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Abstract

Electrical shorting in micro-electro-mechanical systems (MEMS) is a significant production and manufacturing concern. We present a new approach to localizing shorted MEMS devices using Thermally-Induced Voltage Alteration (TIVA) [1]. In TIVA, the shorted, thermally isolated MEMS device is very sensitive to thermal stimulus. The site of the MEMS short will respond as a thermocouple when heated. By monitoring the potential across the shorted MEMS device as a laser scans across the sample, an image showing the location of the thermocouple (short site) can be generated. The TIVA signal for thermally isolated MEMS devices is much higher than that observed for conventional IC interconnections. This results from the larger temperature gradients generated during laser scanning due to little or no substrate heat sinking. The capability to quickly localize shorted MEMS structures is demonstrated by several examples. Thermal modeling of heat distributions is presented and is consistent with the experimental results.

Introduction

MEMS devices are important elements in sensor and communication devices, with new applications appearing continually. Electrical short circuiting of MEMS devices is a significant production and manufacturing concern. As with most technologies, the probability of yield and reliability problems increases as MEMS devices get smaller and more complex. Most electrically active components of MEMS devices are suspended above a ground plane or other electrically active/grounding regions. The most likely cause for short-circuit defects in MEMS can be attributed to stiction [2] or particle contamination [3]. Recent work has also shown the susceptibility of MEMS devices to ESD/EOS damage [4]. Although electrical short circuiting is a major concern, no techniques are currently available to characterize MEMS devices quickly and non-invasively. Current methods for characterizing electrical shorting in MEMS devices consist of electrical probing/testing, to verify the actuator (drive signal applied to the actuator) is short circuited, and scanning electron microscopy (SEM) or optical microscopy, to structurally characterize and localize the electrically shorted component. Electrical probing/testing can potentially damage the device, and most high-resolution SEM characterization applies a high-energy electron beam to the MEMS structure. This may damage any electronics in the vicinity of the MEMS device. The combination of structural and electrical characterization using SEM and electrical probing has proven to be both arduous and time consuming, often taking hours to localize the failure site.

We have found that the recently developed TIVA technique can be used to quickly localize shorted elements of MEMS devices. Through the use of TIVA, we have both electrically verified and physically localized the failed region of MEMS devices quickly, effectively, and efficiently. The paper will review the physics of TIVA and describe the analysis of defective MEMS devices. The paper will also show detailed thermal modeling and simulation results of heat dissipation in the thermally isolated MEMS structures.

Physics of TIVA Imaging

TIVA [1] for IC examination employs the constant-current biasing method used in Charge-Induced Voltage Alteration (CIVA) [5] and Light-Induced Voltage Alteration (LIVA) [6]. The constant-current biasing approach provides an extremely sensitive method for detection of subtle changes in the IC or MEMS power demand. In TIVA, localized heating changes the resistance of a short, and the effects of this resistance change on the power demands of the entire IC are used to produce an image. Power consumption of a short will depend upon the resistance of the short and its location in the IC. For example, a $V_{DD}$ to $V_{SS}$ short may dissipate more power than a short between two signal lines. If the short is of a metallic nature, the increase in temperature will increase the resistance of the short, thereby reducing the power demands of the IC. The change in resistance of a short with heating from the scanned laser can be expressed by Eq. 1 [7]:

$$p = p_0 (1 + \alpha(T-T_0))$$

(1)
DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, make any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.
DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.
where $\rho$ is the resistivity, $\rho_0$ is the resistivity at $T_0$, $\alpha$ is the temperature coefficient of resistivity, $T$ is the temperature, and $T_0$ is the reference temperature.

When localizing shorts in signal lines, the IC must be biased (statically) with sufficient potential to "activate" the short. For a 3-V IC, the constant current should be elevated to achieve a voltage of 2.5 to 3 V. The thermally-induced resistance changes will alter the voltage of transistor gates driven by the shorted conductors, producing the same amplification effects seen in CIVA.

If the short is between power buses, then only a minimal current (100 $\mu$A to 1 mA) is required through the short while imaging. The voltage obtained may be well below the normal operating potential. Under these conditions, there is no CIVA-like amplification by transistor gates, but neither is there any background power consumption due to biasing the entire IC that can mask weaker signals. The resulting sensitivity in detecting shorts is generally better for power-bus cases than signal lines, but specific cases vary in sensitivity. For some shorts, no applied current is necessary because the heated short produces its own bias via a thermocouple effect. Certainly the setup is much easier for "hard" shorts where no bias is applied. Also, because no bias is applied the risk of further damage through biasing is circumvented. Fig. 1 is a TIVA example localizing a short on the front side of an IC.

The resistance change with localized heating in TIVA is the basis of the optical-beam induced resistance change (OBIRCH) technique [8]. For OBIRCH, the change in IC power consumption is detected by an IC current change with constant-voltage biasing, yielding limited detection sensitivity. The constant-current biasing approach used in TIVA produces greater sensitivity in localizing shorts. OBIRCH using constant-current biasing was mentioned in a recent review article [9], which also indicated the improved sensitivity seen with the "IVA" techniques.

Application of TIVA to MEMS presents some special opportunities. Because some MEMS structures are thermally isolated from the substrate of the MEMS device, the structures can be easily heated with much lower power than when TIVA is applied to ICs. In fact, some devices require care to avoid overheating and possible damage to the MEMS structure by high temperature alteration of the thermally isolated components. Additionally, a number of MEMS devices do not have active IC structures, i.e., Si diffusions. With these structures there is no concern of photocurrent effects swamping out the TIVA signals. Therefore, shorter-wavelength lasers with better spatial resolution can be used for TIVA images. The improved spatial resolution can also be seen in the reflected light images used for registration.

**Sandia MEMS Microengine**

The Sandia-fabricated microengine was used as the test vehicle for assessing the effects of a short-circuit event. Illustrated in Fig. 2 is an optical micrograph of the Sandia surface-micromachined polysilicon microengine accompanied by SEM micrographs of the gear and comb-drive actuators respectively. The Sandia microengine consists of orthogonal linear comb drive actuators mechanically connected to a rotating gear. By applying the appropriate drive signals (voltages), linear displacements of the comb drives are transformed into rotary motion. The X and Y linkage arms are connected to the gear by a pin joint. The gear rotates around a hub, which is anchored to the substrate. Fig. 3 shows the packaged part consisting of one die with four microengines (2 actuators per microengine). Each microengine is driven by X and Y electrostatic actuators. Each actuator utilizes two drive signals (either up/down or left/right depending upon the orientation of the microengine). These actuators consist of discrete levels of polysilicon to make a two-level comb finger structure. The comb fingers measure 48 $\mu$m long and 2 $\mu$m wide with a 2-$\mu$m space between adjacent comb fingers and between the comb fingers and the ground plane. Figs. 4a and b illustrate the fixed and moveable comb-finger geometries from top and side perspectives.
Thermal Modeling

Thermal modeling and simulation were performed to understand the heating effects of a focused laser beam on the MEMS structures. A thermal modeling system produced by Harvard Thermal Inc. was used to evaluate the effects of laser irradiation of structurally isolated polysilicon [10]. In modeling, the silicon die was assumed to be 600 μm thick. On top of the silicon substrate is a 0.6-μm-thick silicon dioxide (SiO₂) layer. A 0.8-μm-thick silicon nitride layer overlays the SiO₂ layer. On top of this silicon nitride layer is a layer of 0.3-μm-thick polysilicon. This thin polysilicon layer (sheet resistance = 40 Ω/square) is normally referred to as the poly0 layer. There is another 1-μm-thick polysilicon layer on top of poly0 layer. This layer, normally known as poly1, has a sheet resistance of 22Ω/square. The comb fingers and shuttles are located in this layer. An air gap of 2 μm separates the poly0 and poly1 layers. The comb finger was assumed to be 2 μm wide and 48 μm long. Adjacent comb fingers are separated by a 2-μm-wide air gap.
The laser spot size was assumed to be 2 x 2 μm (5X objective approximation). For a laser power of 140 mW, the total focused laser power on the sample was measured to be approximately 16 mW on the sample surface. The laser absorption for the air gap, silicon nitride layer, silicon dioxide layer, and silicon substrate was assumed to be negligible. Laser absorption occurs primarily in the polyO and polyl layers. The absorption coefficients of the polyO and polyl layers were found to be 735 and 336 cm⁻¹ respectively based on an earlier calibration curve [11].

Fig. 5 shows the temperature profiles of the polyO/SiO₂/SiN/Si substrate. In the simulation, it was assumed that 352 μW of laser power was absorbed by the polyO in a 2 x 2 μm area. The absorbed power was calculated based on the absorption coefficient of 735 cm⁻¹ for the focused laser power of 16 mW. Fig. 5 clearly shows that there is minimal temperature rise in the substrate. The maximum temperature rise is about 2 °C for a base temperature of 25 °C. The small rise in temperature can be attributed to rapid heat dissipation through the silicon substrate.

Fig. 6 shows the temperature profiles of the polyl comb fingers on top of the polyO/SiO₂/SiN/Si substrate. The total absorption in a 2 x 2 μm area in one of the comb finger was calculated to be 528 μW.

The modeling also included the laser absorption in the poly0 layer of 352 μW. The modeling shows that there is a significant temperature rise of around 40 °C above the base temperature of 25 °C. The air gap between polyO and polyl layers acts as a good thermal insulator and prevents rapid heat dissipation through the silicon. The air gap between adjacent comb fingers also prevents the dissipation through adjacent fingers. Most of the heat dissipated quickly along the length of the comb finger.

In Fig. 7, the simulation assumes a particle short between the polyO and polyl layers. The particle producing the short is assumed to be 2 x 2 x 2 μm in size and to be made up of polysilicon. The absorption coefficient of this particle was assumed to be the same as the polyl layer. Even with the particle short, the thermal profile in Fig. 7 looks very similar to that of Fig. 6. The air gap between polyO and polyl and between adjacent comb fingers still dominates the heat dissipation and thermal distribution. The peak temperature rise in this case is about 42 °C above the base temperature of 25 °C.
Fig. 7. The lattice structure (top) used in the simulation of temperature profiles of poly1 on poly0/SiO2/SiN/Si substrate. The lattice structure shown is 38 μm wide, 80 μm long and 9.8 μm thick. There is particle short between poly0 and poly1. The particle was assumed to be made of polysilicon and 2 x 2 x 2 μm. Calculated temperature profile (bottom) for the absorbed power of 528 μW in a 2 x 2 x 1 μm volume in the poly1 layer and 352 μW in a of 2 x 2 x 0.3 μm volume of poly0 layer. The absorbed power in the particle was assumed to be 1.04 mW.

Fig. 8 shows the simulation where there is a particle short between two adjacent comb fingers with 16 mW of laser power focused on one of the fingers. The particle was assumed to be made up of polysilicon with a size of 2 x 2 x 1 μm. The air gap between poly0 and poly1 still prevents any significant heat dissipation through the substrate. Most of the heat dissipation not only occurs along the comb finger where the laser is focused, but also along the adjacent shorted finger. There are two paths for heat to dissipate, resulting in a lower peak temperature rise of 21 °C. This peak temperature rise is roughly half the values of those found in Figs. 6 and 7, but still very large compared to non-thermally insulated structures.

Results

Three different failure types are described to demonstrate the usefulness of the TIVA technique in localizing shorted MEMS devices. No bias was applied to any of the MEMS devices displayed, avoiding any altering of the failure site by joule heating.

Fig. 9 displays a low magnification TIVA image of a shorted device along with a reflected light image of the same field of view. The TIVA site is highlighted with an arrow. Fig. 10 is a higher magnification image of the site from Fig. 9 showing a MEMS comb finger shorted to the ground plane beneath the comb fingers. The focused ion beam (FIB) was used to excise the moveable shuttle from the device to aid in
Figs. 9a and b. TIVA (a-top) and reflected-light (b-bottom) images and a short defect on a MEMS device. The field of view is the same for both images.

characterizing the shorted comb finger. Standard TIVA imaging of ICs uses a 435-mW, 1.3-μm laser. Because the MEMs sample has no active photocurrent generation sites and is thermally isolated from the substrate, a 5-mW, 543-nm laser is used for the images in Fig. 9. This laser is used for all the TIVA imaging of MEMS devices shown. The shorter wavelength produces superior spatial resolution permitting relatively easy defect identification. Subsequent SEM analysis of the shorted comb finger is shown in Fig. 11. Here, the short is the result of contact between a lower level (poly1) comb finger to the ground plane (poly0).

A second TIVA example is shown in Figs. 12 and 13. Fig. 12 is a low-magnification view, and Fig. 13 shows the defect at higher magnification. Here the lower, moveable comb finger (bright contrast) of a stacked MEMS structure has laterally shorted to the neighboring finger. Note that the entire uncovered portion of the comb finger shows up in the TIVA image, as predicted by the thermal modeling. The fixed finger to the right has a weak, dark TIVA contrast that is difficult to see at the edge of the brighter, moveable comb.

Figs. 14 and 15 show a particle short example between two comb fingers at low and high magnification. Unlike Fig. 13, the separation between the fingers allows the bright/dark contrast to
be easily seen. The change in contrast (voltage) polarity is produced by the thermocouple effect as either side of the short is heated. As with the other two TIVA examples, the failure site is easily identified.

Conclusions

MEMS devices are playing an increasingly key role in sensor and optical communication electronics. Electrical shorts in MEMS structures are major reliability concerns for these devices because of the intrusive nature of most techniques and the time required for defect localization. TIVA has been demonstrated to be an effective tool for rapidly and non-invasively localizing shorts on MEMS devices. As predicted by thermal modeling and demonstrated in the failure examples, the thermal isolation of moveable MEMS structures permits the use of far lower laser power for heating when compared to using TIVA on conventional IC technologies. The absence of active photocurrent generation sites also allows the use of shorter wavelength stimulation lasers, resulting in improved image spatial resolution.

Backside analysis with infrared laser wavelengths as demonstrated on IC technologies [1] should also be possible on MEMS devices where front side access is prohibited due to fabrication of cover plates or other structures. TIVA should therefore continue to be a powerful tool for MEMS analysis in the future.
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