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Abstract. The LEDA RFQ is a 350-MHz continuous-wave (CW) radio-frequency quadrupole linac. LEDA was designed as the full power front-end prototype for the accelerator production of tritium (APT) linac. This machine has accelerated a 100-mA CW proton beam from 75 keV to 6.7 MeV. The 8-m-long RFQ accepts a dc, 75–keV, ~110-mA H⁺ beam from the LEDA injector, bunches the beam, and accelerates it to full energy with ~94% transmission. Output beam power is 670 kW. This RFQ consists of four 2-meter-long RFQs joined with resonant coupling to form an 8-meter-long RFQ.

INTRODUCTION

The RFQ [1-5] receives a continuous stream of 75-keV protons from the H⁺ injector, [6,7] forms it into bunches with a high capture efficiency (~ 94%), and then accelerates these bunches to an energy of 6.7 MeV. Figure 1 shows the coupled RFQ structure mounted in the tuning laboratory. Figure 2 shows the RFQ structure configuration including tapered RF power feeds, vacuum-port placement, and section nomenclature. Figure 3 shows a photograph of the completed RFQ assembly in the LEDA tunnel with the injector pulled back. The array of vacuum manifolds, water-cooling manifolds, and RF waveguide almost completely hides the accelerating structure.

Design features

With output energy of 6.7 MeV the LEDA RFQ [1,8] is the highest energy and highest power RFQ in the world [3, 5, 9-11]. The beam power is 670 kW when operated with the design-value 100-mA CW proton beam, making it the second-most powerful linear accelerator (after the LANSCE 800-MeV linac). Some of its unique design features are as follows:

- Transverse focusing strength at the RFQ entrance is reduced for easier beam injection. This allows placement of the final focusing solenoid in the low energy beam transport (LEBT) at the optimum distance from the RFQ for input matching.
- It employs resonant coupling [12,13] between the four 2-m-long segments, providing high RF field stability throughout the entire structure length.
- It has a significantly larger aperture and gap voltage in the accelerating section than previously designed RFQs at this frequency.
- Transverse focusing at the RFQ exit is reduced to match the focusing strength in the next accelerating structure.
- RF power from three 1-MW klystrons is coupled to the RFQ through six waveguide irises. The structure itself combines the RF power.

Resonant Coupling

In a typical RFQ that has constant focusing strength and constant gap voltage, as vane modulation increases to accelerate the beam, the aperture shrinks and beam can be lost on the vane tips. As the energy rises the cell length...
increases, and for a given modulation, the accelerating gradient decreases inversely with cell length. Since the maximum practical modulation is about 2, the RFQ would become very long if the gap voltage remained constant. To reduce beam loss and shorten the RFQ, we maintain a large aperture, and increase the vane voltage to partially counter the decrease in transverse focusing as the vane modulation increases.

The increased gap voltage substantially increases the accelerating field, thus shortening the RFQ. However, even with this increased gap voltage, eight meters of length is required to accelerate the beam to 6.7 MeV. A conventional 8-m-long, 350-MHz RFQ would not be stable. Small perturbations would distort the field distribution intolerably [12,13]. Therefore, four 2-m-long RFQs (labeled A, B, C and D in Figure 2) are resonantly coupled to form the 8-m-long LEDA RFQ. This is implemented by separating the four 2-m RFQs by coupling plates. An axial hole in the coupling plates allows the vane tips to nearly touch. The capacitance between the vane tips of one RFQ and the next provides the RF coupling between the 2-m-long segments. The gap between the vane tips at the coupling joint is 0.32 cm. To minimize the effect of this gap on the beam, the gap position corresponds to a zero crossing of the RF electric field when the bunch passes the gap. The RF field is in phase in all four segments. The “coupling mode” has a strong electric field across the 0.32-cm gap and has one longitudinal node in each 2-m RFQ. The coupling mode’s longitudinal component of electric field transmits RF power, providing the field stability.

**RFQ ELECTROMAGNETIC DESIGN**

The RFQ was designed with the code PARMTEQM (Phase and Radial Motion in Transverse Electric Quadrupole; Multipoles) [14]. The code includes the effect of higher-order multipoles in the RFQ fields, which are important in accurately predicting beam loss. The earlier code version, PARMTEQ, used only the first two terms in the expansion of the RFQ fields. PARMTEQM uses the first eight terms. In addition, a realistic description of the input beam is required to accurately simulate beam losses in the RFQ. Simulations of the beam transport through the LEBT [15] with PARMELA [16,17] produce a more realistic distribution of particles for input into the RFQ codes than the ideal input distributions.

**RFQ Entrance**

To implement the reduced focusing strength at the entrance of the RFQ and have adequate focusing in the interior of the RFQ, the transverse focusing parameter increases smoothly from 3.088 to 6.981 over the first 32 cm of the RFQ. The focusing parameter is proportional to $V/r_0^2$ where $V$ is the voltage between adjacent vane tips and $r_0$ is the average aperture. The voltage is held constant in this region and the aperture is reduced to increase the focusing parameter. On entry, the beam is not yet bunched, allowing the use of weak transverse focusing. By the time the beam starts to bunch, the focusing is strong enough to confine the bunched beam.

The low focusing strength at the RFQ entrance means that the matched beam size is relatively large, allowing some space, as shown in figure 4, between the second LEBT solenoid and the RFQ entrance. Without this feature, the solenoid would be right at the RFQ entrance.

**TRANSMISSION THROUGH THE RFQ**

The code PARMELA simulates the LEBT beam with 95% space-charge neutralization [18]. These simulations showed that proper matching would be possible with an electron trap at the RFQ entrance, and solenoid-to-RFQ distance of 15 cm. The electron trap is a metal ring at the entrance of the RFQ. A ring voltage of $-1$ kV blocks low-energy plasma electrons, but does not affect the 75-keV protons. The electron trap performs two essential functions. One, it improves the space charge neutralization in the LEBT. Two, it prevents electrons from streaming into the RFQ through the torrid and corrupting the measurement of the beam current.

Using the simulated beam, two RFQ codes predict 93% transmission with the RFQ operating at design field.
levels. The codes are PARMTEQM and TOUTITIS [19] that use respectively 2D and 3D space charge effects. The measured transmission has been as high as 94% at 100 mA when the RFQ fields are 10% above the design field strength.

**POSSIBLE ION TRAPPING IN RFQ**

Figure 5 shows the time dependence of RFQ transmission in a 300-µs-long beam pulse with the RFQ fields at the nominal design value. At about 150 µs into the pulse, the transmission suddenly drops by about 10%. As the RFQ field is increased above the design value, transmission remains high for increasingly longer periods.
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With fields ≥ 105% of design, the transmission drop is no longer observed, even for long pulses and CW operation. Along with the transmission drop, higher-than-expected activation is measured at the high-energy end of the RFQ, indicating significant beam loss at that location. Operating the RFQ with fields about 10% above the design value greatly reduces the magnitude of this beam loss. More work is needed to determine unambiguously the cause of the time-dependent transmission anomaly. At present, considerable evidence points to the possibility that it is caused by low-energy H⁺ ions trapped near the axis by the RFQ fields [20]. The extra positive charge from the trapped ions causes the beam size to increase, reducing the RFQ transmission, and also increasing the beam size at the end of the HEBT. This hypothesis is consistent with the observation that the collimator ring in front of the beam stop glows, presumably from being struck by incident beam, when the RFQ pressure exceeds 1-2 x10⁻⁷ Torr. The low-energy H⁺ ions can be produced by beam collisions with background gas (H₂) near the RFQ axis, or by beam collision with the vane tip surfaces. At fields ≤ the design value, the beam may be sufficiently large that its fringes strike the RFQ vane tips, creating H⁺ ions that get trapped temporarily in the beam channel. As the trapped charge accumulates, the beam becomes larger still, until the transmission drops suddenly.
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