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Abstract

Utilities in the U.S. operate over 75,000 km (47,000 miles) of old cast-iron pipes for gas distribution. The bell-and-spigot joints that connect pipe sections together tend to leak as these pipes age. Current repair practices are costly and highly disruptive. The objective of this program is to design, test and commercialize a robotic system capable of sealing multiple cast-iron bell and spigot joints from a single pipe entry point. The proposed system will perform repairs while the pipe remains in service by traveling through the pipe, cleaning each joint surface, and installing a stainless-steel sleeve lined with an epoxy-impregnated felt across the joint. This approach will save considerable time and labor, avoid traffic disruption, and eliminate any requirement to interrupt service to customers (which would result in enormous expense to utilities).

Technical challenges include: 1) repair sleeves must compensate for diametric variation and eccentricity of cast-iron pipes; 2) the assembly must travel long distances through pipes containing debris; 3) the pipe wall must be effectively cleaned in the immediate area of the joint to assure good bonding of the sleeve; and 4) an innovative bolt-on entry fitting is required to conduct repair operations on live mains.

The development effort is divided into eleven tasks. Task 1 (Program Management) and Task 2 (Establishment of Detailed Design Specifications) were completed in prior quarters while Task 3 (Design and Fabricate Ratcheting Stainless-Steel Repair Sleeves) has progressed to installing prototype sleeves in cast-iron test pipe segments.

Efforts in the current quarter continued to focus on Tasks 4–8. Highly valuable lessons were learned from field tests of the 4-inch gas pipe repair robot in cast-iron pipe at Public Service Electric & Gas. (These field tests were conducted and reported last quarter.) These tests identified several design issues which need to be implemented in both the small- and large-diameter repair robots for cast-iron pipe to assure their commercial success. For Task 4 (Design, Fabricate and Test Patch Setting Robotic Train), work has been directed on increasing the nitrogen bladder reservoir volume to allow at least two complete patch inflation/patch setting cycles in the event the sleeve does not set all ratchets in the same row on the first attempt. This
problem was observed on a few of the repair sleeves that were recently installed during field tests with the small-diameter robotic system.

For Task 5 (Design & Fabricate Pipe-Wall Cleaning Robot Train with Pan/Zoom/Tilt Camera), the recent field tests showed clearly that, in mains with low gas velocities, it will be necessary to improve the system’s capacity to remove debris from the immediate vicinity of the bell and spigot joints. Otherwise, material removed by the cleaning flails (the flails were found to be very effective in cleaning bell and spigot joints) falls directly to the low side of the pipe and accumulates in a pile. This accumulation can prevent the sleeve from achieving a leak-free repair. Similarly, it is also deemed necessary to design an assembly to capture existing service-tap coupons and allow their removal from the inside of the pipe. These coupons were found to cause difficulty in launching and retrieving the small pipe repair robot; for example, one coupon lodged beneath the end of the guide shoe. Designs for new features to accomplish these goals for the large robotic system were pursued and are presented in this report.

Task 6 (Design & Build Surface Control and Monitoring System) was previously completed with the control and computer display functions being operated through LabVIEW. However, this must now be revisited to add control routines for the coupon catcher to be added. This will most likely include a lift-off/place-on magnet translation function.

Task 7 (Design & Fabricate Large Diameter Live Access System) progressed to completing the detailed design of the entry fitting for 12-inch diameter cast iron pipe in the previous quarter. Field tests with the 4-inch size fitting were completely successful and did not reveal any significant design issues. The primary suggestion from the PSE&G field crew was to produce a version which completely bolts together and does not require a long seam weld. This could be used in low-pressure cast iron mains to reduce installation time. A bolt-on version is being designed based on this recommendation.

Task 8 (System Integration and Laboratory Validation) continued with the development of the robot module inter-connects and of a master LabVIEW-based system display and control software.
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1. Introduction

Utilities in the U.S. operate over 75,000 km (47,000 miles) of old cast-iron pipes for gas distribution. Most of this pipe is in highly urbanized areas and its replacement is prohibitively expensive. While the cast-iron pipe itself generally retains acceptable mechanical competency, the joints, which are bell-and-spigot design, tend to leak. Current repair practices are to either: 1) excavate and expose each joint and encapsulate it externally; or 2) take the line out of service and apply repair sleeves or cured-in-place liners. Both methods are costly and highly disruptive.

The objective of this program is to design, test and commercialize a robotic system capable of sealing multiple cast-iron bell and spigot joints from a single pipe entry point. The proposed system will perform repairs while the pipe remains in service by traveling through the pipe, cleaning each joint surface, and attaching a stainless-steel sleeve lined with an epoxy-impregnated felt across the joint. This approach will save considerable time and labor, avoid traffic disruption, and eliminate the requirement to interrupt service, which results in enormous expense to utilities and considerable inconvenience to customers.

This development effort represents an aggressive expansion of existing technologies. Applying this technique inside large-diameter cast-iron pipes poses a number of technical challenges, among them: 1) repair sleeves must compensate for diametric variation and eccentricity of cast-iron pipes; 2) the assembly must travel long distances through pipes having significant levels of debris; 3) the pipe wall must be effectively cleaned in the immediate area of the joint to assure good bonding of the sleeve; 4) an innovative bolt-on entry fitting is required to conduct repair operations on live mains; 5) coiled-tubing equipment must be designed to optimize push distance from a single pipe entry point.
2. Experimental

Experimental Objectives

The objective of this development program is to design, test and commercialize a robotic system capable of sealing multiple cast-iron bell and spigot joints from a single pipe entry point. The proposed system will perform repairs while the pipe remains in service by traveling through the pipe, cleaning each joint surface, and attaching a stainless-steel sleeve lined with an epoxy-impregnated felt across the joint. This approach will save considerable time and labor, avoid traffic disruption, and eliminate any requirement to interrupt service to customers (which would result in enormous expense to utilities).

System Description

The robotic joint-sealing system will be comprised of four main subsystems. These are: 1) two sequentially run, multiple-module robot trains; 2) pipe-access hardware for safely admitting into and removing the robot trains from the live gas-main environment; 3) a coiled-tubing (CT) delivery system for providing primary locomotion, power and data communications between the in-pipe robot and 4) surface control and display electronics.

Figure 1. Pipe Wall Preparation Robot Train
Based on the analysis completed to date, two in-pipe robot trains will be required. The first robot train has a front-mounted camera that is used to visually locate each bell and spigot joint (Figures 1 and 2). Directly behind this camera is a counter-rotating brushing module whose function is to remove debris from the pipe wall within the cast-iron bell and spigot joint. This module will now be fitted with a retractable brush to remove debris piles from the immediate vicinity of the joint. The third and final module consists of a combination base/supplemental locomotion module. The base module provides all power and micro-controller control of the camera and brush modules. The supplemental locomotive will be used to provide additional axial movement forces as necessary.

In operation, the camera/brush/base module train will be pushed by the CT to the farthest cast-iron bell and spigot joint to be repaired from a given launch location. The brushing module will then be activated to clean the joint by moving the brushing assembly back and forth across the joint location. Proper cleaning of this joint will be visually confirmed by the operator through the camera and may require one or more passes depending on the amount and tenacity of the debris coating the pipe wall. The CT unit is then used to withdraw the train back to the next joint where the cleaning process is repeated. This sequence is continued until all joints have been prepared for patching and the pipe-wall preparation train has been brought back into the pipe-access fitting and withdrawn from the main.

The brush module is then removed from the train and replaced with the stainless-steel patch-carrier/patch-setting module (Figure 3). The stainless-steel sleeve is slid over the carrier along with its polymer sleeve and polyester felt, which has been saturated with epoxy. The CT unit is then used to deliver the patch-setting train to the most distant bell and spigot joint. This location is confirmed both with the quadrature encoder footage counter and visually with the camera. Once the camera is located exactly at the bell and spigot-joint gap, the fine-resolution odometer on the camera is set to zero. The CT unit is then used in conjunction with the camera’s odometer to move the patch setting train forward by a known, fixed distance which assures the
patch is properly aligned with the bell and spigot joint. A control command is then issued from the surface unit to the base unit to release nitrogen from a stainless-steel pressure vessel on-board the patch-setting module into its expandable rubber bladder. This causes the bladder to inflate and locks the stainless-steel sleeve into position via its interlocking, ratcheting barbs. The epoxy is allowed to cure and reaches full strength within 12 hours. During the interim, a gas-tight seal is assured by the polymer sleeve which has been energized against the joint by the hoop stress of the stainless-steel sleeve. (Note: The volume and rate at which the nitrogen is bled from the inflation bladder results in no appreciable dilution of the BTU quality of the natural gas.)

Figure 3. Patch-Setting Robot Train
3. Results and Discussion

The project work structure consists of the 11 tasks described below. Work during the first eleven quarters has focused on Tasks 1–8 and Task 10. Specific results and progress are described under each task. Work planned for the next quarter is discussed at the end of the chapter.

Task 1 – Program Management

A Research Management Plan, consisting of a summary of the program’s technical objectives and the technical approach for accomplishing these objectives was described and documented in a written report to DOE. The report was to include task descriptions, schedules and planned expenditures as well as major milestones and decision points.

In addition, a Technology Assessment was also prepared. The assessment was to establish the state-of-the-art of the technologies to be developed along with those technologies against which it must compete. The report describes each technology identifying both positive and negative aspects of using these technologies.

This task was completed in the first quarter.

Task 2 – Establishment of Detailed Design Specifications

The design of a system to inspect, prepare and patch cast-iron gas main joints under live conditions represents a substantial advancement over systems designed for small steel distribution lines. Key differences between small-diameter steel pipes and large-diameter cast-iron pipes should be identified and used to set benchmark design targets for hardware sizes and component functionality. The following subtasks support this benchmarking effort:

2.1 Identify Mechanical, Material and Operational Differences between Small-Diameter Steel Mains and Large-Diameter Cast-Iron Mains. The entry system for steel lines can be attached by welding (not an option with cast iron). This carries numerous concerns that must be addressed for the entry/access system, including means to fasten the entry fitting to the main, implementing a continuous
seal with long-term reliability, and designing an entry system that can tolerate settling of the joints over time and provide sufficient reinforcement of stiffness of the main both during and after the repair.

2.2 **Prototype Size Selection.** Large-diameter cast-iron gas mains in the U.S. range in size from 20 to 91 cm (8 to 36 in.) nominal diameter. Since there will obviously be size-specific requirements to be addressed, a size must be selected for the prototype system. This will be done through discussions with the GTI Distribution Task Group (DTG) Advisors. It is expected that the selected size will be either 20 cm (8 in.) or 30 cm (12 in.) since 30 cm and smaller sizes combined represent 95.5% of cast-iron mains in the US.

2.3 **Perform Pushing/Buckling Tradeoff Analyses.** Based on candidate coiled-tubing (CT) products, efforts will be aimed to define “sensitive” design targets points for hardware that will be inserted into the cast-iron main. These will include drag forces, weights of the components, bending requirements on the CT, and stiffness concerns for flexible joints between the required hardware modules on the robot train.

Deliverables for this task include a list of performance and size specifications that provide the basis for follow-on detailed design activities.

Mechanical, material and operational differences between small-diameter steel mains and large-diameter cast-iron mains have been defined. Primary challenges posed by large diameter cast-iron mains involve larger variation in inside pipe dimensions (being addressed by use of a ratcheting sleeve design that can effectively lock into placed over a range of pipe sizes); presence of more debris (being addressed through the use of much more aggressive wall cleaning equipment and the possible use of a plow to move debris away from the bell and spigot joint area); and the fact that the entry fitting for cast iron must be a bolt-on design and entry hole size should be minimized to prevent cracking of the brittle cast iron.

Discussions held with several utilities during the second quarter, including KeySpan Energy, Consolidated Edison and Public Service Electric & Gas, showed that utilities prefer the first prototype be sized for operations inside nominal 12-inch diameter cast-iron pipes. As a result, design efforts are focused on producing detailed designs for the entry fitting, cleaning
elements and repair sleeves for this size application. A prototype wall-cleaning device and a bolt-on entry fitting for 12-inch cast-iron have been designed.

The CT pushing/buckling analysis was completed in the first quarter. It is expected that this analysis will be briefly revisited after the final weights and drag loads on each robotic element are finished.

**Task 3 – Design/Fabricate Ratcheting Stainless-Steel Repair Sleeves**

Existing repair sleeves are designed for application under “dead” main conditions (i.e., the mains are not in service and there is no internal pressure present). These sleeves cannot tolerate internal pressure. With current designs, a pressure gradient would displace the sealing epoxy prior to curing, thereby creating leak paths. In addition, repair sleeves for large cast-iron mains must be tolerant of misalignments in the bell and spigot joints. Such misalignment can prevent thorough sealing when using existing designs of repair sleeves.

The sleeve must conform tightly to the interior shape of the joint. A repair sleeve with ratcheting features will make this possible. Designs will be tested on cast-iron pipe samples (as available). Test sample joints will be specially fabricated with intentional misalignments to further test as necessary. To address these critical requirements, work efforts will be directed to:

3.1 *Determine Geometrical Spacing of Interlocking Barbs.* This spacing design must allow sufficient adjustment for misalignment of bell and spigot segments of the joints. Samples will be obtained to perform testing with misalignment conditions observed in the field.

3.2 *Perform Sensitivity Analyses.* Sealing design parameters must be evaluated with respect to sleeve geometry and the amount of compression (“squeeze”) on the patch during application. Patches must be able to lock into place while tolerating misalignment as well as lock in such a fashion to provide ample sealing over all required surfaces. Other aspects to be examined include the design thickness of the felt and the impact of this thickness on sealing effectiveness.

There will be two iterations of the interlocking sleeve design. The first design will be thoroughly tested and evaluated. After any augmentations are made to the first design, a second
set will be fabricated and evaluated. The deliverables for this task will be the final design of the ratcheting repair sleeves, complete with mechanical drawings and specifications for fabrication and assembly. A sufficient number (about eight) will be built following the second design iteration.

During the first quarter, the first design iteration for one type of the repair sleeves under consideration was prepared. This design is based on modifying existing sealing products from a commercial sleeve manufacturer (Link-Pipe Inc.) so that their sleeves can operate in pressurized gas mains, provide a redundant seal, and minimize their overall diameter before they are expansion-set across the bell and spigot joint. The current commercial sleeve design from the manufacturer does not work in pressurized mains and has only one seal method. In addition, the project approach is to minimize sleeve diameter for simplifying launching of the sleeve into the main and allowing it to ride off the bottom of the main (invert) to minimize its contamination with debris.

**Figure 4** illustrates the critical design features. A 28-gage, corrugated stainless-steel sleeve (316 SS) is used as the innermost member. Its function is to provide a mechanical means for energizing the urethane seal sleeve against the cast-iron wall to form the first leak seal and to allow the epoxy-saturated polyester carrier to cure to form a second (redundant) leak seal. The sleeve gage (28) is a reduction from the 24 gage normally used. Its use will enable the sleeve to be coiled in a smaller diameter without yielding. Preliminary analysis indicates that the design can be rolled into a diameter of about 55% of the pipe ID versus 75 % of the pipe ID for the 24-gage thickness sleeves (**Figure 5**). Corrugations, consisting of folds spaced on 1-inch centers, improve the structural stiffness of the device so it does not deform during setting.
The sleeve also features three rows of ratchets (Figure 6). The three rows allow the sleeve to be mechanically locked for diameter variations up to 0.50 inches.

The most obvious visual trait of the urethane seal sleeve is its grooves (ribs). This new design compensates for the axial shortening that would otherwise occur if a non-ribbed sleeve were allowed to radially expand significantly. The end elements feature increased thickness and act as an O-ring once the seal is expanded. Their thickness, coupled with low durometer, should provide an effective pressure seal across a range of cast-iron surface conditions as well as easily compensate for variation in pipe ID. AutoCAD machine drawings of the molds to produce these sleeves in both 8- and 12-inch sizes were prepared.

The final element of the design is a polyester jacket which will carry the epoxy resin. At present, a thixotropic epoxy is being considered that provides about 1 hour of working time before curing begins to create the final seal.
Work has progressed to the fabrication and testing of the second-generation 12-inch repair sleeves featuring ratchets and polyurethane seal sleeves. Components of the most recent sleeve design are shown in Figure 7. The sleeve measures 13 inches long x 7.75 inches diameter in its collapsed (unset) state. Figure 8 shows a sleeve with epoxy applied ready for insertion into the gas main via the inflation module.

Figure 7. Repair Sleeve Components

Figure 8. Repair Sleeve with Epoxy Applied
Several tests were recently conducted to set new 12-inch sleeves in the laboratory inside sample cast-iron joints (Figure 9). The first step was to use the cleaning module (see Figures 25 and 26 under Task 5) to clean debris and scale from the inside of the joint in preparation for running the sleeve (Figure 10).

![Figure 9. 12-Inch Cast Iron Pipe Sample](image)

![Figure 10. Cast Iron Pipe ID after Cleaning](image)
The sleeve was successfully set at a maximum inflation pressure of 30 psig (Figure 11). A few challenges yet remain for running and setting the patch (see below). However, pending further testing, this patch design is expected to represent the final design.

![Figure 11. Successfully Installed Repair Sleeve](image)

While setting new patches, it has become apparent that the existing material used in the inflation bladder (Figure 12) needs to be improved. The bladder was observed to fail after a limited number of inflation cycles along the line where the end of the inner sleeve contacts the gum rubber. Options for modifying the bladder include using another compound having a higher tensile strength, or further increasing the thickness of the gum rubber. Figure 13 shows a failed gum rubber sleeve. Both alternatives for modifying the gum rubber sleeve are now being investigated.
Figure 12. Inflation Bladder

Figure 13. Ruptured Inflation Bladder
Task 4 – Design, Fabricate and Test Patch-Setting Robotic Train

To set patches under live main conditions, the patching hardware must meet several key criteria. It must be able to be inserted and removed from the gas mains without damage. It must be able to be translated using coiled tubing (CT). Its physical form must not impede gas flow through the main (thereby maintaining gas delivery to customers). Lastly, it must be able to set patches with high reliability. To support the design, the following subtasks will be undertaken:

4.1 *Analyze Weight and Drag.* Hardware must be designed to perform required patch-setting functions while minimizing weight and drag, as these are key drivers in determining the push range and therefore the number of joints which can be repaired from each entry point.

4.2 *Analyze Reactive Force Limits.* The patch-setting equipment will be designed to effectively and reliably set patches while not exerting excessive reactive forces on the cast-iron pipe.

4.3 *Test Patch Integrity.* Testing will be conducted to verify that patches seat properly and to verify that sufficient epoxy comes into intimate contact with the cast-iron joint segments.

4.4 *Safety Testing.* Testing will be conducted throughout the design and testing phases to ensure that the hardware poses no safety risks to the operating gas main. All hardware elements that are operated in the main must not allow a leak path of gas to the surface. All elements will be purged and pressurized with N$_2$. The differential pressure between the main and inside the hardware elements will be monitored to ensure that a positive differential is maintained. This same approach will be followed in the next task.

The deliverables for this task will be the Patch Setting Robotic Train along with its corresponding electrical/electronics schematics, mechanical drawings, and descriptive report documenting assembly, maintenance and operation.

Various patch-setting inflation modules have been designed, built and used to install the different generations of ratcheting repair sleeves. The latest sleeves are set by inflating the patch setting bladder to 30 psig which is held for a period of 5 minutes before deflation is allowed.
This provides sufficient time for the urethane sleeve to be compressed against the pipe wall and
the ratchets to fully engage and lock.

Setting tests have been successful, so efforts were directed to design of the control
electronics to operate the solenoid-controlled valve attached to a pressurized canister of nitrogen.
Figures 14-16 illustrate key aspects of the equipment. Unlike other robotic elements, bypass of
natural gas to prevent interruption of customer service occurs through the central pipe and not in
the annular space between the OD of the robotic element and the ID of the cast-iron main.

![Patch-Setting Module](image1)

**Figure 14. Patch-Setting Module**

![Patch Setting Test](image2)

**Figure 15. Patch Setting Test**
The patch-setting control system consists of a solenoid valve which allows the air pressure to be admitted into the inflation bladder under computer control, a pressure chamber for storing the nitrogen charge and pressure relief valves which allow adjustment of the charge pressure to compensate for differences in the gas main operating pressure. Figure 17 summarizes the pneumatic inflation circuit.

Figure 16. Locking Ratchets on Patch

Figure 17. Pneumatic Inflation Circuit
Task 5 – Design and Fabricate Pipe Wall Cleaning Robot Train with PZT Camera

Cast-iron gas mains operate at much lower pressure than their steel counterparts; consequently, their interior conditions are often quite different. Lower pressures in cast-iron mains can allow moisture and debris to seep in through leak points if sufficient hydrostatic head (from the local water table) is present outside of the main. In addition, the interior of cast iron is generally not as smooth as steel, due to corrosion and surface roughness from the original manufacturing process. Other complications arise due to deposits of tar residue in the bottom of the main. The source of this residue dates back to when mains carried “manufactured” gas. The molecularly heavier tars and other impurities settled out into the bottom of the mains and then combined with particulate matter to form a hard crust. This crust is porous and must therefore be removed prior to applying a patch repair sleeve. In addition, the pipe ID must be clean and smooth to ensure that the epoxy adheres properly to the cast iron. To address these challenges, the following subtasks are being completed in Task 5:

5.1 Analyze Deposits and Scales. The expected deposits in typical cast-iron mains will be investigated and the most effective way(s) to remove them defined.

5.2 Design Equipment to Identify Deposit Types via Camera. Design/select camera and lighting systems to provide sufficient performance to make positive identification and then select the appropriate means to prepare the surface.

5.3 Design and Test Cleaning/Brushing Equipment. Equipment will be designed to remove scales and deposits found inside cast-iron pipe. Tests will be conducted on line pipe to ensure that appropriate cleaning is performed by the system.
The deliverables for this task will be the Prototype Pipe Wall Cleaning Robot Train with Pan/Zoom/Tilt (PZT) Camera along with its corresponding electrical/electronics schematics, mechanical drawings, and descriptive report documenting assembly, maintenance and operation.

The analysis of different PZT cameras was completed in the second quarter and a preferred design selected. The camera measures 4 inches OD x 10.5 inches overall length as shown in Figure 18. It features 270° of tilt, 340° of pan and a 72:1 zoom ratio. It’s eight, high intensity argon lights were found to provide excellent illumination in tests conducted inside sealed 12- and 24-inch pipes. Specifications are summarized in Figure 19. In normal operations where the camera tether is 100 feet or less, a 16-conductor bundle is used as defined in Table 1.

### Table 1. Conventional Camera Wiring

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>FUNCTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75 ohm coax</td>
<td>Video (+) core, Video (-) shield</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 awg, red</td>
<td>Camera Power (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 awg, black</td>
<td>Camera Power (ground)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 awg, yellow</td>
<td>Pan (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 awg, orange</td>
<td>Pan (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 awg, white</td>
<td>Tilt (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 awg, blue</td>
<td>Tilt (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 awg, pink and green</td>
<td>Camera Lights (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 awg, purple and clear</td>
<td>Camera Lights (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 awg, grey</td>
<td>Camera Function/Focus (+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 awg, black</td>
<td>Camera Function/ground</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 awg, tan</td>
<td>AF indicator/Focus (-)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 awg, purple</td>
<td>2.5”/4.0” Indictaor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 awg, brown</td>
<td>Camera Fade</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pan/Zoom/Tilt (PZT) Camera

SPECIFICATIONS

Pick-up Element: ¼” CCD

Lens: 72:1 Zoom (18X Optical, 4X Digital)

Resolution: > 460 TV Lines

Illumination: 3 lux

Horizontal FOV: 48° wide, 2.7° tele (in air)

Standard Camera Controller

Lights: 8 x 6 W Argon lights, variable intensity

Pan Range: 340° Mechanical, (360° Visible)

Tilt Range: > 270°

Power Requirements: 110/220 VAC

Pan/Tilt Control: Proportional

Camera’s View of Joint Seam

Figure 19. Camera Specifications
Use of a 16-conductor bundle becomes inefficient inside 1000 ft of small-diameter CT. A preferred approach is to power and operate the camera using seven wires. Two of these will be large-diameter twisted pair to supply high-voltage DC, four smaller wires to transmit digital control signals, and one to transmit video images. This change requires development of a microcontroller-operated switching power supply inside the robot base module and a data-acquisition system at the surface to convert the analog proportional joystick controls for pan, zoom, tilt, light intensity, etc. to digital signals.

During a previous quarter, the robotic system’s pan/zoom/tilt camera control electronics and operating software were developed and implemented in both the surface and downhole modules. Camera surface hardware consists of a 95-Volt DC power supply capable of sourcing up to 2.1 Amps for operating camera illumination, lenses and physical orientation within the pressurized gas main, a personal computer having an RS-485 bidirectional communications port, a 15-inch color monitor for displaying camera images and a rack-mounted video cassette recorder. Downhole hardware consists of the camera head and the camera control electronics. The latter is housed inside the robotics base module that is common for all robotic trains.

DC power is supplied to the downhole camera control electronics over an 18-gage twisted pair of conductors. Use of a single high-voltage power source at the surface was chosen over individually supplying all of the regulated voltages needed to operate the camera for two important reasons: 1) it is a highly efficient means of transferring electrical power down the long cables residing inside the steel coiled tubing and 2) it minimizes the total number of conductors required for the umbilical. The current design employs a total of seven wires to operate the camera. These include two wires for electrical power, four wires for the RS-485 digital communications link and one micro-coax for the video signal. This compares with a total of 15 wires that would be needed to operate the camera using a conventional analog circuit design such as shown in Figure 20.
Table 2 summarizes key attributes of the surface DC power supply and two of the downhole DC/DC voltage conversions.

Table 2. Surface Power Supply Specifications

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manufacturer – Vicor</th>
<th>95 V</th>
<th>2.1 A</th>
<th>200 W</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12 V</td>
<td>4.2 A</td>
<td>50 W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.5 V</td>
<td>6.7 A</td>
<td>50 W</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Camera controls are displayed and operated using a software applications program written inside the LabVIEW environment. The program allows the user to control the following functions through a point and click format:

- Camera Power (On/Off)
- Camera Illumination (Lights On/Off, Lights Dim/Bright)
- Camera Pan (0-340°)
- Camera Tilt (0-270°)
- Camera Zoom (18X optical; 4X digital)
- Camera focus

The LabVIEW platform features excellent visual appeal through its virtual instrument displays, can be easily reconfigured and expanded to add new control capability as each new robot module is brought on line, and has excellent digital and analog support libraries. The user-selected commands are digitized and then communicated to the downhole camera control electronics via the RS-485 communications link. The RS-485 design and protocols were selected on the basis of their ease of implementation, low cost, and its demonstrated ability to support reliable communications over conductors up to 4000 ft in length, well in excess of the 1000-ft span required for this effort. A 20-MHz PIC micro-controller receives the RS-485 messages and actuates the commands accordingly. The electrical schematic for the downhole camera control electronics is shown in Figure 21.
Figure 21. Downhole Camera Control Circuit Schematic
Figure 22 shows the physical printed circuit board produced from this schematic. The board is a four-layer board made of FR4 material, measures 3 inches wide x 10 inches long, and is housed inside the robotics base module. Worthy of note are the large heat sinks for the DC-to-DC power converters used to take the single DC voltage supplied from the surface and generate +24V, +12V and +5 VDC regulated power for the various camera functions.

The PIC controller (PIC16F877) is a 20-MHz CMOS FLASH-based 8-bit microcontroller. It features 256 bytes of EEPROM data memory, self-programming, an ICD, eight channels of 10-bit Analog-to-Digital (A/D) converter, two additional timers, and two capture/compare/PWM functions. The synchronous serial port can be configured as either three-wire Serial Peripheral Interface (SPI™) or the two-wire Inter-Integrated Circuit (I²C™) bus and a Universal Asynchronous Receiver Transmitter (USART). This controller is designed for more advanced A/D applications in automotive, industrial, appliances and consumer applications.

In the fifth quarter, the camera control software and display were finalized. Figures 23 and 24 show the packaged electronics and camera display/control functions as presented on a laptop computer.
A four-arm assembly for cleaning the pipe wall prior to installing the repair sleeve, initially built during the second quarter, was further developed during the third and fourth quarters. It was suitable for removing a wide range of debris including very hard deposits. It had a collapsed diameter of 6.4 inches and could open up to 13 inches under centripetal action.
During the fifth quarter, the arm assembly was redesigned to be packaged as a complete robotic element. This included both design and fabrication of the drive motor, motor controller electronics, cleaning head housing and collapsible arm (Figure 25). The completed robot assembly was tested to clean across several 12-inch cast-iron bell and spigot joints (Figure 26). The preliminary tests were very promising. The most efficient cleaning occurs at rotary speeds of 300 rpm with forward and backward movement across the joint at speeds of 4 inches per minute, for approximately 5 minutes per joint.

Figure 25. Pipe Wall Cleaning Element
Improved Debris Collection and Removal

During the previous quarter, the small-diameter pipe repair robot was field tested for inspecting and repairing 4-inch cast iron bell and spigot joints. Because much of the small system’s design and performance closely tracks that used in the large diameter system described herein, these field tests provide valuable experience and insight for design improvements for the large-diameter cast iron pipe repair system.

Based on the field tests of the smaller system, several important recommendations were developed. (These are summarized under “Lessons Learned/Recommendations for Large-Diameter System” under Task 9 below.) The following recommendations regarding debris collection and removal were suggested for the large-diameter system under development. These areas were pursued during the current quarter.

1. Cleaning flails were very effective. (No change is recommended for large system.)

2. Brush module should be added to move debris away from area surrounding the joint.
3. A magnetic coupon catcher should be added to remove existing coupons created by service tee connections. In the large system, this catcher might mount to the camera and employ the tilt function to capture the coupon.

During the current quarter, several designs were developed for improving debris removal. Magnetic assemblies (Options 1 and 2 below) would be the most practical for removing coupons and other large debris. Dirt is another challenge in low pressure mains that have been invaded by ground water. Options 3 and 4 address concepts to sweep debris from the joint area. In the large robotic system, these concepts for debris removal will most likely be applied in combination to address all types of debris to be encountered in the main.

**Option 1 (for Ferromagnetic Debris): Embed Magnets in Cleaning Arms**

The first option for modifying the system to improve removal of ferromagnetic debris consists of embedding magnets in the existing pipe cleaning arms (**Figure 27**). These magnets would capture ferromagnetic debris as it is dislodged from the pipe wall. This design would not require any additional tooling/attachments and would improve debris removal during the cleaning run without requiring any changes to current operating procedures.

![Figure 27. Embedded Magnets to Collect Debris](image)

The disadvantage of this approach is a finite capacity for storing debris as it is collected. If too much debris attaches to the swing arm, the swing arm may be prevented from returning to
the travel position (causing problems when removing the assembly from the main). Another unknown is how much impact/shock the embedded magnets could withstand without becoming dislodged or breaking. Due to the magnets’ limited storage capacity, it may be required that the cleaning assembly be retrieved after every few joints for removing debris from the magnets before further in-pipe cleaning operations can resume.

**Option 2 (for Ferromagnetic Debris): Attach Debris Collection Arm to Camera Head**

Another option for enhancing debris removal is to add a special debris-collection arm assembly to the camera head (Figure 28). The debris arm would be manipulated via existing camera movements (pivot and rotation). The arm would incorporate a spring-loaded pivot bar at the end. This bar would have magnets embedded along the length and non-metallic standoffs at each end. These standoffs on the pivot arm will prevent the magnets from attaching to the wall of the main (preventing the need for the camera motor to break the magnet from the pipe wall).

![Figure 28. Camera-Mounted Debris Arm](image)

Although the debris arm will increase the overall length of the robot train, camera movement should allow manipulation of the attachment during launching to avoid interference through the launch hole. This debris-arm assembly could also be used to retrieve coupons, provided the strength of the embedded magnets is sufficient to hold the coupon.

An advantage of a camera-mounted debris arm is that the pivot bar magnet assembly may accumulate debris on both sides, allowing more joints to be cleaned per trip. It is also advantageous to have the debris arm directly in the camera’s view. This allows the operator to
effectively monitor and control the cleanup of debris, and to know for certain whether coupons and other large obstacles have been captured.

The disadvantage of this approach is that the camera head would need to be modified. Additional information is needed to ascertain there is sufficient space between the lights on the camera head to add mounting holes for the debris arm. Another potential disadvantage is that the debris arm will be directly in front of the camera at all times and block part of the field of view. However, since the camera can be rotated on its axis to view the entire ID of the main, this loss of field can be compensated for.

**Option 3 (for All Debris): Add Sweeper Arm to Move Debris from Joint Area**

In addition to concepts that allow ferromagnetic debris to be collected and removed, it will still be necessary to clean non-magnetic debris such as dirt from the joint area. It will likely be sufficient to sweep (or plow) the debris forward so that the area surrounding the pipe joint is clean prior to running a patch. The most straightforward approach is to attach a sweeper arm to the camera assembly (Figure 29). This provides the capability to position the brush anywhere on the circumference of the pipe.

![Camera-Mounted Sweeper Arm](image)

Figure 29. Camera-Mounted Sweeper Arm

Sweeper brushes would be designed specially for each pipe ID to fit the radial curvature of the pipe wall. Width of the brush (how many degrees of pipe wall covered by each stroke) will need to be determined based on weight capacity of the camera. Several passes will be
required to sweep the area adjacent to a joint, with camera head rotation providing brush positioning as needed.

The advantages and disadvantages of a camera-mounted sweeper are similar to those discussed above for Option 2 (camera-mounted debris arm). Again, the ability to visually monitor the cleanup would be beneficial.

**Option 4 (for All Debris): Modify Cleaner Assembly to Sweep Debris Forward**

Another concept would promote axial sweeping of the debris during the cleaning operation (Figure 30). Here, the rotating cleaning arms would be redesigned with wire elements instead of a serrated roller. Wire elements mounted at an angle (skewed) might promote debris displacement axial away from the area being cleaned. This option would require the operator to manipulate the robot train from the surface in the forward direction after the motor has commenced rotation.

![Figure 30. Cleaning Elements Modified to Sweep Debris Forward](image)

A disadvantage of this approach is that the debris is only moved slightly ahead of the current joint. For best results and to avoid picking up debris with the patch as it is run in, each joint would be cleaned, swept, and patched before moving to the next joint. Thus, this option would require more trips and may be less desirable for that reason.
Task 6 – Design and Build Surface Control and Monitoring System

Surface control and monitoring electronics are being designed to operate inside the LabVIEW platform operated on a high-end laptop computer. To this point in the project, we have completed the control software and visual display for the PZT camera and the control software for operating the pipe wall cleaning head. Work in this quarter continued onward with development of the control software for setting the patch inside the pipe. Final packaging will be consistent with construction field-ready practices.

The deliverables for this task will be the Prototype Surface Control and Monitoring System in addition to all corresponding electrical/electronic schematics, specifications, and parts lists.

Task 7 – Design and Fabricate Large-Diameter Live Access System

Since the entry fitting system for cast-iron pipe cannot be welded directly onto the cast iron pipe body (as is possible with steel pipelines), some other means of attachment must be used. The most viable choice is to weld the longitudinal seams of the split entry fitting to itself and then to provide an end seal against axial movement and a circumferential face seal by end bolting two end pieces. The entry fitting will enable a port to be cut into the main for inserting all joint-patching equipment. The entry fitting must provide sealing for conducting repair operations, as well as maintain a safe seal over the life of the pipeline since the entry fitting will not be removed from the main. Subtasks include:

7.1  Perform Stress Analysis. A certain portion of the main’s cross section will need to be removed for access. The entry-fitting system must possess mechanical properties that ensure that basic mechanical integrity of the main/joint is not compromised. The design must take into account bending/flexure loading, settling, reactive forces, and other environmental factors.

7.2  Design Seal that will be Maintained Under Loaded Conditions. The fitting and seal design must be robust to accommodate any flexural loading conditions. Seals must remain “energized” at all times during entry and inspection when the main is exposed.
7.3  Perform Sealing Analysis. The appropriate material must be selected to meet temperature, environmental, and lifetime requirements. An effective seal must be maintained in the event of settling and varying ground conditions.

MTI and GTI met with a leading fitting manufacturer. Numerous designs were subjected to an in-depth review with both the manufacturer and with several utilities having significant amounts of large diameter cast-iron pipes. These efforts have produced a recommended design satisfying the standards in-place at each of the utilities interviewed.

In the previous quarters the fitting was produced in a 4-inch prototype size to validate the design prior to embarking on the fabrication and testing of a 12-inch version which will be considerably more expensive. Sealing tests at pressures up 100 psig were successfully conducted in the quarter along with preliminary drilling tests. Figures 31 - 34 illustrate its key components.

Figure 31. Cast Iron Entry Fitting (4-inch Prototype)
Figure 32. Cast Iron Entry Fitting (4-inch Prototype)

Figure 33. Cast Iron Entry Fitting (4-inch Prototype)
In this quarter, detailed designs of the 12-inch fitting were completed and the design is now in manufacture. **Figures 35-37** identifies the primary aspects of the entry fitting for 12-inch diameter pipes. In addition, to selecting the preferred machine shop for fabrication, the team met with a leading manufacturer of gaskets and seals to make the molds for the elastomer end seals. In addition, a ball valve for supporting the insertion and removal of the robot trains under no blow conditions was also procured.

**Figure 35.** Entry Fitting for 12-inch Diameter Pipes
To support use of the 12-inch entry fitting, it is also necessary to design the saw cutting system that will cut the angled hole into the pressurized cast iron gas main. A bi-metal hole saw capable of cutting an 8-inch diameter hole has been designed (Figure 38). The hole saw has been built and is now scheduled for testing. It will be powered by a 50 horsepower, diesel-driven hydraulic power supply. This same power supply also operates the coiled tubing unit.
Task 8 – System Integration and Laboratory Validation

While the previous tasks were aimed at addressing specific areas of the proposed work, some aspects of performance will be difficult to assess until components are integrated. To support the evaluation of system performance, a detailed Test Plan will be written. Many aspects of the design cannot be accurately evaluated until an integrated test is performed. Some of these items are listed below along with potential means of mitigating difficulties encountered. The test plan will be written as the design progresses to ensure that all sensitive points will be examined as part of an integrated test program.

8.1 The team will accumulate valuable experience with the equipment to assure proficiency in the field, to verify that all elements work in concert, etc.

8.2 Actual push and pull loads will be measured, because these affect ultimate push range of the integrated hardware assemblies and therefore the number of cast-iron pipe joints which can be repaired from a single entry point

8.3 Measurement of actual end loads and the reduction of these loads if necessary to achieve targeted performance

8.4 Evaluation of “whip” (flexible) joint design for fatigue resistance and stiffness under actual entry, translation and removal processes
The deliverable for this task will be the Integrated Test Plan. No activity occurred in this task during the current quarter.

Task 9 – Field Testing and System Refinement

The first-generation system will be evaluated in a series of three field tests. These tests will highlight improvements to “harden” the system for commercial viability. Iterative design augmentations will be implemented and verified. Prior working relationships exist between the project team and the following major U.S. gas utilities: KeySpan Energy (Brooklyn Union Gas and Boston Gas), Consolidated Edison of New York, Public Service Electric & Gas of New Jersey, and Baltimore Gas & Electric. These utilities operate the vast majority of large-diameter cast-iron gas mains in the U.S. and are logical candidates for participating in field tests.

During the previous quarter, the small diameter pipe repair robot was field tested at Public Service Electric & Gas. The field test consisted of the inspection and repair of 4-inch cast iron bell and spigot joints. As much of this system’s design and performance closely tracks that used in the large diameter system, these test results have a direct bearing on system design parameters. As such, a summary of this field test is included below along with a list of design recommendations to be implemented in the large diameter cast iron pipe repair system.

Summary of Field Tests of Small Robotic System

On August 24-26, 2004, MTI/GTI conducted field tests of the small robotic joint-repair system in a gas main provided by Public Service Electric & Gas (Figure 39). The location was in a residential neighborhood on Woodland Avenue in the town of Oradell, New Jersey.
Over three days of field testing, a range of operations were performed representing a typical joint-patching operation of bell and spigot joints on cast iron pipe. After the main was uncovered and prepared for the tests, the coupon retention fitting was welded to the pipe. Next, the 20° angled entry fitting was secured to the pipe (Figure 40) and then seam-welded to the main.
A hole saw assembly was then prepared for cutting through the wall of the main. The access hole was successfully cut through the pipe and the hardware for admitting the repair robots into the live gas main installed in a total time of 36 minutes.

The coupon was successfully retrieved. Next, a magnetic cleaning assembly (Figure 41) was run into the pipe for three passes to remove the vast majority of metal filings created by the sawing process.

The first train assembly consists of 1) a CCD camera to inspect the main, 2) a brush module for cleaning the bell and spigot joints selected for repair, and 3) a base module which supplies electrical power, communication and control signals between the surface hardware and the in-pipe robot elements. After the assembly was passed through the entry fitting (Figure 42), the first run down the pipe was to inspect the environment and log the location of potential target joints and other features.
Several locations at pipe joints were brushed (cleaned) to remove debris from the pipe. Due to extremely low pressure and low gas velocity in the pipe, debris was not swept away from the joint but tended to fall and accumulate across the joint.

Next, a total of six sleeve patches were run into the pipe. These included two successful patches, two partially set patches, and two failed patches that were retrieved from the main. A summary of patching operations is presented below.

- Two sleeves (at 128.8 ft and 93.7 ft) were run and set 100% successfully (Figure 43).
• One sleeve (at 58.6 ft) failed in the first attempt to set it, was carried with the assembly back to the entry point, and was then rerun and set successfully (although the ratchets did not engage completely (Figure 44)).

![Figure 44. Partially Engaged Patch at 58.6 ft](image)

• One sleeve (at 6.1 ft) was partially set (ratchets did not engage properly).

• Two sleeves were run (to 42.0 ft and 24.1 ft) but were not able to engage the ratchets.

Potential causes for the failure of two patches to set are 1) pipe ID may have been smaller than the first row of ratchets at these two bell and spigot locations, 2) the debris levels may have been too high or 3) the bell and spigots were angularly misaligned. No problems were observed with the repair sleeve setting train either in the field or after its return to Houston. Consequently, the team believes that the patch-setting failures were due to geometric issues.

After all in-pipe operations were complete, the equipment was removed from the pipe and disassembled. A seal plug was then inserted into the 20° riser section of the entry fitting. Once the seal plug is set, the gate valve was then removed from the main. A blind flange was then attached to the 20° riser to create a second (redundant) gas seal and allow future re-entry into the pipe is so desired. The sealed entry fitting ready for burial is shown in Figure 45.
Lessons Learned/Recommendations for Large-Diameter System

Based on the field tests of the smaller robotic system in 4-in. cast-iron mains, several valuable lessons were learned. The following recommendations are suggested for the large-diameter system under development:

Entry Fitting

1. Develop a bolt-on version of the fitting
2. Coupon catcher design is finalized and successful.
3. Cuttings-removal magnet was very effective for small system and should be finalized similarly for large-diameter system.
4. Guide shoe design was very effective for small system, and should be finalized similarly for large-diameter system.

Wall Cleaning

5. Cleaning flails were very effective. No change is recommended for large system.
6. Brush module should be added to move (plow) debris away from area surrounding joint.
7. A magnetic coupon catcher should be added to remove existing coupons created by service tee connections. In the large system, this catcher might mount to the camera and employ the tilt function to capture the coupon.

Patch Setting

8. Carry sufficient moles of gas with the patch assembly to support at least two patch-setting procedures per run.

Task 10 – Benefits Analysis

Initial work on data collection for conducting benefits analysis was begun. (Note that the majority of work in this task will be conducted after the completion of field tests and detailed discussions with the utilities hosting the tests.) These discussions will address the end-to-end process of implementing the proposed large-diameter cast-iron main repair system in a real-world field environment. Only in this way can the true benefit of the new system be assessed. All aspects of the job will be analyzed, particularly costs of labor (number of personnel and time), traffic management, impact on future maintenance operations for the repaired main, impact to customers, and acceptability of the repair technique. The deliverable of this task will be a report detailing these benefits with a focus on cost and overall benefit to infrastructure reliability using the proposed system.

Task 11 – Final Report

The project final report will document all aspects of design and operation of the system. Final results of the project will be presented to the NETL COR in a meeting in Pittsburgh.

No activity occurred in this task during the current quarter.

Work Planned for Next Period

Planned activities for the next quarter will encompass elements of Tasks 5–9 and Task 10. Specific work items will include:

1. Continued testing of the pipe wall cleaning module in conjunction with the PZT camera under increasingly more difficult and realistic conditions. These tests will
be conducted in the laboratory with larger in-pipe travel distances, introduction of more debris, and full exercise of the control electronics and software.

2. Continued testing of the patch-setting module and its use in setting the latest generation 12-inch repair sleeves. Test results will be used to optimize design of the patch assembly and the patch-setting robot train.

3. Fabrication and testing of 12-inch version of the cast-iron bolt-on entry fitting.

4. Fabrication and testing of the bi-metal hole saw used to cut and angled access hole into pressurized cast iron pipes.

5. Continued implementation of software controls and system displays into the LabVIEW user-interface/robotics-control environment.

6. Collection of additional information and data to conduct benefit analysis.
4. Conclusions

Activities in this quarter focused on Tasks 4 – 8. Important accomplishments are described in Section 3. Most noteworthy is work under Task 5 (Design & Fabricate Pipe-Wall Cleaning Robot Train with Pan/Zoom/Tilt Camera) which has progressed to design, fabrication and assembly of these robotic elements. Important lessons were learned during field testing of the small-diameter robotic system. Low gas velocities were encountered in the field (due to off-season gas flow rates in the main). It was observed that debris was not carried forward by the gas, but tended to accumulate near the cleaned joint and interfere with patch setting. Since low velocities are also expected in typical operations in large gas mains, several concepts were developed to enhance debris cleaning with the large robotic system, either through debris collection and removal or by sweeping it from the vicinity of the joint. These designs are discussed in the report.
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