0511 0 0MB Approval
No. 1910-1480

DOE F 1332.16 (10-84) (Formerly RA-427)

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

UNIVERSITY CONTRACTOR, GRANTEE, AND COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT. RECOMMENDATIONS FOR ANNOUNCEMENT AND DISTRIBUTION OF DOCUMENTS --

See Instruction	nos on Reverse Side	
1. DOE Report No. DOE/ER/12119-2	3, Tide SYNTHESIS OF NEW	HIGH RERFORMANCE:
2. DOE Contract No. DE-FG05-91ER12119	LUBRICANTS AND SOLID LUBRICANTS :	
4. Type of Document ("x" one) Qla. Scientific and technical report Db. Conference paper: Title of conference		Y. 7 2 2 3 3 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7
Oate of conference		The Company of the Co
Exact location of conference	- R-1	
Spansaring arganization	i. i a .i .	is to thank purifying a second of the second
5. Recommended Announcement and Distribution ("x" one) 10. Unrestricted unlimited distribution. 10. Make available only within DOE and to DOE contractor 10. Other (Specify)	s and other U. S. Government agencies	
6. Reason for Recommended Restrictions		
7. Patent and Copyright Information: Does this information product disclose any new equipment, p Has an invention disclosure been submitted to DOE covering: If so, identify the DOE (or other) disclosure number and to Are there any patent-related objections to the release of this in Does this information product contain copyrighted material? If so, identify the page numbers	any aspect of this information product o whom the disclosure was submitted. nformation product? 《No 口Yes 《No 口Yes	f so, state these objections
Professor Richard J. Lagow	Position (Please print or type)	
Organization The University of Texas at Aust	in	1
Signatura	Phone (512) 471-1032	Date 4/8/93

FOR DOE OR OTHER AUTHORIZED USE ONLY

APPROVED FOR RELEASE OR
PUBLICATION. INTEL. PROP. GP.,
OFC. OF CHIEF COUNSEL DOE/ORG
By Date

^{9.} Potent Clearance ("x" one)

i.a. DOE patent clearance has been granted by responsible DOE patent group.

¹¹b. Report has been sent to responsible DOE patent group for clearance.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, make any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned Reference herein to any specific commercial rights. product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

DOE/ER/12119-2

SYNTHESIS OF NEW HIGH PERFORMANCE LUBRICANTS AND SOLID LUBRICANTS

Progress Report

April 1992 - March 1993



Professor Richard J. Lagow

Department of Chemistry

The University of Texas at Austin

Austin, Texas 78712-1167


April 1993

PREPARED FOR THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY UNDER GRANT NUMBER DE-FG05-91ER12119

SYNTHESIS OF NEW HIGH PERFORMANCE LUBRICANTS AND SOLID LUBRICANTS <u>Technical Progress Report</u>

In our second year of funding we began the testing phase of a number of new classes of lubricants. Three different testing collaborations have already begun and a fourth one is in the works with Dr. Stephen Hsu of the National Institute of Standards and Technology with whom we had established a working relationship after meeting at the Automotive and Technology Development Coordination Meeting held in November 2-5, 1992 in Dearborn, Michigan. Dr. Hsu also plans to test some of the same materials for us that Shell Development is studying.

With Dr. Bill Jones of NASA, we are studying the effects of branching on high temperature lubricant properties in perfluoropolyethers. Initially Bill Jones is comparing the lubrication and physical properties of perfluorotetraglyme and the following two spherical perfluoropolyethers. Note that one contains a fluorocarbon chain and the other one contains a fluorocarbon ether chain. The synthesis of these was reported in the last progress report.



With Professor Patricia Thiel of Iowa State University, we are working on studies of perfluoromethylene oxide ethers and have prepared a series of four of these polyethers to study in collaboration with her research group. These are model compounds which correspond to structures proposed on the bottom of page 17 and top of page 18 of our research proposal:

These perfluoromethylene oxide ethers have the best low temperature properties of any known lubricants. Thiel's group is studying their interactions with metals under extreme conditions.

Thirdly, we have also begun an interaction with Dr. August Birke of Shell Development Company in Houston for whom we have already prepared samples of the chlorine-substituted fluorocarbon polyether lubricants whose structures appear on page 54 of our research proposal. Each of these four structures is thought to have potential as lubricant additives to motor oils. Each of the following structures is completely soluble in hydrocarbon motor oils and hydrocarbon polyalphaolefins.

We also have underway syntheses of other fluorine-containing branched ether lubricants. These new materials which are also promising as antifriction additives for motor oils appear ahead of the perfluoro additives as Appendix I to the progress report. Additionally for Birke and Shell Development we have at their request prepared the novel compound perfluoro salicylic acid. This synthesis was suggested by the Shell staff who thought that esters of perfluoro salicylic acid might be an excellent antifriction additive for motor oil fuels. One of the best additives currently used in motor oils is the hydrocarbon ester of salicylic acid.

Dr. Kuangsen Sung of our research group has succeeded in preparing the first example of glycerin-based perfluoropolyester structures and specifically has succeeded in preparing the glyceride ester of perfluoro stearic acid, $CF_3(CF_2)_{16}COOH$. We shall be submitting this new class of branched perfluorocarbon esters for testing shortly.

We also have achieved success with synthesis of perfluoro epoxy ether chains, a class of compounds that have never been previously prepared:

Additionally with Dr. Bill Jones of NASA we are testing a new class of antifriction additives for perfluoropolyether lubricants, the perfluorophosphoranes. We have made quite a number of these and will shortly be submitted these to Dr. Jones for screening. The first structure appears below and the rest of the new structures constitute Appendix II.

19 F NMR Chemical Shifts a - 47.5 ppm (d of mult.) b -110.3 ppm (d of t) c -125.7 (s) d - 82.2 (s) CF ₃ CF ₂ CF ₂ CF ₂ F CF ₂ CF ₂ CF ₂ CF ₃ CF ₂ CF ₂ CF ₂	Coupling Constants ¹ J _{PF} =1041 Hz ² J _{FCP} = 124 Hz c_CF ₃ defined a constants
31 P NMR Chemical Shift -41.8 ppm (t of sept.)	Low Resolution Mass Spectrum Fragments
High Resolution Mass Analysis C ₉ F ₂₂ P ⁺ Calculated; 556.938635 Observed: 556.937623	Fragment m/z $FP(C_3F_7)_3^+$ 557 $F_2P(C_3F_7)_2^+$ 407 $F_3P(C_3F_7)^+$ 257 F_4P^+ 107
	C ₃ F ₇ ⁺ 169

There are successes in many other areas to report but we lack the space here to do so. This has been a very successful year in our program.

APPENDIX I

General Scheme

$$H_{3-n} = C + (CH_2)_{\overline{m}}OH = n + n + cH_2CH=CH_2 + H_{3-n} = C + (CH_2)_{\overline{m}}O - CH_2CH=CH_2 = CH_2 + H_{3-n} = C + (CH_2)_{\overline{m}}O - CH_2CH=CH_2 = CH_2 + CH_2 CH_$$

Representative examples:

C.I. Mass Spec. Parent - F m/e = 1065

Variations in the polyhydrolic starting materials will provide a variety of chlorofluorocarbon ether structures. Synthesis of the following compounds is underway.

OH
$$CH_2$$
 $HO-CH$
 $HO-CH$
 $HO-CH$
 $HC-OH$
 $HC-OH$
 $HC-OH$
 CH_2
 CH_2
 CH_2
 CH_2
 CH_2
 CH
 CH_2
 CH_2
 CH
 CH_2
 CH_2

Approach II: Conversion of Perfluoroesters to Perfluoroethers using SF₄ is well known. Synthesis of chlorine containing fluoroesters followed by their conversion to ethers will provide for a variety of chlorinated fluoroethers, and will also provide a great deal of control over chlorine content and location. The synthesis of such molecules is underway.

General Scheme

$$H_{3-n}$$
--C- $(R-C-OH)_n$ + n HO--CH₂-R-CH₂-Cl $\xrightarrow{H^+}$
 H_{3-n} --C- $(R-C-O-CH_2-R-CH_2-Cl)_n$
 F_{3-n} --C- $(R_f-C-O-CF_2-R_f-CF_2-Cl)_n$
 F_{3-n} --C- $(R_f-CF_2O-CF_2-R_f-CF_2-Cl)_n$

Representative examples:

Cl--CH₂CH₂C-OH + HO--CH₂CH-CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₂-OH
$$H^{\dagger}$$
 H^{\dagger} H_2 O H^{\dagger} H^{\dagger} H_2 O H^{\dagger} H^{\dagger} H^{\dagger} H_2 O H^{\dagger} H^{\dagger

APPENDIX II

19 F NMR Chemical Shifts	Coupling Constants
a - 47.5 ppm (d of mult.)	¹ J _{PF} =1041 JIz
b -110.3 ppm (d of t)	² J _{PCP} = 124 Hz
c -125.7 (s)	d
d - 82.2 (s)	E CE3"
$c = 125.7 \text{ (s)}$ $d = 82.2 \text{ (s)}$ $CF_3 = CF_2 = CF_$	*2 E \
F C.2	CF ₃
31	Low Besolution Mass
³¹ P NMR Chemical Shift	Specifum Fragments
-41.8 ppm (t of sept.)	Fragment m/z

High Resolution Mass Analysis Calculated: 556.938635 Observed: 556,937623

Fragment m/z $FP(C_3F_7)_3^+$ 557 $F_2P(C_3F_7)_2^+$ 407 $F_3P(C_3F_7)^+$ 257 P_4P^+ 107 $C_3F_7^+$ 169

19 F NMR Chemical Shifts Coupling Constants $^{1}J_{PF}$ =1050 Hz a - 46.8 ppm (d of mult.) ²J_{FCP}= 126 Hz b -108.8 ppm (d) c = -120.7 (s) d - 123.4 (s) e -127.4 (s)

³¹P NMR Chemical Shift -37.6 ppm (t of sept.) High Resolution Mass Analysis C₁₅F₃₄P⁺ Calculated: 856.919474

Observed: 856.918693

Low Resolution Mass Spectrum Fragments Fragment $FP(C_5F_{11})_3^+$ 857 $F_2P(C_5F_{11})_2^+$ 607 $F_3P(C_5F_{11})^+$ 357 F4P+ 107 C₅F₁₁⁺ 269

```
19 F NMR Chemical Shifts
                                                       Coupling Constants
 a - 46.9 ppm (d of mult,)
                                                        <sup>1</sup>J<sub>PF</sub>=1060 Hz
                                                       ^2J<sub>FCP</sub>= 123 Hz
 b - 109.1 \text{ ppm (d)}
 c - 120.8  (s)
 d - 122.8 (s)
 e - 123.1 (s)
 f -123.4 (s)
 g -124.3 (s)
 h = 128.0 (s)
 i - 83.3 (s)
                                                     Low Resolution Mass
   <sup>31</sup>P NMR Chemical Shift
                                                     Spectrum Fragments
       -38.0 ppm (t of sept.)
                                                     Fragment
                                                                       m/z
                                                     F_2P(C_8F_{17})_3 1326
High Resolution Mass Analysis
                                                     FP(C_8F_{17})_3^+
                                                                      1307
C<sub>24</sub>F<sub>51</sub>P Calculated: 1325,889136
                                                     F_2P(C_8F_{17})_2^+ 907
           . Observed: 1325.888968
                                                     F_3P(C_8F_{17})^{\dagger}
                                                                      507
                                                     F<sub>4</sub>P<sup>+</sup>
                                                                      107
                                                     C8F17+
                                                                      419
```

19 F NMR Chemical Shifts

- 48.6 ppm (d of mult.)

-113.3 ppm (d)

- 81.5 (s) - 117.2 (d)

e-p -121.9 (s)

-123.0 (s)

-126.6 (s)

- 81.7 (s)

Coupling Constants ¹J_{PF}=1030 Hz

 2 J_{FCP}= 112 Hz

²J_{FCP}= 91 Hz

31P NMR Chemical Shift

-43.8 ppm (t of sept.)

High Resolution Mass Analysis

C₂₀F₄₄P⁺ Calculated; 1106,903506 Observed: 1106,906923

Low Resolution Mass

Spectrum Fragments

Fragment	in/z
FP(C ₂ F ₅) ₂ (C ₁₆ F ₃₃) ⁺	1107
$F_2P(C_2F_5)(C_{16}F_{33})^+$	1007
$F_2P(C_2F_5)_2^+$	307
$F_3P(C_2F_5)^+$	207
$F_3P(C_{16}F_{33})^+$	907
F ₄ P ⁺	107
C ₁₆ F ₃₃ +	819
C ₂ F ₄ ⁺	119

 C_3F_7


169

19 F NMR Chemical Shifts	Conditue Constants	
a - 50.2 ppm (d of mult.)	¹ J _{PF} =1007 Hz	
b -114.7 ppm (d)	2 J _{FCP} = 122 Hz	
c - 83.1 (s)	2 J _{FCP} = 112 Hz	
d - 114.1 (d)a	⁴ J _{FCOCF} = 9 Hz	
$e - 84.9 \text{ (s)}$ $f - 90.0 \text{ (t)}$ $g - 88.9 \text{ (s)}$ $F - F - CF_2$ $F - CF_3$ $F - F - CF_2$ $F - CF_3$ $F - CF_3$ $F - CF_3$	CF ₂ CF ₂ CF ₃	
	Low Resolution Mas	
•	Spectrum Fragment	<u>.s</u>
³¹ P NMR Chemical Shift	Fragment	m/z
-45.3 ppm (t of sept.)	$F_2P(C_2F_5)_2(C_4F_9O)^*$	542
	$FP(C_2F_5)_2(C_4F_9O)^{\dagger}$	523
High Resolution Mass Analysis	$F_2P(C_2F_5)(C_4F_9O)^+$	423
C ₈ F ₂₀ OP ⁺ Calculated: 522,936743	$F_2P(C_2F_5)_2^+$	307
Observed: 522.935822	$F_3P(C_2F_5)^+$	207
•	$F_3P(C_4F_9O)^{\dagger}$	323
	F ₄ P ⁺	107
₫.	C4F90+	235
	$C_2F_5^+$	119


³¹P NMR Chemical Shift High Resolution Mass Analysis
-44.0 ppm (t of sept.) C₁₃F₃₃P₂ Calculated: 844,894834

Observed: 844.894530

³¹P NMR Chemical Shift High Resolution Mass Analysis
-45.2 ppm (t of sept.) C₁₂F₃₂OP₂ Calculated: 829.891345
Observed: 829.893040



19F NMR of 1,2-bis(difluorobis(pentafluoroethyl)-phosphorano)tetrafluoroethane



31P NMR of 1,2-bis(diffuorobis(pentafluoroethyl) phosphorano)tetrafluoroethane

¹⁹ E NMR Chemical Shifts	Coupling Constants
a - 55.2 ppm (d of mult.)	$^{1}J_{PF} = 1068 \text{ Hz}$
b - 64.7 ppm (d)	$^{2}J_{FCP} = 162 \text{ Hz}$
c -109.7 (d)	$^{2}J_{FCP}=122 \text{ Hz}$
d -177.0 (s)	
CF ₃ P - CF	F CF ₃ CF ₃
F	CF F
	F_F
	CF ₃ CF ₃

³¹P NMR Chemical Shift High Resolution Mass Analysis

-49.5 ppm (t of mult.) C₁₀F₃₀P₃ Calculated: 782.873388

Observed: 782.869965

APPENDIX III

Preparation of perfluorinated triglyceride

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{CH}_{3}(\text{CH}_{2})_{16}\text{COH} + \text{SO}_{2}\text{CI}_{2} & \xrightarrow{A \text{ (90\%)}} & \text{CH}_{3}(\text{CH}_{2})_{16}\text{CCI} \\ \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{COH}} & \xrightarrow{\text{O}-\text{C}(\text{CH}_{2})_{16}\text{CH}_{3}} & \xrightarrow{\text{F}_{2}/\text{He}} \\ & \xrightarrow{\text{O}-\text{C}(\text{CH}_{2})_{16}\text{CH}_{3}} & \xrightarrow{\text{C}} & \text{C} \end{array}$$

Procedure A: Thionyl chloride (50 g, 0.42 mole) was added to a one-neck 500 ml flask. Stearic acid (100 g, 0.35 mole) was dissolved in 50 ml of CH2Cl2. The solution was added to the thionyl chloride slowly. After complete addition, the mixture was refluxed for two hours. After pumping off unreacted SO₂Cl₂, the residue was sublimated at 50 °C to get pure acid chloride in 90% yield.

Procedure B: 80 g of $CH_3(CH_2)_{16}CCl$ was added slowly to a mixture of 6.7 g glycerin and 80 ml pyridine at room temperature under an argon atmosphere. Two hours after addition of the acid chloride, the mixture was acidified by 10% of H_2SO_4 . After filtration, the precipitate was washed with saturated $NaHCO_3(aq)$ until it is neutral. The solid triglyceride was dried at 80 °C under high vacuum for 12 hours. The yield is higher than 90%.