FULL SCALE BIOREACTOR LANDFILL FOR CARBON SEQUESTRATION AND GREENHOUSE EMISSION CONTROL

Quarterly Technical Progress Report

Reporting Period Start Date: January 1, 2003 Reporting Period End Date: March 31, 2003

<u>Principal Author(s)</u> Ramin Yazdani, Senior Civil Engineer, Yolo County Public Works, California Jeff Kieffer, Associate Civil Engineer, Yolo County Public Works, California Heather Akau, Junior Engineer, Yolo County Public Works, California

Date Report Issued May 2003

D.O.E. Award Number DE-FC26-01NT41152

Name and Address of Submitting Organization Yolo County, Planning and Public Works Department Attn: Ramin Yazdani 292 West Beamer Street Woodland, CA 95695

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of the authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

ABSTRACT

The Yolo County Department of Planning and Public Works is constructing a full-scale bioreactor landfill as a part of the Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Project XL program to develop innovative approaches for carbon sequestration and greenhouse emission control. The overall objective is to manage landfill solid waste for rapid waste decomposition and maximum landfill gas generation and capture for carbon sequestration and greenhouse emission control. Waste decomposition is accelerated by improving conditions for either the aerobic or anaerobic biological processes and involves circulating controlled quantities of liquid (leachate, groundwater, gray water, etc.), and, in the aerobic process, large volumes of air.

The first phase of the project entails the construction of a 12-acre module that contains a 6-acre anaerobic cell, a 3.5-acre anaerobic cell, and a 2.5-acre aerobic cell at the Yolo County Central Landfill near Davis, California. The cells are highly instrumented to monitor bioreactor performance. Construction is complete on the 3.5-acre anaerobic cell and liquid addition has commenced. Construction of the 2.5-acre aerobic cell is nearly complete with only the biofilter remaining and construction of the west-side 6-acre anaerobic cell is nearly complete with only the liquid addition system remaining. The current project status and preliminary monitoring results are summarized in this report.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

ABSTRACT

1		1
1	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	
	1.1 SUMMARY OF CURRENT PROJECT STATUS	
2	INTRODUCTION	4
	2.1 DESCRIPTION OF THE PROJECT AND ITS PURPOSE	4
	2.2 DESCRIPTION OF THE FACILITY AND THE OPERATIONS / GEOGRAPHIC AREA	5
3	NORTHEAST ANAEROBIC CELL	5
	3.1 EXPERIMENTAL	6
	3.1.1 Construction	
	3.1.1.1 Waste Placement	
	3.1.1.2 Liquid Addition	
	3.1.1.3 Gas Collection	
	3.1.1.4 Surface Liner	
	3.1.2 Monitoring	9
	3.1.2.1 Temperature	
	3.1.2.2 Moisture	
	3.1.2.3 Leachate Quantity and Quality	
	3.1.2.4 Pressure	
	3.1.2.5 Landfill Gas Composition and Flow	
	3.1.2.6 Waste Sampling	
	3.1.2.7 Surface Scan	
	3.1.3 Operation	
	3.1.3.1 Leachate Recirculation	
	3.1.3.2 Landfill Gas Collection	
	3.2 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
	3.2.1 Temperature	
	3.2.2 Moisture	
	3.2.3 Landfill Gas Collection System	
	3.2.4 Leachate Quantity And Quality	19
4	WEST-SIDE ANAEROBIC CELL	20
	4.1 EXPERIMENTAL	
	4.1.1 Construction	
	4.1.1.1 Waste Placement	
	4.1.1.2 Liquid Addition	
	4.1.1.3 Gas Collection	
	4.1.1.4 Surface Liner	
	4.1.2 Monitoring	22
	4.1.2.1 Temperature	
	4.1.2.2 Moisture	
	4.1.2.3 Leachate Quantity and Quality	
	4.1.2.4 Pressure	
	4.1.2.5 Landfill Gas Composition and Flow	
	4.1.2.6 Waste Sampling 4.1.2.7 Surface Scan	
	4.1.3 Operation 4.1.3.1 Leachate Recirculation	
	4.1.3.1 Leachate Recirculation	
	4.1.3.2 Landmi Gas Conection	
	4.2.1 Temperature	
	5	
	4.2.4 Leachate Quantity And Quality	28

5	AEROBIC CELL	29
	5.1 EXPERIMENTAL	
	5.1.1 Construction	
	5.1.1.1 Waste Placement	
	5.1.1.2 Liquid Addition	
	5.1.1.3 Air Collection	
	5.1.1.4 Surface Liner	
	5.1.2 Monitoring	
	5.1.2.1 Temperature	
	5.1.2.2 Moisture	
	5.1.2.3 Leachate Quantity and Quality	
	5.1.2.4 Pressure	
	5.1.2.5 Landfill Gas Composition and Flow	
	5.1.2.6 Waste Sampling	
	5.1.2.7 Surface Scan	
	5.1.3 Operation	
	5.1.3.1 Leachate Recirculation	
	5.1.3.2 Air Collection	
	5.2 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
	5.2.1 Temperature	
	5.2.2 Moisture	
	5.2.3 Leachate Quantity And Quality	
6	MODULE 6D BASE LINER	40
U		
	6.1 EXPERIMENTAL	40
	6.1.1 Construction	40
	6.1.1.1 Grading	41
	6.1.1.2 Base Liner Assembly	41
	6.1.2 Monitoring	
	6.1.2.1 Temperature	
	6.1.2.2 Moisture	
	6.1.2.3 Leachate Collection Trenches	
	6.2 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
	6.2.1 Temperature	
	6.2.2 Moisture	45
	6.2.3 Leachate Collection Trenches	46
_		
7	SUPERVISORY CONTROL AND DATA ACQUISITION SYSTEM (SCADA)	
	7.1 HARDWARE INSTALLATION	47
	7.2 SOFTWARE PROGRAMMING	
8	CONCLUSION	51
9	REFERENCES	52
A	PPENDIX A- EPA XL SCHEDULE AND SUMMARY OF MATERIALS INSTALLED	53
A	PPENDIX B- PIPING AND INSTRUMENTATION PLANS	
A	PPENDIX C – GRAPHS	76
A	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
	PPENDIX D– GAS LABORATORY CHEMISTRY	117
A	ΓΓΕΝΟΙΑ Ο- ΘΑδ LABUKATUKT UΠΕΝΠΟΤΚΥ	
А	PPENDIX E- LEACHATE LABORATORY CHEMISTRY	121

LIST OF FIGURES

FIGURE 3-1. NORTHEAST ANAEROBIC CELL LIQUID RECIRCULATION AND ADDITION VOLUMES	77
FIGURE 3-2. NORTHEAST ANAEROBIC CELL LAYER 1 TEMPERATURE READINGS	
FIGURE 3-3. NORTHEAST ANAEROBIC CELL LAYER 2 TEMPERATURE READINGS	
FIGURE 3-4. NORTHEAST ANAEROBIC CELL LAYER 3 TEMPERATURE READINGS	
FIGURE 3-5. NORTHEAST ANAEROBIC CELL SELECTED TEMPERATURE READINGS	
FIGURE 3-6. NORTHEAST ANAEROBIC CELL AVERAGE TEMPERATURE READINGS	
FIGURE 3-7. NORTHEAST ANAEROBIC CELL LAYER 1 PVC MOISTURE READINGS	
FIGURE 3-8. NORTHEAST ANAEROBIC CELL LAYER 2 PVC MOISTURE READINGS	
FIGURE 3-9. NORTHEAST ANAEROBIC CELL LAYER 2 GYPSUM IN PLASTER MOISTURE READINGS	
FIGURE 3-10. NORTHEAST ANAEROBIC CELL LAYER 2 GYPSUM IN SOIL MOISTURE READINGS	
FIGURE 3-10: NORTHEAST ANAEROBIC CELL LAYER 2 OT SOM IN SOIL MOISTURE READINGS	
FIGURE 3-12. NORTHEAST ANAEROBIC CELL AVERAGE MOISTURE READINGS	
FIGURE 3-13. NORTHEAST ANAEROBIC CELL LANDFILL GAS CONCENTRATIONS FROM HEADER LINE	
FIGURE 3-13: NORTHEAST ANAEROBIC CELL LANDFILL GAS CONCENTRATIONS FROM TREADER LINE FIGURE 3-14. NORTHEAST ANAEROBIC CELL LANDFILL GAS FLOW RATE	
FIGURE 3-15. NORTHEAST ANAEROBIC CELL CUMULATIVE METHANE	
FIGURE 3-16. CUMULATIVE METHANE FROM NORTHEAST ANAEROBIC CELL AND ENHANCED CELL	
FIGURE 3-10. COMULATIVE METHANE FROM NORTHEAST ANAEROBIC CELL AND EMIANCED CELL FIGURE 3-17. CHANGE IN VOC CONCENTRATIONS IN LFG FROM THE NORTHEAST ANAEROBIC CELL	
FIGURE 3-17. CHANGE IN VOC CONCENTRATIONS IN LFG FROM THE NORTHEAST ANAEROBIC CELL FIGURE 4-1. WEST-SIDE ANAEROBIC CELL LAYER 1 TEMPERATURE READINGS	
FIGURE 4-1. WEST-SIDE ANAEROBIC CELL LATER I TEMPERATURE READINGS	
FIGURE 4-2. WEST-SIDE ANAEROBIC CELL LAYER 2 TEMPERATURE READINGS	
FIGURE 4-3. WEST-SIDE ANAEROBIC CELL LAYER 5 TEMPERATURE READINGS	
FIGURE 4-4. WEST-SIDE ANAEROBIC CELL AVERAGE TEMPERATURE READINGS FIGURE 4-5. WEST-SIDE ANAEROBIC CELL LAYER 1 PVC MOISTURE READINGS	
FIGURE 4-5. WEST-SIDE ANAEROBIC CELL LAYER I PVC MOISTURE READINGS FIGURE 4-6. WEST-SIDE ANAEROBIC CELL LAYER 2 PVC READINGS	
FIGURE 4-0. WEST-SIDE ANAEROBIC CELL LAYER 2 PVC KEADINGS FIGURE 4-7. WEST-SIDE ANAEROBIC CELL LAYER 3 PVC MOISTURE READINGS	
FIGURE 4-7. WEST-SIDE ANAEROBIC CELL LAYER 5 PVC MOISTURE READINGS FIGURE 4-8. WEST-SIDE ANAEROBIC CELL AVERAGE PVC MOISTURE READINGS	
FIGURE 4-8. WEST-SIDE ANAEROBIC CELL AVERAGE FVC MOISTURE READINGS FIGURE 4-9. WEST-SIDE ANAEROBIC CELL LANDFILL GAS CONCENTRATIONS FROM HEADER LINE	
FIGURE 4-9. WEST-SIDE ANAEROBIC CELL LANDFILL GAS CONCENTRATIONS FROM HEADER LINE FIGURE 4-10. WEST-SIDE ANAEROBIC CELL LANDFILL GAS FLOW RATE	
FIGURE 4-10. WEST-SIDE ANAEROBIC CELL LANDFILL GAS FLOW RATE FIGURE 4-11. WEST-SIDE ANAEROBIC CELL CUMULATIVE METHANE	
FIGURE 4-11. WEST-SIDE ANAEROBIC CELL CUMULATIVE METHANE FIGURE 4-12. CUMULATIVE LEACHATE REMOVED FROM THE WEST-SIDE LCRS	
FIGURE 5-1. AEROBIC CELL BASE LINER TEMPERATURE READINGS	
FIGURE 5-2. AEROBIC CELL LAYER 0.5 TEMPERATURE READINGS	
FIGURE 5-3. AEROBIC CELL LAYER 1 TEMPERATURE READINGS	
FIGURE 5-4. AEROBIC CELL LAYER 2 TEMPERATURE READINGS	
FIGURE 5-5. AEROBIC CELL AVERAGE TEMPERATURE READINGS.	
FIGURE 5-6. AEROBIC CELL BASE LINER PVC MOISTURE READINGS	
FIGURE 5-7. AEROBIC CELL LAYER 0.5 PVC MOISTURE READINGS	
FIGURE 5-8. AEROBIC CELL LAYER 1 PVC MOISTURE READINGS	
FIGURE 5-9. AEROBIC CELL LAYER 2 PVC MOISTURE READINGS	
FIGURE 5-10. AEROBIC CELL AVERAGE PVC MOISTURE READINGS.	
FIGURE 6-1. MODULE D BASE LINER TEMPERATURE READINGS (NORTHWEST QUADRANT)	
FIGURE 6-2. MODULE D BASE LINER TEMPERATURE READINGS (SOUTHWEST QUADRANT)	
FIGURE 6-3. MODULE D BASE LINER TEMPERATURE READINGS (NORTHEAST QUADRANT)	
FIGURE 6-4. MODULE D BASE LINER TEMPERATURE READINGS (SOUTHEAST QUADRANT)	
FIGURE 6-5. MODULE D BASE LINER AVERAGE TEMPERATURE READINGS	
FIGURE 6-6. MODULE D BASE LINER PVC MOISTURE READINGS (WEST SIDE QUADRANTS)	
FIGURE 6-7. MODULE D BASE LINER PVC MOISTURE READINGS (NORTHEAST QUADRANT)	
FIGURE 6-8. MODULE D BASE LINER PVC MOISTURE READINGS (SOUTHEAST QUADRANT)	
FIGURE 6-9. MODULE D BASE LINER AVERAGE PVC MOISTURE READINGS	
FIGURE 6-10. MODULE D BASE LINER PRESSURE TRANSDUCERS AND ADJACENT TUBES	116

LIST OF TABLES

TABLE 1-1. REVISED PROJECT XL DELIVERY SCHEDULE	54
TABLE 3-1. SUMMARY OF DATA FOR THE NORTHEAST ANAEROBIC CELL	55
TABLE 3-2. SUMMARY OF SENSORS FOR THE ANAEROBIC CELLS	56
TABLE 3-3. SUMMARY OF GAS COLLECTION LINES FOR THE NORTHEAST ANAEROBIC CELL	57
TABLE 3-4. SUMMARY OF SURFACE SCANS PERFORMED ON THE NORTHEAST ANAEROBIC CELL	13
TABLE 3-5. TEMPERATURE SUMMARY FOR THE NORTHEAST ANAEROBIC CELL	15
TABLE 3-6. PVC MOISTURE SUMMARY FOR THE NORTHEAST ANAEROBIC CELL	16
TABLE 3-7. LANDFILL GAS SUMMARY FOR THE NORTHEAST ANAEROBIC CELL	18
TABLE 3-8. ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR LANDFILL GAS SAMPLED FROM MODULE D	118
TABLE 3-9. FIELD CHEMISTRY AND ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR LEACHATE SAMPLED FROM THE NOR	THEAST
ANAEROBIC CELL	122
TABLE 3-10. FIELD CHEMISTRY AND SELECTED LABORATORY CHEMISTRY FOR LEACHATE SAMPLEI) FROM
THE NORTHEAST ANAEROBIC CELL	19
TABLE 4-1. SUMMARY OF DATA FOR THE WEST-SIDE ANAEROBIC CELL	58
TABLE 4-2. SUMMARY OF GAS COLLECTION LINES FOR THE WEST-SIDE ANAEROBIC CELL	59
TABLE 4-3. SUMMARY OF SURFACE SCANS PERFORMED ON THE WEST-SIDE ANAEROBIC CELL	24
TABLE 4-4. TEMPERATURE SUMMARY FOR THE WEST-SIDE ANAEROBIC CELL	25
TABLE 4-5. PVC MOISTURE SUMMARY FOR THE WEST-SIDE ANAEROBIC CELL	27
TABLE 4-6. Landfill Gas Summary for the West-Side Anaerobic Cell.	28
TABLE 4-7. ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR LEACHATE SAMPLED FROM THE WEST-SIDE ANAEROBIC CEN	L126
TABLE 4-8. FIELD CHEMISTRY AND SELECTED LABORATORY CHEMISTRY FOR LEACHATE SAMPLED	
THE WEST-SIDE ANAEROBIC CELL	29
TABLE 5-1. SUMMARY OF DATA FOR THE AEROBIC CELL	60
TABLE 5-2. SUMMARY OF SENSORS FOR THE AEROBIC CELL	61
TABLE 5-3. SUMMARY OF AIR COLLECTION LINES FOR THE AEROBIC CELL	62
TABLE 5-4. SUMMARY OF SURFACE SCANS PERFORMED ON THE AEROBIC CELL	36
TABLE 5-5. TEMPERATURE SUMMARY FOR THE AEROBIC CELL	38
TABLE 5-6. PVC MOISTURE SUMMARY FOR THE AEROBIC CELL	39
TABLE 5-7. ANALYTICAL RESULTS FOR LEACHATE SAMPLED FORM THE AEROBIC CELL MANHOLE	130
TABLE 5-8. FIELD CHEMISTRY FOR LEACHATE SAMPLED FROM THE AEROBIC CELL	40
TABLE 6-1. Summary of Sensors for the Module 6D Base Liner	44
TABLE 6-2. PVC MOISTURE SUMMARY FOR THE BASE LINER.	46
TABLE 6-3. LEACHATE LEVEL SUMMARY FOR THE BASE LINER	47

1 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In 1996, Yolo County began operation of a pilot-scale project to evaluate the costs and benefits of a relatively new concept in landfill operation, often termed "bioreactor" or "enhanced" landfilling. The basic concept of a bioreactor landfill is to increase the biological activity of the waste (through the addition of water) to maximize the production of landfill gas for carbon sequestration and greenhouse emission control. The results of this pilot project were favorable and, as a result, Yolo County requested and gained approval from state and federal regulatory agencies to conduct this full-scale demonstration of bioreactor landfilling.


Because current Federal and California State regulations generally do not allow the addition (or recirculation) of leachate and other supplemental liquid to a lined landfill module, special regulatory flexibility was required to conduct this project. Yolo County applied for, and was granted the necessary flexibility through the Unites States Environmental Protection Agency XL Program which stands for "eXcellence and Leadership." The XL program allows state and local governments, businesses and federal facilities to develop with EPA innovative strategies to test better or more cost-effective ways of achieving environmental and public health protection.

This report provides an update on Phase 1 of the Yolo County Accelerated Anaerobic and Aerobic Composting (Bioreactor) Project where carbon sequestration and greenhouse emission is controlled through either the anaerobic or aerobic process. Phase 1 of the project encompasses a 12-acre area of a 20-acre landfill module (Unit 6, Module D) at the Yolo County Central Landfill. Phase 2 of the project has begun with the construction of the primary liner system and installation of 12 temperature and moisture sensors. Waste placement in Phase 2 began in November 2002.

1.1 Summary of Current Project Status

The majority of the bioreactor project continues on schedule with the only deviations related to the aerobic cell's air collection system. The project schedule is located in Appendix A, Table 1-1 and has been altered since the previous project schedule prepared in January 2003.

The project bioreactors are separated into three landfill cells, two cells will be operated anaerobically and one aerobically (Detail 1-1). We have designated the three bioreactor cells as the west-side anaerobic cell, the northeast anaerobic cell, and the southeast aerobic cell. This configuration allowed the northeast anaerobic cell to be constructed and operated prior to completion of the west-side anaerobic cell. By separating the anaerobic bioreactor into two separate cells, experiences gained from construction of the northeast cell were incorporated into the west-side anaerobic cell.



Detail 1-1. Overview of Module D Bioreactor Cells

The northeast anaerobic cell, the west-side anaerobic cell, and the southeast aerobic cell have been filled with waste and instrumentation. A total of 65,104 tons of waste was placed in the northeast anaerobic, 11,942 tons of waste was placed in the southeast aerobic module, and 166,294 tons of waste was placed in the west-side anaerobic cell. The gas collection systems have been completed in the northeast anaerobic cell and the west-side anaerobic cell while the biofilter remains to be completed for the aerobic cell. The leachate injection system has been completed in the northeast anaerobic cell and aerobic cell and is near completion in the west-side anaerobic cell.

The installation of a reinforced polypropylene (RPP) membrane surface cover over the northeast anaerobic cell was completed in November 2001 and will allow precise quantification of the amount of landfill gas produced by eliminating surface emissions. The aerobic cell received a cover of 12-inches of soil overlaid by 12-inches of greenwaste alternative daily cover (ADC). The surface membrane cover for the west-side anaerobic cell is similar to the northeast anaerobic cell, with the exception that 40-mil linear low-density polyethylene (LLDPE) was used instead of RPP. Surface liner installation for the west-side anaerobic cell was completed in October 2002.

A Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) system has been installed and will monitor and control the operation of the bioreactor cells. To date, all instrumentation installed in the northeast and west-side anaerobic cells, the aerobic cell, and on the Module 6D composite liner have been connected to a central processor which is radio linked to a computer located in our Woodland office. In March 2002, the SCADA system started to electronically collect temperature and moisture data from in the northeast anaerobic cell, the aerobic cell, and on the Module 6D composite liner. In January 2003, the SCADA system started to electronically collect temperature and moisture data from in the west-side anaerobic cell.

Landfill gas collection began in the northeast anaerobic cell in mid-December 2001. Through the end of March 2003 a total of 22.3 x 10^6 scf of methane (which is equivalent to approximately

3500 barrels of oil) has been collected and utilized at the on-site gas to energy facility. Landfill gas from the main gas extraction header line on the northeast anaerobic cell was sampled and submitted for laboratory analysis in March 2003. Gas composition (methane, carbon dioxide, and oxygen) and pressure continues to be monitored on a weekly basis.

Landfill gas collection began in the west-side anaerobic cell in May 2002, and through the end of March 2003 a total of 5.3×10^6 scf of methane (which is equivalent to approximately 850 barrels of oil) has been collected and utilized at the on-site gas to energy facility.

Leachate addition to the northeast cell began on March 27, 2002. Through the end of March 2003, a total of 1,563,042 gallons of supplemental liquid has been added and 548,462 gallons of leachate recirculated to the northeast anaerobic cell. Leachate was monitored for field chemistry and sampled for laboratory analysis in February 2003.

Monitoring for methane surface emissions has been performed quarterly since April 2002. During March 2003, a surface scan was performed on the northeast anaerobic cell and the west-side anaerobic cell. The surface scan for the aerobic cell was postponed until April 2003 because of technical difficulties with the surface monitoring equipment. The highest methane surface emissions to date on the northeast anaerobic cell were detected in March 2003 at 70 parts per million (ppm). The high surface emissions in March 2003 can attributed high background readings, ranging between 60 and 65 ppm, that may be due Module D Phase II construction and changing wind currents carrying emissions from the west-side anaerobic cell are due to a combination of high background readings, ranging between 60 and 75 ppm, and small gaps (less than 1 inch) between the surface liner and where the gas collection and leachate injection piping exits the cell (pipe penetrations). A follow-up surface scan will be performed in April 2003 to confirm these readings and steps will be taken to seal the pipe penetrations.

2 INTRODUCTION

Sanitary landfilling is the dominant method of solid waste disposal in the United States, accounting for about 217 million tons of waste annually (U.S. EPA, 1997). The annual production of municipal solid waste in the United States has more than doubled since 1960. In spite of increasing rates of reuse and recycling, population and economic growth will continue to render landfilling as an important and necessary component of solid waste management.

In a Bioreactor Landfill, controlled quantities of liquid (leachate, groundwater, grey-water, etc.) are added to increase the moisture content of the waste. Leachate is then recirculated as necessary to maintain the moisture content of the waste at or near it's moisture holding capacity. This process significantly increases the biodegradation rate of waste and thus decreases the waste stabilization and composting time (5 to 10 years) relative to what would occur within a conventional landfill (30 to 50 years or more). If the waste decomposes (i. e., is composted) in the absence of oxygen (anaerobically), it produces landfill gas (biogas). Biogas is primarily a mixture of methane, a potent greenhouse gas, carbon dioxide, and small amounts of Volatile Organic Compounds (VOC's). This by-product of anaerobic landfill waste composting can be a substantial renewable energy resource that can be recovered for electricity or other uses. Other benefits of a bioreactor landfill composting operation include increased landfill waste settlement and a resulting increase in landfill capacity and life, improved opportunities for treatment of leachate liquid that may drain from fractions of the waste, possible reduction of landfill postclosure management time and activities, landfill mining, and abatement of greenhouse gases through highly efficient methane capture over a much shorter period of time than is typical of waste management through conventional landfilling.

2.1 Description Of The Project And Its Purpose

The County of Yolo Planning and Public Works Department (Yolo County) is operating its next 20-acre landfill module near Davis, California as a controlled bioreactor landfill to attain a number of superior environmental and cost savings benefits. In the first phase of this 20-acre project, a 12-acre module will be constructed. This 12-acre module contains a 6-acre cell and a 3.5-acre cell, which will be operated anaerobically, and a 2.5-acre cell, which will be operated aerobically. The County began construction the second phase of Module 6D in Fall 2002 and, depending on the results of the first phase of Module 6D, Yolo County may operate the second phase either anaerobically or aerobically.

Co-sponsors of the project with Yolo County are the Solid Waste Association of North America (SWANA) and Institute for Environmental Management (IEM, Inc.). As part of the EPA Project XL, Yolo County requested that U.S. EPA grant site-specific regulatory flexibility from the prohibition in 40 CFR 258.28 Liquid Restrictions, which may preclude addition of useful bulk or non-containerized liquid amendments. The County intends to use leachate and groundwater first but if not enough liquid is available then other supplemental liquids such as gray-water from a waste water treatment plant, septic waste, and food-processing wastes will be used. Liquid wastes such as these, that normally have no beneficial use, may instead beneficially enhance the biodegradation of solid waste.

Yolo County also requested similar flexibility on liquid amendments from California and local regulatory entities. Several sections of the California Code of Regulations (CCR), Title 27,

Environmental Protection, address the recirculation of liquids in lined municipal solid waste landfills. While the regulations do not specifically endorse bioreactors, regulatory flexibility is provided by the State of California Title 27, Chapter 3, Subchapter 2, Article 2, section 20200, Part (d)(3), *Management of liquids at Landfills and Waste Piles*. For additional information on this regulatory flexibility, see Section IV A of the FPA.

2.2 Description Of The Facility And The Operations / Geographic Area

The Yolo County Central Landfill (YCCL) is an existing Class III non-hazardous municipal solid waste landfill. The site encompasses a total of 722 acres and is comprised of 17 distinct Class III solid waste management units and two Class II leachate surface impoundments. The YCCL is located at the intersection of Road 104 and Road 28H, 2 miles northeast of the City of Davis. The YCCL was opened in 1975 for the disposal of non-hazardous solid waste, construction debris, and non-hazardous liquid waste. Existing on-site operations include a thirteen-year-old landfill methane gas recovery and energy generation facility, a drop-off area for recyclables, a metal recovery facility, a wood and yard waste recovery and processing area, and a concrete recycling area.

There are approximately 28 residences scattered within a 2-mile radius of the landfill. The closest residence is located several hundred feet south of the landfill, on the south side of Road 29 south of the Willow Slough By-pass.

Groundwater levels at the facility fluctuate between 8 to 10 feet during the year, rising from lowest in the Fall to highest in the Spring. Water level data indicate that the water table level is typically 4 to 10 feet below ground surface during winter and spring months. During summer and fall months, the water table is typically 5 to 15 feet below ground surface. In January 1989, the County of Yolo constructed a soil/bentonite slurry cutoff wall to retard groundwater flow to the landfill site from the north. The cutoff wall was constructed along portions of the northern and western boundaries of the site to a maximum depth of 44 feet. The cutoff wall has a total length of 3,680 feet, 2,880 feet along the north side and 800 feet along the west. In the fall of 1990, irrigation practices to the north of the landfill site were altered to minimize the infiltration of water.

Additionally, sixteen groundwater extraction wells were installed south of the cutoff wall in order to lower the water table south and east of the wall, to provide vertical separation between the base of the landfill and groundwater.

Prior to placement of the slurry wall and dewatering system, the groundwater flow direction was generally to the southeast. Under current dewatering conditions, the apparent groundwater flow paths are towards the extraction wells located along the western portion of the northern site boundary. In essence, a capture zone is created by the cone of depression created by the ground water extraction system, minimizing the possibility of off-site migration of contamination.

3 NORTHEAST ANAEROBIC CELL

The northeast anaerobic cell occupies approximately 3.5 acres in the northeast quadrant of Phase 1, Module 6D.

3.1 Experimental


The experimental methods utilized are grouped into three categories: construction, monitoring, and operation. Each of these categories is discussed below.

3.1.1 Construction

Construction of the northeast anaerobic cell can be generally broken down into four major tasks: waste placement, liquid addition, gas collection, and surface liner installation. Each of these four tasks is discussed below. A summary of current monitoring data for the northeast anaerobic cell is provided in Appendix A, Table 3-1.

3.1.1.1 Waste Placement

Waste placement began on January 13, 2001 and was completed on August 3, 2001. Waste was placed in four separate lifts with an average thickness of 15 feet (Detail 3-1). In general, all waste received at the landfill was deposited in the northeast cell with the exception of self-haul waste. Because of the difficulties handling large volumes of self-haul vehicles in the limited area of the upper lifts, self-haul waste was not placed in lifts 3 and 4. The use of daily cover soil during waste filling was minimized to aid in the overall permeability of the waste. Whenever possible, greenwaste or tarps were used as alternative daily cover (ADC) and, in the event soil was placed (for example, access roads or tipping pad), the soil was removed prior to placing the next lift of waste. All side slopes were constructed at approximately 2.5 to 1 (horizontal to vertical) and received at least one foot of soil cover. Instrumentation Layers 1, 2, and 3 were placed between lifts, and base layer instrumentation was installed on the Module 6D base liner. A summary of sensors installed on each layer is provided in Appendix A, Table 3-2.



Detail 3-1. Northeast Anaerobic Cell Cross Section

3.1.1.2 Liquid Addition

Horizontal liquid injection lines were installed in each lift of waste (Image 3-1). Injection lines within the waste (between lifts 1 and 2, 2 and 3, 3 and 4) were placed approximately every 40 feet. Injection lines installed on top of lift 4 were installed every 25 feet, with an additional injection line following the perimeter of the top deck. Each injection line consists of a 1.25-inch-diameter high-density polyethylene (HDPE) pipe placed horizontally (north to south), which extends completely through the waste. Each injection line was perforated by drilling a 3 /32-inch hole every 20 feet. A total of 8,130 feet of injection piping was installed with a total of 342 injection holes.

Each of the injection laterals is connected to a 4-inch-diameter HDPE injection header. Leachate injection for each lateral will be monitored and controlled by individual solenoid valves connected to the SCADA system. A flow meter will monitor the total volume and injection flow rate for the entire northeast anaerobic cell.



Image 3-1: Horizontal LFG and leachate injection lines installed and being coverd by shredded tires.

3.1.1.3 Gas Collection

Horizontal landfill gas (LFG) collection lines were installed between each lift of waste (Image 3-1) and directly under the reinforced polypropylene (RPP) geomembrane cover. LFG collection lines consist of various combinations of alternating 4 and 6-inch-diameter, schedule 80 polyvinyl chloride (PVC) pipe (Image 3-2) as well as several variations using corrugated HDPE pipe. A summary of gas collection lines for the northeast anaerobic cell is provided in Appendix A, Table 3-3. At each line, shredded tires were used as the permeable media. The gas collection lines between layers are spaced approximately 40 feet apart and the lines directly under the RPP membrane are spaced at 25 feet. A total of sixteen LFG collection lines were installed.

Each LFG collection line is connected to a 6-inch-diameter LFG collection header that conveys the gas to the on-site LFG-to-energy facility. Each LFG collection line incorporates a premanufactured wellhead capable of controlling flow and monitoring flow rate, temperature and pressure.



Image 3-2: Horizontal LFG collection line

3.1.1.4 Surface Liner

The County retained the services of Vector Engineering (Vector) to design the surface membrane covers for each of the bioreactor cells (Image 3-3). Their scope of work included the following subtasks:

- Research the different commercially available membrane materials, including high and low density polyethylene, polyvinyl chloride, and reinforced polypropylene;
- Design of a biofilter to treat the off-gas from the aerobic cell;
- Prepare plans and specification for the installation of the surface liners; and
- Provide on-site construction quality assurance for the installation of the surface membrane.

Vector's scope of work was modified to include preparation of plans and specifications for the tie-in of the leachate injection and landfill gas collection piping.



Image 3-3: Northeast anaerobic surface liner

Based on Vector and County staff research, it was determined that a 36-mil reinforced polypropylene geomembrane (RPP) would be the preferred choice for an exposed geomembrane cover¹. Reinforced polypropylene offered distinct advantages over the other potential materials including long service life (a 20-year warrantee was obtained), superior strength due to the nylon reinforcement, and low thermal expansion and contraction.

To expedite construction and reduce the overall cost of the project, the County decided to directly purchase the necessary membrane material and provide it to the contractor for installation. On June 29, 2001, the County issued a request for quotes for 350,000 square feet of 36-mil RPP. Quotes were received on July 9, 2001 with the lowest priced quote received from Colorado Linings International (Colorado).

The plans and specifications for the installation of the RPP surface liner were issued for bid on June 15, 2001. Later that month, Addendum Number 1 was issued to include a majority of the leachate injection and gas collection piping. Bids were due on July 13, 2001; however, no bids were received. The County inquired to each of the plan holders and generally found that bids were not submitted because the liner companies could not locate a subcontractor to perform the earthwork.

The County reissued the plans and specifications on July 23, 2001 and allowed three separate bid options. Option A was the entire project. Option B was only the installation of the liner, and Option C was only the earthwork. Bids were received on August 6, 2001 with the selected contractor being Colorado Linings International. Because Colorado's winning bid was significantly higher than the engineer's estimate and the potential difficulties with excessive pressure buildup under the aerobic liner, the covering of the aerobic cell was eliminated (for further discussion refer to Section 5.1).

The installation of surface liner and associated piping was completed in November 2001.

3.1.2 Monitoring

Temperature, moisture, leachate quantity and quality, and LFG pressure and composition are monitored through an array of sensors placed within the waste and in the leachate collection and recovery system (LCRS). Each sensor location received a temperature sensor (thermistor), a linear low-density polyethylene (LLDPE) tube, and a moisture sensor (a PVC moisture sensor and in some cases a gypsum block). For protection, each wire and tube was encased in either a 1.25-inch HDPE pipe or run inside the LFG collection piping (Image 3-4). Refer to Appendix B, Details 3-2 through 3-5 for sensor location diagrams.

¹ Vector Engineering, "Design Report for the Surface Liners of the Module D Phase 1 Bioreactors at the Yolo County Central Landfill", October 2001.



Image 3-4: Moisture, temperature , and tube

Sensors on instrumentation Layers 1, 2, and 3 were placed on either a bedding of greenwaste (shredded yard waste), wood chips (chipped wood waste), bin fines (fine pieces of greenwaste), or pea gravel to protect against damage from the underlying waste. Sensors installed on the primary liner (prior to any waste placement) were placed on geocomposite and covered with pea gravel prior to the placement of the chipped tire operations layer.

3.1.2.1 Temperature

Temperature is monitored with thermistors manufactured by Quality Thermistor, Inc. Thermistors with a temperature range of 0°C to 100°C were chosen to accommodate the temperature ranges expected in both the anaerobic and aerobic cells. To prevent corrosion, each thermistor was encased in epoxy and set in a stainless steel sleeve. All field wiring connections were made by first soldering the connection, then covering each solder joint with adhesive lined heat shrink tubing, and then encasing the joint in electrical epoxy. Changes in temperature are measured by the change in thermistor resistivity (ohms). As temperature increases, thermistor resistance decreases.

3.1.2.2 Moisture

Moisture levels are measured with polyvinyl chloride (PVC) moisture sensors and gypsum blocks. Both the PVC moisture sensors and gypsum blocks are read utilizing the same meter. The PVC sensors are perforated 2-inch-diameter PVC pipes with two stainless steel screws spaced 8 inches apart and attached to wires to form a circuit that includes the gravel filled pipe. The PVC sensors were designed by Yolo County and used successfully during the pilot scale project². The PVC moisture sensor can provide a general, qualitative assessment of the waste's

² Yazdani, R., Moore, R. Dahl. K. and D. Augenstein 1998 Yolo County Controlled Landfill Bioreactor Project. Yolo County Public Works and I E M, Inc. Yolo County Public Works and I E M, Inc. report to the Urban Consortium Energy Foundation (UUCETF) and the Western Regional Biomass Energy Program, USDOE.

moisture content. A reading of 0 to 40 equates to no free liquid, 40 to 80 equates to some free liquid, and 80 to 100 means completely saturated conditions.

The gypsum blocks are manufactured by Electronics Unlimited and are typically used for soil moisture determinations in agricultural applications. Gypsum blocks establish equilibrium with the media in which they are placed and are, therefore, reliable at tracking increases in the soil's moisture content. However, the gypsum block can take considerable time to dry and therefore may not reflect the drying of the surrounding environment.

3.1.2.3 Leachate Quantity and Quality

Leachate that is generated from the northeast anaerobic cell drains to the eastside Module D leachate collection sump (Image 3-5). A dedicated pump is then used to remove the leachate and pump it to one of the on-site leachate storage ponds. A flow meter measures rate and total volume pumped from the sump.

Leachate is monitored for the following field parameters: pH, electrical conductivity, dissolved oxygen, oxidation-reduction potential, and temperature. The following parameters will be analyzed by a laboratory: dissolved solids, biochemical oxygen demand, chemical oxygen demand, organic carbon, nutrients (NH₃, TKN, TP), common ions, heavy metals and organic priority pollutants. For the first year, monitoring will be conducted monthly during the first six months and quarterly for the following six months. After the first year, monitoring will be conducted semi-annually (pH, conductivity, and flow rate will continue to be monitored on a monthly basis as required by the State of California's Waste Discharge Requirements in Order 5-00-134).

3.1.2.4 Pressure

Pressure within the northeast anaerobic cell is monitored with $\frac{1}{4}$ -inch inner diameter and $\frac{3}{8}$ -inch outer diameter LLDPE sampling tubes. Each tube can be attached to a pressure gage and supplemental air source. By first purging the tube with the air source (to remove any liquid blockages), and then reading the pressure, an accurate gas and/or water pressure can be measured at each sensor location.

3.1.2.5 Landfill Gas Composition and Flow

Landfill gas composition and flow are measured from the pre-manufactured well heads utilizing a GEM-500 combustible gas meter, manufactured by LANDTEC. The GEM-500 is capable of measuring methane (either as a percent by volume or percent of the lower explosive limit), carbon dioxide, and oxygen. A reading for "balance" gas is also provided, which is assumed to be nitrogen.



Image 3-5: Gravel drainage layer and leachate collection sump

3.1.2.6 Waste Sampling

Yolo County conducted the first waste sampling event for the northeast anaerobic cell on June 5, 2002. Waste was sampled to quantify the methane generation potential of the waste. Waste was drilled to an approximate depth of 50 feet with samples taken at 5-foot intervals. Waste will be sampled from the northeast anaerobic cell annually for the next two years to monitor the progress of waste decomposition and compare actual methane generation to laboratory methane generation.

3.1.2.7 Surface Scan

Under current federal guidelines (40 CFR 60.752), landfills exceeding a specific size must monitor for methane surface emissions and any reading in excess of 500 PPM (40 CFR 60.755 (c)) requires corrective action to be taken. The Yolo County Central Landfill is not currently required to test for methane surface emissions, however, as part of the FPA, the County has proposed to conduct quarterly surface scans to demonstrate the emissions (or lack of) from a controlled bioreactor landfill.

Surface emissions were monitored with a model OVA-108 Flame Ionization Detector (FID) instrument in March 2003. The OVA-108 is capable of detecting methane in the parts-permillion (PPM) range and has an accuracy of \pm 20 percent of reading. Surface emissions were previously monitored with a model TVA-1000 FID/Photo Ionization Detector (PID) instrument. Under the FID setting, the TVA-1000 is capable of detecting methane in the parts-per-million (PPM) range and has an accuracy of \pm 2.5 PPM or 25 percent of the reading, whichever is greater. In the event significant methane was detected, the unit could be switched to PID mode to detect volatile organic compounds (VOC). Methane surface concentrations are monitored along the perimeter of the collection area and along a pattern that transverses the landfill at 15 meter intervals. Due to high winds and inclement weather, the surface scan scheduled for December 2002 was postponed until January 2003. A summary of the surface scans performed on the northeast anaerobic cell is presented below in Table 3-4.

Surface	Date	Max. Emissions Detected	Location of Max. Emissions
Scan No.			
1	April 3, 2002	No fugitive emissions detected	Not Applicable
2	June 6, 2002	9 ppm	Southwest corner of the cell
3	September 19, 2002	8 ppm	Northwest corner of the cell
4	January 7, 2003	No fugitive emissions detected	Center north face of the cell
5	March 19, 2003	70 ppm	Along the entire northern
			perimeter of the cell.

Table 3-4. Summary of Surface Scans Performed on the Northeast Anaerobic Cell with Synthetic Surface Cover System

The detection of surface emissions is most likely due to landfill operations in nearby areas. While background concentrations were monitored prior to conducting the surface scan (and in some cases following the surface scan), changes in wind currents could have transported methane from adjacent areas. During June 2002 and September 2002, grading and waste filling activities in the adjacent west-side 6-acre area could have promoted the detection of gas emissions in the northeast 3.5-acre cell. Additionally, activities from Module D Phase II construction (which involved exposing waste form an adjacent unit to facilitate base liner installation) could have promoted the detection of gas emissions in March 2003 can be attributed to high background readings, ranging between 60 and 65 ppm, that may be due to Module D Phase II construction. Changing wind current during the surface scan could have also carried emissions from the west-side anaerobic cell where higher emissions have been measured due to leakage from small gaps in the surface liner (less than 1 inch) where piping exits the cell.

As presented in the table above, methane surface emissions from the northeast 3.5-acre cell are extremely low, and essentially negligible. There are two major items that are responsible for this effective control of surface emissions, they are: 1) The installation of a synthetic cover over the entire cell, and 2) The use of an active landfill gas extraction system. The synthetic membrane not only limits gas transfer from the surface of the cell, it allows the active gas collection system to be operated at higher vacuum rates (without drawing in excess oxygen) thus further limiting the possibility if surface emissions.

The true methane emissions detected are also a function of the accuracy of the surface scan equipment. The TVA-1000 FID instrument has an accuracy of ± 25 percent of reading or ± 2.5 ppm, whichever is greater, from 1.0 to 10,000 ppm. Thus many of the surface emissions are outside (below) the accuracy range and thereby assumed to be negligible.

3.1.3 Operation

Operation of the northeast anaerobic cell as a bioreactor will began March 27, 2002 when supplemental liquid was first added to the cell.

3.1.3.1 Leachate Recirculation

Leachate addition to the northeast cell began on March 27, 2002 (Image 3-6). Each of the horizontal liquid injection lines was initially tested by pumping approximately 1000 gallons into the line to confirm operation and correlate flow versus pressure for each injection lateral.



Image 3-6: Leachate injection header and laterals

With the initial testing phase complete, full-scale liquid addition has commenced. Once the waste reaches field capacity, only enough liquid to maintain field capacity will be added.

During August 2002, leachate injection was temporarily halted due to scale buildup in the injection laterals which was significantly reducing the flow in the injection lines. On September 11, 2002, approximately 3000 gallons of a citric acid solution (pH approximately 4) was added to the injection laterals on the northeast anaerobic cell to dissolve the scale buildup. The citric acid was added to the injection laterals and allowed to set overnight (approximately 14 hours). Groundwater was then flushed through the lines to remove the citric acid and scaling residue.

Liquid injection resumed in the northeast cell on September 24, 2002. Approximately 1,563,042 gallons of supplemental liquid has been added and 548,462 gallons of leachate recirculated through the end of March 2003 with 48 percent added to Layer 1, 35 percent added to Layer 2, and 16 percent added to Layer 3 (Appendix C, Figure 3-1).

3.1.3.2 Landfill Gas Collection

Landfill gas collection began December 13, 2001 once the necessary piping was installed at the end of November 2001. Gas collection prior to leachate addition was necessary to prevent "billowing" or excess gas pressure under the surface liner.

3.2 Results And Discussion

Sensor names are represented numerically by the instrumentation layer in which the sensor is located, followed by the assigned sensor number. Layer 1 is represented by a 1, Layer 2 is represented by a 2, and so forth. The complete name of the sensor is denoted by the layer number – the sensor number. For example, the second sensor on Layer 1 is named 1-02.

3.2.1 Temperature


Temperature is monitored with thermistors manufactured by Quality Thermistor, Inc. Thermistors with a temperature range of 0°C to 100°C were chosen so they would be able to accommodate the temperature ranges expected in both the anaerobic and aerobic cells. Resistance was measured by the SCADA system located in the instrumentation shed starting in March 2002. Resistance was previously measured manually by connecting the sensor wires to a 26 III Multimeter manufactured by Fluke Corporation.

Temperature results are presented in Appendix C, Figures 3-2 to 3-4. Recent temperature fluctuations in Layer 3 correspond to the addition of cool water (approximately 70°F) to the waste. Representative sensors that demonstrate the cooling trend during liquid injection and subsequent warming trend following liquid injection are provided in Appendix C, Figure 3-5. A summary of the results is presented below in Table 3-5 and Figure 3-6.

		us Reporting P /1/02 to12/31/02			ent Reporting I 1/1/03 to 3/31/0.	
Layer	Minimum Temp. (°C)	Maximum Temp. (°C)	Average Temp. (°C)	Minimum Temp. (°C)	Maximum Temp. (°C)	Average Temp. (°C)
1	23.4	48.2	38.4	29.5	55.1	40.3
2	32.6	62.9	51.2	38.3	57.1	47.7
3	33.3	63.3	50.4	7.6	67.6	43.1

Table 3-5. Temperature Summary for the Northeast Anaerobic Cell

Figure 3-6. Average Temperatures for the Northeast Anaerobic Cell



3.2.2 Moisture

The SCADA system started electronically measuring moisture in March 2002. Moisture was previously measured manually with a Model MM 4 moisture meter manufactured by Electronics Unlimited. During the pilot scale project, Yolo County conducted laboratory tests with the PVC sensors to determine the relationship between the multimeter readings and the presence of free liquid in the PVC sensor. It was determined that a meter reading of less than 40 corresponded to an absence of free liquid. A reading between 40 and 80 corresponds to the presence of free liquid in the PVC pipe but less than saturated conditions. Readings of greater than 80 indicate saturated conditions; i.e. the PVC sensor is full of liquid.

Moisture results are presented in Appendix C, Figures 3-7 to 3-11. Since the start of full-scale liquid addition in June 2002, the average moisture levels in Layer 1 and Layer 2 have increased to moisture levels in the some free liquid zone and completely saturated zone. In Layer 3, full-scale liquid addition commenced in February 2003 and moisture levels increased to the some free liquid zone. A summary of the results is presented below in Table 3-6 and Figure 3-12.

		us Reporting Per /1/02 to12/31/02)	iod	Current Reporting Period (1/1/03 to 3/31/03)			
Layer	Minimum Moisture	Maximum Moisture	Average Moisture	Minimum Moisture	Maximum Moisture	Average Moisture	
1	1.9 94.8		66.9	6.0	94.8	71.5	
2	2.8	94.8	61.9	5.4	94.8	82.4	
3	1.9	91.3	25.3	4.9	94.8	39.8	

Table 3-6. PVC Moisture Summary for the Northeast Anaerobic Cell




Figure 3-12. Average Moisture Levels for the Northeast Anaerobic Cell

3.2.3 Landfill Gas Collection System

Gas composition is measured from the wellheads located on top of the northeast anaerobic cell with the GEM-500. Gas flow is measured by differential pressures at the well heads with a DWYER Instruments, Inc., "Magnehelic" pressure gage. A thermal mass flow meter installed in the main header pipeline near the instrumentation shed records flow rate and total for all of the northeast cell. The meter is equipped with two separate calibration curves (for different gas constituent concentrations) and automatically corrects for temperature and pressure and records in standard cubic feet.

Gas collection lines are represented numerically by the layer the line is located, followed by a "G" and the number that denotes the line on a specific layer. For example, the first gas collection line on layer 3 is denoted 3-G1.


Landfill gas results are presented in Appendix C, Figures 3-13 to 3-16. Methane concentrations from the wellheads fluctuate based on the applied vacuum, barometric pressure, and the status of waste decomposition. In June 2002, the increase in oxygen and balance concentrations and the decline in methane and carbon dioxide concentrations can be attributed to the increase in vacuum applied to the gas collection system. In order to reduce landfill gas emissions while drilling for waste samples, the vacuum applied to the gas extraction system was increased resulting in air intrusion into the northeast anaerobic cell. Subsequently, a leak in the gas collection header line was discovered resulting in air intrusion into the gas collection system. A summary of the results is presented below in Table 3-7.

Parameter Results					
Cumulative Methane from December 16, 2001 to March 31, 2003	22.3 x 10 ⁶ standard cubic feet (scf) (which is equivalent to approximately 35 barrels of oil)				
LFG Flow Rate for the period of	Minimum	Maximum	Average		
January 1, 2003 through March 31, 2003	114.7 scf	171.6 scf	144.3 scf		
Methane Concentration for the period of	Minimum	Maximum	Average		
January 1, 2003 through March 31, 2003	44.4 %	53.5 %	49.2 %		

Table 3-7. Landfill Gas Summary for the Northeast Anaerobic Cell

Landfill gas from the northeast cell was sampled in March 2003 and sent to an independent laboratory for analytical testing. Analytical results are presented in Appendix D, Table 3-8. Analytical results show lower methane levels at 390,000 parts per million (ppm) than methane levels detected in the field in March 2003. Higher methane levels read in the field could be due to the inclusion of other gases, such as hydrocarbons, that would be recorded as methane by the GEM. Results also show a general decline in volatile organic compounds (VOC) since the start of liquid injection as presented below in Figure 3-17.





3.2.4 Leachate Quantity And Quality

After July 24, 2002, all leachate generated was recirculated back to the northeast anaerobic cell with the exception of 35,460 gallons of leachate removed during injection line cleaning between September 24, 2002 and October 4, 2002. Approximately 1,563,042 gallons of supplemental liquid has been added and 548,462 gallons of leachate has been recirculated to the northeast anaerobic cell since June 2002 (Appendix C, Figure 3-1).

Leachate was sampled for analytical testing on a monthly basis from May 2002 to October 2002 and thereafter was sampled on a quarterly basis. Analytical results are presented in Appendix E, Table 3-9. Field chemistry and selected analytical results are presented below in Table 3-10.

PARAMETER	Date:	2/14/2002	5/14/2002	6/20/2002	7/23/2002	9/26/2002	10/17/2002	2/26/2003
Field Parameters:	Units							
РН		7.13	7.40	7.60	7.44	7.47	7.35	8.16
Electrical Conductivity	μS	6583	6095	4054	11510	12440	10230	9351
Oxidation Reduction	mV	-119	80	94	-7	-35	-25	160
Potential								
Temperature	С	19.9	25.9	26.5	30.5	28.4	26.0	23.5
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	0.65	1.4	2.04	0.33	3.66	2.96	6
Total Dissolved Solids	ppm	5244	4059	3062	9740	10770	8640	7850
General Chemistry:								
Bicarbonate Alkalinity	mg/L	1740	1760	1110	3740	3960	4010	2680
Total Alkalinity as CO ₃	mg/L	1740	1760	1110	3740	3960	4010	2680
BOD	mg O/L	20	19	10	200	1400	3000	44
Chemical Oxygen Demand	mg O/L	633	791	196	1620	2830	1810	120
Chloride	mg/L	1070	1030	617	1950	1870	1380	1470
Ammonia as N	mg/L	30	26.3	13.5	131	255	289	132
Nitrate-Nitrite as N	mg/L	< 0.03	<1.5	< 0.015	0.061	1.4	< 0.009	17.3
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/L	53.1	40	21.8	201	326	358	222
Total Dissolved Solids	mg/L	4440	3700	2500	7800	8000	6680	5720
@ 180 C								
Total (Non-Volatile)	mg/L	202	123	68.8	544	943	588	325
Organic Carbon								
Total Sulfide	mg/L	1.3	1.3	0.74	1.2	1.1	1.4	$0.034 \hspace{0.1in} (tr)$
Dissolved Iron	mg/L	1.1	0.39	0.19	2.9*	3.9	4	2.5
Dissolved Magnesium	mg/L	323	262	NA	535	480	437	359
Dissolved Potassium	mg/L	152	133	NA	215	319	348	371

 Table 3-10. Field Chemistry and Selected Laboratory Chemistry for Leachate Sampled from the Northeast Anaerobic Cell

Analytical results from the February sampling event indicate a dramatic decrease in BOD and COD and an increase in nitrate. It is unclear what has caused this dramatic change but one possible explanation would be dilution form recently injected leachate, this however is not supported by leachate pumping records (See Figure 3-1) which indicate relatively constant recirculation rates since December 2002. Follow-up monitoring will be performed to confirm these readings.

4 WEST-SIDE ANAEROBIC CELL

The west-side anaerobic cell is located on the western 6 acres of Phase 1, Module D. Filling in the west-side anaerobic cell was complete in August 2002 with a total of 166,294 tons of waste placed.

4.1 Experimental


The experimental methods utilized are grouped into three categories: construction, monitoring, and operation. Each of these categories is discussed below.

4.1.1 Construction

Construction of the west-side anaerobic cell can be generally broken down into four major tasks: waste placement, liquid addition, gas collection, and surface liner installation. Each of these four tasks is discussed below. A summary of current monitoring data for the west-side anaerobic cell is provided in Appendix A, Table 4-1.

4.1.1.1 Waste Placement

Waste placement began on March 8, 2001 and was completed on August 31, 2002. Waste was placed in four lifts of approximately 15-foot thickness with 2.5:1 side slopes on interior slopes and 3:1 on exterior slopes (Detail 4-1, Image 4-1). All waste received at the landfill was deposited in the west-side cell (i.e. no class of waste was excluded). The use of daily cover soil during waste filling was minimized to aid in the overall permeability of the waste. Whenever possible, greenwaste or tarps were used as alternative daily cover (ADC) and, in the event soil was placed (for example, access roads or tipping pad), the soil was removed prior to placing the next lift of waste. Instrumentation Layers 1, 2, and 3 were placed between lifts, and base layer instrumentation was installed on the Module 6D base liner.








Image 4-1: Waste placement in the west-side cell

4.1.1.2 Liquid Addition

Horizontal liquid injection lines were installed between lifts 2 and 3, and 3 and 4 approximately every 40 feet. In addition, three injection lines were installed on top of lift 4, spaced every 25 feet. Each injection line consists of a 1.25-inch-diameter high-density polyethylene (HDPE) pipe placed horizontally (east to west), which extends completely through the waste. Each injection line was perforated by drilling a 1/8 or 3/32-inch hole every 10 or 20 feet (depending on which line). A total of 7,185 feet of injection piping was installed with a total of 321 injection holes.

Each of the injection laterals will be connected to a 4-inch-diameter HDPE injection header. Leachate injection for each lateral will be monitored and controlled by individual solenoid valves connected to the SCADA system. A flow meter will monitor the total volume and injection flow rate for the entire northeast anaerobic cell.

4.1.1.3 Gas Collection

Horizontal landfill gas (LFG) collection lines were installed between lifts 2 and 3, and 3 and 4, and on top of lift 4. The LFG collection lines consist of various combinations of alternating 4 and 6-inch diameter schedule 80 and schedule 40 polyvinyl chloride (PVC) pipe as well as several variations of corrugated metal pipe and electrical conduit. At each line, shredded tires were used as the permeable media. A total of eighteen LFG collection lines were installed. A summary of gas collection lines for the northeast anaerobic cell is provided in Appendix A, Table 4-2.

Each LFG collection line is connected to a 6-inch or 8-inch diameter LFG collection header that conveys the gas to the on-site LFG-to-energy facility. Each LFG collection line incorporates a valve capable of controlling flow and a port for monitoring gas composition, temperature, pressure, and flow rate.

4.1.1.4 Surface Liner

Vector was retained to provide design, plans and specifications for a surface lining system (refer to section 3.1.1.4). In contrast to the northeast anaerobic cell, which utilized a reinforced polypropylene membrane (RPP), a 40-mil linear low-density (LLDPE) geomembrane material was selected because it offered a greatly reduced cost. The installation of the surface liner was completed in October 2002 (Image 4-2).



Image 4-2: West-side anaerobic cell surface liner.

4.1.2 Monitoring

Temperature, moisture, leachate quantity and quality, and LFG pressure and composition are monitored through an array of sensors placed within the waste and in the leachate collection and recovery system (LCRS). Each sensor location received a temperature sensor (thermistor), a linear low-density polyethylene (LLDPE) tube, and a moisture sensor (a PVC moisture sensor and in some cases a gypsum block). For protection, each wire and tube was encased in either a 1.25-inch HDPE pipe or run inside the LFG collection piping. Refer to Appendix B, Details 4-2 through 4-4 for sensor location diagrams.

4.1.2.1 Temperature

Temperature is monitored with thermistors manufactured by Quality Thermistor, Inc. Thermistors with a temperature range of 0°C to 100°C were chosen to accommodate the temperature ranges expected in both the anaerobic and aerobic cells. To prevent corrosion, each thermistor was encased in epoxy and set in a stainless steel sleeve. All field wiring connections were made by first soldering the connection, then covering each solder joint with adhesive-lined heat shrink tubing, and then encasing the joint in electrical epoxy. Changes in temperature are

measured by the change in thermistor resistivity (ohms). As temperature increases, thermistor resistance decreases.

4.1.2.2 Moisture

Moisture levels are measured with polyvinyl chloride (PVC) moisture sensors and gypsum blocks. Both the PVC moisture sensors and gypsum blocks are read utilizing the same meter. The PVC sensors are perforated 2-inch-diameter PVC pipes with two stainless steel screws spaced 8 inches apart and attached to wires to form a circuit that includes the gravel filled pipe. The PVC sensors were designed by Yolo County and used successfully during the pilot scale project. The PVC moisture sensor can provide a general, qualitative assessment of the waste's moisture content. A reading of 0 to 40 equates to no free liquid, 40 to 80 equates to some free liquid, and 80 to 100 means completely saturated conditions.

4.1.2.3 Leachate Quantity and Quality

Leachate that is generated from the west-side anaerobic cell drains to the west-side Module D leachate collection sump. A dedicated pump is then used to remove the leachate and pump it to one of the on-site leachate storage ponds. A flow meter measures rate and total volume pumped from the sump.

Leachate is monitored for the following field parameters: pH, electrical conductivity, dissolved oxygen, oxidation-reduction potential, and temperature. When leachate is generated in sufficient quantities, the following parameters will be analyzed by a laboratory: dissolved solids, biochemical oxygen demand, chemical oxygen demand, organic carbon, nutrients (NH₃, TKN, TP), common ions, heavy metals and organic priority pollutants. For the first year of liquid injection, monitoring will be conducted monthly for the first six months and quarterly for the following six months. After the first year, monitoring will be conducted semi-annually (pH, conductivity, and flow rate will continue to be monitored on a monthly basis as required by the State of California's Waste Discharge Requirements in Order 5-00-134).

4.1.2.4 Pressure

Pressure within the northeast anaerobic cell is monitored with $\frac{1}{4}$ -inch inner diameter and $\frac{3}{8}$ -inch outer diameter LLDPE sampling tubes. Each tube can be attached to a pressure gage and supplemental air source. By first purging the tube with the air source (to remove any liquid blockages) and then reading the pressure, an accurate gas and/or water pressure can be measured at each sensor location.

4.1.2.5 Landfill Gas Composition and Flow

Landfill gas composition and flow are measured from the well heads utilizing a GEM-500 combustible gas meter, manufactured by LANDTEC, in combination with a 1/8-inch diameter pitot tube, manufactured by DWYER Instruments, Inc.. The GEM-500 is capable of measuring methane (either as a percent by volume or percent of the lower explosive limit), carbon dioxide, and oxygen. A reading for "balance" gas is also provided, which is assumed to be nitrogen. Currently, gas composition is analyzed from the same sampling tubes used to measure pressure.

4.1.2.6 Waste Sampling

Yolo County conducted the first waste sampling event for the west-side anaerobic cell on June 5, 2002. Waste was sampled to quantify the methane generation potential of the waste. Waste was drilled to an approximate depth of 35 feet with samples taken at approximately 5 feet intervals.

Waste will be sampled from the west-side anaerobic cell annually for the next two years to monitor the progress of waste decomposition and compare actual methane generation to laboratory methane generation.

4.1.2.7 Surface Scan

Under current federal guidelines (40 CFR 60.752), landfills exceeding a specific size must monitor for methane surface emissions and any reading in excess of 500 PPM (40 CFR 60.755 (c)) requires corrective action to be taken. The Yolo County Central Landfill is not currently required to test for methane surface emissions, however, as part of the FPA, the County has proposed to conduct quarterly surface scans to demonstrate the emissions (or lack of) from a controlled bioreactor landfill.

Surface emissions were monitored with a model OVA-108 Flame Ionization Detector (FID) instrument in March 2003. The OVA-108 is capable of detecting methane in the parts-permillion (PPM) range and has an accuracy of \pm 20 percent of reading. Surface emissions were previously monitored with a model TVA-1000 FID/Photo Ionization Detector (PID) instrument. Under the FID setting, the TVA-1000 is capable of detecting methane in the parts-per-million (PPM) range and has an accuracy of \pm 2.5 PPM or 25 percent of the reading, whichever is greater. In the event significant methane was detected, the unit could be switched to PID mode to detect volatile organic compounds (VOC). Methane surface concentrations are monitored along the perimeter of the collection area and along a pattern that transverses the landfill at 15 meter intervals. Due to high winds and inclement weather, the surface scan scheduled for December 2002 was postponed until January 2003. A summary of the surface scans performed on the west-side anaerobic cell is presented below in Table 4-3.

Surface Scan No.	Date	Max. Emissions Detected	Location of Max. Emissions
1	April 3, 2002	50 ppm	Southwest corner of the cell
2	June 6, 2002	37 ppm	On top the cell, along the access road leading to the active waste placement area
3	September 19, 2002	124 ppm	Southwest corner of the cell. This area was rescanned and surface concentrations decreased to approximately 10 ppm.
4	January 8, 2003	30 ppm	Along the northern perimeter near piping from the leachate collection and removal system (LCRS).
5	March 19, 2003	150 ppm	Detected at three locations: (1) The northern perimeter of the cell near the LCRS piping, (2) the north face of the cell directly south of the perimeter and approximately 15 feet east of the LCRS piping, and (3) directly south of the top deck hinge point and approximately 15 feet west of the centerline of the cell.

 Table 4-3. Summary of Surface Scans Performed on the West-Side Anaerobic Cell

Because the west-side cell was still undergoing active waste placement and a membrane cover had not been installed prior to the April 2002, June 2002 and September 2002 surface scans, greater methane emissions were detected from the west-side cell than from the northeast anaerobic cell. The detection of high surface emissions in March 2003 may be due to high background readings (between 60 and 75 ppm) and unsealed areas (less than 1 inch) where piping penetrates the surface liner. A follow-up surface scan will be performed in April 2003 to confirm these readings and steps will be taken to seal the pipe penetrations.

4.1.3 Operation

Operation of the west-side anaerobic cell will begin once the leachate recirculation system and SCADA control systems are complete.

4.1.3.1 Leachate Recirculation

Initially, large volumes of liquid will be added to bring the waste to field capacity. Once field capacity has been reached, only enough liquid to maintain field capacity will be added.

4.1.3.2 Landfill Gas Collection

Landfill gas collection began May 7, 2002, once the necessary piping was installed. Gas collection prior to leachate addition was necessary to prevent "billowing" or excess gas pressure under the surface liner.

4.2 Results And Discussion

Sensor names are represented numerically by the instrumentation layer in which the sensor is located and by the assigned sensor number for that layer. Layer 1 is represented by a 1, Layer 2 is represented by a 2, and so forth. The complete name of the sensor is denoted by the layer number – the sensor number. For example, the second sensor on Layer 1 is named 1-02.

4.2.1 Temperature

Temperature is monitored with thermistors manufactured by Quality Thermistor, Inc. Thermistors with a temperature range of 0°C to 100°C were chosen so they would be able to accommodate the temperature ranges expected in both the anaerobic and aerobic cells. Currently, resistance was measured manually by connecting the sensor wires to a 26 III Multimeter manufactured by Fluke Corporation.

Temperature results are presented in Appendix C, Figures 4-1 to 4-3. A summary of the results is presented below in Table 4-4 and Figure 4-4.

		vious Reporting (10/1/02 to12/31/(Current Reporting Period (1/1/03 to 3/31/03)			
Layer	Minimum Temp. (°C)Maximum Temp. (°C)		Average Temp. (°C)	Minimum Temp. (°C)	Maximum Temp. (°C)	Average Temp. (°C)	
1	34.3	43.8	40.5	37.7	42.8	40.7	
2	43.7	45.6	44.4	27.8	49.9	43.5	
3	43.9	58.8	48.1	42.8	54.8	47.4	

 Table 4-4. Temperature Summary for the West-Side Anaerobic Cell




Figure 4-4. Average Temperatures for the West-Side Anaerobic Cell

4.2.2 Moisture


Moisture is measured manually with a Model MM 4 moisture meter manufactured by Electronics Unlimited. Moisture data are unitless numbers that give a qualitative assessment rather than a quantitative measure. During the pilot scale project, Yolo County conducted laboratory tests with the PVC sensors to determine the relationship between the multimeter readings and the presence of free liquid in the PVC sensor. It was determined that a meter reading of less than 40 corresponded to an absence of free liquid. A reading between 40 and 80 corresponds to the presence of free liquid in the PVC pipe but less than saturated conditions. Readings of greater than 80 indicate saturated conditions; i.e. the PVC sensor is full of liquid.

Moisture results are presented in Appendix C, Figures 4-5 to 4-7. A summary of the results is presented below in Table 4-5 and Figure 4-8.

	Previous Reporting Period (10/1/02 to12/31/02)			Current Reporting Period (1/1/03 to 3/31/03)			
Layer	Minimum Moisture	Maximum Moisture	Average Moisture	Minimum Moisture	Maximum Moisture	Average Moisture	
1	27.0	67.4	40.1	38.4	82.2	59.6	
2	0.8	66.6	66.2	2.7	94.8	75.5	
3	39.4	47.8	42.6	4.5	88.2	51.3	

Table 4-5. PVC Moisture Summary for the West-Side Anaerobic Cell





4.2.3 Landfill Gas Collection System

Gas composition is measured from the base layer and the wellheads located on top of the westside anaerobic cell with the GEM-500. Gas flow is measured by differential pressures utilizing a 1/8-inch diameter pitot tube by DWYER Instruments, Inc., in combination with the GEM-500. A thermal mass flow meter will be installed in the main header pipeline to record flow rate and total flow for west-side anaerobic cell.

Landfill gas results are presented in Appendix C, Figures 4-9 to 4-11. Methane concentrations from the wellhead fluctuate based on the applied vacuum, barometric pressure, and the status of waste decomposition. After surface liner installation in October 2002, the collection rate of landfill gas drastically increased. Additionally, methane and carbon dioxide concentrations

increased while and oxygen and balance concentrations decreased. A summary of the results is presented below in Table 4-6.

Parameter	Results				
Cumulative Methane from	5.3×10^6 standard cubic feet (scf)				
May 7, 2002 to March 31, 2003	(which is equivalent to approximately 850				
	barrels of oil)				
LFG Flow Rate for the period of	Minimum	Maximum	Average		
January 1, 2003 to March 31, 2003	7 scf	47 scf	20 scf		
Methane Concentration for the period of	Minimum	Maximum	Average		
January 1, 2003 to March 31, 2003	33.4 %	46.7 %	40.1 %		

Table 4-6. Landfill Gas Summary for the West-Side Anaerobic Cell.

Landfill gas was sampled from the west-side base layer wellhead in March 2003 and sent to an independent laboratory for analytical testing. Analytical results are presented in Appendix D. Landfill gas will be sampled on a quarterly basis when liquid addition commences in the west-side anaerobic cell.

4.2.4 Leachate Quantity And Quality

Prior to October 2001, leachate data reflects rainfall rather than actual leachate generation because the cells were only partially filled, and portions of the leachate collection and removal system were exposed to rainfall. Between October 2001 and March 2003, approximately 116,000 gallons of leachate was generated from the west-side cell (Appendix C, Figure 4-12).

Leachate was last sampled in February 2003 for analytical testing. Analytical results are presented in Appendix E, Table 4-7. Field chemistry and selected analytical results are presented below in Table 4-8. Analytical results show only a decline in COD, conductivity, metals, and volatile organic compounds since February 2002. Leachate will be sampled on a monthly basis once liquid addition commences.

PARAMETER	DATE:	2/14/2002	5/14/2002	6/20/2002	7/23/2002	8/13/2002	2/26/2003
Field Parameters:	Units						
pH		6.74	6.8	6.72	6.85	6.71	6.87
Electrical Conductivity	μS	3530	3851	3944	3899	3810	2320
Oxidation Reduction Potential	mV	-62	-46	-19	-38	-36	-56
Temperature	С	24.9	26.2	25.2	25.7	26.9	22.1
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	3.15	1.54	1.31	3.62	2.6	3.18
Total Dissolved Solids	ppm	2617	2871	2960	2965	2908	1703
General Chemistry:							
Bicarbonate Alkalinity	mg/L	1700	1780	1730	1710	1680	1000
Total Alkalinity as CO ₃	mg/L	1700	1780	1730	1710	1680	1000
BOD	mg O/L	28	12	12	7.9	12	16
Chemical Oxygen Demand	mg O/L	350	300	274	270	262	98.1
Chloride	mg/L	187	333	358	341	366	196
Ammonia as N	mg/L	20.3	23.5	21.2	23.8	25	9.5
Nitrate-Nitrite as N	mg/L	0.016(tr)	<1.5	< 0.03	< 0.015	< 0.015	0.022 (tr)
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/L	32.6	31.1	31.5	31.4	31	13.8
Total Dissolved Solids @ 180 C	mg/L	2220	2320	2410	2310	2280	1320
Total (Non-Volatile) Organic Carbon	mg/L	112	85.2	86.5	82.7	78.1	28.3
Total Sulfide	mg/L	0.033(tr)	< 0.014	< 0.014	0.023 (tr)	< 0.014	< 0.0093
Dissolved Iron	mg/L	0.4	0.035(tr)*	1.9	0.59	0.11	0.15
Dissolved Magnesium	mg/L	198	343	NA	217	185	123
Dissolved Potassium	mg/L	55.2	58.6	NA	37.8	32.5	23.7

Table 4-8. Field Chemistry and Selected Laboratory Chemistry for Leachate Sampled from the West-Side Anaerobic Cell

5 AEROBIC CELL

The aerobic cell occupies approximately 2.5 acres in the southeast quadrant of Phase 1, Module 6D.

5.1 Experimental

The experimental methods utilized are grouped into three categories: construction, monitoring, and operation. Each of these categories is discussed below.

5.1.1 Construction

Construction of the aerobic cell can be generally broken down into five major tasks: waste placement, liquid addition, gas collection, air injection and surface liner installation. Each of the five tasks is discussed below. Refer to Appendix A, Table 5-1 for a summary of current monitoring data for the aerobic cell.


5.1.1.1 Waste Placement

Waste placement first began November 14, 2000 with an approximate 10-foot lift of waste placed on the Module 6D liner. This first lift of waste will act as a buffer between the Module 6D primary liner and the future aerobic cell. The waste was graded to promote drainage and a 60-mil HDPE geomembrane (Image 5-1) was installed to capture all leachate being generated by the aerobic cell. A sixteen-ounce geotextile was then placed on the membrane to act as a cushion for a shredded tire operations layer.



Image 5-1: Aerobic liner ready for shredded tire operations layer and waste placement

Waste placement in the aerobic cell occurred between August 8, 2001 and September 26, 2001. Waste was placed in three 10-foot lifts with 2:1 side slopes on the north, east and west (internal side slopes), and a 3:1 side slope on the south (external side slope) as presented in Detail 5-1. Because of the limited tipping area of the aerobic cell, self-haul waste was excluded. The use of daily cover soil during waste filling was also minimized to aid in the overall permeability of the waste. Whenever possible, greenwaste or tarps were used as alternative daily cover (ADC) and, in the event soil was placed (for example, access roads or tipping pad), the soil was removed prior to placing the next lift of waste. To further aid permeability of the waste, compaction was restricted to only 1to 2 passes with a Caterpillar 826 compactor. Based on waste tonnage records and as-built topography, the in-place refuse density is approximately 800 pounds per cubic yard. Instrumentation Layers 1 and 2 were placed between lifts, and base layer instrumentation was installed on the aerobic cell base liner. A summary of sensors installed on each layer is provided in Appendix A, Table 5-2.



Detail 5-1. Aerobic Cell Cross Section Cell

5.1.1.2 Liquid Addition

Horizontal liquid injection lines were installed in each lift of waste. Injection lines within the waste (between lifts 1 and 2, 2 and 3) were placed horizontally (north to south) every 20 feet. Injection lines on top of lift 3 were placed east to west every 20 feet. Various combinations of 1¹/₄-inch-diameter chlorinated polyvinyl chloride (CPVC) and 1¹/₄-inch-diameter HDPE pipe were installed and perforated with $^{3}/_{32}$ -inch-diameter holes spaced every 10 feet (Image 5-2). Because of the elevated temperatures expected in the aerobic cell, CPVC was installed a selected locations as a redundancy in the event the HDPE piping fails (CPVC is rated for service at temperatures up to 200°F, however is approximately 4 times as expensive). A total of 4,780 feet of injection piping was installed with a total of 326 injection holes.



Image 5-2: Leachate injection laterals in trench

Each of the injection laterals will be connected to a 4-inch-diameter HDPE injection header. Flow rate and pressure will be monitored at each injection lateral. Leachate injection for each lateral will be monitored and controlled by individual solenoid valves connected to the SCADA system. A second redundant flow meter will monitor the total volume and flow rate being injected in the aerobic cell.

5.1.1.3 Air Collection

Horizontal air collection lines were installed between each lift of waste. Air collection lines consist of various combinations of alternating 4 and 6–inch-diameter CPVC pipe and 6 and 8–inch-diameter corrugated metal pipe (Image 5-3). Each air collection line utilizes shredded tires as the permeable media. The air collection lines between layers are spaced approximately 40 feet apart. A total of 1660 feet of horizontal air collection lines were installed. A summary of the air collection lines for the aerobic cell is shown in Appendix A, Table 5-3.

Each air collection line will be connected to a 12-inch-diameter air collection header that will convey the gas to and on-site blower and biofilter. Each air collection line will incorporate a premanufactured wellhead capable of controlling flow and monitoring flow rate, temperature and pressure. Construction of the blower station commenced in December 2002 and installation of piping from the blower station to the biofilter commenced in February 2003.

5.1.1.4 Surface Liner

Vector was retained to provide design, plans and specifications for a surface lining system, including a biofilter for the treatment of the aerobic off-gas.

Since the operation of an aerobic bioreactor at the Yolo County Central Landfill was first considered, two methods of air management for oxygen delivery have been discussed. One method is to push air into the landfill and the other is to apply a vacuum and draw air through the landfill. Both methods have advantages and disadvantages. However, Yolo County has decided that the best alternative is to leave the aerobic cell covered with soil and greenwaste (shredded yard waste), but without an impermeable geomembrane, so that air could be drawn through the waste by applying a vacuum. In this way, air will enter through the cell surface and migrate to horizontal pipelines to which a vacuum is applied. Alternate operations plans could include using some of the installed pipelines as vents and others for vacuum.

Yolo County had intended to cover the aerobic cell with an exposed geomembrane with a biofilter at the top of the cell to provide some treatment of the off-gas. However, the weight of the geomembrane that would have been placed on the aerobic cell along with the weight of a sandbag surface ballast system would result in a pressure equivalent to only 0.17 inches of water. Calculations indicate that the required pressure present in the cell to force the air through the waste, to the top of the cell, and through the biofilter would result in a great deal of ballooning of the surface liner. Additionally, the expected high settlement rate would create a great deal of maintenance difficulties for the geomembrane surface liner.

Yolo County developed a design for a geomembrane surface liner for the aerobic cell and advertised for bids on the construction. The bids received were very expensive and not within the budget of the project. As a result of both the technical and economic difficulties encountered, it was decided that leaving the aerobic cell without a geomembrane liner is the preferred approach.

5.1.2 Monitoring

Temperature, moisture, leachate quantity and quality, and air pressure and composition are monitored through an array of sensors placed within the waste (Image 5-4) and in the leachate collection and recovery system (LCRS).



Image 5-4: Moisture, temperature, and tube installation

Each sensor location received a temperature sensor (thermistor), a moisture sensor (a PVC moisture sensor and in some cases a gypsum block) and a linear low-density polyethylene (LLDPE) tube. For protection, each wire and tube was encased in a 1.25-inch-diameter HDPE pipe. Refer to Appendix B, Details 5-2 through 5-5 for sensor location diagrams.

Sensors on instrumentation Layers 0.5, 1, and 2 were placed on a bedding of greenwaste (shredded yard waste), or bin fines (fine pieces of greenwaste). Sensors installed on the primary liner (prior to any waste placement) were placed on the geotextile and covered with pea gravel prior to the placement of the shredded tire operations layer.

5.1.2.1 Temperature

Temperature is monitored with thermistors manufactured by Quality Thermistor, Inc. Thermistors with a temperature range of 0°C to 100°C were chosen to accommodate the temperature ranges expected in both the anaerobic and aerobic cells. To prevent corrosion, each thermistor was encased in epoxy and set in a stainless steel sleeve. All field wiring connections were made by first soldering the connection, then covering each solder joint with adhesive-lined heat shrink tubing, and then encasing the joint in electrical epoxy. Changes in temperature are measured by the change in thermistor resistivity (ohms). As temperature increases, thermistor resistance decreases.

5.1.2.2 Moisture

Moisture levels are measured with polyvinyl chloride (PVC) moisture sensors and gypsum blocks. Both the PVC moisture sensors and gypsum blocks are read utilizing the same meter. The PVC sensors are perforated 2-inch-diameter PVC pipes with two stainless steel screws spaced 8 inches apart and attached to wires to form a circuit that includes the gravel filled pipe. The PVC sensors were designed by Yolo County and used successfully during the pilot scale

project. The PVC moisture sensor can provide a general, qualitative assessment of the waste's moisture content. A reading of 0 to 40 equates to no free liquid, 40 to 80 equates to some free liquid, and 80 to 100 means completely saturated conditions.

The gypsum blocks are manufactured by Electronics Unlimited and are typically used for soil moisture determinations in agricultural applications. Gypsum blocks establish equilibrium with the media in which they are placed and are, therefore, reliable at tracking increases in the soil's moisture content. However, the gypsum block can take considerable time to dry and therefore may not reflect the drying of the surrounding environment.

5.1.2.3 Leachate Quantity and Quality

Leachate that is generated from the aerobic cell will drain to a separate leachate sump installed on top of the eastside Module D leachate collection sump (Image 5-5). A dedicated pump is then used to remove the leachate and pump it to one of the on-site leachate storage ponds. A flow meter will measure rate and total volume pumped from the sump.



Image 5-5: Aerobic sump installed and ready for backfill

Leachate is monitored for the following field parameters: pH, electrical conductivity, dissolved oxygen, oxidation-reduction potential, and temperature. When leachate is generated in sufficient quantities, the following parameters will be analyzed by a laboratory: dissolved solids, biochemical oxygen demand, chemical oxygen demand, organic carbon, nutrients (NH₃, TKN, TP), common ions, heavy metals and organic priority pollutants. For the first year, monitoring will be conducted monthly for the first six months and quarterly for the following six months. After the first year, monitoring will be conducted semi-annually (pH, conductivity, and flow rate will continue to be monitored on a monthly basis as required by the State of California's amended Waste Discharge Requirements in Order 5-00-134).

5.1.2.4 Pressure

Pressure within the aerobic cell is monitored with $\frac{1}{4}$ -inch inner diameter and $\frac{3}{8}$ -inch outer diameter LLDPE sampling tubes. Each tube can be attached to a pressure gage and supplemental air source. By first purging the tube with the air source (to remove any liquid blockages), and then reading the pressure, an accurate gas and/or water pressure can be measured at each sensor location.

5.1.2.5 Landfill Gas Composition and Flow

Landfill gas composition and flow are measured from the pre-manufactured well heads utilizing a GEM-500 combustible gas meter, manufactured by LANDTEC. The GEM-500 is capable of measuring methane (either as a percent by volume or percent of the lower explosive limit), carbon dioxide, and oxygen. A reading for "balance" gas is also provided, which is assumed to be nitrogen.

5.1.2.6 Waste Sampling

Yolo County conducted the first waste sampling event for the aerobic cell on June 5, 2002. Waste was sampled to quantify the methane generation potential of the waste. Waste was drilled to an approximate depth of 30 feet with samples taken at 5-foot intervals. Waste will be sampled from the aerobic cell annually for the next two years to monitor the progress of waste decomposition and compare actual methane generation to laboratory methane generation.

5.1.2.7 Surface Scan

Under current federal guidelines (40 CFR 60.752), landfills exceeding a specific size must monitor for methane surface emissions and any reading in excess of 500 PPM (40 CFR 60.755 (c)) requires corrective action to be taken. The Yolo County Central Landfill is not currently required to test for methane surface emissions, however, as part of the FPA, the County has proposed to conduct quarterly surface scans to demonstrate the emissions (or lack of) from a controlled bioreactor landfill.

Methane concentrations are monitored with a model TVA-1000 Flame Ionization Detector (FID)/ Photo Ionization Detector (PID) instrument. Under the FID setting, the TVA-1000 is capable of detecting methane in the parts-per-million (PPM) range and has an accuracy of ± 2.5 PPM or 25 percent of the reading, whichever is greater. In the event significant methane was detected, the unit could be switched to PID mode to detect volatile organic compounds (VOC). Methane surface concentrations are monitored along the perimeter of the collection area and along a pattern that transverses the landfill at 15 meter intervals. Due to high winds and inclement weather, the surface scan scheduled for December 2002 was postponed until January 2003. The March 2003 aerobic cell surface scan was postponed until April 2003 due to an insufficient supply of hydrogen (needed for the FID) shipped with the instrument. A summary of the surface scans performed on the aerobic cell is presented below in Table 5-4.

Surface Scan No.	Date	Max. Emissions Detected	Location of Max. Emissions
1	April 3, 2002	No fugitive	Not applicable
		emissions	
		detected	
2	June 6, 2002	8 ppm	Along the western perimeter of the cell
3	September 20, 2002	3 ppm	South face of the cell near the leachate collection sump
4	January 7, 2003	0.9 ppm	South face of the cell along a gas collection lateral.

Table 5-4. Summary of Surface Scans Performed on the Aerobic Cell

The extremely low surface emissions detected from the aerobic cell are not surprising given the low moisture content of the waste (very little water has been added) and full scale operation of the cell has not commenced. Once operation begins, future surface scans should be able to demonstrate the surface emission potential of an aerobic bioreactor landfill.

The detection of surface emissions may also be due to landfill operations in nearby areas. While background concentrations were monitored prior to conducting the surface scan, changes in wind currents could have transported methane from adjacent areas. During June 2002 and September 2002, grading and waste filling activities in the adjacent west-side 6-acre area could have promoted the detection of gas emissions in the aerobic cell. Additionally, activities from Module D Phase II construction (which involved exposing waste form an adjacent unit to facilitate base liner installation) could have promoted the detection of gas emissions during the September 2002 surface scan. The surface emissions detected on the south face in January 2003 was due to a loose flex hose along a gas collection lateral that was immediately tightened.

The true methane emissions detected are also a function of the accuracy of the surface scan equipment. The TVA-1000 FID instrument has an accuracy of ± 25 percent of reading or ± 2.5 ppm, whichever is greater, from 1.0 to 10,000 ppm. Thus many of the surface emissions are outside (below) the accuracy range and thereby assumed to be negligible.

5.1.3 Operation

Operation of the aerobic cell as a bioreactor will begin once the air collection system, leachate recirculation systems, and SCADA control systems are complete. At this time, we anticipate bioreactor operation to begin by the end of June 2003.

5.1.3.1 Leachate Recirculation

Initially, large volumes of liquid will be added to bring the waste to field capacity (Image 5-6). Once field capacity has been reached, only enough liquid to maintain field capacity will be added. We anticipate that greater volumes of liquid (compared to the anaerobic cells) will be necessary to maintain field capacity due to the removal of liquid by the air collection system.



Image 5-6: Aerobic leachate injection header and lateral

5.1.3.2 Air Collection

Air collection will begin as soon as the necessary piping, blower, and biofilter is installed, which is anticipated to be in May 2003.

5.2 Results And Discussion

Sensor names are represented numerically by the instrumentation layer in which the sensor is located and by the assigned sensor number. The base layer is represented by a 0, Layer 1 is represented by a 1, and so forth. The complete name of the sensor is denoted by the layer number – the sensor number . For example, the second sensor on Layer 1 is named 1-02.

5.2.1 Temperature


Temperature is monitored with thermistors manufactured by Quality Thermistor, Inc. Thermistors with a temperature range of 0°C to 100°C were chosen so they would be able to accommodate the temperature ranges expected in both the anaerobic and aerobic cells. Resistance was measured by the SCADA system located in the instrumentation shed starting in March 2002. Resistance was previously measured manually by connecting the sensor wires to a 26 III Multimeter manufactured by Fluke Corporation.

Temperature results are presented in Appendix C, Figures 5-1 to 5-4. A summary of the results is presented below in Table 5-5 and Figure 5-5.

		ous Reporting Pe 0/1/02 to 12/31/02		Current Reporting Period (01/01/03 to 03/31/03)			
Layer	Minimum Temp. (°C)	Maximum Temp. (°C)	Average Temp. (°C)	Minimum Temp. (°C)	Maximum Temp. (°C)	Average Temp. (°C)	
0	30.6	61.9	44.3	25.4	61.2	41.6	
0.5	50.2	61.3	55.1	47.3	61.5	54.4	
1	35.7	73.2	54.4	17.4	72.1	51.0	
2	44.2	72.4	53.0	34.5	72.2	51.7	

 Table 5-5.
 Temperature Summary for the Aerobic Cell

Figure 5-5. Average Temperatures for the Aerobic Cell



5.2.2 Moisture


The SCADA system started electronically measuring moisture in March 2002. Moisture was previously measured manually with a Model MM 4 moisture meter manufactured by Electronics Unlimited. During the pilot scale project, Yolo County conducted laboratory tests with the PVC sensors to determine the relationship between the multimeter readings and the presence of free liquid in the PVC sensor. It was determined that a meter reading of less than 40 corresponded to an absence of free liquid. A reading between 40 and 80 corresponds to the presence of free liquid in the PVC pipe but less than saturated conditions. Readings of greater than 80 indicate saturated conditions; i.e. the PVC sensor is full of liquid.

PVC moisture results are presented in Appendix C, Figures 5-6 to 5-9. A summary of the results is presented below in Table 5-6 and Figure 5-10.

		ious Reporting Peri 0/1/02 to 12/31/02)	iod	Current Reporting Period (01/01/03 to 03/31/03)			
Layer	Minimum Moisture	Maximum Moisture	Average Moisture	Minimum Moisture	Maximum Moisture	Average Moisture	
0	3.0	94.8	31.5	3.2	46.9	94.8	
0.5	76.3	94.1	79.1	80.2	94.4	87.2	
1	10.6	90.2	46.8	10.3	89.9	56.1	
2	7.0	89.9	49.3	6.2	90.6	58.9	

Table 5-6. PVC Moisture Summary for the Aerobic Cell





5.2.3 Leachate Quantity And Quality

Leachate was last sampled in May 2002 for analytical testing. Analytical results are presented in Appendix E, Table 5-7. Field chemistry and selected analytical results are presented below in Table 5-8. Leachate will be sampled on a monthly basis once liquid addition commences.

PARAMETER	DATE:	2/26/2002	3/27/2002	5/14/2002
Field Parameters:	Units			
РН		7.75	8.17	8.48
Electrical Conductivity	µmoh/cm	7026	7705	9048
Oxidation Reduction Potential	MV	195	195	127
Temperature	C	15.1	15.2	21.1
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	5.45	5.73	6.8
Total Dissolved Solids	ppm	5673	NA	7448
General Chemistry:				
Ammonia as N	mg/L	2.8	1.1	0.60(tr)
Bicarbonate	mg/L	1120	935	1020
BOD	mg O/L	3.3	5	89
Chemical Oxygen Demand	mg O/L	595	563	602
Chloride	mg/L	1610	1800	2290
Nitrate/Nitrite as N	mg/L	0.16	0.22	4.8(tr)
Total (Non-Volatile) Organic Carbon	mg/L	766	149	168
Total Alkalinity as CaCO3	mg/L	1120	935	1050
Total Dissolved Solids @ 180 C	mg/L	4810	5200	5640
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/L	19.9	19.2	11.1
Total Sulfide	mg/L	< 0.014	0.015(tr)	< 0.014
Dissolved Iron	mg/L	0.32	0.084(tr)	0.34
Dissolved Magnesium	mg/L	273	260	220
Dissolved Potassium	mg/L	NA	66.1	47.8

Table 5-8. Field Chemistry for Leachate Sampled from the Aerobic Cell

NA=Not Analyzed

Analytical results show an increase in several parameters such as BOD, sulfate, chloride, and several metals (i.e. barium, calcium, and manganese, etc.). However, there has also been a decline in ammonia, total organic carbon, and several metals including magnesium, sodium, and VOC's. Additional data is needed for a thorough assessment of the leachate parameters. When leachate is generated in more sufficient quantities, it will be sampled for analytical testing.

6 MODULE 6D BASE LINER

The three bioreactor cells share a common composite liner system, designated the Module 6D primary liner. This composite liner system was constructed in 1999 and was designed to exceed the requirements of Title 27 of CCR and Subtitle D of the Federal guidelines.

6.1 Experimental


The experimental methods utilized are grouped into two categories: construction and monitoring. Each of these categories is discussed below.

6.1.1 Construction

Construction of the Module 6D primary liner system can generally be separated into two tasks: grading and base liner assembly.

6.1.1.1 Grading

The base layer of Module D was constructed in a ridge and swale configuration, enabling the west-side 6-acre anaerobic cell to be hydraulically separated from the northeast anaerobic cell and the aerobic cell in the southeast quadrant. The base layer slopes 2 percent inward to two central collection v-notch trenches located on the southeast and southwest side of Module D (Detail 6-1). Each of the trenches drain at 1 percent to their respective leachate collection sumps located at the south side of the module.






6.1.1.2 Base Liner Assembly

The liner is composed, from top to bottom, of the following materials: an operations/drainage layer consisting of 2 feet of chipped tires (permeability [k] > 1 centimeter per second [cm/s]) (Image 6-1), 6-inches of pea gravel, geocomposite drain net, a 60-mil high density polyethylene



Image 6-1: Shredded tire operations layer

(HDPE) geomembrane, a 2–foot-thick compacted clay liner (k < 6 x 10^{-9} cm/s), 3 feet of compacted earth fill (k < 1 x 10^{-8} cm/s), a 40-mil HDPE vapor barrier layer, and a clay subgrade with 90-percent (ASTM D1557) relative compaction³ (Detail 6-2).



Detail 6-2. Module D Bottom Liner Cross-Section

6.1.2 Monitoring

Temperature, moisture, and pressure are monitored through an array of sensors placed within the waste and in the leachate collection and recovery system (LCRS). Each sensor location on the base layer received a temperature sensor (thermistor), a linear low-density polyethylene (LLDPE) tube, and selected locations received a PVC moisture sensor. For protection, each wire and tube was encased in either a 1.25-inch HDPE pipe or run inside the LFG collection piping. Refer to Appendix B, Detail 6-3 for sensor location diagram.

Sensors installed on the primary liner (prior to any waste placement) were placed on geocomposite and covered with pea gravel prior to the placement of the chipped tire operations layer. A summary of sensors installed on the base liner is provided in Appendix A, Table 6-1.

As part of the requirements specified under Waste Discharge Requirements in Order 5-00-134, Yolo County is required to monitor liquid buildup on the liner. Under typical landfilling, liquid buildup on a Class III composite liner system must be maintained to less than 1 foot. In order to gain approval from the California Regional Water Quality Control Board to operate Module 6D as a bioreactor, Yolo County must maintain less than 4-inches of liquid buildup on the Module 6D primary liner⁴. Head over the liner is monitored through a series of pressure transducers and sampling tubes either in or next to the leachate collection trenches (Appendix C, Figure 6-10). In

³ Golder Associates, "Final Report, Construction Quality Assurance, Yolo County Central Landfill, WMU 6, Module D, Phase 1 Expansion", December 1999.

⁴ California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region, "Waste Discharge Requirements for the Yolo County Central Landfill, No. 5-00-134", June 16, 2000.

addition, sampling tubes located on the Module 6D liner (designations 0-1 through 0-66) are utilized to monitor head over the liner.

6.1.2.1 Temperature

Temperature is monitored with thermistors manufactured by Quality Thermistor, Inc. Thermistors with a temperature range of 0°C to 100°C were chosen to accommodate the temperature ranges expected in both the anaerobic and aerobic cells. To prevent corrosion, each thermistor was encased in epoxy and set in a stainless steel sleeve. All field wiring connections were made by first soldering the connection, then covering each solder joint with adhesive-lined heat shrink tubing, and then encasing the joint in electrical epoxy. Changes in temperature are measured by the change in thermistor resistivity (ohms). As temperature increases, thermistor resistance decreases.

6.1.2.2 Moisture

Moisture levels are measured with polyvinyl chloride (PVC) moisture sensors and gypsum blocks. Both the PVC moisture sensors and gypsum blocks are read utilizing the same meter. The PVC sensors are perforated 2-inch-diameter PVC pipes with two stainless steel screws spaced 8 inches apart and attached to wires to form a circuit that includes the gravel filled pipe. The PVC sensors were designed by Yolo County and used successfully during the pilot scale project. The PVC moisture sensor can provide a general, qualitative assessment of the waste's moisture content. A reading of 0 to 40 equates to no free liquid, 40 to 80 equates to some free liquid, and 80 to 100 means completely saturated conditions.

6.1.2.3 Leachate Collection Trenches

Three LLDPE sampling tubes were installed in each of the leachate collection trenches (Image 6-2). The tubes were installed inside a 2-inch-diameter PVC pipe for protection, and terminate at different points along the trenches. The sampling tubes can be hooked up to the same "Magnahelic" pressure gage, which reads directly in inches-of-water.

Pressure transducers were installed at three locations adjacent to each leachate collection trench. Additionally, tubes were installed that terminate adjacent to each of the pressure transducer locations (Appendix B. Detail 6-2). The pressure transducers provide an output current between 4 and 20 milliamps, which is directly proportional to pressure. The pressure transducers installed on the Module 6D liner are Model PTX 1830 manufactured by Druck, Inc. Their pressure range is 0 to 1 pounds per square inch (psi) and has+0 an accuracy of ± 1 percent of full scale.




Image 6-2: Pressure tubes installed in LCRS trench

6.2 Results And Discussion

Tubes located in the leachate collection trenches are referred to as trench liquid level (TLL) tubes. Pressure transducers and their accompanying tubes that are located adjacent to the leachate collection trenches are denoted as PT or PT-TUBE respectively.

6.2.1 Temperature

Temperature is monitored with thermistors manufactured by Quality Thermistor, Inc. Thermistors with a temperature range of 0°C to 100°C were chosen so they would be able to accommodate the temperature ranges expected in both the anaerobic and aerobic cells. Resistance was measured by the SCADA system located in the instrumentation shed starting in March 2002. Resistance was previously measured manually by connecting the sensor wires to a 26 III Multimeter manufactured by Fluke Corporation.

Temperature results are presented in Appendix C, Figures 6-1 to 6-4. A summary of the results is presented below in Table 6-1 and Figure 6-5.

		ious Reporting P (10/1/02-12/31/02		Current Reporting Period (01/01/03 to 03/31/03)			
Location	Minimum Temp. (°C)	Maximum Temp. (°C)	Average Temp. (°C)	Minimum Temp. (°C)	Maximum Temp. (°C)	Average Temp. (°C)	
Northwest	11.3	30.6	27.3	8.2	30.1	24.0	
Southwest	18.6	30.2	26.1	15.8	29.1	24.0	
Northeast	13.5	29.8	24.5	12.2	30.8	25.2	
Southeast	13.0	33.5	24.1	10.2	34.5	24.1	

 Table 6-1. Temperature Summary for the Base Liner




Figure 6-5. Average Temperatures on the Base Liner


6.2.2 Moisture

The SCADA system started electronically measuring moisture in March 2002. Due to a slight variation between how the SCADA system measures moisture compared to the manual meter, moisture readings generally increased a small fraction relative to their previous manually recorded readings. Because moisture data are unitless numbers that give a qualitative assessment rather than a quantitative measure, we feel that this slight change is not significant. Moisture was previously measured manually with a Model MM 4 moisture meter manufactured by Electronics Unlimited. During the pilot scale project, Yolo County conducted laboratory tests with the PVC sensors to determine the relationship between the multimeter readings and the presence of free liquid in the PVC sensor. It was determined that a meter reading of less than 40 corresponded to an absence of free liquid. A reading between 40 and 80 corresponds to the presence of free liquid in the PVC pipe but less than saturated conditions. Readings of greater than 80 indicate saturated conditions; i.e. the PVC sensor is full of liquid.

Moisture results are presented in Appendix C, Figures 6-6 to 6-8. A summary of the results is presented below in Table 6-2 and in Figure 6-9.

		ous Reporting 1 0/1/02 to 12/31/		Current Reporting Period (01/01/03 to 03/31/03)		
Location	Minimum Moisture	Maximum Moisture	Average Moisture	Minimum Moisture	Maximum Moisture	Average Moisture
West-Side	4.0	19.9	6.3	6.5	20.3	9.2
Northeast	22.3	94.4	42.5	26.6	88.2	47.5
Southeast	8.3	88.2	48.2	8.8	88.2	48.9

Figure 6-9. Average Moisture Levels on the Base Liner



6.2.3 Leachate Collection Trenches

Liquid level data adjacent to the leachate collection trenches is presented in Appendix C, Figure 6-10. Pressure transducers three and four shows increasing liquid levels that are not supported by data from other sensors. During the next quarter, pressure transducers three and four will be removed and tested. A summary of the results is presented below in Table 6-3.

		ous Reporting l /01/02 to 12/31/		Current Reporting Period (01/01/03 to 03/31/03)			
Pressure Transducer	Min. Level (In. of Water)	Max. Level (In. of Water)	Avg. Level (In. of Water)	Min. Level (In. of Water)	Max. Level (In. of Water)	Avg. Level (In. of Water)	
1	0.25	0.34	0.31	0.22	0.40	0.30	
2	0.26	0.43	0.28	0.32	0.62	0.46	
3	0.95	1.99	0.95	1.4	2.02	1.85	
4	0.00	0.22	0.11	0.01	1.55	0.43	
5	0.31	0.47	0.39	0.29	0.48	0.37	
6	0.00	0.16	0.14	0.01	0.15	0.04	

Table 6-3. Leachate Level Summary for the Base Liner

7 SUPERVISORY CONTROL AND DATA ACQUISITION SYSTEM (SCADA)

The Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) system is used to monitor the various sensors and control the operation of the bioreactor. The field electronics are linked by radio signal to a computer located in our Woodland office.

7.1 Hardware Installation

The data collection hardware has been installed in a shed located at the southern limit of Module 6D. All instrumentation installed in the northeast anaerobic, west-side anaerobic, aerobic, and on the Module 6D composite liner will be connected to an Allen-Bradley central processor which is radio linked to a computer located in our woodland office.




Image 7-1: Completed hardware installation

7.2 Software Programming

The SCADA programming using Wonderware software is currently being developed by a consultant, A-TEEM Electrical Engineering. The first phase of the software development is

complete and encompasses data collection from the instrumentation installed on the Module 6D liner, northeast anaerobic, and south

east aerobic modules. Once the remaining instrumentation in the west-side anaerobic cell has been run the shed, it will be incorporated into the system. The following images provide an overview of the SCADA programming.




Image 7-2: SCADA overview screen. From here you can access each layer within the bioreactor cells.




Image 7-3: NE anaerobic cell, layer 2 screen depicting temperature and moisture data.




Image 7-4: Piping overview screen, displaying flows from the various meters. This screen can also be used to access leachate injection controls.

LEURA	140	-	Concept of	Statute of	Subjective and P	Control Control Control Control Anti-American Manager
4641	See.		10.00	10.03	Colores The	TAXABLE PARTY INCOME.
Bene F	-		10.00	10.02	1.000	Link sund Law (Long
7000			18.87	1000	1.000	
Take #	-	-	10.01	10.000	and some the	Date of the other data and the other data
Sec. 1	-		10.00	STOCK ST	and some the	
	FIT IS					

Image 7-5: NE anaerobic bioreactor layer 3 and 4 leachate injection control.

	Cran	iral Lan	alidi				Ref
AN RET, C 37.8	_	An	aerobic Historia	Temperatu al Trend	re	ANT-DET C	454370 31.0070
18.5. S. 18.5.		AN1-17T_C	40.41 %	AUTOT C	43.01 %	ANI-00T_C	48.8510
							-
							-
							-
							-

Image 7-6: Historical data can be graphed and displayed directly on the screen.

	1991 X 119 X	Barra Barra Harra Barra Barra Barra Barra Barra Barra Barra	8.00 8.00 8.00 8.00 8.00 8.00 8.00
alini	NAMES ADDRESS SAMES	11.001 12 0.001 12 11.001 12 0.000 12	

Image 7-7: Historical data export screen. Data is exported to a database for manipulation and graphing

8 CONCLUSION

With the construction complete, and full-scale operation underway, the response of the northeast anaerobic cell is generally as expected. Moisture sensors are indicating that injected liquid is being distributed relatively uniformly. Temperatures within the cell are normal and within the range necessary for anaerobic decomposition.

Gas production has steadily increased over time (and we expect it to continue to increase over the next year). Methane totaling 22.3×10^6 scf has been removed from 65,104 tons of waste in the northeast cell and 5.3×10^6 scf has been removed from 166,294 tons of waste in the west-side cell. VOC levels within the landfill gas from the northeast cell have decreased and surface emissions from the module are virtually non-existent.

Fugitive surface emissions from the aerobic cell remain extremely low. Because liquid addition has not commenced in the aerobic cell, its full potential at eliminating fugitive surface emissions has yet to be evaluated. Additionally, methane surface emissions (minus background readings) from the northeast 3.5-acre cell are extremely low, and essentially negligible. Two major items that are responsible for this effective control of surface emissions are the following: 1) The installation of a synthetic cover over the entire cell, and 2) The use of an active landfill gas extraction system. Higher methane emissions have been detected from the west-side anaerobic cell. These emissions are attributed to the west-side cell undergoing waste placement during the surface scans performed from June 2002 to September 2002 and emissions due to unsealed areas were piping penetrates the surface liner. We expect to detect lower fugitive surface emissions in the future due to the completion of the gas extraction system.

Final construction of the aerobic blower facilities continued during this reporting period. The components of the aerobic blower station have been installed and the electrical work associated with the blower station have been completed. Installation of piping from the blower station to the biofilter began in February 2003 and construction of the biofilter is scheduled to begin in April 2003.

9 **REFERENCES**

- 1. Vector Engineering, "Design Report for the Surface Liners of the Module D Phase 1 Bioreactors at the Yolo County Central Landfill", October 2001.
- Yazdani, R., Moore, R. Dahl. K. and D. Augenstein 1998 Yolo County Controlled Landfill Bioreactor Project. Yolo County Public Works and I E M, Inc. Yolo County Public Works and I E M, Inc. report to the Urban Consortium Energy Foundation (UCETF) and the Western Regional Biomass Energy Program, USDOE.
- 3. Golder Associates, "Final Report, Construction Quality Assurance, Yolo County Central Landfill, WMU 6, Module D, Phase 1 Expansion", December 1999.
- 4. California Regional Water Quality Control Board, Central Valley Region, "Waste Discharge Requirements for the Yolo County Central Landfill, No. 5-00-134", June 16, 2000.
- 5. Yolo County, IEM, SWANA, EPA, Final Project Agreement for the Yolo County Accelerated Anaerobic and Aerobic Composting (Bioreactor) Project, September 14, 2000.
- 6. Tchobanoglous et al, "Integrated Solid Waste Management, Engineering Principles and management Issues", McGraw-Hill, 1993.

APPENDIX A – EPA XL SCHEDULE AND SUMMARY OF MATERIALS INSTALLED

	Project Task	Delivery Date
٠	RWQCB approved the revised Waste Discharge Requirement Permit	June 22, 2000
٠	Final draft FPA circulated to stakeholders for comments	June 22, 2000
•	Comments received for Final Project Agreement (FPA)	July 3, 2000
•	Instrumentation installation began	
•	Finalize FPA and distribute for signature	July 21, 2000
•	All parties sign FPA document	September, 2000
•	Final Rule for Yolo County XL Project published in Federal Register	August 30, 2001
•	First lift of waste completed in the southeast corner of Module 6D. This lift of waste is to be used as the foundation layer for the aerobic cell liner.	January 2001
•	Waste placement begins in the northeast 3.5 acre anaerobic bioreactor	January 2001
٠	Begin monitoring temperature and moisture of waste	January 2001
•	Begin waste placement in west 6-acre anaerobic cell (waste placement alternates between the west and northeast anaerobic bioreactors and the aerobic bioreactor to facilitate placement of instrumentation, piping, etc.)	March 2001
•	Completed construction of aerobic cell liner and begin waste placement in aerobic cell	July 2001
•	Complete the following for the northeast anaerobic 3.5-acre cell: waste placement, instrumentation, leachate injection system, air injection system, and gas and leachate monitoring	September 2001
•	Complete the following for the aerobic bioreactor: waste placement, instrumentation, data acquisition and control system, leachate injection system, air management system, gas and leachate monitoring	June 2003
•	Begin liquid addition to the northeast 3.5-acre anaerobic cell	November 2001
•	Begin liquid addition and air injection in aerobic bioreactor	June 2003
•	Complete the following for the west anaerobic 6-acre cell: waste placement, instrumentation, data acquisition and control system, leachate injection system, gas collection system, gas and leachate monitoring, and cover system	October 2002
٠	Begin liquid injection in the west side 6-acre anaerobic bioreactor	June 2003
•	Data collection and reporting will continue	On-going until waste stabilization is complete, but dependent on sustained funding levels

Table 1-1. Revised Project XL Delivery Schedule

Description	Data
Footprint	3.4 acres
Average Waste Depth	35 feet
Construction of the Base Liner	1999
Waste Filling of Cells	1/13/2001 - 8/3/2001
Total # of Waste Lifts	4
Total Amount of Waste	65,104 tons
Total Amount of Greenwaste ADC ¹	11,060 tons
Volume of Soil Within the Waste Mass ²	5,970 cubic yards
As-Placed Biodegradable Waste Tonnage ³	29,600 tons
As-Placed Biodegradable Greenwaste ADC Tonnage ³	7,700 tons
Ratio of Waste to Greenwaste ADC	5.9 to 1
Ratio of Waste to Greenwaste ADC and Soil	3.4 to 1
Average Density of Waste	1,162 pounds per cubic yard, lbs/cy
	(does not include soil or ADC)
Total # of Horizontal Gas Collection Lines ⁴	17 Spacing of approximately
Layer 1	6 40 feet on center
Layer 2	5
Layer 3	3
Layer 4	3
Total # of Liquid Addition Lines (HDPE Pipe) ⁵	25 Spacing of approximately
Layer 1	8 40 feet on center
Layer 2	7
Layer 3	5
Layer 4	5
Total Amount of Liquid Addition Piping	7,990 feet
Layer 1	3,080 feet
Layer 2	2,450 feet
Layer 3	1,500 feet
Layer 4	960 feet
Total # of 3/32 inch Diameter Holes in Injection Line	337
Layer 1	145
Layer 2	93
Layer 3	55
Layer 4	44
Surface Liner	36-mil ⁶ Reinforced Polypropylene

Table 3-1. Summary of Data for the Northeast Anaerobic Cell

¹ADC-Alternative Daily Cover ²This is an estimate

³Calculated using biodegradable fractions from Tchobanoglous et, al. (1993)

⁴Refer to Table 3 for a complete description of gas collection lines
 ⁵High Density Polyethylene, HDPE
 ⁶1-mil is equivalent to 0.001 inches and refers to the thickness of the liner

Type of Instrumentation	FPA Proposed Location/Quantity/Spacing	Northeast Anaerobic Cell Actual Location/Quantity/Spacing	West-Side Anaerobic Cell Actual Location/Quantity/Spacing
Bubbler Gage for Liquid/Gas Pressure	1. Top of the first lift of waste- 55 gages	 Top of the first lift of waste- 15 gages at 75 feet spacing 	1. Top of the first lift of waste- 6 gages at various spacing
Measurement and Liquid/Gas Sampling	2. Top of the second lift of waste-40 gages	 Top of the second lift of waste-13 gages at 75 feet spacing 	2. Top of the second lift of waste-7 gages at various spacings
	3. Top of the third lift of waste-30 gages	3. Top of the third lift of waste- 13 gages at 75 feet spacing	3. Top of the third lift of waste- no gages
	4. Top of the final lift of waste-20 gages	4. Top of the final lift of waste- no gages	4. Top of the final lift of waste- no gages
	TOTAL= 145 gages	TOTAL= 41 gages	TOTAL= 13
Moisture and Temperature Sensors	1. Top of the first lift of waste-55 temperature and moisture sensors	1. Top of the first lift of waste-18 temperature and 18 moisture sensors at 75 feet spacing	1. Top of the first lift of waste-6 temperature and 6 moisture sensors at various spacings
	2. Top of the second lift of waste-40 temperature and moisture sensors	 Top of the second lift of waste-16 temperature and 39 moisture sensors at 75 feet spacing 	2. Top of the second lift of waste-43 temperature and 43 moisture sensors at various spacings
	3. Top of the third lift of waste-30 temperature and moisture sensors	3. Top of the third lift of waste-13 temperature and 13 moisture sensors at 75 feet spacing	3. Top of the third lift of waste-14 temperature and 14 moisture sensors at various spacings
	4. Top of the final lift of waste-20 temperature sensors	4. Top of the final lift of waste- no sensors	4. Top of the final lift of waste- no sensors
	TOTAL= 145 temperature sensors and 125 moisture sensors	TOTAL= 47 temperature sensors and 70 moisture sensors	TOTAL= 63 temperature sensors and 63 moisture sensors

Table 3-2. Summary of Sensors for the Anaerobic Cells

Because the original project was altered from constructing one 9.5-acre anaerobic cell to constructing two anaerobic cells, one occupying 6-acres and one occupying 3.5-acres, waste placement area was lost in the valley separating the two anaerobic cells. This resulted in the installation of fewer sensors over the 9.5-acre area than initially proposed.

Gas Collection Line ¹	Description	Spacing
1-G1	Alternating 4 and 6 inch schedule 80 PVC ² .	50' from west toe
1-G2	Shredded tires with pipe at ends. The north end is 40 feet of schedule 40 PVC with a 10 foot section of 3 inch perforated schedule 80 PVC. The south end is 40 feet of 4 inch schedule 80 PVC, 5 feet of 3 inch schedule 80 PVC, and 10 feet of perforated HDPE.	40' from 1-G1-NE
1-G3	Alternating 4 and 6 inch schedule 80 PVC.	40' from 1-G2-NE
1-G4	Shredded tires with PVC pipe at ends. The south end is 40 feet of 4 inch schedule 80 PVC and 10 feet of 6 inch schedule 80 PVC. The north end is 40 feet of 4 inch schedule 40 PVC.	40' from 1-G3-NE
1-G5	Shredded tires with PVC pipes at ends. The south end is 40 feet of 4 inch schedule 80 PVC, 10 feet of 6 inch schedule 80 PVC, 20 feet of 4 inch schedule 80 PVC, and 5 feet of 24 inch corrugated HDPE. The north end is 40 feet of 4 inch schedule 40 PVC.	40' from 1-G4-NE
1-G6	Shredded tires with PVC pipes at ends. The south end is 40 feet of 4 inch schedule 80 PVC, 20 feet of 3 inch perforated schedule 80 PVC, 10 feet of 6 inch schedule 80, and 20 feet of 3 inch perforated schedule 80 PVC. The north end is 40 feet of 4 inch schedule 40 PVC.	40' from 1-G5-NE
2-G1	Shredded tires with PVC pipes at ends. The south end is 40 feet of 4 inch schedule 80, 10 feet of 6 inch schedule 80, and 10 feet of 4 inch schedule 80 PVC. The north end is 40 feet of 4 inch schedule 40 PVC.	30' from West toe
2-G2	Alternating 4 and 6 inch schedule 80 PVC pipe for the entire length with 40 feet of 4 inch at the north and south end.	40' from 2-G1-NE
2-G3	Shredded tires with PVC pipe at the ends. The north end is 40 feet of 4 inch schedule 40 PVC. The south end 40 feet of 4 inch schedule 80 PVC, 20 feet of 3 inch schedule 80 PVC, 10 feet of 6 inch schedule 80 PVC, and 20 feet 3 inch perforated schedule 80 PVC.	40' from 2-G2-NE
2-G4	Alternating 6 and 3 inch schedule 80 PVC pipe. The south end is 4 inch schedule 80 PVC and the north end is 4 inch schedule 40 PVC.	40' from 2-G3-NE
2-G5	Shredded tires with pipe at the ends. The north end is 40 feet of 4 inch schedule 40 PVC. The south end is 40 feet of 4 inch schedule 80 PVC, 20 feet of 3 inch schedule 80 PVC, 20 feet of 4 inch schedule 80 PVC, and 10 feet of 12 inch corrugated HDPE ³ .	40' from 2-G4-NE
3-G1	Shredded tires with PVC pipe at the ends. The north end is 40 feet of 4 inch schedule 40 PVC. The south end is 40 feet 4 inch schedule 80 and 20 feet of 8 inch schedule 40.	45' from west toe
3-G2	Shredded tires with PVC pipe at the ends. The north end is 40 feet of 4 inch schedule 40 VC. The south end is 40 feet of 4 inch schedule 80 PVC, 20 feet of 8 inch HDPE, and 40 feet of 6 inch HDPE.	45' from 3-G1-NE
3-G3	Shredded tires with PVC pipe at the ends. The north end is 40 feet of 4 inch schedule 40 PVC. The south end is 40 feet of 4 inch schedule 80 PVC, 20 feet of 6 inch schedule 40 PVC, and 10 feet of 12 inch corrugated HDPE.	35' from 3-G2-NE

Table 3-3. Summary of Gas Collection Lines for the Northeast Anaerobic Cell

¹Gas Collection Line Nomenclature: Layer # - G (for gas) and gas line # ²Polyvinyl chloride, PVC ³High Density Polyethylene, HDPE

Description	Data
Footprint	6 acres
Average Waste Depth	35 feet
Construction of the Base Liner	1999
Waste Filling of Cells	3/8/2001 - 8/31/2002
Total # of Waste Lifts	4
Total Amount of Waste	166,294 tons
Total Amount of Greenwaste ADC ¹	27,570 tons
Total # of Horizontal Gas Collection Lines ²	18 Spacing of approximately
Layer 1	0 80 feet on center
Layer 2	9 (Layer 4 spacing of
Layer 3	7 approximately 50 feet)
Layer 4	2
Total # of Liquid Addition Lines (HDPE Pipe) ³	27 Spacings vary
Layer 1	0
Layer 2	17
Layer 3	7
Layer 4	3
Total Amount of Liquid Addition Piping	7,185 feet
Layer 1	0 feet
Layer 2	4,350 feet
Layer 3	1,185 feet
Layer 4	1,650 feet
Total # of 3/32 and 1/8 inch Diameter Holes in Injection Line	321
Layer 1	0
Layer 2	122
Layer 3	62
Layer 4	137
Surface Liner	40-mil ⁴ LLDPE ⁵ geomembrane

Table 4-1. Summary of Data for the West-Side Anaerobic Cell

¹ADC-Alternative Daily Cover ²Refer to Table 3 for a complete description of gas collection lines ³High Density Polyethylene, HDPE ⁴1-mil is equivalent to 0.001 inches and refers to the thickness of the liner ⁵ Linear Low Density Polyproplyene

Gas Collection Line ¹	Description	Spacing
2-G1	Shredded tires with pipe at ends. The east end is 45 feet of 4 inch schedule 80 PVC^2 , 10 feet of 6 inch schedule 80 PVC, and 10 feet of 4 inch schedule 80 PVC. The west end is 50 feet of 4 inch schedule 80 PVC, 10 feet of 6 inch schedule 80 PVC, and 10 feet of 4 inch schedule 80 PVC.	80' from 2-G2
2-G2	Shredded tires with pipe at ends. The east end is 40 feet of 4 inch schedule 40 PVC, 10 feet of 6 inch schedule 80 PVC, and 10 feet of 4 inch schedule 80 PVC. The west end is 40 feet of 4 inch schedule 40 PVC, 10 feet of 6 inch schedule 80 PVC, and 10 feet of 4 inch schedule 80 PVC.	80'from 2-G3
	Shredded tires with pipe on ends. The east and west ends are 40 feet of 4 inch schedule 80 PVC, 10 feet of 6 inch schedule 80 PVC, 10 feet of 4 inch schedule 80 PVC, 10 feet of 6 inch schedule 80 PVC, and 10 feet of 4 inch schedule 80 PVC.	80' from 2-G4
	Shredded tires with pipe on ends. The east end is 20 feet of 4 inch schedule 80 PVC, 10 feet of 6 inch schedule 80 PVC, 10 feet of 4 inch schedule 80 PVC, 10 feet of 6 inch schedule 80 PVC, and 10 feet of 4 inch schedule 80 PVC. The west end is 20 feet of 4 inch schedule 80 PVC, 10 feet of 6 inch schedule 80 PVC, 10 feet of 6 inch schedule 80 PVC, 10 feet of 4 inch schedule 80 PVC, 10 feet of 6 inch schedule 80 PVC, 10 feet of 4 inch schedule 80 PVC, 10 feet of 6 inch schedule 80 PVC, 10 feet of 6 inch schedule 80 PVC, 10 feet of 4 inch schedule 80 PVC, 10 feet of 6 inc	80' from 2-G5
2-G5	Alternating 10-foot lengths of 4 inch schedule 40 electrical conduit and 6 inch corrugated metal. The east end is 40 feet of 4 inch schedule 40 PVC, 10 feet of 6 inch schedule 80 PVC, and 10 feet of 4 inch schedule 80 PVC. The west end is 40 feet of schedule 80 PVC and 10 feet of 6 inch schedule 40 electrical conduit.	80' from 2-G6
2-G6	Shredded tires with pipe at ends. The east end is 40 feet of 4 inch schedule 40 PVC, 10 feet of 6 inch schedule 80 PVC, and 10 feet of 4 inch schedule 80 PVC. The west end is 40 feet of 4 inch schedule 40 PVC, 10 feet of 12 inch schedule 40 PVC, 10 feet of 4 inch schedule 80 PVC, 10 feet of 12 inch schedule 40 PVC, 10 feet of 4 inch schedule 80 PVC.	80' from 2-G7
2-G7	Shredded tires with pipe on ends. The east end is 40 feet of 4 inch schedule 40 PVC, 10 feet of 6 inch schedule 80 PVC, and 10 feet of 4 inch schedule 80 PVC. The west end is 40 feet of 4 inch schedule 80 PVC, 10 feet of 6 inch schedule 80 PVC, and six sets of alternating 10 foot lengths of 4 inch schedule 80 PVC telescoped with 12 inch schedule 40 PVC.	80'from 2-G8
2-G8	Same as 2-G2	80' from 2-G9
2-G9	Same as 2-G2	40' from south toe
3-G1	Shredded tires with pipe on west end. No pipe on east end. The west end is 40 feet of 4 inch schedule 80 PVC, and three sets of alternating 10 foot lengths of 6 inch schedule 80 PVC telescoped with 4 inch schedule 80 PVC.	80' from 3-G2
3-G2	Same as 3-G1	80' from 3-G3
3-G3	Same as 3-G1	80' from 3-G4
3-G4	Same as 3-G1	80' from 3-G5
3-G5	Same as 3-G1	80' from 3-G6
3-G6	Shredded tires with pipe on west end. No pipe on east end. The west end is 50 feet of 4 inch schedule 80 PVC, and 60 feet of alternating 10 foot lengths of 6 inch and 4 inch schedule 80 PVC.	80' from 3-G7
3-G7	Same as 3-G1	40' from south toe
4-G1	Shredded tires with pipe on ends. The north and south ends are 3 sets of alternating 10 foot lengths of 6 inch schedule 80 PVC and 6 inch schedule 40 PVC, and one additional10 foot length of 6 inch schedule 80 PVC.	40' from south toe
4-G2	Same as 4-G1	50' from 4-G1

¹Gas Collection Line Nomenclature: Layer #-G (for gas) and line # ²Polyvinyl chloride, PVC

Description	Data
Footprint	2.3 acres
Average Waste Depth	30 feet
Construction of the Base Liner	August 2001
Waste Filling of Cells	8/8/2001 - 9/26/2001
Total # of Waste Lifts	3
Total Amount of Waste	11,942 tons
Total Amount of Greenwaste ADC ¹	2,169 tons
Total # of Corrugated Metal Pipe Horizontal Air Collection Lines Layer 1	6 Spacings vary.
Layer 2	3
Total # of CPVC ² Pipe Horizontal Air Collection Lines	5 Spacings vary.
Layer 1	3
Layer 2	2
Total Amount of Air Collection Lines ³	1,660 feet
Layer 1	1,100 feet
Layer 2	560 feet
Total # of $HDPE^4$ Pipe Liquid Addition Lines	21 Spacings approximately
Layer 1	10 40 feet on center to
Layer 2	8 alternate with CPVC pipe
Layer 3	3 for liquid addition lines.
Total # of CPVC Pipe Liquid Addition Lines	11 Spacings of approximately
Layer 1	6 40 feet on center to alternate
Layer 2	5 with HDPE pipe
	for liquid addition lines.
Total Amount of Liquid Addition Piping	4,780 feet
Layer 1	2,870 feet
Layer 2	1,400 feet
Layer 3	510 feet
Total # of 3/32 inch Diameter Holes in Injection Lines	326
Layer 1	186
Layer 2	97
Layer 3	43

Table 5-1. Summary of Data for the Aerobic Cell

¹ADC-Alternative Daily Cover ²Chlorinated Polyvinyl Chloride, CPVC ³Refer to table A for a complete description of air collection lines ⁴High Density Polyethylene, HDPE

Type of	FPA Proposed	Aerobic Cell Actual
Instrumentation	Location/Quantity/Spacing	Location/Quantity/Spacing
Pressure	1. Two over the primary liner at 200	1. Two over the primary liner at 200 feet
Transducers	feet spacing	spacing
	2. One within the leachate collection sump	2. One within the leachate collection sump
Bubbler Gage for	1. Top of the aerobic bottom liner-48	1. Top of the aerobic bottom liner-12
Liquid/Gas	gages at 50 feet spacing	gages at 75 feet spacing
Pressure	2. Top of the first lift of waste- 24	2. Top of the first lift of waste- 26 gages
Measurement and	gages	
Liquid/Gas	3. Top of the second lift of waste-20	3. Top of the second lift of waste- 16
Sampling	gages	gages
	4. Top of the final lift of waste-20 gages	4. Top of the final lift of waste- no gages
	gages	
	TOTAL= 112 gages	TOTAL= 54 gages
Moisture and	1. Top of the aerobic bottom liner-48	1. Top of the aerobic bottom liner-12
Temperature	temperature and 12 moisture	temperature and 2 moisture sensors at
Sensors	sensors	75 feet spacing
	2. Between bottom liner and the top of	f 2. Between bottom liner and the top of the
	the first lift of waste- no sensors	first lift of waste- 3 temperature sensors and 3 moisture sensors at various spacings.
	3. Top of the first lift of waste- 24	3. Top of the first lift of waste- 26
	temperature and moisture sensors	temperature and 26 moisture sensors at various spacings
	4. Top of the second lift of waste-20	4. Top of the second lift of waste-18
	temperature and moisture sensors	temperature and 21 moisture sensors at
	5 The set of the first 11 for a famous (various spacings
	5. Top of the final lift of waste-20	5. Top of the final lift of waste-no
	temperature and moisture sensors	temperature or moisture sensors
	TOTAL= 112 temperature sensors	TOTAL= 59 temperature sensors and
	and 76 moisture sensors	52 moisture sensors

Table 5-2. Summary of Sensors for the Aerobic Cell

Air Collection Line ¹	Description	Spacing		
1-A1	Alternating 10 foot lengths of 4 and 6 inch schedule 80 CPVC^2 .	30' from west toe		
1-A2	Alternating 10 foot lengths of 6 and 8 inch corrugated metal pipe.	40' from 1-A1-SE		
1-A3	Alternating 10 foot lengths of 6 and 8 inch corrugated metal pipe.	40' from 1-A2-SE		
1-A4	Alternating 10 foot lengths of 4 and 6 inch schedule 80 CPVC.	40' from 1-A3-SE		
1-A5	Alternating 10 foot lengths of 6 and 8 inch corrugated 40' from 1-4 metal pipe.			
1-A6	Alternating 10 foot lengths of 4 and 6 inch schedule 80 CPVC.	40' from 1-A5-SE		
2-A1	Alternating 10 foot lengths of 6 and 8 inch corrugated metal pipe.	25' from west toe		
2-A2	Alternating 10 foot lengths of 4 and 6 inch schedule 80 CPVC.	40' from 2-A1-SE		
2-A3	Alternating 10 foot lengths of 6 and 8 inch corrugated40' from 2-A2metal pipe.40' from 2-A2			
2-A4	Alternating 10 foot lengths of 4 and 6 inch schedule 80 CPVC.	40' from 2-A3-SE		
2-A5	Alternating 10 foot lengths of 6 and 8 inch corrugated metal pipe.	40' from 2-A4-SE		


Table 5-3. Summary of Air Collection Lines for the Aerobic Cell


¹Air Collection Line Nomenclature: Layer # - A (for air) and air collection line # ²Chlorinated Polyvinyl Chloride, PVC


Table 6-1.	Summary	of Sensors	for the	Module 6) Base Liner
------------	---------	------------	---------	----------	--------------


Type of Instrumentation	FPA Proposed Location/Quantity/Spacing	Module 6D Base Liner Actual Location/Quantity/Spacing
Pressure Transducer	 Eight over the primary liner near the LCRS trench at 200 feet 	 Six over the primary liner at 200 feet spacing (three near the west LCRS
	spacing	and three near the east LCRS)
	2. Two over the primary liner within	2. Four over the primary liner within the
	the leachate collection sumps	leachate collection sumps
Bubbler Gage for	Top of primary bottom liner-66	Top of primary bottom liner-66 gages
Liquid/Gas	gages at 75 feet spacing	at 75 feet spacing
Pressure		
Measurement and		
Liquid/Gas		
Sampling		
Moisture and	Top of primary bottom liner-66	Top of primary bottom liner-66
Temperature	temperature sensors at 75 feet	temperature sensors at 75 feet spacing
Sensors	spacing and 12 moisture sensors	and 12 moisture sensors


APPENDIX B – PIPING AND INSTRUMENTATION PLAN





























MONITORING POINT COORDINATES		Ple			
SENSOR DESIGNATION NORTHING EASTING ELE	VATION (MSL)			PP	
SENSOR DESIGNATION NORTHING EASTING ELE 0-01 1980033 6650992 6651042 0-02 1980033 6651047 0-03 1980033 6651142 0-04 1980033 6651142 0-05 1979958 6650992 0-06 1979958 6651142 0-06 1979958 6651142 0-06 1979958 6651142 0-08 1979958 6651142 0-09 1979883 6651067 0-11 1979883 6651067 0-12 1979883 6651047 0-13 1979808 6651047 0-14 1979808 6651047 0-15 1979808 6651047 0-16 197808 6651047 0-17 1979733 6651047 0-20 1979733 6651362 0-21 1980030 6651362 0-22 1980030 6651362 0-23 1980030 66513	VATION (WSL) 29 28 28 29 29 29 27 27.5 27 27.5 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 27 27.5 28.5 28.5 28.5 27.5 27.5 28.5 28.5 27.5 27.5 27.5 27.5 28.5 27.5 27.5 28.5 28.5 27.5	€ 0-01 0-02 0-02 0-02 0-03 0-06 0-06 0-07 0-11 0-11 0-11 0-15 0-18 0-18 0-18 0-18 0-18 0-18 0-18 0-18 0-19 0-1	€ 0-04 0-36 0-36 0-36 0-36 0-36 0-36 0-43 0-43 0-43 0-43 0-43 0-43 0-45	€ € € €	PRESSURE TRANSDUCER AND PRESSURE SENSING TUBE INSTALLED ON SE CABLE THERMISTER AND PRESSURE SENSING TUBE
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c} 28\\ 28\\ 25\\ 25\\ 26\\ 24\\ 5\\ 24\\ 5\\ 24\\ 5\\ 24\\ 5\\ 26\\ 24\\ 5\\ 24\\ 5\\ 26\\ 24\\ 5\\ 24$		● 0-30 0-59 0-59 0-63 P3	PT−06 PT−06 PT−06 PC = 0.61 PC = 0.62 PC = 0.64 PC = 0.65 PC = 0.66 PC = 0.65 PC = 0.66 <ppc 0.66<="" =="" p=""> PC = 0.66 <ppc 0.66<="" =="" p=""> <p< th=""><th></th></p<></ppc></ppc>	
0-66 1979454 6651587	25	i.	DE NIS	#-CRASS	
INSTRUMENT DESIGNATION	<u>LEGEND</u> Pressure transducer, dr	uck Wodel PTX 1830, 0-1 PSIG			WARNING THE ORIGINAL DOCUMENTS Contain a RED Colored Professional Seal and Black Signature.
THERMISTER, MOISTURE SENSOR, AND PRESSURE 0-02 SENSING TUBE DESIGNATION, 0-02 DESIGNATES LEVEL 0, INSTRUMENT NUMBER 2	•	(ISTER NTC PROBE, 10,000 OH) ANOE ±0.2°C (0-100°C). AND /4-INCH ID BY 3/8 OD LLDPE.	2 REVISIONS	YOLO COUNTY 전 전 DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING & PUBLIC WORKS	YOLO COUNTY CENTRAL LANDFILL
PRESSURE TRAINSDUCER AND PRESSURE SENSING PT-01 TUBE. PT-01 DESIGNATES PRESSURE TRANSDUCER NUMBER 1		/4-INCH ID BY 3/8 OD LLDPE.		DIVISION OF INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT 282 WEST BEAMER ST., WOODLAND, CA 95695	FULL SCALE BIOREACTOR DRAW BY 3X ONEXADE BY RY BASE LINER PARE NO
TRANSDUCER NUMBER 1 TIL-01 TRENCH LIQUID LEVEL, LOCATION 1	RESISTANCE AT 25°C. TOLER	ANCE ±0.2°C (0-100°C), /4-INCH ID BY 3/8 OD LLDPE,		ASSISTANT DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS	INSTRUMENTATION PLAN DETAIL
		/4—INCH ID BY 3/8 OD LLDPE.		20 R. E. NO.	б-3
L		I	1 1		

APPENDIX C – GRAPHS




Figure 3-1. Northeast Anaerobic Cell Liquid Recirculation and Addition Volumes

Between September 24, 2002 and October 4, 2002 35,460 gallons of liquid was removed from the sump during injection line cleaning.




Figure 3-2. Northeast Anaerobic Cell Layer 1 Temperature Readings




Figure 3-3. Northeast Anaerobic Cell Layer 2 Temperature Readings




Figure 3-4. Northeast Anaerobic Cell Layer 3 Temperature Readings




Figure 3-5. Northeast Anaerobic Cell Selected Temperature Readings




Figure 3-7. Northeast Anaerobic Cell Layer 1 PVC Moisture Readings




Figure 3-8. Northeast Anaerobic Cell Layer 2 PVC Moisture Readings




Figure 3-9. Northeast Anaerobic Cell Layer 2 Gypsum in Plaster Moisture Readings




Figure 3-10. Northeast Anaerobic Cell Layer 2 Gypsum in Soil Moisture Readings




Figure 3-11. Northeast Anaerobic Cell Layer 3 PVC Moisture Readings




Figure 3-13. Northeast Anaerobic Cell Landfill Gas Concentrations from Header Line







Figure 3-14. Northeast Anaerobic Cell Landfill Gas Flow Rate


Figure 3-15. Northeast Anaerobic Cell Cumulative Methane















Figure 4-2. West-Side Anaerobic Cell Layer 2 Temperature Readings

Figure 4-3. West-Side Anaerobic Cell Layer 3 Temperature Readings






Figure 4-5. West-Side Anaerobic Cell Layer 1 PVC Moisture Readings




Figure 4-6. West-Side Anaerobic Cell Layer 2 PVC Readings




Figure 4-7. West-Side Anaerobic cell Layer 3 PVC Moisture Readings




Figure 4-9. West-Side Anaerobic Cell Landfill Gas Concentrations from Header Line





Figure 4-10. West-Side Anaerobic Cell Landfill Gas Flow Rate

Date

Figure 4-11. West-Side Anaerobic Cell Cumulative Methane






Figure 4-12. Cumulative Leachate Removed from the West-Side Leachate Collection and Removal System (LCRS)

Figure 5-1. Aerobic Cell Base Liner Temperature Readings





Figure 5-2. Aerobic Cell Layer 0.5 Temperature Readings







Figure 5-3. Aerobic Cell Layer 1 Temperature Readings






Figure 5-6. Aerobic Cell Base Liner PVC Moisture Readings





Figure 5-7. Aerobic Cell Layer 0.5 PVC Moisture Readings



Figure 5-8. Aerobic Cell Layer 1 PVC Moisture Readings






Figure 5-9. Aerobic Cell Layer 2 PVC Moisture Readings




Figure 6-1. Module D Base Liner Temperature Readings (Northwest Quadrant)




Figure 6-2. Module D Base Liner Temperature Readings (Southwest Quadrant)




Figure 6-3. Module D Base Liner Temperature Readings (Northeast Quadrant)






Figure 6-4. Module D Base Liner Temperature Readings (Southeast Quadrant)








Figure 6-7. Module D Base Liner PVC Moisture Readings (Northeast Quadrant)





Figure 6-8. Module D Base Liner PVC Moisture Readings (Southeast Quadrant)

Figure 6-10. Module D Base Liner Pressure Transducers and Adjacent Tubes



APPENDIX D – LANDFILL GAS LABORATORY CHEMISTRY

		Northe	east Anaerol	bic Cell			West-Side An	aerobic Cell	Aerobic Cell
GAS ANALYSIS PARAMETERS	DATE:	3/8/2002	5/29/2002	8/29/2002	12/5/2002	3/18/2003	5/29/2002	3/18/2003	3/18/2003
Method CFR60 EPA 25C Mod:	Units								
Methane	ppm	280,000	280,000	460,000	400,000	390,000	230,000	180,000	100,000
Total Non-Methane Hydocarbons as Methane	ppm	10,000	9,500	6,200	3,000	1,600	5,100	2,200	7,700
Method CFR60A EPA 15/16:									
Dimethyl Sulfide	ppm	18	12	11	4.5	2.7	5.2	5	10
Hydrogen Sulfide	ppm	ND	ND	1.8	220	160	ND	66	ND
Carbonyl Sulfide	ppm	ND	ND	ND	0.47	0.43	ND	0.91	ND
Methyl Mercaptan	ppm	ND	ND	0.38	0.87	0.44	ND	1.3	1
Ethyl Mercaptan	ppm	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Carbon Disulfide	ppm	0.64	0.54	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.89	ND
Dimethyl Disulfide	ppm	0.52	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	0.84
Method CFR60 EPA 3C:									
Carbon Dioxide	%	41	41	43	37	40	68	19	24
Carbon Monoxide	%	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Methane	%	28	28	46	40	39	23	18	10
Nitrogen	%	26	27	6.9	20	15	11	49	62
Oxygen	%	0.83	0.21	0.26	1.9	1.5	ND	11	1.9
Method EPA-2 TO -15:									
Dichlorodifluormethane	ppb	7,900	6,400	1,400	1,300	1,200	17,000	3,800	1,400
Chloromethane	ppb	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2-Dichloro-1,1,2,2-tetrafluoroethane	ppb	ND	400	320	110	85	1,100	340	ND
Vinyl Chloride	ppb	ND	950	3,600	4,000	1,200	1,200	170	ND
Bromomethane	ppb	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chloroethane	ppb	1,100	820	550	360	170	780	320	ND
Trichlorofluoromethane	ppb	620	430	280	130	92	7,900	370	ND
1,1-Dichlorethene	ppb	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	440	580
Carbon Disulfide	ppb	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane	ppb	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	960	ND	ND

Table 3-8. Analytical Results for Landfill Gas Sampled from Module D

Acetone	ppb	54,000	28,000	22,000	10,000	4,300	13,000	16,000	50,000
Methylene Chloride	ppb	14,000	8,200	3,900	1,200	300	4,800	3,500	1,700
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene	ppb	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,1-Dichloroethane	ppb	1,600	1,000	850	340	130	880	440	ND
Vinyl Acetate	ppb	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene	ppb	ND	240	670	760	520	ND	290	ND
2-Butanone (MEK)	ppb	38,000	28,000	29,000	9,500	3,800	6,000	23,000	28,000
Chloroform	ppb	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,1,1-Trichloroethane	ppb	ND	ND	ND	ND	42	680	ND	ND
Carbon Tetrachloride	ppb	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Benzene	ppb	1,700	1,800	1,500	960	380	490	980	1,300
1,2-Dichloroethane	ppb	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	120	ND	220
Trichloroethene	ppb	1,700	1,300	1,200	620	260	220	860	620
1,2-Dichloropropane	ppb	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Bromoodichloromethane	ppb	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	ppb	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
4-Methyl-2-Pentanone (MIBK)	ppb	10,000	9,700	8,100	2,500	760	5,400	4,500	14,000
Toluene	ppb	31,000	26,000	25,000	19,000	8,400	3,400	21,000	20,000
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	ppb	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,1,2-Trichloroethane	ppb	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Tetrachloroethene	ppb	2,300	2,200	1,600	1,000	480	350	1,100	1,500
2-Hexanone	ppb	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Dibromochloromethane	ppb	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	ppb	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Chlorobenzene	ppb	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Ethylbenzene	ppb	2,800	3,200	3,000	3,100	1,800	170	5,100	2,300
Total Xylenes	ppb	9,400	11,000	9,700	9,700	5,200	480	14,000	6,500
Styrene	ppb	700	930	950	980	350	ND	890	310
Bromoform	ppb	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	ppb	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Benzyl Chloride	ppb	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
4-Ethyltoluene	ppb	ND	930	710	980	470	ND	590	500

1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	ppb	ND	290	260	390	170	ND	230	ND
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	ppb	ND	760	640	840	380	ND	370	370
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	ppb	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	ppb	ND	270	190	280	66	ND	ND	ND
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	ppb	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	ppb	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
Hexachlorobutadiene	ppb	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND

ND=Not Detected

APPENDIX E – LEACHATE LABORATORY CHEMISTRY

PARAMETER	Date:	2/14/2002	3/27/2002	5/14/2002	6/20/2002	7/23/2002	8/13/2002	9/26/2002	10/17/2002	2/26/2003
	Units									
Field Parameters:										
рН		7.13	7.55	7.40	7.60	7.44	7.48	7.47	7.35	8.16
Electrical Conductivity	μS	6583	6173	6095	4054	11510	15860	12440	10230	9351
Oxidation Reduction Potential	mV	-119	-12	80	94	-7	43	-35	-25	160
Temperature	С	19.9	21.5	25.9	26.5	30.5	30.5	28.4	26.0	23.5
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	0.65	2.13	1.4	2.04	0.33	1.31	3.66	2.96	6
Total Dissolved Solids	ppm	5244	4860	4059	3062	9740	14050	10770	8640	7850
General Chemistry:										
Bicarbonate Alkalinity	mg/L	1740	1550	1760	1110	3740	5150	3960	4010	2680
Carbonate Alkalinity	mg/L	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0
Total Alkalinity as CO ₃	mg/L	1740	1550	1760	1110	3740	5150	3960	4010	2680
BOD	mg O/L	20	34	19	10	200	490	1400	3000	44
Chemical Oxygen Demand	mg O/L	633	488	791	196	1620	2820	2830	1810	120
Chloride	mg/L	1070	1100	1030	617	1950	2830	1870	1380	1470
Hydroxide	mg/L	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0
Ammonia as N	mg/L	30	24.4	26.3	13.5	131	264	255	289	132
Nitrate-Nitrite as N	mg/L	< 0.03	0.43	<1.5	< 0.015	0.061	0.22 (tr)	1.4	< 0.009	17.3
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/L	53.1	71	40	21.8	201	354	326	358	222
Sulfate	mg/L	322	210	94.3(tr)	256	5.3	8.2(tr)	155	7	315
Total Dissolved Solids @ 180 C	mg/L	4440	3960	3700	2500	7800	9860	8000	6680	5720
Total (Non-Volatile) Organic Carbon	mg/L	202	147	123	68.8	544	713	943	588	325
Total Phosphorus	mg/L	1.9	1.3	1.1	1.6	1.9	2.7	3.7	3.4	1.8
Total Sulfide	mg/L	1.3	0.18	1.3	0.74	1.2	2.5	1.1	1.4	0.034 (tr)
Metals:										
Dissolved Aluminum	mg/L	0.14 (tr)	< 0.043	0.10(tr)	< 0.043	0.097(tr)	0.11(tr)	0.058(tr)	0.096 (tr)	0.063 (tr)
Dissolved Antimony	mg/L	0.0022	0.0015(tr)	0.0012(tr)	0.0008(tr)	0.012	< 0.031	0.0089	0.0072	0.0072
Dissolved Arsenic	mg/L	0.029	0.026	0.028	0.037	0.054	0.062	0.058	0.062	0.043
Dissolved Barium	mg/L	0.84	0.56	0.92	0.39	1.6	1.6	2.5	1.7	0.88
Dissolved Beryllium	mg/L	< 0.000078	< 0.000078	< 0.00078	< 0.000078	< 0.000078	< 0.00009	< 0.000078	< 0.000078	< 0.000078
Dissolved Boron	mg/L	7.9	7.1	7.4	NA	12.8	20.1	15.7	11.6	11.1

Table 3-9. Field Chemistry and Analytical Results for Leachate Sampled from the Northeast Anaerobic Cell

Dissolved Cadmium	mg/L	< 0.000074	< 0.000074	< 0.000074	< 0.000074	< 0.000074	< 0.0031	< 0.000074	< 0.000074	0.00018 (tr)
Dissolvd Calcium	mg/L	183	137	158	NA	175	92	174	221	114
Dissolved Chromium	mg/L	0.036	0.024	0.025	0.0099	0.086	0.075	0.074	0.073	0.071
Dissolved Cobalt	mg/L	0.007	0.0058	0.0049	0.0034	0.011	0.014(tr)	0.018	0.016	0.037
Dissolved Copper	mg/L	0.0054	0.004	0.002	0.0024	0.0052*	0.0043 (tr)	0.0044*	0.0044	0.03*
Dissolved Iron	mg/L	1.1	0.44	0.39	0.19	2.9*	1.8	3.9	4	2.5
Dissolved Lead	mg/L	0.00046(tr)	0.00016(tr)	0.00020(tr)	< 0.000066	0.001	0.0016	0.0011	0.00078 (tr)	0.0014
Dissolved Magnesium	mg/L	323	248	262	NA	535	655	480	437	359
Dissolved Manganese	mg/L	4.1	3.2	4.5	2.9	2	0.33	3	0.94	0.68
Dissolved Mercury	mg/L	< 0.000049	< 0.000049	< 0.000049	< 0.000049	< 0.000049	0.000081(tr)*	< 0.000049	< 0.000049	< 0.000064
Dissolved Molybdenum	mg/L	0.012(tr)	< 0.0046	< 0.0046	0.0048(tr)	0.0048 (tr)	< 0.0046	< 0.0046	< 0.0046	0.013 (tr)
Dissolved Nickel	mg/L	0.13	0.14	0.13	0.08	0.26	0.3	0.23	0.2	0.38
Dissolved Potassium	mg/L	152	124	133	NA	215	336	319	348	371
Dissolved Phosphorus	mg/L	1.9	0.96	1.9	NA	1.6	2	3.6	2.6	1.8
Dissolved Selenium	mg/L	< 0.0017	< 0.0017	< 0.0017	< 0.0017	< 0.0017	< 0.0017	0.0077	< 0.0017	0.002
Dissolved Silver	mg/L	0.000083	0.000031(tr	< 0.00003	< 0.00003	0.0002(tr)	< 0.0032	0.0001(tr)	0.000061	0.000084
		(tr))						(tr)	(tr)
Dissolved Sodium	mg/L	875	774	759	NA	1370	2340	1820	1330	1440*
Dissolved Thallium	mg/L	< 0.00034	< 0.00034	< 0.00034	< 0.00034	< 0.00034	< 0.0034	< 0.00034	< 0.00034	< 0.00034
Dissolved Tin	mg/L	< 0.022	< 0.022	< 0.022	< 0.022	< 0.022	< 0.022	< 0.022	< 0.022	0.0062 (tr)
Dissolved Vanadium	mg/L	0.059	0.03(tr)	0.031(tr)	0.013(tr)	0.21	0.1	0.071	0.054	0.061
Dissolved Zinc	mg/L	0.032	0.034	0.035	0.015	0.13(tr)	0.13	0.17	0.13	0.15
Volatile Organic Compounds:										
Acetone	μg/L	16	10	6.4	6.9	170*	1500 (tr)	2300	650	49
Acrylonitrile	μg/L	<10	<10	<10	<10	<50	<100	<1000	<200	<20
Benzene	μg/L	< 0.13	0.28 (tr)*	0.22(tr)	< 0.13	< 0.65	<1.3	<13	<2.6	0.36 (tr)
Bromobenzene	µg/L	< 0.18	< 0.18	< 0.18	< 0.18	< 0.90	NA	<18	<3.6	< 0.36
Bromochloromethane	μg/L	< 0.31	< 0.31	< 0.31	< 0.31	<1.6	<3.1	<31	<6.2	< 0.62
Bromodichloromethane	μg/L	< 0.14	< 0.14	< 0.14	< 0.14	< 0.70	<1.4	<14	<2.8	<0.28
Bromoform	µg/L	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.50	<1.0	<10	<2.0	< 0.20
Bromomethane (Methly bromide)	μg/L	< 0.08	< 0.08	0.68(tr)	< 0.08	6.2*	< 0.80	37(tr)*	<1.6	0.96 (tr)
2-Butanone (MEK)	µg/L	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	1.1(tr)	240	2200	4300	1400	3.8 (tr)
n-Butylbenzene	µg/L	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.60	NA	<12	<2.4	< 0.24
sec-Butylbenzene	μg/L	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.60	NA	<12	<2.4	< 0.24

tert-Butylbenzene	μg/L	< 0.14	< 0.14	< 0.14	< 0.14	< 0.70	NA	<14	<2.8	< 0.28
Carbon Disulfide	μg/L	<1.0	<1.0	1.1(tr)	<1.0	<5.0	<10	<100	<20	<2.0
Carbon Tetrachloride	μg/L	< 0.15	< 0.15	< 0.15	< 0.15	< 0.75	<1.5	<15	<3.0	< 0.30
Chlorobenzene	μg/L	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.60	<1.2	<12	<2.4	0.67 (tr)
Chloroethane	μg/L	< 0.34	< 0.34	< 0.34	< 0.34	<1.7	<3.4	<34	<6.8	< 0.68
Chloroform	μg/L	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.60	<1.2	<12	7.5 (tr)	< 0.24
Chloromethane (Methyl chloride)	μg/L	< 0.25	< 0.25	< 0.25	< 0.25	<1.2	<2.5	<25	<5.0	1.6 (tr)
2-Chlorotoluene	μg/L	< 0.26	< 0.26	< 0.26	< 0.26	<1.3	NA	<26	<5.2	< 0.52
4-Chlorotoluene	μg/L	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.50	NA	<10	<2.0	< 0.20
Dibromochloromethane	μg/L	< 0.40	< 0.40	< 0.40	< 0.40	<2.0	<4.0	<40	<8.0	< 0.80
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane (DBCP)	μg/L	< 0.22	< 0.95	< 0.95	< 0.95	<4.8	<9.5	<95	<19	<1.9
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	μg/L	< 0.22	< 0.21	< 0.22	< 0.22	<1.1	<2.2	<22	<4.4	< 0.44
Dibromomethane (Methly bromide)	μg/L	< 0.21	< 0.21	< 0.21	< 0.21	<1.0	<2.1	<21	<4.2	< 0.42
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	μg/L	< 0.14	< 0.14	< 0.14	< 0.14	< 0.70	<1.4	<14	<2.8	< 0.28
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	μg/L	< 0.11	< 0.11	< 0.11	< 0.11	< 0.55	NA	<11	<2.2	< 0.22
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	μg/L	< 0.13	< 0.13	< 0.13	< 0.13	< 0.65	<1.3	<13	<2.6	< 0.26
trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene	μg/L	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5.0	<10	<100	<20	<2.0
Dichlorodifluoromethane (Freon 12)	μg/L	< 0.16	0.17(tr)	0.24(tr)	< 0.16	< 0.80	NA	<16	<3.2	< 0.32
1,1-Dichloroethane (1,1-DCA)	μg/L	0.77(tr)	0.50(tr)	0.77(tr)	0.54(tr)	< 0.50	<1.0	<10	<2.0	0.36 (tr)
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	μg/L	< 0.22	< 0.22	< 0.22	< 0.22	<1.1	<2.2	<22	<4.4	< 0.44
1,1-Dichloroethene (1,1-DCE)	μg/L	< 0.36	< 0.36	< 0.36	< 0.36	<1.8	<3.6	<36	<7.2	< 0.72
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene (cis-1,2-DCE)	μg/L	0.58(tr)	1.2	1.8	1.5	2.3(tr)	1.8(tr)	<10	<2.0	< 0.20
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene (trans-1,2-	μg/L	< 0.11	< 0.11	< 0.11	< 0.11	< 0.55	<1.1	<11	<2.2	< 0.22
DCE)										
1,2-Dichloropropane	μg/L	< 0.15	< 0.15	< 0.15	< 0.15	< 0.75	<1.5	<15	<3.0	< 0.30
1,3-Dichloropropane	μg/L	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.20	<1.0	NA	<20	<4.0	< 0.40
2,2 Dichloropropane	μg/L	< 0.13	< 0.13	< 0.13	< 0.13	< 0.65	NA	<13	<2.6	< 0.26
1,1-Dichloropropene	μg/L	< 0.14	< 0.14	< 0.14	< 0.14	< 0.70	NA	<14	<2.8	< 0.28
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	μg/L	< 0.22	< 0.22	< 0.22	< 0.22	<1.1	<2.2	<22	<4.4	< 0.44
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	μg/L	< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	<1.5	<3.0	<30	<6.0	< 0.60
Ethylbenzene	μg/L	< 0.27	< 0.27	< 0.27	< 0.27	<1.4	<2.7	<27	<5.4	< 0.54
Hexachlorobutadiene	μg/L	< 0.22	< 0.22	< 0.22	< 0.22	<1.1	NA	<22	<4.4	< 0.44
2-Hexanone (Methyl butyl ketone)	μg/L	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5.0	26	<100	<20	<2.0
Iodomethane (Methyl iodide)	μg/L	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5.0	<10	<100	<20	<2.0

Isopropylbenzene	µg/L	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.60	NA	<12	<2.4	0.43 (tr)
p-Isopropyltoluene	μg/L	< 0.13	< 0.13	0.13(tr)	< 0.13	< 0.65	NA	<13	<2.6	< 0.26
Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	μg/L	14	10	16	6.3	44	76	150(tr)	110	8.7
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)	μg/L	2	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	100	520	1000	700	<2.0
Methylene Chloride	μg/L	1.5	< 0.35	0.46(tr)	< 0.35	<1.8	<3.5	<35	<7.0	< 0.70
Naphthalene	μg/L	< 0.15	0.45(tr)*	< 0.15	< 0.15	< 0.75	NA	<15	<3.0	< 0.30
n-Propylbenzene	μg/L	< 0.15	< 0.15	< 0.15	< 0.15	< 0.75	NA	<15	<3.0	< 0.30
Styrene	μg/L	< 0.15	< 0.15	< 0.15	< 0.15	< 0.75	<30	<15	<3.0	< 0.30
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	μg/L	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.50	<20	<10	<2.0	< 0.20
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	μg/L	< 0.37	< 0.37	< 0.37	< 0.37	<1.8	<74	<37	<7.4	< 0.74
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	μg/L	< 0.38	0.84(tr)	< 0.38	< 0.38	<1.9	<76	<38	<7.6	< 0.76
Toluene	μg/L	1.3*	0.98(tr)	2.9	0.44(tr)	8.3	<50	<25	24	< 0.50
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	μg/L	< 0.14	< 0.14	< 0.14	< 0.14	< 0.70	NA	<14	<2.8	< 0.28
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	μg/L	< 0.23	< 0.23	< 0.23	< 0.23	<1.2	NA	<23	<4.6	< 0.46
1,1,1-Trichloroethane (1,1,1-TCA)	μg/L	< 0.41	< 0.41	< 0.41	< 0.41	<2.0	<82	<41	<8.2	< 0.82
1,1,2-Trichloroethane (1,1,2-TCA)	μg/L	< 0.31	< 0.31	< 0.31	< 0.31	<1.6	<62	<31	<6.2	< 0.62
Trichloroethene (TCE)	μg/L	0.33(tr)	0.77(tr)	< 0.31	0.46(tr)	<1.6	<62	<31	<6.2	< 0.62
Trichlorofluoromethane (Freon 11)	μg/L	< 0.23	< 0.23	< 0.23	< 0.23	<1.2	<46	<23	<4.6	< 0.46
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	μg/L	< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30	<1.5	<60	<30	<6.0	< 0.60
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	μg/L	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.60	NA	<12	<2.4	< 0.24
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	μg/L	< 0.14	0.27(tr)	< 0.14	< 0.14	< 0.70	NA	<14	<2.8	< 0.28
Vinyl Acetate	μg/L	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	<5.0	<200	<100	<20	<2.0
Vinyl Chloride	μg/L	< 0.12	< 0.12	0.30(tr)	< 0.12	< 0.60	<24	<12	<2.4	< 0.24
Total Xylenes	μg/L	< 0.10	0.13 (tr)	0.30(tr)	< 0.10	< 0.50	<20	<10	2.5 (tr)	< 0.20

NA=Not Analyzed

MDL=Method Detection Limit

PQL=Practical Quantification Limit

<=Less than the MDL

tr=trace: the amount detected was above the MDL but below the PQL

* = this parameter was alo detected in the method blank

PARAMETER	DATE:	2/14/2002	3/27/2002	5/14/2002	6/20/2002	7/23/2002	8/13/2002	2/26/2003
	Units							
Field Parameters:								
pH		6.74	6.76	6.8	6.72	6.85	6.71	6.87
Electrical Conductivity	μS	3530	3868	3851	3944	3899	3810	2320
Oxidation Reduction Potential	mV	-62	-59	-46	-19	-38	-36	-56
Temperature	С	24.9	25.9	26.2	25.2	25.7	26.9	22.1
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	3.15	1.09	1.54	1.31	3.62	2.6	3.18
Total Dissolved Solids	ppm	2617	2886	2871	2960	2965	2908	1703
General Chemistry:								
Bicarbonate Alkalinity	mg/L	1700	1790	1780	1730	1710	1680	1000
Carbonate Alkalinity	mg/L	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0
Total Alkalinity as CO ₃	mg/L	1700	1790	1780	1730	1710	1680	1000
BOD	mg O/L	28	18	12	12	7.9	12	16
Chemical Oxygen Demand	mg O/L	350	317	300	274	270	262	98.1
Chloride	mg/L	187	323	333	358	341	366	196
Hydroxide	mg/L	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0
Ammonia as N	mg/L	20.3	20	23.5	21.2	23.8	25	9.5
Nitrate-Nitrite as N	mg/L	0.016(tr)	< 0.015	<1.5	< 0.03	< 0.015	< 0.015	0.022 (tr)
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/L	32.6	68.9	31.1	31.5	31.4	31	13.8
Sulfate	mg/L	1.7(tr)	1.5(tr)	<10	0.80(tr)	2.2	0.75(tr)	< 0.70
Total Dissolved Solids @ 180 C	mg/L	2220	2380	2320	2410	2310	2280	1320
Total (Non-Volatile) Organic Carbon	mg/L	112	95.7	85.2	86.5	82.7	78.1	28.3
Total Phosphorus	mg/L	0.13	1.6*	1.1	0.6	0.057	0.049(tr)	< 0.12
Total Sulfide	mg/L	0.033(tr)	0.015(tr)	< 0.014	< 0.014	0.023 (tr)	< 0.014	< 0.0093
Metals:								
Dissolved Aluminum	mg/L	0.13(tr)	< 0.043	0.053(tr)*	< 0.043	< 0.043	< 0.043	< 0.043
Dissolved Antimony	mg/L	0.0013(tr)	0.00091(tr)	0.00065(tr)	0.0006 (tr)	0.0008(tr)	< 0.031	0.00090 (tr)
Dissolved Arsenic	mg/L	0.27	0.02	0.018	0.019	0.017	0.01	0.012
Dissolved Barium	mg/L	1.8	1.8	0.45	1.8	1.6	1.4	1.1
Dissolved Beryllium	mg/L	< 0.000078	< 0.000078	< 0.000078	< 0.000078	< 0.000078	< 0.00009	< 0.000078

Table 4-7.	Analytical R	lesults for Leac	hate Sampled fro	om the West-Side A	Anaerobic Cell

Dissolved Boron	mg/L	3.2	3.5	18.9	NA	3.7	3.2	< 0.000078
Dissolved Cadmium	mg/L	< 0.000074	< 0.000074	< 0.000074	< 0.000074	< 0.000074	< 0.0031	< 0.000074
Dissolvd Calcium	mg/L	241	234	58.2	NA	231	193	108
Dissolved Chromium	mg/L	0.0088	0.0069	0.0064	0.0059	0.0054	0.0035(tr)	0.0019 (tr)
Dissolved Cobalt	mg/L	0.0038	0.0043	0.003	0.0025	0.0025	< 0.0074	0.0015
Dissolved Copper	mg/L	0.0018(tr)	0.0022	0.0011(tr)*	0.002	0.0023	0.0035(tr)	0.002*
Dissolved Iron	mg/L	0.4	1.2	0.035(tr)*	1.9	0.59	0.11	0.15
Dissolved Lead	mg/L	0.00024 (tr)	0.000066(tr)	0.000078(tr)*	< 0.000066	< 0.000066	< 0.000066	< 0.000066
Dissolved Magnesium	mg/L	198	211	343	NA	217	185	123
Dissolved Manganese	mg/L	24.6	22.9	0.0062(tr)	21.4	19.3	15.9	10.9
Dissolved Mercury	mg/L	< 0.000049	< 0.000049	< 0.000049	< 0.000049	< 0.000049	0.000078(tr)*	< 0.000064
Dissolved Molybdenum	mg/L	< 0.0046	< 0.0046	0.044	< 0.0046	< 0.0046	< 0.0046	< 0.0046
Dissolved Nickel	mg/L	0.042	0.053	0.052	0.047	0.046	0.041	0.018
Dissolved Potassium	mg/L	55.2	48.3	58.6	NA	37.8	32.5	23.7
Dissolved Phosphorus	mg/L	0.28(tr)	0.14(tr)	1	NA	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12
Dissolved Selenium	mg/L	< 0.0017	< 0.0017	< 0.0017	< 0.0017	0.002	< 0.0017	< 0.0017
Dissolved Silver	mg/L	< 0.00003	< 0.00003	< 0.00003	< 0.00003	< 0.00003	< 0.0032	< 0.000030
Dissolved Sodium	mg/L	260	281	1500*	NA	268	234	226
Dissolved Thallium	mg/L	< 0.00034	< 0.00034	< 0.00034	< 0.00034	< 0.00034	< 0.00034	< 0.00034
Dissolved Tin	mg/L	< 0.022	< 0.022	< 0.022	< 0.022	< 0.022	< 0.022	< 0.0014
Dissolved Vanadium	mg/L	0.0056(tr)	0.0038(tr)	0.017(tr)	< 0.0032	< 0.0032	< 0.0032	< 0.0032
Dissolved Zinc	mg/L	0.068	0.07	0.039	0.037	0.05	0.006(tr)	0.042
Volatile Organic Compounds:								
Acetone	μg/L	<50	28	22	22	14(tr)*	33 (tr)	13 (tr)
Acrylonitrile	μg/L	<500	<100	<100	<100	<50	<100	<50
Benzene	μg/L	<6.5	3.3(tr)*	2.3(tr)	<1.3	3.5(tr)	3.6(tr)	2.6 (tr)
Bromobenzene	µg/L	<9.0	<1.8	<1.8	<1.8	< 0.90	NA	< 0.90
Bromochloromethane	µg/L	<16	<3.1	<3.1	<3.1	<1.6	<3.1	<1.6
Bromodichloromethane	µg/L	<7.0	<1.4	<1.4	<1.4	< 0.70	<1.4	< 0.70
Bromoform	µg/L	<5.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	< 0.50	<1.0	< 0.50
Bromomethane (Methly bromide)	µg/L	<4.0	< 0.80	< 0.80	< 0.80	4.6(tr)*	< 0.80	< 0.40
2-Butanone (MEK)	μg/L	<50	<10	<10	<10	<5.0	<10	<5.0
n-Butylbenzene	µg/L	<6.0	<1.2	<1.2	<1.2	< 0.60	NA	< 0.60

sec-Butylbenzene	µg/L	<6.0	<1.2	<1.2	<1.2	< 0.60	NA	< 0.60
tert-Butylbenzene	μg/L	<7.0	<1.4	<1.4	<1.4	< 0.70	NA	< 0.70
Carbon Disulfide	μg/L	<50	<10	<10	<10	<5.0	<10	<5.0
Carbon Tetrachloride	μg/L	<7.5	<1.5	<1.5	<1.5	< 0.75	<1.5	< 0.75
Chlorobenzene	μg/L	<6.0	<1.2	<1.2	<1.2	< 0.60	<1.2	< 0.60
Chloroethane	μg/L	<17	<3.4	<3.4	<3.4	<1.7	<3.4	3.1 (tr)
Chloroform	μg/L	<6.0	<1.2	<1.2	<1.2	< 0.60	<1.2	< 0.60
Chloromethane (Methyl chloride)	μg/L	<12	<2.5	<2.5	<2.5	<1.2	<2.5	<1.2
2-Chlorotoluene	μg/L	<13	<2.6	<2.6	<2.6	<1.3	NA	<1.3
4-Chlorotoluene	μg/L	<5.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	< 0.50	NA	< 0.50
Dibromochloromethane	μg/L	<20	<4.0	<4.0	<4.0	<2.0	<4.0	<2.0
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane (DBCP)	μg/L	<48	<9.5	<9.5	<9.5	<4.8	<9.5	<4.8
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	µg/L	<11	<2.2	<2.2	<2.2	<1.1	<2.2	<1.1
Dibromomethane (Methly bromide)	μg/L	<10	<2.1	<2.1	<2.1	<1.0	<2.1	<1.0
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	μg/L	<7.0	<1.4	<1.4	<1.4	< 0.70	<1.4	< 0.70
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	μg/L	<5.5	<1.1	<1.1	<1.1	< 0.55	NA	< 0.55
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	μg/L	<6.5	<1.3	<1.3	<1.3	< 0.65	<1.3	< 0.65
trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene	μg/L	<50	<10	<10	<10	<5.0	<10	<5.0
Dichlorodifluoromethane (Freon 12)	μg/L	<8.0	2.4(tr)	4.2(tr)	<1.6	16	NA	< 0.80
1,1-Dichloroethane (1,1-DCA)	μg/L	<5.0	4.6(tr)	7.4(tr)	9.5(tr)	12	13	1.5 (tr)
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	μg/L	<11	2.5(tr)	3.5(tr)	4.0 (tr)	4.8(tr)	5.8(tr)	4.0 (tr)
1,1-Dichloroethene (1,1-DCE)	ug/L	<18	<3.6	<3.6	<3.6	<1.8	<3.6	<1.8
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene (cis-1,2-DCE)	μg/L	<5.0	2.3(tr)	1.9(tr)	<1.0	3.3(tr)	3.5(tr)	3.7 (tr)
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene (trans-1,2- DCE)	µg/L	<5.5	<1.1	<1.1	<1.1	<0.55	<1.1	<0.55
1,2-Dichloropropane	μg/L	<7.5	<1.5	<1.5	<1.5	< 0.75	<1.5	< 0.75
1,3-Dichloropropane	μg/L	<10	<2.0	<2.0	<2.0	<1.0	NA	<1.0
2,2 Dichloropropane	μg/L	<6.5	<1.3	<1.3	<1.3	< 0.65	NA	< 0.65
1,1-Dichloropropene	μg/L	<7.0	<1.4	<1.4	<1.4	< 0.70	NA	< 0.70
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	μg/L	<11	<2.2	<2.2	<2.2	<1.1	<2.2	<1.1
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	μg/L	<15	<3.0	<3.0	<3.0	<1.5	<3.0	<1.5
Ethylbenzene	µg/L	<14	<2.7	<2.7	<2.7	<1.4	<2.7	1.4 (tr)
Hexachlorobutadiene	μg/L	<11	<2.2	<2.2	<2.2	<1.1	NA	<1.1
2-Hexanone (Methyl butyl ketone)	µg/L	<50	<10	<10	<10	<5.0	<10	<5.0

Iodomethane (Methyl iodide)	μg/L	<50	<10	<10	<10	<5.0	<10	<5.0
Isopropylbenzene	μg/L	<6.0	<1.2	<1.2	<1.2	< 0.60	NA	< 0.60
p-Isopropyltoluene	μg/L	<6.5	<1.3	<1.3	<1.3	< 0.65	NA	< 0.65
Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	μg/L	210	190	160	160	180	170	110
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)	μg/L	1200	19(tr)	52	<10	<5.0	26	7.1 (tr)
Methylene Chloride	μg/L	<18	<3.5	<3.5	<3.5	2.1(tr)	<3.5	<1.8
Naphthalene	μg/L	<7.5	<1.5	<1.5	<1.5	< 0.75	NA	< 0.75
n-Propylbenzene	μg/L	<7.5	<1.5	<1.5	<1.5	< 0.75	NA	< 0.75
Styrene	μg/L	<7.5	<1.5	<1.5	<1.5	< 0.75	<1.5	< 0.75
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	μg/L	<5.0	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0	< 0.50	<1.0	< 0.50
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	μg/L	<18	<3.7	<3.7	<3.7	<1.8	<3.7	<1.8
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	μg/L	<19	<3.8	<3.8	<3.8	<1.9	NA	<1.9
Toluene	μg/L	150*	42	20	22	22	20	14
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	μg/L	<7.0	<1.4	<1.4	<1.4	< 0.70	NA	< 0.70
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	μg/L	<12	<2.3	<2.3	<2.3	<1.2	NA	<1.2
1,1,1-Trichloroethane (1,1,1-TCA)	μg/L	<20	<4.1	<4.1	<4.1	<2.0	<4.1	<2.0
1,1,2-Trichloroethane (1,1,2-TCA)	μg/L	<16	<3.1	<3.1	<3.1	<1.6	<3.1	<1.6
Trichloroethene (TCE)	μg/L	<16	<3.1	<3.1	<3.1	<1.6	<3.1	<1.6
Trichlorofluoromethane (Freon 11)	μg/L	<12	<2.3	2.7(tr)	<2.3	<1.2	<2.3	<1.2
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	μg/L	<15	<3.0	<3.0	<3.0	<1.5	<3.0	<1.5
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	μg/L	<6.0	<1.2	<1.2	<1.2	< 0.60	NA	< 0.60
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	μg/L	<7.0	<1.4	<1.4	<1.4	< 0.70	NA	< 0.70
Vinyl Acetate	μg/L	<50	<10	<10	<10	<5.0	<10	<5.0
Vinyl Chloride	μg/L	<6.0	<1.2	<1.2	<1.2	< 0.60	<1.2	2.3 (tr)
Total Xylenes	μg/L	<5.0	4.0(tr)	3.8(tr)	<1.0	3.4(tr)	4.0(tr)	2.8 (tr)

NA=Not Analyzed

MDL=Method Detection Limit

PQL=Practical Quantification Limit

<=Less than the MDL

tr=trace: the amount detected was above the MDL but below the PQL

* = this parameter was alo detected in the method blank

PARAMETER	DATE:	2/26/2002	3/27/2002	5/14/2002
Field Parameters:	Units			
pH		7.75	8.17	8.48
Electrical Conductivity	μS	7026	7705	9048
Oxidation Reduction Potential	mV	195	195	127
Temperature	С	15.1	15.2	21.1
Dissolved Oxygen	mg/L	5.45	5.73	6.8
Total Dissolved Solids	ppm	5673	NA	7448
General Chemistry:				
Bicarbonate Alkalinity	mg/L	1120	935	1020
Carbonate Alkalinity	mg/L	NA	<5.0	24.8
Total Alkalinity as CO ₃	mg/L	1120	935	1050
BOD	mg O/L	3.3	5	89
Chemical Oxygen Demand	mg O/L	595	563	602
Chloride	mg/L	1610	1800	2290
Hydroxide	mg/L	<5.0	<5.0	<5.0
Ammonia as N	mg/L	2.8	1.1	0.60(tr)
Nitrate-Nitrite as N	mg/L	0.16	0.22	4.8(tr)
Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen	mg/L	19.9	19.2	11.1
Sulfate	mg/L	290	478	526
Total Dissolved Solids @ 180 C	mg/L	4810	5200	5640
Total (Non-Volatile) Organic Carbon	mg/L	766	149	168
Total Phosphorus	mg/L	0.51	0.19	0.85*
Total Sulfide	mg/L	< 0.014	0.015(tr)	< 0.014
Metals:				
Dissolved Aluminum	mg/L	< 0.043	< 0.043	0.082(tr)*
Dissolved Antimony	mg/L	0.002	0.0016(tr)	0.002
Dissolved Arsenic	mg/L	0.012	0.015	0.017
Dissolved Barium	mg/L	0.43	0.54	1.9
Dissolved Beryllium	mg/L	< 0.000078	< 0.000078	< 0.000078
Dissolved Boron	mg/L	NA	12.2	3.8
Dissolved Cadmium	mg/L	0.00013(tr)	0.00016(tr)	0.0062
Dissolvd Calcium	mg/L	NA	57	257
Dissolved Chromium	mg/L	0.01	0.0062	0.0062
Dissolved Cobalt	mg/L	0.0095	0.0073	0.004
Dissolved Copper	mg/L	0.016	0.014	0.019
Dissolved Iron	mg/L	0.32	0.084(tr)	0.34
Dissolved Lead	mg/L	0.00026(tr)	< 0.000066	0.00061(tr)
Dissolved Magnesium	mg/L	273	260	220
Dissolved Manganese	mg/L	1.1	0.77	23.9
Dissolved Mercury	mg/L	< 0.000049	0.000059	0.000074(tr)
Dissolved Molybdenum	mg/L	0.026(tr)	0.033(tr)	< 0.0046
Dissolved Nickel	mg/L	0.14	0.11	0.11
Dissolved Potassium	mg/L	NA	66.1	47.8
Dissolved Phosphorus	mg/L	NA	0.47	< 0.312
Dissolved Selenium	mg/L	< 0.0085	0.0034	0.0053
Dissolved Silver	mg/L	< 0.00003	< 0.00003	< 0.00003

Table 5-7. Analytical Results for Leachate Sampled form the Aerobic Cell Manhole

Dissolved Sodium	mg/L	NA	1260	284
Dissolved Thallium	mg/L	< 0.00034	< 0.00034	< 0.00034
Dissolved Tin	mg/L	< 0.022	< 0.022	< 0.022
Dissolved Vanadium	mg/L	0.023(tr)	0.018(tr)	< 0.0032
Dissolved Zinc	mg/L	0.027*	0.032	0.018
Volatile Organic Compounds:				
Acetone	μg/L	12	23	8.8
Acrylonitrile	µg/L	<10	<10	<10
Benzene	µg/L	0.43(tr)*	0.27(tr)*	0.17(tr)
Bromobenzene	μg/L	< 0.18	< 0.18	< 0.18
Bromochloromethane	μg/L	< 0.31	< 0.31	< 0.31
Bromodichloromethane	µg/L	< 0.14	< 0.14	< 0.14
Bromoform	μg/L	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Bromomethane (Methly bromide)	μg/L	< 0.08	< 0.08	0.23(tr)
2-Butanone (MEK)	μg/L	2.5	<1.0	< 0.12
n-Butylbenzene	µg/L	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12
sec-Butylbenzene	μg/L	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12
tert-Butylbenzene	μg/L	< 0.14	< 0.14	< 0.14
Carbon Disulfide	µg/L	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
Carbon Tetrachloride	μg/L	< 0.15	< 0.15	< 0.15
Chlorobenzene	μg/L	2	2.8	0.23(tr)
Chloroethane	µg/L	< 0.34	< 0.34	< 0.34
Chloroform	µg/L	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12
Chloromethane (Methyl chloride)	µg/L	< 0.25	0.46(tr)	0.33(tr)
2-Chlorotoluene	µg/L	< 0.26	0.31(tr)	< 0.26
4-Chlorotoluene	µg/L	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
Dibromochloromethane	µg/L	< 0.40	< 0.40	< 0.40
1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane (DBCP)	μg/L	< 0.95	< 0.95	< 0.95
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)	μg/L	< 0.22	< 0.22	< 0.22
Dibromomethane (Methly bromide)	µg/L	< 0.21	< 0.21	< 0.21
1,2-Dichlorobenzene	μg/L	< 0.14	< 0.14	< 0.14
1,3-Dichlorobenzene	µg/L	< 0.11	< 0.11	< 0.11
1,4-Dichlorobenzene	µg/L	< 0.13	< 0.13	< 0.13
trans-1,4-Dichloro-2-butene	μg/L	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
Dichlorodifluoromethane (Freon 12)	μg/L	0.27(tr)	< 0.16	<1.0
1,1-Dichloroethane (1,1-DCA)	μg/L	0.32(tr)	0.16(tr)	< 0.10
1,2-Dichloroethane (1,2-DCA)	μg/L	< 0.22	< 0.22	< 0.22
1,1-Dichloroethene (1,1-DCE)	μg/L	< 0.36	< 0.36	< 0.36
cis-1,2-Dichloroethene (cis-1,2-DCE)	μg/L	0.38(tr)	0.20(tr)	< 0.10
trans-1,2-Dichloroethene (trans-1,2-DCE)	μg/L	< 0.11	< 0.11	< 0.11
1,2-Dichloropropane	μg/L	< 0.15	< 0.15	< 0.15
1,3-Dichloropropane	μg/L	< 0.20	< 0.20	< 0.20
2,2 Dichloropropane	μg/L	< 0.13	< 0.13	< 0.13
1,1-Dichloropropene	μg/L	< 0.14	< 0.14	< 0.14
cis-1,3-Dichloropropene	μg/L	0.38(tr)	< 0.22	< 0.22
trans-1,3-Dichloropropene	μg/L	<0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30
Ethylbenzene	μg/L	< 0.27	< 0.27	< 0.27
Hexachlorobutadiene	μg/L	< 0.22	< 0.22	< 0.22
2-Hexanone (Methyl butyl ketone)	μg/L	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0

Iodomethane (Methyl iodide)	μg/L	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
Isopropylbenzene	μg/L	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12
p-Isopropyltoluene	μg/L	< 0.13	< 0.13	< 0.13
Methyl-tert-butyl ether (MTBE)	μg/L	3	<1.0	1.3(tr)
4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK)	μg/L	3.8	<1.0	3.3
Methylene Chloride	μg/L	0.35(tr)	< 0.35	< 0.35
Naphthalene	μg/L	< 0.15	< 0.15	< 0.15
n-Propylbenzene	μg/L	< 0.15	< 0.15	< 0.15
Styrene	μg/L	< 0.15	< 0.15	< 0.15
1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane	μg/L	< 0.10	< 0.10	< 0.10
1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane	μg/L	< 0.37	< 0.37	< 0.37
Tetrachloroethene (PCE)	μg/L	0.67(tr)	0.60(tr)	0.88(tr)
Toluene	μg/L	0.35(tr)	0.27(tr)*	< 0.25
1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene	μg/L	< 0.14	< 0.14	< 0.14
1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene	μg/L	< 0.23	< 0.23	< 0.23
1,1,1-Trichloroethane (1,1,1-TCA)	μg/L	< 0.41	< 0.41	< 0.41
1,1,2-Trichloroethane (1,1,2-TCA)	μg/L	< 0.31	< 0.31	< 0.31
Trichloroethene (TCE)	μg/L	1.6	0.83(tr)	< 0.31
Trichlorofluoromethane (Freon 11)	μg/L	< 0.23	< 0.23	< 0.23
1,2,3-Trichloropropane	μg/L	< 0.30	< 0.30	< 0.30
1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene	μg/L	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12
1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene	μg/L	< 0.14	< 0.14	< 0.14
Vinyl Acetate	μg/L	<1.0	<1.0	<1.0
Vinyl Chloride	μg/L	< 0.12	< 0.12	< 0.12
Total Xylenes	μg/L	0.34(tr)	0.10(tr)	< 0.10

NA=Not Analyzed

MDL=Method Detection Limit

PQL=Practical Quantification Limit

<=Less than the MDL

tr=trace: the amount detected was above the MDL but below the PQL

* = this parameter was alo detected in the method blank