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ABSTRACT
Two types of fluids are encountered in the Onikobe geothermal reservoir, one is neutral and the other is acidic (pH=3). It is hypothesized that acidic fluid might be upwelling along a fault zone and that an impermeable barrier might be present between the acidic and neutral fluid zones. We carried out reactive geothermal transport simulations using TOUGHREACT (Xu and Pruess, 1998 and 2001) to test such a conceptual model. One-dimensional models were used to study the geochemical behavior due to mixing of the two fluids. Mn-rich smectite precipitated near the mixing front and is likely to form an impermeable barrier between regions with acidic and neutral fluids.

INTRODUCTION
Two types of fluids are encountered in the Onikobe geothermal reservoir, one is neutral and the other is acidic (pH=3). The acidic fluid is characterized by higher concentrations of Mg, Fe, Pb, Zn, and Cl compared with the neutral one. The two types of fluids are locally isolated from one another. Based on a locative relationship between the acidic fluid zone and acidic alteration (pyrophyllite) zone, it is determined that acidic fluid might be upwelling along the fault that forms the horst structure.

Some experience about mineral precipitation by fluid-fluid mixing has been obtained by Todaka and Tezuka (2002). Mn-rich smectite scale coexisting with sulfides armed inside some production wells by mixing of acidic and neutral fluids from two feed zones, and silica scale formed by mixing in the surface pipeline. Moreover, sphalerite and galena scales are deposited inside the production well. Reservoir fluid mixed with re-injected water might be supersaturated with respect to sphalerite and galena. In order to verify the scaling, mineral precipitation by fluid-fluid mixing was simulated by the chemical equilibrium approach (Ajima et al., 1998; Todaka and Tezuka, 2002) using SOLVEQ/CHILLER (Reed, 1982).

In the present work, we neglect the following: (1) compaction and thermal mechanics, such as micro-
fracturing by thermal stress and hydro-fracturing by thermal expansion of pore fluid; (2) the effect of chemical concentration changes on fluid thermo-physical properties such as density and viscosity which are otherwise primarily dependent on pressure and temperature; (3) the enthalpy due to chemical reactions.

**HYDROGEOLOGICAL AND GEOCHEMICAL CONDITIONS**

**Hydrogeological conditions**

One-dimensional porous medium numerical models were used to verify the geochemical behavior due to mixing of acidic and neutral fluids. Schematic representations are shown in Figures 1 and 2 for the non-flow and flow models. Common rock properties in the models are as follows: grain density: 2750 kg/m³, porosity: 0.2, permeability: 1x10⁻¹⁶ m², thermal conductivity: 3 W/(m°C), heat capacity: 1000 J/kg°C. The flow model was run in isothermal as well as non-isothermal conditions. To study temperature effect, three different temperatures (230, 250 and 270°C) were considered in the isothermal flow model. The temperature range and pressure used were from field data. The number of grid blocks was increased from 10 in the non-flow model to 100 in the flow model, to show chemical reactive behavior occurring with flow in detail. Darcy velocity was set to 1 mm/day in all flow models by specification of the mass source in the left boundary block. A linear temperature gradient from 290°C to 210°C is assumed in non-isothermal flow model, to evaluate temperature effects in reactive transport.

![Figure 1. Schematic representation of non-flow model.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Initial water</th>
<th>Boundary water</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H⁺</td>
<td>2.745E-06</td>
<td>3.963E-04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂O</td>
<td>1.000E+00</td>
<td>1.000E+00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl⁻</td>
<td>4.442E-02</td>
<td>9.909E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO₄²⁻</td>
<td>6.500E-03</td>
<td>1.150E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCO₃⁻</td>
<td>1.372E-02</td>
<td>1.782E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO₂</td>
<td>8.498E-03</td>
<td>8.428E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al³⁺</td>
<td>5.656E-06</td>
<td>9.577E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca²⁺</td>
<td>3.098E-03</td>
<td>1.127E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg²⁺</td>
<td>5.992E-02</td>
<td>1.143E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe²⁺</td>
<td>1.491E-06</td>
<td>2.214E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K⁺</td>
<td>3.814E-03</td>
<td>7.412E-03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na⁺</td>
<td>3.470E-02</td>
<td>6.228E-02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn²⁺</td>
<td>2.399E-06</td>
<td>5.784E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn²⁺</td>
<td>1.061E-07</td>
<td>3.776E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb²⁺</td>
<td>1.000E-12</td>
<td>5.899E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O₂</td>
<td>1.724E-40</td>
<td>2.344E-40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>temperature (°C)</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>254</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>well name</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>130</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Initial condition: neutral water  250°C 130bars
Boundary condition: 250°C 130bars

![Figure 2. Schematic representation of flow model.](image)

**Geochemical conditions**

Two types of production fluids are distributed in the Onikobe geothermal reservoir. The neutral fluid has a pH of 5.5 under reservoir conditions; it is called neutral in this paper because the water at the separator is neutral in the ambient condition. Water from well 134 is selected as representative of initial water and the acidic fluid from well 130 as boundary water. Chemical concentrations of initial and boundary waters (Table 1) are calculated from separated waters (equal to re-injected water) and vapors from well 134 and well 130 using their total enthalpies.

Initial mineral abundance and possible secondary minerals considered in the simulations are listed in Table 2. Possible secondary minerals were determined from field and experimental observations of water-rock interaction and from equilibrium geochemical model calculations. Calcite and anhydrite dissolution and precipitation were assumed to take place under chemical equilibrium; whereas those of the other minerals were considered under kinetic conditions. A general form of kinetic rate law (Steefel and Lasaga, 1994) is used,

\[
r_m = A_m k_m \left[1 - \left(\frac{Q_m}{K_m}\right)^{\mu}\right]^n
\]

where \(m\) is mineral index, \(r_m\) is the dissolution/precipitation rate (positive values indicate dissolution, and negative values precipitation), \(A_m\) is the specific reactive surface area per kg H₂O, \(k_m\) is the rate constant (moles per unit mineral surface area and unit time) which is temperature dependent, \(K_m\) is
the equilibrium constant for the mineral-water reaction written for the destruction of one mole of mineral \( m \), and \( Q_m \) is the ion activity product. The parameters \( \mu \) and \( n \) are positive numbers normally determined by experiment, and are usually, but not always, taken equal to unity (as in the present work). The temperature dependence of the reaction rate constant can be expressed reasonably well via an Arrhenius equation (Steefel and Lasaga, 1994). Since many rate constants are reported at 25 °C, it is convenient to approximate the rate constant dependency as a function of temperature as

\[
k = k_{25} \exp \left[ \frac{-E_a}{R} \left( \frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{298.15} \right) \right]
\]

where \( E_a \) is the activation energy, \( k_{25} \) is the rate constant at 25 °C, \( R \) is the universal gas constant, and \( T \) is absolute temperature. Parameters \( k_{25} \) and \( E_a \) are taken from Johnson et al. (1998), Hardin (1998) and Tester et al. (1994) or estimated from data therein.

The reactive surface areas of minerals used are given in the last column of Table 2.

The equilibrium constants were taken from the EQ3/6 V7.2b database (Wolery, 1992) which was derived using SUPCRT92 (Johnson et al., 1992). Equilibrium constant of Mn-rich smectite was calculated based on Tardy and Garrels (1974) and Johnson et al. (1992). The chemical composition of Mn-rich smectite is that of smectite scale formed by fluid mixing in the production well 128 in the Onikobe geothermal field.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Non-flow model**

Non-flow (batch) simulation was performed to study mineral assemblages and uncertain parameters such as equilibrium and kinetic conditions. Possible secondary minerals and reactive surface areas were determined by trial-and-error simulation. The non-flow simulation results in the smallest changes in chemical concentrations and precipitation/dissolution rates (mineral volume fractions) between initial and steady-state conditions.

Steady-state is reached after 10000 years. The pH increases slightly with time and remains the same at 5.8 at 250°C after 4000 years (Figure 3). Most components except Mg, Fe, Mn and Al have almost constant concentrations. Concentrations of Mg, Fe, Mn and Al continue to change slightly before 4000 years and then remain constant. The rates of mineral dissolution and precipitation remain also constant after 7000 years (Figure 4). Consequently, there is little pH change from the initial condition.

Mineral precipitation and dissolution in this simulation were almost consistent with the field data.

**Table 2.** List of initial mineral volume fractions, possible secondary mineral phases, and their kinetic properties used in the simulation (\( k_{25} \) is the kinetic rate constant at 25°C, and \( E_a \) activation energy).

Isothermal flow model

Using the parameter values determined in the non-flow simulation, the flow model was used to test precipitation and dissolution near the mixing region between acidic and neutral fluids. Concentration change of conservative chloride indicates the mixing front. The mixing front in our simulations can be seen easily due to the big difference between chloride concentrations of acidic fluid (0.099 mole/kg) and neutral fluid (0.044 mole/kg) as shown in Figure 5.

Non-isothermal flow model

The chloride concentration (Figure 10) in the non-isothermal flow model runs almost parallel. A big change of pH due to the movement of the mixing front gradually slows down with time, compared with that of chloride (Figure 11).

Mn-rich smectite precipitates near the mixing front, and then dissolves by acidic water displaced with time due to the pH decrease. The movement of the Mn-rich smectite peak (Figure 12) is similar to that of pH, and is behind that of Cl. At the lower temperature, the peak is much higher. Pyrite and sphalerite precipitation occur near the mixing front, and their precipitation peaks move with the mixing front (Figures 13-15). Mn-rich smectite scale in the Onikobe production well also coexists with sphalerite and galena (Ajima et al., 1998). The mineral assemblage of the scale is the same as that found in this simulation. It is likely that this smectite will precipitate by fluid mixing in the Onikobe reservoir.

The most important mineralization is not only hydrothermal metasomatism (chlorite, illite, kaolinite, epidote etc. form by replacement of primary minerals in rocks), but mineral deposition (quartz, calcite, anhydrite precipitates from geothermal fluid in fractures). Quartz, calcite and pyrite form by both formation mechanisms. Mn-rich smectite precipitation is observed at a depth where neutral fluid encountered with acidic fluid rising from deep feed zone in the Onikobe production wells (Ajima et al., 1998). It is assumed that this smectite is not formed by replacement of primary minerals but is precipitated by mixing of two different types of fluids in open fractures. Precipitation of Mn-rich smectite has an effect on decreasing porosity and permeability, and it is likely to form an impermeable barrier between regions with acidic and neutral fluids for geologic time.
CONCLUSION

One-dimensional simulations (isothermal and non-isothermal flow simulations) were performed for geothermal interactions between acidic and neutral fluids in the Onikobe geothermal reservoir using the reactive geochemical transport simulator TOUGHREACT. The results of isothermal and non-isothermal simulations are generally consistent. Mn-rich smectite precipitation occurs near the mixing front, and then dissolves to disappear in the acidic flow zone at higher temperature. Mn-rich smectite does not dissolve by the acidic water at lower temperature.

Mn-rich smectite precipitation/dissolution relates to pH and temperature. Precipitation of sphalerite and galena occurs in a similar region as the Mn-rich smectite precipitation. Mn-rich smectite coexists with sphalerite and galena. It is assumed that these minerals are not formed by mineral replacement but by mineral deposition due to mixing of two different types of fluids in open fractures. The smectite precipitation is likely to fill up open fractures and to form an impermeable barrier between regions with acidic and neutral fluids during geological time.

The present simulation results are specific to the reservoir conditions and parameters considered, and water and mineral compositions used in this study. Precipitation/dissolution of minerals is quite consistent with those of the Onikobe field data. However, some minerals are not precipitated in this simulation even if these minerals are generally observed at the Onikobe field. There are numerous uncertainties such as the chemical kinetics and the lack of sufficiently detailed characterization data at the Onikobe field. For the purpose of analyzing sensitivity, we will perform further simulations by
increasing or decreasing the surface area and by adjusting mineral assemblages.

Figure 10. Cl concentration in the non-isothermal model.

Figure 11. Water pH in the non-isothermal model.

Figure 12. Changes of Mn-rich smectite volume fraction in the non-isothermal model.

Figure 13. Changes of pyrite volume fraction in the non-isothermal model.

Figure 14. Changes of sphalerite volume fraction in the non-isothermal model.

Figure 15. Changes of galena volume fraction in the non-isothermal model.
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