Mutual Passivation Effects in Si-doped Diluted In$_{y}$Ga$_{1-y}$As$_{1-x}$N$_{x}$ Alloys
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We report systematic investigations of the mutual passivation effects of Si hydrogenic donors and isovalent nitrogen in dilute InGaAs$_{1-x}$N$_{x}$ alloys. Upon thermal annealing at temperatures above ~ 650$^\circ$C, the Si atoms diffuse assisted by the formation and migration of Ga vacancies. When they find nitrogen atoms, they form stable Si$_{Ga}$-N$_{As}$ nearest-neighbor pairs. As a result of the pair formation, the electrical activity of Si$_{Ga}$ donors is passivated. At the same time, the effect of an equal number of N$_{As}$ atoms is also deactivated. The passivation of the shallow donors and the N$_{As}$ atoms is manifested in a drastic reduction in the free electron concentration and, simultaneously, an increase in the fundamental bandgap. Analytical calculations of the passivation process based on Ga vacancies mediated diffusion show good agreement with the experimental results. Monte Carlo simulations have also been performed for comparison with these results. The effects of mutual passivation on the mobility of free electrons are quantitatively explained on the basis of the band anticrossing model. Optical properties of annealed Si-doped InGaAs$_{1-x}$N$_{x}$ samples are also discussed.
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I. Introduction

It has been discovered recently that the electronic properties of some compound semiconductor alloys are greatly affected by the substitution of a small fraction of metallic (electronnegative) anions with electronegative (metallic) isovalent atoms. Group III-V-N materials in which the highly electronegative N atoms partially substitute more metallic anions in standard III-V compounds is the most representative and extensively studied class of such highly mismatched alloys (HMAs) [1]. In close analogy, partial substitution of group VI anions by electronegative O in II-VI compounds leads to the formation of group II-VI-O HMAs [2]. It has been shown that in both cases the incorporation of the highly electronegative anions modifies the electronic structure of the conduction band through an anticrossing interaction between the localized acceptor-like states of the electronegative atoms and the extended conduction band states of the semiconductor matrix [1,2]. On the other hand, a partial substitution of electronegative anions with more metallic isovalent atoms leads to a modification of the valence band structure through the aticrossing interaction between the localized donor like-states of the metallic atoms and the extended states of the valence band of the semiconductor matrix. The valence band anticrossing effects were recently observed in Se- and S-rich ZnSe$_{1-x}$Te$_x$ and ZnS$_{1-x}$Te$_x$ alloys [3, 4].

It has been shown that the modification of the electronic structure has a dramatic effect on the electrical activity of the donor dopants in InGaAsN. An enhancement of more than an order of magnitude in the maximum achievable free electron concentration has been demonstrated in GaAs$_{1-x}$N$_x$ thin films doped with group VI donors (Se and S) [5, 6]. However, doping the material with group IV donors (Si) results in highly resistive GaAs$_{1-x}$N$_x$ [7]. The failure to activate the Si donors in GaAsN alloys has been explained by the mutual passivation of Si shallow donors and isovalent N impurities [8]. While high electron concentration is achieved in Si doped InGaAsN grown by molecular beam epitaxy at relatively low temperature (~400ºC), during high temperature annealing, the Si donor diffuses on the Ga sublattice until it forms a nearest-neighbor pair with a N$_{As}$ atom. The formation of the Si-N pair deactivates the electrical activities of both species. As a
result the free electron concentration decreases rapidly with high temperature annealing accompanied by an increase in the fundamental bandgap. In contrast group VI donors such as Se or S do not show such mutual passivation effect in GaAs$_{1-x}$N$_x$ because they share the same anion sublattice with N, and therefore cannot form nearest-neighbor pairs with N. Polimeni et al. have shown that the introduction of hydrogen into GaAs$_{1-x}$N$_x$ thin films and quantum wells leads to the formation of N-H bonds that results in the passivation of N [9]. Subsequent thermal annealing up to 550°C dissociates the N-H bond, completely restoring the bandgaps of the nitride layers to their values prior to hydrogenation. The effects of hydrogenation in this material are very similar to the well-known H passivation of electrically active dopants in semiconductors [10]. On the other hand, the formation of Si-N bonds in the GaAs$_{1-x}$N$_x$ : Si system results in the mutual passivation of the Si atoms as shallow donors and the N atoms as isovalent impurities. These mutual passivation effects are thermally stable up to 950°C.

In this paper we present systematic studies of the mutual passivation effect in Si-doped In$_y$Ga$_{1-y}$As$_{1-x}$N$_x$ alloys. We derive the diffusion equation of Si donors taking into account both the Fermi-level independent (neutral vacancy mediated) and Fermi-level dependent (charged vacancy mediated) diffusion mechanisms. The resultant analytical equation and Monte Carlo simulation show good agreement with the measured electron concentration. The effect of thermal anneal on the mobility of free electrons is also studied. Optical properties have also been measured and the results are discussed.

II. Experimental

In$_y$Ga$_{1-y}$As$_{1-x}$N$_x$ layers with thickness of ~0.5µm were grown by molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) on semi-insulating GaAs substrates at substrate temperature of ~450°C. Indium was introduced to compensate the N-induced lattice contraction, improving the crystal quality by making it lattice-matched to the GaAs substrate. Si dopants were introduced during the growth. The epitaxially grown samples were rapid thermally annealed (RTA) in a flowing N$_2$ ambient in the temperature range of 550-950°C for 10-120 s with the sample surface protected by a blank GaAs wafer. The resistivity, free
electron concentration and mobility were measured by Hall Effect experiments in the Van de Pauw geometry.

The bandgaps of the films were measured by photomodulated reflectance (PR) spectroscopy at room temperature using a chopped HeCd laser beam (wavelength 442nm) for modulation. The photoluminescence (PL) signals were generated in the backscattering geometry by excitation with the 515 nm line of an argon laser. The signals were then dispersed by a SPEX 1680B monochromator and detected by a liquid-nitrogen cooled Ge photodiode.

III. Mutual Passivation

a) Experimental Results

Figure 1 shows the results of resistivity measurements of a series of Si-doped In$_y$Ga$_{1-y}$As$_{1-x}$N$_x$ and GaAs films after RTA for 10 sec in the temperature range of 550-950ºC. From the growth conditions of the InGaAsN:Si samples the Si concentration has been estimated to be $\sim 9 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$. For the GaAs:Si film without N, only a slight increase in the resistivity is observed as the RTA temperature increases, corresponding to a decrease in electron concentration ($n$) from $1.6 \times 10^{19}$ to $8 \times 10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$. As pointed out in Ref.[8], such a decrease of electron concentration in GaAs is the result of thermal annealing that drives the system to an equilibrium state with the saturated electron concentration of $\sim 10^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$ [11].

In stark contrast to the behavior of the GaAs:Si thin film, the resistivity of both In$_y$Ga$_{1-y}$As$_{1-x}$N$_x$:Si films rises rapidly at RTA temperatures higher than about 700ºC. The rise in the resistivity corresponds to a reduction in the electron concentration from $\sim 10^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$ in the as-grown films to $\sim 10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$ in the films after RTA at 950ºC. RTA of the In$_y$Ga$_{1-y}$As$_{1-x}$N$_x$:Si sample at 950ºC for longer time further reduces the free electron concentration in the samples (to $<10^{15}$ cm$^{-3}$ for 120sec RTA) while no significant change is observed in the GaAs:Si sample.

In In$_y$Ga$_{1-y}$As$_{1-x}$N$_x$ crystals grown at relatively low temperatures (~400ºC) by MBE, Si and N atoms are randomly distributed on Ga sublattice sites (a small fraction of
Si are also expected to occupy As sites. The diffusion activation energy for Si in highly n-type doped GaAs is \( \sim 2.5 \text{ eV} \) [12], which is much lower than the activation energy for N diffusion in GaAs (\( \sim 3.6 \text{ eV} \) [13]). Therefore we can neglect the diffusion of N in the RTA temperature range used in this study. Upon RTA, sufficiently high thermal energy is supplied for Si atoms to diffuse in the crystal to reach lower energy positions. Since N is much more electronegative than As [14], the Si-N bond is expected to be much stronger than the Si-As bond [15]. Therefore, anyone of the four nearest-neighboring Ga sites of the N\text{As} atoms becomes an effective trap for the diffusing Si atoms. As a result of the Si\text{Ga-NAs} pair formation, the fourth valence electron of the Si atom is locally bound to the N atom as opposed to be donated to the conduction band. Consequently the electrical activity of Si as hydrogenic donor in InGaAsN is passivated. This explanation is further supported by the fact that group VI-doped (In)GaAs\(_{1-x}\)N\(_x\) alloys do not show such donor passivation behavior upon RTA [8]. As is shown in Fig.1, only a small decrease of electron concentration is observed in the Se-doped In\(_y\)Ga\(_{1-y}\)As\(_{1-x}\)N\(_x\) thin film after annealing at 950°C, similar to the behavior of the GaAs:Si sample. The reason for this different behavior is that group VI atoms act as shallow donors only when they reside on the As sublattice (group V) sites. Therefore they cannot form nearest neighbor pair bonds with N\text{As} atoms.

Shown in Fig.2 are the PR spectra of three samples after different annealing cycles. The bandgap has been determined from fitting the spectra with the standard third-derivative functional form [16]. The inset shows the bandgap as a function of the annealing temperature, along with the active nitrogen mole fraction calculated from the bandgap using the BAC model (Eq.(11)). The bandgap energy is increasing with increasing annealing temperature. If the increase is solely attributed to deactivation of the N atoms the concentration of the deactivated N is approximately equal to \(0.004 \times 2.2 \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-3} \sim 8 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}\), i.e., close to the initial total Si concentration in the as-grown sample. This is consistent with the picture that the formation of Si\text{Ga-NAs} pairs is responsible for the mutual passivation of both species. It passivates the electrical activity of Si donors and deactivates N as the isovalent dopant. The nitrogen deactivation effect can be better resolved in samples in which the initial N concentration and Si concentration are approximately equal. We have demonstrated this by introducing high
concentrations of Si and N into GaAs by ion implantation followed by pulsed laser melting and rapid thermal annealing treatments [8]. It was shown that the active N concentration in a Si and N co-implanted sample is lower than that in an N-alone implanted sample (implanted with the same N dose) by an amount that is approximately equal to the total Si concentration.

The Si$_{Ga}$-N$_{As}$ pair strongly binds the fourth valence electron of Si, transforming this hydrogenic donor into a deep localized center. In Fig.3 we show the PL spectra from a series of Si-doped In$_{0.07}$Ga$_{0.93}$As$_{0.983}$N$_{0.017}$ samples annealed at different temperatures. Two peaks are clearly observed in the spectra. The higher energy PL peak (peak H) at 1.1 eV corresponds to the band-to-band transitions. With reduced electron concentration, this peak gradually disappears, possibly due to increasing concentration of unknown non-radiative recombination defects generated by the high temperature annealing.

A deep-level related broad peak (peak L) is observed at an energy of about 0.8 eV. As shown in the inset of Fig.3 the intensity of this peak exhibits a non-monotonic dependence on the electron concentration. The maximum peak intensity occurs near $n \sim 5 \times 10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$, i.e., approximately at the same concentration where the mobility reaches its maximum in Fig.(5). We tentatively attribute peak L to optical transitions involving states associated with the Si-N pairs. As the concentration of Si-N pairs increases with decreasing $n$, the emission intensity is enhanced. Upon further annealing, non-radiative transitions start to dominate the recombination process; as a result the intensities of both peak H and peak L vanish gradually.

b) Theory

In order to elucidate the microscopic nature of the passivation process we consider the diffusion of substitutional Si atoms in InGaAsN alloys. Yu et. al. [17] and Ahlgren et. al. [18] have shown that the diffusion of Si in GaAs involves the formation and migration of neutral Ga vacancies ($V_{Ga}^0$), triply negatively charged Ga vacancies ($V_{Ga}^{3-}$) and As vacancies ($V_{As}$). In our case only the Si atoms on the Ga sublattice contribute to the mutual passivation, therefore we consider the first two mechanisms in the model. The diffusion coefficient of Si on the Ga sub-lattice in GaAs is the
combination of the Fermi-level independent term (mainly via $V_{Ga}^0$) and the Fermi-level dependent term (via $V_{Ga}^{3-}$)

$$D(T, t) = D^0(T) + D^{a-}(T) \cdot \left[ \frac{n(t)}{n_i} \right]^\alpha,$$

(1)

where $D^0(T) = D_0^0 \cdot \exp\left[-\frac{E_a^0}{k_BT}\right]$, $D^{a-}(T) = D_0^{a-} \cdot \exp\left[-\frac{E_a^{a-}}{k_BT}\right]$, and $\alpha = 3$ is the negative charge state of $V_{Ga}^{3-}$. The intrinsic carrier concentration in semiconductors can be written as

$$n_i \approx B \cdot T^{3/2} \exp\left[-\frac{E'_g}{2k_BT}\right],$$

(2)

where

$$B \equiv 2\sqrt{\frac{m^*_e m^*_h k_B}{2\pi\hbar^2}} \cdot \exp(\gamma / 2k_B)$$

(3)

is a constant depending on the free carrier effective masses and the linear temperature coefficient of the direct bandgap ($\gamma$), but nearly independent of temperature. $E'_g$ is an “effective bandgap” that takes into account the contributions of the electron density of states near the X and L points as well as the $\Gamma$ point in the band structure [19]. The diffusion coefficient can be rewritten as

$$D(T, t) = g(T) \cdot \left\{ r(T) + \left[ \frac{n(t)}{n_0} \right]^\alpha \right\},$$

(4)

where

$$g(T) \equiv D_0^{a-} \cdot \exp\left[-\frac{E_a^{a-}}{k_BT}\right] \cdot \left[ \frac{n_0}{n_i} \right]^\alpha$$

(5a)

is the $V_{Ga}^{3-}$ mediated diffusion coefficient at time $t = 0$; and

$$r(T) \equiv \frac{D^0(T)}{g(T)} = \frac{D_0^0}{D_0^{a-}} \cdot \exp\left[-\frac{E_a^0 - E_a^{a-}}{k_BT}\right] \cdot \left[ \frac{n_i}{n_0} \right]^\alpha$$

(5b)

is the ratio of the $V_{Ga}^0$ mediated and the $V_{Ga}^{3-}$ mediated diffusion coefficients.

For random walk on a face-centered-cubic sub-lattice, our Monte Carlo simulation has shown that the total number of As sub-lattice sites that is nearest neighboring a Ga site that the “walker” has visited is directly proportional to the diffusion
time multiplied by the diffusion coefficient. This number \( L \) is also proportional to the diffusion length to the power of 2.

We assume \( D(T,t) \) is a slowly varying function that can be treated as a constant within a short period of time \( dt \). According to the mutual passivation mechanism, the reduction rate of Si\(_{Ga}\) (hence of the free electron concentration \( n \)) is proportional to the total number of active nitrogen atoms residing in the diffusion volume,

\[
\frac{dn(t)}{n(t)} = -x \cdot dL(t) \propto x \cdot \frac{D(T,t)}{a^2} \cdot dt.
\]

(6)

In this equation \( a \) is the distance between nearest neighbors on the Ga sub-lattice, and \( x \) is the mole fraction of active N atoms. Since [N] is much larger than [Si] in our InGaAs\(_{1-x}N_x : Si \) samples, we neglect the passivation of N caused by the Si-N pair formation, and replace \( x \) in Eq.(6) by the initial N fraction \( (x_0) \). Equations (4) and (6) lead to the differential equation

\[
\frac{dn}{n \cdot [r(T) + (n / n_0)^a]} = -\frac{\beta x_0 g(T)}{a^2} \cdot dt,
\]

(7)

where \( \beta \) is a constant that is proportional to the Si passivation rate. The solution is

\[
\ln \left[ \frac{n(t)}{n_0} \right] = -\frac{1}{\alpha} \ln \left\{ \left( 1 + \frac{1}{r(T)} \right) \cdot \exp \left[ \frac{\alpha \beta x_0 D^0(T)}{a^2} \cdot t \right] - \frac{1}{r(T)} \right\}.
\]

(8)

At \( r \gg 1 \) (high temperatures), Eq.(8) becomes

\[
n(t) \approx n_0 \exp \left[ -\frac{\beta x_0 D^0(T)}{a^2} \cdot t \right],
\]

(9)

i.e., \( \ln[n(t)/n_0] \) is linear in \( t \), where the slope is determined by the \( V_{Ga}^0 \)-mediated Si diffusion.

At long times \( t \), Eq.(8) can be simplified

\[
n(t) \approx n_0 \cdot \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{r(T)} \right]^{-1/\alpha} \exp \left[ -\frac{\beta x_0 D^0(T)}{a^2} \cdot t \right],
\]

(10)

i.e., \( \ln[n(t)/n_0] \) approaches a similar linear dependence on \( t \), with the reduction rate of \( n(t) \) also dominated by the Si diffusion through \( V_{Ga}^0 \).
c) Comparison between theory and experiments

As shown in the preceding chapter, the $V_{Ga}^{3-}$-mediated diffusion of Si strongly depends on the Fermi-level and thus also on the electron concentration which in turn is equal to the concentration of electrically active, un-passivated $Si_{Ga}$ atoms. This leads to a dynamical diffusion process that has a self-consistent solution given by Eq.(8).

Comparisons between our experimental results on the annealing effects of the electrical properties of Si doped InGaAsN alloys and our theoretical analysis are presented in Figures 4 and 5. Figures 4 and 5 show the isothermal and isochronal annealing effects, respectively of the free carrier concentration of a In$_{0.07}$Ga$_{0.93}$As$_{0.983}$N$_{0.017}$:Si sample with [Si]~$9 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$ for annealing temperature in the range of 650-820ºC. The results of calculations based on Eq.(8) are shown as dashed lines in Figures 4 and 5. According to the diffusion model, at high annealing temperatures or long annealing time, the Fermi-level independent, $V_{Ga}^{0}$-mediated diffusion becomes increasingly important. This is reflected in the fact that the $\ln[n/n_0] \sim t$ curves approach a linear dependence at high temperatures or long anneal times, in which the slope is determined by the constant $V_{Ga}^{0}$-mediated diffusion coefficient.

Notice in Fig. 5, for 10 s isochronal annealing, the electron concentration starts to decrease rapidly at ~ 700ºC. This onset temperature roughly corresponds to the annealing condition that allows the Si atoms to diffuse over a length equal to the average distance between randomly distributed Si and N atoms (~ 7Å). With increasing annealing time, this onset shifts to lower temperatures. With 2 hr furnace annealing at temperatures as low as 580ºC, more than two decades of drop in $n$ was observed. Calculations based on Eq.(8) at these annealing times show good agreement with experiments. The deviation at low temperatures for the 10 s anneal can be attributed to the presence of relatively high concentration of $Si_{As}$ and interstitial Si atoms in the beginning [18] that transfer to $Si_{Ga}$ when $Si_{Ga}$ starts to be passivated.

The various parameters used in our calculations are summarized in Table 1. We note that as is shown in Table (1), we use only two adjustable parameters: $\beta$ that describes the passivation rate of Si in the diffusion process, and $B$ that is introduced in Eq.(2) to describe the intrinsic carrier concentration ($n_i$) in InGaAs$_{1-x}$N$_x$. All the other
parameters, such as the pre-factors and activation energies of $V_{Ga}^0$ and $V_{Ga}^{3-}$-mediated diffusion are adopted from Ref.[18]. The temperature dependence of $n_i$ for GaAs listed by Blakemore [19] can be well described by Eq.(2) with $B = 4.37 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-3} \text{K}^{-3/2}$. These $n_i$ data are plotted in Fig. 6. The best-fit constant $B$ in our InGaAsN:Si sample is by a factor of 0.32 smaller than that of GaAs. This is reasonably close to the rescaling factor of 0.24 obtained by simply replacing $m^*_e=0.067m_0$ and $\gamma = 0.5 \text{meV/K}$ for GaAs in Eq.(3) with values for In$_{0.07}$Ga$_{0.93}$As$_{0.983}$N$_{0.017}$: $m^*_e \approx 0.1m_0$ [1, 20] and $\gamma \approx 0.2 \text{meV/K}$ [21]. The small discrepancy can be attributed to the enhanced electron effective mass in InGaAsN alloys at high doping levels [20]. Also, as can be seen from Eq.(5b) and Eq.(2), $B$ enters the final fitting equation in a product with the pre-factors of the diffusion coefficients ($D_0^0$ and $D_0^{a-}$). Therefore, the change in $B$ could be also attributed to the changes of $D_0^0$ and $D_0^{a-}$ in our InGaAsN sample as compared with the values of these parameters for GaAs [18].

To further understand the diffusion-passivation process, we have performed Monte Carlo simulation of atoms diffusing on the face-centered-cubic (fcc) sublattice of a zincblende structure. We construct a super cell consisting of $200 \times 200 \times 200$ cubic unit cells, each containing 4 Ga sites and 4 As sites in a zincblende coordination. Periodic boundary conditions are applied in all three dimensions. The nitrogen atoms are distributed randomly at appropriate concentrations on the Ga sublattice. The Si atom is allowed to perform a random walk on the Ga sublattice. Once the Si atom finds an unpaired N atom on one of its nearest-neighboring sites, a permanent Si-N pair is formed with a given probability (defined as “passivation rate” $\leq 1$) and the donor activity of the Si atom vanishes. Both the Fermi-level dependent and independent diffusion coefficients were included to convert the number of diffusion steps into real diffusion time. The constant $\beta$ has been determined to be about 10.2 by the simulation assuming a passivation rate of 1. This number is quite close to $\beta = 11$ obtained by fitting Eq.(8) with our experimental data. As mentioned before since $\beta$ appears only in the product with $x_0$ and $D_0^0$ in Eq.(8), the determination of $\beta$ in the fitting is affected by the uncertainty of $x_0$ and $D_0^0$. The internal supplies of Si donors by transferring SiAs to the Ga sublattice and the
possible dissociation of Si-N pairs at high temperatures could also result in an apparent change in $\beta$. The results of the Monte Carlo simulation are shown as solid curves in Fig.4. The good agreement of both the simulation results and the analytical calculation with the experimental data indicates that the $\text{Si}_\text{Ga}-\text{N}_\text{As}$ pair formation is indeed responsible for the passivation of Si donors.

As shown in III (b), at long annealing times, the diffusion process is dominated by the Fermi-level independent, $V_{\text{Ga}}^0$-mediated mechanism, because the $V_{\text{Ga}}^{3-}$-mediated diffusion is strongly retarded by the decrease of the Fermi level. The larger activation energy of the Fermi-level independent term means a stronger temperature dependence as compared to the Fermi-level dependent term. Accordingly, the relative contribution of $V_{\text{Ga}}^0$-mediated diffusion becomes increasingly important at higher annealing temperatures. The ratio ($r(T)$) of the Fermi level dependent and the Fermi level independent diffusion coefficients (Eq. 5b) at time $t = 0$ is shown in Fig.6 as a function of temperature. It can be seen that at ~870°C the Fermi-level independent term starts to dominate. As a result $\ln[n(t)/n_0]$ varies linearly with time $t$ from the beginning of the annealing process at these temperatures.

IV. Electronic transport properties

The results shown in Fig. 4 indicate that mutual passivation can be used to precisely control the electron concentration over a wide range. This provides an interesting opportunity to study the concentration dependence of the electron mobility in material with the same composition. It has been widely recognized that the incorporation of small amounts of nitrogen into GaAs leads to a drastic reduction of the electron mobility. The typical mobility of $\text{GaAs}_{1-x}\text{N}_x$ films ranges from ~10 to a few hundred cm$^2$/Vs [22,23], which is over an order of magnitude smaller than the electron mobility in GaAs at comparable doping levels [19]. Figure 7 shows the change in room-temperature mobility of $\text{In}_{0.07}\text{Ga}_{0.93}\text{As}_{0.983}\text{N}_{0.017}:\text{Si}$ when the electron concentration is reduced by rapid thermal annealing due to $\text{Si}_\text{Ga}-\text{N}_\text{As}$ formation. The mobility shows a non-monotonic dependence on the electron concentration with a maximum at $n \sim 5 \times 10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$.

It is now well established that the electronic structure of the conduction band of
diluted (In)GaAs$_{1-x}$N$_x$ alloys is described by the band anticrossing (BAC) model [1, 24]. In the BAC model, the conduction band is restructured as a result of an anticrossing interaction between the highly localized states of the isovalent N atoms and the extended states of the host semiconductor (In)GaAs. The newly formed two subbands, named $E_+$ and $E_-$, have non-parabolic dispersion relations given by [1]

$$E_{\pm}(k) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ E^c(k) + E^l \pm \sqrt{E^c(k) - E^l}^2 + 4V^2 \cdot x \right\},$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)$$

where $E^c(k)$ is the energy dispersion of the lowest conduction band of the host, and $E^l$ is the energy of the localized states derived from the substitutional N atoms (located at $\sim$ 0.23 eV above the conduction band edge of GaAs). The coupling between the localized states and the band states of the host is characterized by the parameter $V$, which has been determined to be 2.7 eV in (In)GaAs$_{1-x}$N$_x$. The BAC model provides a simple, analytical expression to calculate the electronic and optical properties of diluted (In)GaAs$_{1-x}$N$_x$ alloys. Numerous effects, including the strong reduction in the fundamental bandgap [1], the increase in the electron effective mass [25] and the hydrostatic pressure dependence of the bandgap [24] have been quantitatively explained by the BAC model.

The two-level BAC model is a natural result of degenerate perturbation theory applied to a system comprising localized states and extended states. By using the Green’s function method, we have shown that the two-level BAC model (Eq.(11)) can be derived from the Hamiltonian of the many-impurity Anderson model that considers the hybridization of extended states and dilute localized states [1,26]. The imaginary part of the Green’s function also provides new information about the electron states broadening that can be used to determine the width of optical transitions and to calculate the free electron mobility. The broadening of the $E_-$ subband is proportional to the admixture of the localized states ($|E_-' \rangle$) to the wavefunction of the restructured states ($|E_-(k)\rangle$) [26],

$$\Gamma_-(k) = \left| \langle E_-' \mid E_-(k) \rangle \right|^2 \cdot \Gamma_L,$$

where $\Gamma_L = \pi V^2 \rho_0(E_-')$ is the level broadening caused by the hybridization obtained in the original single-impurity Anderson model ($\rho_0(E_-')$ is the unperturbed density of states of $E^c(k)$ evaluated at $E_-'$) [27]. The broadening defines a finite lifetime for
through the uncertainty principle, which imposes a limit to the mobility of free electrons that conduct current in the lowest conduction band:

$$\mu_i = \frac{e\tau(k_F)}{m^*_-(k_F)} \approx \frac{e\hbar}{m^*_-(k_F) \cdot \Gamma_-(k_F)}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)

In this equation, the electron effective mass at the Fermi surface ($m^*_-(k_F)$) can be calculated from the curvature of the dispersion $E_-(k)$ in Eq.(11) [25]. The Fermi wavevector ($k_F$) and Fermi energy ($E_F$) are determined by the free electron concentration calculated from the density of states of $E_-(k)$ [1],

$$n(E_F) = \int \frac{\rho(E) dE}{1 + \exp[(E - E_F)/k_B T]}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)

The room-temperature mobility ($\mu_i$) calculated from Eq.(13) is shown as short-dashed curve in Fig.7. Also shown is the Fermi energy as a function of $n$ calculated from Eq.(14). One sees that at high electron concentrations when the Fermi energy approaches the original energy level of N localized states in In$_{0.07}$Ga$_{0.93}$As$_{0.983}$N$_{0.017}$ (located at ~ 0.30 eV above the conduction band edge of $E^C$, or 0.54 eV above the conduction band edge of $E_-$), the mobility is largely suppressed by the strong hybridization between $E^C$ and $E_-$). At $n = 2 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$, the energy broadening and scattering lifetime at the Fermi surface are estimated to be 0.25 eV and 3 fs, respectively. The mean free path of free electrons is about 5 Å, which is only a third of the average distance between the randomly distributed N atoms. Therefore, at this electron concentration the homogeneous broadening resulting from the anticrossing interaction is the dominant scattering mechanism that limits the electron mobility. As is seen in Fig.7, at high concentrations the electron mobility calculated from the BAC model is in a very good agreement with experiment. The fact that this good agreement has been obtained without any adjustable parameters provides further support for the BAC description of the electronic structure of InGaAsN alloys.

The results in Fig.7 show that as the Fermi level moves down away from the original N level with decreasing electron concentration, the mobility continuously increases until $E_F$ drops down to ~ 0.1 eV corresponding to an electron concentration of...
about $4.5 \times 10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$. At lower electron concentrations the mobility starts to decrease, deviating severely from the prediction of Eq.(13). This effect can be attributed to the scattering of the conduction electrons by the random fields caused by the structural and compositional disorder in the alloy. Bonch-Bruevich [28] and Zhumatii [29] have considered the problem of electron transport in the random field in partially disordered semiconductors. They found that as the Fermi level decreases from the degenerate doping into the non-degenerate doping regime, the conduction electrons experience increasingly strong scattering from the potential fluctuations. As a result the mobility is monotonically decreasing with decreasing electron concentration.

In the case of In$_y$Ga$_{1-y}$As$_{1-x}$N$_x$ alloys the main contribution to the potential fluctuations originates from the random N distribution. Calculations of the electron mobility limited by the potential fluctuations are rather difficult as there is no reliable way to evaluate the shape and the size of the scattering potential in this case. An estimate for the electron mobility limited by the random field scattering ($\mu_L$) is shown in Fig. 7. A reasonable agreement with experiment is obtained with a random field distribution with 30 meV potential depth and 3 meV/Å potential gradient. Assuming that the potential fluctuations are solely caused by the inhomogeneity of the nitrogen distribution in In$_y$Ga$_{1-y}$As$_{1-x}$N$_x$, this potential depth corresponds to approximately a $\sim 18\%$ change in $x$ (i.e., $x = 0.017 \pm 0.003$). The solid curve in Fig.7 takes into account the contributions of both the level broadening and random alloy scattering effects that limit the mobility ($\mu = 1/\left(\mu_1^{-1} + \mu_2^{-1}\right)$). This calculated mobility reproduces the non-monotonic behavior of the mobility measured over two decades of change in electron concentration.

Figure 8 shows the electron concentration and mobility of the 820°C 10s annealed sample measured over a wide range of temperatures. The electron concentration stays close to $1.5 \times 10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$ for most of this range of temperatures. Similar to the results obtained with Sn-doped InGaAsN alloys reported by Kurtz et. al. [22], the mobility shows a thermally activated behavior at relatively high temperatures (>50K) and becomes only weakly temperature dependent at low temperatures. The mobility activation energy is about 7 meV in the high temperature regime, which is comparable to the activation energy of samples with $n$ between $0.9 \times 10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$ and $1.5 \times 10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$ in Ref.[22]. The activated character of the mobility is usually interpreted as a signature of the random field
scattering that can be effectively suppressed as free electrons are thermally activated out of the potential at high temperatures [22].

It should be noted that the band anticrossing model has been successfully used to describe electronic properties of a broad class of semiconductor materials, the highly mismatched alloys. The BAC effects have been shown to be responsible for the strong bandgap bowing in alloys such as InP$_{1-x}$N$_{x}$ [30], InSb$_{1-x}$N$_{x}$ [31] and GaSb$_{1-x}$P$_{x}$ [1] (group III-V), and ZnTe$_{1-x}$Se$_{x}$ [3], CdTe$_{1-x}$O$_{x}$ [32] and ZnSe$_{1-x}$O$_{x}$ [33] (group II-VI). Accordingly, we expect that the mutual passivation effects should be a general phenomenon observable in all highly mismatched alloys in which substitutional shallow donors and the highly electronegative minority component anions can form nearest neighbor pairs. Indeed, we have recently shown that Ge-doped GaAs$_{1-x}$N$_{x}$ also shows the effect of mutual passivation between Ge$_{Ga}$ donors and N$_{As}$ impurities when annealed at above $\sim$ 700$^\circ$C [34].

**VI. Conclusions**

We have studied the mutual passivation phenomenon observed in highly mismatched In$_{y}$Ga$_{1-y}$As$_{1-x}$N$_{x}$ alloys doped with Si donors. It is shown that upon thermal annealing, Si donors diffuse in the Ga sublattice until forming Si$_{Ga}$-N$_{As}$ nearest-neighbor pairs. This process results in the mutual passivation of electronic activities of Si as a shallow donor and N as an isovalent impurity. The diffusion-passivation process is analyzed in the context of Si diffusion mediated by Ga vacancies. Monte Carlo simulation has also been performed and shows good agreement with the experimental data. The free electron mobility has been measured and explained on the basis of the electron state broadening caused by the band anticrossing interaction between the N localized states and extended states of the host InGaAs. Results of optical measurements are also presented and discussed. The thermal stability of the mutual passivation effect suggests potential applications in fabrication of novel electrical structures by selectively introducing shallow donors and isovalent impurities.
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[15] The bond strengths for Si-N and Si-P are 470kJ/mol and 363 kJ/mol, respectively, but values for Si-As is not available. However, we believe from electronegativity argument that bond strength of Si-P should be similar to that of Si-As.
Table and table captions

Table (1) parameters used in the calculation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>parameter</th>
<th>$\beta$ (cm$^{-3}$K$^{-3/2}$)</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>$D^0_0$ (Å$^2$/s)</th>
<th>$E^0_\alpha$ (eV)</th>
<th>$D^{\alpha-}_0$ (Å$^2$/s)</th>
<th>$E^{\alpha-}_\alpha$ (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>value</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.74×10$^{13}$</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td>5.92×10$^8$</td>
<td>2.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>note</td>
<td>optimized</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>adopted from Ref.[18]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure Captions

Fig. 1 Resistivity changes with 10 sec anneal over a wide range of temperatures. The total Si concentration is about $9 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$ in both InGaAsN:Si samples, and is about $1.6 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$ in the GaAs:Si sample. The Se concentration in the InGaAsN:Se sample is about $4 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-3}$.

Fig. 2 PR spectra of In$_{0.07}$Ga$_{0.93}$As$_{0.983}$N$_{0.017}$ samples with different anneals. The black dots in each curve indicate the bandgap energies obtained by fitting the standard third derivative equation [16] to the experimental data. Inset, the bandgap energies and active N mole fraction estimated from the BAC model plotted as a function of annealing temperature.

Fig. 3 PL spectra of several In$_{0.07}$Ga$_{0.93}$As$_{0.983}$N$_{0.017}$ samples with different anneals. The normalized free electron concentration for each spectrum is indicated in the legend. Inset, the intensity of the two PL peaks as a function of electron concentration.

Fig. 4 Normalized free electron concentration (log scale) as a function of annealing time at different annealing temperatures. The solid and dashed curves represent the results from the Monte Carlo simulation and the analytical calculation (Eq.(8)), respectively.

Fig. 5 Normalized free electron concentration (log scale) as a function of annealing temperature with different annealing time. The solid curves represent the results from the analytical calculation (Eq.(8)).

Fig. 6 Temperature dependence of the ratio ($r$) between the Fermi-level independent and dependent diffusion coefficients at initial electron concentration. Also shown are the calculated intrinsic electron concentration of GaAs (from Ref.[19]) and of our InGaAsN sample.

Fig. 7 Room-temperature electron mobility of In$_{0.07}$Ga$_{0.93}$As$_{0.983}$N$_{0.017}$ : Si plotted as a function of electron concentration. The calculated mobilities limited by the conduction band broadening ($\mu_1$) and by the random field scattering ($\mu_2$) are shown. The calculated Fermi energy is referenced to the bottom of the lowest conduction band ($E_\text{F}$).

Fig. 8 Free electron concentration and mobility of an 820°C 10 sec annealed sample measured at temperatures between room temperature and 6K.
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![Graph showing the resistivity of different materials as a function of annealing temperature.](image)

- **In\(_{0.07}\)Ga\(_{0.93}\)As\(_{0.983}\)N\(_{0.017}\):Si**
- **In\(_{0.14}\)Ga\(_{0.86}\)As\(_{0.966}\)N\(_{0.034}\):Si**
- **In\(_{0.04}\)Ga\(_{0.96}\)As\(_{0.988}\)N\(_{0.012}\):Se**
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In$_{0.07}$Ga$_{0.93}$As$_{0.983}$N$_{0.017}$:Si
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$n$ (cm$^{-3}$) vs. $1000/T$ (1/K) for $\text{In}_{0.07}\text{Ga}_{0.93}\text{Ga}_{0.983}\text{N}_{0.017}:\text{Si}$, with a 820°C 10s anneal. The graph shows a transition from high to low $n$ values, with a disorder limit of approximately 7 meV.
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