Near-Facility Environmental Monitoring Quality Assurance Project Plan

S. M. McKinney

Waste Management Federal Services Inc., Northwest Operations

Date Published

June 1999

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy

FLUOR DANIEL HANFORD, INC.

P.O. Box 1000
Richland, Washington

Hanford Management and Integration Contractor for the
U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC06-96RL13200

Approved for Public Release; Further Dissemination Unlimited

RELEASE AUTHORIZATION

**Document
Number:**

HNF-EP-0538-4

**Document
Title:**

Near-Facility Environmental Monitoring Quality
Assurance Project Plan

This document, reviewed in accordance with DOE Order 241.1, "Scientific and Technical Information Management," and DOE G 241.1-1, "Guide to the Management of Scientific and Technical Information," does not contain classified or sensitive unclassified information and is:

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE

Christine Willingham

C. Willingham

6/7/99

Lockheed Martin Services, Inc.
Document Control/Information Clearance

Reviewed for Applied Technology, Business Sensitive, Classified, Copyrighted, Export Controlled, Patent, Personal/Private, Proprietary, Protected CRADA, Trademark, Unclassified Controlled Nuclear Information.

LEGAL DISCLAIMER. This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, nor any of their contractors, subcontractors or their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or any third party's use or the results of such use of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof. This report has been reproduced from the best available copy. Printed in the United States of America.

NEAR-FACILITY ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING
QUALITY ASSURANCE PROJECT PLAN

0

Prepared by:

Date: 5-25-99

S. M. McKinney, Scientist
Environmental Monitoring & Investigations
Waste Management Federal Services, Inc.
Northwest Operations

Reviewed and
Approved by: Date: 5/27/99

J. J. Dorian, Manager
Environmental Monitoring & Investigations
Waste Management Federal Services, Inc.
Northwest Operations

Approved by:

Date: 6-4-99

D. J. Moak, Manager
Environmental Services Division
Waste Management Federal Services, Inc.
Northwest Operations

Date: 5/4/99

M. R. Turner, Lead
Quality Assurance
Waste Management Federal Services, Inc.
Northwest Operations

This page intentionally left blank.

QUALITY ASSURANCE PROJECT PLAN

S. M. McKinney

ABSTRACT

This Quality Assurance Project Plan addresses the quality assurance requirements for the activities associated with the preoperational and near-facility environmental monitoring performed by Waste Management Federal Services, Inc., Northwest Operations and supersedes HNF-EP-0538-3. This plan applies to all sampling and monitoring activities performed by Waste Management Federal Services, Inc., Northwest Operations in implementing near-facility environmental monitoring at the Hanford Site.

This Quality Assurance Project Plan is required by U.S. Department of Energy Order 5400.1 (DOE 1988a) as a part of the Environmental Monitoring Plan (DOE-RL 1997) and is used to define:

- *Environmental measurement and sampling locations used to monitor environmental contaminants near active and inactive facilities and waste storage and disposal sites*
- *Procedures and equipment needed to perform the measurement and sampling*
- *Frequency and analyses required for each measurement and sampling location*
- *Minimum detection level and accuracy*
- *Quality assurance components*
- *Investigation levels.*

Near-facility environmental monitoring for the Hanford Site is conducted in accordance with the requirements of U.S. Department of Energy Orders 5400.1 (DOE 1988a), 5400.5 (DOE 1990), 5484.1 (DOE 1981), and 5820.2A (DOE 1988b), and DOE/EH-0173T (DOE 1991). It is Waste Management Federal Services, Inc., Northwest Operations' objective to manage and conduct activities at the Hanford Site in a cost-effective and environmentally responsible manner that is in compliance with the letter and spirit of these regulations and other environmental regulations, statutes, and standards.

CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1-1
2.0	PROJECT DESCRIPTION	2-1
2.1	GENERAL OBJECTIVES	2-1
2.2	MONITORING ACTIVITIES DESCRIPTION	2-2
2.3	APPLICABILITY TO WASTE MANAGEMENT FEDERAL SERVICES, INC. QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM	2-3
3.0	PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND RESPONSIBILITIES	3-1
3.1	PROJECT MANAGEMENT	3-1
3.2	SUPPORTING ORGANIZATIONS	3-2
3.3	ANALYTICAL LABORATORIES	3-2
3.4	OTHER SUPPORT CONTRACTORS	3-3
4.0	QUALITY ASSURANCE OBJECTIVES FOR MEASUREMENTS	4-1
4.1	ANALYTES OF INTEREST AND ANALYTICAL METHOD SELECTION	4-1
4.2	CONTRACTUAL QUANTITATION LIMITS AND RANGES FOR ANALYTICAL PRECISION AND ACCURACY	4-1
4.3	REPRESENTATIVENESS, COMPLETENESS, AND COMPARABILITY	4-2
5.0	SAMPLING PROCEDURES	5-1
5.1	SAMPLING PROCEDURES	5-1
5.2	OTHER SUPPORTING PROCEDURES	5-1
5.3	PROCEDURE APPROVALS AND CONTROL	5-1
5.3.1	Waste Management Federal Service, Inc. Procedures	5-1
5.3.2	Participant Contractor/Subcontractor Procedures	5-1
5.4	PROCEDURE ADDITIONS AND CHANGES	5-2
5.5	SAMPLING SCHEDULE	5-2
6.0	SAMPLE CUSTODY	6-1
7.0	CALIBRATION PROCEDURES	7-1
8.0	ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES	8-1
9.0	MONITORING AND REPORTING CRITERIA	9-1
10.0	DATA REDUCTION, VALIDATION, AND REPORTING	10-1
10.1	DATA REDUCTION AND DATA PACKAGE PREPARATION	10-1
10.2	VALIDATION	10-1
10.3	FINAL REVIEW AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS	10-2
11.0	INTERNAL QUALITY CONTROL	11-1
11.1	REPLICATE SAMPLING	11-1
11.2	LABORATORY ANALYSES	11-1
12.0	SYSTEM AUDITS	12-1
13.0	PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE	13-1
14.0	DATA ASSESSMENT PROCEDURES	14-1

CONTENTS (cont)

15.0	CORRECTIVE ACTION	15-1
16.0	QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORTS	16-1
17.0	REFERENCES	17-1

LIST OF TABLES

4-1	Preferred Analytical Methods	4-3
4-2	Supporting Procedures for the Near-Facility Environmental Monitoring Program	4-5

LIST OF TERMS

BHI	Bechtel Hanford, Inc.
CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response
	Compensation and Liability Act of 1980
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CBRCS	Component Based Recall Calibration System
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
DOE RL	Richland Operations Office
EMP	Environmental Monitoring Plan
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
FEMP	facility effluent monitoring plan
HAS	Hanford Analytical Services
LLW	low-level waste
MDC	minimum detectable concentrations
PHMC	Project Hanford Management Contract
PNNL	Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
QA	quality assurance
QAPjP	quality assurance project plan
QC	quality control
RC	Radiological Control
RL	U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations
	Office
SESP	Site Environmental Surveillance Program
WDOH	Washington State Department of Health
WMNW	Waste Management Federal Services, Inc.
	Northwest Operations

This page intentionally left blank.

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Order 5400.1, *General Environmental Protection Program* (DOE 1988a), required DOE sites to prepare an environmental monitoring plan (EMP). The revised *Environmental Monitoring Plan*, DOE/RL 91-50, Revision 2 (DOE-RL 1997), was issued on November 9, 1997.

According to the guidance provided in DOE Order 5400.1 (DOE 1988a), each DOE site, facility, or activity that uses, generates, releases, or manages significant quantities of hazardous materials shall provide a written EMP. This EMP must identify and discuss two major activities: effluent monitoring and environmental surveillance.

This quality assurance project plan (QAPjP) addresses the quality assurance (QA) implementation of the near-facility environmental monitoring surveillance program as part of the overall EMP.

This page intentionally left blank.

2.0 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

2.1 GENERAL OBJECTIVES

Near-facility environmental monitoring provides facility-specific monitoring to protect the environment adjacent to facilities under the responsibility of the Project Hanford Management Contract (PHMC) or Bechtel Hanford Inc. (BHI), to ensure compliance with PHMC and BHI requirements and local, state, and federal environmental regulations.

The objectives of near-facility environmental monitoring are to evaluate the following:

- Compliance with DOE, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the Washington State Department of Ecology (Ecology), the Washington State Department of Health (WDOH), and internal PHMC and BHI environmental radiation protection requirements and guides
- Performance of radioactive waste confinement systems
- Trends of radioactive materials in the environment at and adjacent to nuclear facilities and waste disposal sites.

Specifically, near-facility environmental monitoring is developed to:

- Monitor inactive, existing, and new low-level waste (LLW) disposal sites to assess both radiological and nonradiological hazards (DOE Order 5820.2A).
- Determine the effectiveness of effluent treatment and controls in reducing effluents and emissions (DOE/EH-0173T and DOE/EV/1830-T5).
- Detect and quantify unplanned releases (DOE/EH-0173T) [Title 40 Code of Federal Regulation (CFR) Part 302] [Washington Administrative Code (WAC) 173-303-145] (DOE Orders 5000.3A and 5484.1).
- Monitor fugitive emissions and diffuse sources from contaminated areas for compliance with the "National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants" (NESHAP) (40 CFR 61), (DOE/EH-0173T), Toxic Air Emissions Inventory (40 CFR 265, Subparts AA and B13), State Operating Permit Program (40 CFR 70), and Source Registration (WAC 246 and 247).
- Monitor all surplus facilities before and during decontaminating or decommissioning (DOE Order 5820.2A).
- Monitor new and existing sites, processes, and facilities for potential impacts and releases (DOE Orders 5400.1 and 5484.1, and DOE/EH-0173T).
- Monitor and assess radioactive contamination and potential exposure to employees and the public (DOE Orders 5400.1,

5400.5 and 10 CFR 835 Occupational Radiation Protection).

The purpose and justification for near-facility environmental monitoring is contained in HNF-PRO-454, *Inactive Waste Sites* and HNF-PRO-455, *Solid Waste Management*.

The primary justification for near-facility environmental monitoring includes the following:

- Near-facility environmental monitoring provides a level of insurance to PHMC that the effluent and contamination controls for the various facilities and waste sites are effective.
- Near-facility environmental monitoring monitors a diversity of operations, activities, and programs managed by several different PHMC contractor and BHI organizations. Accordingly, program direction and integration for near-facility environmental monitoring activities are needed to ensure consistency, technical quality, and cost effectiveness.
- A secondary aspect of near-facility environmental monitoring is additional insurance beyond that provided by the Occupational Health and Safety Program that it is acceptable to work on site and for visitors to safely tour the site.

It is the objective of WMNW to manage and conduct activities at the Hanford Site in a cost-effective and environmentally responsible manner that is in compliance with the letter and spirit of applicable environmental statutes, regulations, and standards. Near-facility environmental monitoring shall monitor fugitive emissions and diffuse sources from radioactively contaminated areas. In addition, WMNW shall ensure that activities are conducted to protect employees, the public, the environment, and government property.

This QAPJP identifies the requirements and specifies the procedures used to implement near-facility environmental monitoring. Responsibilities are defined for those WMNW personnel involved in environmental monitoring. WMNW personnel shall use this QAPJP to ensure that near-facility environmental monitoring is performed in a consistent manner.

2.2 MONITORING ACTIVITIES DESCRIPTION

The specific near-facility environmental monitoring activities performed by WMNW are defined in HNF-PRO-454, *Inactive Waste Sites*, HNF-PRO-455, *Solid Waste Management*, and WMNW-CM-004, *WMNW Environmental Monitoring*, and generally fall into one or more of the following four categories:

- Monitoring of inactive and active waste storage and disposal sites as well as unplanned release sites
- Preoperational monitoring of new or modified facilities that have the potential to adversely impact the environment

- Monitoring of operating or standby facilities
- Monitoring of facilities in the process of demolition or remediation.

The monitoring scope for these categories includes the collection of ambient air, water, sediment, aquatic vegetation, soil, biota, external radiation measurements, and radiological surveys.

2.3 APPLICABILITY TO WASTE MANAGEMENT FEDERAL SERVICES, INC. QUALITY ASSURANCE PROGRAM

This QAPjP applies specifically to the sampling and analysis activities, and monitoring performed for all near-facility environmental monitoring activities conducted by WMNW. This plan is subordinate to the *Quality Assurance Program Plan for Project Hanford Management Contract Work*, WMNW-QAPP-001.

This QAPjP is prepared in accordance with the guidance of *Interim Guidelines and Specifications for Preparing Quality Assurance Project Plans*, QAMS-005/80 (EPA 1983), and "Quality Assurance Methods," 40 CFR 61, Appendix B, Method 114, as required by the *Environmental Regulatory Guide for Radiological Effluent Monitoring and Environmental Surveillance*, DOE/EH-0173T. It describes the means selected to implement the overall QA program requirements defined in the HNF-MP-599, *Project Hanford Quality Assurance Program Description*. The implementing procedures, plans, and instructions are appropriate for the control of near-facility environmental monitoring, which requires compliance with DOE, EPA, state, and local requirements.

The QAPjP uses a matrix of procedural resources from facility or Hanford Site manuals used in near-facility environmental monitoring. This QAPjP shall be reviewed and updated as required when changes are made in near-facility environmental monitoring. Distribution and revision control of this plan shall be in compliance with HNF-MP-599, *Project Hanford Quality Assurance Program Description*. Review/approval personnel indicated on the title page of the document and other individuals designated by Environmental Monitoring and Investigations (EM&I) shall have distribution and revision control. Plans and procedures referenced in the QAPjP are available on request for regulatory review.

This page intentionally left blank.

3.0 PROJECT ORGANIZATION AND RESPONSIBILITIES

3.1 PROJECT MANAGEMENT

Environmental Monitoring and Investigations within the Environmental Services Organization of Waste Management Federal Services, Inc., Northwest Operations has the responsibility for specifying near-facility environmental monitoring requirements. Responsibilities of key personnel are described below.

- **Near-Field Monitoring.** The Near-Field Monitoring team within Environmental Monitoring and Investigations has the responsibility to plan, direct, and verify near-facility environmental monitoring at PHMC and BHI facilities; unplanned release sites, and associated active and inactive waste storage and disposal sites as required to ensure compliance to environmental requirements.

Near-Field Monitoring acts as the technical liaison between PHMC facilities, other DOE contractors, regulators, and other interested parties. Near-Field Monitoring also provides technical support for operational environmental sampling and analysis. Near-Field Monitoring performs trend analysis and prepares annual near-facility environmental monitoring reports for distribution to PHMC and BHI facilities, DOE contractors, regulators, and other interested parties. Near-Field Monitoring assigns sample numbers and identification numbers for each sample point. Near-Field Monitoring maintains the permanent records of near-facility environmental monitoring samples. Near-Field Monitoring also ensures that the QAPjP and associated documentation are updated.

- **Integrated Pest Management.** Integrated Pest Management contains two organizational functions, Pest Control and Vegetation Management. These two functions work closely with Near-Facility Monitoring in identifying and controlling the spread of contamination through biological vectors. In February 1999, an integrated biological control program was developed under the direction of DOE/RL with Technical Authority being assigned to Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc. and Project management being assigned to DynCorp Tri-Cities Services, Inc.

- **Radiological Control/Site Surveillance.** The Site Support Services Radiological Control Group has the responsibility to provide sampling and monitoring support as defined in near-facility environmental monitoring. The Bechtel Hanford, Inc., Radiological Monitoring and Environmental Support Group has the responsibility to provide radiation surveys of inactive waste sites and facilities under its control.

3.2 SUPPORTING ORGANIZATIONS

- **Facility Manager.** The facility manager has the responsibility to maintain the facility in an environmentally safe condition, be responsive to environmental problems or concerns raised about the facility, and provide corrective action to these problems or concerns.
- **Quality Assurance.** Provides quality-engineering support to meet procurement control, document approval, surveillance, and auditing needs.
- **Air & Water Services.** Air & Water Services has the responsibility to oversee and verify the environmental radioactivity and chemical emission monitoring program at PHMC facilities as required to ensure compliance with environmental regulations.
- **Procurement.** The procurement organization obtains services and/or contracts in accordance with purchase requisitions, purchase orders, drawings, contracts, specifications, or instructions used to define requirements for a purchase.
- **Environmental Integration.** These personnel provide guidance in interpretation of all regulations and coordination with pertinent regulatory agencies and establish and maintain the environmental series of procedures, HNF-PRO-450 through HNF-PRO-462.
- **Analytical Services.** Provides data package validation as requested by EM&I.

3.3 ANALYTICAL LABORATORIES

Analytical samples will be transported to an approved PHMC participant contractor or subcontractor laboratory for radiological and/or chemical analysis. For participant contractors or subcontractors, applicable quality requirements of this QAPjP shall be invoked as part of the approved applicable work order, letter of instruction, or procurement document. Laboratories are to submit their analytical methods and internal QA Program to Hanford Analytical Services (HAS) for review and approval before use.

At the direction of EM&I, laboratories may be procured for split (performance audit) sample analysis. Participant contractor or

subcontractor laboratories will be subject to source surveillance with Quality Systems participation, in compliance with HNF-MP-599, *Project Hanford Quality Assurance Program Description* and HNF-PRO-268, *Procurement Control* and/or WMNW-FCM-001, *Procurement Manual*.

3.4 OTHER SUPPORT CONTRACTORS

The EM&I program may procure the services of other subcontractors to support any or all of the activities addressed by this QAPjP. Such activities shall be in compliance with PHMC approved QA plans and/or procedures, subject to the controls of HNF-MP-599, *Project Hanford Quality Assurance Program Description* and/or WMNW-QAM-001, *Quality Assurance Manual*.

This page intentionally left blank.

4.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE OBJECTIVES FOR MEASUREMENTS

The QA objectives for measurements generally applicable to near-facility environmental monitoring under the purview of this QAPJP are related primarily to the following:

- Defining appropriate methods for sampling and analysis for the required analytes of interest
- Defining quantitation limits and values for analytical precision and accuracy appropriate for the purposes of all near-facility environmental monitoring.
- Defining data representativeness, completeness, and comparability in terms applicable to near-facility environmental monitoring.

Detailed discussions of the analytes of interest and analytical methods are provided in Table 4-1. Specific data quality needs for individual investigations shall be addressed at the time of the need. Other measurement considerations, accuracy requirements, units, and data recording/reporting protocols for instruments supporting near-facility environmental monitoring and other types of special monitoring investigations shall be specified in the applicable procedures discussed herein and in the laboratory statements of work. The statement of work are as follows: *Statement of Work for Services Provided by the Waste Sampling and Characterization Facility for Effluent and Environmental Monitoring Program During Calendar Year 1999*, HNF-EP-0835-5 (Diediker 1999), and Pacific Northwest Laboratory (PNL) Letter of Instruction Number 8H000-96-LOI-001.

4.1 ANALYTES OF INTEREST AND ANALYTICAL METHOD SELECTION

Table 4-1 identifies potential analytes of interest and corresponding analytical reference methods for operational environmental sampling and monitoring at the Hanford Site. The list of analytes specifies reference methods selected from the appropriate EPA and DOE guidance documents. (See notes a, d, e, f, g, and h of Table 4-1.) Where options have been suggested or implied, the more reliable methods have been selected.

The 222-S Laboratory typically performs radiological analyses of all samples submitted and the Waste Sampling and Characterization Facility (WSCF) Laboratory performs analyses of radioactive and nonradioactive constituents in air, liquid, soil, vegetation and aquatic soil and vegetation associated with near-facility environmental monitoring. Pacific Northwest Laboratories reads the activity on the thermal luminescent dosimeters.

4.2 CONTRACTUAL QUANTITATION LIMITS AND RANGES FOR ANALYTICAL PRECISION AND ACCURACY

The performance of the analytical laboratory or laboratories providing support to near-facility environmental monitoring shall be subject to EPA-established method and analyte-specific quantitation limits and ranges for precision and accuracy (EPA 1972, EPA 1977). These parameters are presented as target values that PHMC and the

proposed laboratory must adjust and/or confirm and accept before the final approval of an associated subcontract, work order, or statement of work.

4.3 REPRESENTATIVENESS, COMPLETENESS, AND COMPARABILITY

The specifications of location and intervals in WMNW-CM-004, *WMNW Environmental Monitoring* address qualitative goals for data representativeness. Completeness objectives for near-facility environmental monitoring shall require that the contractually or procedurally established requirements for the precision and accuracy be met for at least 90 percent of the requested determinations on an annual basis for each laboratory conducting analyses. This means that precision and accuracy for all operational environmental monitoring data shall be at least 90 percent effective, accurate, and precise overall.

Failure to meet this criterion shall be documented in data summary reports and shall be considered in validation process. Corrective action measures using specific procedures shall be initiated by EM&I, HAS or QA, as appropriate. Approved analytical procedures shall require the use of the reporting techniques and units consistent with the EPA reference methods listed in Table 4-1 to facilitate data-set comparability in terms of precision and accuracy.

Table 4-1. Preferred Analytical Methods.

Analytical Category	Analyte of Interest	Standard EPA Reference Method	Analytical Method ^c
Ions/Anions	Nitrate	352.1 ^d	b
	Nitrite	354.1 ^d	b
	Ph/Total Dissolved Solids (TSD)	9045 ^d	b
Radionuclides	Gross Alpha ^k	9310 ^a	b
	Gross Beta ^j	9310 ^a	b
	Uranium (238,239,240)	00-07 ^k	b
	Uranium 233,234	00-07 ^k	b
	Uranium 235	00-07 ^k	b
	Plutonium (238,239,240) ^g	00-07 ^e	b
	Plutonium 241	00-07 ^e	b
	Americium 241,243	AM-01 ^e	b
	Strontium 89/90	SR-05 ^k	b
	Tritium	707 ^h	b
	Technetium 99	EC-186 ^f	b
	Cesium 137	NA	b
	Cesium 134	NA	b
	Cesium 135	NA	b
	Iodine 129	NA	b
	Cerium 144	NA	b
	Carbon 14	NA	b
	Ruthenium 103	NA	b
	Ruthenium 106	NA	b
	Selenium	NA	b
	Cobalt 60/58	NA	b

Radionuclides (cont.)	Zirconium 93/95	NA	b
	Tin 124,125,126	NA	b
	Nickel 63	NA	b
	Neptunium 237	00-07 ^a	b
	Thorium 230	00-07 ^a	b
	Samarium 151	NA	b
	Iron 59	NA	b
	Lead 212/210	NA	b
	Antimony 113	NA	b
	Promethium 147	NA	b
	Europium 154,155	NA	b

^aStandard EPA methods are from Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste (SW846) (EPA 1986).

^bAnalytical methods shall be PHMC or PHMC-approved participant contractor or subcontractor procedures based on the reference methods cited in Column 3. All procedure reviews and approvals shall be in compliance with applicable PHMC procedure control or procurement procedures, as noted in Section 3.0. Once laboratory methods are approved, this table shall be updated to provide contractual method references as applicable.

^cAnalysis shall be performed by an approved PHMC, participant contractor, or subcontractor laboratory.

^dStandard methods are from Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Waste (EPA 1979).

^eStandard methods are from Prescribed Procedures for the Measurement of Radioactivity drinking Water (EPA 1982).

^fStandard Methods are from Eastern Environmental Radiation Facility Radiochemistry Procedures Manual (EPA 1984).

^g²³⁵Pu results are being provided by the laboratory beginning in 1997.

^hStandard method is from Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Waste Water (APHA 1985).

ⁱStandard method is from The Environmental Survey Manual, Appendix D (DOE 1987).

^jThe values shown for gross beta activity may be used when ⁹⁰Sr is known to be the most limiting beta emitter present.

^kThe values shown for gross alpha activity may be used when ²³⁵Pu is known to be the most limiting alpha emitter present.

^lPrecision is based on established contractual values.

Accuracy is within $\pm 25\%$.

Table 4-2. Supporting Procedures for the Near-Facility Environmental Monitoring Program.

Procedure	Procedure Number	Title
Chain of Custody and Sample Packaging and Shipping	WMNW-CM-004, Section 4	Procedure Verification
	HNF-PRO-505, 674, 677, & 680	Various Titles (See Section 17.0)
Sampling and Monitoring	WMNW-CM-004, Section 5	Air Sampling
	HNF-PRO-666, 668, 674, & 677	Various Titles
	WMNW-CM-004, Section 6	Soil Sampling
	HNF-PRO-677, 678, 679, & 680	Various Titles
	WMNW-CM-004, Section 7	Vegetation Sampling
	HNF-PRO-677, 678, 679, & 680	Various Titles
	WMNW-CM-004, Section 8	Animal Sampling
	HNF-PRO-677, 678, 679, & 680	Various Titles
	WMNW-CM-004, Section 9	Surface Water Sampling
	HNF-PRO-677, 678, 679, & 680	Various Titles
	WMNW-CM-004, Section 10	Groundwater Monitoring
	WMNW-CM-004, Section 11	Background Radiation
Calibration	HNF-PRO-677, 678, 679, & 680	Various Titles
	WMNW-CM-004, Section 12	Radiological Surveys
	HNF-PRO-678, 679, & 683	Various Titles
	WMNW-CM-004, Section 13	Special and Emergency Sampling
	HNF-PRO-660, 666, & 668	Various Titles
	HNF-PRO-490, 670, & 673	Various Titles

This page intentionally left blank.

5.0 SAMPLING PROCEDURES

5.1 SAMPLING PROCEDURES

Sampling activities, equipment, material, and containers will be subjected to field screening (i.e., radiological survey and quality control check of transportation documentation) for radioactivity. This screening shall be in compliance with the requirements governing radiation permits to ensure that samples are transported only to appropriately equipped and licensed laboratories. All environmental monitoring sampling conducted in support of near-facility environmental monitoring will be performed in a manner that provides representative samples of radioactive materials in near-field environmental media from operations at the Hanford Site.

5.2 OTHER SUPPORTING PROCEDURES

Except for the analytical chemistry procedures specified in Table 4-1, procedures to be used to support Environmental Monitoring and Investigations program activities directly are presented in Table 4-2, cross referenced to their source documents and the types of activities they typically will support.

5.3 PROCEDURE APPROVALS AND CONTROL

5.3.1 Waste Management Federal Services, Inc. Northwest Operations Procedures

The WMNW and PHMC procedures that may be used to support near-facility environmental monitoring sampling and monitoring activities are referenced in Table 4-2. Latest approved versions of all referenced procedures shall apply in all cases. Selected manuals include *WMNW Environmental Monitoring*, HNF-PRO-505, *Radiation Protection* and associated procedures, BHI-EE-02, Rev. 0, *Environmental Requirements*, Section 7.0, HNF-MP-599, *Project Hanford Quality Assurance Program Description*, and HNF-PRO-268, *Procurement Control*. All procedures are available on request at the direction of EM&I.

5.3.2 Participant Contractor/Subcontractor Procedures

As noted in Section 3.4, participant contractor and/or subcontractor services may be procured with the concurrence of the EM&I manager. Such procurement shall be subject to the applicable requirements of HNF-MP-599, *Project Hanford Quality Assurance Program Description*, and HNF-PRO-268, *Procurement Control*; and/or WMNW-QAM-001, *Quality Assurance Manual*, and WMNW-PCM-001, *Procurement Manual*.

Whenever such services require procedural controls, use of WMNW procedures, or submittal of contractor procedures for WMNW review and approval before use, such requirements shall be included in the procurement document or work order. In addition to submitting analytical procedures, analytical laboratories shall be required to submit the current version of their QA plans.

5.4 PROCEDURE ADDITIONS AND CHANGES

Additional procedures or changes to existing procedures that are necessary to accommodate unforeseen field situations may be authorized in accordance with Section 4.0, "Program Verification" of WMNW-CM-004, *WMNW Environmental Monitoring*.

5.5 SAMPLING SCHEDULE

A *Routine Operational Environmental Monitoring Schedule* is issued annually. It includes the schedule of radiation surveys, sampling to be performed, and sampling frequency.

The Near-Field Monitoring team prepares the radiation survey and sampling schedules. The Near-Field Monitoring team shall approve any changes to the schedule, including temporary or one-time deviations, in accordance with WMNW-CM-004, *WMNW Environmental Monitoring*.

6.0 SAMPLE CUSTODY

All samples obtained during Environmental Monitoring and Investigations program activities shall be controlled as required by WMNW-CM-004, *WMNW Environmental Monitoring, Section 4, "Program Verification."* These requirements apply as soon as the sample is introduced to the sample container.

Other requirements for specific samples are given in WMNW-CM-004, *WMNW Environmental Monitoring.* These requirements shall ensure the maintenance of sample integrity and identification from receipt through completion of the analytical process.

Requirements for returning residual sample materials after analysis shall be defined in the procurement documentation or work orders to subcontractor or participant contractor laboratories. Analysis results shall be traceable to original samples through unique sample numbers or identification codes. All analyses results shall be controlled as permanent project quality records, as required by HNF-MP-599, *Project Hanford Quality Assurance Program Description* (and associated procedures) and HNF-PRO-208, *Records Management General* (and associated procedures).

This page intentionally left blank.

7.0 CALIBRATION PROCEDURES

Calibration of all WMNW measuring and test equipment, whether in the existing inventory or purchased for near-facility environmental monitoring, shall be controlled as required by HNF-MP-599, *Project Hanford Quality Assurance Program Description*.

Calibration and maintenance are the controlling factors in this system. Routine operational checks for WMNW field equipment shall be as defined in internal WMNW procedures; similar information shall be provided within WMNW-approved participant contractor or subcontractor procedures. The sampling and monitoring equipment used in this activity is controlled by the Component-Based Recall Calibration System (CBRCS) or another WMNW-approved system.

Calibration procedures can be made available under the direction of the EM&I manager.

This page intentionally left blank.

8.0 ANALYTICAL PROCEDURES

Analytical methods or procedures based on the reference methods identified in Section 4.0 shall be selected or developed and approved before use in compliance with appropriate PHMC procedure, work order, and/or procurement control requirements (Section 5.3.2).

All participating contractors or subcontractors quality-affecting records shall be retained in compliance with HNF-MP-599, *Project Hanford Quality Assurance Program Description*. All such documents will be made available on request at the direction of EM&I.

This page intentionally left blank.

9.0 MONITORING AND REPORTING CRITERIA

The criteria for near-facility environmental sampling, monitoring, and reporting are identified in HNF-PRO-454, *Inactive Waste Sites*, HNF-PRO-455, *Solid Waste Management*, and HNF-PRO-457, *Environmental Requirements Evaluation*.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

This page intentionally left blank.

10.0 DATA REDUCTION, VALIDATION, AND REPORTING

10.1 DATA REDUCTION AND DATA PACKAGE PREPARATION

Analytical laboratories shall be responsible for preparing both a report summarizing the analysis results and a detailed data package. The data package shall include information necessary to perform data validation to the extent indicated by the minimum requirements.

Data reporting requirements and data package content shall comply with the appropriate requirements of *Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Wastes*, SW-846-1 (EPA 1992). These requirements shall be defined in work order or procurement documentation, subject to WMNW review and approval as noted in Section 4.2.

Data packages shall be prepared in legible, reproducible format; any changes must be made as single-line corrections in black, non-soluble ink; changes must be initialed and dated.

Other supporting information (such as initial calibration data, reconstructed ion chromatographies, spectrograms, and raw data) need not be included in the submittal of individual data packages unless specifically requested by EM&I.

The analytical laboratory shall retain all sample data and make it available for system or program audits at the request of WMNW, EPA, the U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office (RL), WDOH representatives, or other regulatory agencies. The analytical laboratory shall retain such data through the duration of the authorization work order or for the period of their contractual statement of work, when it shall be turned over to WMNW or the contract administrator for archiving.

The analytical laboratory's QA manager shall review and approve the completed data package before submittal for validation. The requirements of this section shall be included in procurement documentation or work orders, as appropriate, in compliance with the standard WMNW procurement control procedures referenced in Section 3.0.

10.2 VALIDATION

The EM&I shall verify and validate completed data packages for radioactive operational environmental samples when requested. Analytical Services (AS) may be requested to validate the completed data packages for hazardous or nonradioactive operational environmental samples. Alternative sources may be used as directed by EM&I. Regardless of the source of validation services, validation requirements shall be defined with WMNW-approved data validation procedures, which at a minimum shall require the following QC checks.

For organic analyses, validation reports shall be prepared documenting QC checks as recommended in *Laboratory Data Validation Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Organics Analyses* (EPA 1988a).

For inorganic analyses, validation reports shall be prepared documenting QC checks as recommended in *Laboratory Data Validation Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Inorganics Analyses* (EPA 1988b).

Validation procedures for radionuclides and other types of analyses shall include requirements for QC checks with similar levels of detail.

The level of confidence in the data resulting from the radiological analyses shall be estimated by analyzing blanks and spiked pseudosamples (EPA-designated environmental measurement laboratory program) and by comparing the resulting concentration estimates to the known concentrations in those samples. The precision of radionuclide analytical results shall be reported as a range, a variance, a standard deviation, a standard error, and/or a confidence interval. When selecting the data to be considered, outliers shall be excluded from the data only after investigation confirms that an error has been made in the sample collection, preparation, measurement, or data analysis process. As new data are received, they shall be compared to earlier data.

10.3 FINAL REVIEW AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT CONSIDERATIONS

The EM&I will perform a final technical review of validation reports and supporting analytical data packages before submittal to the customer or inclusion in reports or technical memoranda. Records management practices shall comply with HNF-MP-599, *Project Hanford Quality Assurance Program Description*.

11.0 INTERNAL QUALITY CONTROL

In general, analytical samples shall be subject to in-process quality control measures in both the field and the laboratory. The following minimum field quality control requirements apply to routine operational environmental monitoring samples. These requirements are adapted from those recommended by the EPA Office of Enforcement, as well as those of the research and development contractor, where practical. Radiological Control uses procedures described in HNF-PRO-505.

11.1 SAMPLE QUALITY CONTROL

The quality control of field samples is maintained using one or more of the following methods as appropriate.

- Replicates: repeated but independent determinations of the same sample.
- Blanks: a sample of the carrying agent (gas, liquid, or solid) normally used to selectively measure a material of interest that is subjected to the usual analytical procedures process to establish a baseline of background value. This value is then used to adjust or correct the routine analytical results.
- Duplicates: single sample taken, aliquoted alternately into two sets of sample containers for duplicate analysis.
- Splits: single sample taken, aliquoted alternately into two or more sets of sample containers, but will be sent to different laboratories; one sample will be sent to the primary laboratory, the others will be sent to an independent laboratory.
- Spiked Sample: a normal sample of material (gas, liquid, or solid) to which a known amount of some substance of interest is added. Spiked samples are used to check on the accuracy of a routine analysis of the recovery efficiency of an analytical method.

11.2 LABORATORY ANALYSES

Near-facility environmental monitoring depends on the data received from analytical laboratories. A procedure verification program is vital to environmental sample analyses. This procedure verification effort consists of analytical standards, adherence to written sampling procedures, procedural audits, and record keeping.

The following companies whose services are controlled in accordance with procedures in HNF-MP-599, *Project Hanford Quality Assurance Program Description*, provide laboratory support to near-facility environmental monitoring sampling program:

1. Offsite Laboratory. This laboratory analyzes selected

environmental media samples.

2. **Project Hanford Management Contract.** The analytical laboratories analyze air samples and the sediment, water, and aquatic vegetation samples from the liquid disposal sites and the environmental soil, vegetation, and biota samples.
3. **Radiation Standards and Engineering at Pacific Northwest National Laboratory.** The Calibration Laboratory at PNNL reads, tests, calibrates, and packages the thermoluminescent dosimeters.
4. **Internal Laboratory Quality Control Programs.** All laboratories maintain internal quality control programs that address practices such as:
 - a. Routine calibration of counting instruments
 - b. Routine source and background counts
 - c. Routine yield determination of radiochemical procedures
 - d. Replicate analyses to check precision
 - e. Analysis of quality control standards
 - f. Analysis of reagent blanks to verify chemical purity.
5. **National Standards Program.** The laboratories performing the environmental analyses use standards traceable to the National Institute of Standards and Technology, when available, to ensure the accuracy of radionuclide determinations.

Other requirements specific to laboratory analytical equipment calibration are included in Section 7.0. The minimum requirements of this section shall be invoked in procurement documents or work orders in compliance with standard PHMC procedures as noted in Section 5.3.2. The statement of work should be reviewed by the laboratory to ensure that the laboratory is capable of performing the work.

12.0 SYSTEM AUDITS

Audits shall be performed to verify the quality of operation of one or more elements of the total measurement system. In the sense intended by QAMS-005/80 (EPA 1983), system audits involve a qualitative onsite evaluation of laboratories (or other organizational elements of the measurement system) for compliance with established QA program and procedure requirements. This also includes audits of individual facility sampling programs against those requirements in near-facility environmental monitoring.

The appropriate organization shall perform system audits; system audit requirements shall be implemented through the use of HNF-MP-599, *Project Hanford Quality Assurance Program Description*, and HNF-PRO-651, *Surveillance*. Additional performance or system audits shall be conducted if specifically required by near-facility environmental monitoring, as a consequence of corrective action requirements, or if requested by QA, RL, EPA, or WDOH.

Any discrepancies observed while evaluating performance audit results or during system audit surveillance activities that cannot be corrected immediately to the satisfaction of the investigator shall require a response and appropriate corrective action. All discrepancies shall be documented.

Environmental Monitoring and Investigations activities may be evaluated as part of a PHMC facility or program audit in accordance with HNF-MP-599, *Project Hanford Quality Assurance Program Description*, and HNF-PRO-246, *Management Assessments*, and HNF-PRO-651, *Surveillance* and/or as part of a WMNW program audit in accordance with WMNW-QAM-001, *Quality Assurance Manual*, WMNW-QAP-001, *Quality Assurance Procedures*.

This page intentionally left blank.

13.0 PREVENTIVE MAINTENANCE

Measurement and testing equipment used in the field and laboratory that directly affect the quality of the analytical data shall be subject to preventive maintenance measures that ensure measurement systems have minimal downtime and can perform reliable measurements. Field equipment maintenance instructions shall be as defined by the approved procedures governing equipment use.

Laboratories shall be responsible for performing or managing the maintenance of their analytical equipment; maintenance requirements, spare parts lists, and instructions shall be included in individual methods or in laboratory QA plans, subject to WMNW review and approval as noted in Sections 3.3 and 4.2.

This page intentionally left blank.

14.0 DATA ASSESSMENT PROCEDURES

Data from environmental media sampling and monitoring shall be assessed as required by near-facility environmental monitoring and appropriate statistical evaluation techniques that may be referenced therein. The laboratory first shall compile the analytical data; and validate it in compliance with the requirements established in the approved Statement of Work.

This page intentionally left blank.

15.0 CORRECTIVE ACTION

Requests for corrective action required as a result of surveillance or audit activity shall be documented and dispositioned as required by HNF-MP-599, *Project Hanford Quality Assurance Program Description*, and HNF-PRO-298, *Control of Nonconforming Items*, HNF-PRO-52, *Corrective Action Management*, HNF-PRO-651, *Surveillance*, HNF-PRO-652, *Corrective Action Request* and WMNW CM-004 *WMNW Environmental Monitoring*.

The EM&I responsibilities for corrective action follow-up and resolution are contained in WMNW-CM-004, *WMNW Environmental Monitoring*. Other measurement systems, procedures, or plan corrections that may be required as a result of routine review processes shall be resolved as required by governing procedures or shall be referred to EM&I for resolution. Copies of all surveillance nonconformance, audit, and corrective action documentation shall be maintained on completion or closure by the surveillance/auditing organization. The project QA records location shall be specified by EM&I.

This page intentionally left blank.

16.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE REPORTS

As stated in Sections 11.0 and 12.0, Environmental Monitoring and Investigations program activities shall be assessed regularly by surveillance and auditing processes. Surveillance, nonconformance, audit, and corrective action documentation shall be considered QA records and shall be documented and dispositioned as stated in Section 15.0. Records management requirements applicable to subcontractors or participant contractors shall be defined in applicable procurement documents or work orders as noted in Section 5.3.2.

This page intentionally left blank.

17.0 REFERENCES

- 10 CFR-835, "Occupational Radiation Protection" Code of Federal Regulations, as amended.
- 40 CFR 61, "National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants," Code of Federal Regulations, as amended.
- 40 CFR 70, "Operating Permit Program," Code of Federal Regulations, as amended.
- 40 CFR 264, "Standards for Owners and Operators of Hazardous Waste Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Facilities," Code of Federal Regulations, as amended.
- 40 CFR 265, "Interim Status Standards for Owners and Operators of Hazardous Waste Treatment, Storage, and Disposal Facilities," Code of Federal Regulations, as amended.
- 40 CFR 302, "Designation, Reportable Quantities, and Notification," Code of Federal Regulations, as amended.
- APHA, 1985, *Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater*, 16th edition, American Public Health Association, American Water Works Association, and Water Pollution Control Federation, Washington, D.C.
- Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act of 1980*, as amended, 42 USC 9601 et seq.
- Diediker, L. P. 1999, *Statement of Work for Service Provided by the Waste Sampling and Characterization Facility for Effluent Monitoring*, HNF-EP-0835-5, Waste Management Federal Services, Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.
- DOE, 1981, *Environmental Protection, Safety, and Health Protection Information Reporting Requirements*, DOE Order 5484.1, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C.
- DOE, 1987, *The Environmental Survey Manual, Appendix D*, U.S. Department of Energy/Office of Environmental Audit, Washington, D.C.
- DOE, 1988a, *General Environmental Protection Program*, DOE Order 5400.1, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C.
- DOE, 1988b, *Radioactive Waste Management*, DOE Order 5820.2A, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C.
- DOE, 1990, *Radiation Protection of the Public and the Environment*, DOE Order 5400.5, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C.
- DOE, 1991, *Environmental Regulatory Guide for Radiological Effluent Monitoring and Environmental Surveillance*, DOE/EH-0173T, U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C.
- DOE-RL, 1994, *Radioactive Waste Management*, DOE/RL-91-50, Rev. 1, U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office, Richland, Washington.

- EPA, 1972, *Handbook for Analytical Quality Control in Water and Wastewater Laboratories*, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA, 1977, *Handbook for Analytical Quality Control in Radioanalytical Laboratories*, EPA-600/7-77/088, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA, 1979, *Methods for Chemical Analysis of Water and Wastes*, EPA-600/4-79-020, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency/Environmental Monitoring and Support Laboratory, Cincinnati, Ohio.
- EPA, 1982, *Prescribed Procedures for the Measurement of Radioactivity in Drinking Water*, EPA 600/4-80-032, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency/Office of Exploratory Research, Washington, D.C.
- EPA, 1983, *Interim Guidelines and Specifications for Preparation of Quality Assurance Project Plans*, QAMS-005/80, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency/Office of Exploratory Research, Washington, D.C.
- EPA, 1984, *Eastern Environmental Radiation Facility (EERF) Radiochemistry Procedures Manual*, EPA 520/5-84-006, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA, 1988a, *Laboratory Data Validation Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Organics Analyses*, Hazardous Site Evaluation Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA, 1988b, *Laboratory Data Validation Functional Guidelines for Evaluating Inorganics Analyses*, Hazardous Site Evaluation Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA, 1989, "USEPA Contract Laboratory Program Statement of Work for Inorganic Analysis," *Federal Register*, Vol. 54, No. 13, Sample Management Office, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Washington, D.C.
- EPA, 1992, *Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Wastes*, SW-846, Third Edition, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency/Office of Solid Waste and Emergency Response, Washington, D.C.
- HNF-MD-039, *Extension of Portions of WHC-CM-4-2*, Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc., Richland, Washington.
- HNF-MP-559, *Project Hanford Quality Assurance Program Description*, Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc. Richland, Washington.
- HNF-PRO-52, *Corrective Action Management*, Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc. Richland, Washington.
- HNF-PRO-208, *Records Management Control*, Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc. Richland, Washington.
- HNF-PRO-246, *Management Assessments*, Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc. Richland, Washington.

- HNF-PRO-268, *Procurement Control*, Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc.
Richland, Washington.
- HNF-PRO-298, *Control of Nonconforming Items*, Fluor Daniel Hanford,
Inc. Richland, Washington.
- HNF-PRO-454, *Inactive Waste Sites*, Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc.
Richland, Washington.
- HNF-PRO-455, *Solid Waste Management*, Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc.
Richland, Washington.
- HNF-PRO-457, *Environmental Requirements Evaluation*, Fluor Daniel
Hanford, Inc. Richland, Washington.
- HNF-PRO-505, *Health Physics Technical Practices and Procedures*, Fluor
Daniel Hanford, Inc. Richland, Washington.
- HNF-PRO-660, *General Emergency Radiological Recovery Checklists*,
Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc. Richland, Washington.
- HNF-PRO-666, *Grab Air Sampling*, Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc. Richland,
Washington.
- HNF-PRO-668, *Automated Bar Coding of Air Samplers at Hanford*, Fluor
Daniel Hanford, Inc. Richland, Washington.
- HNF-PRO-670, *Radiological Source Inventory, Leak Test, and Activity
Determination*, Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc. Richland, Washington.
- HNF-PRO-673, *Performance Testing Alpha and Beta Counting Instruments*,
Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc. Richland, Washington.
- HNF-PRO-674, *Analyzing Air and Smear Samples with Alpha and Beta
Counting Instruments*, Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc. Richland,
Washington.
- HNF-PRO-677, *Release Surveys*, Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc. Richland,
Washington.
- HNF-PRO-678, *Survey Method for Reposting Outdoor Contamination Areas*,
Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc. Richland, Washington.
- HNF-PRO-679, *Radiological Survey Reports*, Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc.
Richland, Washington.
- HNF-PRO-680, *Radiological Material Shipment and Receipt Surveys*,
Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc. Richland, Washington.
- HNF-PRO-683, *Scheduled Radiological Survey Reports*, Fluor Daniel
Hanford, Inc. Richland, Washington.
- Thomas, S. P., 1993, *Services Provided by the 222-S Laboratory for
Regulatory Support*, WHC-EP-0689, Westinghouse Hanford Company,
Richland, Washington.
- WAC 173-303, 1990, "Dangerous Waste Regulations," *Washington
Administrative Code*, as amended.

WAC 246-247, 1990, "Radiation Protection Standards," Washington Administrative Code, as amended.

WHC, 1991, *A Guide for Preparing Hanford Site Facility Effluent Monitoring Plans*, WHC-EP-0438, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.

WMNW-CM-004, *WMNW Environmental Monitoring*, Waste Management Federal Services, Inc. Northwest Operations, Richland, Washington.

WMNW-PCM-001, *Procurement Manual*, Waste Management Federal Services, Inc. Northwest Operations, Richland, Washington.

WMNW-QAM-001, *Quality Assurance Manual*, Waste Management Federal Services, Inc. Northwest Operations, Richland, Washington.

WMNW-QAPP-001, *Quality Assurance Program Plan for Project Hanford Management Contract Work*, Waste Management Federal Services, Inc. Northwest Operations, Richland, Washington.

Number of copies

ONSITE

9	<u>U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office</u>	
	E. M. Bowers	S7-55
	R. F. Brich	K8-50
	P. F. Dunigan Jr.	A5-15
	J. B. Hall	A5-15
	R. G. McLeod	H0-12
	R. O. Puthoff	A7-80
	H. M. Rodriguez	A5-15
	D. C. Ward	A5-15
	Public Reading Room	H2-53
5	<u>Bechtel Hanford, Inc.</u>	
	R. J. Landon	H0-02
	J. G. Woolard	H0-02
	P. J. Woods	S3-20
	J. P. Zoric	X5-53
	Environmental Resource Center	H0-09
1	<u>Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc.</u>	
	D. G. Ranade	H6-23
2	<u>Lockheed Martin Services, Inc.</u>	
	Document Process Center	A3-94
	Central Files	B1-07
3	<u>Pacific Northwest National Laboratory</u>	
	R. L. Dirkes	K6-75
	R. W. Hanf	K6-75
	Hanford Technical Library	P8-55
6	<u>Waste Management Federal Services of Hanford, Inc.</u>	
	L. P. Diediker (5)	H6-36
	E. M. Greager	H6-36

Waste Management Federal Services, Inc.
Northwest Operations

P. K. Brockman	H1-11
J. J. Dorian (2)	H1-11
A. R. Johnson	H1-11
B. M. Markes	H1-11
S. M. McKinney (10)	H1-11
J. E. Meisner	H1-11
R. M. Mitchell	H1-11
D. J. Moak	H1-11
C. J. Perkins	H1-11
J. M. Rodriguez	H1-11
R. C. Roos	H1-11
M. R. Turner	H1-11

HNF-EP-0538-4
DISTRIBUTION

Number of copies

ONSITE

9	<u>U.S. Department of Energy, Richland Operations Office</u>	
	E. M. Bowers	S7-55
	R. F. Brich	K8-50
	P. F. Dunigan Jr.	A5-15
	J. B. Hall	A5-15
	R. G. McLeod	H0-12
	R. O. Puthoff	A7-80
	H. M. Rodriguez	A5-15
	D. C. Ward	A5-15
	Public Reading Room	H2-53
5	<u>Bechtel Hanford, Inc.</u>	
	R. J. Landon	H0-02
	J. G. Woolard	H0-02
	P. J. Woods	S3-20
	J. P. Zoric	X5-53
	Environmental Resource Center	H0-09
1	<u>Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc.</u>	
	D. G. Ranade	H6-23
2	<u>Lockheed Martin Services, Inc.</u>	
	Document Process Center	A3-94
	Central Files	B1-07
3	<u>Pacific Northwest National Laboratory</u>	
	R. L. Dirkes	K6-75
	R. W. Hanf	K6-75
	Hanford Technical Library	P8-55
6	<u>Waste Management Federal Services of Hanford, Inc.</u>	
	L. P. Diediker (5)	H6-36
	E. M. Greager	H6-36