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Summary

In a high level waste repository in which temperatures are elevated due to waste decay, concrete structures will be subjected to hydrothermal conditions that will alter their physical and chemical properties. Virtually no studies have examined the interaction of hydrothermally altered concrete with radionuclides. We present the results of experiments in which soluble and colloid-associated actinides, uranium (U) and neptunium (Np), were eluted into a fractured, hydrothermally altered concrete core. Although the fluid residence time in the fracture was estimated to be on the order of 1 minute, U and Np were below detection ($10^{-9}$-$10^{-8}$ M) in the effluent from the core, for both soluble and colloid-associated species. Inorganic colloids and latex microspheres were similarly immobilized within the core. Post-test analysis of the core identified the immobilized U and Np at or near the fracture surface, with a spatial distribution similar to that of the latex microspheres. Because hydrothermal alteration followed fracturing, the growth of crystalline calcium silicate hydrate and clay mineral alteration products on, and possibly across the fracture, resulted in a highly reactive fracture that was effective at capturing both soluble and colloidal radionuclides. Comparison of results from batch experiments [1] with these experiments indicate that partitioning of U and Np to the solid phase, and equilibration of the incoming fluid with the concrete, occurs rapidly in the fractured system. Transport of U

* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed.
through the concrete may be solubility and/or sorption limited; transport of Np appears to be limited primarily by sorption.

Introduction

Concrete will play an important role in controlling radionuclide releases from many prospective nuclear waste repositories. Radionuclide interactions with concrete have been investigated primarily in the context of low-level waste isolation and/or ambient-temperature high-level waste repositories [2, 3]. However, virtually no studies have addressed the interaction between radionuclides and concrete that has been altered by exposure to high temperatures generated by waste decay, such as may occur in the potential repository at Yucca Mountain, NV.

We present the results of experiments in which soluble and colloid-associated actinides, uranium (U) and neptunium (Np), were eluted into a fractured, hydrothermally altered concrete core.

In a related study, Zhao et al. [1] report partition coefficients for U and Np on the order of $10^3$ to $10^5$ mL/g for crushed (<53 µm) samples of hydrothermally altered concrete identical to the concrete used in this study. Partition coefficients were approximately an order of magnitude greater in filtered samples than in unfiltered samples, indicating significant sorption onto suspended particles.

A goal of this study was to determine if U and Np partitioning on crushed concrete is replicated during transport through fractured concrete, a medium expected to more closely approximate concrete in a repository setting. Given the potential for radionuclide transport via colloids, we studied the transport of U and Np in dissolved form as well as associated with colloidal particles derived from the concrete.

Methods

Materials – The concrete used in this study was cored from pre-cast concrete invert (ordinary Portland cement with a dolomitic limestone aggregate) used in the Yucca Mountain Project’s (YMP) Exploratory Studies Facility, Yucca Mountain, NV. A single fracture was induced in a cylindrical core using an hydraulic press, and the two halves were secured together with Teflon™-coated wire. The core was placed in a 316 stainless steel hydrothermal reaction vessel, submerged in 3x10^{-5} M NaHCO₃, and reacted at 200 °C for 8 months. The treated core was trimmed to a cylinder 5.40 cm long and 5.08 cm in diameter and the edges sealed with silicone to prevent fluid from bypassing the core.
By assuming all flow through the core was transmitted through the fracture, the pressure difference between
the up- and downstream core faces, and the flow rate through the core were used to calculate the aperture of an
equivalent rectangular fracture. The average aperture height (based on 12 transport experiments) was ~6 μm, which
corresponds to a fracture volume of 0.016 mL. In contrast, the total connected porosity of the core matrix was
calculated to be 0.20 (21.9 mL) by comparing the water saturated weight to the oven dry (52 °C) weight.

Concrete characterization – The mineralogy of the unaltered and hydrothermally altered concrete was
identified by X-ray diffraction analysis (XRD) using a Scintag X-ray diffractometer with Cu Kα radiation. Bulk
samples of ground concrete were X-rayed using a side-mount sample holder. Clay-sized particles (<1 μm) were
separated by centrifugation, washed with MgCl₂ to saturate exchange sites and flocculate the clay, washed with
deionized water, and deposited as oriented films on zero-background quartz plates. The oriented films were solvated
with ethylene glycol to assist in identification of layer silicate clays.

Minerals identified in the unaltered and hydrothermally altered concrete are shown in Table 1. During
hydrothermal treatment, portlandite (Ca(OH)₂), quartz, and amorphous calcium-silicate-hydrate gels altered to the
crystalline Ca-Si-H₂O phases scawtite (Ca₆Si₆(CO₃)₀₋₂H₂O), tobermorite (Ca₆Si₆(OH)₂₄H₂O) and xonotlite
(Ca₆Si₆O₁₇(OH)₂). In addition, hydrothermal treatment also resulted in the formation of two clay minerals, smectite,
a 2:1 layer silicate clay, and serpentine, a 1:1 layer silicate.

Transport experiments – The core was encased in a Viton™ rubber sleeve, fixed between two Teflon™
fluid distribution plates, and inserted in the core-flow apparatus (Figure 1). A confining pressure of ~3.4 MPa was
applied to the Viton-encased core via a hydrocarbon fluid (Isopar™) to prevent fluid flow along the outside of the
core. The confining pressure cycled (~0.3 MPa) in response to diurnal temperature changes (~0.5 °C).

A piston pump was used to elute a carrier solution of 0.01 M NaCl at constant flow rate. Tracer and sample
solutions were input into the carrier solution through sample injection loops (0.50, 5.00, and 11.69 mL) upstream
from the core. Effluent from the core was collected using a drop-counter fraction collector. Upstream and
downstream fluid pressure, confining fluid pressure, and core temperatures were continuously monitored using
pressure transducers and thermocouples. The transport experiments are summarized in chronological order in Table
1.

Fluid analysis – Effluent samples were analyzed for iodide using an iodide selective electrode, for U and
Np using liquid scintillation, for cationic constituents and silica using inductively coupled plasma atomic emission
spectrometry (ICP-AES), for anions using ion chromatography, for dissolved inorganic carbon using titration, for
inorganic colloids using laser breakdown spectrometry (LBS), and for colloidal microspheres using fluorimetry.
Uranium solutions were 98.45 mass percent ²³⁸U, 0.85 mass percent ²³⁵U, and 0.65 mass percent ²³⁵U, as determined
by thermal ionization mass spectrometry and alpha spectroscopy, and standardized against NIST U using UV-VIS
spectroscopy. The ²³⁷Np stock solution was standardized using a NIST-calibrated gamma spectroscopic standard;
the ²²⁵Pa daughter was a negligible percentage of total radioisotope mass. The oxidation states of Np(V), and U(VI),
were verified using UV VIS spectroscopy.
Concrete-derived colloids – Concrete-derived colloids were prepared by separating the < 1-μm fraction from crushed concrete by successive rounds of ultra sonic dispersion followed by centrifugation. The colloids were identified (via XRD) to be primarily calcite and smectite. The particle size distribution of the colloids suspended in 0.01 M NaCl was determined to be monomodal, with an average particle diameter of 320 nm, based on analysis with photon correlation spectrometry.

Particle concentrations in the effluent were assayed using LBS, which detects the acoustic wave emitted when a laser beam interacts with a particle [4]. Although absolute concentrations or particle size data could not be measured, the detection limit based on 496 nm polystyrene standards was conservatively estimated to be ~10⁶ particles/mL.

Np-associated colloids – Transport experiments (Table 1) were conducted with untraced and Np-spiked colloid suspensions. The spiked suspension was prepared by adding a small amount (11 μL) of NpO₂⁺ stock solution to the suspension (total Np ~2×10⁶M), along with NaOH to maintain the pH of the suspension at 9. Neptunium partitioning to the colloids determined by counting filtered and unfiltered samples varied between 30-50% of the Np added. The suspension was monitored several times during each experiment to verify that there were no changes in Np partitioning.

Fluorescent microspheres – One transport test (Table 1) was done using 1-μm fluorescent Fluoresbrite™ carboxylate microspheres. The lower detection limit, using fluorimetry, was conservatively estimated to be 400 particles/mL.

Post-experiment core analysis – Following the transport experiments, the core was removed from the apparatus and analyzed. Scanning electron microscopy was used to examine minerals on the fracture surface and the up- and downstream faces of the core. To gauge the extent of radionuclide transport along the fracture and into the matrix, alpha particle tracks were recorded in film (TASTRAK CR-39¹) exposed to thin sections cut from the core (Figure 2a) [5]. In addition, the relative concentrations of U and Np along a transect from the fracture into the matrix was measured using a Cameca IMS 3F secondary ion mass spectrometer (SIMS) using methods developed previously for rock and glass samples [6, 7]. The SIMS measurements were made on a thin section cut from the upstream face of the core (Figure 2a).

Geochemical modeling – Geochemical modeling was used to assess potential solubility controls on U and Np partitioning in concrete. Solution speciation and mineral equilibria were calculated using the geochemical modeling code REACT [8] and version thermo.com.full.V8.R6 of the GEMBOCHS thermodynamic database [9]. It was assumed that the system was oxidizing (fugacity O₂ = 0.2) and, for non-carbonate containing carrier solutions, that the fugacity of CO₂ was controlled by dissolution and equilibration with calcite.

¹ Obtained from Track Analysis Systems, Ltd., Bristol, U.K.
Results and Discussion

Distribution of alteration products in core – Scanning electron microscope (SEM) images of the core show complex alteration of the fracture surface and the up- and downstream core faces (Figure 3). The fracture surface is covered with blade-like, fibrous, and platy alteration products (Figure 3a,b). Based on morphology, the blade-like and fibrous structures are tentatively identified as Ca-Si-H₂O phases, and the platy minerals are tentatively identified as clays. SEM analysis of pieces of altered concrete not subjected to the eluents used in this experiment showed similar alteration products. Therefore, we assume that these phases formed during the hydrothermal alteration of the core, not during the fracture flow experiments. However, acicular alteration products observed on the downstream end were not observed on the upstream face (Figure 3c,d). It is possible that the acicular phase has been dissolved from the upstream face during flow tests by the large volume of pH 6 NaCl carrier solution (~500 mL) and/or the pH ~4 radionuclide tracer solutions (~20 mL) that were pumped through the core. Alternately, this phase may represent an alteration product that formed on the down-stream face during flow-testing.

Effluent composition – The composition of the effluent is listed in Table 3 and compared to the composition of fluids determined after equilibration of crushed concrete in batch tests [1]. The pH as measured with in-line electrodes was 11.2, about 0.5 units higher than in the batch experiments and the calcium levels were also larger in the effluent vs. the batch tests. The contact times in the batch experiments were on the order of months. In contrast, at a flow rate of 1 mL/hr, the residence time in the fracture (based on an effective fracture volume of 0.016 mL) is ~1 minute. The assumption that equilibrium with calcite could control dissolved carbonate levels was tested using the React geochemical modeling code [8]. Although the residence time is small, because of the relatively large ratio of fracture surface area to fracture volume, calculations using React, with explicit consideration of calcite dissolution kinetics at pH 11 [10], show that equilibrium with calcite would be obtained within 1 minute for reasonable calcite surface areas (e.g., > 0.2 x the geometric surface area of a rectangular fracture). Therefore, in the absence of an external source of CO₂ (aside from that introduced with the 0.01 M NaCl carrier solution), dissolved carbonate levels are predicted to be small (<1.5 mg/L C) when controlled by calcite equilibrium. The difference in dissolved calcium between batch and flow tests, may arise
because the batch tests were equilibrated under in the absence of CO₂ (under argon) [1], and
would be expected to equilibrate at significantly lower concentration of dissolved carbon than in
the flow tests. Apparently, the reaction of Si-bearing phases with the eluent is rapid as well,
because the dissolved Si concentration in effluent and batch tests is similar.

*Transport of iodide* – Iodide transport through soils and sediments is generally non-
retarded and conservative [11], although partitioning of iodide to fresh Portland cement has been
documented [12, 13, 14]. Integration of the iodide breakthrough curves (Figure 4a,b) yielded
~100% recovery of the injected iodide, which indicates conservative transport, identical to that
previously observed for iodide transport through a core of impermeable welded volcanic tuff
containing a 25-μm saw-cut fracture [15]. The initial appearance of iodide in the effluent begins
3-4 mL following injection, similar to that observed for the saw-cut welded volcanic tuff using
the same apparatus (Figure 4b). Apparently, for the flow rates and core length of these
experiments, there is no observable retardation of iodide by this hydrothermally altered concrete,
relative to a volcanic tuff.

The breakthrough curve for iodide eluted at 0.1 mL/hr is broader and more diffuse than
those at 1 mL/hr, but the initial appearance of iodide is similar. This suggests that diffusion of
iodide into the matrix during the passage of the pulse, and its subsequent diffusion from the
matrix to the fracture is more pronounced at low flow (~0.5 hour for a 0.56 mL pulse to traverse
the fracture at 1 mL/hr; and ~5 hours at 0.1 mL/hr).

*Latex microspheres* – The movement of fluorescent latex microspheres through the core,
as seen in a photograph of the fracture surface (Figure 2b), indicates channeling down the right
third of the fracture. Assuming that the position of the latex microspheres delineates the path of
least resistance for fluid as well as for colloidal particles, the fluid moving most quickly through
the core enters along the upstream fracture opening and is then channeled towards the right side
of the fracture. Less than 1 part in 10⁴ of the injected microspheres were recovered following
elution of 18 mL of carrier fluid (~1100 fracture volumes).

*Transport of U and Np*  – Approximately 5 mL of 2x10⁻⁶ M U, and 17 mL of 2x10⁻⁶ M Np
were eluted into the core at 1 mL/hr followed by ~300 mL of carrier solution (Table 1). Despite
close to 1.5x10³ fracture volumes of radionuclide-spiked fluid passing the core with a residence
time on the order of 1 minute, no activity was detected in the effluent. Apparently, U and Np were sorbed and/or precipitated rapidly, near the fracture surface.

In an attempt to facilitate Np transport, suspensions of Np-bearing colloids derived from concrete were eluted into the core in two tests (Table 1). A total of 23 mL of 2x10⁻⁶ M Np with colloids were eluted with 90 mL of carrier fluid. As for the soluble Np tests, no Np was detected in the effluent. Assuming Np did not desorb from the particles during transport, at least 90-99% of the Np-bearing particles must have been retained by the core.

Although LBS analysis could not be used to quantify the colloids passing through the core, breakthrough curves for the suspension tests indicate decreasing particle concentrations in the effluent for each successive test (Figure 4c). The decrease in height and breadth of the breakthrough with each successive suspension test suggests the development of a filter. The fibrous alteration phases shown in SEM photos (Figure 3a,b) are 2-5 times longer than the estimated fracture aperture height of 6 μm, and may have effectively strained the injected colloids.

Location of immobilized U and Np – A gamma counting survey of the outer core and fracture surfaces revealed high concentrations of radionuclides on the inlet face of the core. The location of immobilized radionuclides within the core was determined more precisely using alpha particle tracking and SIMS (Figure 2). The extent and distribution of alpha particle tracks along the fracture surface was similar to that of the latex microspheres (Figure 2a,b). This correlation confirms the hypothesis that the microspheres define the primary flow path and further suggests that radionuclides were not completely immobilized on the upstream face of the core, but were also present in the fluid moving through the fracture. In almost all cases, alpha tracks were concentrated along the fracture surface, however, in at least one case, tracks were observed several millimeters into the matrix (Figure 2c). Because all isotopes used in the experiment (²³⁸U, ²³²U, ²³⁷Np) emit alpha particles, we were not able to determine locations of specific isotopes within the clusters of tracks.

A single SIMS transect normal to the fracture surface (Figure 2d,e) (parallel to the upstream face, 50-75 μm into the core) recorded background levels of ²³⁸U and ²³⁷Np near the fracture surface and maxima several millimeters into the matrix. The breadth of the maxima, and the distance of the centroid of the maxima from the fracture surface differ for U and Np.
Whether these differences reflect differences in chemistry between these two nuclides, or the fact that U and Np were eluted into the core separately, is not known. Because almost all of the alpha particle tracks were localized at the fracture surface, it is unlikely that diffusion of U and Np into the matrix created the concentration maxima observed using SIMS. The SIMS transect may record advective transport of U and Np via a secondary fracture that intersected either the front face of the core or the main fracture. To clarify the mechanisms that control the distribution of these radionuclides, more extensive and detailed two-dimensional maps of their concentration adjacent to the fractures are required.

**Interaction of U and Np with concrete** – Because U and Np were not detected in the effluent, their detection limits (Table 1) define an upper limit to the solubility of U- and Np-bearing phases that could potentially control transport. Using as input the composition of the effluent (Table 3), and assuming oxidizing conditions, and that dissolved carbonate is controlled by equilibrium with calcite, geochemical modeling calculations indicate that there is only one U-bearing phase (CaUO₄), and no Np-bearing phases that can account for U and/or Np concentrations at or below their detection limits.

In contrast to the fracture flow experiments, U concentrations in batch experiments using the identical hydrothermally altered concrete at pH 11 [1] were 1-2 orders of magnitude greater than the detection limit. Zhao et al. [1] concluded that U levels were at least partly controlled by solubility, not by CaUO₄, but perhaps by a more soluble phase having a similar stoichiometry [16], or by solid-solution with calcite [17, 18]. A significant difference between the batch tests and the fracture flow experiment, is the ratio of concrete to the liquid phase. In the flow tests, the effective ratio of concrete to solution, though unknown, is potentially orders of magnitude larger than the ratio (1/250, wt/vol) in the batch experiments. Sorption could therefore play a much more important role in the transport experiments, and could potentially reduce U concentrations below solubility limits.

Zhao et al. [1] also showed that 0.01 M NaHCO₃ at pH ~10 reduced partitioning of U to this concrete by more than an order of magnitude. In contrast, eluting the U-loaded core with >500 mL (>3x10⁴ fracture volumes) of 2x10⁻³ M NaHCO₃ at pH 8.5 (Table 1) did not result in the elution of any detectable U. Apparently, at the lower pH and concentration of dissolved carbonate used in the fracture flow test, partitioning was not reduced sufficiently for U to be
detected in the effluent. However, based on geochemical calculations, if U concentrations were controlled by CaVO₄ (the least soluble U-bearing phase in this system), U concentrations would be detectable (≈4x10⁻⁶ M) in the effluent.

As observed for U, Np was not detected in the effluent in any of the 4 tests in which it was eluted (Table 1). Zhao et al. [1] observed Np concentrations above detection limits in batch experiments, and measured very high $K_d$'s (≈10⁵ mL·g⁻¹) at pH 11. Based on the variation in soluble Np vs. pH for samples equilibrated between 21 and 133 days, Zhao et al. [1] concluded that Np concentrations were most likely controlled by sorption, rather than equilibrium with a solid phase.

Several sources report Np(V) sorption onto calcite and dolomite, the main constituents of the concrete aggregate. Keeney-Kennicutt and Morse [19] measured Np(V) sorption on calcite (5 m²/L) at pH 7.8-8.2. The $K_d$ calculated from the linear portion of the sorption isotherm was ≈2x10³ mL/g for Np(V) sorption from deionized water. Brady et al. [20] reported sorption of Np(V) onto dolomite (2.3 m²/L) in the pH range from 3 to 10, for contact times on the order of ½ minute (i.e., similar to the residence time of the fluid in the fractured core). Brady et al. [20] observed an increase in $K_d$ from <10 to ≈200 mL/g with increasing pH between 7 and 10; hence the $K_d$ for Np on dolomite at pH 11 would be expected to be >200 mL/g. Zhao et al. [1] also observed a significant increase in $K_d$ between pH 9 and 11 for Np partitioning onto hydrothermally altered concrete. There are apparently no reported data for the sorption of Np on calcium silicate hydrate minerals, such as those found in the hydrothermally altered concrete. These minerals, as shown by SEM analysis, would be expected to present the largest surface area to the fluid in the fracture. If these phases exhibit even a modest ability to partition Np, they would significantly augment the sorptive capacity provided by the calcite and dolomite in the aggregate.

Although sorption appears to play the major role in controlling Np concentrations in the experiments of Zhao et al. [1] and ours, we cannot explicitly rule out subsequent precipitation of Np bearing phases that are not represented in our thermodynamic data base, or the incorporation of Np into solid solutions. Zhao et al. [1] showed, using X-ray absorption spectroscopy, that over a period of 6 months, initially sorbed Np(V) is partially reduced (≈50%) to Np(IV). It is not known whether this transformation is associated with development of an Np-bearing solid phase.
Conclusions

The immobilization of U and Np by hydrothermally altered concrete predicted by batch reaction experiments is confirmed in fracture flow studies. Under oxidizing conditions, the elution of U through concrete may be solubility limited; the elution of Np appears to be controlled primarily by sorption.

Because of the large ratio of surface area to solution volume, and the inherent reactivity of the minerals in the hydrothermally altered concrete, sorption and precipitation/dissolution reactions in the fracture are rapid. For the fracture properties and flow rates used in these experiments, reactions that immobilize and/or retard U and Np are not flow-rate dependent.

The growth of hydrothermal alteration products in fractures may make fractures self-sealing. Alteration mineral structures growing across fractures form effective particle filters.

If Np is primarily retarded by sorption onto calcite and dolomite, a potential improvement of the behavior of concrete can be effected by a suitable choice of aggregate.
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Table 1. Chronological summary of fracture flow experiments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test ID</th>
<th>Carrier Fluid</th>
<th>Injected Tracer</th>
<th>Tracer Concentration</th>
<th>Pulse Volume (mL)</th>
<th>Total Effluent Collected (mL)</th>
<th>Tracer Detection Limit</th>
<th>Flow Rate (mL/hr)</th>
<th>Average permeability ($10^{-16}$ m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I-111397</td>
<td>0.01 M NaCl, pH 6</td>
<td>Iodide</td>
<td>7.5 x 10^{-4} M</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>5 x 10^{-8} M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-121997</td>
<td>0.01 M NaCl, pH 6</td>
<td>Iodide</td>
<td>7.5 x 10^{-4} M</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>86.5</td>
<td>5 x 10^{-8} M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Np-123197</td>
<td>0.01 M NaCl, pH 6</td>
<td>Dissolved Np</td>
<td>2.6 x 10^{-5} M</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>189</td>
<td>8 x 10^{-9} M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>U-010998</td>
<td>0.01 M NaCl, pH 6</td>
<td>Dissolved U</td>
<td>2.1 x 10^{-5} M</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>218</td>
<td>1 x 10^{-8} M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-072298</td>
<td>0.01 M NaCl, pH 6</td>
<td>Iodide</td>
<td>7.5 x 10^{-4} M</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>5 x 10^{-8} M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC-092898</td>
<td>0.01 M NaCl, pH 6</td>
<td>Concrete colloids</td>
<td>371 mg/L</td>
<td>11.75</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4 x 10^{-2} mg/L</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NpS-100298</td>
<td>0.01 M NaCl, pH 6</td>
<td>Dissolved Np</td>
<td>2.0 x 10^{-6} M</td>
<td>11.75</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>2 x 10^{-9} M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NpC-100798</td>
<td>0.01 M NaCl, pH 6</td>
<td>Np-spiked colloids</td>
<td>157 mg/L</td>
<td>11.75</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2 x 10^{-8} M Np; 4 x 10^{-2} mg/L particles</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NpC-102698</td>
<td>0.01 M NaCl, pH 6</td>
<td>Np-spiked colloids</td>
<td>157 mg/L</td>
<td>11.75</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>4 x 10^{-9} M Np; 4 x 10^{-2} mg/L particles</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-111298</td>
<td>0.01 M NaCl, pH 6</td>
<td>Iodide</td>
<td>7.5 x 10^{-4} M</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5 x 10^{-8} M</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I-111698</td>
<td>0.01 M NaCl, pH 6</td>
<td>Iodide</td>
<td>7.5 x 10^{-4} M</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>5 x 10^{-8} M</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>4.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C-112598</td>
<td>2 mM HCO₃, pH 8.46</td>
<td>(none)</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>535</td>
<td>6 x 10^{-8} M U; 8 x 10^{-9} M Np</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-122198</td>
<td>0.01 M NaCl, pH 6</td>
<td>Microspheres</td>
<td>4.5 x 10⁷ particles/mL</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>400 particles/mL</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Test ID indicates date of test (MM/DD/YY)
*Total effluent collected after tracer pulse injected into flow
*Flow rate was changed to 0.1 mL/hr for the last 7 mL of effluent collected
Table 2. Mineral phases identified by X-ray diffraction in untreated and hydrothermally treated concrete

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>Untreated Concrete</th>
<th>Hydrothermally Treated Concrete</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dolomite</td>
<td>major(^a)</td>
<td>major</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcite</td>
<td>major</td>
<td>major</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartz</td>
<td>major</td>
<td>minor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portlandite</td>
<td>major</td>
<td>not observed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scawtite</td>
<td>not observed</td>
<td>minor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobermorite</td>
<td>not observed</td>
<td>minor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xonotlite</td>
<td>not observed</td>
<td>minor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smectite</td>
<td>not observed</td>
<td>minor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serpentine</td>
<td>not observed</td>
<td>minor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Major, minor indicates relative abundance of the phase based on XRD peak heights
Table 3. Compositions of fluid in contact with hydrothermally altered concrete

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Core Effluent&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (mg/L)</th>
<th>Batch Fluid&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt; (mg/L)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>39.1</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>&lt;0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>10&lt;sup&gt;f&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>230&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Si</td>
<td>8.66</td>
<td>8.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>367</td>
<td>355&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO&lt;sub&gt;4&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>18.3</td>
<td>Not analyzed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;pH&lt;sup&gt;e&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Average composition of effluent from fracture flow experiments
<sup>b</sup>From batch sorption experiments
Zhao, et al.[1]
<sup>c</sup>Cations analyzed with ICP-AES
<sup>d</sup>Anions analyzed with ion chromatography
<sup>e</sup>pH of core effluent measured with in-line electrode; pH of batch fluid measured with standard electrode
<sup>f</sup>Contaminated by KCl from pH electrode
<sup>g</sup>Assumed based on 0.01 M NaCl supporting electrolyte
Figure captions

Figure 1. Schematic of fracture flow apparatus.

Figure 2. Post-test examination of the fractured concrete core. (a) schematic showing samples used for autoradiography and SIMS, with general locations of alpha particle tracks shown in dark gray; (b) fluorescent latex microspheres (bright areas) immobilized on fracture surface; (c) close-up of particle tracks; (d) micrograph showing SIMS transect; (e) relative radionuclide concentrations along SIMS transect.

Figure 3. SEM images of hydrothermally altered fractured concrete core. (a) and (b) micrographs of fracture surface; (c) micrograph of upstream face of core; (d) micrograph of downstream face.

Figure 4. Breakthrough curves for iodide and concrete-derived colloids. (a) iodide (1.0 mL/hr); (b) iodide (0.1 mL/hr); (c) concrete-derived colloids (1.0 mL/hr). Transport experiment identifiers are listed in Table 1.
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