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1.0 INTRODUCTION

Area 2 Bitcutter and Post-Shot Containment Wells Corrective Action Unit (CAU) 90 Post-Closure Monitoring requirements are described in Section VII.B.8.b of the Nevada Test Site Resource Conservation and Recovery Act Permit for a Hazardous Waste Management Facility Number NEV HW009, Section VII, Revision 1, March 2003.

Post-closure care consists of the following:

- Semiannual inspections of the unit using an inspection checklist
- Photographic documentation
- Field note documentation
- Preparation and submittal of an annual report

The annual report consists of copies of the inspection checklist, repair records (if any), photographs, and recommendations and conclusions for the period December 2002 to June 2003. The Post-Closure Inspection Checklists are provided in Attachment A, a copy of the field notes is provided in Attachment B, and copies of the inspection photographs are provided in Attachment C.

2.0 RESULTS OF THE INSPECTIONS

The first inspection at CAU 90 for the annual post-closure monitoring period was performed on December 19, 2002. Between July and December of 2002, small animal burrows were periodically backfilled. Two animal burrows were backfilled and vegetation was noted growing on the soil covers during the December inspection.

The second inspection at CAU 90 for the annual post-closure monitoring period was performed on June 26, 2003. Between January and June of 2003, several animal burrows were backfilled and vegetation was removed from the covers.

3.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

The overland runoff is being properly diverted around the site. No evidence of drainage or erosion is apparent through the site. Inspectors should continue to backfill small animal burrows and remove vegetation from the unit. No significant modifications or repairs are foreseeable in the near future.
ATTACHMENT A

POST-CLOSURE INSPECTION CHECKLISTS
### AREA 2, BITCUTTER & POSTSHOT INJECTION WELLS, POST-CLOSURE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Start Date and Time: 12/19/02 at 1510</th>
<th>Reason for Inspection: Semi-annual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Agency: Bechtel Nevada Remediation Projects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Address: Nevada Test Site, Mercury, Nevada</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responsible Agency Official: Jeff Smith, Project Manager</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of Last Post-Closure Inspection: 09/25/02</td>
<td>Reason for Last Post-Closure Inspection: Semi-annual</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chief Inspector: Jim Traynor</td>
<td>Associate Scientist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Title</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistant Inspector:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Title</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### A. GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS

1. All checklist items must be completed and detailed comments made to document the results of the site inspection. The completed checklist is part of the field record of the inspection. Additional pages should be used as necessary to ensure that a complete record is made. Attach the additional pages and number all pages upon completion of the inspection.

2. Any checklist line item marked by an inspector in a SHADED BOX, must be fully explained or an appropriate reference to previous reports provided. The purpose of this requirement is to provide a written explanation of inspector observations and the inspector's rationale for conclusions and recommendations. Explanations are to be placed on additional attachments and cross-referenced appropriately. Explanations, in addition to narrative, will take the form of sketches, measurements, annotated site maps.

3. The site inspection is a walking inspection of the entire site including the perimeter and sufficient transects to be able to inspect the entire surface and all features specifically described in this checklist.

4. A standard set of color 35mm photographs is required. In addition, all anomalous features or new features (such as changes in adjacent area land use) are to be photographed. A photo log entry will be made for each photograph taken.

5. This unit will be inspected biannually with formal reporting to the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection to be done annually. The annual report will include an executive summary, this inspection checklist with field notes and photo log attached, and recommendations and conclusions.

#### B. PREPARATION (To be completed prior to site visit)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>EXPLANATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Site as-built plans and site base map reviewed.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous inspection reports reviewed.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Were anomalies or trends detected on previous inspections?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Was maintenance performed?</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Site maintenance and repair records reviewed.</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>No major repairs have been done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Has site repair resulted in a change from as-built conditions?</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Are revised as-built notes available that reflect repair changes?</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### C. SITE INSPECTION (To be completed during inspection)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>EXPLANATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Adjacent off-site features within watershed areas.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Have there been any changes in use of adjacent area?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Are there any new roads or trails?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Has there been a change in the position of nearby washes?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Has there been lateral excursion or erosion/deposition of nearby washes?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Are there new drainage channels?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Change in surrounding vegetation?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Security fence, signs.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Displacement of fences, site markers, boundary markers, or monuments?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Have any signs been damaged or removed? (Number of signs replaced: ____________)</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>All signs on both units have been reinforced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Were gates locked?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Waste Unit covers.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Is there evidence of settling?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Is there cracking?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c. Is there evidence of erosion around the cap (wind or water)?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d. Is there evidence of animal burrowing?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e. Have the site markers been disturbed by man or natural processes?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>f. Do natural processes threaten to integrity of any cover or site marker?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>g. Other (including trash and debris in or around unit)?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Photo Documentation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a. Has a photo log been prepared?</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b. Number of photos exposed ( F. 1)</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### D. FIELD CONCLUSIONS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>EXPLANATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Person/Agency to whom report made:

1. Is there an imminent hazard to the integrity of the unit? (Immediate report required)
   
   Yes, X
   
   No, 

2. Are more frequent inspections required?
   
   Yes, X
   
   No, 

3. Are existing maintenance/repair actions satisfactory?
   
   Yes, X
   
   No, 

4. Is other maintenance/repair necessary?
   
   Yes, X
   
   No, 

5. Field conclusions/recommendations:

   All observations indicate continued integrity of the unit. Continue inspections as scheduled.

E. CERTIFICATION

I have conducted an inspection of the Area 2 Bicutter & Postshot Shop Containment Injection Wells, Corrective Action Unit 90, at the NTS in accordance with the procedures of the Post-Closure Permit (including the Post-Closure Plan) as recorded on this checklist, attached sheets, field notes, photo logs, and photographs.

Chief Inspector's Signature: [Signature]

Printed Name: Jim Traynor

Title: Associate Scientist

Date: 12/19/02
### Area 2, Bitcutter & Postshot Injection Wells, Post-Closure Inspection Checklist

**Inspection Start Date and Time:** 06/26/03 at 09:00  
**Reason for Inspection:** Semi-annual

**Responsible Agency:** Bechtel Nevada Remediation Projects

**Address:** Nevada Test Site, Mercury, Nevada

**Responsible Agency Official:** Jeff Smith Project Manager

**Date of Last Post-Closure Inspection:** 12/19/02  
**Reason for Last Post-Closure Inspection:** Semi-annual

**Chief Inspector:** Mike Floyd  
**Sr. Tech.** Environmental Restoration  
**Name**  
**Title**  
**Organization**

**Assistant Inspector:**

**Name**  
**Title**  
**Organization**

### A. General Instructions
1. All checklist items must be completed and detailed comments made to document the results of the site inspection. The completed checklist is part of the field record of the inspection. Additional pages should be used as necessary to ensure that a complete record is made. Attach the additional pages and number all pages upon completion of the inspection.

2. Any checklist line item marked by an inspector in a SHADED BOX, must be fully explained or an appropriate reference to previous reports provided. The purpose of this requirement is to provide a written explanation of inspector observations and the inspector’s rationale for conclusions and recommendations. Explanations are to be placed on additional attachments and cross-referenced appropriately. Explanations, in addition to narrative, will take the form of sketches, measurements, annotated site maps.

3. The site inspection is a walking inspection of the entire site including the perimeter and sufficient transects to be able to inspect the entire surface and all features specifically described in this checklist.

4. A standard set of color 35mm photographs is required. In addition, all anomalies detected or new features (such as changes in adjacent areas) will be photographed. A photo log entry will be made for each photograph taken.

5. This unit will be inspected biannually with formal reporting to the Nevada Division of Environmental Protection to be done annually. The annual report will include an executive summary, this inspection checklist with field notes and photo log attached, and recommendations and conclusions.

### B. Preparation (To be completed prior to site visit)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1. Site as-built plans and site base map reviewed.

2. Previous inspection reports reviewed.
   a. Were anomalies or trends detected on previous inspections?
   b. Was maintenance performed?

3. Site maintenance and repair records reviewed.
   a. Has site repair resulted in a change from as-built conditions?
   b. Are revised as-builts available that reflect repair changes?

   No major repairs have been made

   N/A

   N/A
### C. SITE INSPECTION (To be completed during inspection)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>YES</th>
<th>NO</th>
<th>EXPLANATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
D. FIELD CONCLUSIONS

1. Is there an imminent hazard to the integrity of the unit? (Immediate report required)  
   YES  NO  EXPLANATION  
   x
   Person/Agency to whom report made:

2. Are more frequent inspections required?  
   x

3. Are existing maintenance/repair actions satisfactory?  
   x

4. Is other maintenance/repair necessary?  
   x

5. Field conclusions/recommendations:
   All observations indicate continued integrity of the unit. Continue inspections as scheduled.

E. CERTIFICATION

I have conducted an inspection of the Area 2 Bitcutter & Postshot Shop Containment Injection Wells, Corrective Action Unit 90, at the NTS in accordance with the procedures of the Post-Closure Permit (Including the Post-Closure Plan) as recorded on this checklist, attached sheets, field notes, photo logs, and photographs.

Chief Inspector's Signature:  
Printed Name: D. B. Morse

Title: Sr. Tech  
Date: 06/16/03
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ATTACHMENT B

FIELD NOTES
THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Adjacent site: Good.

Fence & Sights: Good. All signs on both units reinforced since September inspection.

 Covers: Good.

Photos: 1. Looking N from E Unit
2. Looking N from W Unit
3. Looking S from W Unit
4. Looking W from E Unit
5. Looking E from W Unit
6. Looking S from E Unit

Conclusions & Recommendations:

Unit in good condition. Continue inspections as planned.

[Signature]

Work continued to Page: 171
Thursday June 26, 2003

AREA 2 - BITUMEN 5 - NO ISSUES OR CONCERNS

AREA 3 - MODEL CONSTRUCTION LANDFILL

NO ISSUES OR CONCERNS

AREA 2 - AXLE 1 - ONE MIXED BAG IN CHICKEN WIRE BOX 100' (N) TO THE LEFT OF THE GATE AND ONE MIXED BAG IMMEDIATELY TO THE LEFT OF THE GATE LEADING INTO THE CAMP/WORK WASH EAST CORNER SHOWING SIGNS OF SETTLE. THERE IS A TYPED AREA 15' APPROX. 10' x 10' x 5' IN ADDITION TO THE SETTLE. THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF CRACKING IN THIS AREA OR TO 18" DEEP.

REPAIRS SHOULD BE MADE AS SOON AS PRACTICAL.

A C DRAIN POND & VEGETATION WAS REMOVED FROM THE SURROUNDING AREA OF THE CAR COVER BUT STILL SHOWS SIGNS OF VEGETATION ON THE CAR COVER. WITH THE APPEARANCE OF THE TYPE AND TIME, I RECOMMEND USING HERBICIDE TO CONTROL VEGETATION.

A 23 HAD WARP TRENCH. CAR COVER DEVEGETATION WORK HAS NOT YET BEEN PERFORMED. I RECOMMEND THIS WORK BE DONE AS SOON AS PRACTICAL.
ATTACHMENT C

INSPECTION PHOTOGRAPHS
# PHOTOGRAPH LOG

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PHOTO NUMBER</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1a</td>
<td>12/19/2002</td>
<td>View looking north from east unit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1b</td>
<td>6/26/2003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2a</td>
<td>12/19/2002</td>
<td>View looking north from west unit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2b</td>
<td>6/26/2003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3a</td>
<td>12/19/2002</td>
<td>View looking south from west unit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3b</td>
<td>6/26/2003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4a</td>
<td>12/19/2002</td>
<td>View looking west from east unit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4b</td>
<td>6/26/2003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5a</td>
<td>12/19/2002</td>
<td>View looking east from west unit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5b</td>
<td>6/26/2003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6a</td>
<td>12/19/2002</td>
<td>View looking south from east unit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6b</td>
<td>6/26/2003</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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