas production over a user-specified input period (e.g. 3 yr, 5 yr, 8 yr, etc.) versus a well's DOFP, and the second PI is determined by calculating the normalized (i.e. the average monthly production rate for the desired year) gas production rate for the chosen year of production.

We then compared the PI's of a target well relative to the average of its offsets within a chosen radius (e.g. 5,000 ft), and identified target wells that are underperforming.

The software compares the PI of a target well to the average indicator of its offsets. A user chooses a percentage (e.g. $\geq$ 50%) that a target well's PI must be below the wells within its domain in order to be recognized as a low-performer. The entire well list is processed and all qualifying target wells that meet the chosen criteria are listed. This provides a rapid, efficient, and automated method to identify wells that are underperformers and that may have potential for production enhancement.

Our first pass of the original data is finished and we identified a list of wells with promising enhancement potential. We discussed these results with B&B and Great Lakes and reviewed the completion, geologic, and production data in detail. We are now further refining our list with the Cooperstown data to establish a good basis for a Phase II field demonstration. Economic viability will need to be considered for any candidate selected.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

DOE has successfully beta tested the SWARM software. A user-friendly interface has been included as this is of major importance in making the program relatively easy and inexpensive to use by the operators. Enhanced features such as generating applicable location maps and rate-time plots have been added.

CONCLUSION

We received data for more than 700 original-study wells plus more than 2,000 Cooperstown field wells and are currently identifying candidates with possible production enhancement potential. We tested the software and it provides an easy and rapid way to identify wells that may have upside potential.

Preparation of a Final Technical Report will be finalized upon completion of the Cooperstown field evaluation and DOE has reviewed a draft version.

From our experience in the Appalachian Basin, we are confident that a several stripper wells with enhancement potential have been found in this data set, subject to review for economic practicality. Our software is working properly. We are also confident that the methodologies developed to date can quickly and economically select stripper wells with economic upside potential. By accomplishing this, we will provide producers throughout the U.S. a means of increasing existing gas production and increase the U.S. natural gas reserve base.