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Abstract

We propose a new library of routines for performing dense linear algebra computations on block-partitioned matrices. The routines are referred to as the Block Basic Linear Algebra Subprograms, and their use is restricted to computations in which one or more of the matrices involved consists of a single row or column of blocks, and in which no more than one of the matrices consists of an unrestricted two-dimensional array of blocks. The functionality of the block BLAS routines can also be provided by Level 2 and 3 BLAS routines. However, for Non-Uniform Memory Access machines the use of the block BLAS permit certain optimizations in memory access to be taken advantage of. This is particularly true for distributed memory machines, for which the block BLAS are referred to as the Parallel Block Basic Linear Algebra Subprograms (PB-BLAS). The PB-BLAS are the main focus of this paper, and for a block-cyclic data distribution, a single row or column of blocks lies in a single row or column of the processor template.

The PB-BLAS consist of calls to the sequential BLAS [13, 14, 22] for local computations, and calls to the BLACS [17] for communication. The PB-BLAS are the building blocks for implementing ScaLAPACK [5], the distributed-memory version of LAPACK [8], and provide the same ease-of-use and portability for ScaLAPACK that the BLAS provide for LAPACK.

The PB-BLAS consists of all nine Level 3 BLAS routines, four of the Level-2 BLAS routines, and 2 auxiliary transpose routines. The PB-BLAS are currently available for all numeric data types, i.e., single and double precision real and complex.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.
1. Introduction

In 1973, Hanson, Krogh, and Lawson [21] described the advantages of adopting a set of basic routines for problems in linear algebra. The first set of basic linear algebra subprograms (Level 1 BLAS) [22] defines operations on one or two vectors. LINPACK [12] and EISPACK [24] are built on top of the Level 1 BLAS. An extended set of BLAS (Level 2 BLAS) [14] was proposed to support the development of software that would be portable and efficient, particularly on vector-processing machines. These routines perform computations on a matrix and one or two vectors, such as a matrix-vector product.

Current advanced architecture computers possess hierarchical memories in which accesses to data in the upper levels of the memory hierarchy (registers, cache, and/or local memory) are faster than those in lower levels (shared or off-processor memory). One technique to more efficiently exploit the power of such machines is to develop algorithms that maximize reuse of data held in the upper levels. This can be done by partitioning the matrix or matrices into blocks and by performing the computation with matrix-matrix operations on the blocks. Another extended set of BLAS (Level 3 BLAS) [13] were proposed for that purpose. The Level 3 BLAS have been successfully used as the building blocks of a number of applications, including LAPACK [2], a software library that uses block-partitioned algorithms for performing dense and banded linear algebra computations on vector and shared memory computers. ScaLAPACK, the distributed version of the LAPACK library, also makes use of block-partitioned algorithms.

Higher performance can be attained on distributed memory computers when parallel dense matrix algorithms utilize a data distribution that views the computational nodes as a logical two dimensional processor template [6,16]. In distributing matrix data over processors, we therefore assume a block cyclic (or scattered) distribution [5,16]. The block cyclic distribution can reproduce the most common data distributions used in dense linear algebra as described briefly in the next section.

There has been much interest recently in developing parallel versions of the BLAS for distributed memory concurrent computers [1,7,19,20]. Some of this research proposed parallelizing the BLAS, or some implemented a few important routines of the BLAS, such as matrix-matrix multiplication. There is no complete, general, parallel version of the BLAS currently available that can be used as the building blocks for implementing dense linear algebra computations on distributed-memory multiprocessors.

The Basic Linear Algebra Communication Subprograms (BLACS) [4] comprise a package that provides the same ease-of-use and portability for message-passing in parallel linear algebra programs as the BLAS provide for computation in such programs. The BLACS efficiently support not only point-to-point operations between processors on a logical two-dimensional
Figure 1: Building structure of LAPACK and ScaLAPACK. In the figure, $C$ represents BLACS communication. PB-BLAS simplifies the implementation of the ScaLAPACK by providing large blocks, which are combined with two small blocks of BLAS and BLACS.

We propose a new set of linear algebra routines for implementing ScaLAPACK on top of the sequential BLAS and the BLACS. The functionality of these routines, called the Parallel Block Basic Linear Algebra Subprograms (PB-BLAS), could be provided by parallel versions of the Level 2 and Level 3 BLAS, however, the PB-BLAS can only be used in operations on a restricted class of matrices having a block cyclic data distribution. These restrictions permit certain memory access and communication optimizations to be made that would not be possible (or would be difficult) if general-purpose Level 2 and Level 3 BLAS were used. Consider the following types of matrix distributed block cyclically over a two-dimensional array of processors,

1. a matrix of $M_b \times N_b$ blocks, distributed over the whole 2-D processor template,
2. a vector of $L_b$ blocks, distributed over either a row or a column of the processor template.
   Clearly, this is a special case of a matrix of blocks, with either $M_b = 1$ or $N_b = 1$,
3. a single block lying in a single processor in the processor template.

The restrictions that the PB-BLAS impose are as follows. No more than one of the matrices involved may be a full block matrix, the other matrices involved must be block vectors or single blocks. Computations that do not conform to these restrictions must be handled differently, for example, by using the PUMMA package [11] that has been developed for general matrix-matrix multiplication.

The PB-BLAS consist of calls to the sequential BLAS for local computations and calls to the BLACS for communication. The PB-BLAS are used as the building blocks for implementing the ScaLAPACK library, and provide the same ease-of-use and portability for ScaLAPACK that the BLAS provide for LAPACK. Figure 1 shows schematically how the PB-BLAS simplify the implementation of ScaLAPACK by combining small blocks of BLAS and BLACS and providing
Figure 2: Hierarchical view of ScaLAPACK. The PB-BLAS played a major role in implementing the ScaLAPACK.

larger building blocks. Figure 2 shows a hierarchical view of ScaLAPACK. Main ScaLAPACK routines usually call only the PB-BLAS, but the auxiliary ScaLAPACK routines may need to call directly the BLAS for local computations and the BLACS for communication among processors.

The PB-BLAS consist of all nine Level 3 BLAS routines, four Level 2 BLAS routines (PB_GEMV, PB_HEMV, PB_SYMV, and PB_TRMV), and two auxiliary routines to transpose a row vector of blocks to a column vector of blocks, or vice versa (PB_TRAN and PB_TRNV). Here we use the LAPACK naming convention in which "_" is replaced with "S" (single precision), "D" (double precision), "C" (single precision complex), or "Z" (double precision complex). The PB-BLAS routines have similar argument lists to the sequential BLAS routines, but contain additional parameters to specify positions of matrices in the processor template, to select destinations of broadcasting matrices, and to control communication schemes. Software developers and application programmers, who are familiar with the BLAS routines, should have no difficulty in using the PB-BLAS.

In Section 4, we will consider a simple numerical linear algebra example, Cholesky factorization, to compare a LAPACK routine with the corresponding ScaLAPACK routine, and to demonstrate the effectiveness of the PB-BLAS. In the text, specifications and explanation of the routines are given for the double precision real data type (and double precision complex if there is no real case.) To adapt them for the other data types, simply convert the third letter of the routine names, PBD- and PBZ- to PBS- and PBC-.
Figure 3: A matrix with $12 \times 12$ blocks is distributed over a $2 \times 3$ processor template. (a) Each shaded and unshaded area represents different templates. The numbered squares represent blocks of elements, and the number indicates at which location in the processor template the block is stored – all blocks labeled with the same number are stored in the same processor. The slanted numbers, on the left and on the top of the matrix, represent indices of row of blocks and column of blocks, respectively. (b) The matrix has $2 \times 2$ LCM blocks. Blocks belong to the same processor if the relative locations of blocks are the same in each square LCM block. The definition of the LCM block is defined in the text. (c) It is easier to see the distribution from processor point-of-view in order to implement algorithms. Each processor has $6 \times 4$ blocks.
2. Design Issues

The way in which a matrix is distributed over the processors of a concurrent computer has a major impact on the load balance and communication characteristics of the concurrent algorithm, and hence largely determines its performance and scalability. The block cyclic distribution provides a simple, yet general-purpose way of distributing a block-partitioned matrix on distributed memory concurrent computers. In the block cyclic distribution, described in detail in [6,16], an $M \times N$ matrix is partitioned into blocks of size $r \times c$, and blocks separated by a fixed stride in the column and row directions are assigned to the same processor. If the stride in the column and row directions is $P$ and $Q$ blocks respectively, then we require that $P \cdot Q$ equal the number of processors, $N_P$. Thus, it is useful to imagine the processors arranged as a $P \times Q$ mesh, or template. The processor at position $(p, q)$ ($0 \leq p < P, 0 \leq q < Q$) in the template is assigned the blocks indexed by,

$$ (p + i \cdot P, q + j \cdot Q), $$

where $i = 0, \ldots, [(M_b - 1 - p)/P]$, $j = 0, \ldots, [(N_b - 1 - q)/Q]$, and $M_b \times N_b$ is the size in blocks of the matrix ($M_b = \lceil M/r \rceil$, $N_b = \lceil N/c \rceil$).

Blocks are scattered in this way so that good load balance can be maintained in parallel algorithms, such as LU factorization [5,16]. The nonscattered decomposition (or pure block distribution) is just a special case of the cyclic distribution in which the block size is given by $r = \lceil M/P \rceil$ and $c = \lceil N/Q \rceil$. A purely scattered decomposition (or two-dimensional wrapped distribution) is another special case in which the block size is given by $r = c = 1$.

We assume that a matrix is distributed over a two-dimensional processor mesh, or template, so that in general each processor has several blocks of the matrix as shown in Figure 3 (a), where a matrix with $12 \times 12$ blocks is distributed over a $2 \times 3$ template. Denoting the least common multiple of $P$ and $Q$ by $LCM$, we refer to a square of $LCM \times LCM$ blocks as an LCM block. Thus, the matrix may be viewed as a $2 \times 2$ array of LCM blocks, as shown in Figure 3 (b). Each processor has $6 \times 4$ blocks as in Figure 3 (c).

The LCM block concept was introduced in [8,11], and is very useful for implementing algorithms that use a block cyclic data distribution. Blocks belong to the same processor if their relative locations are the same in each square LCM block. All LCM blocks have the same structure and the same data distribution as the first LCM block. That is, when an operation is executed on a block of the first LCM block, the same operation can be done simultaneously on other blocks, which have the same relative location in each LCM block. The LCM block concept was used for transposing a row or a column of blocks (PBDTRAN), transposing a row or a column vector (PBDTRNV).

The LCM block concept is extended further in this paper to deal efficiently with symmetric
Figure 4: DGEMM: matrix multiplication when A and B are not transposed. Each case should be computed differently on distributed memory environments. (a) General case. (b) C is a full block matrix and A and B are a column and a row of blocks, respectively. (c) A is a full block matrix, (d) B is a full block matrix.

and Hermitian matrices. Assume that a lower (or upper) triangular matrix A is distributed on a two dimensional processor template with the block cyclic decomposition. The locally stored matrix in each processor is not a lower (or upper) triangular matrix. The block layout of the first LCM block is the same as that the other diagonal LCM blocks. Processors compute their own block layout of the first LCM block from their relative position on the processor template, then they can determine their own physical data distribution of the matrix A. This concept is used for updating the upper or lower triangular part of a symmetric or Hermitian matrix (PBDSYRK, PBDSYR2K, PBZHERK, and PBZHER2K), and for multiplying with it (PBDTRMM, PBDTRMV, PBZHEMM, and PBZHEMV). For details of the implementation, see Section 3.4.

To illustrate the use of the PB-BLAS consider the matrix multiplication routine, DGEMM, for the non-transposed case: $C_{M\times N} \leftarrow \alpha \cdot A_{M\times K} \cdot B_{K\times N} + \beta \cdot C_{M\times N}$. The PB-BLAS version handles three distinct cases depending on the sizes of the matrices involved in the computation, i.e., on whether A, B, or C is a full block matrix rather than a vector of blocks. These three cases are shown in Figure 4. If K corresponds to a just one block then A is a column of blocks, B is a row of blocks, and C is a full block matrix as shown in Figure 4 (b). If N
Figure 5: DTRSM: solution of triangular systems when the triangular matrix $A$ is located on the left of $B$. (a) General case. (b) When $M$ is limited to its block size, $A$ is a single block and it is located in a single processor. (c) When $N$ is limited to its block size, $A$ is a full block matrix and it is distributed over 2-D processors.

corresponds to just a single block then $B$ and $C$ are columns of blocks and $A$ is a full block matrix (Figure 4 (c)). Finally, Figure 4 (d) shows the case in which $M$ corresponds to a single block, so that $A$ and $C$ are rows of blocks, and $B$ is a full block matrix. If there is no limitation as shown in Figure 4 (a), the problem is beyond the scope of the PB-BLAS and such a problem needs to be handled with different software, such as the PUMMA package [11].

As a second example, consider the solution of triangular systems (DTRSM in the Level 3 BLAS) when the triangular matrix $A$ is located on the left of $B$, as shown in Figure 5. If $M$ corresponds to a single block, then $A$ consists of one block, which is located on one processor, and $B$ is a row of blocks, located on a row of the processor template. If $N$ corresponds to a single block, then $A$ is a full triangular matrix, distributed over all processors, and $B$ is a column of blocks, located on a column of the processor template. The two cases are implemented separately.

In designing the PB-BLAS the following principles were followed,

1. maximize the size of submatrices multiplied (or computed) in each processor,
2. maximize the size of submatrices communicated among processors, thereby reducing the frequency of communication,
3. minimize the size of working space required during computation.

The PB-BLAS are efficiently implemented by maximizing the size of submatrices for local
computation and communication, and are implemented with minimum working space. The performance of a parallel program implemented by a novice using the PB-BLAS, will generally be commensurate with that hand-coded by an experienced programmer, and in this sense the PB-BLAS attain near maximum performance.

In addition to the fundamental restrictions on matrix size stated above, the implementation of the PB-BLAS is simplified by making the following assumptions about matrix alignment:

1. The basic unit of storage in the PB-BLAS is a block of elements, thus matrices are assumed to start at the beginning of their first blocks of matrices. However, blocks in the last row or column of blocks in a matrix do not have to be full, i.e., the size of the matrix in elements does not have to be exactly divisible by the block size.

2. The PB-BLAS also makes assumptions about the alignment of matrices on the processor template. If a full block matrix begins at location \((p_0, q_0)\) in the template, then any column vectors of blocks must also begin in row \(p_0\), and any row vectors of blocks must begin in column \(q_0\) if no transposition of the vector is involved in the computation. Each PB-BLAS routine is passed arguments that specify the start position of each matrix in the processor template.

ScaLAPACK makes use of block-partitioned algorithms, so it is natural to use the PB-BLAS as "building blocks" for ScaLAPACK, and to assume that the first element of a matrix is aligned with block boundaries. The only exceptions to this alignment constraint in the PB-BLAS are lower-level analogs of the Level 2 BLAS routines, PBDGEMV, PBDSYMV, PBDTRMV, and PBZHEMV, in which the first elements of vectors and the corresponding matrix can be located in the middle of blocks.

In Figure 4 (b), the first block of the column of blocks \(A\) and the row of blocks of \(B\) should be located at the same row and column processor as the first block of the matrix \(C\), respectively. In Figure 4 (c), the column of blocks \(B\) needs to be transposed to multiply with the matrix \(A\). The first block of \(B\) can be located in any processor, but the first block of the column of blocks \(C\) should be located in the same processor row as the first block of \(A\).

3. Implementation of the PB-BLAS

The PB-BLAS routines need more arguments than the corresponding BLAS routine to specify the block sizes, positions of matrix, destinations of broadcasting matrices, communication schemes, and working space. In general, the arguments to PB-BLAS routines follow the same conventions as the BLAS and the BLACS. We shall now illustrate these conventions, as applied to the PB-BLAS, with a few examples.
3.1. **PBDTRAN**

PBDTRAN transposes a column (row) of blocks to a row (column) of blocks, so that a vector of blocks that was formerly in one column (row) of the processor template becomes redistributed to lie along one row (column) of the template.

```plaintext
SUBROUTINE PBDTRAN( ADIST, TRFMT, M, N, NB, A, LDA, C, LDC,
                      CHARACTER*1 ADIST, TRFMT
                      INTEGER M, N, NB, LDA, C, LDC
                      INTEGER IAROW, IACOL, ICROW, ICCOL
                      DOUBLE PRECISION A( LDA, * ), C( LDC, * ), WORK( * )
```

**ADIST** gives for the distribution of \( A \): "C" (columnwise) or "R" (rowwise), and **TRFMT** gives the transpose format for complex data type: "T" (transpose) or "C" (conjugate transpose). For real data types it is ignored. \( M \) and \( N \) are number of elements in rows and columns of \( A \), respectively. If \( A \) is distributed columnwise \( (ADIST = \text{"C"}) \), an \( M \times N \) column of blocks \( A \) is located on one column of processors, \( \text{IACOL} \), beginning from \( \text{IAROW} \), with a row block size \( \text{NB} \). If \( \text{IACOL} = -1 \), it is assumed that all columns of processors have their own copies of \( A \). The resultant \( N \times M \) row of blocks \( C \) will be located on a row of processors, \( \text{ICROW} \), beginning from \( \text{ICCOL} \), with a column block size \( \text{NB} \). If \( \text{ICROW} = -1 \), all rows of processors will have their own copies of \( C \).

Figure 6 shows an example that transposes a column of blocks \( A \) to a row of blocks \( A \) over a \( 2 \times 3 \) processor template. Assuming that the first blocks of \( A \) and \( C \) are located in processor \( P_0 \) \( (\text{IAROW} = \text{IACOL} = \text{ICROW} = \text{ICCOL} = 0) \), then processors \( P_0 \) and \( P_3 \) have a column of blocks. 

---

**Figure 6:** PBDTRAN routine: a routine for transposing a column or row of blocks, \( (\text{IAROW} = \text{IACOL} = \text{ICROW} = \text{ICCOL} = 0) \)
A, and $P_0$, $P_1$, and $P_2$ will have a row of blocks $C$ after transposing $A$. At first, $P_0$ sends $A(0)$ and $A(6)$ to itself. At the same time, $P_3$ sends $A(1)$ and $A(7)$ to $P_1$. Next, $P_0$ sends $A(2)$ and $A(8)$ to $P_2$, $P_3$ sends $A(3)$ and $A(9)$ to $P_0$, and so on. The sending processors pack the data in order to minimize the frequency of communications, and the receiving processors unpack the data as soon as they receive them in order to minimize working space. The LCM concept is used for packing and unpacking the data. The row block distance of $A$ is $3$ ($= LCM/P$) for packing on $P_0$ and $P_3$, and the column block distance of $C$ is $2$ ($= LCM/Q$) for unpacking on $P_0$, $P_1$, and $P_2$.

If each column of processors have their own copies of $A$ ($IACOL = -1$), they operate independently to transpose $A$. Each column of processors send their blocks to their own diagonal processors. In the figure, $P_0$, $P_1$, and $P_2$ are diagonal processors. A diagonal processor of the first column, $P_0$, collects $A(0)$ and $A(6)$ from itself, and $A(3)$ and $A(9)$ from $P_3$. If all row of processors are to have their own copies of $C$ ($ICROW = -1$), the resultant $C$ is broadcast columnwise from the diagonal blocks.

### 3.2. PBDGEMM

PBDGEMM is a matrix-matrix multiplication routine.

```fortran
SUBROUTINE PBDGEMM( MTXPOS, TRANSA, TRANSB, M, N, K, MB, NB, KB,
$                     ALPHA, A, LDA, B, LDB, BETA, C, LDC, IAROW,
$                     IACOL, IBROW, IBCOL, ICROW, ICCOL, BRIST,
$                     ACOMM, SEND2A, BCOMM, SEND2B, WORK )
CHARACTER*1 MTXPOS, TRANSA, TRANSB, BRIST
CHARACTER*1 ACOMM, SEND2A, BCOMM, SEND2B
INTEGER M, N, K, MB, NB, KB, LDA, LDB, LDC
```
INTEGER IAROW, IACOL, IBROW, IBCOL, ICROW, ICCOL
DOUBLE PRECISION ALPHA, BETA
DOUBLE PRECISION A( LDA, * ), B( LDB, * ), C( LDC, * )
DOUBLE PRECISION WORK( * )

MTXPOS indicates which matrix is the full block matrix: “A”, “B”, or “C”. M, N, and K give the sizes of matrices in elements, and MB, NB, and KB are the corresponding block sizes.

Figure 7 (a) shows a simple example of matrix-matrix multiplication where A is a column of blocks, B is a row of blocks, and C is a full block matrix. For local computation, A and B need to be broadcast rowwise and columnwise, respectively. The following issues need to be decided before broadcasting A and B.

1. Which one is ready to be broadcast first. A or B?
2. How to send A and/or B? That is, what communication schemes will be used to broadcast them?
3. Where will A (or B) be sent to in other processors? If the same layout of memory is assumed in each processor, then A (or B) could either be broadcast to same memory locations as in the root of the broadcast so A (or B) is overwritten, or it can be broadcast to working space.

The above questions are managed by extra arguments of the PB-BLAS routines. BR1ST determines the column (or row) block to be broadcast first when MTXPOS = “C”. ACOMM (or BCOMM) controls the communication scheme of A (or B), which follows the topology definition of the BLACS [4], as discussed in Appendix A. SEND2A (or SEND2B) specifies the location of the blocks that are broadcast (either A or B, or working space). To better understand the need to specify whether working space is to be used in the broadcasting of A or B, consider the case in which A is a column of blocks that is a submatrix of some other matrix. Clearly, if A is broadcast to the same memory location in other processors, data in the parent matrix will be incorrectly overwritten. In this case we should broadcast to working space (SEND2A = “No”). On the other hand, if A is not a submatrix, or if A lies entirely within the working space, then it can be broadcast to the same location in each processor, and extra memory and a memory-to-memory copy can be avoided (SEND2A = “Yes”).

The position in the processor template of a column (or row) block must be aligned with the position of the full block matrix. Figure 7 (a) shows that the first blocks of A and B are located at the same row and column of the processor template as the first block of C, respectively. If one of blocks is misaligned, it should be moved to the appropriate position before the routine is called.
In Figure 7 (b), $A$ is a full block matrix, $B$ and $C$ are columns of blocks. The computation proceeds as follows: First, $B$ is transposed, so that the first block of $B^T$ is located at the same column position as the first block of $A$. The transposed row of blocks $B^T$ is broadcast columnwise, and it is multiplied with the local portion of $A$ in each processor. Then the local products are added along template rows to produce $C$. The first block of $B$ may be located at any position, since the transposition of $B$ is involved in the computation. But the first block of $C$ must be located at the same row position as the first block of $A$.

3.3. PBDTRSM

```plaintext
SUBROUTINE PBDTRSM( MTXBLK, SIDE, UPLO, TRANSA, DIAG, M, N, NB, 
$\alpha$, A, LDA, B, LDB, IAROW, IACOL, IBPOS, 
$\工人$, COMMA, SEND2A, WORK )
CHARACTER*1 SIDE, UPLO, TRANSA, DIAG
CHARACTER*1 MTXBLK, COMMA, SEND2A
INTEGER M, N, NB, LDA, LDB, IAROW, IACOL, IBPOS
DOUBLE PRECISION $\alpha$, A( LDA, * ), B( LDB, * ), WORK( * )
```

PBDTRSM solves a triangular system. If $SIDE = \text{"Left"}$, and $M$ is limited by its block size $NB$ ($M \leq NB$), the triangular matrix $A$ is just a single block ($MTXBLK = \text{"Block"}$), which is located on just one processor, ($IAROW$, $IACOL$), as in Figure 5 (b). The $M \times N$ row of blocks $B$ is located on a row of processors, $IAROW$, starting at $IBPOS$.

The routine is executed on one row of processors, $IAROW$. The triangular block $A$ is broadcast rowwise with one of the BLACS communication topologies ($COMMA$), and the copies are stored either in $A$ ($SEND2A = \text{"Yes"}$) or working space ($SEND2A = \text{"No"}$). The row of processors compute their local portion of $B$ by calling the Level 3 BLAS routine, DTRSM.

If $SIDE = \text{"Left"}$, and $N$ is limited by its block size $NB$ ($N \leq NB$), the triangular matrix $A$ is a full triangular matrix distributed over the whole two-dimensional processor template ($MTXBLK = \text{"Matrix"}$), and its first block is located at ($IAROW$, $IACOL$). The $M \times N$ column of blocks $B$ is located on a column of processors, $IBPOS$, starting at $IAROW$, as shown in Figure 5 (c).

The implementation of the linear triangular system solver is a two-dimensional block version of Li and Coleman's method [23]. Since $A$ and $B$ are distributed block cyclically, all computations in [23] are changed to block computations using the routines DTRSM and DGEMM. If $SIDE = \text{"Left"}$, $(Q - 1)$ blocks of $B$ are rotated columnwise (approximately $[(Q - 1)/P]$ blocks in each row of processors). The two arguments $COMMA$ and $SEND2A$ are ignored when $MTXBLK = \text{"Matrix"}$.

3.4. PBDSYRK

```plaintext
SUBROUTINE PBDSYRK( UPLO, TRANS, N, K, NB, $\alpha$, A, LDA, BETA, 
```

PBDSYRK solves a symmetric triangular system.
PBDSYRK performs a rank-\(k\) update on an \(N \times N\) symmetric matrix \(C\) with an \(N \times K\) column of blocks \(A\) (\(\text{TRANS} = \text{"No"}\)), or with a \(K \times N\) row of blocks \(A\) (\(\text{TRANS} = \text{"Trans"}\)). That is,

\[
C_{N \times N} = \alpha \cdot A_{N \times K} \cdot A_{K \times N}^T + \beta \cdot C_{N \times N}, \quad \text{or} \quad C_{N \times N} = \alpha \cdot A_{N \times K}^T \cdot A_{K \times N} + \beta \cdot C_{N \times N}.
\]

An overview of the routines is shown in Figure 8.

\(\text{ICROW}\) and \(\text{ICCOL}\) specify the row and column position of the first block of the matrix \(C\), respectively. \(\text{IAPOS}\) specifies the column position of the column of blocks \(A\) if \(\text{TRANS} = \text{"No"}\). The row position of the first block of \(A\) is assumed to be \(\text{ICROW}\). If \(\text{TRANS} = \text{"Trans"}\), \(\text{IAPOS}\) specifies the row position of the row of blocks \(A\) and the column position of the first block of \(A\) is assumed to be \(\text{ICCOL}\).

Figure 9 (a) shows an example of PBDSYRK when \(\text{TRANS} = \text{"No"}\) and \(\text{UPLO} = \text{"Lower"}\). It is assumed that \(24 \times 24\) blocks of \(C\) are distributed over a \(2 \times 3\) processor template, and \(C\) has \(4 \times 4\) LCM blocks.

The computing procedure of PBDSYRK is as follows. First, the column of blocks \(A\) is broadcast rowwise from \(\text{IAPOS}\), so that each column of processors then has its own copy of \(A\). Each column of processors transposes \(A\) independently, and the transposed blocks in diagonal processors are broadcast columnwise. Each processor updates its own portion of \(C\) with its own portion of \(A\) and \(A^T\).

It is often necessary to update the lower triangular matrix \(C\) without modifying data in its upper triangular part (\(\text{PRESV} = \text{"Yes"}\)). The simplest way to do this is repeatedly to update one column of blocks of \(C\), but if the block size (\(\text{NB}\)) is small, this updating process will not be efficient. However, it is possible to modify several columns of blocks of \(C\). Figure 9 (b) shows this example from the point-of-view of the processor at \(P_0\), where \(2 = \text{LCM}/Q\) columns of blocks are updated at the same time. First, \(A(0), A(2),\) and \(A(4)\) are multiplied with \(A^T(0)\) and \(A^T(3)\), and only the lower triangular part of \(3 \times 2 = \text{LCM}/P \times \text{LCM}/Q\) blocks are added to \(L_{11}\). \(L_1\) is updated by multiplying the rest of \(A\) with \(A^T(0)\) and \(A^T(3)\). Then \(L_{21}\) and \(L_2\) are updated in the same way. The above scheme can be extended further. Figure 9 (c) shows the same example, where \(4 = 2 \cdot \text{LCM}/Q\) columns of blocks are updated simultaneously.

It is desirable to update a multiple of \(\text{LCM}/Q\) blocks at a time from the LCM block concept. In the argument list of the PBDSYRK routine, \(\text{MULLEN}\) specifies an approximate
(a) A is not transposed (TRANS = 'N')

(b) A is transposed (TRANS = 'T')

Figure 8: Overview of PBDSYRII routine (a) A is not transposed (b) A is transposed.

Figure 9: PBDSYRK routine: a routine for rank-k updating
length of multiplication to update $C$ efficiently. The multiple factor is computed by $k = \lceil MULLEN / ((LCM/Q) \cdot NB) \rceil$, and $k \cdot (LCM/Q)$ columns of blocks are updated simultaneously inside of the routine. The optimum number is determined by processor characteristics as well as the number of processors ($P$ and $Q$), the size of the matrix, and the block size. The optimum number was formed to be about 40 on the Intel i860 and Delta computers.

However, if it is permissible to change the data in the upper triangular part of $C$ (PRESV = "No"), $L_{11}$ and $L_1$ can be updated with one multiplication step. This combined computation is faster.

4. Applications of the PB-BLAS

In this section, we illustrate how the PB-BLAS routines can be used to implement a simple numerical linear algebra algorithm, Cholesky factorization. This is the same example as that used to demonstrate the effectiveness of the Level 3 BLAS in [13]. However, we use the right-looking version of the algorithm, since it minimizes data communication and distributes the computation across all processors [15].

Cholesky factorization factors a symmetric, positive-definite matrix $A$ into the product of a lower triangular matrix $L$ and its transpose, i.e., $A = LL^T$. It is assumed that the lower triangle portion of $A$ is stored in the lower triangle of a two-dimensional array, and the computed elements of $L$ overwrite the given elements of $A$. We partition the $n \times n$ matrices $A$, $L$, and $L^T$, and write the system $A = LL^T$ as

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
A_{11} & A_{12}^T \\
A_{21} & A_{22}
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
L_{11} & \\
L_{21} & L_{22}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
L_{11}^T & L_{21}^T \\
L_{21} & L_{22}
\end{pmatrix}
= 
\begin{pmatrix}
L_{11}L_{11}^T & L_{11}L_{21}^T \\
L_{21}L_{11}^T & L_{21}L_{21}^T + L_{22}L_{22}^T
\end{pmatrix}
$$

where the block $A_{11}$ is a $r \times r$ matrix, where $r$ is the block size.

The block-partitioned form of Cholesky factorization may be inferred inductively as follows. If we assume that $L_{11}$, the lower triangular Cholesky factor of $A_{11}$, is known we can rearrange the block equations,

$$
L_{21} \leftarrow A_{21}(L_{11}^T)^{-1},
$$

$$
A_{22}' \leftarrow A_{22} - L_{21}L_{21}^T = L_{22}L_{22}^T.
$$

The factorization can be done by recursively applying the steps outlined above to the $(n - r) \times (n - r)$ matrix $A_{22}'$.

The computation procedures of the above steps in the LAPACK routine, involve the follow-
ing operations:

1. **DPOTF2**: compute Cholesky factorization of the diagonal block,
   \[ A_{11} \Rightarrow L_{11}L_{11}^T \]

2. **DTRSM**: compute the subdiagonal block of \( L \),
   \[ L_{21} \leftarrow A_{21}(L_{11}^T)^{-1} \]

3. **DSYRK**: update the rest of the matrix,
   \[ A_{22} \leftarrow A_{22} - L_{21}L_{21}^T = L_{22}L_{22}^T \]

For the parallel implementation of the block partitioned Cholesky factorization, assume that the lower triangular matrix \( A \) is distributed over a \( P \times Q \) processor template with a block cyclic distribution and a block size \( r \times r \). In the corresponding ScaLAPACK routine, **PDPOTRF**, computation procedures outlined above are as follows:

1. **PDPOTF2**: a processor \( P_i \), which has the \( r \times r \) diagonal block \( A_{11} \), performs Cholesky factorization of \( A_{11} \). (The computation of PDPOTF2 is the same as that of DPOTF2. In PDPOTF2, \( P_i \) checks positive definiteness of \( A_{11} \), and broadcasts the result to the other processors so that the computation can be stopped if \( A_{11} \) is non-positive definite.)

2. **PBDTRSM**: \( L_{11} \) is broadcast along the column of the processors, and they compute the column of blocks of \( L_{21} \).

3. **PBDSYRK**: the column of blocks \( L_{21} \) is broadcast rowwise and then transposed. Now, processors have their own portions of \( L_{21} \) and \( L_{21}^T \). They update their local portions of the matrix \( A_{22} \).

The Fortran code of the right-looking block Cholesky factorization, which is a variation of the LAPACK routine, **DPOTRF**, is given in Appendix B. The corresponding parallelized code, **PDPOTRF**, is included in Appendix C. **PDPOTRF** includes declarations to compute local indices, but overall it is very similar to the sequential version.

5. Conclusions

We have presented the PB-BLAS, a new set of block-oriented basic linear algebra subprograms for implementing ScaLAPACK on distributed memory concurrent computers. The PB-BLAS consist of calls to the sequential BLAS for local computations and calls to the BLACS for communication.

The PB-BLAS are a very useful tool for developing a parallel linear algebra code relying on the block cyclic data distribution, and provide ease-of-use and portability for ScaLAPACK. The
PB-BLAS are the building blocks for implementing ScaLAPACK. A set of ScaLAPACK routines for performing LU, QR and Cholesky factorizations and for reducing matrices to Hessenberg, tridiagonal and bidiagonal form have been implemented with the PB-BLAS [9].

The PB-BLAS are currently available for all arithmetic data types, i.e., single and double precision, real and complex. The PB-BLAS routines, along with the PB-BLAS User's Guide [10] are available through netlib. To obtain them, send the message "send pbblas from scalapack" to netlib@ornl.gov.

6. Future Work

We are developing a new version of the parallel BLAS routines, called the Parallel BLAS (or PBLAS in short), on top of the PB-BLAS. These essentially consist of C-wrappers for the PB-BLAS in order to simplify the calling sequence of the PB-BLAS. Since the PBLAS use the C language's ability to dynamically allocate memory, a programmer does not need to worry about passing working space of the routines. The PBLAS will hide the PB-BLAS parameters for specifying the matrix layout by using globally declared parameters. The calling sequences of the PBLAS will be very similar to those of the BLAS. Using the PBLAS instead of the PB-BLAS may sacrifice some flexibility, but it will provide greater ease-of-use to the programmer. A programmer, even one not very familiar with parallel programming, should be able to parallelize a sequential linear algebra quite easily using the PBLAS.

Appendix A: BLACS Communication Topologies

Topologies allow the user to optimize communication patterns for particular operations. In the BLAS, the TOPOLOGY parameter controls the communication pattern of the operations. It is used to optimize the way that the BLACS performs a given broadcast or global operation to fit a user's requirements. Different topologies spread the work involved in a given operation over the nodes in different ways.

```
TOPOLOGY = 'I': Increasing ring
          = 'D': decreasing ring
          = 'S': split ring
          = 'H': hypercube
          = 'F': fully connected
          = '1': tree broadcast with NBRANCHES = 1
          = '2': tree broadcast with NBRANCHES = 2
          = '3': tree broadcast with NBRANCHES = 3
          = '4': tree broadcast with NBRANCHES = 4
          = '5': tree broadcast with NBRANCHES = 5
          = '6': tree broadcast with NBRANCHES = 6
          = '7': tree broadcast with NBRANCHES = 7
          = '8': tree broadcast with NBRANCHES = 8
```
For global operations, ring topologies, such as 'T', 'D', and 'S', are not available. For details, see the BLACS User's Guide [18].

Appendix B: LAPACK Cholesky Factorization

SUBROUTINE DPOTRF( UPLO, N, A, LDA, INFO )
* A variant of LAPACK of L*L**T factorization.
* This is a right-looking Level-3 BLAS version of the algorithm.
* CHARACTER UPLO
INTEGER INFO, LDA, N
DOUBLE PRECISION A( LDA, * )
INTEGER NB
PARAMETER ( NB = 64 )
* Use blocked code.
* LOWER = LSAME( UPLO, 'L' )
* IF( LOWER ) THEN
* Compute the Cholesky factorization A = L*L'
* DO 10 J = 1, N, NB
* Factorize the current diagonal block and test for non-positive-definiteness.
* JB = MIN( NB, N-J+1 )
CALL DPOTF2( 'Lower', JB, A( J, J ), LDA, INFO )
IF( INFO.NE.0 ) GO TO 20
* IF( J+JB.LE.N ) THEN
* Form the column panel of L using the triangular solver
* CALL DTRSM( 'Right', 'Lower', 'Transpose', 'Non-unit',
$ N-J-JB+1, JB, 1.0D0, A( J, J ), LDA, A( J+JB, J ), LDA )
* Update the trailing matrix, A = A - L*L'
* CALL DSYRK( 'Lower', 'No transpose', N-J-JB+1, JB,
$ -1.0D0, A( J+JB, J ), LDA, 1.0D0,
$ A( J+JB, J+JB ), LDA )
END IF
10 CONTINUE
END IF
GO TO 30
* 20 CONTINUE
INFO = INFO + J - 1
* 30 CONTINUE
Appendix C: ScaLAPACK Cholesky Factorization

SUBROUTINE PDPOTRF( UPLO, N, NB, A, LDA, INFO, WORK )

* * *
ScaLAPACK version of L*L**T factorization.
This is a right-looking PB-BLAS version of the algorithm.
* *
CHARACTER*1 UPLO
INTEGER N, NB, LDA, INFO
DOUBLE PRECISION A( LDA, * ), WORK( * )
* 
INTEGER MULLEN
PARAMETER ( MULLEN = 40 )
* 
CALL GRIDINFO( NPROW, NPCOL, MYROW, MYCOL )
LOWER = LSAME( UPLO, 'L' )
* 
II = 1
JJ = 1
IN = 1
JN = 1
ICURROW = 0
ICURCOL = 0
* 
IF( LOWER ) THEN
* 
Compute the Cholesky factorization A = L*L'
* 
DO 10 J = 1, N, NB
*
JB = MIN( NB, N-J+1 )
NXTROW = MOD( ICURROW+1, NPROW )
NXTCOL = MOD( ICURCOL+1, NPCOL )
IF( MYROW .EQ. ICURROW ) IN = II + JB
IF( MYCOL .EQ. ICURCOL ) JN = JJ + JB
* 
Factorize the current diagonal block
and test for non-positive-definiteness.
* 
CALL PDPOTF2( 'Lower', JB, A(II,JJ), LDA, ICURROW, ICURCOL, INFO )
$ IF( INFO.NE.0 ) GO TO 20
* 
IF( J+JB.LE.N ) THEN
* 
Form the column panel of L using the triangular solver
* 
CALL PBDTRSM( 'Block', 'Right', 'Lower', 'Transpose',
$ 'Non-Unit', N-J-JB+1, JB, NB, 1.0D0,
$ A(IN,JJ), LDA, A(IN,JJ), LDA, ICURROW,
$ ICURCOL, NXTROW, 'i-Tree', 'No', WORK )
* 
Update the trailing matrix, A = A - L*L'
* 
CALL PBDSYRK( 'Lower', 'No Transpose', N-J-JB+1, JB, NB,
$ -1.0D0, A(IN,JJ), LDA, 1.0D0, A(IN,JN),
$ LDA, ICURCOL, NXTROW, NXTCOL, 'S-Ring',
$ END
RETURN

* * *

ScaLAPACK version of L*L**T factorization.
This is a right-looking PB-BLAS version of the algorithm.
$  'No', MULLEN, 'Yes', WORK )

* ICURROW = NXTROW  
  ICURCOL = NXTCOL  
  II = IN  
  JJ = JN  
  END IF

* 10 CONTINUE
  END IF
  GO TO 30

* 20 CONTINUE
  INFO = INFO + J - 1

* 30 CONTINUE
  RETURN
  END
Appendix D: PB-BLAS Routines

Calling sequences of the PB-BLAS routines are given for the double precision real and complex data type. (Argument lists for complex routines are omitted if they are same as for the corresponding real routines.) To adapt them for the single precision, simply convert the initial three letters of the routine names, PBD- and PBZ- to PBS- and PBC-.

(1) PBDGEMM/PBZGEMM

\[ C \leftarrow \text{alpha} \cdot \text{op}(A) \cdot \text{op}(B) + \text{beta} \cdot C, \text{ where } \text{op}(X) = X, X^T, \text{or } X^H \]

```latex
\begin{align*}
\text{SUBROUTINE PB_GEMM( } & \text{MTXPOS, TRANSA, TRANSB, M, N, K, NB, KB,} \\
& \text{ALPHA, A, LDA, B, LDB, BETA, C, LDC, IAROW,} \\
& \text{IACOL, IBROW, IBCOL, ICROW, ICCOL, BRIST,} \\
& \text{ACOMM, SENDZA, BCOMM, SENDZB, WORK )}
\end{align*}
```

(a) TRANSA = 'N', TRANSB = 'N'

\[ C = A \ast B + C \]

(b) TRANSA = 'T/C', TRANSB = 'N'

\[ C = A \ast B + C \]

(c) TRANSA = 'N', TRANSB = 'T/C'

\[ C = A \ast B + C \]

(d) TRANSA = 'T/C', TRANSB = 'T/C'

\[ C = A \ast B + C \]
(2) PBDGEMV/PBZGEMV

\[ y \leftarrow \alpha \cdot op(A) \cdot x + \beta \cdot y, \text{ where } op(A) = A, A^T, \text{ or } A^H \]

```
SUBROUTINE PB_GEMV( TRANS, XDIST, YDIST, M, N, MB, NB, MZ, NZ, $ 
$ ALPHA, A, LDA, X, INCX, BETA, Y, INCY, 
$ IAROW, IACOL, IXROW, IXCOL, IYROW, IYCOL, 
$ XCOMM, SEND2X, WORK )
```

(a) \( TRANS = 'N' \)

\[
y = \begin{align*}
    y &= A \ast x + y \\
    y &= \begin{array}{c}
        A \ast x + y \\
        y &= A \ast x + y
    \end{array}
\end{align*}
\]

(b) \( TRANS = 'T' / 'C' \)

\[
y = \begin{align*}
    y &= A^T / A^H \ast x + y \\
    y &= \begin{array}{c}
        A^T / A^H \ast x + y \\
        y &= A^T / A^H \ast x + y
    \end{array}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
y = \begin{align*}
    y &= A^T / A^H \ast x + y \\
    y &= \begin{array}{c}
        A^T / A^H \ast x + y \\
        y &= A^T / A^H \ast x + y
    \end{array}
\end{align*}
\]
(3) PBZHEMM

\[ C \leftarrow \alpha \cdot A \cdot B + \beta \cdot C, \text{ or } C \leftarrow \alpha \cdot B \cdot A + \beta \cdot C, \text{ where } A = A^H \]

SUBROUTINE PBZHEMM( SIDE, UPLO, M, N, NB, ALPHA, A, LDA, B, LDB, 
\$ BETA, C, LDC, IAROW, IACOL, IBPOS, ICPOS, 
\$ SEND2B, MULLEN, WORK )

(a) SIDE = 'L'

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C & = & A \times B + C \\
\end{array}
\]

(b) SIDE = 'R'

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
C & = & B \times A + C \\
\end{array}
\]

(4) PBZHEMV

\[ y \leftarrow \alpha \cdot \text{op}(A) \cdot x + \beta \cdot y, \text{ where } \text{op}(A) = A, \text{ or } A^T \]

SUBROUTINE PBZHEMV( UPLO, XYDIST, N, NB, NZ, ALPHA, A, LDA, 
\$ X, INCX, BETA, Y, INCY, IAROW, IACOL, 
\$ IXPOS, IYPOS, SENDZX, MULLEN, WORK )

(a) XYDIST = 'Column'

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
y & = & A \times x + y \\
\end{array}
\]

(b) XYDIST = 'Row'

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
y & = & A \times x + y \\
\end{array}
\]
(5) **PBZHER2K**

\[ C \leftarrow \alpha A B^H + \alpha B A^H + \beta C, \text{ or} \]

\[ C \leftarrow \alpha A^H B + \alpha B^H A + \beta C, \text{ where } C = C^H \]

```
```

(a) **TRANS** = 'N'

```
C = A * [ B^H ] + B * [ A^H ] + C
```

(b) **TRANS** = 'C'

```
C = [ B^H ] * A + [ A^H ] * B + C
```

(6) **PBZHERK**

\[ C \leftarrow \alpha A A^H + \beta C, \text{ or} \ C \leftarrow \alpha A^H A + \beta C, \text{ where } C = C^H \]

```
SUBROUTINE PBZHERK( UPLO, TRANS, N, K, NB, ALPHA, A, LDA, BETA, C, LDC, IAPOS, ICROW, ICCOL, $ ABCOMM, SEND2A, MULLEN, PRESV, WORK )
```

(a) **TRANS** = 'N'

```
C = A * [ A^H ] + C
```

(b) **TRANS** = 'C'

```
C = [ A^H ] * A + C
```
(7) PBDSYMM/PBZSYMM

\[ C \leftarrow \alpha \cdot A \cdot B + \beta \cdot C, \text{ or } C \leftarrow \alpha \cdot B \cdot A + \beta \cdot C, \text{ where } A = A^T \]

**SUBROUTINE PB_SYMM**

(a) SIDE = 'L'

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
C \\
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
A \\
\end{bmatrix}
* 
\begin{bmatrix}
B \\
\end{bmatrix}
+ 
\begin{bmatrix}
C \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(b) SIDE = 'R'

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
C \\
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
B \\
\end{bmatrix}
* 
\begin{bmatrix}
A \\
\end{bmatrix}
+ 
\begin{bmatrix}
C \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(8) PBDSYMV

\[ y \leftarrow \alpha \cdot \text{op}(A) \cdot x + \beta \cdot y, \text{ where } \text{op}(A) = A, \text{ or } A^T \]

**SUBROUTINE PBDSYMV**

(a) XYDIST = 'Column'

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
y \\
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
A \\
\end{bmatrix}
* 
\begin{bmatrix}
x \\
\end{bmatrix}
+ 
\begin{bmatrix}
y \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(b) XYDIST = 'Row'

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
y \\
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
A \\
\end{bmatrix}
* 
\begin{bmatrix}
x \\
\end{bmatrix}
+ 
\begin{bmatrix}
y \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]
(9) PBDSYR2K/PBZSYR2K

\[ C \leftarrow \alpha \cdot A \cdot B^T + \alpha \cdot B \cdot A^T + \beta \cdot C, \] or
\[ C \leftarrow \alpha \cdot A^T \cdot B + \alpha \cdot B^T \cdot A + \beta \cdot C, \] where \( C = C^T \)


(a) \( TRANS = 'N' \)

\[ C = A \cdot \left[ \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\cdot \end{array} \right] B^T + B \cdot \left[ \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\cdot \end{array} \right] A^T + C \]

(b) \( TRANS = 'T' \)

\[ C = B^T \cdot \left[ \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\cdot \end{array} \right] A + A^T \cdot \left[ \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\cdot \end{array} \right] B + C \]

(10) PBDSYRK/PBZSYRK

\[ C \leftarrow \alpha \cdot A \cdot A^T + \beta \cdot C, \] or \( C \leftarrow \alpha \cdot A^T \cdot A + \beta \cdot C, \) where \( C = C^T \)

SUBROUTINE PB_SYRK( UPLD, TRANS, N, K, NB, ALPHA, A, LDA, BETA, C, LDC, IAPOS, ICROW, ICCOL, A_COMM, SENDZA, MULLEN, PRESV, WORK )

(a) \( TRANS = 'N' \)

\[ C = A \cdot \left[ \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\cdot \end{array} \right] A^T + C \]

(b) \( TRANS = 'T' \)

\[ C = A^T \cdot \left[ \begin{array}{c} \cdot \\cdot \end{array} \right] A + C \]
(11) PBDTRAN/PBZTRAN

\[ C \leftarrow A^T \text{ or } C \leftarrow A^H \]

```
SUBROUTINE PB_TRAN( ADIST, TRFMT, M, N, NB, A, LDA, C, LDC, 
                   IAROW, IACOL, ICROW, ICCOL, WORK )
```

(a) AFORM = 'C'

```
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\phantom{C} & C & \phantom{C} \\
C & C & C \\
\phantom{C} & C & \phantom{C}
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\phantom{A} \\
A & A & A \\
\phantom{A}
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\phantom{A} \\
A & A & A \\
\phantom{A}
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\phantom{A} \\
A & A & A \\
\phantom{A}
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]
```

(b) AFORM = 'R'

```
\[
\begin{array}{c}
\phantom{A} \\
A & A & A \\
\phantom{A}
\end{array}
\begin{array}{ccc}
\phantom{C} & C & \phantom{C} \\
C & C & C \\
\phantom{C} & C & \phantom{C}
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\phantom{A} \\
A & A & A \\
\phantom{A}
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\phantom{A} \\
A & A & A \\
\phantom{A}
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]
```

(12) PBDTRMM/PBZTRMM

\[ B \leftarrow \alpha \cdot \text{op}(A) \cdot B, \text{ or } B \leftarrow \alpha \cdot B \cdot \text{op}(A), \text{ where } \text{op}(A) = A, A^T, \text{ or } A^H \]

```
SUBROUTINE PB_TRMM( MTXBLK, SIDE, UPLO, TRANSA, DIAG, M, N, NB, 
                    ALPHA, A, LDA, B, LDB, IAROW, IACOL, IBPOS, 
                    COMMAB, SND2AB, MULLEN, WORK )
```

(a) MTXBLK = 'M'

```
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\phantom{B} & \phantom{B} & \phantom{B} \\
B & \phantom{B} & \phantom{B} \\
\phantom{B} & \phantom{B} & \phantom{B}
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\phantom{A} \\
A & \phantom{A} & \phantom{A} \\
\phantom{A}
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\phantom{A} \\
A & \phantom{A} & \phantom{A} \\
\phantom{A}
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\phantom{A} \\
A & \phantom{A} & \phantom{A} \\
\phantom{A}
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]
```

(b) MTXBLK = 'B'

```
\[
\begin{array}{c}
B = \begin{pmatrix} \phantom{B} & \phantom{B} & \phantom{B} \\
\phantom{B} & \phantom{B} & \phantom{B} \\
\phantom{B} & \phantom{B} & \phantom{B}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{array}{c}
\phantom{A} \\
A & \phantom{A} & \phantom{A} \\
\phantom{A}
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\phantom{A} \\
A & \phantom{A} & \phantom{A} \\
\phantom{A}
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\phantom{A} \\
A & \phantom{A} & \phantom{A} \\
\phantom{A}
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]
```

```
\[
\begin{array}{c}
B = \begin{pmatrix} \phantom{B} & \phantom{B} \\
\phantom{B} & \phantom{B}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{array}{c}
\phantom{A} \\
A & \phantom{A} \\
\phantom{A}
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\phantom{A} \\
A & \phantom{A} \\
\phantom{A}
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\phantom{A} \\
A & \phantom{A} \\
\phantom{A}
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]
```

```
\[
\begin{array}{c}
B = \begin{pmatrix} \phantom{B} \\
\phantom{B}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{array}{c}
\phantom{A} \\
A \\
\phantom{A}
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\phantom{A} \\
A \\
\phantom{A}
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]
```

```
\[
\begin{array}{c}
B = \begin{pmatrix} \phantom{B} \\
\phantom{B}
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{array}{c}
\phantom{A} \\
A \\
\phantom{A}
\end{array}
\begin{array}{c}
\phantom{A} \\
A \\
\phantom{A}
\end{array}
\end{array}
\]
```
(13) PBDTRMV/PBZTRMV
\[ x \leftarrow \text{op}(A) \cdot x, \text{ where } \text{op}(A) = A, A^T, \text{ or } A^H \]

SUBROUTINE PB_TRMV( UPLO, TRANS, DIAG, XDIST, N, NB, NZ, A, LDA, ]
$ X, \text{INCX, IAROW, IACOL, IXPOS, SEND2X, MULLEN, ]$
$ \text{WORK})$

(a) XDIST = 'C'

(b) XDIST = 'R'

(14) PBDTRNV/PBZTRNV
\[ y \leftarrow x^T \text{ or } y \leftarrow x^H \]

SUBROUTINE PB_TRNV( XDIST, TRFMT, N, NB, NZ, X, INCX, Y, INCY, ]
$ \text{IXROW, IXCOL, IYROW, IYCOL, WORK})$

(a) AFORM = 'Column'

(b) AFORM = 'Row'
(15) PBDTRSM/PBZTRSM

\[ B \leftarrow \alpha \cdot \text{op}(A^{-1}) \cdot B, \text{ or } B \leftarrow \alpha \cdot B \cdot \text{op}(A^{-1}) \], where \( \text{op}(A) = A, A^T, \text{ or } A^H \)

SUBROUTINE PB_TRSM( MTXBLK, SIDE, UPLO, TRANSA, DIAG, M, N, NB, 
$ \alpha, A, LDA, B, LDB, IAROW, IACOL, IBPOS, 
$ COMMA, SEND2A, WORK )

(a) MTXBLK = 'Matrix'

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
A & * \\
* & B
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(b) MTXBLK = 'Block'

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
A & * \\
* & B
\end{bmatrix}
\]

7. References


