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Abstract

We present a new approach for dynamic monochromatization of neutrons suitable for time-of-flight experiments at spallation neutron sources. The method requires polarized neutrons and is based on the Drabkin energy filter. In its initial application, this magnetic resonator device, consisting of a polarizer/analyzer system and a wavelength-dependent spin flipper, was proposed for extracting a narrow bandwidth from a broad bandwidth polarized neutron beam.

At a spallation neutron source, wavelength is determined by time-of-flight (TOF) from the source to the detector. However, at each instant a spread of wavelengths is recorded due to the non-zero emission time of the source/moderator system. Particularly, high-intensity moderators for cold neutrons produce long "tails" in the intensity/time distribution for all wavelengths, degrading the resolution of the experiments.

The Drabkin energy filter can be used to cut the neutron tails for all wavelengths, by drifting the resonance condition in synchronization with the TOF. Calculations show that the method is viable, and that substantial resolution gains are obtained by application to a TOF neutron reflectometer.

1. Introduction

One of the most difficult problems in neutron scattering is to select the optimal wavelength resolution for each experiment. Given a constant wavelength (CW), instrument resolution is conventionally determined by the choice of a monochromating crystal. For time-of-flight (TOF) instruments at reactor sources, the wavelength-resolution can be tuned by changing the chopper speed and/or the width of the chopper window. For TOF instruments at spallation neutron sources, the wavelength resolution is determined by a combination of the moderator architecture and the instrument length. However, many instruments at pulsed neutron sources that utilize broad bandwidth would gain in performance if the wavelength-resolution could be tuned by a secondary device, allowing the user to trade intensity for resolution and vice versa.
An instrument for which these statements are certainly valid is a neutron reflectometer. Here, resolution requirements can strongly depend on the sample under investigation. Typically, monolayer films have low-resolution requirements (10 - 15%) while a high-resolution capability (1% or even better) is desirable for measurements on films of several thousand Å thicknesses. If one chooses a high-resolution monochromator for a CW instrument, one gives up most of the potential intensity for low-resolution measurements. The same applies for a TOF reflectometer at a pulsed source. Highest wavelength-resolution has typically been achieved by placing the instrument on a decoupled moderator (where the effective neutron beam is intrinsically less intense) or by lengthening the flight-path at the expense of the bandwidth.

In 1962 G.M. Drabkin proposed [1], and experimentally confirmed in 1968 [2] a new way for selecting the velocity of polarized neutrons. The basic idea was to guide a polarized neutron beam (either the direct moderator spectrum or a spectrum already pre-monochromatized by a mosaic crystal) through an electromagnetic resonator. This resonator is capable of flipping the neutron spin of a narrow wavelength region within the incoming wide spectrum. The flipped fraction of the beam is separated from the rest by an appropriate polarization analyzer. Effectively, the device acts as a wavelength band-pass filter.

![Drabkin energy filter](image)

Fig. 1 – Drabkin energy filter

Fig. 1 shows a possible implementation of the device (for a thorough discussion of similar devices see Ref. [3]): A polarizing supermirror reflects spin-up neutrons into the resonator. At the exit of the resonator, a transmission-type spin-analyzer filters (reflects away) the spin-up neutrons, and passes only those neutrons that have been flipped by the resonator. The resonator itself basically consists of a zigzag folded, DC current-carrying foil, that creates magnetic fields \( \pm H_{\text{per}} \), perpendicular to the flight path \( z \) of the neutrons and alternating their direction along \( z \). In addition, a uniform magnetic guide field \( H_0 \) is present, either perpendicular to both \( H_{\text{per}} \) and the neutron flight path, or along the neutron flight path. The magnitude of \( H_0 \) determines the angular precession frequency of the neutron magnetic
moment around the $H_0$ axis. This Larmor precession frequency is $\omega_a = \gamma_a \cdot H_0$ ($\gamma_a = 1.83 \times 10^4 \text{ rad s}^{-1} \text{ Oe}^{-1}$ is the gyromagnetic ratio of the neutron).

When a neutron of velocity $v$ passes through the resonator, the alternating field $H_{\text{per}}$ acts with a frequency $\omega = \frac{\pi \cdot v}{a}$ on the neutron spin, where $a$ is the distance between the current sheets, neglecting the foil thickness. If $H_{\text{per}}$ is such that [3]:

$$H_{\text{per}} = \frac{v_{\text{ex}} \cdot \pi}{L \cdot \gamma_a}$$

where $L$ is the length of the resonator, the spin-flip probability approaches 100% for those neutrons having a "resonance" velocity $v_{\text{ex}}$ that matches the Larmor frequency:

$$\omega_{\text{ex}} = \frac{\pi \cdot v_{\text{ex}}}{a} = \omega_a = \gamma_a \cdot H_0$$

If the constraints described above are kept for both fields, then the wavelength dependence of the flipping probability is given by:

$$P(\lambda) = \frac{\left( \frac{1}{M} \right)^2 \cdot \sin^2 \left\{ \frac{M \cdot \pi \cdot \lambda}{2 \cdot \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_{\text{ex}}} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_{\text{ex}}} \cdot M \right)^2} \right\}}{1 - \frac{\lambda_{\text{ex}}}{\lambda}}$$

where $M = \frac{L}{a}$ is the total number of current sheets of the resonator, and

$$\lambda_{\text{ex}} = \frac{\pi \cdot h}{\gamma_a \cdot m_n \cdot \gamma_n \cdot H_0} = \frac{\pi \cdot h}{\gamma_a \cdot L \cdot m_n \cdot \gamma_n \cdot H_{\text{per}}}$$

($h$ is Planck’s constant and $m_n$ is the mass of the neutron). Note that, in this case, the constraint between the fields is determined by the relation $\frac{H_a}{H_{\text{per}}} = \frac{L}{a} = M$.

The spin-flip probability function has two characteristic features (see Fig. 2 for a graphical representation). First, the width of the central maximum is inversely proportional to the number of field reversals $M$; i.e., $M$ practically determines the wavelength-resolution. Second, there are side maxima with flipping probabilities as high as 12%. The latter can be avoided by several methods, as is shown in Ref. [3].

Unfortunately, the Drabkin energy filter could never effectively substitute for other monochromatization methods at steady state sources. Even with its adjustable resonance wavelength (achieved by parallel changes of $H_0$ and $H_{\text{per}}$), flexible selectivity of the wavelength bandpass width (achieved by variation of $M$) and the high flipping-probability capabilities of the resonator, the demand of extremely high efficiency supermirrors (polarizers and analyzers) turned out to be a serious practical problem [4].
Our proposal is to use the Drabkin energy filter in a dynamic way, as a "pulse sharpener" to increase the wavelength-resolution in TOF experiments at spallation neutron sources. The basic setup, as it is shown in Fig. 1, consists of a polarizer/analyzer combination, and a Drabkin flipper, whose fields are driven by fast power supplies to match the time-structure of the pulsed source. The calculations presented for this particular application show that limitations of supermirrors with respect to polarization are not an issue.

2. The wavelength-resolution at pulsed neutron sources

In short-pulse spallation sources, neutrons are created by the impact of a proton beam onto a target material within a very small time interval ($\Delta t < 1 \mu s$). These high-energy neutrons are slowed down by a moderator to the energies utilized in neutron scattering experiments. In order to gain higher intensity, the target may be surrounded by a reflector assembly, which back-scatters neutrons that would otherwise be lost. However, in these coupled moderators, higher flux is achieved at the expense of having a very long "tail" in the intensity/time distribution of each wavelength. This tail degrades the resolution of the experiments. However, it can be removed by means of the Drabkin device, as will be discussed in the following.

In order to demonstrate the capabilities of this technique, we have calculated the potential resolution gain for a reflectometer being designed for the Spallation Neutron Source project. The SNS Magnetism Reflectometer [5] will view a cold coupled moderator of liquid hydrogen at a nominal temperature of 20 K. The performance of the moderator had been calculated numerically for a set of wavelengths in the range from 0.029 Å to 90.447 Å, by using the MCMC code [6]. We have fitted the performance analytically in the wavelength range that is relevant for reflectometry (0.7 Å to 14 Å) by means of a modified Ikeda-Carpenter model [7].
Fig. 3 shows the neutron beam intensity at the face of the moderator as a function of emission time and wavelength. The moderator emits neutrons for several hundred microseconds after the peak intensity. The inserts show the time-dependence of the intensity for 3.12 Å and 9.35 Å neutrons. One characteristic of the moderator is that the peak emission is progressively delayed for longer wavelengths, relative to the time $t = 0$ when the proton pulse strikes the target (the wavelength dependence of the peak intensity is plotted as a dashed line). A second characteristic of the moderator emission is an increase of its full-width-half-maximum intensity with wavelength, which is approximately linear within the studied range. These two features are not the main limiting factors for the wavelength-resolution: a more serious problem is the presence of a long "tail" at later emission times. At the detector, neutrons of shorter wavelengths from the "tails" arrive at the same time as longer wavelengths neutrons that are emitted close to their corresponding peak emission-time.

Fig. 3 – Calculated time/wavelength-dependence of the neutron flux at the face of the SNS coupled liquid hydrogen moderator. The smaller diagrams show a cut through the contour plot for two particular wavelengths (3.12 Å and 9.35 Å, see arrows). The dashed lines indicate the peak intensity for each wavelength.

Fig. 4 shows an intensity contour plot as a function of time-of-flight and wavelength seen by a detector at a distance of 19 m from the moderator - the approximate distance estimated in our reflectometer design. In order to demonstrate the effect as clearly as possible, the intensities have been normalized at each arrival time. The inserts show two cuts, respectively for a time-of-flight of 15 ms and 45 ms. For 15 ms the maximum intensity occurs at a wavelength of 3.12 Å and for 45 ms the maximum is at 9.35 Å. For both cases, there is a significant contribution of neutrons with shorter wavelengths. The contour lines in Fig. 4 are practically parallel (except for wavelengths shorter than 4 Å). This means that the "tail" neutrons contribute to the broadening of the wavelength-distribution by essentially the same absolute amount for each time-of-flight channel. Long-wavelength neutrons contain a relatively smaller wavelength distribution in their corresponding time channels. This is why better wavelength-resolution can be achieved with long-wavelength neutrons. Note that, for both inserts in Fig. 4, the wavelength axis is displayed over a 5% range, relative to the peak wavelength.
Fig. 4 – Calculated time/wavelength dependence of the neutron intensity at the detector position. The data is shown normalized to the peak intensity for each TOF channel (see text). The inserts show cuts through the contour plot at TOF of 15 ms and 45 ms (see dashed arrows).

3. Tailoring moderator pulse shapes with a “realistic” Drabkin flipper system

The insertion of the Drabkin energy filter can be very effective to cut unwanted neutron tails. In TOF instruments, a time-dependent transmission window can be created by drifting the resonance condition with time. This can be achieved by appropriately controlling the magnetic fields $H_0$ and $H_{per}$ inside the resonator (see Fig. 1). Only neutrons that cross the resonator at a certain time and with a specific wavelength are transmitted. Neutrons that do not fulfill these conditions (such as neutrons from the tails and other delayed or background neutrons) are reflected out by an analyzing supermirror.

To test the effectiveness of the Drabkin filter in removing the unwanted "tail" neutrons, we started by arbitrarily choosing an arrival time of 25365 $\mu$s at the detector (which we assume to be positioned at 19m from the moderator). The corresponding peak in the expected wavelength distribution at this time-of-flight is $5.26 \AA$. The initially unpolarized beam is reflected by a supermirror, which we spin up neutrons with a realistic efficiency of 20 to 1 (the corresponding reflectivities are 95.24% for spin up and 4.76% for spin down neutrons). The resulting wavelength-distribution at the detector is displayed in Fig. 5a. Next, we insert the magnetic resonator in the polarized beam. The device is placed at 9 m from the moderator and has 300 current sheets separated by 2.2 mm. The resonance condition is tuned to $\lambda_{res} = 5.26 \AA$ via the fields $H_0$ and $H_{per}$. The resulting outgoing spectrum, obtained with the aid of Eq. (3), is displayed in Fig.5b. Note that, due to the non-symmetric peaked shape of the initial intensity distribution, a secondary maximum is occurring at the left side of the flipped spectrum. Finally, we add an analyzer to the setup in order to filter out the narrow bandwidth region around $\lambda_{res}$ that has been spin-flipped. As an analyzer, we have chosen a recently developed white beam neutron spin splitter that allows using the transmitted and reflected beam at the same time [8]. The flipping ratio of the analyzer has been reported to be 25 for the reflected beam (mostly spin-up neutrons) and 100 for the transmitted part of the beam.
(mostly spin-down neutrons). The spin-resolved transmitted spectra after passing the analyzer are shown in Fig. 5c.

![Graph](image_url)

Fig. 5 – Spin-resolved relative intensities at the detector for TOF = 25.265 ms. The diagrams show the effect of inserting:
(a) – the polarizer,
(b) – polarizer and Drabkin resonator,
(c) – polarizer, Drabkin resonator and analyzer.

The comparison between the final narrow bandwidth profile and the incoming polarized intensity is displayed in Fig. 6. In both cases, we have added up the contribution of both spin states. One can clearly see that the delayed-neutron tail is removed very effectively and that the resulting spectrum is much more symmetric and sharper. Note that the intensity losses in the central part of the initial peak are only minor.

![Graph](image_url)

Fig. 6 – The resultant conditioned beam intensity compared to the initial polarized beam.
An important side effect of the analyzer is that the beam polarization is significantly increased. The flipping ratio after passing the complete Drabkin filter system improves to 51 (corresponding to a beam polarization of 96.1%), compared to a flipping ratio of only 20 that is introduced by the initial polarizer. To extend the improvement for a particular time-of-flight channel to the entire time-of-flight spectrum, it is sufficient to drift the resonance condition, by varying the resonance fields $H_0$ and $H_{per}$ as $1/t$ between neutron pulses.

4. An example

An example for a potential resolution gain in a neutron scattering experiment is given in Fig. 7. Here, we have simulated a reflectivity measurement from a 7000 Å Ni film on a Si substrate. The displayed q-range corresponds to a nominal wavelength-range of 6.0 Å - 5.3 Å. The angle of incidence onto this sample was assumed to be 0.57°. In Fig. 7a, we compared the reflectivity curve without resolution effects (thin line) with a convolution of this curve with the original SNS moderator wavelength/time structure (bold line). The insertion of the described Drabkin energy filter gives rise to the reflectivity presented in Fig. 7b. The resolution is clearly improved to the extent of bringing the experimental curve close to the plane wave reflectivity.

![Fig. 7 - Simulated reflectivity for a 7000 Å Ni film on a Si substrate, with the parameters as discussed in the text.](image)

Conventionally the experimental reflectivities are assumed to be characterized by a resolution function of the type:

$$\frac{\Delta q}{q} = \text{constant} = \sqrt{(\frac{\Delta \theta}{\theta})^2 + \left(\frac{\Delta \lambda}{\lambda}\right)^2}$$

(5),

with both the angular resolution, $\Delta \theta/\theta$, and the wavelength resolution, $\Delta \lambda/\lambda$, representing the width of gaussian functions constant with the wavelength and with the angle of incidence. If this were the case, measurements taken over a span of wavelengths at different angles could be seamlessly spliced together. It has been seen that this assumption is only...
approximately accurate [9] particularly at small angles. Even if reflectivity data obtained at different angles were presented separately, their fitting to a model should be accomplished with the help of Rietveldt methods [10] which would use the correct resolution functions for angle and wavelength.

Our proposal to utilize the Drabkin device an energy filter or "tail cutting" device goes a long way toward alleviating these problems. Compared to other techniques that have been recently suggested for this function, it has the following general advantages: a) It works very well for instruments that use wide-bandwidth neutron beams. This is not true for a tail cutting chopper system that can only handle a narrow bandwidth region. b) The resolution can be altered extremely fast by electronically changing the number of active current sheets. Disk choppers need on the order of minutes for re-phasing, E₀ chopper much longer if slit packages need to be changed. c) It is practically insensitive to the angular divergence of the beam. In contrast to crystal- or multilayer- based monochromators, angular and wavelength resolution is effectively decoupled for the Drabkin energy filter.
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