Use of Uniformly Distributed Concentrated Sunlight for Highly Accelerated Testing of Coatings

Gary Jorgensen, Carl Bingham, David King,
Al Lewandowski, Judy Netter, Kent Terwilliger
National Renewable Energy Laboratory

Karlis Adamsons
DuPont Performance Coatings

*Presented at the ACS Conference—Service Life Prediction of
Organic Coatings: Methodologies and Metrologies
Monterey, California
November 1999*

NREL

National Renewable Energy Laboratory

1617 Cole Boulevard
Golden, Colorado 80401-3393

NREL is a U.S. Department of Energy Laboratory
Operated by Midwest Research Institute • Battelle • Bechtel

Contract No. DE-AC36-99-GO10337

NOTICE

The submitted manuscript has been offered by an employee of the Midwest Research Institute (MRI), a contractor of the US Government under Contract No. DE-AC36-99GO10337. Accordingly, the US Government and MRI retain a nonexclusive royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or allow others to do so, for US Government purposes.

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States government. Neither the United States government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States government or any agency thereof.

Available electronically at <http://www.doe.gov/bridge>

Available for a processing fee to U.S. Department of Energy
and its contractors, in paper, from:

U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Scientific and Technical Information
P.O. Box 62
Oak Ridge, TN 37831-0062
phone: 865.576.8401
fax: 865.576.5728
email: reports@adonis.osti.gov

Available for sale to the public, in paper, from:

U.S. Department of Commerce
National Technical Information Service
5285 Port Royal Road
Springfield, VA 22161
phone: 800.553.6847
fax: 703.605.6900
email: orders@ntis.fedworld.gov
online ordering: <http://www.ntis.gov/ordering.htm>

Printed on paper containing at least 50% wastepaper, including 20% postconsumer waste

Use of Uniformly Distributed Concentrated Sunlight for Highly Accelerated Testing of Coatings

**Gary Jorgensen¹, Carl Bingham¹, David King¹, Al Lewandowski¹,
Judy Netter¹, Kent Terwilliger¹, and Karlis Adamsons²**

¹National Renewable Energy Laboratory, Golden, CO 80401

²DuPont Performance Coatings, Philadelphia, PA 19146

NREL has developed a new ultraviolet (UV) light concentrator that allows material samples to be subjected to uniform intensity levels of 50-100X solar UV at closely controlled sample exposure temperatures. In collaboration with industry, representative coating systems have been exposed without introducing unrealistic degradation mechanisms. Furthermore, correlations have been derived between these highly accelerated test conditions and results obtained at 1-2 suns. Such information is used to predict the degradation of materials in real-world applications. These predictions are compared with measured in-service performance losses to validate the approach. This allows valuable information to be obtained in greatly reduced timeframes, which can provide tremendous competitive advantage in the commercial marketplace.

Introduction

As the performance and durability of new materials improve, the needs of the coatings industry for facilities that provide realistic expectations for in-use exposure testing of their products become increasingly stringent. An urgency exists to greatly extend the service lifetime requirements of decorative and protective coatings for the automotive industry. These businesses simply cannot afford to wait for prolonged periods of time to directly measure product lifetimes or to risk, without substantiating data, providing the warranties demanded by consumers. For example, there is tremendous competitive pressure to increase the present 5-year warranties associated with 19-month design-to-production cycle times to 10-year warranties based on a 16-month cycle time. To expedite commercialization, life projections must be made in abbreviated time frames;

this necessitates using accelerated exposure test (AET) results to allow transformation from life at accelerated stress to life at in-service stress conditions. One of the harshest outdoor stresses these products must withstand is exposure to ultraviolet (UV) radiation contained in terrestrial sunlight.

The National Renewable Energy Laboratory (NREL) has previously demonstrated the ability to expose organic materials (back-metallized polymeric films) to very high levels of solar UV (*I*). Recently, NREL has developed a new UV concentrator system that is less complicated and more amenable to future commercialization. Using this device, material samples can be exposed to uniform intensity levels of 50-100 times (*X*) the terrestrial solar UV contained between 290-385 nm. To demonstrate the viability of this process, DuPont provided the characterization of appropriate response variables and a series of representative coating samples for AET at NREL's UV concentrator facility. Relevant environmental stresses were identified and incorporated into models that relate multivariate damage functions to the physical and/or chemical nature of the dominant failure mechanisms experienced by the samples. These models allowed correlations to be made between the service lifetime (SL) at accelerated stress and the SL at in-service stress conditions. AET that simulates reality (i.e., that does not introduce failure mechanisms that are not encountered in actual service) was then used to predict SL.

Experimental

UV Concentrator

NREL's UV concentrator is shown schematically in Figure 1. It consists of an array of faceted mirrors that tracks the sun in two axes and redirects sunlight back to a sample exposure chamber attached by three structural support tubes. The concentrator is designed to provide up to 100X concentration having uniform flux at high UV intensity and low visible (VIS) and near-infrared (NIR) intensity. This is achieved by coating the facets with a custom-designed 37-layer film that uses alternating high and low refractive index materials that results in high UV reflectance and low VIS/NIR reflectance.

The spectral irradiance at the sample exposure plane is presented in Figure 2. The solid line is the concentrated solar intensity as measured by an Ocean Optics SpectraScope fiberoptic spectral radiometer. The dashed curve is an American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) direct normal terrestrial air-mass 1.5 solar spectrum (2) multiplied by a factor of 100. Excellent agreement between the measured spectral irradiance and 100 times the ASTM standard is evident throughout the UV bandwidth (300-400 nm). A steep cutoff at about 475 nm greatly facilitates thermal control of samples during exposure. The area between the two curves in the visible and NIR portions of the spectrum represents avoided thermal loading.

The concentrator uses a close-packed array of 18 hexagonal facets, with their centers located on a near-parabolic surface. Each of the facets has a spherical curvature with a focal length of 150 cm and an outer diameter (across the vertexes) of 28 cm. The diameter of the effective aperture of the faceted dish is roughly 1.3 m. Individual facets are aimed at a single point in the target plane that is located at a fraction of the nominal focal length. This aiming strategy results in partially concentrated images of the facets and allows a wide range of nearly uniform concentration levels to be achieved by varying the number of facets and target distance. At 100X concentration the target image is a hexagon with an area of about 87 cm². This can conveniently accommodate sixteen samples that are each 2-cm x 2-cm in size. The various dimensions of the concentrator system can be scaled to allow increased sample exposure areas.

The flux profile was measured using NREL's BEAMCODE characterization system (a product of Coherent Inc.). A solid state array video camera is focused on a near-Lambertian target plate placed at the sample exposure plane. Grey scale images are captured to a computer frame grab board that interfaces with the BEAMCODE software package for flux distribution analysis. Results are shown in Figure 3. The shadows cast by the support tubing on the facets are clearly seen, but the impact on the uniformity is only 4% in the center and less than 2% in the shadows. These tubes also provide inlet and outlet cooling water lines and a vacuum line to the sample chamber.

Sample Exposure Chamber

The sample chamber was constructed from a 2.5-cm thick block of copper because of its excellent thermal conductivity properties. Details of the chamber are shown schematically in Figure 4. Six 0.64-cm diameter serpentine channels were machined near the top surface of the copper block to allow circulation of cooling water, thereby providing conductive cooling to samples being exposed. A grid of vacuum holes was used to hold the samples in good thermal contact with the copper substrate and to keep the samples in place during exposure while tracking. To accomplish this, 0.64-cm diameter vacuum lines were machined orthogonal to the water cooling channels in the lower half (near the bottom surface) of the copper block, along with a 0.95-cm diameter vacuum manifold channel. A grid of 0.16-cm diameter holes was drilled from the top surface to intersect the vacuum lines to deliver a vacuum pull on the backside of samples to be exposed. The copper block was also machined to accommodate fiber probes to allow real-time monitoring of spectral irradiance experienced by samples being exposed.

The ability of this sample chamber arrangement to control sample temperature during UV exposure at 100X was demonstrated. While exposed to full power "on-sun" conditions (100X), the capacity of chilling water delivered to the chamber was capable of maintaining the surface of the copper block at 12°C. Test samples had the construction: bilayer paint coating / metal substrate, where the bilayer coating was a clearcoat over either a black or white pigmented paint basecoat and the metal

substrate was 0.1-cm thick steel. The surface temperature of exposed samples was measured using an infrared (IR) camera (Mikron Instrument Company, Inc., Thermo Tracer TH1100) and a hand held surface thermocouple probe (Omega HPS-HT-K-12-SMP-M). The surface probe was used to calibrate the emissivity setting of the IR camera. A value of 0.92 gave good agreement between the two temperature measurements for both black and white painted samples. At 100X exposure with maximum cooling, surface temperatures ranged between 18°C and 26°C for white and black samples, respectively. With the chilling water turned off, sample temperatures reached 38°C (white) to 52°C (black). These maximum temperatures were within the targets/set-points specified by DuPont.

Measurement of UV Exposure

During exposure testing of candidate coating samples, UV irradiance was continually monitored by two pyranometers: an EKO MS-210W UV-B pyranometer (sensitive to light between 285-315 nm) and an Eppley TUVB pyranometer (sensitive to light between 290-385 nm). These instruments were integrally mounted to the UV concentrator so that they tracked the sun during material exposures. They were equipped with occulting tubes to allow only the direct portion of the solar spectrum (i.e., that part of the solar spectrum imaged by the UV concentrator) to be measured. Both pyranometers were periodically calibrated by using an Optronic OL-754 spectral radiometer that measured only the direct solar irradiance. These calibrations indicated agreement with the pyranometers to within 10%. From the one-sun direct irradiance data and knowledge of the concentrating properties of the UV concentrator, all the information needed to fully characterize the UV exposure of tested samples was provided.

Sample Exposures

Four sets of samples were provided for accelerated light exposure testing at NREL's UV concentrator facility. DuPont had previously accumulated considerable testing acumen with these sample types exposed in an Atlas Ci-65 WeatherOmeter® (WOM). This chamber uses an artificial xenon arc light source with borosilicate inner and outer filters. The typical spectral irradiance experienced by the samples is shown in Figure 5; a very close match (i.e., 1X) to an air-mass 1.5 terrestrial solar spectrum is evident throughout the UV region. During Ci-65 exposure, samples were subjected to conditions specified in Society of Automotive Engineers Standard J-1960 (3). A 3-hour cycle was used during which the light was on for 2 hours (40 minutes of light; 20 minutes of light with front specimen water spray; and 60 minutes of light) with a sample temperature of 70°C, and the light was off for one hour with a sample temperature of 38°C.

The sample sets consisted of 16 types of bilayer paint systems (clear coat/colored basecoat) on steel substrates. Samples were 2-cm x 2-cm in size and 0.1-cm thick. The

acrylic polyol clearcoats were fortified with various levels of UV absorbers (UVA) and hindered amine light stabilizers (HALS) as indicated in Table I.

Table I. Coating Samples Tested

DuPont Coating System	Coating Description	UVA % (100%= Standard)	HALS % (100% = Standard)
1.1	Acrylic Polyol / Melamine Crosslinked	100	100
1.2	Acrylic Polyol / Melamine Crosslinked	100	75
1.3	Acrylic Polyol / Melamine Crosslinked	100	50
1.4	Acrylic Polyol / Melamine Crosslinked	100	25
5.4	Acrylic Polyol / Melamine Crosslinked	100	0
5.3	Acrylic Polyol / Melamine Crosslinked	80	0
5.2	Acrylic Polyol / Melamine Crosslinked	65	0
5.1	Acrylic Polyol / Melamine Crosslinked	16	0
7.3	Acrylic Polyol / Melamine & Silane Crosslinked	100	100
7.1	Acrylic Polyol / Melamine & Silane Crosslinked	100	0
7.2	Acrylic Polyol / Melamine & Silane Crosslinked	0	100
7.4	Acrylic Polyol / Melamine & Silane Crosslinked	0	0
Comm-OEM ^a	Acrylic Polyol / Melamine Crosslinked	N/A	N/A
Exp #1 ^b	Acrylic Polyol / Modified Isocyanate Crosslinked	N/A	N/A
Comm-Ref ^c	Acrylic Polyol / Isocyanate Crosslinked	100	100
Exp #2 ^b	Acrylic Polyol / Isocyanate Crosslinked	N/A	N/A

^aCommercial OEM product

^bExperimental product

^cCommercial refinish product

At NREL, two experiments were performed, one each at 50 suns and 100 suns UV exposure. A complete set of sixteen samples was exposed each time. Both of these experiments were continued until a cumulative UV-B dose equivalent to 2-3 years outdoor exposure in Miami, FL, was reached. During UV concentrator exposure, humidity was not controlled (ambient levels were ~30%) and sample temperatures were targeted to be between 40-50°C; the actual exposure temperatures are given in Table II, along with other details from the accelerated sunlight and Ci-65 tests. To simulate moisture conditions experienced during Ci-65 exposures, samples were exposed in a Q-Panel Lab Products QUV chamber (4) whenever they were not on-sun. The cycle profile was 4 hours with the lights on but blocked (i.e., the samples saw no

additional light) at 40°C and 87% relative humidity, and 4 hours with the lights off at 40°C and 97% relative humidity (to allow water to condense on the surface of the samples). At the end of each experiment, NREL and DuPont performed various analytical characterizations. These results allowed us to demonstrate a correlation between our 50X and 100X exposures and the 1X exposures in the Ci-65 chamber.

Table II. Sample Exposure Conditions

<i>Exposure Chamber</i>	<i>Sunlight Intensity</i>	<i>Cumulative UV-B (MJ/m²)</i>	<i>Cumulative Total UV (MJ/m²)</i>	<i>Temperature (°C)</i>
Ci-65	1X	16.80	372.4	70±2
UV Concentrator	50X	11.25	306.0	42±5
UV Concentrator	100X	12.77	396.5	52±5

Analysis

To obtain correlations between in-use and accelerated exposure results, a suitable material-specific damage function model must be found that accurately relates changes in an appropriate response variable to relevant applied environmental stresses. The response variable can be either microscopic (e.g., changes in chemical structure) or macroscopic (e.g., loss of gloss), but ideally should be easily measured and directly related to an important property of the material being tested. For the types of coatings being investigated, a number of useful response variables have been suggested (5).

Coatings are known to be susceptible to degradation caused by cumulative light dosage (D) exposure (6):

$$D(t) \sim \int_0^t L(t) dt \quad (1)$$

where $L(t)$ is the time-dependent incident spectral irradiance, $I(\lambda, t)$, convoluted with the absorption spectra of the material being exposed, $\alpha(\lambda, t)$, and the quantum efficiency of the absorbed photons to propagate reactions that are harmful to the coating, $\phi(\lambda, t)$, integrated over an appropriate bandwidth (defined by $\lambda_{\min}$ and $\lambda_{\max}$) throughout which light-induced damage occurs:

$$L(t) = \int_{\lambda_{\min}}^{\lambda_{\max}} I(\lambda, t) \alpha(\lambda, t) \phi(\lambda, t) d\lambda \quad (2)$$

In previous work (1,7) with organic materials (back-metallized polymeric films), we have obtained useful results by approximating the absorption spectra and quantum efficiency as constants in eq 2 and defining:

$$I_{UV}(t) = \int_{\lambda_{min}}^{\lambda_{max}} I(\lambda, t) d\lambda \quad (3)$$

with $\lambda_{min} = 285$ nm and $\lambda_{max} = 315$ nm (for UV-B) or $\lambda_{min} = 290$ nm and $\lambda_{max} = 385$ nm (for total UV). For constant (controlled) irradiance, this leads to an approximate generalized cumulative dosage model in which the loss in performance, ΔP , (change in response variable) with time is proportional to a power law expression of the ultraviolet irradiance I_{UV} (8,9):

$$\frac{\Delta P}{\Delta t} \sim (I_{UV})^n \quad (4)$$

To account for thermal effects, an Arrhenius term can be included and the change in performance after the i^{th} time interval is:

$$\Delta P_i = A (I_{UV})^n \Delta t_i e^{-E/kT} \quad (5)$$

where T is the temperature (K) experienced by samples during exposure, k is Boltzmann's constant, and E is an activation energy. For constant accelerated stresses, I_{UV} and T are known; this allows eq 5 to be fit to measured values of ΔP_i and subsequent determination of the coefficients A , E , and n . For variable real-world stresses, the time dependent form eq 5 must be used:

$$\Delta P(t) = A \int_0^t [I_{UV}(t)]^n e^{-E/kT(t)} dt \quad (6)$$

Having determined the relevant coefficients from AET's performed at constant stresses, eq 6 can be used to compute a predicted loss in performance after some time t where the relevant stresses are monitored; these predicted values can then be compared with actual measured values.

Results

The various coating systems were characterized by transmission mode FTIR analysis as a function of cumulative UV dose exposure. A skiving technique was used in sampling the top ~3-4 microns of a given specimen. This technique is not readily suitable to obtaining thinner cross sections; attempts often result in shredding. Low temperature sectioning procedures introduce additional complications in terms of sample handling. The skiving approach was used to plane a thin layer of material from the surface of each clearcoat. In previous work, this has proven to be quite acceptable to allow coating degradation mechanisms and kinetics to be studied. Samples were characterized in transmission mode using a Nicolet Magna IR Model 760 spectrophotometer equipped with a Nicolet Nic-Plan IR microscope. Typical results are shown in Figure 6. Spectra were normalized to the same absorbance scale. Although changes in the various bands of interest are small, they can be easily discerned using Nicolet OMNIC software. The key to this process is to have accurate baseline correction measurements prior to determination of envelope areas.

Samples were also analyzed with atomic force microscopy (AFM) before and after exposure. The AFM images of the exposed and unexposed samples are quite different; at a $2\mu \times 2\mu$ scan the weathered sample appears to have much more micro surface topography than does the unexposed sample. The macro roughness of the coating is preserved, as evidenced by large irregularities and holes in the coating. The visible changes in the topography resulting from exposure are represented by an increase in the root mean square surface roughness from 33 to about 50 angstroms. This increase in roughness is likely representative of surface material that ablates away as the polymer system decomposes as a function of the accelerated weathering process.

The chemical changes, detected with FTIR, that occur in the organic films as a function of accelerated exposure testing are representative of bulk rather than surface photochemical reactions. This is true because the FTIR sampling depth into the coating is on the order of microns, which is much deeper than the surface roughness of the films. Because no analysis technique was used to sample and determine surface chemistry on the order of 5 nm, it is difficult to ascertain the true chemistry precisely at the surface. Although XPS allows the binding energy envelopes for oxygen or carbon (or other appropriate elements) to be monitored in the top 4 nm of the surface, deconvolution of the XPS spectra and assignment of specific functionality has been difficult. TOF SIMS has been tried with isotope substitution (O-18 for O-16) with some success, but results are difficult to interpret and quantify. The need for improved surface-specific techniques to follow photo-oxidation/hydrolysis reactions remains evident. However, we have found that the FTIR results are consistent with typical changes associated with weathering these materials at much lower acceleration factors.

Based on previous experience with these types of materials (10,11), a specific photo-oxidation index was chosen as the appropriate response variable. This index is calculated from FTIR data as the [OH,NH,COOH] envelope peak area (between $3200\text{--}3600\text{ cm}^{-1}$) normalized for thickness by the [CH] envelope peak area (between $2800\text{--}3000\text{ cm}^{-1}$). ΔP is then defined as the change (difference) between this ratio at any time t and the unweathered ($t=0$) value. Any number of degradation processes may contribute to ΔP (photo-oxidation, hydrolysis, etc.). Close inspection of the relevant peaks of the FTIR spectra revealed that hydrolysis did not significantly contribute to ΔP for the coatings tested. If hydrolysis was important, a generalized Eyring form of eq 5 could have been used to account for the effect of moisture (7). For samples exposed in the Ci-65 and the UV concentrator, measured values of ΔP_i are available for various levels of cumulative dose and sample temperature exposures (70°C at 1X in the Ci-65 and 42°C at 50X and 52°C at 100X in the UV concentrator). Eq 5 was fit to these data to determine the model coefficients. Representative results for system 5.4 are presented in Figure 7. Here, the predicted change in photo-oxidation index is plotted vs. measured values for samples subjected to three levels of temperature and light intensity. Additional data at 50X and 100X are desirable but require future longer-term exposures. The validity of the model is indicated by the fact that a straight line having a near-unity slope can represent all of the data. Similar results were obtained for other coating systems and are summarized in Table III.

Table III. Coefficients Derived for Representative Bilayer Coating Samples

<i>DuPont System</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>E (kcal/mole-K)</i>
1.2	6.513	0.667	3.878
5.4	750.92	0.635	6.781
7.1	370.51	0.706	6.523

Values of activation energies (*E*) derived (4-7 kcal/mole-K) are reasonable for photo-thermally driven degradation mechanisms. The values of *n* (~0.67) imply that exposure to 50-100X light intensities had a net effect of only 15-25X, suggesting that some shielding or rate limiting reactions occur that do not allow all photons to participate in degradation.

To fully substantiate our contention that exposure of coatings at highly accelerated levels of light can produce useful and realistic results, it would be desirable to have measured values of ΔP_i for samples of these coatings exposed outdoors where the time dependent stress variables are known. Then, eq 6 could be used to predict ΔP_i for comparison with measured data. Unfortunately, such data are not available. However, NREL has a durability testing program that includes outdoor exposure (with carefully monitored radiometric and meteorological conditions) for another organic-based type of material, namely, samples of bulk transparent polymers.

Two types of sheet (0.32-cm thick) glazing materials have been tested at a variety of outdoor exposure test (OET) sites; these include polyvinyl chloride (PVC) and a UV-stabilized polycarbonate (PC). These materials have also been exposed (12) in an Atlas Ci-5000 WOM having a UV intensity of about 2X (at 60°C for both the PC and PVC) compared to typical outdoor terrestrial levels, and at 50X (42°C for the PC and 25°C for the PVC) and 100X (48°C for the PC and 35°C for the PVC) in our UV concentrator. The response variable was chosen to be hemispherical transmittance between 400-500 nm because, in general, that is the spectral region most sensitive to stress exposure induced loss in performance (Figure 8). The same damage functions expressed in eqs 5 and 6 were assumed. Data from the Ci-5000 and the UV concentrator exposures of the polymer glazings were used to fit eq 5 and to obtain the model coefficients corresponding to these materials; the results are given in Table IV. As with the DuPont coatings, the derived activation energies are not unreasonable. The value of *n* for PVC was similar to that found for the DuPont coating systems. For the UV-stabilized PC sample, a value of *n*=1 suggests that exposure of this material follows strict reciprocity even up to 100X; all incident photons fully contribute to degradation reactions that proceed at twice the rate undergone at 50X exposure and 50 times the rate experienced at 2X exposure.

Table IV. Coefficients Derived for Representative Clear Polymer Sheet Samples

<i>Polymer Sheet</i>	<i>A</i>	<i>n</i>	<i>E (kcal/mole-K)</i>
Polyvinyl Chloride	2892	0.669	8.440
UV-Stabilized Polycarbonate	5.497	1.093	6.688

Using the coefficients from Table IV and time-monitored values of sample temperature and UV irradiance, the loss in performance was predicted using eq 6 for both PVC and PC as exposed outdoors in Golden, CO, and Phoenix, AZ. Predicted values were then compared with actual measured data for these materials exposed at these sites. The results are presented in Figure 9. Time-dependent changes in weathering variables produce the irregular shapes of the predicted curves. Excellent agreement is evident between the measured and predicted data, thereby validating the ability to expose samples at very high light levels, our approach to data analysis (using accelerated test results to obtain model coefficients, and then the use of these coefficients to predict time-variable real-world degradation), and the assumed damage function model.

Conclusions

Correlation between highly accelerated levels (50-100X) of UV light intensity and in-use levels (~1X) has a number of significant implications. First, these materials can be tested at ultra-accelerated intensities without introducing unrealistic degradation mechanisms. This is an impressive result because the conventional wisdom has been that organic coatings could not be realistically and confidently tested at more than about 10 suns because of difficulties associated with adequately controlling sample temperature. Consequently, very abbreviated testing times can be substituted for long-time exposures at low intensity levels. This will allow much shorter development cycle times for new products; manufacturers will not be forced to wait months or years to ascertain if prospective coating systems will exhibit adequate durability. This will provide a vital competitive advantage to such manufacturers and will result in greatly improved new products.

Acknowledgements

NREL's UV concentrator was designed, fabricated, installed and tested as part of a cooperative project with NPO Astrophysica, Moscow, Russia. Development was supported through the U. S. Department of Energy's Initiatives for Proliferation Prevention Program. Samples and useful discussions were provided by Basil Gregorovich at DuPont. AFM characterization was performed at NREL by Helio Moutinho. This paper is dedicated to the memory of David King who was an innovative and dedicated scientist; his insights, enthusiasm, and friendship will be greatly missed by his co-authors and all others who knew him.

Literature Cited

1. Jorgensen, G.; Bingham, C.; Netter, J.; Goggin, R.; Lewandowski, A. In *Service Life Prediction of Organic Coatings, A Systems Approach*; Bauer, D. R. and Martin, J. W., Eds.; ACS Symposium Series 722; American Chemical Society, Oxford University Press: Washington, DC, 1999; pp 170-185.
2. *Annual Book of ASTM Standards*; Standard E892-87 (Reapproved 1992); American Society for Testing and Materials: Philadelphia, PA, 1993; Vol. 12.02, pp 487-494.
3. Standard SAE J1960; The Society of Automotive Engineers, Inc.: Warrendale, PA, 1989.
4. *Annual Book of ASTM Standards*; Standard G53-91; American Society for Testing and Materials: Philadelphia, PA, 1993; Vol. 6.01, pp 1045-1050.
5. Bauer, D. R. *J. Coatings Tech.* **1994**, 66, 57-65.
6. Martin, J. W.; Saunders, S. C.; Floyd, F. L.; Weinberg, J. P. *Methodologies for Predicting the Service Life of Coatings Systems*, NIST Building Science Series 172, National Institute of Standards and Technology: Gaithersburg, MD, 1994.
7. Jorgensen, G.; Kim, H-M.; Wendelin, T.; In *Durability Testing of Nonmetallic Materials*; Herling, R. J., Ed.; ASTM STP 1294; American Society for Testing and Materials: Scranton, PA, 1996; pp 121-135.
8. Martin, J. W. *Durability of Building Materials* **1982**, 1, 175-194.
9. Carlsson, B. *Solar Materials Research and Development; Survey of Service Life Prediction Methods for Materials in Solar Heating and Cooling*; International Energy Agency Solar Heating and Cooling Program, Report # BFR-D-16-1989 (DE90 748556), ISBN 91-540-5063-4; Swedish Council for Building Research: Stockholm, Sweden, 1989; p 27.
10. Adamsons, K.; Lloyd, K.; Stika, K.; Swartzfager, D.; Walls, D.; Wood, B. In *Interfacial Aspects of Multicomponent Polymer Materials*; Lohse, D. J.; Russell, T. P.; Sperling, L. H., Eds.; Proceedings of an American Chemical Symposium held in Orlando, Florida, August 25-30, 1996; Perseus Books: Cambridge, England, 1998; pp 279-300.
11. Adamsons, K.; Blackman, G.; Gregorovich, B.; Lin, L.; Matheson, R. *Progress in Organic Coatings* **1998**, 34, 64-74.
12. *Annual Book of ASTM Standards*; Standard G26-92; American Society for Testing and Materials: Philadelphia, PA, 1993; Vol. 6.01, pp 1033-1041.

Separate Figure Caption List

Figure 1. Schematic of UV concentrator.

Figure 2. Spectral irradiance at sample exposure plane.

Figure 3. Distribution of UV flux at sample exposure plane.

Figure 4. Schematic of sample chamber.

Figure 5. Spectral irradiance of Ci-65 exposure chamber vs. global air-mass 1.5 terrestrial solar spectrum.

Figure 6. Relative FTIR absorbance of coating system 5.4 as a function of cumulative UV dose.

Figure 7 Measured vs. predicted $[OH, NH, COOH] / [CH]$ for coating system 5.4

Figure 8. Spectral change in transmittance with UV exposure for UV-stabilized PC.

Figure 9. Measured vs. predicted change in hemispherical reflectance between 400-500 nm for two polymeric materials at two outdoor exposure sites.

Figure 1. Schematic of UV concentrator.

Figure 2. Spectral irradiance at sample exposure plane.

Figure 3. Distribution of UV flux at sample exposure plane.

Figure 4. Schematic of sample chamber.

Figure 5. Spectral irradiance of Ci-65 exposure chamber vs. global air-mass 1.5 terrestrial solar spectrum.

Figure 6. Relative FTIR absorbance of coating system 5.4 as a function of cumulative UV dose.

Figure 7 Measured vs. predicted $[OH, NH, COOH] / [CH]$ for coating system 5.4.

Figure 8. Spectral change in transmittance with UV exposure for UV-stabilized PC.

Figure 9. Measured vs. predicted change in hemispherical reflectance between 400-500 nm for two polymeric materials at two outdoor exposure sites.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE			<i>Form Approved</i> OMB NO. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.				
1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE November 2000	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Conference Proceedings, November 1999		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Use of Uniformly Distributed Concentrated Sunlight for Highly Accelerated Testing of Coatings		5. FUNDING NUMBERS C: TA: DO890189		
6. AUTHOR(S) Gary Jorgensen, Carl Bingham, David King, Al Lewandowski, Judy Netter, Kent Terwilliger, and Karlis Adamsons				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) National Renewable Energy Laboratory 1617 Cole Blvd. Golden, CO 80401-3393		10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER NREL/CP-520-28579		
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT National Technical Information Service U.S. Department of Commerce 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, VA 22161		12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE		
13. ABSTRACT (<i>Maximum 200 words</i>) NREL has developed a new ultraviolet (UV) light concentrator that allows material samples to be subjected to uniform intensity levels of 50-100X solar UV at closely controlled sample exposure temperatures. In collaboration with industry, representative coating systems have been exposed without introducing unrealistic degradation mechanisms. Furthermore, correlations have been derived between these highly accelerated test conditions and results obtained at 1-2 suns. Such information is used to predict the degradation of materials in real-world applications. These predictions are compared with measured in-service performance losses to validate the approach. This allows valuable information to be obtained in greatly reduced timeframes, which can provide tremendous competitive advantage in the commercial marketplace.				
14. SUBJECT TERMS photovoltaics ; ultraviolet light concentrator ; uniform intensity levels ; ultraviolet radiation ; back-metallized polymeric films ; faceted mirrors ; thermal conductivity properties ; bilayer paint coating ; irradiance ; accelerated testing		15. NUMBER OF PAGES		
		16. PRICE CODE		
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL	