GEM-type Detectors Using LIGA and Etchable Glass Technologies
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Abstract—Gas electron multipliers (GEMS) have been made by a deep X-ray lithography technique (LIGA process) using synchrotron radiation on polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) and by UV processes using a UV etchable glass. Gain, stability and rate capability for these detectors are described.

The LIGA detectors described consist of PMMA sheets of various thicknesses, 125µm to 350µm, and have 150µm × 150µm square holes spaced with a pitch of 300µm. Thin copper electrodes are plated on the top and bottom surfaces using a Damascene method, followed by electroless plating of the copper onto a palladium-tin base layer. For various thicknesses of PMMA measurements have been made of absolute gain vs. voltage, time stability of gain, and rate capability. The operating gas mixture was usually Ar/CO₂ (70/30) gas, but some tests were also done using P10 gas. We also made GEM-like detectors using the UV etchable glass called Foturan, patterned by exposure to UV light and subsequent etching. A few measurements using these detectors will be reported, including avalanche gain and time stability.

I. INTRODUCTION

Since the group of Sauli introduced in 1996 the gas electron multiplier (GEM) [1] as a pre-amplification foil, there has been a considerable effort devoted to the investigation of its characteristics, and to the improvement of its performance. Other methods of fabrication have been investigated, including dry etching and laser drilling [2].

We have made GEM-like detectors [3] by the LIGA process [4], using X-rays from an electron synchrotron (the ALS) for exposing the PMMA, and by exposure of Foturan glass [5,6] and subsequent etching. In this paper, we describe fabrication techniques and a new method for placing copper electrodes on the top and bottom GEM surfaces. We also present new measurements of GEM-like detectors made by the LIGA process, including absolute gain, time stability and rate capability, and preliminary results from the Foturan detectors.

II. TECHNICAL DESCRIPTION

We have previously described detectors [3] made by the LIGA method [4], in which low-energy X-rays are used to expose patterns on polymethylmethacrylate (PMMA) sheets. Our LIGA-fabricated detectors described here consist of thin PMMA sheets (125µm - 350µm thickness) with arrays of 150µm × 150µm square holes having steep wall sides and a pitch of 300µm. These patterns are made on PMMA sheets exposed to X-rays of about 10keV energy through patterned gold masks. GEM-like detectors have also been made using Foturan glass of 300µm thickness. These have arrays of 130µm × 130µm square holes, and also have steep wall sides and a pitch of 250µm. The cross sectional dimensions of the detector sensitive region are approximately 30mm × 30mm for the PMMA and 10mm × 10mm for the Foturan.

A. Fabrication of LIGA Detectors

The fabrication process begins with the creation of a chromium-on-quartz photomask using an electron beam Nanowriter. The photomask is then used as a template to generate a LIGA mask: a 20µm thick gold pattern on a silicon wafer using photolithography of a spin-cast photoresist layer. The function of the LIGA mask is to produce a high differential absorption ratio at X-ray wavelengths. The two major performance considerations in masking are to ensure the proper exposure ratio between the absorbing and the transmitting regions of the mask, and profile dimensional accuracy. The LIGA mask is used as a pattern for X-ray exposure of a PMMA wafer, which will become the GEM-like device after development and plating. The X-ray source used for LIGA exposures is a beam line at the Advanced Light Source (ALS) at the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL). During exposure, X-ray radiation performs chain scission on the long-chain molecules of PMMA. This effectively reduces the molecular weight in the exposed regions from ~10⁶ to ~10³ AMU’s. The PMMA is then exposed to a developer (a mixture of 2-2 butoxyethoxyethanol, morpholine, 2-aminoethanol and de-ionized water), which selectively dissolves the lower
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molecular weight material. The unexposed PMMA remains and defines the GEM grid.

**B. Fabrication of Foturan Detectors**

The Institute of Microtechnology in Mainz (IMM), Germany [5], has developed a photo-etchable glass, with the trade name Foturan, which is manufactured by the Schott glass Co. [6]. It is an alkali-alumosilicate-glass, whose photosensitive characteristics arise from additions of Ce$_2$O$_3$ and Ag$_2$O. This photosensitivity allows it to be structured by UV photolithography for a variety of purposes. Foturan has mechanical, thermal and electrical properties similar to conventional glass, and has a bulk resistivity $\rho=8.1 \times 10^{12}$ Ωcm at 25 °C. For our Foturan detectors, we used a mask of 20µm-thick nickel mesh with 125µm × 125µm square holes and a pitch of 250µm. The exposure was made with light of 300nm wavelength. The exposed glass is etched using 10% hydrofluoric acid; the etching rate of exposed regions is about 10-15 times faster than that of the unexposed regions. The sides of holes etched into the material are nearly perpendicular to the surface. After this process of etching, the final hole size is 130µm × 130µm and the thickness is 300µm. We contracted with Mikroglas in Mainz, Germany [7] to do the lithography and etching that produced the detectors reported in this paper. The microscopic picture of patterned Foturan wafer is shown in Fig. 1.

**C. Electrodes Plating on Detectors**

For both these fabrication techniques, we deposited copper plate electrodes on the top and bottom surfaces of the detectors. The electrodes have a thickness of 1µm, and are made in the following way. The surface plating begins with a step known as the Damascene method [8]; this prevents copper being plated on the walls of the holes. At first, an anthracene solution in ethyl alcohol is used to cover both surfaces of the device as well as filling in the volume of the holes. Then the dried anthracene is removed from the top and bottom surfaces, but still remains in the holes. Next, a thin coating of palladium-tin [9], which provides an initiator for the copper plate, is deposited on the top and bottom surfaces of the device. Next, the anthracene is removed from the holes by ultrasonic agitation in an alcohol solution, and copper is electroless-plated [9] onto the palladium-tin layer, to the desired 1µm thickness.

**D. Experimental Setup**

The detector was placed between two electrode planes, the drift (cathode) and collection planes (anode), which were spaced by 3.2mm and 1.2mm (or no spacing), respectively from the wafer (see Fig. 2). The drift plane was a thin stainless steel wire grid. A copper plated ceramic layer was used as the collection plane, and was connected to a pulse height analyzer through a calibrated amplifier. In this study, the drift field was fixed at 1.2kV/cm, or at 40V/cm for some tests. The applied voltage on the detectors is defined as the voltage difference between the top and bottom electrodes. In order to provide high voltage on detectors safely with no spark damage, a network of voltage dividers and protective series resistances was used, as described in detail in [10]. As is well known, some of the electrons from the GEM avalanche are lost during the collection step by going to the bottom electrode of detector rather than to the collection plane [11]. So we investigated this effect by setting the collection gap to zero in several measurements. This also helped to avoid using the complex voltage-dividing network, which can be a source of noise.

The gas mixture used was either Ar/CO$_2$ (70/30), or P10, Ar/CH$_4$ (90/10), and the source of primary ionization was either an $^{55}$Fe (5.9keV) source (~40µCi) or vanadium-filtered X-rays from an X-ray tube having a copper target, and operated with an anode voltage of 6kV.

---

![Fig. 1. Picture of the hole pattern produced with UV exposure and etching of a 300µm-thick Foturan wafer. The hole size is 130µm × 130µm and the pitch is 250µm.](image)

**Fig. 1.** Picture of the hole pattern produced with UV exposure and etching of a 300µm-thick Foturan wafer. The hole size is 130µm × 130µm and the pitch is 250µm.

![Fig. 2. Schematic representation of the structure of the GEM-type detector, coupled with drift and collection planes. The drift gap was 3.2mm and the collection gap was either 1.2mm or zero.](image)

**Fig. 2.** Schematic representation of the structure of the GEM-type detector, coupled with drift and collection planes. The drift gap was 3.2mm and the collection gap was either 1.2mm or zero.
III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We give below the results of a number of detectors made by the LIGA and etchable glass techniques. The leakage resistance of each detector was determined by measuring the current as a function of applied voltage between the top and bottom conducting surfaces. This resistance is more than $10^{12}\Omega$ for the LIGA detectors, and about $10^{11}\Omega$ for the Foturan glass detectors. The volume resistivities specified by the manufacturers are 2 - $14\times10^{15}\ \Omega\cdot\text{cm}$ and 8.1$\times10^{12}\ \Omega\cdot\text{cm}$, for the PMMA and Foturan glass, respectively.

A. Results from LIGA Detectors

Fig. 3 shows gain for several LIGA detectors with different thickness. The maximum gains were limited by electrical micro-discharges on the devices, when fluctuations occurred in the collected currents. From the measurements of avalanche gain vs. drift field, our LIGA detectors obtain the best gain performance with a drift field of about 1.2 – 1.5kV/cm. The gains were also measured in the P10 gas with zero collection gap, as shown in Fig. 4. In this case, however, we set the drift field at 40V/cm because avalanche gain was not much smaller than for higher fields, and we obtained better energy resolution. It should be noted that no attempt has been made to optimize the relative sizes of the holes, thickness, and pitch: Such optimization is expected to result in a considerable gain increase.

As shown in Fig. 5, gain stabilities for various detectors were measured in Ar/CO$_2$ (70/30) gas. We used a collimated $^{55}$Fe source at a count rate of 50-100Hz/mm$^2$ in all measurements. The gain decreased about 15% within about 1.5 hours and then stabilized for thicknesses of 125 - 150µm, when the collection gap was zero. However, in the case of 1.2mm-collection gap and 5kV/cm collection field, the gain drop was less than 10%. We obtained similar results from the thicker (300 and 350µm) detectors. We believe that because of the longer path through holes of the thicker detectors, there is increased surface charging and a large gain drop, as seen in Fig. 5.

A pulse height spectrum from an $^{55}$Fe source is shown in Fig. 6. The FWHM of the principal peak (5.9keV) is usually about 30%, and the best obtained result is 20% FWHM. We believe that the square hole geometry contributes to a somewhat poorer resolution than would be obtained with circular holes.

![Fig. 3. Measurements of avalanche gain as a function of applied voltage across LIGA detectors of 125 - 350µm thickness, as indicated on the graph, in Ar/CO$_2$ (70/30) gas. All measurements have been performed with an $^{55}$Fe source, and up to voltages at which fluctuation of current become prominent. The drift gap was 3.2mm, and $E_{\text{drift}}$ was 1.2kV/cm or 2kV/cm. The collection gap was zero except for tests marked with *, where the collection gap was 1.2mm and $E_{\text{collect}}$ was 5kV/cm.](image_url)

![Fig. 4. Measurements of avalanche gain as a function of applied voltage across LIGA detectors of 180, 250 and 300µm thickness in P10 gas. $E_{\text{drift}}$ = 40V/cm and the collection gap was zero.](image_url)

![Fig. 5. Gain variation with time of several detectors of different thicknesses. For the thinner detectors, ~130µm thick, there was a gain decrease of about 15% after about 1.5 hours, and then the gain stabilized. The drift gap was 3.2mm, and $E_{\text{drift}}$ was 1.2kV/cm. The collection gap was zero, except for those marked with *, where the collection gap was 1.2mm and $E_{\text{collect}}$ was 5kV/cm.](image_url)
For future experiments, which have to work in the environment of very high luminosity, high rate detectors are needed. Using our X-ray generator, we measured the relative gain of the LIGA detectors as a function of rate for three different gains. As shown in Fig. 7, the gain decrease in detectors running at a gain of 400 occurs at counting rates exceeding about $10^5$ Hz/mm$^2$. At the higher gain of $G=560$, however, the gain decrease begins at a few tens of kHz/mm$^2$. Comparing Fig. 7 (a) and (b), the rate capability is only slightly worse, if at all, for the thicker detectors, but it depends sensitively on the operating gain.

B. Results from Foturan Glass Detectors

We have measured the gain and time stability of Foturan glass detectors. Fig. 8 shows the avalanche gain for these detectors in Ar/CO$_2$ (70/30) gas. The maximum gains were limited by fluctuations in the collected currents. Even though the wafer thickness is 300µm, we obtained avalanche gains at a smaller applied voltage than for LIGA detectors of similar thickness. This result perhaps can be partially explained by the different hole size and pitch than for the X-ray LIGA detectors. We determined the gain from the principal peak of the $^{55}$Fe measured pulse height spectrum, but the energy resolution was poor, as shown in Fig. 9. For the Foturan and LIGA detectors of similar thickness (~300µm), the pitch and hole size are: (pitch/hole) = (300/150)$_{\text{LIGA}}$ and (250/130)$_{\text{Foturan}}$. A change of GEM geometry can result in very different gain properties. These results represent the first measurements of GEM-like detectors using an etchable glass. Further investigations should help determine the potential of this method as the alternative form of GEM detector fabrication. The time stability, which is shown Fig. 10, is quite similar to that of the PMMA detector made by the LIGA process.
Fig. 9. Pulse height spectrum for an $^{55}$Fe source obtained using a Foturan glass detector of 300µm thickness (see text).

Fig. 10. Gain variation vs. time of Foturan detectors of 300µm thickness using a 40µCi $^{55}$Fe source. About 15% decrease of gain is observed after 1.5 hours.

IV. CONCLUSION

GEM-type detectors were made by the LIGA technique of exposing PMMA to low energy X-rays, and by UV light exposure of the etchable glass, Foturan. Both techniques are shown to make functioning GEM-type detectors. Using detectors of several thicknesses, we measured reasonable performance with regard to gain, time stability and rate capability. We also introduced a new method for placing copper electrodes on the top and bottom GEM surfaces. The results from detectors using Foturan glass indicate the possibility of using this alternative technique for GEM manufacturing. Compared with previously used techniques, the different properties of this glass substrate (e.g. thickness, robustness) might provide an extended range of application of GEM-type devices.

Another possibility under investigation is to use either of these techniques to make copper molds, by electroplating}

copper into the hole patterns, following the original meaning of LIGA: lithography, electroforming and molding. These copper molds could then be used for making GEM-like detectors from molded plastic wafers.

V. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We would like to thank Ms. Kenney of Schott in Yonkers, NY and Ms. Vogel of Mikroglas in Mainz, Germany who constructed the Foturan glass patterns.

VI. REFERENCES

WWW: http://www.schottglass.com
WWW: http://www.mikroglas.de