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Executive Summary

High-level waste (HLW) glass compositions, processing schemes, limits on waste content, and corrosion/dissolution release models are dependent on an accurate knowledge of melting temperatures and thermochemical values. Unfortunately, existing models for predicting these temperatures are empirically-based, depending on extrapolations of experimental information. In addition, present models of leaching behavior of glass waste forms use simplistic assumptions or experimentally measured values obtained under non-realistic conditions. There is thus a critical need for both more accurate and more widely applicable models for HLW glass behavior, which this project addressed.

Significant progress was made in this project on modeling HLW glass. Borosilicate glass was accurately represented along with the additional important components that contain iron, lithium, potassium, magnesium, and calcium. The formation of crystalline inclusions in the glass, an issue in Hanford HLW formulations, was modeled and shown to be predictive. Thus the results of this work have already demonstrated practical benefits with the ability to map compositional regions where crystalline material forms, and therefore avoid that detrimental effect. With regard to a fundamental understanding, added insights on the behavior of the components of glass have been obtained, including the potential formation of molecular clusters.

The EMSP project had very significant effects beyond the confines of Environmental Management. The models developed for glass have been used to solve a very costly problem in the corrosion of refractories for glass production. The effort resulted in another laboratory, Sandia National Laboratories – Livermore, to become
conversant in the techniques and to apply those through a DOE Office of Industrial Technologies project joint with PPG Industries. The glass industry as a whole is now cognizant of these capabilities, and there is a Glass Manufacturer’s Research Institute proposal in this area that will likely be supported. In addition, individual glass companies are inquiring as to modeling work at ORNL.
Research Objectives

The objective of the proposed effort was to expand the development of solution models of complex waste glass systems that are predictive with regard to composition, phase separation, chemical activity, and volatility. The effort was to yield thermodynamic values for waste components that are fundamentally required to predict the melting/crystallization behavior during processing and leaching/corrosion behavior of waste glass in repository environments. The waste glass systems consist of dozens of chemical elements present within a limited number of phases, and thus many elements will be “dissolved” in the host phase(s). The nature of the chemical potential of the constituent elements is determined by their solid solution behavior. And that solid solution behavior will be governed by the energetics of interactions between species, crystalline state, site occupancy, and oxidation state of the elements. Yet, unlike metallic systems, oxide solution thermodynamic relationships are not well established as they are extremely complex with no generally accepted solution models for multiple oxide species as solutes in a solvent phase, especially in the non-equilibrium glass phase.

The production of nuclear materials for defense applications at several sites in the United States has resulted in the accumulation over almost six decades of a substantial quantity of radionuclides. These materials are currently stored in a variety of forms including liquids, sludges, and solids. In addition, there are similar wastes that have resulted from the reprocessing of commercial spent fuel, although this has occurred to a much smaller extent. While the composition and characteristics of the various wastes differ, their behavior is similar in many respects. The focus of current U. S. Department of Energy (DOE) efforts with regard to permanent disposal of these materials is that they will be incorporated in a stable, insoluble host solid (a glass or specific crystalline phase).

Components such as Fe, Cr, Zr, and Al have limited solubility in HLW glasses (Hrma, et al. 1994, Peeler and Vienna 1998, Kim and Hrma 1994, and Mika, et al. 1997). These components precipitate as oxide minerals such as spinel, zircon, and nepheline once their solubility in glass is exceeded. Precipitated minerals may cause melter failure (Bickford, et al. 1990) and can alter the physical properties such as the leach resistance of the glass (Kim, et al. 1995). To avoid these problems, current HLW glasses are formulated to assure oxide minerals do not precipitate in the melter (Hrma, et al. 1996, Vienna, et al. 1995, Bickford, et al. 1990, and Peeler and Vienna 1998). Most often, this is accomplished by dilution of the problematic components in the glass leading to increased glass volume production. The solubility of these components currently dictate HLW glass volume produced at the Savannah River Site (Hrma, et al. 1998) and West Valley and to be produced at Hanford (Lambert, et al. 1996 and Hrma, et al. 1996) and Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory (INEEL) (Peeler and Vienna 1998).

The solubilities of problematic components in the melt are strongly related to the concentrations of other components. Thus there is a need for better understanding of the solution thermodynamics in multicomponent borosilicate melts (DOE-RL 1997). For example, the solubility of Cr in an alkali-borosilicate melt is strongly dependent upon the concentration of Al, Ni, and Na (Vienna, et al. 1996). The ability to understand and
predict compositional effects on component solubilities in HLW glasses is needed in order to maximize the loading of waste in glass and thereby reduce cleanup costs. For example, to meet a need at the Hanford site it was demonstrated in the project that such a representation can be used, with appropriate quasi-equilibrium constraints, to accurately predict the compositional region for precipitation of a crystalline phase within the glass (Besmann et al., 2000).

The requirement to assure the sequestration of HLW for the order of ten thousand years is unprecedented. The limited artifacts which have survived such periods of time allow us to believe that we can safely accomplish this task, however, the level of uncertainty is substantial. Thus, understanding the metastable state of waste forms such as glasses can provide guidance as to the driving forces for chemical and physical changes in the waste forms and to their dissolution behavior. Such metastable state materials can be well-described using thermodynamic equilibrium techniques. Resulting insights into relationships between composition and chemical activity are critical to improving glass durability.

The current interest in the hot repository concept increases the importance for understanding the thermochemical states of these materials. The elevated temperatures (>100°C) of such a repository will result in more significant thermal gradients, more rapid mass transport via diffusion and other mechanisms, and enhanced chemical kinetic rates. It is expected that such elevated temperatures will, for example, cause chemical thermodynamics to predominate over kinetic mechanisms in governing reaction paths for leaching species from glass. The current progress has resulted in an ability to predict activities of species in glass and therefore the ability to determine the driving forces for reactions and transport.

The results of a substantially more accurate model of solution behavior of the constituents of waste glass or spent fuel can be directly input to geochemical models of glass durability, and similarly for codes for the dissolution of spent fuel (Li et al., 1999; Pickett et al., 1998; Jantzen and Plodinec, 1984; Jantzen 1992; Grambow, 1992; Wolery, 1983; Geldart and Kindle, 1988). The ability to model these materials can provide a sound theoretical basis for our confidence in their stability and durability over the necessary long time periods. It will also allow more realistic worst-case prediction of transport and negative chemical reactions between waste forms and container or barrier materials, particularly by the more aggressive constituents such as the alkali metals, cesium, tellurium, and iodine. The result of a more complete understanding of these systems will be significantly less uncertainty in the potential for release from a repository.
Methods and Results

The current EMSP project has been substantially successful in modeling the base glass composition of envisioned HLW glasses. Sub-models having a total of 11 components have been created that accurately represent thermochemical state and phase equilibria of the systems. Significant efforts were required to estimate and modify data for a number of systems where current information failed to be internally consistent. The project, therefore, has also provided basic scientific information on a set of important chemical systems. The model of the Na$_2$O-Al$_2$O$_3$-B$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$ system has been used to predict liquidus and other critical information. It has also demonstrated that it can aid in solving problems such as the precipitation of crystalline nepheline on cooling of HLW glass, which was addressed at the request of a group in the TFA program and has been the subject of a TFA Highlight. Lastly, experimental studies have provided important information on the phase equilibria of the Na$_2$O-B$_2$O$_3$-UO$_3$ system, for which little appears in the literature.

Thermodynamic Data Set Development

The focus of the EMSP project has been on developing accurate thermodynamic data for liquids in binary and ternary subsystems of HLW glass. Interactions of oxide species in a complex, multicomponent liquid solution phase primarily involve two, or at the most three different constituent metal oxides. Thus, the thermodynamic properties of liquid (glass) solutions in a four-component system such as Na$_2$O-Al$_2$O$_3$-B$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$ are represented by combining the interaction energies in the binary and ternary subsystems: Na$_2$O-Al$_2$O$_3$, Na$_2$O-B$_2$O$_3$, Na$_2$O-SiO$_2$, Al$_2$O$_3$-B$_2$O$_3$, Al$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$, B$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$, Na$_2$O-Al$_2$O$_3$-B$_2$O$_3$, Na$_2$O-Al$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$, Na$_2$O-B$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$, and Al$_2$O$_3$-B$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$.

The approach has involved adjusting thermodynamic data of fictive constituents so as to reproduce equilibrium phase diagrams as a means of testing and generating thermodynamic information for glass forming oxide systems. Since a phase diagram graphically depicts the equilibrium chemistry and thermodynamic properties of a system, the diagram can be calculated if the thermodynamic properties are known for all chemical species/phases that can form in the system. The fitting of phase diagrams can always be performed to yield numbers that can be used to back-calculate a phase diagram. However, it is only when the equations used in the fitting model have a sound thermodynamic basis can the values produced be confidently utilized for calculating unstudied regions of the diagram, predicting equilibrium partial pressures and species activities in a system, and modeling more complex chemical systems.

The computer program ChemSage™ (Eriksson and Hack, 1990) is the primary tool used for developing an assessed, internally consistent thermodynamic database, and for subsequent calculations of the equilibrium chemical behavior of the glass systems. The needed thermodynamic data are obtained from the literature and sources such as the assessed SGTE database (1996), our estimates, and simultaneously comparing and optimizing sets of phase equilibria and thermodynamic data. The primary source of phase diagram information is the set of volumes Phase Diagrams for Ceramists plus literature reports, which includes previously optimized thermochemical data; typical examples are seen in Eriksson, Wu, and Pelton (1993). Since a different liquid solution
model is used in the assessments and calculations of this work (Spear et al., 1998) than those of most reported studies, the literature information for liquid solutions is used only for comparison purposes.

A large fraction of the needed thermochemical information has not been measured or reported, so a set of procedures has been developed for estimating or calculating the values. In all cases, the complete set of thermochemical information for a system is refined and tested to give reasonable thermodynamic and phase diagram results over wide ranges of temperature and compositions.

The thermodynamic information needed for each solid, liquid, and gaseous species in high-level nuclear waste glass systems includes:

- 298 K enthalpy of formation, \( \Delta H^\circ_{f,298} \)
- 298 K absolute entropy, \( S^\circ_{298} \)
- Heat capacity relations from 298 K to the highest temperature of interest: 
  \( C_p(298-T_{tr1}) \), with phase transformation temperature \( T_{tr1} \), and enthalpy \( \Delta H_{Ttr1} \),
  \( C_p(T_{tr1}-T_{tr2}) \), with phase transformation temperature \( T_{tr2} \), and enthalpy \( \Delta H_{Ttr2} \),
etc.

If data are not available from published sources, or are incomplete, needed values are estimated to obtain an internally consistent dataset by reconciliation with the phase diagram. The optimization process for a chemical system tests the total set of system data for internal consistency. Due to the extreme sensitivity of the phase equilibria to the thermochemical values, a phase diagram places very restrictive limits on the relative thermodynamic stabilities of phases in a system.

It has been frequently necessary to estimate fusion enthalpies and entropies, enthalpies of formation at 298 K, absolute entropies at 298 K, and \( C_p \) equations for temperatures from 298-3000 K and higher. Trends in chemical reaction values as described by Spear (1976) for entropies and heat capacities are used to obtain those values, and then fitting of phase diagrams is used for obtaining enthalpy of formation values. As an example, in this manner a reliable set of data has been developed for the Na\(_2\)O-Al\(_2\)O\(_3\) system (Table 1). The values shown in italic and underlined were estimated or calculated during the optimization process. As noted above, in general, entropies are estimated and enthalpies of reaction are derived from the phase equilibria. The previously published review (Spear et al., 1999) provides details of assumptions and techniques typically used to develop complete sets of assessed thermodynamic data for specific systems.
Table 1. Optimized Thermodynamic Data Set for the Condensed Na$_2$O-Al$_2$O$_3$ System.*

(a) **Crystalline Species Formulae Written with the Moles of (Na + Al) = 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>n(Na$_2$O)</th>
<th>n(Al$_2$O$_3$)</th>
<th>$\Delta H_{f,298}$(J/mol)</th>
<th>S$_{298}$(J/K-mol)</th>
<th>T$_{fus}$(K)</th>
<th>$\Delta H_{fus}$(J/mol)</th>
<th>$\Delta S_{fus}$(J/K-mol)</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Na$_2$O</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>417,982</td>
<td>75.04</td>
<td>1405</td>
<td>47,698</td>
<td>33.95</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaAlO$_2$</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>1,133,090</td>
<td>70.40</td>
<td>1923</td>
<td>78,543</td>
<td>40.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$<em>2$Al$</em>{12}$O$_{19}$ :/7</td>
<td>0.143</td>
<td>0.857</td>
<td>1,528,335</td>
<td>56.31</td>
<td>1833</td>
<td>78,543</td>
<td>40.84</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$<em>2$Al$</em>{22}$O$_{34}$ :/12</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>0.917</td>
<td>1,593,800</td>
<td>54.18</td>
<td>2273</td>
<td>105,901</td>
<td>46.59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1,675,690</td>
<td>50.94</td>
<td>2325</td>
<td>111,085</td>
<td>47.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(b) **Liquid Species Formulae Written with the Moles of (Na + Al) = 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>n(Na$_2$O)</th>
<th>n(Al$_2$O$_3$)</th>
<th>$\Delta H_{f,298}$(J/mol)</th>
<th>S$_{298}$(J/K-mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Na$_2$O(l)</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>370,284</td>
<td>108.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaAlO$_2$(l)</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>1,054,547</td>
<td>111.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$(l)</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>1,564,605</td>
<td>98.68</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(c) **Heat Capacity Coefficients:** $C_p = a + b \cdot T + c \cdot T^2 + d / T^2$ (J/K-mol)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crystal Species</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>d</th>
<th>T$_{trans}$(K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Na$_2$O(s)</td>
<td>55.480</td>
<td>7.021E-2</td>
<td>-3.054E-5</td>
<td>-414,000</td>
<td>1023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>82.563</td>
<td>1.235E-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>82.563</td>
<td>1.235E-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaAlO$_2$(s)</td>
<td>86.485</td>
<td>4.030E-2</td>
<td>-1.527E-5</td>
<td>-2,062,500</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100.027</td>
<td>1.127E-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1,855,500</td>
<td>2500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$<em>2$Al$</em>{12}$O$_{19}$ :/7</td>
<td>108.631</td>
<td>1.893E-2</td>
<td>-4.363E-6</td>
<td>-3,240,000</td>
<td>112.500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>112.500</td>
<td>1.066E-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-3,180,857</td>
<td>3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$<em>2$Al$</em>{22}$O$_{34}$ :/12</td>
<td>112.323</td>
<td>1.537E-2</td>
<td>-2.546E-6</td>
<td>-3,436,250</td>
<td>114.579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>114.579</td>
<td>1.054E-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-3,401,750</td>
<td>3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$(s)</td>
<td>117.490</td>
<td>1.038E-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-3,711,000</td>
<td>3000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Liquid Species</th>
<th>a</th>
<th>b</th>
<th>c</th>
<th>d</th>
<th>T$_{trans}$(K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Na$_2$O(l)</td>
<td>55.480</td>
<td>7.021E-2</td>
<td>-3.054E-5</td>
<td>-414,000</td>
<td>1045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>82.563</td>
<td>1.235E-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1405</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>82.563</td>
<td>1.235E-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2325</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaAlO$_2$(l)</td>
<td>86.485</td>
<td>4.030E-2</td>
<td>-1.527E-5</td>
<td>-2,062,500</td>
<td>148.532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>148.532</td>
<td>1.127E-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-1,855,500</td>
<td>3000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$(l)</td>
<td>117.490</td>
<td>1.038E-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-3,711,000</td>
<td>192.464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>192.464</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-3,711,000</td>
<td>3000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
* Values in *italics and underlined* were estimated, or calculated during the optimization process.
** A value of zero for the enthalpy of transition indicates no transition occurs, but a new Cp equation is used for the next temperature range.

Solution Model

A modified associate species approach was used to model liquid solutions because it (a) accurately represents the thermodynamic behavior of very complex chemical systems over wide temperature and composition ranges, (b) accurately predicts the activities of components in metastable equilibrium glass phases, (c) allows logical estimation of unknown thermodynamic values with an accuracy much greater than that required for predicting useful engineering limits on thermodynamic activities in solutions, and (d) is relatively easy for non-specialists in thermochemistry to understand and use. The modification to the associate species model is the incorporation of positive regular solution constants to represent any positive interaction energies in a solution. It is thus possible to accurately represent reported immiscibility in solution phases. Ideally mixing associate species accurately represent the solution energies in which end member components exhibit attractive forces. The result is simple, well-behaved equations for free energies that can be confidently extrapolated and interpolated into unstudied temperature and composition ranges.

In oxide systems containing stable intermediate compounds, negative nonideal energies usually exist when mixing end-member component species to form a liquid solution. Such energies are included in the associate species model by adding associated liquid species with their respective formation energies to the solution. For example, in using the modified associate model for the Na₂O-B₂O₃ binary oxide system, associate species Na₂O(l) and B₂O₃(l) along with four intermediate NaₐB₉O₁₄(l) associate species: (1/3)Na₄B₂O₅, NaBO₂, (1/3)Na₂B₄O₇, and (1/5)Na₂B₈O₁₃ are used. The formation energies for these liquid associate species provide the negative excess free energy of solution that would be needed if only components Na₂O(l) and B₂O₃(l) made up the liquid solution. However, liquid immiscibility is also reported for the Na₂O-B₂O₃ system. This positive interaction energy is represented in the modified associate species model by utilizing a regular solution constant for interaction between the liquid associate species that bound the composition region exhibiting immiscibility. In the case of the Na₂O-B₂O₃ system, these liquid species are (1/5)Na₂B₈O₁₃ and B₂O₅.

Note that all liquid associate species given above are written with formulae to have Na+B=2, which equally weights each species with regard to its ideal mixing contribution. This procedure was adopted during the optimization process when phase diagram information was being mathematically compared with thermodynamic data to obtain internally consistent sets of data for a given chemical system. It was determined that for all systems (aluminates, borates, silicates, aluminosilicates, etc.), the thermochemical data could more easily be optimized when liquid associate species contained two non-oxygen atoms per species rather than one. This procedure influences the ideal mixing energy of the solution phase, but the implications of its use are not yet fully understand. However, for very stable liquid associate species, no ideal mixing
energy (ideal mixing entropy) exists at the composition of that associate since one single
species makes up the entire liquid solution at that composition. This aspect of our
modified associate species model produces mixing behavior identical to that of the quasi-
chemical model of Pelton and Blander (Pelton and Blander, 1986; Blander and Pelton,
1987) at the composition of the one intermediate liquid species used in the latter model.

**Binary and Ternary Oxide System Models**

A listing of the chemical systems in which all thermodynamic and phase
equilibria data have been assessed and sub-models developed are listed in Table 2. The
first emphasis was on the subsystems of the Na$_2$O-Al$_2$O$_3$-B$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$. This system is the
basis for essentially all waste glass formulations. As mentioned above, the solution
energies and behavior of four-component and higher order systems are represented by
combining the interaction terms for the binary and ternary subsystems since the
simultaneous interaction of more than three species is unlikely to have a significant
influence on this energy. Thus, the Na$_2$O-Al$_2$O$_3$-B$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$ solution energies are
represented by interaction terms for the binary and ternary subsystems: Na$_2$O-Al$_2$O$_3$,  
Na$_2$O-B$_2$O$_3$, Na$_2$O-SiO$_2$, Al$_2$O$_3$-B$_2$O$_3$, Al$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$, B$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$, Na$_2$O-Al$_2$O$_3$-B$_2$O$_3$, Na$_2$O-
Al$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$, Na$_2$O-B$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$, and Al$_2$O$_3$-B$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$.

In order to determine the effects of other oxide components, systems containing
Li$_2$O, K$_2$O, MgO, and CaO have been modeled. The primary focus has been on
completing the CaO-containing systems so that the generic effect of alkaline earth oxides
can be determined. The Na$_2$O-component represents generic effects of alkali metal
oxides.

**Na$_2$O-Al$_2$O$_3$-B$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$ System Model**

Utilizing the developed sub-models, an internally consistent thermodynamic and
phase diagram equilibria model for the four-component Na$_2$O-Al$_2$O$_3$-B$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$ system
has been developed. The solution data for the four-component system are represented by
combining the interaction terms for the binary and ternary subsystems: Na$_2$O-Al$_2$O$_3$,  
Na$_2$O-B$_2$O$_3$, Na$_2$O-SiO$_2$, Al$_2$O$_3$-B$_2$O$_3$, Al$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$, B$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$, Na$_2$O-Al$_2$O$_3$-B$_2$O$_3$, Na$_2$O-
Al$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$, Na$_2$O-B$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$, and Al$_2$O$_3$-B$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$.

The complex ternary Na$_2$O-Al$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$ system and its binary subsystems were
focused on first. There are no previously published set of thermodynamic data that
would reproduce the phase equilibria in this ternary system. In order to reproduce the
binary portion of the ternary system between NaAlSiO$_4$ and SiO$_2$, as shown in Fig. 1,
ternary associate liquid species of (2/3)NaAlSiO$_4$ (nepheline/carnegieite composition) and
(1/2)NaAlSi$_2$O$_6$ (jadeite composition) had to be used, and the thermodynamic data for
these liquid species determined. An associate liquid species with the composition of
albite [(2/5)NaAlSi$_3$O$_8$] was not needed in the liquid solution. The nepheline/carnegieite
solid state phase transition is included for the NaAlSiO$_4$ crystalline phase.
Table 2. Current Status of Model Development.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Systems</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3)-(\text{B}_2\text{O}_3)-(\text{SiO}_2) Systems</td>
<td>completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3)-(\text{B}_2\text{O}_3)</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{B}_2\text{O}_3)-(\text{SiO}_2)</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3)-(\text{SiO}_2)</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3)-(\text{B}_2\text{O}_3)-(\text{SiO}_2)</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Na}_2\text{O})-(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3)-(\text{B}_2\text{O}_3)-(\text{SiO}_2)</td>
<td>Systems completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Na}_2\text{O})-(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3)</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Na}_2\text{O})-(\text{B}_2\text{O}_3)</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Na}_2\text{O})-(\text{SiO}_2)</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Na}_2\text{O})-(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3)-(\text{B}_2\text{O}_3)</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Na}_2\text{O})-(\text{B}_2\text{O}_3)-(\text{SiO}_2)</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Na}_2\text{O})-(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3)-(\text{SiO}_2)</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{K}_2\text{O})-(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3)-(\text{B}_2\text{O}_3)-(\text{SiO}_2)</td>
<td>Systems completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{K}_2\text{O})-(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3)</td>
<td>partial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{K}_2\text{O})-(\text{SiO}_2)</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Li}_2\text{O})-(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3)-(\text{B}_2\text{O}_3)-(\text{SiO}_2)</td>
<td>Systems completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Li}_2\text{O})-(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3)</td>
<td>partial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Li}_2\text{O})-(\text{SiO}_2)</td>
<td>partial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{CaO})-(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3)-(\text{B}_2\text{O}_3)-(\text{SiO}_2)</td>
<td>Systems completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{CaO})-(\text{SiO}_2)</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{CaO})-(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3)</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{CaO})-(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3)-(\text{SiO}_2)</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{MgO})-(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3)-(\text{B}_2\text{O}_3)-(\text{SiO}_2)</td>
<td>Systems completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{MgO})-(\text{SiO}_2)</td>
<td>partial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{MgO})-(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3)</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{(CaO-MgO)})-(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3)-(\text{B}_2\text{O}_3)-(\text{SiO}_2)</td>
<td>Systems completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{CaO-MgO})</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{(FeO-Fe}_2\text{O}_3))-(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3)-(\text{B}_2\text{O}_3)-(\text{SiO}_2)</td>
<td>Systems completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Fe-Fe}_2\text{O}_3)</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{(FeO-Fe}_2\text{O}_3))-(\text{SiO}_2)</td>
<td>partial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{NiO})-(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3)-(\text{B}_2\text{O}_3)-(\text{SiO}_2)</td>
<td>Systems completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Ni-NiO})</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{NiO})-(\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3)</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{NiO-B}_2\text{O}_3)</td>
<td>partial</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{NiO-SiO}_2)</td>
<td>partial</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. (a) Computed and (b) published pseudo-binary phase diagram for the nepheline/carnegieite-albite-silica system (No. 10013 in Phase Diagrams for Ceramists).

The critical sodium borosilicate glass system, Na$_2$O-B$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$, has not previously been well defined in terms of thermodynamic properties. More than half of the thermodynamic data for the binary subsystems and ternary system had to be estimated and/or calculated with the use of partial phase equilibria information. The calculated binary diagram for the Na$_2$O-SiO$_2$ systems, and the activities ($a_i$) of liquid species at 1500°C are shown in Figs. 2 and 3. Liquid immiscibility is reported for each of the binary systems Na$_2$O-SiO$_2$, Na$_2$O-B$_2$O$_3$, and SiO$_2$-B$_2$O$_3$, and this immiscibility extends into the ternary system. The positive interaction energy is represented in the solution model by utilizing a regular solution constant for interactions between the liquid associate species that bound the composition region exhibiting immiscibility. For the Na$_2$O-SiO$_2$ system, these liquid species are $(1/2)$Na$_2$S$_2$O$_5$ and Si$_2$O$_4$; for Na$_2$O-B$_2$O$_3$, these liquid species are $(1/5)$Na$_2$B$_8$O$_{13}$ and B$_2$O$_3$; for SiO$_2$-B$_2$O$_3$, these liquid species are B$_2$O$_3$ and Si$_2$O$_4$. 
Fig. 2. Calculated Na$_2$O-SiO$_2$ phase diagram using the optimized data for this system.

Fig. 3. Calculated Na$_2$O-SiO$_2$ liquid species activities ($a_i$) at 1500$^\circ$C using the optimized data for this system.
Spinel-Forming Systems

Spinel precipitation (AB$_2$O$_4$ phases where A is a transition metal) has been a major problem area in HLW glass processing and storage. Thus, recent emphasis has been placed on examining FeO$_x$- and NiO-containing systems, with Cr$_2$O$_3$ included in future envisioned work. The influence on, for example, FeO$_x$ activity of various composition changes in the Na$_2$O-CaO-Al$_2$O$_3$-B$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$-(Fe-Fe$_2$O$_3$) system can be determined only with reliable thermochemical data for the various binary and ternary combinations of oxides in this system. Critical to this is reliable data for the Fe-Fe$_2$O$_3$ system. A set of data has been developed which will calculate the basic phase equilibria for the Fe-Fe$_2$O$_3$ system, except for the FeO solid solution range, which was not modeled. This now provides the necessary reliable data for the liquid Fe-Fe$_2$O$_3$ compositions for the glass solution phases. Critical to the accuracy of the model of this system was that calculated oxygen partial pressures as a function of temperature for the Fe-FeO, FeO-Fe$_3$O$_4$, and Fe$_3$O$_4$-Fe$_2$O$_3$ two-phase regions were in agreement with the well-established values.

Experimental Studies of the B$_2$O$_3$-U(VI) Oxide System

Experimental studies have been conducted on the uranium oxide-boron oxide (B$_2$O$_3$) system. The region of interest for waste glass is the boron oxide-rich side of mixtures with U(VI) oxide. Little is known about this system, with one compound known to exist: uranyl borate, UO$_2$(BO$_2$)$_2$, which may also be expressed as UO$_3$•B$_2$O$_3$. Differential thermal analysis (DTA) was used to determine phase and crystalline transitions for samples in this system.

The initial components were B$_2$O$_3$ and U$_3$O$_8$. These react in air to form uranyl borate:

$$U_3O_8 + 3 B_2O_3 + \frac{1}{2} O_2 \rightarrow 3 UO_2(BO_2)_2$$

Table 3 gives the heating schedule used in the DTA studies and Table 4 lists the transitions above 450°C, the melting point of B$_2$O$_3$. The first transition is at 795°C and most likely represents a crystalline transformation of UO$_2$(BO$_2$)$_2$, and the second transition near 1100°C is its decomposition temperature.

**Table 3. Differential thermal analysis heating schedule.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Step</th>
<th>Ramp Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1$^{st}$ step</td>
<td>300°C/h from 50 to 350°C, with a 1 h hold at 350°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2$^{nd}$ step</td>
<td>120°C/h from 350 to 1260°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3$^{rd}$ step</td>
<td>500°C/h from 1260 to 90°C, with a 24 h hold at 90°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Transition Temperatures (°C) for B$_2$O$_3$ - UO$_3$ System

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mol % UO$_3$</th>
<th>1st Transition</th>
<th>2nd Transition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>770 ?</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>800 ?</td>
<td>n/o*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>795</td>
<td>1096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>795</td>
<td>1097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.8</td>
<td>795</td>
<td>1105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.7</td>
<td>795</td>
<td>1105</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Not observable

At the lowest concentrations, transitions became difficult to determine accurately; those indicated by "?" being particularly uncertain.

The standard free energy of formation ($\Delta G^o_T$ in kJ/mol) for UO$_2$(BO$_2$)$_2$ was estimated from its decomposition temperature and its hydrolysis as:

$$\Delta G^o_T = 2.52 \times 10^3 + 5.17 \times 10^{-1} T$$

Uranyl borate forms slowly at temperatures below ~ 1000°C. Tests with bulk samples heated at 930°C for a day failed to produce the compound. In the DTA studies, a yellow-green product formed after the samples were cycled through a heating-cooling cycle. Thus, the transitions reported in Table 4 were obtained on the second or third heating-cooling cycle. Some weight loss was observed during these cycles. This is attributed to volatilization of B$_2$O$_3$. The mol % figures in Table 4 are corrected for this loss. Figure 4 depicts the partial phase diagram that can be derived from this data. The position of the liquidus is conjectural, and included for illustrative purposes.

For waste applications involving sequestration of uranium, a wide range of solubility of UOX is desirable, and the transition to a two-phase region (i.e, liquid + crystal) should occur at a sufficiently low temperature such that the liquid transitions to glass before entering the two-phase region. That is not the case in this system, where the liquidus boundary (sketched as a dashed line at the left of the diagram) lies somewhere below 0.75 mol% UO$_3$. Alternatively, if the kinetics of crystal formation is sufficiently slow, the liquid may solidify to a glass before crystal formation occurs. The kinetics of formation of the crystalline phase were fairly slow in the composition region explored, but on balance, the low solubility limit and limited stability region made this system appear unpromising. For this reason, the system was extended to include Na$_2$O.
Experimental Studies of the Na$_2$B$_4$O$_7$ - U(VI) Oxide System

Thermodynamic calculations indicated that the addition of Na$_2$O to the system would lead to stabilization of uranium oxides. In the calculations, this took the form of formation of more stable adducts of Na$_2$O, B$_2$O$_3$, and UO$_3$ from an initial mixture of Na$_2$O and those compounds stable in the B$_2$O$_3$ - UO$_3$ system. It was considered plausible that the same trend would carry over into liquid or glass phases.

There is very little information in the literature on the Na$_2$O - B$_2$O$_3$ - UO$_3$ system. One compound has been reported (Na$_5$B$_2$U$_2$O$_{10}$) which decomposes at 1100°C. Infrared, visible and Raman spectroscopy has been performed on a glass with the composition 2 mol % UO$_3$, 98% Na$_2$B$_4$O$_7$ (Hoekstra, 1967). Ciric (1961) mapped composition regions observed to form glasses, mixed glass and crystalline solids, and pure crystalline phases from melts composed of Na$_2$O, B$_2$O$_3$, and UO$_2$ (Culea et al, 1971). Figure 5 shows the known compounds in this system, as well as the approximate boundary of Ciric’s glass-forming region. Also indicated are four compositions examined in the experiments reported here.

Ciric’s report (1961) suggests that in a UO$_2$ / Na$_2$B$_4$O$_7$ mixture, UO$_2$ loadings up to about 40 wt % (31 mole % UO$_2$) may be possible without the precipitation of crystalline phases on cooling. Since higher uranium loadings are of greater technological interest, compositions between about 15 and 45 mole% UO$_3$, (the balance being Na$_2$B$_4$O$_7$) were targeted for examination. In the presence of oxygen, the initial uranium oxide is likely, on thermodynamic grounds, to convert to its stable form during sample preparation, probably UO$_3$.

Preliminary experiments were performed on two compositions (15 and 25 mol %). This was extended to three further sets of samples at compositions of 25, 35 and 45
mole %. Samples were characterized by DTA and by XRD. Scoping tests were done with the goal of examining the solubility of the higher-loaded compositions in aqueous media of widely varying pH. Sample preparation details are contained in Table 5. After preparation, the samples from both series visually appeared to be glassy and homogeneous.

Fig. 5. Circles represent glass samples prepared in this work and triangles are known crystalline phases. The line encloses the region determined by Ciric (1961) in which glass forms during cooling.

Table 5. Aqueous test sample series description

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mix No.</th>
<th>Sample numbers</th>
<th>UO₃</th>
<th>Na₂B₂O₇</th>
<th>UO₃</th>
<th>Na₂B₄O₇</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>mol %</td>
<td>mol %</td>
<td>gm</td>
<td>gm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>1,2,3,4</td>
<td>25.1%</td>
<td>74.9%</td>
<td>0.3234</td>
<td>0.6784</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>5,6,7,8</td>
<td>35.0%</td>
<td>65.0%</td>
<td>0.4337</td>
<td>0.5666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>9,10,11,12</td>
<td>45.0%</td>
<td>55.0%</td>
<td>0.3997</td>
<td>0.3436</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Following sample preparation, a third heat-up was conducted on samples 99-a, 99-b, and 99-c, this time to 1262°C at 120°C/h, during which a DTA study was conducted. The 15% sample appeared to exhibit transitions at 410 and 1130°C, plus a strong transition of indistinct onset between 800 and 850°C; the 25% samples indicated transitions at 660, 880, and 1155°C.
One sample of each of mixtures I, II and III was examined by TGA and DTA. TGA/DTA scans were done in a 20% O\textsubscript{2}/80% N\textsubscript{2} mixture. The samples were heated at approximately 140 °C/h up to 1265°C. TGA traces showed that all samples experienced a slight weight loss in the temperature range 100 to 250°C and again above 1100°C. The DTA traces showed a modest endothermic response to the higher temperature weight loss (as one might expect). In addition to that response, several transitions were observed in the DTA trace. The temperatures of these transitions are listed in Table 6. Meaningful interpretation of these transitions will require further observations and characterization.

Table 6. DTA transitions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>mole % UO\textsubscript{3}</th>
<th>Transition temperatures (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>99-c</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>410, 800-850(broad), 1130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>99-a</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>660, 880, 1155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-4</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>720, 950 (weak), 1210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II-8</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>730, 987 (weak), 1140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-12</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>738, 950 (weak), 1211</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

X-ray diffraction was performed on a subsample of 99-a (one of the 25% samples) prior to the high-temperature heat-up and again after the high-temperature exposure. An automated spectral library search of the results both before and after the high temperature exposure suggested the presence of Na\textsubscript{2}U\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5}, NaUBO\textsubscript{5}, and possibly UO\textsubscript{2}, UO\textsubscript{3}, and U\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{8}. Post heat-up samples showed increased Na\textsubscript{2}U\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} and uranium oxide content. The XRD identifications suggest both U(IV) and U(VI) compounds in the material as well as the presence of at least some crystalline phase, in spite of the overall glassy appearance of the material. This may indicate that the overall formula was outside the glass-forming region for the temperature program used or, alternatively, it could simply reflect dissolution kinetics.

One sample from each composition of the later series was also examined by X-ray diffraction. The diffraction data was obtained with a Siemens D5005 XRD unit. The X-ray source utilized the Cu K\textsubscript{a} line (0.154 nm). Samples examined were those numbered I-4, II-8, and III-12 (nominally 25, 35 and 45 mole % UO\textsubscript{3}). XRD was performed after they had been subjected to TGA/DTA, during which they which reached temperatures of 1265°C. Samples I-4 (25%) and II-12 (45%) showed only very weak diffraction patterns, indicative of largely amorphous samples with possibly very minor crystalline inclusions. Sample II-8 (35%) showed no diffraction lines. Diffraction lines observed for I-4 and III-12 are indicated in Table 7. Relative intensities of the lines observed are also listed, but it should be noted that in an absolute sense, all these lines were very weak, some barely above the background noise level of the XRD spectra. Interferences from considerably stronger lines from the sample holder were removed by spectral subtraction. The strongest line in both samples fell in the vicinity of such a background line, but seemed to be present after subtraction. The other lines listed in Table 7 did not have such interferences. Comparison of these lines with reference patterns for plausible oxide compounds of uranium, boron, and sodium showed resemblances, but no definitive
match. The conclusion to be drawn from the X-ray diffraction analysis is that samples 4 and 12 had only minimal crystalline content and 8 appeared completely amorphous.

Table 7. X-ray diffraction data for samples I-4 and III-12.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample number</th>
<th>d (Å)</th>
<th>Relative intensity</th>
<th>Note</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I-4 (25% UO$_3$)</td>
<td>4.436</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4.168</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.517</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III-12 (45% UO$_3$)</td>
<td>3.517</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.665</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.932</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note*  
(a) Peak due to the sample container interfered; value shown is the residual after spectral subtraction.

Scoping tests were performed on the solubility in aqueous media of the later set of glasses. The solution was analyzed by ICP to determine the quantity of B and U that had gone into solution. Results for each sample are listed in Table 8.

Table 8 - Solubility test results. The fraction in the solvent is the fraction in the sample that appeared in the solution phase.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formula (note 1,2)</th>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Sample mass (g)</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Conc. in solvent (wt. ppm)</th>
<th>U (wt. ppm)</th>
<th>B (wt. ppm)</th>
<th>U Fraction</th>
<th>B Fraction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I 1</td>
<td>0.1975</td>
<td>1M HNO$_3$</td>
<td>1627</td>
<td>6783</td>
<td>1.53%</td>
<td>11.80%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I 2</td>
<td>0.2003</td>
<td>1M HNO$_3$</td>
<td>5690</td>
<td>2188</td>
<td>3.94%</td>
<td>4.49%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III 3</td>
<td>0.2064</td>
<td>1M HNO$_3$</td>
<td>4111</td>
<td>3711</td>
<td>2.23%</td>
<td>9.05%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I - h 4-hb</td>
<td>0.0372</td>
<td>water</td>
<td>1067</td>
<td>8636</td>
<td>3.73%</td>
<td>55.67%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I - h 4-ha</td>
<td>0.1936</td>
<td>water</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>164</td>
<td>1.91%</td>
<td>1.51%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II 7</td>
<td>0.204</td>
<td>water</td>
<td>665</td>
<td>5812</td>
<td>0.45%</td>
<td>11.70%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II - h 8-h</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>water</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>2.46%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III 11</td>
<td>0.2082</td>
<td>water</td>
<td>1178</td>
<td>11324</td>
<td>0.63%</td>
<td>27.38%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III - h 12-h</td>
<td>0.0363</td>
<td>water</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>356</td>
<td>0.09%</td>
<td>4.94%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I 2</td>
<td>0.2079</td>
<td>1M NaOH</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>5379</td>
<td>0.06%</td>
<td>8.89%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I - h 4-ha</td>
<td>0.0611</td>
<td>1M NaOH</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7658</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>43.07%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II 6</td>
<td>0.2049</td>
<td>1M NaOH</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>5611</td>
<td>0.06%</td>
<td>11.25%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III 12</td>
<td>0.1033</td>
<td>1M NaOH</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>13398</td>
<td>0.05%</td>
<td>65.28%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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(note 1) Types I, II, and III are nominally 25, 35 and 45 mol % UO$_3$, respectively
(note 2) “h” indicates sample used after TGA heat treatment (1260°C)
(note 3) Formed a 3-part gel -- not further analyzed

These solubility tests were intended to very roughly scope the solubility behavior of some of the higher U-loaded glasses. Some general observations to be drawn from the solubility tests are:

1) Most samples did not dissolve congruently. That is, a larger proportion of B ended up in solution compared to U. This could lead to elemental separation during solution and concentration of U in the undissolved residue. This was particularly true of solubility in alkaline solution, in which at least two orders of magnitude separated the U and B fractions dissolved.

2) U-solubility (not surprisingly) decreases in going from acid to neutral to basic solution.

3) The as-prepared samples exhibited solubilities in the thousands of ppm (U/solvent) in acid; generally less in water; and under 100 in alkaline solution. B-solubility did not vary a great deal with pH among the as-prepared samples, being in the high thousands of ppm.

4) Samples exposed to the higher temperatures during TGA (up to 1260°C) were less soluble, U in two cases being undetectable in the solvent and considerably reduced in the other two cases. B-solubility in the high temperature-exposed samples was lower by more than an order of magnitude when compared to the corresponding lower-temperature prepared samples.

5) There did not appear to be a striking difference in the solution behavior across the three compositions examined, though the highest U-concentration set (III, 45 mole % UO$_3$) tended to yield the largest solubility of boron in neutral or alkaline media.

Modeling Nepheline Precipitation in HLW Glass

A problem identified at PNNL is the precipitation within certain compositions during the cooling of glass of a nepheline phase (NaAlSiO$_4$), which weakens the network structure by removing the glass formers Al$_2$O$_3$ and SiO$_2$. The result is that nepheline precipitation in HLW glass limits waste species loading. It has been observed that compositions rich in Al$_2$O$_3$ and Na$_2$O are particularly prone to precipitating nepheline (Li et al., 1997). Based on a request from TFA researchers at PNNL this issue was addressed using the modeling capability.

The rapid kinetics of nepheline formation in the cooling glass suggests that an equilibrium thermodynamic model may provide useful insights with regard to the compositional parameters governing its precipitation. As a result, the thermochemical model for the Na$_2$O-Al$_2$O$_3$-B$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$ crystalline and glass system developed in the current work was applied to the assessment of this problem.
It has been observed that the precipitation of nepheline occurs during glass cooling in the absence of other crystalline phases (Li et al., 1997). It is thus possible to model this behavior utilizing pseudo-equilibrium calculations in which nepheline and the glass/liquid phase are the only phases allowed to form, with all other crystalline phases prevented from being present. The thermochemical computations, therefore, would indicate either the glass alone is present, or the glass is present in equilibrium with nepheline.

Utilizing the ChemSage™ software and the thermochemical data for the Na₂O-Al₂O₃-B₂O₃-SiO₂ system, the composition space of the ternary oxide Na₂O-Al₂O₃-SiO₂ system was explored at 800°C with no boria present and with 30 wt% boria. The results can be seen in the ternary diagram of Fig. 6 with the binary oxides and the nepheline compositions indicated.

![Ternary Diagram](image)

Fig. 6. Ternary Na₂O-Al₂O₃-SiO₂ phase space (wt. %) showing the computed stability region for nepheline plus the glass phase at 800°C with no boria (----) and 30 wt.% boria (-----) along with experimentally determined precipitation data (Li et al., 1997).

Apparent from the calculational results is the wide compositional range over which nepheline is stable. The maximum silica composition lies along the SiO₂-Na₂O•Al₂O₃ join. Important for the selection of waste compositions is the observation that the stability region decreases to lower silica content with increasing boria. Experimental results are also shown on the diagram, and agree reasonably with the results of the calculations. In the experimental work a variety of boria contents were used which span the 0-30 wt.% range, however, the Na₂O-Al₂O₃-B₂O₃-SiO₂ system also contained
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other components representative of practical waste compositions such as Li₂O, K₂O, CaO, and Fe₂O₃. Similar calculations were performed for the system at 600°C, and in that case the stability range for nepheline moved to higher silica contents, having a maximum on the ternary diagram of 90 wt.% silica without boria and 75 wt.% silica with 30 wt.% boria.

To aid in controlling nepheline precipitation it is useful to know the temperature at which the phase will form. Figure 7 is a plot of the calculated formation temperatures in the presence of the glass phase over the nepheline-albite (NaAlSi₃O₈) compositional range. The calculations were performed with no boria present and with 30 wt% boria, with and without other phases allowed to form. The boria content can be seen to substantially reduce the formation temperature, with the potential presence of other phases not having a significant effect.

![Fig. 7. Formation temperature for nepheline with no boria and 30 wt% boria, assuming all phases can form or only the glass and nepheline.](image)

The amount of nepheline expected to form under the pseudo-equilibrium conditions also varies with composition. Utilizing the thermochemical computations the amount of the glass and nepheline phases predicted can be determined under any set of conditions. Figure 8 contains plots of the phase amounts at 800°C with no boria present and with 30 wt% boria for a low silica (55 wt%) and a high silica (65 wt%) content. Note that for simplicity in presentation the non-boria constituents are fixed to add to 100 wt%, and the Na₂O content is thus the difference of the sum of the Al₂O₃ and SiO₂. As expected from the phase relations, both higher boria and higher silica results in lower nepheline amounts.
The liquidus curves for waste compositions have a direct influence on glass processing and the likelihood of second phase precipitation, such as that of nepheline. Equilibrium calculations were performed over the compositional region between nepheline and albite assuming all phases in the system can form. The resulting liquidus temperatures were plotted for compositions with 10 wt% and 30 wt% boria (Fig. 9). Over this region the effect of boria content is small, with the liquidus temperature varying smoothly from the nepheline melting point to that for the albite. At melting in the 10 wt% boria system the phase present with the liquid is nepheline at low albite compositions and the $\text{Al}_6\text{B}_{1.33}\text{O}_{11}\cdot\text{Al}_6\text{Si}_2\text{O}_{13}$ solid solution at high albite compositions. In the 30 wt% boria system the solid solution phase is in equilibrium with the liquid over the entire compositional range.

![Graph](image)

Fig. 8. Amount of nepheline and glass computed to form at 800°C as a function of composition.

The predicted stability region for the formation of nepheline can have a significant influence on waste glass compositions. From the current work it is apparent that nepheline formation can be avoided by utilizing compositions high in silica and boria. In addition, even within the nepheline formation region, the amount of nepheline can be suppressed by higher silica and boria contents. The effect of these components on
nepheline formation is directly related to lowering the activity of Na$_2$O in the glass phase, restricting the sodium available to form nepheline.

Fig. 9. Liquidus curves for (a) 10 wt% boria and (b) 30 wt% boria.

Reducing the liquidus temperature can also aid in controlling nepheline formation and content. Compositions with lower nepheline formation temperatures are less likely to actually form the phase during cooling of the glass since at the lower temperature kinetics will be slower.

These results are in general agreement with experimental observations. It is expected that they will also provide guidance in the development of waste formulations that can avoid or minimize the detrimental formation of crystalline nepheline inclusions in glass that can occur during waste canister cooling.

Relevance, Impact, and Technology Transfer

The research performed in this EMSP project has fundamental importance for the treatment and disposal of both HLW and LAW – two major EM mission areas. This research serves at least three U.S. DOE sites: Hanford, Savannah River, and INEEL.

For HLW treatment and disposal, the results of the research will aid in optimizing waste glass compositions, increasing waste loading, improving models for plant operation and waste form acceptance, and possibly decreasing testing requirements. A number of published site needs refer to the necessity for a better understanding of the effects of composition, temperature, and oxygen partial pressure on key glass properties such as liquidus temperature, component solubilities, and glass durability.

The project has helped fulfill the need for improved models for liquidus temperature due to the current high level of uncertainty of liquidus temperature models, which is also a TFA need. (Supports EM Need ID: SR00-2032, “Optimize Melter Glass Chemistry and Increase Waste Loading.”) An application of the model to investigate crystalline phase formation in HLW glass was the subject of a TFA Highlight. The HLW
The associate species model has important implications for the crystal and defect chemistry of ceramic systems. The associate model assumes that complex oxide species containing two or more metals can represent the strong interactions of the binary end members. Equilibrium, ideal solution calculations which include these complex species will give an indication as to their importance through their computed activities. Complex species with higher activities may provide indications of strong binary interactions, and thus the possible precipitation of new phases. This, in turn, provides insight with regard to the possible formation of new phases in waste glass formulations.
to bonding in glass systems. Such information can provide direction for study of the association and bonding of these binary, glass constituents. These studies add to the understanding of glass bonding and stability, which remains largely inadequate, and to the crystal chemistry of complex ceramic systems with a number of dissolved constituents.

The research on complex waste glass forms can have many technological spinoff benefits for other government and industrial materials programs. Chemical interactions at interfaces in glass matrix composites critically determine their properties and usage lifetimes. These interactions can be tailored by "alloying" the glass. Thermochemical modeling of the interactions has proven very effective in designing optimum glass compositions. (Qi et al., 1993; Pantano et al., 1993; Spear et al., 1987a,b) An example of a problem to which the model has already been applied is the corrosion of refractories in glass melting tanks (Allendorf and Spear, 2001). As glass compositions become more and more complex, like alloy systems, understanding and predicting the chemical interactions between the glass and its container and environment becomes much more difficult. The use of complex associate species to represent the thermodynamic properties of the glass will greatly enhance the ability to model these interactions.

A good foundation has been established by the development of the solution model for the alkali-alumino-borosilicate glass system, which forms the basis of the glasses proposed for immobilization of Hanford low activity waste (LAW) and HLW, INEEL sodium-bearing waste (SBW) and calcine waste, and Savannah River HLW. This basic model showed good correlation with experimental data from multi-component waste glasses. What truly hindered initial progress was the surprising inability of existing thermochemical data for the basic Na$_2$O-Al$_2$O$_3$-B$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$ system to properly reproduce experimental phase equilibria. Thus, although much effort was therefore expended on remedying this situation, the result is now a very accurate model for this very important system.

Technology transfer to EM activities has been through interactions with PNNL staff. Periodic teleconferences and meetings at conferences, plus a meeting at PNNL, has resulted in PNNL acquiring an thorough understanding of the EMSP activity. In addition, PNNL staff have provided guidance with regard to problems of particular interest, such as nepheline precipitation.

The EMSP project had very significant effects beyond the confines of the program. The models developed for glass were subsequently used to solve a very costly problem in the corrosion of refractories for glass production (Allendorf and Spear, 2000). The effort resulted in another laboratory, Sandia National Laboratories – Livermore, to become conversant in the techniques and to apply those through a DOE Office of Industrial Technologies project. The glass industry as a whole is now cognizant of these capabilities, and there is a Glass Manufacturer’s Research Institute proposal in this area that will likely be supported. In addition, individual glass companies are inquiring as to modeling work at ORNL.
Project Productivity

The EMSP project has been substantially successful in modeling the base glass composition of envisioned HLW glass. Sub-models having a total of 11 components have been created that accurately represent the thermochemical and phase equilibria of the systems. Significant efforts were required to estimate and modify data for a number of systems where current information failed to be internally consistent. The project, therefore, has also provided basic scientific information on a set of important chemical systems. The model of the Na$_2$O-Al$_2$O$_3$-B$_2$O$_3$-SiO$_2$ system has been used to predict liquidus and other critical information. It has also demonstrated that it can aid in solving problems such as the precipitation of crystalline nepheline in cooling HLW glass, which was addressed at the request of a group in the TFA program and has been the subject of a TFA Highlight. Lastly, experimental studies have provided important information on the phase equilibria of the Na$_2$O-B$_2$O$_3$-UO$_3$ system, for which little appears in the literature.

Work that remains to be performed, and which it was hoped could have been performed in the project, includes the expansion and extension of the model to allow for the prediction of key glass/melt properties. The loading of waste in glass is typically determined by the solution behavior of a few, relatively minor, components, the modeling of which could not be accomplished under the current program due to lack of time and resources. For example, the loading of Savannah River HLW in glass is ultimately determined by model constraints for homogeneity and liquidus temperature, the loading of Hanford LAW in glass will be determined by sulfur solubility and resultant durability, the loading of Hanford HLW in glass will be determined by chromium, zirconium, manganese, nickel, and phosphorus species solubilities (roughly in that order), the loading of SBW in glass will be determined by sulfur and phosphorus solubilities and durability, the loading of INEEL calcine HLW in glass will be determined by the solubilities of fluorine, phosphorus, zirconium, calcium, and molybdenum species. The solubilities of these key components in the basic alkali-alumino-borosilicate melt/glass are functions of glass compositions, temperature, and oxygen partial pressure. The associate species model needs to be expanded to include these key waste components thereby yielding a tool to relate the state functions (composition, pressure, and temperature) to the activity of components in solution.

TFA Highlight

Accomplishments of the EMSP project were recognized as a “highlight” for the Tanks Focus Area.

TANKS FOCUS AREA (TFA) TECHNICAL HIGHLIGHTS
PERIOD ENDING OCTOBER 31, 1999

TFA/EMSP MODELING EFFORTS CONFIRM GLASS EXPERIMENTS (TMS 2009)
Throughout the DOE complex, vitrification is the preferred method for immobilizing high-level waste (HLW) in a form suitable for final disposal. One of the goals of TFA’s immobilization activities is to increase the amount of waste that is loaded into HLW glasses, thereby reducing the number of storage
canisters needed, leading to reduced life-cycle treatment and disposal costs.

At Oak Ridge Reservation (ORR), the Environmental Management Science Program (EMSP) project "Stability of High-Level Nuclear Waste Forms" is developing a thermochemical model of waste glass formulations. Recent modeling efforts at Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) focused on the effect of boron oxide on the formation of a crystalline phase nepheline (NaAlSiO₄) in HLW glasses. A thermochemical model of glass was exercised to determine the phases over which nepheline would co-exist with the glass, using compositions with no boron oxide and those with 30 wt% boron oxide. A significant trend in the computations, confirmed by previous observations at Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, indicated the stability region for nepheline shifted to lower silica contents with the presence of boron oxide. Additionally, increased boron oxide content in the glass substantially reduced the amount of nepheline formation, even in thermochemically stable regions. Thus, the model results are consistent with experimental observations, and explain those observations.

EMSP work on glass formulations relates to TFA's "Waste Loading Optimization" task, which identified that precipitation of nepheline during the cooling of waste glass is a significant problem (nepheline precipitators within the glass decrease glass stability, leading to high leach rates). The model described above may be predictive with regard to nepheline effects, and also aid in identifying optimal glass compositions for waste glass. This has significant consequences for maximizing waste loading at the Hanford Site. (Contact: Bill Holtzscheiter, WSRC, 803-725-2170)
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Interactions:

Presentations


"Theory and Applications of a Thermodynamic Model for Glass," Seminar at University of Minnesota, Minneapolis (Local Section of ECS) Karl E. Spear, April 12, 2001.

Consultative and Advisory Functions

T. M. Besmann presented research results and obtained feedback from staff at the Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, June 7, 2000, at PNNL. Staff involved included PNNL Principal Investigators John Vienna and Pavel Hrma.

T. M. Besmann, E. C. Beahm, L. D. Trowbridge, and K. E. Spear, have provided teleconference and e-mail support to efforts at PNNL on a periodic basis.

K. E. Spear and T. M. Besmann have provided periodic contact and consultation to M. D. Allendorf at Sandia National Laboratories – Livermore.

Collaborations

K. E. Spear has collaborated with M. D. Allendorf of Sandia National Laboratories (SNL) – Livermore on a DOE-OIT project to understand and design mitigation for corrosion of refractories in glass remelt furnaces.

Transitions

Thermochemical understanding of nepheline precipitation in nuclear waste glass has provided guidance with regard to selection of compositions. Confirming experiment, it was determined that increasing silica and boria contents suppress nepheline precipitation, and therefore can increase waste loading. This was applied by PNNL – John Vienna and Pavel Hrma.

Information was used through a DOE-OIT project, described above, to understand refractories corrosion in a glass remelt furnace. This knowledge has allowed glass manufacturers to select refractories compositions that will be less susceptible to corrosion. This was applied by SNL, M. D. Allendorf, to their analysis, and is being adopted by the glass industry.

Patents – None
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