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Abstract
The critical point of CO2 is at temperature and pressure conditions of $T_{\text{crit}} = 31.04^\circ \text{C}$, $P_{\text{crit}} = 73.82$ bar. At lower (subcritical) temperatures and/or pressures, CO2 can exist in two different phase states, a liquid and a gaseous state, as well as in two-phase mixtures of these states. Disposal of CO2 into brine formations would be made at supercritical pressures. However, CO2 escaping from the storage reservoir may migrate upwards towards regions with lower temperatures and pressures, where CO2 would be in subcritical conditions. An assessment of the fate of leaking CO2 requires a capability to model not only supercritical but also subcritical CO2, as well as phase changes between liquid and gaseous CO2 in sub-critical conditions. We have developed a methodology for numerically simulating the behavior of water-CO2 mixtures in permeable media under conditions that may include liquid, gaseous, and supercritical CO2. This has been applied to simulations of leakage from a deep storage reservoir in which a rising CO2 plume undergoes transitions from supercritical to subcritical conditions. We find strong cooling effects when liquid CO2 rises to elevations where it begins to boil and evolve a gaseous CO2 phase. A three-phase zone forms (aqueous - liquid - gas), which over time becomes several hundred meters thick as decreasing temperatures permit liquid CO2 to advance to shallower elevations. Fluid mobilities are reduced in the three-phase region from phase interference effects. This impedes CO2 upflow, causes the plume to spread out laterally, and gives rise to dispersed CO2 discharge at the land surface. Our simulation suggests that temperatures along a CO2 leakage path may decline to levels low enough so that solid water ice and CO2 hydrate phases may be formed.
1. Introduction

Combustion of fossil fuels such as oil, natural gas, and coal currently generates in excess of 27 billion tonnes of carbon dioxide (CO₂) per year worldwide (DOE, 1999), virtually all of which is discharged into the earth’s atmosphere. Because of the expanded use of fossil fuels, the atmospheric concentration of CO₂ has risen from preindustrial levels of 280 ppm (parts per million) to present day values of approximately 365 ppm (Keeling and Whorf, 1998). The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) has projected that for a “business as usual” energy scenario the atmospheric concentrations of CO₂ may double by the middle of the 21st century, and may continue to rise at increasing rates beyond (IPCC, 1996). Atmospheric CO₂ is a "greenhouse gas," so-called because it traps outgoing infrared and thermal radiation, thereby increasing near-surface temperatures. There is some evidence from climate modeling that increased atmospheric concentrations of CO₂ may be the chief contributor to "global warming," currently estimated at 0.3 - 0.6 °C during the last 150 years (Ledley et al., 1999).

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and other organizations have initiated broad technology programs to assess and develop methods for reducing atmospheric emissions of CO₂ (DOE, 1999). One of the more promising concepts involves disposal of CO₂ into geologic formations, including oil and gas reservoirs, unmineable coal beds, and saline aquifers. CO₂ disposal into oil and gas reservoirs, and coal beds, may offer collateral benefits in terms of enhanced recovery of oil and natural gas. Saline aquifers are attractive as CO₂ disposal reservoirs because they are generally unused and offer potentially large storage capacity. At the Sleipner Vest field in the Norwegian sector of the North Sea, a CO₂ disposal project has operated since August 1996, successfully injecting approximately 1 million tonnes of CO₂ per year into an aquifer with 3.2 wt.-% salinity and initial fluid pressures of approximately 110 bar (Korbøl and Kaddour, 1995; Kongsjorden et al., 1997; Holloway, 1997; Zweigel and Gale, 2000; Lindeberg et al., 2001; Torp and Gale, 2002).

There is a general consensus in the technical community that geologic disposal of CO₂ into saline aquifers would be made at supercritical pressures (Holloway and Savage, 1993; Hitchon et al., 1999). The critical point of CO₂ is at temperature and pressure conditions of $T_{\text{crit}} = 31.04 ^\circ \text{C}$, $P_{\text{crit}} = 73.82$ bar (Vargaftik, 1975). At lower (subcritical) temperatures and/or pressures, CO₂ can exist in two different phases, a liquid and a gaseous state, as well as two-phase mixtures of these states (Fig. 1.1). Supercritical CO₂ forms a phase that is distinct from the aqueous phase and can change continuously into either gaseous or liquid CO₂ with no phase boundaries. CO₂ leaking from a disposal reservoir could flow upward towards regions with lower temperatures and pressures, where CO₂ would be in subcritical conditions. An assessment of potential leakage
behavior requires a capability to model not only supercritical CO\textsubscript{2}, but also subcritical CO\textsubscript{2}, as well as phase changes between liquid and gaseous CO\textsubscript{2}. This paper introduces a methodology for numerically simulating the behavior of water-CO\textsubscript{2} mixtures in permeable media under conditions that may include all different phase compositions.

The thermodynamic issues relevant to upflow of CO\textsubscript{2} from a deep storage reservoir are illustrated in Fig. 1.2 which shows the saturation pressure of CO\textsubscript{2} as a function of temperature in the range of interest for CO\textsubscript{2} disposal into brine formations. Here and throughout the paper, thermophysical properties of CO\textsubscript{2} were calculated within experimental accuracy from the correlations of Altunin (1975), using computer programs kindly provided to us by Victor Malkovsky of IGEM, Moscow (private communication, 1999). The saturation line ends at the critical point, here marked with a solid circle. Fig. 1.2 also shows two hydrostatic pressure profiles, calculated for a geothermal gradient of 30 °C per km, typical for continental crust, for two average land surface temperatures of 5 °C and 15 °C, respectively. Both profiles pass in the vicinity of the critical point of CO\textsubscript{2}, and the one for 5° C surface temperature intersects the CO\textsubscript{2} saturation line, indicating that a bubble of CO\textsubscript{2} rising at nearly hydrostatic pressure would undergo a phase transition from liquid to gas at a pressure of approximately 63 bars, corresponding to a depth of approximately 630 m. Leakage of CO\textsubscript{2} from a deeper brine formation may cause some overpressure, which would shift the pressure profiles towards higher values. Phase change from liquid to gas is to be expected if CO\textsubscript{2} escapes upward at rates large enough so that not all of the leaking CO\textsubscript{2} can be retained as dissolved solute in the aqueous phase.

Phase change may have large effects on leakage rates, because CO\textsubscript{2} density is much lower for the gaseous than for the liquid state (Fig. 1.3). At subsurface (T, P) conditions, liquid CO\textsubscript{2} is always less dense than aqueous phase and thus is subject to upward buoyancy force. A transition to gaseous conditions would greatly enhance the buoyancy forces and could accelerate fluid leakage, as well as causing a rapid increase in fluid pressures at shallower horizons. This in turn could open pre-existing faults and fractures, enhancing their permeability and further increasing leakage rates. Reduced CO\textsubscript{2} solubility at the lower pressures prevailing at shallower depths would also come into play. It is of interest to determine whether these processes and effects can be self-enhancing to the point where they could cause a catastrophic, eruptive failure of a CO\textsubscript{2} disposal system (Chivas et al., 1987). Catastrophic releases of CO\textsubscript{2}-rich gas with fatalities have occurred at two lakes in Cameroon, in 1984 at Lake Monoun (Sigurdsson et al., 1987), and in 1986 at nearby Lake Nyos (Tazieff, 1991). Pruess and García (2002) simulated the migration of CO\textsubscript{2} up a fault under supercritical conditions. Their simplified model showed that CO\textsubscript{2} discharge rates can increase over time, but this occurred in a gradual, bounded manner.
This paper presents a first exploratory study of CO\textsubscript{2} leakage under conditions that involve phase transitions from liquid to gaseous CO\textsubscript{2}. As will be seen below, boiling of liquid CO\textsubscript{2} gives rise to large latent heat effects. Numerical simulation of flow processes with phase change requires coupled treatment of fluid flow and heat transfer. Our approach borrows from techniques developed in geothermal reservoir simulation (O’Sullivan et al., 1985; Battistelli et al., 1997), as implemented in the TOUGH2 numerical simulator (Pruess et al., 1999). TOUGH2 models flow processes with phase change through simultaneous solution of mass and energy balances. The continuum (field) equations are discretized in space and time, and the resulting system of non-linear algebraic equations is solved simultaneously for all primary thermodynamic variables of all grid blocks by Newtonian iteration. Preconditioned conjugate gradient methods are used to treat the linear equations arising at each iteration step (Moridis and Pruess, 1998). The adaptation of geothermal reservoir simulation techniques for modeling flows that involve brine and supercritical CO\textsubscript{2} was recently described by Pruess and García (2002), who also summarized the governing mass- and energy-balance equations. Here we extend these methods to account for all possible fluid phase combinations in water-CO\textsubscript{2} mixtures at conditions ranging from sub- to super-critical. We first present our treatment of fluid phase conditions, and then proceed to investigate CO\textsubscript{2} leakage behavior under conditions that involve an interplay of three fluid phases: a - aqueous, l - liquid CO\textsubscript{2}, and g - gaseous CO\textsubscript{2}.

2. Fluid Phase Conditions

The two-component system water-CO\textsubscript{2} may exist in any one of seven different phase combinations (Fig. 2.1): three single-phase states, three two-phase states, and a three-phase state. Representation of these phase conditions, and possible transitions between them, needs to address the following issues,

- accurate representation of thermophysical properties of water-CO\textsubscript{2} mixtures (density, viscosity, enthalpy, mutual solubility) in terms of appropriate primary thermodynamic variables (such as temperature, pressure, mass fractions of components, phase saturations);
- recognition of phase conditions and phase change (appearance or disappearance).

As had been mentioned above, the correlations of Altunin et al. (1975) are used in this paper to represent properties of CO\textsubscript{2} over a broad range of temperature and pressure conditions within experimental accuracy, except for narrow intervals around the critical point where larger errors occur. Water properties are likewise obtained within experimental accuracy from the steam table equations given by the International Formulation Committee (1967). Partitioning of water and CO\textsubscript{2} among different co-existing phases (mutual solubility) is calculated on the basis of local thermodynamic equilibrium, i.e., requiring chemical potentials of a component to be equal in...
different phases. Certain approximations are made in the actual implementation of the chemical equilibrium constraints (Pruess and García, 2002). Brines are modeled as NaCl solutions, with proper dependence of density, viscosity, enthalpy, and vapor pressure of the aqueous phase on solute concentration (Battistelli et al., 1997). Effects of salinity on CO2 solubility in the aqueous phase are described with an extended version of Henry’s law (Pruess and García, 2002).

2.1 Interpolation from Tables

Within the context of numerical simulation of water-CO2 mixtures, it is actually more convenient not to use the Altunin et al. (1975) correlations directly. Instead, we employ these correlations to generate CO2 properties on a 2-D grid of temperature and pressure values, and then obtain parameters needed during the simulation by linear interpolation on this grid (Fig. 2.2). One advantage of this approach is that table lookup and interpolation are computationally much faster than calculating the full correlations, while providing excellent accuracy for a modest number of table points (of order of 100 each in the temperature and pressure domains). A practically more important advantage is that tabular data may be generated in a way that permits an easy recognition of all phase conditions for CO2. This is accomplished by including grid points on the saturation line itself in the tabulation (Fig. 2.2). More specifically, we specify a sequence of sub-critical temperatures, calculate their saturation pressures Psat(T), and include these temperatures and pressures in the tabulation. The critical temperature and pressure are also included. Additional table points are generated for pressures lower than the smallest Psat, for pressures larger than Pcrit, and for temperatures larger than Tcrit.

In practice we choose temperature and pressure intervals of order 1-2 °C and 2-5 bars, respectively, to achieve satisfactory accuracy for interpolation. The temperature-pressure grid shown in Fig. 2.2 is intentionally very coarse to clearly show the issues arising in interpolation. For two-phase gas-liquid conditions, thermophysical properties are obtained by 1-D interpolation along the saturation line, while for single-phase conditions 2-D interpolation is made in the appropriate temperature and pressure intervals. This scheme provides accurate thermophysical data for all phase conditions in a robust and efficient manner. The added presence of an aqueous phase adds only a minor complication, because conditions of interest for CO2 disposal in brine formations involve modest (near-ambient) temperatures, with pressures mostly in the tens to hundreds of bars. Water saturation pressure is therefore a very small and essentially insignificant fraction of total fluid pressure.

Fig. 2.3 shows the specific enthalpy of gaseous and liquid CO2 along the saturation line, as obtained by interpolation from our tabular equation of state. Away from the critical point, the latent
heat of vaporization amounts to several hundred kJ/kg, so that boiling of liquid CO₂ will require substantial heat transfer. Decompression of high-pressure CO₂ is accompanied by large increases in specific enthalpy for supercritical conditions as well (Fig. 2.4), so that migration of CO₂ to lower-pressure environments will produce cooling effects even when no phase change is involved.

### 2.2 Algorithms for Phase Transitions

Depending on fluid phase composition, different thermodynamic parameters may not be independent, and different sets of independent thermodynamic variables must be used (see Table 2.1). Single-phase conditions are characterized by pressure P and temperature T, and in addition require specification of the mass fraction X of CO₂ present in the fluid phase. In two-phase conditions, CO₂ mass fraction is no longer an independent variable, and we use either the aqueous phase saturation Sₐ or the saturation S₉ of the gaseous CO₂-rich phase to define the thermodynamic state of the system. In two-phase conditions with an aqueous phase present, CO₂ partial pressure can be obtained from the primary variables as P₀ₐ₂ = P - Pₚₐ₆ₖ(T), where Pₚₐ₆ₖ is the saturated vapor pressure of water. CO₂ mass fraction can then be computed from (T, P₀ₐ₂). When only two CO₂-rich phases exist, water partial pressure can be obtained from the primary variables as Pₚₖ = P - Pₚₐ₆ₖ(CO₂(T)), and mass fractions of components in phases can then be calculated. In three-phase conditions, temperature is not an independent variable but is implicitly determined from total pressure according to P = Pₚₐ₆ₖ(CO₂(T)) + Pₚₐ₆ₖ(T). Experience in geothermal reservoir simulation has proven variable switching in response to different phase conditions a very effective technique.

When salinity (NaCl) is included as a third mass component in addition to water and CO₂, an additional primary variable is needed, labeled “Xₘₜ” in Table 2.1. This can be chosen as NaCl mass fraction dissolved in one of the fluid phases when no solid salt is present. For conditions with solid salt present, dissolved mass fractions are determined by thermodynamic equilibrium (solubility limit), and “solid saturation” Sₛ, the fraction of pore space containing solid salt, is used as a primary variable. In the latter case we may have as many as four active phases: three fluid phases, and solid precipitate. The simulations presented below do not include salinity.

The treatment outlined above was implemented in a fluid property module “EOSM” for the general-purpose reservoir simulation code TOUGH2 (Pruess et al., 1999). In most TOUGH2 modules, different phase compositions are distinguished by means of distinctive numerical ranges of the primary thermodynamic variables. For example, for single phase conditions the third primary variable X₃ denotes CO₂ mass fraction X (see Table 2.1), which has a possible numerical range between 0 and 1. Aqueous phase saturation Sₐ used as a primary variable in a-l and a-g two-phase
conditions has that same numerical range, but may be distinguished from X if by convention a
number such as 10 is added, so that numerical values between 10 and 11 would indicate that X3
refers to aqueous phase saturation, with $S_a = X3 - 10$. A scheme of recognizing phase conditions
from the numerical values of primary variables is very convenient for systems with a small number
of different phase combinations. For a system with as many as seven different phase combinations
as is considered here, however, it is better to use a numerical index to distinguish different phase
compositions (Adenekan et al., 1993; Pruess and Battistelli, 2002), and this is the approach adopted
here. Table 2.1 and Fig. 2.1 list the phase indices used to distinguish the different possible fluid
phase compositions that may be present in a grid block.

Distinguishing phase compositions through indexing rather than through the numerical
values of primary variables has additional advantages,

1. it avoids loss of accuracy from numerical cancellation that occurs when, e.g., a number
   10 is added to phase saturations $S$ in order to place them in a different numerical
   range than mass fractions $X$;

2. initialization of phase conditions upon (dis-)appearance of a phase can be made in a
   more robust way, e.g. by specifying finite windows for phase (dis-)appearance rather
   than using a “hair trigger” criterion.

For example, in two-phase aqueous-gas conditions we need to determine whether a liquid phase can
evolve to form a three-phase system. To do this we monitor CO$_2$ partial pressure, $P_{CO2} = P - P_{sat,w}(T)$, where $P_{sat,w}$ is the saturated vapor pressure of water. From a thermodynamic viewpoint, a
liquid phase will evolve when $P_{CO2}$ exceeds saturated CO$_2$ pressure $P_{sat,CO2}$. However, our
numerical experiments have shown that the criterion $P_{CO2} > P_{sat,CO2}$ for a transition to three-phase
conditions may lead to very unstable behavior, where liquid phase may frequently appear and
disappear during the iteration process, seriously limiting the progress of the flow simulation in time.
By using a separate phase index it is possible to adopt a more robust criterion, where a liquid phase
is evolved only when $P_{CO2}$ exceeds $P_{sat,CO2}$ by a finite amount, $P_{CO2} > 1.001xP_{sat,CO2}$, say.
Strictly speaking, a state with $P_{CO2} > P_{sat,CO2}$ cannot exist in two-phase aqueous-gas conditions,
but allowing $P_{CO2}$ to slightly exceed $P_{sat,CO2}$ without evolving liquid entails a small inaccuracy in
representing the physical properties of CO$_2$, with negligible impacts on longer-term simulation
results, while greatly improving the efficiency of the simulation. As will be seen below, transitions
from a-g to a-l-g conditions occur frequently when simulating upward migration of CO$_2$. It is only
through introduction of a finite albeit small window for phase change that this process can be
efficiently simulated.
3. Two-dimensional Radially Symmetric System

In practical applications of geologic disposal of CO₂, the injection plumes would reach large areal extent, of the order of 100 km² or more for 30 years of CO₂ disposal from a 1,000 MW coal-fired power plant (Pruess et al., 2003). With plumes this large it is to be expected that injected CO₂ would encounter geologic discontinuities such as fracture zones or faults that may provide preferential pathways for upward migration of CO₂ (Streit and Hillis, 2002). Old abandoned wells may also provide leakage risks in many oil and gas provinces. When supercritical CO₂ migrates upward from depth, its thermodynamic state will change towards lower pressures and temperatures, and a transition to sub-critical conditions may take place (Fig. 1.2). Eventually the liquid CO₂ will boil into gas, a process that involves significant heat transfer effects due to the latent heat of vaporization (Fig. 2.3). The thermal effects make the process multi-dimensional even under idealized conditions where upflow would be confined to a vertical 1-D channel with impermeable boundaries. The simplest geometry in which the coupling between fluid flow and heat transfer can be modeled involves a two-dimensional radially symmetric (2-D R-Z) system, in which a permeable channel at the center may exchange heat with the surrounding rock. This is the geometric configuration adopted here for a first exploration of phase change effects during upward migration of CO₂. In investigating this simplified system, our purpose is to bring out the main fluid and thermodynamic effects that determine the behavior of CO₂ discharge from a geologic disposal reservoir. Future work should aim at a more realistic description of potential CO₂ storage reservoirs, and geometric and hydrogeologic properties of potential pathways for CO₂ leaks (Hitchon et al., 1999).

We consider a flow system in the shape of a cylinder with 200 m radius and 1000 m vertical thickness (see Fig. 3.1). A vertical channel of 3 m radius with a high permeability of 10⁻¹³ m² is located at the center, while the surrounding medium is modeled as homogeneous and isotropic with a permeability of 10⁻¹⁴ m², a factor 10 smaller than the channel. Boundary conditions at the land surface include an atmospheric pressure of 1.013 bar and a temperature of 5 °C. (Our model does not include an unsaturated zone so that, strictly speaking, these conditions apply at the elevation of the water table rather than at the land surface.) Prior to introducing CO₂ into the channel, an initial state is prepared that corresponds to a hydrostatic pressure profile and to steady heat flow with a geothermal gradient of 30 °C per km, typical for continental crust. Injection is then initiated by applying CO₂ at the bottom boundary of the channel at a pressure of 100 bar, which is considered a very modest overpressure in comparison to the equilibrated water pressure of 99.07 bar at this elevation. Temperature at the bottom boundary is maintained at 35 °C, and pressure and temperature conditions at the outer (lateral) boundary are maintained constant at their initial values. Other problem parameters are given in Tables 3.1 and 3.2. At the present time no experimental data are
available for constitutive properties (three-phase relative permeabilities and capillary pressures) of brine-CO\textsubscript{2} mixtures. We use Stone’s (1970) three-phase water-oil-gas relative permeability formulation, assuming that water will be wetting and gaseous CO\textsubscript{2} non-wetting, with liquid CO\textsubscript{2} having intermediate wettability. Relative permeability parameters were chosen as in a typical three-phase flow problem involving water, soil gas, and a non-aqueous phase liquid (NAPL); more specifically, we use the same parameters as in the benzene-toluene flow problem presented as sample problem # 5 for TMVOC (Pruess and Battistelli, 2002). Capillary pressures were neglected.

For numerical simulation the system is discretized into 50 layers of 20 m thickness each. In the radial direction we use 27 grid blocks, starting with ∆R = 1 m in the center, and using larger ∆R at larger distance from the channel. Results are presented in Figs. 3.2 - 3.12.

The CO\textsubscript{2} entering the column partially dissolves in the aqueous phase, but most of it forms a separate liquid phase. Fig. 3.2 shows snapshots of the CO\textsubscript{2} plume at three different times. The CO\textsubscript{2} migrates primarily upward and also laterally outward from the high-permeability channel, reaching the land surface after 29.1 years. Over time the CO\textsubscript{2} displaces more water, causing an increase in CO\textsubscript{2} mobility and flow rates (Fig. 3.3). CO\textsubscript{2} inventory tracks total amount of CO\textsubscript{2} injected at early time, but grows more slowly at later time because CO\textsubscript{2} discharges at increasing rates at the top (Figs. 3.3-4). CO\textsubscript{2} rises as a liquid phase and flashes into gaseous CO\textsubscript{2} at a depth of approximately 630 m (Figs. 3.5-8), at thermodynamic conditions corresponding to the intersection of the profile of initial temperature and pressure conditions with the saturation line for CO\textsubscript{2} (T = 23.9°C, P = 62.8 bar; Fig. 1.2). The vaporization is partial and gives rise to evolution of a three-phase zone. As will be discussed in more detail below, the three-phase zone is a region of diminished fluid mobility which impedes upward migration of CO\textsubscript{2}. This enhances lateral flow, causing a broadening of the CO\textsubscript{2} plume, and giving rise to more dispersed CO\textsubscript{2} discharge at the land surface.

The processes of fluid flow, CO\textsubscript{2} dissolution, and phase change are accompanied by significant temperature effects (Figs. 3.9-11). At early time there is a modest temperature increase of approximately 3 °C in the two-phase (aqueous-liquid) zone, due to heat of dissolution of CO\textsubscript{2}. After a three-phase zone has formed, temperatures decline in the region of most intense CO\textsubscript{2} vaporization, near the top of the three-phase zone. The temperature decline from boiling of liquid CO\textsubscript{2} causes conductive cooling near the front. As the liquid front advances upward (Fig. 3.5) the three-phase zone becomes very broad (Figs. 3.7-8). Large gradients in phase saturations occur at the bottom and at the top boundary of the three-phase zone. Our simulation stops after 391.2 years.
as freezing conditions are approached, because the fluid property treatment adopted here has no provisions to deal with phase change from liquid water to ice or solid hydrate phases.

Fig. 3.11 shows that the upward advancement of the liquid front slows down with time, while frontal temperatures become lower and always remain close to CO\(_2\) saturation temperature at prevailing pressure. This behavior can be understood from the linkage between pressures and temperatures in three-phase conditions (two-phase liquid-gas conditions for CO\(_2\)). Fluid pressures never deviate much from the original hydrostatic values (Fig. 3.12), and partial pressure of water vapor is small at the temperatures considered here. Wherever free-phase CO\(_2\) is present, its partial pressure is always approximately equal to the initial hydrostatic pressure at the same elevation. When liquid CO\(_2\) enters a region where gaseous CO\(_2\) is present, vaporization will be complete as long as the prevailing temperature remains above the saturation line, \(T > T_{\text{sat}}(P_{\text{CO}_2})\). The vaporization process lowers temperatures and eventually gives rise to evolution of a liquid phase when the temperature drops to the saturated vapor temperature of CO\(_2\) at prevailing pressure. Fig. 3.10b shows that the (T, P) profile tracks the CO\(_2\) saturation line throughout the three-phase zone. Because the pressure of gaseous CO\(_2\) is always close to hydrostatic pressure, and therefore is smaller at shallower depths, increasingly prolonged boiling is required to induce the larger temperature drops needed for advancement of the liquid CO\(_2\) front. This explains why the advancement of the liquid front slows down over time. We can conclude that the advancement of the liquid front is entirely dominated by the thermal aspects of the problem.

Fluid mobilities are reduced in the three-phase zone from interference between the phases. This reduces flow rates in the vertical direction, slows the advancement of the liquid front (Fig. 3.11), and gives rise to lateral plume broadening and more dispersed discharge at the land surface (Fig. 3.4). Gas saturations increase to very large values due to decompression effects as the CO\(_2\) approaches the land surface (Figs. 3.6-7).

4. Forced-isothermal Conditions

In order to bring out more clearly the specific flow effects caused by heat transfer, a comparison case was run in which a very large heat capacity was specified for the rock, so that temperatures remain unchanged at the initial geothermal gradient. In this case both temperatures and pressures increase monotonically with depth, so that along any vertical line there will be only one point at which the CO\(_2\) saturation line is intersected. At this point a sharp transition from two-phase aqueous-liquid conditions below to aqueous-gas conditions above will take place, so that no formation of a three-phase zone is expected. This is confirmed by our simulation.
In Fig. 4.1, the region with two-phase aqueous-liquid conditions extends upward to about 610 m depth, and is overlain by a two-phase region with aqueous-gas conditions. Absence of a three-phase zone means that there is no region of severely reduced fluid mobility as had been encountered in the non-isothermal simulation. Accordingly, there is less resistance to upflow of CO₂, especially near the center where phase interference had been most severe in the non-isothermal case. As a consequence, there is less lateral plume broadening. CO₂ fluxes at the land surface show a more pronounced and more persistent maximum near R = 0, and drop off more rapidly with increasing R than in the non-isothermal case (Fig. 4.2). At late time, CO₂ fluxes at large radius are actually larger for the non-isothermal case. Fig. 4.3 shows cumulative CO₂ discharge rates at the land surface out to a distance R from the high-k channel as a function of R. Total discharges of CO₂ are seen to be smaller in the non-isothermal case, reflecting the mobility blockage in the three-phase zone.

CO₂ inflow rates at the bottom and total injected CO₂ mass are virtually identical in both cases, which is remarkable given the rather different flow behavior and patterns of liquid and gas saturations in the two cases. The larger outflow at the land surface in the forced-isothermal case implies that CO₂ inventory in the flow system should be smaller for forced-isothermal conditions, which is borne out by a tabulation of mass balances (Table 4.1). In the non-isothermal case, growth of CO₂ inventory with time is due to both liquid CO₂ and CO₂ dissolved in the aqueous phase. In the forced-isothermal case, liquid phase and total CO₂ inventories actually decline somewhat from 143.6 to 391.2 yr.

5. Larger Temperature at Top Boundary

It is of interest to investigate whether strong cooling effects can also occur when the initial temperature and pressure profile does not intersect the CO₂ saturation line. Accordingly, another simulation was performed for the case with T_lₜ = 15 °C in which CO₂ migrating upward can pass from supercritical to gaseous conditions without a phase change (Fig. 1.2). Results for the evolution of temperature and pressure conditions in the central upflow channel are shown in Fig. 5.1. It is seen that in the region with supercritical pressures, temperatures rapidly decline towards a line that is an extension of the CO₂ saturation line, where enthalpy increases upon CO₂ decompression are largest (Fig. 2.4). The cooling effects push the flow system towards the critical point, and subsequent evolution proceeds along the liquid-gas phase boundary, similar to what was seen in the T_lₜ = 5 °C case. The simulation was terminated after 1,214 years, at which time a minimum temperature of 8.3 °C had been reached at 430 m depth.
6. Concluding Remarks

Supercritical CO₂ escaping from a deep disposal reservoir may migrate along sub-vertical preferential pathways, such as fracture zones and faults, or old abandoned wells, and may eventually discharge at the land surface. CO₂ migration is affected by permeability structure and multiphase fluid and heat transfer effects that include liquid CO₂ boiling into gas. This consumes large amounts of latent heat and causes considerable cooling of the rock. A three-phase fluid zone (aqueous - liquid CO₂ - gaseous CO₂) may form which may become very thick as the liquid CO₂ front advances upward. The test case studied here involved a very modest leakage rate of approximately 0.3 kg/s, which corresponds to less than 0.1 % of the rate at which CO₂ would be generated from a 1,000 MW coal-fired power plant (Hitchon, 1996). The three-phase zone in our simulation grew to over 200 m in thickness after 391.2 years of CO₂ discharge. Fluid mobility is reduced in the three-phase zone from interference between the phases. This reduces rates of CO₂ upflow, causes the CO₂ plume to broaden laterally, and gives rise to smaller and more dispersed CO₂ discharges at the land surface than would be observed if no three-phase zone developed.

Maximum boiling rates and strongest temperature declines occur near the top of the three-phase zone. A simulation for an average land surface temperature of $T_{ls} = 5 \, ^\circ C$ was terminated after 391.2 years, when minimum temperatures had declined to below 3 °C. At this point, the liquid CO₂ had advanced to a depth of 350 m. A simulation for $T_{ls} = 15 \, ^\circ C$ reached a minimum temperature of 8.3 °C at 430 m depth after 1,214 years. The results presented here suggest that temperatures would eventually drop below the freezing point of water if the flow process were run out longer. Solid water ice and hydrate phases would then form and reduce the permeability of the preferential CO₂ pathway, reducing gas fluxes and dispersing discharges at the land surface over a larger area. The fluid property module developed here has no provisions at present for representing solid phases other than salt.

In realistic groundwater systems another effect would come into play that could substantially reduce upflow of CO₂. Regional groundwater flow may dissolve and remove significant amounts of CO₂, reducing rates of upward migration of free-phase CO₂. This effect requires a fully 3-D analysis and could not be represented in our axisymmetric model. Future work should also aim at a more realistic representation of geometric and hydrogeologic properties of potential pathways for CO₂ migration towards shallower strata, including features such as anisotropic, layered formations, and additional flow barriers such as shale layers that may divert CO₂ upflow sideways until another zone of caprock weakness is encountered. Model predictions are sensitive to constitutive properties for three-phase flows of water and liquid-gas mixtures of CO₂ for which no experimental results are available at present.
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### Tables

Table 2.1. Primary thermodynamic variables used for multiphase mixtures of water, CO$_2$, and salt. (P - pressure, X - CO$_2$ mass fraction, S - saturation, T - temperature; $X_{sm}$ is dissolved salt mass fraction or pore volume fraction of precipitated salt)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase Conditions</th>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Phase Index</th>
<th>Primary Variables</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X1  X2  X3  X4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aqueous only</td>
<td>a</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>P     $X_{sm}$  X  T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liquid only</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>P     $X_{sm}$  X  T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>gas only</td>
<td>g</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>P     $X_{sm}$  X  T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aqueous and liquid</td>
<td>a-l</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>P     $X_{sm}$  $S_a$  T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aqueous and gas</td>
<td>a-g</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>P     $X_{sm}$  $S_a$  T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>liquid and gas</td>
<td>l-g</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>P     $X_{sm}$  $S_g$  T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>three phase</td>
<td>a-l-g</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>P     $X_{sm}$  $S_a$  $S_g$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3.1. Hydrogeologic parameters for 2-D R-Z model of CO2 leak.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Permeability</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>channel</td>
<td>( k = 10^{13} \text{ m}^2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>surrounding rock</td>
<td>( k = 10^{14} \text{ m}^2 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porosity</td>
<td>( \phi = 0.35 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pore compressibility</td>
<td>( c = 4.5 \times 10^{-10} \text{ Pa}^{-1} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Relative permeability: Stone’s first 3-phase method (Stone, 1970)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_{ra} )</td>
<td>( \left[ S_a - S_{ar} \right] \left[ \frac{1}{1 - S_{ar}} \right]^{n} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>irreducible aqueous phase saturation &amp; ( S_{ar} = 0.15 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exponent</td>
<td>( n = 3 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_{rl} )</td>
<td>( \left[ \frac{\hat{S} - S_a}{\hat{S} - S_{ar}} \right] \left[ \frac{1 - S_{ar} - S_{lr}}{1 - S_a - S_{lr}} \right] )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>irreducible liquid phase saturation &amp; ( S_{lr} = 0.05 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exponent</td>
<td>( n = 3 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( k_{rg} )</td>
<td>( \left[ S_g - S_{gr} \right] \left[ \frac{1}{1 - S_{ar}} \right]^{n} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>irreducible gas phase saturation &amp; ( S_{gr} = 0.01 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>exponent</td>
<td>( n = 3 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capillary pressure</td>
<td>( P_{cgl} = 0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( P_{cga} = 0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal parameters</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>thermal conductivity</td>
<td>2.51 W/m °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>specific heat</td>
<td>920 J/kg °C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rock grain density</td>
<td>2600 kg/m³</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3.2. Initial conditions and injection specifications

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>5 °C at land surface</td>
<td>30 °C/km geothermal gradient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pressure</td>
<td>1.013 bar at land surface</td>
<td>hydrostatic gradient</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂ pressure</td>
<td>100 bar at 1000 m depth</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinity</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4.1. CO₂ inventory at different times. The data are for the non-isothermal and isothermal case, respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>time (yr)</th>
<th>CO₂,aq (tonnes)</th>
<th>CO₂,liq (tonnes)</th>
<th>CO₂,gas (tonnes)</th>
<th>CO₂,tot (tonnes)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>non-isoth.</td>
<td>non-isoth.</td>
<td>non-isoth.</td>
<td>non-isoth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>isoth.</td>
<td>isoth.</td>
<td>isoth.</td>
<td>isoth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.0820</td>
<td>36,628.7</td>
<td>155,237.5</td>
<td>1,009.5</td>
<td>192,875.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>36,173.6</td>
<td>151,562.2</td>
<td>729.9</td>
<td>188,465.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143.640</td>
<td>384,121.1</td>
<td>559,224.5</td>
<td>93,438.6</td>
<td>1,036,784.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>384,714.1</td>
<td>501,035.0</td>
<td>75,929.7</td>
<td>961,678.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>391.221</td>
<td>704,079.1</td>
<td>881,492.7</td>
<td>103,952.2</td>
<td>1,689,524.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>451,686.6</td>
<td>413,995.7</td>
<td>87,895.5</td>
<td>953,577.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 1.1. Phase states of CO₂.

Figure 1.2. CO₂ saturation line and hydrostatic pressure-temperature profiles for a typical geothermal gradient of 30 °C/km and two different average temperatures at the land surface.

Figure 1.3. CO₂ density versus depth along the two hydrostatic profiles shown in Fig. 1.2.

Figure 2.1. Possible phase combinations in the system water-CO₂. The phase designations are a - aqueous, l - liquid CO₂, g - gaseous CO₂.
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Figure 3.1. 2-D R-Z flow system.
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Figure 3.6. Profiles of gas saturations vs. time in the center of the channel.
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Figure 5.1. Temperature-pressure profiles in the central upflow channel for a case with land surface temperature of 15 °C at different times.
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