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ABSTRACT

We have developed and applied subtractive hybridization strategies to generate cDNA libraries enriched for novel cDNAs. Pools of I.M.A.G.E. clones, from which ESTs have been derived, are used as drivers in hybridizations with single or multiple normalized libraries thus generating subtracted libraries enriched for cDNAs not yet represented in public databases. Subtracted libraries are characterized by Southern hybridization to assess reduction in representation of clones of the driver population and then contributed to the I.M.A.G.E. consortium for large-scale arraying and sequencing.
1. INTRODUCTION

The methods we originally developed to normalize directionally cloned cDNA libraries (Soares et al., 1994; Bonuldo, Lennon & Soares, 1996) have been successfully utilized to generate a number of human, mouse, and rat cDNA libraries. All human and mouse libraries (and soon the rat libraries as well) have been contributed to the I.M.A.G.E. consortium and they have been extensively used for large scale generation of expressed sequence tags (ESTs). Both the ESTs and their respective clones are publicly available.

Although the use of normalized libraries has proven most advantageous to minimize the redundant identification of the mRNAs of the super-prevalent and intermediate frequency classes within a particular tissue, it cannot prevent the redundant identification of mRNAs (of any frequency class) that are expressed in multiple tissues. In other words, normalization alone cannot avoid the redundant identification of ESTs that have been obtained previously from other libraries. This problem is becoming increasingly more relevant as we approach completion of the ongoing human and mouse gene discovery efforts. Hence, we proposed to take advantage of subtractive hybridization strategies that we developed, to generate libraries enriched for novel cDNAs. It is anticipated that the use of subtracted libraries will become increasingly advantageous as we strive towards the ultimate goal of identifying all human and mouse genes. This project has two major goals: (1) to optimize the method for construction of subtracted libraries, and (2) to generate subtracted libraries to facilitate the ongoing human and mouse EST programs. Briefly, we have further optimized our method and we have applied it to generate a second subtracted library. Just as it was the case for the first subtracted human fetal liver-spleen library that we generated, this second subtracted library proved to be significantly enriched for clones not represented in the driver population, thus facilitating the identification of novel cDNAs. Following is a description of the method that we used, and of the results that have been obtained.

2. CHARACTERIZATION OF A MELANOCYTE-FETAL HEART-PREGNANT UTERUS- SUBTRACTED LIBRARY

We have documented the feasibility of two approaches to generate subtracted libraries enriched for novel cDNAs: (1) within libraries, and (2) across libraries. In the first approach, subtraction within libraries, a pool of I.M.A.G.E. clones derived from a single library are used as a driver in a hybridization with the normalized library from which those clones were originally derived. We have applied this strategy to generate a subtracted human fetal liver-spleen library. A total of 5,000 I.M.A.G.E. clones originally derived from the normalized fetal liver-spleen library were used as a driver in a hybridization with the
normalized fetal liver-spleen library itself. Characterization of the subtracted liver-spleen library by Southern hybridization with a number of cDNA probes derived from the driver population clearly indicated that the procedure had been successful to reduce the representation of the driver population in the subtracted library. The subtracted library was then submitted for arraying and large-scale sequencing. The results of the analysis of the EST data generated thus far firmly documented that the procedure was successful to reduce the representation of the driver population by about 4 to 5 fold.

Once we had documented the feasibility of the first strategy, we proceeded to assess the feasibility of the second approach, i.e. subtraction across libraries. For that we used as driver a pool of 15,000 I.M.A.G.E. clones that had been derived from (5,000 each) three normalized libraries: human fetal heart, human melanocytes and human pregnant uterus. A mixture of the three normalized libraries (the tracer) was then hybridized with a vast excess of this driver and the subtracted library was tested for the occurrence of clones represented in the driver population. Once again, Southern hybridizations of endonuclease-restricted library DNA with probes derived from the driver population strongly indicated that the procedure was successful. Most importantly, however, sequence analysis of a large number of ESTs derived from this subtracted library firmly demonstrated that the procedure was effective to reduced the representation of the driver population in the subtracted library by about 3.5 to 4.3 fold.

3. PROPOSED PLAN

Now that we have firmly demonstrated the feasibility of both strategies, we will proceed with the original plan of generating human and mouse subtracted libraries enriched for novel cDNAs. In close collaboration with Greg Lennon (Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory) we will use pools of I.M.A.G.E. clones derived from the several libraries in hybridizations with mixtures of our best normalized libraries. The subtracted libraries will be characterized by Southern hybridization using probes derived from the driver population, and once proven to be of adequate quality they will be shipped to Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory for arraying in Greg Lennon's laboratory, and from there to the Genome Sequencing Center at Washington University for generation of ESTs.
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