WORKSHOP

“NSLS UPGRADES”

Location:
BERKNER HALL
Room B

You are invited to attend a workshop to discuss the near and long term development of the NSLS.

DATE: **October 23, 2000**

TIME: **8:30 am – 5:00 pm**

HOST: **Sam Krinsky**

WORKSHOP ON NSLS UPGRADES
Berkner Hall, Room B
Monday, October 23, 2000

♦ CONTINENTAL BREAKFAST	8:00
♦ Introduction - Jack Marburger and Rick Osgood.....	8:30
♦ What is the NSLS?	
Overview - S. Krinsky	8:45
NSLS compared to the world - S. Hulbert	9:30
Science with synchrotron radiation - C.C. Kao	9:50
BREAK	10:20
Improvements to existing facility - P. Siddons.....	10:35
DISCUSSION	11:15
♦ Upgrade Options to be Discussed:	
Increase current in X-ray Ring using B-Factory technology	
B-Factory Upgrade, accelerator - E. Blum.....	11:35
B-Factory Upgrade, science - L. Berman.....	11:55
DISCUSSION	12:15
 LUNCH (Berkner Hall, Room A)	12:30
 Construction of a new storage ring of conventional design, such as	
Diamond or the Swiss Light Source	
New Storage Ring, accelerator- J.B. Murphy	1:30
New Storage Ring, science - E. Johnson	1:50
DISCUSSION	2:10
 Construction of linac-based sources	
Energy-Recovery Linac Sources - I. Ben-Zvi.....	2:30
DISCUSSION	3:00
BREAK	3:10
Free-Electron Lasers - L.H. Yu	3:25
Science - J. Hastings	3:55
DISCUSSION	4:35
♦ Summary and Wrap Up - Peter Paul.....	4:50

What is the NSLS?

“Overview”

Samuel Krinsky

NSLS Upgrade Workshop

OVERVIEW

UPGRADE GOALS
INTRODUCTION TO SYNCH. RAD.
ACCELERATOR DEVELOPMENT

S. Krinsky
October 23, 2000

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

1

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

GOALS: NSLS UPGRADE

- Keep NSLS vital and competitive for next five years
- Assure NSLS is a leading synchrotron radiation facility 5-10 years from now

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

2

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

KEY ISSUES: NSLS UPGRADE

- Short term:
 1. Address reduction of PRT support for beamlines
 2. Upgrade old beamline optical components
 3. Upgrade storage ring hardware,
 4. Upgrade insertion devices

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

3

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

KEY ISSUES: NSLS UPGRADE

- Long term, provide:
 1. more straight sections for insertion devices;
 2. a source with smaller emittance, higher brightness;
 3. hard x-ray undulators;
 4. femtosecond x-rays;
 5. coherent (laser-like) sources.

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

4

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

BENDING MAGNET

WIGGLER MAGNET

INCREASE PHOTONS PER UNIT SOLID ANGLE

Wiggler Magnetic Field

$$B_z = B_w \sin(2\pi x/\lambda_w)$$

Electron Trajectory

$$\frac{1}{c} = \frac{1}{\beta^* c} + \frac{1}{c}$$

Average Longitudinal Velocity of e^-

$$\beta^* = \beta(1 - K^2/4\gamma^2)$$

$$K \approx 0.93 B_w (T) \lambda_w (cm)$$

Fundamental Radiation Wavelength

$$\lambda = \frac{\lambda_w}{2\gamma^2} (1 - K^2/2)$$

NSLS ACCELERATOR DEVELOPMENT

- Chasman-Green Lattice
- Brightness Optimization
- Bittner-Biscardi BPM Receivers
- Global Orbit Feedback Systems
- Infrared Sources
- Small Gap Undulators
- Time-Varying Elliptically Polarized Wiggler
- BNL Photocathode RF Gun
- High-Gain Harmonic-Generation Free-Electron Laser

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

9

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

NSLS DESIGN PHILOSOPHY

G.K. Green: Maximize Source Brightness
Photons/Sec/Phase Space Volume/Bandwidth

Require high brightness to have parallel
photon beam incident on small sample

Design storage ring with small emittance

Chasman-Green Lattice:

Achromatic bends with zero dispersion
straights for insertion devices

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

10

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

11

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Undulator Brightness

$$\lambda = (\lambda_0^2 / 2) (1 + K^2 / 2)$$

$$B = \frac{2 \times 10^{20}}{e_s (\text{nm} \cdot \text{rad}) e_y (\text{nm} \cdot \text{rad}) (1 + K^2 / 2)} \frac{N_s K^2}{(\text{ph}/0.1\%, \text{mm}^2, \text{mrad}^2, \text{Amp})}$$

$$e_s = (7.7 \times 10^{-4} \text{ nm} \cdot \text{rad}) \frac{V}{\lambda^2}$$

(Chasman-Green Lattice)

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon_s / \gamma$$

$$\varepsilon_s \approx (1-4) \text{ nm} \cdot \text{mrad}$$

(linac)

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

12

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

- X1: Soft X-ray Undulator
- Microscopy/Spectroscopy
- X5: LEGS – Nuclear Physics
- X13: R&D – Small Gap/Elliptically Polarized
- X17: Superconducting Wiggler – Medical,
High Pressure, Material Science
- X21: Hybrid Wiggler – Inelastic Scattering
- X25: Hybrid Wiggler – Protein
Crystallography/Scattering
- U5: Spin Polarized Photoemission
- U13: Soft X-ray Spectroscopy/5-30eV Source
- Infrared Bending Magnet Sources:
U2, U4IR, U10,U12
- X9, X29: New In-Vacuum Undulators

**Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy**

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

X-RAY RING CURRENT AND DISTANCE				
	1990	1995	1997	1998
$I(\text{mA})$	200	250	350	400
$s_x(\text{nm})$	110	110	90	45
$s_y(\text{nm})$	2	0.2	0.1	0.1

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

15

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

16

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

GLOBAL ORBIT FEEDBACK SYSTEMS

- The NSLS has developed the first global orbit feedback systems. These analog systems based upon harmonic analysis of the orbit motion reduced orbit fluctuations by more than an order of magnitude.
- Ongoing work is proceeding on the development of digital orbit feedback systems, allowing greater flexibility in the choice of algorithm, and hence further improvement in orbit stability.

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

17

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

18

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

19

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

20

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

SMALL GAP UNDULATOR MOTIVATION

$$B = B_0 \sin(2\pi z/\lambda_u)$$

$$B \propto \lambda_u^{-1} G \lambda_u^{-1}$$

$$\lambda_u = 0.227 (1 - K^2)^{-1/2}$$

λ_u = undulator period

$$G = \text{pole gap}$$

For short wavelength desire λ_u small

For high intensity desire $K \approx 92 B_0(T) \lambda_u(\text{cm})^{1/2}$

To get high field strength at short period requires small gap

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

21

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

X13 R&D STRAIGHT SECTION

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

22

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

23

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

24

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

NEW IVUNs AT X9 AND X29

- When we replace the RF Cavities at X9 and X29, we will install In-Vacuum Undulators at the center of the straights.
- These undulators will be of hybrid design, achieving higher fields than the pure permanent magnet devices tested in the X13 straight.

	PSGL	IVUN	HYBRID IVUN
Period λ_u	16 mm	11 mm	12.5 mm
Nominal Magnet Gap	6.0 mm	3.3 mm	3.3 mm
Beam Aperture	3.0 mm	3.0 mm	3.0 mm
Peak On- Axis Field	0.62 T	0.69 T	1.0 T
$h\nu_{max}$ Electron	3.2 keV	5.4 keV	3.6 keV
Energy	2.8 GeV	2.8 GeV	2.8 GeV

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

27

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

28

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Elliptical Multipole Wiggler

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

29

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

30

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

31

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

32

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

“NSLS Compared to the World”

Steven Hulbert

NSLS compared to "the world"

1. Introduction, SR emission properties of bends, wigglers, undulators
2. Flux
3. Brightness
4. Effect of brightness on three specific techniques (all using undulators)
 - A. High res'n angle-resolved photoemission
 - B. Zone-plate-based soft x-ray μ scopy
 - C. Hard x-ray microprobe

S. Hulbert, 23 October 2000

Synchrotron radiation properties relevant to flux and brightness

Band: radius ρ , Energy E , Critical energy $h\nu_{crit} \propto E^3/\rho$

Machine	E [GeV]	γ	ρ [m]	B [T]	$h\nu_{crit}$ [keV]
NSLS VUV	0.2	1566	1.91	1296	0.594
Y-ring	2.8	5479	0.875	1258	608
ALS	1.9	3713	4.81	1317	3.16
APS	7.0	13700	50.96	0.6	19.5
SHARP	3.0	5871	8.40	1.2	7.12

Insertion devices ... N S N S ...
S N S N

Period length λ_u , magnetic strength $K \sim \lambda_u B$,
periods N ,
Length $L = N\lambda_u$, $\lambda_u = \frac{\lambda_c}{2\pi\gamma^2} (1 + \frac{K^2}{2} + \gamma^2\theta^2)$

Opening angles:

	Band	Wiggler	Undulator
Horiz.	$3\pi/8$	$2K/\gamma$	$\sqrt{\lambda_u/L} \oplus c\text{-beam}$
Vert.	$1/\gamma$	$1/\gamma$	$\sqrt{\lambda_u/L} \oplus c\text{-beam}$

Undulator Brightness, Flux

$$B = \frac{F}{(2\pi)^2 \frac{\delta x}{\gamma} \frac{\delta y}{\gamma} \frac{\delta z}{\gamma}} \approx \frac{F}{(2\pi)^2 \frac{\delta x}{\gamma} \frac{\delta y}{\gamma} \frac{\delta z}{\gamma}} \approx \frac{F}{(2\pi)^2 \frac{\delta x}{\gamma} \frac{\delta y}{\gamma} \frac{\delta z}{\gamma}} \approx \frac{F}{(2\pi)^2 \frac{\delta x}{\gamma} \frac{\delta y}{\gamma} \frac{\delta z}{\gamma}}$$

For point source, $B = F/(\lambda/2)^2$

Diffraction Limited Brightness for Constant Field SR

$$\text{Flux integrated over all} = 5.3 \times 10^{17} E(\text{GeV}) I(\text{Amp}) \mathcal{G}_{\text{rad}} [\text{rad}] BW[\%] \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_c} \right)^{1/3}$$

Vertical angles [ph/sec]

$$\text{RMS vertical opening angle: } \psi_{\text{rms}}^{1/2} = 0.8282 \left(\frac{\lambda}{2\pi p} \right)^{1/3}$$

$$\text{Phase Space Areas: Horizontal} = \frac{\rho \theta^2}{8} \quad \text{Vertical} = \frac{\rho \theta \psi}{2}$$

$$\text{Brightness: } B = \frac{\text{Flux}}{\text{Phase.Space.vert.} \times \text{Phase.Space.hor}}$$

Assuming, $\theta = \psi = 4\psi_{\text{rms}}^{1/2}$

$$B[\text{Photons/sec/0.1\%BW/mm}^2/\text{steradian}] = 3.8 \times 10^{14} \frac{BW[\%] I(\text{Amp})}{\lambda^2 \text{ mm}}$$

Jim Murphy, NSLS

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

3

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Features of Synchrotron Infrared

- High brightness source:
 - >2 orders of magnitude brighter than standard source for IR
 - enables study of small specimens and at long wavelengths. microspectroscopy
- Pulsed: enables time-resolved measurements across wide spectral range.
 - pump-probe spectroscopy
 - ~200 ps resolution

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

4

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Synchrotron radiation vertical opening angles in the infrared

Flux of NSLS today (2.8GeV, 0.32A)

ALS flux (0.4A)

7

APS flux (0.1A)

8

Brightness of NSLS today

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

9

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Brightness comparison: NSLS (0.32A) vs. ALS (0.4A)

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

10

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Brightness comparison: NSLS (0.32A) vs. APS (0.1A)

Brightness comparison: NSLS (0.32A) vs. SPEAR3 (0.2A)

Brightness comparison: NSLS today (0.32A) vs. new linac injector (0.2A)

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

Photon energy

13

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Title:
C-PLOT Graphics
Creator:
C-PLOT psfilter version 4.13
Preview:
This EPS picture was not saved
with a preview included in it.
Comment:
This EPS picture will print to a
PostScript printer, but not to
other types of printers.

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

14

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

NSLS U13UB 5-30eV beamline: high-resolution ARPES

Normal incidence monochromator (NIM), resolving power $> 10^4$

Flux at sample: $> 3 \times 10^{13}$ photons/sec/A/0.1%b.w. into < 100 micron spot size

Undulator brightness: $> 10^{16}$ photons/sec/A/mm²/mrad²/0.1%b.w.

Title: /users1/u6/hulbert/autocad/u13ub/layout9.eps

Creator: AutoCAD PSOUT

CreationDate: 1997-05-08

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

15

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

NSLS U13UB VUV undulator and NIM beamline: matching source to experiment

- demagnify source vertically to fill enough lines ($M_{12} > 5 \times 10^4$) for dispersion
- total demagnification $\sim 10^4$ in both horizontal and vertical $\rightarrow$ $< 100 \mu\text{m}^2$ spot size on sample
- matches state-of-the-art electron energy analyzers (Siemens)
- Johnson et al. 1997

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

16

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

NSLS X1A: soft x-ray scanning μ scopy

NSLS X1A

- Zone plate accepts only $\sim \lambda$ transverse phase space.
- This acceptance is $\sim 100\%$ vertically, but only $\sim 1\%$ horizontally.
- Brightness and count rates of X1A are ~ 0.1 of 3rd generation:
 - X1A can still perform very well, but
 - NSLS needs greater brightness undulators in the soft x-ray range.

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

17

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Transverse spatial coherence of SR sources

Title:
 C-Plot Graphics
 Creator:
 C-Plot graphics version 4.13
 Preview:
 This EPS picture was not saved
 with a preview included in it.
 Comment:
 This EPS picture will print to a
 PostScript printer, but not to
 other types of printers.

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

18

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

X-ray μ probe using differentially-coated elliptical mirrors*

Focus limitations:

1. Large Horizontal emittance
 2. Mirror figure error
- Perfect ellipsoid

■ Flux limitation: overfilling of horizontal mirror (OE2). Cannot make OE2 larger without interfering with OE1 or experimental endstation

*Beamline Technology Corp.; R&D 100 Award, Sept. 2000

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

19

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Worldwide compendium of x-ray μ probes

Gene Ice, ORNL

Facility	Beamline	Inv. (keV)	Spot Size (mm)	ABR	Focal Freq. (mm/mrad)	Local Contact	Email
APS	2-BM-CB	4.25	~1	2x10 ⁻⁴	1x10 ⁻⁴	Shao-Rong Yan	Yan@slac.stanford.edu
APS	11-BM	5.25	~2.5	2x10 ⁻⁴	1x10 ⁻⁴	Serge Stettin	Stettin@slac.stanford.edu
HARP	1D-13	4.15	~0.09	2x10 ⁻⁴	2x10 ⁻⁴	Christina Hinkel	hinkel@slac.stanford.edu
SRRF	1D-22	2.35	~3	2x10 ⁻⁴	10 ⁻⁴ to 10 ⁻³	Armand Huet	huet@slac.stanford.edu
ALS	8.3.1	6.42	~2	3x10 ⁻⁴	2x10 ⁻⁴	Steve McHugo	smc@slac.stanford.edu
LNLS		2-4	100	2x10 ⁻⁴	3x10 ⁻⁴	Helio G.N. Tiedtke	heltiedt@lnls.br
MAXSS	B2	4.25	0.01	2x10 ⁻⁴	10 ⁻⁴	Don Stohr	stohr@slac.stanford.edu
Photon Factory	BL-2A	5-12	25	2x10 ⁻⁴	10 ⁻⁴	A. Ito	
ESyS	L	4-20	7	7		Thomas Strobel	strobel@slac.stanford.edu
ESyS	BL-1	10	1	1x10 ⁻⁴	~5x10 ⁻⁴	Thomas Strobel	strobel@slac.stanford.edu
DCI	1D-15	5.16	~1-100	2x10 ⁻⁴	10 ⁻⁴ to 10 ⁻³	P. Chavanne	chavanne@slac.stanford.edu
SSRL						J. Paul	jpaul@slac.stanford.edu
NSLS	X14C	5-20	4mm ²	10 ⁻⁴	10 ⁻⁴	St. Moxon	
NSLS	X26A	5-20	~14-20mm ²	10 ⁻⁴	2x10 ⁻⁴	Serge Stettin	Stettin@slac.stanford.edu
NSLS	X13B	4-22	3x3mm ²	10 ⁻⁴	3x10 ⁻⁴	C.C. Kao/J. Ahlert	kao@bnl.gov

➔ Need higher brightness x-ray undulators at NSLS

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

20

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Summary: NSLS vs. "the world"

	Far-IR spectroscopy	VUV spectroscopy	Soft x-ray μ scopy	Hard x-ray μ scopy
Brightness ratio	> 3 rd gen. SR	$\sim 0.1 \times 3^{\text{rd}}$ gen. SR	$\sim 0.1 \times 3^{\text{rd}}$ gen. SR	$\sim 0.01 \times 3^{\text{rd}}$ gen. SR
Count rate ratio	> 3 rd gen. SR	$\sim 1 \times 3^{\text{rd}}$ gen. SR	$\sim 0.1 \times 3^{\text{rd}}$ gen. SR	$\sim 0.01 \times 3^{\text{rd}}$ gen. SR

Want shorter
pulses for
spectroscopy
and smaller
emittance for
 μ scopy

Want shorter
pulses for
spectroscopy
and smaller
emittance for
 μ scopy

Want smaller
emittance (by
factor ≥ 10)
3GeV source

Want
smaller
emittance
(by factor
 ≥ 100) 6GeV
source

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

“Science with Synchrotron Radiation”

Chi-Chang Kao

Science with Synchrotron Radiation

-Highlights from NSLS

Prepared by Chi-Chang Kao.

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

NSLS Users by Field of Research (1990-2000)

Type of Science	1990	1991	1992	1993	1994	1995	1996	1997	1998	1999	2000
Chemical Sciences	264	304	303	318	321	281	282	285	268	265	244
Materials Sciences	837	993	882	1104	929	952	916	902	914	819	842
Life Sciences	62	167	286	354	503	548	642	701	761	881	953
Geosciences and Ecology	62	26	75	30	113	106	123	136	147	147	177
Applied Science and Engineering	155	106	167	186	177	147	138	126	127	134	119
Optical/Nuclear/General Physics	170	129	183	151	129	128	116	136	131	138	162
None Specified	0	0	1	0	56	44	34	34	32	32	54
Total	1550	1725	1897	2193	2228	2206	2261	2320	2380	2416	2551

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

NSLS leads the world in scientific productivity

BESAC Review of SR Sources and Science
"Birgeneau Report" – Spring, 1997

BESAC Review of ALS – March 29, 2000

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Birgeneau Report / 1990-1996

Nature	Science	Phys. Rev. Lett.
NSLS: 51	NSLS: 55	NSLS: 234
SSRL: 17	SSRL: 27	SSRL: 49

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

***NSLS 1997 – 1999 Publications in
Nature, Science and Physical Review Letters***

1997	1998	1999
Science: 16 (B10,G4,P1)	Science: 15 (B11,G4)	Science: 8 (B4,G3,P1)
Nature: 8 (B6,G1,P1)	Nature: 16 (B13,G2,P1)	Nature: 7 (B4,G1,P2)
Phys.Rev.Lett: 19	Phys.Rev.Lett: 18	Phys.Rev.Lett: 18

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

NSLS leads the world in scientific productivity

- The spectral range covered by the NSLS extends from
far-infrared (1 meV) to gamma-ray (100 keV)
- Innovation and improvement in source and technique
- A large and diverse user base
- Intangibles: stimulating environment, flexibility

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Scientific Opportunities of Proposed Upgrades

Flux: Lonny Berman
(Protein Crystallography)

Brightness: Erik Johnson

Short Pulse + FEL: Jerry Hastings

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Life Sciences

-Protein Crystallography

-Non PX Biological Research
(spectroscopy, imaging, scattering)

-Medical imaging

-Radiation Therapy

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Extending X-ray Crystallography to Non-Crystalline Samples

J. Miao, P. Charalambous, J. Kirz, D. Sayre, *Nature* **400**, 342 (1999)

X-ray scattering using Coherent X-rays

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Diffraction Enhanced Imaging at NSLS-X15A

DEI --- Normal Absorption

Refraction; Extinction -or- Scatter Rejection

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Geophysics and High Pressure

***If you can't bring the synchrotron to your laboratory,
bring the laboratory to the synchrotron.***

Infrared

Energy Dispersive Diffraction

X-ray Spectroscopy

P as an experimental variable can
be exploited in solid state physics,
materials synthesis, life science.

**Center for High Pressure Research – SUNY Stony Brook
Geophysical Laboratory of the Carnegie Institution of Washington**

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Correlated Electron Systems

**-Low-dimensional, Strongly Correlated Electron
Systems Exhibit Non-Fermi Liquid Behaviors
-Coupling of Charge, Spin, and Orbital D.O.F**

Infrared

Photoemission

X-ray Absorption

Resonant X-ray Scattering

Inelastic X-ray Scattering

Coherent X-ray Scattering

Theory

Samples

Technology

Transport measurements

Other Techniques: NMR,
Neutron, TEM, STM etc.

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

New Directions

Nanoscience

(workshop/Dec. 2000)

Soft Condensed Matters/Biophysics

(workshop/early 2001)

***In-Situ* growth and characterization**

(workshop/planning)

Environmental Science

(workshop/planning)

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Infrared Measurements

Need:

- High brightness continuum IR source (especially in far IR) for throughput-limited spectroscopic techniques.
- Broad spectral coverage for sum rules, K-K analysis.
- Short pulses for time-resolved techniques (ps, fs).
- Quasi-tunable, higher power far IR source for photo-excitation & study of non-linear phenomena.

NSLS provides:

- ✧ Two to three orders of magnitude higher brightness than thermal sources: exploited for microspectroscopy, grazing incidence reflectance, ellipsometry. Good far IR power (up to 2 orders of magnitude higher than thermal sources).
- ✧ Complete IR spectral coverage.
- ✧ Pulses widths down to ~200 ps.

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Infrared Measurements

Future?:

- ◆ Shorter pulses (time-resolution)
- ◆ Even greater brightness (for near-field microscopy)
- ◆ Coherent far IR source

Recent Infrared Publications

- G.L. Carr et al., "Exploring the dynamics of superconductors by time-resolved far-infrared spectroscopy", *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **85**, 3001 (2000).
- A.A. Sirenko et al., "Soft-mode hardening in SrTiO₃ thin films", *Nature* **404**, 373 (2000).
- P.F. Henning et al., "Infrared studies of the onset of conductivity in ultrathin Pb films", *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **83** (1999).

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Spin-Resolved Photoemission U5UA (10-200 eV)

Experimental Technique

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

Manganites

magnetic & electronic phase transition (CMR)

J.-H. Park et al., *Nature* **392**, 794 (1999)

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Resonant scattering from Spin, Orbital and Charge Order in Transition Metal Oxides

Manganites

Resonant scattering at Mn K-edge

Cuprates

Magnetic scattering at Cu K-edge

ESRF
 data

Brookhaven Science Associates
 U.S. Department of Energy

“Improvements to Existing Facility”

D. Peter Siddons

Improvements to Existing Facility

What could be done to the status quo which would result in significant benefit to the User community and to the Laboratory?

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Two main aspects

- Infrastructure improvements
- New access paradigm

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Infrastructure Improvements

- ☐ Replacing old beamline hardware.
- ☐ Replacing old machine hardware (including insertion devices).
- ☐ Providing better User Facilities.

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

3

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Update old beamline hardware

- ☐ Much of the hardware dates from 1985. (at least two beamlines still rely on MicroVax for control, for example).
- ☐ Mirror technology has improved dramatically since 1985.
- ☐ Power delivered to optics has increased by factor of 10 since then; inefficient monochromators.

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

4

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

VUV ring beamline upgrades

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

5

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Update old Machine Hardware

- ❑ Much has been and is being replaced on a continuous basis (e.g. RF cavities, power supplies).
- ❑ This is all covered in detail in the Phase III proposal. Most of the things in that report are still relevant (or have been done already).
- ❑ Our original Insertion devices were designed over 10 years ago. Better designs now exist, and optimization for our needs would likely yield improvements of x2 - x5 in performance.

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

6

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Increased efficiency from mirror upgrade

TIME:
C-PLLOT Graphics
Creator:
C-PLLOT patcher version 4.13
Preview:
This EPS picture was not saved
with a preview included in it.
Comment:
This EPS picture will print to a
PostScript printer, but not to
other types of printers.

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

7

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Providing better User Facilities

- Lab facilities.
 - Sample preparation equipment,
 - standard characterization tools and
 - Staff to maintain/operate them.

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

8

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

A New Access Paradigm for NSLS

- ❑ Current system has served NSLS well, but is inappropriate for today's situation
 - ❑ Difficult to generate funding for a single-technique beamline over extended periods.
 - ❑ New users are NOT technique-developers.
 - ❑ E.g. the VUV beamlines almost all rebuilt by NSLS, not PRT's.
- ❑ Emphasis must shift from technique development to scientific productivity.
 - ❑ Most problems benefit from a multi-pronged investigation.
 - ❑ Multi-PRT membership is prohibitively expensive.
 - ❑ It is difficult to get GU beamtime for a measurement which is a small, but important part of a larger investigation.
 - ❑ Multi-function beamlines don't work well.

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

9

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

PRT operations support

- ❑ PRTs initially supported NSLS beamlines at a high level, typically two scientists per beamline plus a technical staff.
- ❑ Currently, typical PRT staffing is one scientist per beamline, sometimes with one technician.
- ❑ NSLS cannot replace this support with current funding.

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

10

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Science-centered organization

- ☐ Deterministic access to all techniques appropriate to the scientific problem.
- ☐ Expert assistance at each stage:
 - ☐ Experiment design
 - ☐ Data collection
 - ☐ Data interpretation

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

11

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Straw-man example: Materials Growth & Characterization

- ☐ Techniques set:
 - ☐ Spectroscopy:
 - ☐ IR
 - ☐ VUV
 - ☐ X-ray
 - ☐ Scattering/diffraction:
 - ☐ N-circle diffractometer
 - ☐ Powder diffractometer
 - ☐ SAXS
 - ☐ Imaging:
 - ☐ IR microscope
 - ☐ VUV/SXR scanning microscope
 - ☐ XMCT

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

12

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Science / technique matrix

	Soft X-ray Scanning Microscopy	IR Microscopy	X-ray Microscopy	X-ray Microtomography	X-ray Topography	IMAGING	X-ray Absorption Spectroscopy	Photoelectron Spectroscopy	IR Spectroscopy	SPECTROSCOPY	Single Crystal Diffraction	Powder Diffraction	Small Angle X-ray Scattering	X-ray Reflectivity	DIFFRACTION/SCATTERING
ENVIRONMENTAL & MOLECULAR SCIENCES															
Remediation															
COMPLEX SYSTEMS															
Correlated Electron Systems															
Soft Matter/Biophysics															
EARTH & PLANETARY SCIENCE															
NANOSCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY															
Material Growth and Characterization															
Nanoassemblies for Electron Transfer															

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

13

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Staffing levels at other light sources

- ☐ ESRF
 - ☐ 2 scientists
 - ☐ 2 postdocs
 - ☐ 1 student (funded by ESRF, more with external funding)
 - ☐ Facility infrastructure (computers, electronics, mechanical engineering)
- ☐ APS DND-CAT
 - ☐ 8 staff / 2 beamlines. PRT member-funded students/postdocs.
- ☐ ALS
 - ☐ No public info, but \$7M provides GU support on 25 beamlines (~ 2 persons per beamline?).

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

14

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Current NSLS-operated beamline staffing levels

- ☐ X27A 1 Science Associate
- ☐ X18B 1 Science Associate
- ☐ X19A 1 Scientist
- ☐ X21 0.5 Scientist, 1 student
- ☐ U5U 1 Scientist, 1 student
- ☐

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

15

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Current PRT-operated beamline resident staffing levels

- ☐ X11 1 Scientist, 1 technician
- ☐ X16A,B,C 1 technician
- ☐ X3A,B,C 1 Scientist, various students.
- ☐ X8A 1 Scientist
- ☐ X10A,B,C 1 Engineer
- ☐ X14 1 Postdoc
- ☐

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

16

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Upgrade Options to be Discussed:

**“Increase Current in
X-ray Ring Using
B-Factory Technology
B-Factory Upgrade,
Accelerator”**

Eric Blum

X-RAY RING UPGRADE USING B-FACTORY TECHNOLOGY

**ERIC B. BLUM
NATIONAL SYNCHROTRON LIGHT SOURCE
OCTOBER 23, 2000**

Upgrade Goals

- Energy — 3 GeV
- Current — 2.4 Amp

Means

- 476 MHz RF — Room Temperature Cavities
- Copper Vacuum Chamber
- Bunch by Bunch Feedback
- Full Energy Injection

PEP-II Low Energy Ring

- Energy — 3.1 GeV
- Current — 3.0 Amp maximum

Means

- 476 MHz RF — Room Temperature Cavities
- Copper Vacuum Chamber
- Bunch by Bunch Feedback
- Full Energy Injection

FLUX AT UPGRADED NSLS, ALS, AND APS

MAJOR X-RAY RING PARAMETERS

	Current Conditions	Upgrade with single RF system	Upgrade with harmonic cavity
Energy [GeV]	2.5	3	3
Total Current [A]	0.25	2.42	2.42
Fund. Cavity RF Frequency [MHz]	52.88	475.92	475.92
Fund. Cavity RF peak voltage [MV]	0.9	4.27	4.26
RF harmonic	30	270	270
Number of Bunches Filled	25	225	225
Harmonic Cavity RF Frequency [MHz]			951.84
Harmonic Cavity RF peak voltage [MV]			2.04
Bunch Length [cm]	4.33	0.73	2.64
Synch. Rad. Loss [MeV/turn] (bends only)	0.50	1.04	1.04
Transverse Damping Time [msec]	219.15	378.69	378.69
Longitudinal Damping Time [msec]	438.30	757.39	757.39
Energy Acceptance	1.82%	1.82%	1.82%
Synch. Freq. [KHz] (fund. RF only)	5.91	35.24	35.22
Touschek lifetime [hours]	356	59	213

RF Cavity Choice:

Superconducting vs. Conventional

Superconducting Cavity

- Pro:**
- Large Gradients — Fewer Cavities
 - Lower RF Power Consumption
 - Large Aperture
 - Easy Higher Mode Removal —
 - Beam Instabilities Reduced
- Con:**
- Technology Less Used
 - No Experience at NSLS

Conventional Cavity (Room Temperature Copper)

- Pro:**
- Common Technology
 - Simpler Fabrication
 - No Cryogenics
- Con:**
- More Cavities Required
 - Higher Modes — Beam Instabilities — Feedback

RF CAVITIES

Existing Configuration of X-Ray Ring showing 52.88 MHz RF cavities.

Possible Configuration with Four PEP II 476 MHz RF cavities.

476 MHz RF System Parameters

	PEP-II	
Frequency [MHz]	475.92	476
Peak Voltage [MV]	4.26	
Harmonic Number	270	
Synchrotron Radiation Loss [MeV/turn] (bends only)	1.04	
Synchronous Phase [Deg.]	19.03	
Number of Cavities	8	
Gap Voltage/Cavity [MV]	0.53	
Cavity Gap [cm]	22.34	
Gradient [MV/m]	2.39	4.5
Shunt Impedance/Cavity [$M\Omega$]	3.50	
Wall Loss/Cavity [KW]	40.6	150
Total Cavity Wall Loss [KW]	325	
Synchrotron Radiation Power [KW]	2525	
HOM Power [KW]	417	
Total Power Loss [KW]	3266	
Power Loss/Cavity [KW]	298	
Tuning Angle (deg)	88.25	
Reflected Power/Cavity [KW]	4.26	
Input Power/Cavity [KW]	413	450
Number of Klystrons	4	
Required Power/Klystron [KW]	825	1200

HARMONIC CAVITY RF PARAMETERS

		Valvo Klystron
Frequency [MHz]	951.84	1000
Peak Voltage [MV]	2.04	
Synchronous Phase [Deg.]	4.89	
Number of Cavities	2	
Gap Voltage/Cavity [MV]	1.02	
Cavity Gap [cm]	11.17	
Gradient [MV/m]	9.15	
Shunt Impedance/Cavity [$M\Omega$]	4.95	
Wall Loss/Cavity [KW]	106	
Total Cavity Wall Loss [KW]	211	
Number of Klystrons	1	
Required Power/Klystron [KW]	211	400

Copper Vacuum Chambers

- 10x Lower Photodesorption Than Aluminum
- Improved Thermal Conductivity
- Higher Z - Self Shielding

PEP B-Factor Chamber

SYNCHROTRON RADIATION LOADS

	Current Conditions	Upgrade	PEP-II HER (Max. Current)
Magnetic Radius [m]	6.88	6.88	165.00
Bending Magnet Field [T]	1.21	1.45	0.182
Energy [GeV]	2.50	3.00	9.00
Current [A]	0.25	2.42	3.00
Synchrotron Radiation Power [KW]	125.71	2524.68	10,557
Linear Power Density [W/cm]	29.10	584.46	101.80
Radiation Half Angle [mrad]	0.20	0.17	0.06
Chamber Width [cm]	8.00	8.00	9.00
Beam to Chamber Distance [cm]	74.27	74.27	385
Minimum Height Illuminated [mm]	0.30	0.25	0.44
Maximum Power Density [KW/cm^2]	0.10	2.31	2.31

VACUUM LOADS

	Current Conditions	Upgrade
Material	Al	Cu
Desorption Coefficient η	4.00E-06	4.00E-07
Photodesorption Gas Load Q [Torr-L/sec]	6.05E-05	7.03E-05
Total Ion Pump Speed S [L/sec]	8880	8880
Average Pressure P [Torr]	6.81E-09	7.92E-09

$$\text{Gas Load } Q \approx EI\eta$$

$$\text{Pressure } P = Q/S$$

Beam Instabilities

PEP-II — DSP based bunch by bunch feedback system

Modular — 15-20 modules to control 1658 bunches

We need 2-3 modules to control 225 bunches

System will also be used at ALS

Magnets

Some X-Ray Ring quads (QC) near saturation at 2.5 GeV
16 new ones needed

Injection

- Present injector can fill x-ray at 1 mA/cycle

- 1.2 injection cycles / second

$$\frac{2400 \text{ mA}}{1 \text{ mA/cycle}} \times \frac{2 \text{ cycles / second}}{60 \text{ seconds / minutes}} = 48 \text{ minutes}$$

- **TOO LONG!**

- 750 MeV injection implies

- Large temperature swings in chambers and optics

- Possible beam instabilities at injection energy

HIGH ENERGY BOOSTER PARAMETERS

Current [mA]	10.00
Repetition Rate [Hz]	10.00
Acceleration Time [sec]	0.10
Injection Energy [MeV]	200.00
Extraction Energy [GeV]	3.00
Acceleration [KeV/Turn]	10.59
Revolution Frequency [MHz]	5.29
Circumference [m]	56.69
Rf Frequency [MHz]	475.92
Rf Harmonic	90.00
Bunches Filled	75.00
Electrons/Bunch	1.58E+08
Bend Radius [m]	6.80
Dipole Magnetic Field [T]	1.47
Energy Loss/Turn [MeV]	1.05
Rf Peak Voltage [MV]	2.00
Overvoltage	1.88
Synchronous Phase [Deg.]	32.17

Number Of Cavities	2
Gap Voltage/Cavity [MV]	1.00
Wall Loss/Cavity [KW]	143
Synchrotron Radiation Power [KW]	10.5
Total Power Loss [KW]	296
Number Of Klystrons	1

HIGH ENERGY BOOSTER SYNCHROTRON

“B-Factory Upgrade, Science”

Lonny Berman

WORKSHOP ON NSLS UPGRADES

October 23, 2000

B-Factory Upgrade, Science

Lonny Berman

Brookhaven Science Associates
U.S. Department of Energy

1

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Flux Comparison of NSLS and B-Factory Sources

NSLS: 2.8 GeV, 320 mA; B-Factory: 3.0 GeV, 2400 mA

B-Factory bending magnet sources are within a factor of 2 of existing X21/25 wiggler sources in hard x-ray range, and 51 out of the 60 x-ray stations presently in operation are bending magnet stations

Measured Intensity in Focused Beam

Keeping the same emittance conditions, the B-Factory upgrade will make the bending magnet focused beam intensities comparable to what is now obtainable at X25

Beam Line	Capability	Wavelength Range (Å)	Flux* (ph/sec)	Detector	Optics Demagnification (by design, not necessarily in practice)
NSLS	X4A	MAD	4-3.1	2×10^{10} ADSC Q4 CCD	3:1
	X8C	MAD	0.6-6	10^{10} ADSC Q4 CCD	1:1
	X9B	MAD	0.6-3.0	1.3×10^{10} ADSC Q4 CCD mar345 Image Plate	4:1
	X12B	MAD	0.92-1.61	0.6×10^{10} ADSC Q4 CCD	1:1
	X12C	MAD	1-1.6	0.5×10^{10} B1 or B4 CCD	1:1
	X25	MAD, Laue	0.4-4.0	1.8×10^{11} Brandeis B4 CCD	1:1
	X26C	MAD, Laue	0.8-3.1	0.2×10^{10} ADSC Q4 CCD Fuji Image Plate	1:1

*measured in 1997 under "old" emittance:

2.584 GeV machine energy

300 mA machine current

12.4 keV photon energy (1Å wavelength)

200 um crystallography collimator

Si (111) monochromator

horizontal collection of optics:

1 mrad collected on X25

2 mrad collected on dipole lines

Beam Line X21 Scientific Capabilities

High Energy Resolution Inelastic X-Ray Scattering:

- Raman Scattering
- Compton Scattering
- Electronic Excitation Spectroscopy
- Resonant Scattering

Beam Line X25 Scientific Capabilities

High Q Resolution Elastic X-Ray Scattering:

- Surface and Interface Scattering and Reflectivity
- Magnetic Scattering (Resonant and Non-Resonant)
- Speckle and X-Ray Photon Correlation Spectroscopy
- Emission Spectroscopy
- Resonant Nuclear Scattering
- Macromolecular Crystallography
- Small Angle Scattering
- Diffuse Scattering
- X-Ray Standing Waves
- X-Ray Optics

Physics example: liquid $\text{Bi}_{22}\text{In}_{78}$ surface
anomalous reflectivity (X25)

Fig. 1 DiMasi et al PRL submitted)
(BNL, Harvard, Bar-Ilan)

- *these sort of profiles, absent of anomalous effects, can be measured on existing bending magnet lines
- *to exploit anomalous scattering in order to quantify the composition of one component vs the other requires access to X25

Biology example: ribosome subunit crystallography

Ban et al.
(Yale, BNL)

N N L L B A

Here's an
example of
the
problem!

The longest
unit-cell edge
is 570Å in this
photo from
crystals of the
50S ribosomal
subunit.

(X12C)

Ban et al. Cell 1998

Brookhaven Biology

Rotation diffraction pattern recorded from a single crystal
on a CCD area detector (beamline X12C)

Magnified region of diffraction pattern

The spots
almost touch.

- Their length comes from the horizontal divergence of the focussed beam
- The kidney shape comes from defects in the optics.

(X12C)

Generally need:

Bar et al. Cell 1998

Brookhaven Biology

- (1) good collimation to separate spots from large unit structures
- (2) small beam focus because macromolecular crystals are tiny
- (3) decent monochromatization in order to exploit anomalous diffraction (MAD)
- (4) high incident flux because scattering gets weaker at higher momentum transfer
- (5) ergo, high brightness!

5 Å Resolution

Moore and
Steitz's
groups used
only NSLS
dipole
beamlines to
produce this
model of the
50S subunit

Ban, N., *et al.* (1998) "A 9 Å
Resolution X-Ray
Crystallographic Map of the
Large Ribosomal Subunit."
Cell 29, 1105-1115.

Ban, N., *et al* (1999) "The
Large Ribosomal Subunit at
5 Å Resolution: Identification
of Protein and RNA
Structures." Nature 400:841-
847.

Brookhaven Biology (X12B, X12C)

50S ribosome subunit, 2.4 Å resolution (X25,APS)

Ban et al,
Science
289, 905-920
(2000)

* this is even a
simplification
of the true
observed image

* to see this
level of detail
at the atomic
level requires
access to X25

Chemistry Example: High resolution fluorescence emission spectroscopy
Bergman et al. (LBL, Cal.-Davis)

Mn K β emission from different compounds (X25)

Bergman et al.
J.Phys. Chem. B
102, 8350-8352
(1998)

(original synchrotron-based measurement from X25
reported in Härmäläinen et al., Phys. Rev. B
46, 14274-14277 (1992))

Figure 1 K β emission spectra of Mn(II) in MnF₂, Mn(III) in Mn₂O₃, and Mn(IV) in Mn₄O₆(bpea)₄. The spectra are normalized to equal integrated intensities. The same normalization was used to determine the ratio of different models to fit the PSII data. The inset shows the schematic experimental setup.

Mn oxidation states in photosystem II (X25)

Bergmann et al.,
J. Phys. Chem B
102, 8350-8352
(1998)

Figure 2 (Top to bottom) Kβ emission spectrum of PSII in the dark-adapted S₁ state and best fit using 50% Mn(III) (Mn₂O₃) and 50% Mn(IV) (Mn₄O₆(bpea)₄). Kβ emission spectrum of PSII in the dark-adapted S₁ state compared with LiMn₂O₄. Spectrum of Mn₁₂O₁₂(O₂CET)₁₆(H₂O)₃ (solid line) compared with LiMn_{1.75}Ni_{0.25}O₄ (dotted line). (Left insert) Values of χ² as a function of average oxidation state for two model combinations: models 2 and 3 (solid line) and models 3 and 10 (dotted line). Colored diamonds indicate the average oxidation state and χ²-value corresponding to the top three difference spectra in the right insert. (Right insert) Difference spectra (fit minus data) using models 1-3, top to bottom: 50% Mn(III) 50% Mn(IV), 100% Mn(III), 100% Mn(IV), 25% Mn(II) 50% Mn(III) 25% Mn(IV).

- *bulk compounds can be measured easily on existing bending magnet lines with this sort of sophisticated spectrometer
- *however, dilute species (e.g. metalloproteins) require X25

NiK $\beta_{1,3}$ emission in photo-excited Ni-containing metallo-proteins (X25)
Glatzel et al (Cal.-Davis, LBL, Nebraska)

Carbon monoxide dehydrogenase

Figure 1. K $\beta_{1,3}$ lines of CODH

Hydrogenase

Figure 2. K $\beta_{1,3}$ lines of hydrogenase

* This research was supported by the NIH, grant GM-48145

Table 1: Statistics of X25-Derived Publications (NSLS in microcosm)

Fiscal Year	Total Papers	Non-Macro-crystallo-graphy	Macro-crystallo-graphy	Cell	Nature	Physical Review Letters	Science
1991	5	5	0	0	1	0	0
1992	15	14	1	0	0	1	0
1993	16	15	1	0	0	3	1
1994	18	15	3	0	1	2	0
1995	12	10	2	0	2	4	0
1996	15	10	5	2	3	4	0
1997	19	10	9	1	6	1	0
1998	24	13	11	2	3	1	1
1999	25	11	14	5	2	2	2
2000	44	10	34	3	2	1	5
Total	192	113	60	13	20	19	9

↑
physics
chemistry
materials science

↑
structural biology

Physics	Biology
1	0
1	0
4	0
2	1
4	2
4	5
2	6
1	6
2	9
1	10
22	39

high-profile
journal
totals

Why such a tremendous jump in macro-crystallography publications in fiscal year 2000? Only sensible explanation seems to be the factor of 2 increase in user support, on behalf of structural biology, made possible by the new NIH/NCRR Research Resource grant for several NSLS biology beam lines (commenced in fiscal year 1999).

**Construction of a
New Storage Ring of
Conventional
Design, Such as
Diamond or the
Swiss Light Source**

“New Storage Ring, Accelerator”

James B. Murphy

3rd Generation X-Ray Rings

J.B. Murphy
NSLS/BNL

NSLS Workshop on
Future Sources
October 23, 2000

Outline

- o What is a 3rd Generation X-Ray Ring?
- o Storage Ring Quality Factors
- o Insertion Device Capacity
- o Emittance/Brightness/Pulse Duration
- o 3rd Gen. Source in the X-Ray Tunnel
- o Costs
- o Concluding Remarks

What is a 3rd Generation X-Ray Ring?

- Same as the 2nd generation but with a larger number of basic cells to reduce ε and increase ID capacity
- Challenges: dynamic aperture, stability, lifetime, cost, ...
- New Technologies: SRF, ...

Storage Ring Quality Factors

<u>Capacity</u> $N_{ID} \gg 1$	<u>Emittance</u> $\varepsilon_x \propto F(v_x) \frac{E^2}{N_{cell}^3}$
<u>Brightness</u> $B \propto \frac{I L_u}{\left(\varepsilon_x \oplus \frac{\lambda}{2}\right) \left(\varepsilon_y \oplus \frac{\lambda}{2}\right)}$	<u>Cost</u> $\text{\$} < \text{\$}_{limit}$

Insertion Device Capacity

Ring	E [GeV]	C [m]	Straights
SLS	2.4	288	3L/3M/6S
ANKA	2.5	110	4L/4S
SOLEIL	2.5	337	4L/12M
NSLS	2.8	170	8M
CLS	2.9	171	12
BOOMERANG	3	164	6L/6S
SPEAR 3	3	234	4L/16S
DIAMOND	3	489	6L/18M

= 2 x NSLS

= 3 x NSLS

- New rings have 2-3 times ID capacity of the NSLS
- But they're roughly 2-3 times the circumference!

Emittance of X-Ray Rings

3rd generation rings can reduce ϵ by a factor of 15

Undulator Brightness

3rd generation rings can increase brightness by 10^2

Electron Bunch Length/Pulse Duration

Ring	E [GeV]	σ_L [ps]
ALS	1.5	14
SLS	2.4	13
SOLEIL	2.5	12
NSLS XRAY	2.8	158
DIAMOND	3	10
ESRF	6	16

- Low frequency RF at NSLS means $\sigma_L \sim 160$ ps
- 3rd gen sources have $\sigma_L \sim 12$ ps, not 67 fs ala LCLS!

Canadian Light Source in the NSLS X-Ray Ring Tunnel

Figure Courtesy of S. Pjerov

- + C ~ 170/171 m
- + E ~ 2.8/2.9 GeV
- + $N_s = 8/12$
- + $\varepsilon = 45/18$ nm-rad
- $\beta_y = 0.35/4.6$ m

2.5x lower emittance & 50% more
ID capacity, sans small gap, is
possible but the retrofit will be
costly & need a 2+ yr shutdown

Notes on Costs

- A ALS Design Book in 1990 M\$ / estimate with 4% escalation for 10 yrs.
- B SLS from L. Rivkin 9/00, with 7.5% tax & no manpower, 4.5 beamlines
- C ANKA from D. Einfeld, 10/00, 9 beamlines, clean room
- D SOLEIL from M.P. Level, 10/00, 1998 cost escalated by 2% to give 2000 cost, 24 beamlines, no VAT
- E CLS Design Book from S. Hulbert 9/00
- F SPEAR 3 from R. Hettel, 9/00, machine w/20% contingency + overhead, beamlines w/burden
- G DIAMOND from M. Poole, 10/00, 2000 cost ($\pm 20\%$), 24 cells, 7/15 beam lines, inc full staff costs, no VAT. Estimate not yet ratified.
- H APS from J. Galayda

Summary

Key Issues: ID capacity & average brightness

3rd generation sources, while challenging, are mostly an extension of existing technology; however they offer the following enhancements over the NSLS,

- o ID capacity & circumference are 2-3x NSLS
- o Horizontal emittance can be reduced by 15
- o Brightness can be increased by 10^2
- o Pulse duration is tens of ps, not tens of fs

Closing Remarks

- o Significant improvements in the quantity & quality of photons are possible with a 3rd generation ring
- o Steady state 100 fs pulses are not possible
- o New ring is preferable to retrofit/shutdown
- o SOLEIL or DIAMOND size offers most gain
- o Cost guesstimate ~ 1/4 - 1/3 gigabuck
- o Is there enough bang for the buck?

“New Storage Ring, Science”

Erik Johnson

Scientific Potential from a Third Generation Storage Ring

Advantages of 'Third Generation' SR vs. existing NSLS

Enhanced Brightness

Enhanced Beamline Optics
Performance

More Available ID's

Enhanced Photon Flux
on Sample

Illustrate with some examples from existing research

Molecular Environmental Science And Synchrotron Radiation Facilities

1995 DOE Airlie Workshop on MES and updates

□ Many Findings and
Recommendations with
respect to Synchrotron
Radiation based
Research for MES

□ Microprobe Tools
have a significant role
at spatial resolution

● 10 μ m

X-ray Microprobe

White light or monochromatic beam

Demagnify source (synchrotron) to probe beam

Scan Sample through beam

White light or monochromatic illumination

Diffraction, Fluorescence, or Transmission Detection

Selected area spectroscopy possible

X-ray Microprobe at X26A

University of Chicago – Consortium for
Advanced Radiation Sources

BNL - Environmental Sciences
Department

University of Georgia -
Savannah River Ecology Laboratory

Spot Size 10 – 200 $\text{\AA}$ typical

Flux 7×10^{10} White beam

7×10^7 Monochromatic (10^{-4})

10 $\text{\AA}$ dia olivine
aggregate

1999 NSLS Activity Report;
26 Contributions
16 Publications for FY 1999

**New Combined Micro-diffraction and Micro-XAFS Studies at
the X26A Beamline, 1999 NSLS Activity Report, A. Lanzirotti, S.
Sutton, J. Parise, M Vaughn**

**Microscale Heterogeneities in Brazilian
Oxisols, J.J. Marques, D.G. Schulze, N. Curi,
X26A 1999 NSLS Activity Report**

13 keV
Monochromatic
beam

12 x 17 μm
spot 10 μm step
size

Detection Limits
~ 1-5 ppm

Thin section x-ray fluorescence microprobe

**X-ray Microprobe Results – Soil 3P
W. Bleam, M. Szulczewski; Wisconsin
May 1998, Prepared by Steve Sutton U. Chicago**

GSECARS, APS Sector 13
<http://cars9.uchicago.edu/gsecars/>

6 keV Monochromatic beam
4 x 4 μm spot 10 μm step size
500x500 μm scan, 2s per step
Detection Limits ~ 100 ppb

Spot Size ~ 25 μm^2 typical
Flux 1×10^{13} Monochromatic (2×10^{-4})

Zone Plate Microscopy

☐ Soft X-rays Water Window

☐ Specimens in air or 'wet'

☐ Limit to probe size

ZP outer zone width

Coherence of source

☐ Beams ~ 30 nm

Solid stabilized water/oil emulsion (X1A ~ 1998)

a.) 3.583 nm/ 348 eV
weak Ca absorption

b.) 3.519 nm/ 352 eV
strong Ca absorption

c.) 4.365 nm/ 284 eV
weak C absorption

d.) 4.275 nm/ 290 eV
strong C absorption

Adjust energy of light
to adjust contrast

Clay + Ca/Al double
hydroxide (LDH) +
Oil + Water

Determine distribution
of components to
understand structure
of heterocoagulates

Humic substances in pine ultisol aggregates in water (BL7.0 ALS ~ 1999)

C-NEXAFS

S. Myneni, LBNL

Comparison of STXM images

Advantages of 'Third Generation' SR vs. existing NSLS

Enhanced Brightness

ALS U5 ~ 10-20 x X1A)

Spatial Resolution comparable (30 to 50 nm)

Can use 'extra' photons in Monochromator

Improve spectral resolution or

Reduce dwell time per pixel

In practice 'advantages' are very problem specific and generally no more that ~x10 in some parameter

Photon Correlation Spectroscopy (Speckle)

Probes low frequency dynamics of a material by analyzing the temporal correlations among photons scattered by material

Requires transverse and longitudinal coherence

Steve Dierker, 1995 NSLS Newsletter

An example from ESRF (ID10A)

Schematic view of the Troika beamline for using coherent x-rays (a), plus small-angle speckle patterns obtained using monochromatic (b) and "pink" (c) beam conditions. The diffraction patterns from the porous glass Vycor are shown in the wavevector range from 0 to 0.04 $\text{\AA}^{-1}$ horizontally and 0 to 0.02 $\text{\AA}^{-1}$ vertically.

Small angle scattering from ferrofluid in zero and applied magnetic field

<http://www.esrf.fr/info/science/highlights/97-98/PF116.htm>

Coherent X-ray Diffraction and Phasons Fluctuations in Quasicrystals (ESRF ID20)

Fig. 56: (a) 2-D image of the speckle pattern taken in the diffuse tails of a Bragg reflection (b) slice through Figure 56a. Intensity fluctuations are much larger than the counting statistics.

Beam coherence (~40%) achieved with 10 μ m pinhole 1.8 m from sample 7×10^8 photons/sec at 7.6 keV (Si 111 monochromator).

Icosahedral AlPdMn phase quasicrystal. Fluctuations imply a phason wavelength about 25 nm.

Au (20 nm) in Glycerol Colloid (~1995 X25)

Figure 3: Autocorrelation functions of colloid scattering intensity as collected with CCD camera for two different wavevectors along with single exponential fits and relaxation times, as indicated. The inset schematically depicts the scattering "halo", the beam stop, and the sub-arrays which were ensemble averaged over. Curve (b) is offset by 0.15 for clarity.

Longitudinal coherence length $\sim 10\text{nm}$.
Flux $\sim 4 \times 10^7$ photons/sec at 8 keV
(W/B₄C Multilayer monochromator).

X-ray Photon Correlation Spectroscopy . . .

Advantages of 'Third Generation' SR vs. existing NSLS

Enhanced Brightness

Coherent Flux enhanced $\sim 10^2$ or 10^3
for comparable longitudinal coherence length

Systems thus far 'special cases'

Extending the generality of this technique requires a more powerful coherent source (ala LCLS) and in fact is one of the elements of the proposed 'First Experiments'

Construction of Linac-Based Sources

“Energy-Recovery Linac Sources”

Ilan Ben-Zvi

Layout for an Energy Recovering Linac for the NSLS

- Limit energy ratio of the beams in any one linac section (Dave Douglas).
- Inject at high energy.
- 10 MeV source has no energy recovery (RF power loss: 2 MW plus cavity loss)
- Beam dump: 2 MW minus SR power. Below neutron generation threshold.
- 90 MeV pre-accelerator – possibly separate function unit for recovery.

Brookhaven
Science Associates

Ilan Ben-Zvi
NSLS Upgrade Workshop
BNL, October 23, 2000

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Integration into the NSLS

- Turn off one magnet – (need new magnet chamber)
- Connecting beam line have minimal interference

Brookhaven
Science Associates

Ilan Ben-Zvi
NSLS Upgrade Workshop
BNL, October 23, 2000

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

A possible layout (for scaling purposes only)

Brookhaven
Science Associates

Ilan Ben-Zvi
NSLS Upgrade Workshop
BNL, October 23, 2000

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Linac Beam Parameters

Parameter	Units	Value
Energy, single pass	GeV	3
Average current	Amperes	0.2
Bunch repetition frequency	GHz	0.433 / 1.3
Bunch charge	nC	0.45 / 0.15
rms emittance: Normalized - Geometrical -	μm Å	1 / 0.5 1.7 / 0.8

Brookhaven
Science Associates

Ilan Ben-Zvi
NSLS Upgrade Workshop
BNL, October 23, 2000

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Emittance – How Small?

- The numbers used are based on ATF results and known scaling.
- Possible improvement by about a factor of 2-3, by optimizing parameters for a lower charge and shaping the laser profile.
- Thermal emittance $\varepsilon_{th} \sim 0.25 R_c$ where ε_{th} is in μm , R_c in mm. This may become a limit below $0.2 \mu\text{m}$.

Brookhaven
Science Associates

Ilan Ben-Zvi
NSLS Upgrade Workshop
BNL, October 23, 2000

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Bunch-length: How short?

This depends on:

- How much energy spread?
 - JLAB FEL measured 0.175 MeV-ps , that is $0.06\% \times 100\text{fs}$ at 3 GeV.
- In which beam lines?
 - With the NSLS normal momentum compaction $\alpha = 5.6 \times 10^{-3}$, at an energy spread of 0.06% the bunch will elongate by 1 ps after half a turn. One may consider reducing α .
 - The temporal 'beam waist' may be placed at any point along the ring.
 - Insertion devices may be placed before the X-ray ring for sub 100 fs operation, with $\sim\text{ps}$ bunch length over most of the ring.
- With what emittance? Theory not ready for ultra short bunches at 10 fs FWHM. Low charge helps.

Brookhaven
Science Associates

Ilan Ben-Zvi
NSLS Upgrade Workshop
BNL, October 23, 2000

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

LCLS at 15 GeV Two Bunch Compressors. Short Bunch Task-Force:

- Nominal: 1 nC, $\epsilon_n \sim 1.5 \mu\text{m}$, 255 fs FWHM
 $\Delta p/p \sim 0.06\%$ FWHM.
- 0.2 nC, 10 fs FWHM $\Delta p/p \sim 0.07\%$ FWHM
(modified settings). Emittance not evaluated.

Kit 11.10 LCLS-30 with nrm, pnd-01
17-APR-2000 13:52:29: (-27.0) 0.0000

Brookhaven
Science Associates
Ilan Ben-Zvi
NSLS Upgrade Workshop
BNL, October 23, 2000

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Extreme flexibility on a fast time scale.

- The basic pulse format is a repetition rate of 0.433 or 1.3 GHz, bunch charge of 0.45 or 0.15 nC (for 200 mA).
- Laser opto-electronic control of charge.
- At a given current, larger bunch charge with a lower rep rate (emittance increases with bunch charge).
- Mixed bunch families:
 - Charge / emittance
 - Bunch length
- Extract secondary beam(s).
- Change emittance ratio ϵ_x/ϵ_y (on a slower time scale).

Brookhaven
Science Associates
Ilan Ben-Zvi
NSLS Upgrade Workshop
BNL, October 23, 2000

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

The Superconducting Electron Linac

- Extremely well known (JLAB, DESY, industry). Assume TESLA cavities:
- $R/Q=1036\Omega$, $L=1.038m$
- Take conservatively $Q_0=1.5 \times 10^{10}$ at 2K, 20MV/m, 150 cavities
- Refrigeration power 26 W/structure
- 4kW refrigeration (~2.5 MW plug power)
CEBAF is 5 kW (16M\$), upgrade to 10 kW
- HOM power: (Merminga et. al., LINAC2000) At eRHIC, due to the 20 ps pulse length, this is not a problem.

Brookhaven
Science Associates

Ilan Ben-Zvi
NSLS Upgrade Workshop
BNL, October 23, 2000

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

TESLA Accelerator Structure

- Highly optimized, well characterized
- A number of manufacturers
- Improvements still being made

Brookhaven
Science Associates

Ilan Ben-Zvi
NSLS Upgrade Workshop
BNL, October 23, 2000

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Performance of TESLA Cavities

- Residual resistance $3 \text{ n}\Omega$, equiv. to a Q of 10^{11} .
- Optimization was towards high gradient, not Q. Expect improvement in Q, (Kneisel – furnace baking doubles Q_{ACS})
- Q vs. E: Best cavity, 4 manufacturers, at 2K. Note high Q at 20 MV/m:

Fig. 11. (a) Plot of the quality factor Q versus the electric field E for the best cavity. (b) Plot of the number of cavities versus the electric field E for the best cavity.

Brookhaven Science Associates Ian Ben-Zvi NSLS Upgrade Workshop BNL, October 23, 2000 **BROOKHAVEN** NATIONAL LABORATORY

The Electron Source: Photocathode Material

- K_2CsSb well developed at LANL, Boeing and other places. QE $\sim 5\%$ at green light, lifetime well over 10 hours at vacuum of 8×10^{-10} .
- Cesium telluride (Cs_2Te) cathodes are more robust. QE $\sim 5\%$ at UV. Survive weeks in vacuum of 10^{-9} .
- $P(\text{laser at IR}) = I \cdot h\nu / (\text{Conv} \cdot \text{QE})$
 - For green: $h\nu = 2.5 \text{ eV}$, $\text{Conv} \sim 0.3$
 $P \sim 30 \text{ W}$, current technology.
 - For UV: $h\nu = 5 \text{ eV}$, $\text{Conv} \sim 0.06$
 $P \sim 300 \text{ W}$, near future / multiple lasers.

Brookhaven Science Associates Ian Ben-Zvi NSLS Upgrade Workshop BNL, October 23, 2000 **BROOKHAVEN** NATIONAL LABORATORY

The Electron Source. One Possibility: The Boeing Gun

- Operated 433 MHz photoinjector
 - Tested at 25% duty factor (klystron limited). CW possible.
 - Typical 135 mA average current during macropulse, using 13 W Nd:YLF laser.
 - 5 MeV injector (2 MeV out of gun, 3 MeV in two additional cells), 4 MW total power at peak.
 - 26 MV/m on cathode
 - K_2CsSb cathode QE 5% to 12%
 - 10 hour lifetime at 10^{-9} torr (RF on)
 - 4 μm emittance at 1 nC (severe coil misalignment, Gaussian laser)

Brookhaven
Science Associates

Ilan Ben-Zvi
NSLS Upgrade Workshop
BNL, October 23, 2000

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

The Boeing 433 MHz RF Photocathode Gun

Brookhaven
Science Associates

Ilan Ben-Zvi
NSLS Upgrade Workshop
BNL, October 23, 2000

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

The Boeing 433 MHz, CW Accelerator Sections

Copper Plated Aluminum Accelerator Sections

RF Feed for Gun

Brookhaven
Science Associates

Ilan Ben-Zvi
NSLS Upgrade Workshop
BNL, October 23, 2000

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

A Possible Photocathode Laser

Recent Development at IMRA America, Inc.

- Seed laser: 300 mW, 1055 nm, 2-ps, 50 MHz.
- Power amplifier: 4.3-m of Yb-doped fiber.
- Pump: Two fiber-bundle-coupled laser-diode sources at 976 nm. Slope efficiency 50%.
- Maximum amplified power 13 W (diffraction-limited) pulse width 5 ps (pulse energy 0.26 μ J), Expect >100W in the future.
- Ultra-stable, compact, rapidly-evolving.

13W development (larger fiber)

Off the shelf 1W unit

FIGURE 2

Brookhaven
Science Associates

Ilan Ben-Zvi
NSLS Upgrade Workshop
BNL, October 23, 2000

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Beam Breakup in the Combined Function Linac

- Multipass Multibunch Instability (100 mA) (Lia Merminga).
- With B-factory style feedback expect large improvement (Geoff Krafft, John Fox).

Brookhaven
Science Associates

Ilan Ben-Zvi
NSLS Upgrade Workshop
BNL, October 23, 2000

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Beam Stability

- Position
 - Expected beam size 10 μm .
 - Linear collider – stability better than linac beam size in a low rep rate pulsed machine.
 - Control improves with bunch repetition rate. Great advantage to GHz class bunch rate.
 - Ultimate limit ground motion. 10-100 nm ground stability established in accelerator sites.
- Current
 - Diode pumped lasers <1% stability
- Energy
 - CEBAF energy stability 2.5×10^{-5}

Brookhaven
Science Associates

Ilan Ben-Zvi
NSLS Upgrade Workshop
BNL, October 23, 2000

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Accelerator Physics - What Next?

■ Challenges: Establish new technology at BNL, increase current by factor of ~40.

• Theory:

- Lattice design
- Low emittance conditions in gun
- Compression, Emittance growth
- Instabilities
- Feed-back

• Experiment:

- RF gun and laser:
 - Current, emittance, amplitude stability
- Superconducting linac section:
 - Feed-back, BBU, stability, energy recovery

• Design X-ray insertions and beam lines

- Engage NSLS users
- Establish desired parameters

■ CDR

Brookhaven
Science Associates

Ilan Ben-Zvi
NSLS Upgrade Workshop
BNL, October 23, 2000

BROOKHAVEN
NATIONAL LABORATORY

“Free-Electron Lasers”

Li-Hua Yu

High Gain Harmonic Generation Free-Electron Lasers

Li Hua Yu

NSLS Upgrade Workshop, Oct. 2000

Outline

- Different approaches to x-ray FEL
- HGHG approach to achieve full coherence
- Recent proof of principle experiment of HGHG
- How to achieve hard x-ray? Cascading HGHG

FEL CONFIGURATIONS

HIGH GAIN HARMONIC GENERATION

- Analogous to compression in short pulse generation
- Use harmonic to achieve shorter wavelength
- Characteristics of the input seed is impressed on e-beam
- Harmonic is amplified exponentially

The HGHG Experiment

Modulator Section

$B_w=0.16T$ $\lambda_w=8cm$ $L=0.76 m$

Dispersion Section

$L=0.3 m$

Radiator Section

$B_w=0.47T$ $\lambda_w=3.3cm$ $L=2 m$

Electron Beam Input Parameters: $E=40 MeV$

$\epsilon_n=4\pi mm\text{-mrad}$ $d\gamma/\gamma=0.043\%$ $I=110A$ $\tau_e=4 ps$

Comparison of SASE and HGHG theory

Brookhaven National Laboratory

Operated by Brookhaven Science Associates for the U.S. Department of Energy

Autocorrelator Measurement

Measured Electron beam FWHM pulse length is 6 ps
 The optical Pulse length of HGHG=FWHM/1.5=5.6 ps
 Measured HGHG Energy=100 μJ
 Power~18 MW

Pulse Coherence Length Measurement using Michelson Interferometer

Optical coherence length of HGHG=1.6 mm

Camera Output

Weak
Overlap

Good
Overlap

Advantages of HGHG

- Longitudinally fully coherent;
- Order-of-magnitude narrower bandwidth;
- Larger ratio of output/spontaneous radiation
- Central wavelength is stable;
- Pulse length is controllable;
- Output fluctuation can be reduced.

DUVFEL

$P_{in}=90\text{MW}$

$P_{out}=130\text{MW}$

$3000(\text{\AA})$

$1000(\text{\AA})$

Two Stages with a Shifter

Fresh Bunch Technique

- 1. Same Bunch

Before Shifter

After Shifter

- 2. Different Bunches

2 GeV
bunch

14 GeV
bunch

HGHG-based Soft X-ray Device

$P_{\text{noise}}=60(\text{W})$

$P_{\text{in}}=180\text{MW}$

$2,250(\text{\AA})$

15GW

450($\text{\AA}$)

1.7GW

90($\text{\AA}$)

600MW

30($\text{\AA}$)

$P_{\text{out}}=5\text{GW}$

30($\text{\AA}$)

	1 st	Stage	2 nd	Stage	3 rd	Stage	Amplifier
$\lambda(\text{\AA})$	2250	450	450	90	90	30	30
$\lambda_w(\text{cm})$	6.9	4.6	4.6	3.2	3.2	2.4	2.4
$d\psi/d\gamma$		0.49		0.11		0.04	
σ_y/γ		5×10^{-4}		5×10^{-4}		5×10^{-4}	5×10^{-4}
$L_w(\text{m})$	2.7	6	0.7	4	1.3	5	6
$L_G(\text{m})$	0.6	0.6	0.6	0.7	0.7	1.0	1.0

$L_{\text{total}}=26\text{m to reach } 5 \text{ GW}$

HGHG Cascade Scheme for x-ray

$\lambda(\text{\AA})$	2250	450	450	90	90	18	18	4.5	4.5	1.5	1.5
$\lambda_w(\text{cm})$	6.82	4.55	4.55	3.11	8.27	5.44	5.44	3.88	3.88	3	3
$d\psi/d\gamma$	0.6		0.25		0.1		0.0		0.0		
$L_w(\text{m})$	1.7	4.8	0.4	2.7	6	12.7	6	10.85	7	17	29
$L_G(\text{m})$	0.53	0.50	0.50	0.56	2.33	2.64	2.64	3.55	3.55	6.28	6.28

$L_{total}=98\text{m}$ $L_{SASE}=100\text{m}$ to reach 12 GW

HGHG Cascade Scheme for x-ray

$\lambda(\text{\AA})$	2250	450	450	90	90	18	18	4.5	4.5	1.5	1.5
$L_w(\text{m})$	1.7	4.8	0.4	2.7	6	12.7	6	10.85	7	17	29
$L_G(\text{m})$	0.53	0.50	0.50	0.56	2.33	2.64	2.64	3.55	3.55	6.28	6.28

$L_{total}=98\text{m}$ $L_{SASE}=100\text{m}$ to reach 12 GW

Output vs. Input Power of the 2nd Stage

- When input (450Å) varies by factor of 3, the output (90Å) only fluctuates by 30%
- Cascading scheme improves the stability

Output pulse shape, pulse length

Solid line:
Output pulse at 1.5 (Å),

Dashed line:
Input pulse at 2,250 (Å).

Parameters for FELs of Several Wavelengths

	Wavelength	Output Power	Pulse Length	Energy of e-beam	Wiggler Length	Band width
DUV	1000Å	70MW	1ps	290MeV	11 m	3×10^{-4}
Soft x-ray	30Å	5GW	10-40fs	2GeV	26 m	3×10^{-4}
Hard x-ray	1.5Å	15GW	10-40fs	10-14GeV	90-100m	2×10^{-5}

Conclusion

- HGHG provides a route to temporally coherent output
- We performed an experimental demonstration of the HGHG concept
- Experiment and theory show good agreement
- Cascading scheme are viable to fully coherent x-ray pulses

“Science”

Jerry Hastings

Outline

Introduction

X-Ray FEL Science

Warm Dense Matter

Structure of Biomolecules

Nanoscale Dynamics

Femtochemistry

What can an energy recovery
linac source do ?

Summary

Design parameters of the LCLS

Wavelength range	15 Å	1.5 Å
Peak sat. power	11 GW	9 GW
Coherent photons/pulse	2.2×10^{13}	2.2×10^{12}
Energy bandwidth	0.42 %	0.21 %
Pulse width	230 fs	230 fs

Slides from LCLS Science case:

Warm Dense Matter

R. Lee

Structure of Biomolecules

J. Hajdu

Nanoscale Dynamics

B. Stephenson

Femtochemistry

D. Imre

The Importance of these States of Matter Derives from their Wide Occurrence

- Hot Dense Matter (HDM) occurs in:

- Supernova, stellar interiors, accretion disks
- Plasma devices: laser produced plasmas, Z-pinch
- Directly driven inertial-fusion plasma

- Warm Dense Matter (WDM) occurs in:

- Cores of large planets
- Systems that start solid and end as a plasma
- X-ray driven inertial fusion implosion

Highlight of Three Experimental Areas in the High-Density Finite-temperature Regime

- Creating WDM

- Generate ≤ 10 eV solid density matter
- Measure the fundamental nature of the matter via equation of state

- Probing resonances in HDM

- Measure kinetics process, redistribution rates, kinetic models

- Probing dense matter

- Perform, e.g., scattering from solid density matter
- Measure n_e , T_e , $\langle Z \rangle$, $f(v)$, and damping rates

Structural biology: The damage problem

BIOLOGICAL SAMPLES ARE HIGHLY RADIATION SENSITIVE

CONVENTIONAL METHODS CANNOT ACHIEVE ATOMIC RESOLUTION on NON-REPETITIVE (or non-reproducible) STRUCTURES

The LIMIT to DAMAGE TOLERANCE is about 200 X-ray photons/ $\text{\AA}^2$ in crystals (conventional experiments)

THE CONVENTIONAL DAMAGE BARRIER CAN BE STRETCHED by VERY FAST IMAGING

(Neutze, R., Wouts, R., van der Spoel, D., Weckert, E. Hajdu, J. (2000) *Nature* 406, 752-757)

Scattering by a single molecule and by a crystal

single molecule

crystal

Landscape of damage tolerance

Ionisation and subsequent sample explosion cause diffraction intensities to change

Agreement factor:

$$R = \frac{|\sqrt{I(t)} - \sqrt{I_0}|}{\sqrt{I_0}}$$

Crystallographic R-factor for proteins in the PDB

Sample size and scattering

- LYSOZYME 19,806

- RUBISCO 562,000

- HRV ~3,000,000

Calculated limits of resolution with

$$R_{\text{electronic}} = 15 \%$$

Pulse duration (FWHM)	10 fs	50 fs	100 fs	230 fs
Photons/pulse (100 nm spot) (R = 15%)	5x10 ¹²	8x10 ¹¹	3x10 ¹¹	5x10 ¹⁰
Single lysozyme molecule MW: 19,806	26 Å	30 Å	>30 Å	>30 Å
3x3x3 cluster of lysozymes Total MW: 535,000	<20 Å	3.0 Å	6.5 Å	12 Å
Single RUBISCO molecule MW: 562,000	2.6 Å	4.0 Å	20 Å	30 Å
Single viral capsid (TBSV) MW: ~3,000,000	<20 Å	<20 Å	<20 Å	2.4 Å

Single virus particles look very promising

Scattering Techniques for Equilibrium Dynamics

Existing techniques

Probe thermal fluctuations:

Excite and probe fluctuations:

Title: LCLSdierkerd.eps
Creator: MATLAB, The Mathworks, Inc.
Preview: This EPS picture was not saved with a preview (TIFF or PICT)
Comment: This EPS picture will print to a postscript printer but not to o

Scattering Techniques for Equilibrium Dynamics

XPCS and XTGS

Probe thermal fluctuations:

Excite and probe fluctuations:

Title: LCLSdierkerd.eps
Creator: MATLAB, The Mathworks, Inc.
Preview: This EPS picture was not saved with a preview (TIFF or PICT)
Comment: This EPS picture will print to a postscript printer but not to o

Advantages of Scattering Techniques

To understand dynamics, need *in-situ* techniques which resolve both *length* and *time*

Determining nature of rate-limiting step from wavenumber (Q) dependence of rate:

Rate $\propto Q^2$:
e.g. composition change
by diffusion
(conserved quantity)

Rate indep. of Q :
e.g. deformation
by viscous flow
(non-conserved quantity)

Experiment 1: X-ray Photon Correlation Spectroscopy (XPCS)

In milliseconds - seconds range:
Uses high average brilliance

transversely coherent
X-ray beam from LCLS

sample

"movie" of speckle
recorded by CCD
 $I(Q, t)$

Experiment 2: XPCS Using Split Pulse

In **picoseconds - nanoseconds** range:
Uses high *peak* brilliance

Experiment 3: X-Ray Transient Grating Spectroscopy

In **picoseconds - nanoseconds** range:
Uses high *peak* intensity

Example: Test of Reptation Model

Dynam
Long-C

Neutron
has been
observe
motions

"entanglement time"

dent of Q

CS at LCLS
uld allow test of
tation model

Chemistry is about Motion

Chemical transformations are about dynamics, i.e. rapid changes in bond lengths and bond angles.

What is needed is a tool that will make possible a simple connection between the static picture and its time evolution.

Chemistry is about Motion

The ultimate goal of any molecular dynamics study is to produce a motion picture of the nuclear motions as a function of time.

Spectroscopy of the Transition State

Capturing molecules *in the process* of reacting has been a long-time dream

Femtosecond lasers are fast enough

BUT

Their greater than 200-nm wavelength does not allow for any spatial information

Temporal and Spatial Scales

Time in femtoseconds, distance in Å

UED Experimental Set-up

Ultrafast Electron Diffraction

H. Zewail

Fig. 1. A schematic of the sampling and assay protocol for rhinovirus-cachectin interaction (A, B).

UED $\text{CH}_2\text{I}-\text{CH}_2\text{I}$ Photodissociation

UED will never break the psec time limit because of the fundamental relationship between the number of electrons in the bunch and pulse length.

The *LCLS* is the only tool with the required temporal and spatial resolution

Gas phase photodissociation reactions

Photodissociation of an isolated diatomic molecule is the simplest of chemical reactions.

$t=0$ is easily defined

The initial wave-function is well defined

The wave-function remains localized throughout the reaction

Nuclear and Electronic Coupling is Universal Phenomenon

The coupling between nuclear and electronic motion is a universal phenomenon that dominates almost all photochemistry.

It is essential that we develop an intuitive picture of this behavior

Comparison between UED and LCLS

Comparison between Ultrafast Electron Diffraction (UED) and the LCLS

	Δt^1	Flux	Cross section ²	Rate Hz	Signal ³
UED	10ps	7000	10^7	1000	$7 \cdot 10^{13}$
LCLS	200fs	$2 \cdot 10^{12}$	1	100	$2 \cdot 10^{14}$

¹ time resolution; ² relative crosssection; ³ relative signals

The predicted signals are comparable but the LCLS time resolution is at least 50 times better.

Experiment 2. Condensed phase photochemistry

The solvent cage changes the dynamics and provides a means to study recombination reactions.

Third Generation Sources Have a Limited Time Resolution

I_2 in dichloromethane (Neutze *et al.*)

①→② 300fsec

②→③ 100psec

③→④ 200psec

④→① 200psec

Diffuse X-ray scattering with
80 psec time
resolution from
European Synchrotron
Radiation Facility

An Example from ESRF

I_2 in dichloromethane (Neutze et al.)

6 GeV Single Pass Energy Recovery Linac

	Pulse length	Photons/pulse
ALS pulse slice	300 fs	10
3 GeV ERL	10 fs	$\text{few} \times 10^6$
6 GeV ERL	10 fs	$\text{few} \times 10^7$
LCLS	230 fs	2×10^{12}

Brightness comparison: NSLS today (0.32A) vs. new linac injector (0.2A)

LCLS Will Create Excitation Levels That Are Observable in Emission

• Schematic experiment

• $t = 0$ laser irradiates Al dot

• Simulations

• $t = 100$ ps LCLS irradiates plasma

Experiment 2: XPCS Using Split Pulse

In picoseconds - nanoseconds range:
Uses high peak brilliance

	Energy Recover Linac	LCLS XFEL	HGHG XFEL
Warm Dense Matter	probe only	yes	yes
Biomolecules	no	start	yes
Nanoscale Dynamics	start	yes	yes
Femtochemistry	start	start	yes

Summary

Maintain the NSLS as an intellectual center.

Start science based access.

Develop a detailed plan for a new source based on an energy recovery linac.

6 GeV Single Pass Energy Recovery Linac

2.8 GeV Single Pass Energy Recovery Linac

7 GeV Multi-Pass Energy Recovery Linac