OCT 06 1998

ENGINEERING DATA TRANSMITTAL

Page 1 of 1

1. EDT 623331

2. To: (Receiving Organization) Distribution	3. From: (Originating Organization) Cogema Engineering, GA Ritter, S1800	4. Related EDT No.: N/A
5. Proj./Prog./Dept./Div.: Stabilization	6. Design Authority/ Design Agent/Cog. Engr.: CB McVey	7. Purchase Order No.: N/A
8. Originator Remarks: For approval		9. Equip./Component No.: N/A
		10. System/Bldg./Facility: 241-BY-105
11. Receiver Remarks: 11A. Design Baseline Document? [X] Yes [] No		12. Major Assm. Dwg. No.: N/A
		13. Permit/Permit Application No.: N/A
		14. Required Response Date: 10/1/98

15. DATA TRANSMITTED					(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
(A) Item No.	(B) Document/Drawing No.	(C) Sheet No.	(D) Rev. No.	(E) Title or Description of Data Transmitted	Approval Design- nator	Reason for Trans- mittal	Orig- inator Dispo- sition	Receiv- er Dispo- sition
1	HNF-3225	A11	0	Engineering Task Plan for the Development of a High Pressure Water Drill System for BY-105 Salt Well Screen Installation	ESQ	1,2	1	1

16. KEY					
Approval Designator (F)		Reason for Transmittal (G)		Disposition (H) & (I)	
E, S, Q, D or N/A (see WHC-CM-3-5, Sec.12.7)	1. Approval 2. Release 3. Information	4. Review 5. Post-Review 6. Dist. (Receipt Acknow. Required)		1. Approved 2. Approved w/comment 3. Disapproved w/comment	4. Reviewed no/comment 5. Reviewed w/comment 6. Receipt acknowledged

17. SIGNATURE/DISTRIBUTION (See Approval Designator for required signatures)									
(G) Reason	(H) Disp.	(J) Name	(K) Signature	(L) Date	(M) MSIN	(G) Reason	(H) Disp.	(J) Name	(K) Signature (L) Date (M) MSIN
1	/	Design Authority	PF Kison	10/6/98	14-07	1	/	LAB MJ Schliebe	10/6/98 16-13
1	/	Design Agent	GA Ritter	10/6/98	17-12	1	/	OPS DJ Saueressig	10/6/98 17-20
1	/	Cog. Eng.	CB McVey	10/6/98	17-20	1	/	TRAINING TC Bender	10/6/98 18-83
1	1	Cog. Mgr.	MR Koch	10/6/98	17-20	1	/	RADCON JE PIETER	10/6/98 17-14
1	/	QA MC Tipps	M.L. McIlm	10/6/98	14-07	3	/	DT VLADIMIROFF	10/6/98 17-20
1	/	Safety LS Krogsrud	Z.L. Krogsrud	10/6/98	14-07			DOE/RL READING Room	10/6/98 H2-53
1	/	Env. CJ Grand	CJ Grand	10/6/98	14-51				

18. Signature of EDT Originator GA Ritter 10/6/98	19. Signature of Authorized Representative for Receiving Organization MR Koch 10/6/98	20. Signature of Cognizant Manager PF Kison 10/6/98	21. DOE APPROVAL (if required) Ctrl. No. ☐ Approved ☐ Approved w/comments ☐ Disapproved w/comments
---	---	---	---

Engineering Task Plan for the Development of a High Pressure Water Drill System for BY-105 Saltwell Screen Installation

Glenn A. Ritter

Cogema Engineering, Richland, WA 99352

U.S. Department of Energy Contract DE-AC06-96RL13200

EDT/ECN: 623331

UC: 2030

Org Code: S1800

Charge Code: 103338

B&R Code: EW3120071

Total Pages: 37

Key Words: stabilization, saltwell screen, water drill, BY-105

Abstract: This engineering task plan identifies the activities required for developing an ultra high pressure water drill system for installation of a saltwell screen in Tank BY-105. A water drill system is needed to bore through the hard waste material in this tank because of the addition of Portland cement in the 1960s and/or 1970s. The activities identified in this plan include the design, procurement, and qualification testing of the water drill along with readiness preparations including developing operating procedures, training Operations personnel, and conducting an assessment of readiness.

TRADEMARK DISCLAIMER. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors.

Printed in the United States of America. To obtain copies of this document, contact: Document Control Services, P.O. Box 950, Mailstop H6-08, Richland WA 99352, Phone (509) 372-2420; Fax (509) 376-4989.

10/6/98
Release Approval Date

Approved for Public Release

CONTENTS

1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
2.0 SCOPE	3
2.1 OBJECTIVES	3
2.2 DELIVERABLES	4
3.0 DESCRIPTION	5
3.1 PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION	5
3.2 ENGINEERING TASKS	9
3.3 DESIGN VERIFICATION AND TESTING	10
3.4 PROCUREMENT TASKS	11
3.5 FABRICATION TASKS	12
3.6 INSTALLATION TASKS	12
3.7 OPERATIONAL TESTS	12
3.8 ACCEPTANCE FOR BENEFICIAL USE	13
4.0 ORGANIZATION	13
4.1 CHARACTERIZATION EQUIPMENT ENGINEERING	13
4.2 TWRS INTERIM STABILIZATION ENGINEERING	14
4.3 TWRS OPERATIONS AND PROJECT SAFETY SUPPORT	15
4.4 TWRS NUCLEAR SAFETY	15
4.5 TWRS RADIOLOGICAL CONTROL	15
4.6 TWRS QUALITY ASSURANCE	16
4.7 TWRS ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITS/POLICY	16
4.8 TWRS OPERATIONS TRAINING	16
4.9 NUMATEC HANFORD COMPANY EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT AND TESTING LABORATORIES (305 & 306E FACILITIES)	17
4.10 DYNCORP HANFORD FABRICATION SERVICES	17
4.11 DYNCORP HANFORD CRANE & RIGGING SERVICES	17
4.12 TWRS INTERIM STABILIZATION OPERATIONS	18
4.13 TWRS PRODUCTION CONTROL	18
5.0 SCHEDULE AND COST ESTIMATE	18
6.0 CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT	19
7.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE	19
8.0 SAFETY AND AUTHORIZATION BASIS	20
9.0 SYSTEMS ENGINEERING	21

10.0 CLOSEOUT COSTS	21
11.0 REFERENCES	21
APPENDIX A - ACCEPTANCE FOR BENEFICIAL USE FORM	A-1
APPENDIX B - SCHEDULE AND COST ESTIMATE	B-1

ENGINEERING TASK PLAN FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF A HIGH PRESSURE WATER DRILL SYSTEM FOR BY-105 SALTWELL SCREEN INSTALLATION

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Tank Waste Remediation System (TWRS) Program requires stabilization of single-shell waste storage tanks (SSTs) in the BY Tank Farm. The stabilization activities include the installation of a saltwell screen through one of the SST risers, into which a submersible jet pump can be installed to extract pumpable liquid that drains through the screen. In 1995, the TWRS Interim Stabilization Project attempted to install a saltwell pump into an existing saltwell screen in tank BY-105. In attempting to clear the screen with a water lance, a very hard layer of material near the surface of the waste was discovered. Subsequent investigation identified the addition of Portland cement in the mid 1960s and/or 1970s. Recent attempts to core sample also encountered relatively hard material near the waste surface. Although "push-mode" core sampling was not successful in penetrating the hard waste, "rotary-mode" core sampling was successful in drilling through the waste with less effort than expected.

Several alternatives for penetrating the hard waste material for saltwell installation have been identified and several of these alternatives are being pursued by other TWRS organizations. One option is to core drill multiple holes in the waste through the riser where the saltwell screen will be installed using an existing rotary mode core sampling system (RMCSS). Following drilling, an expansive grout mixture could be poured into the holes to break up the hard waste material and/or the existing Hiline high pressure (3000 psi) water lance could be used. A second option would be to just use an existing high pressure lance without drilling multiple holes because the waste may not be as hard as expected. If an expansive grout were used to break up the waste, some type of high pressure water lance should be used in addition to further break up and carry the waste cuttings away to provide a hole for saltwell installation. It is unlikely that the weight of the saltwell screen alone would be sufficient for pushing through and displacing the broken up waste, because push mode core sampling was not successful. A disadvantage for using an existing water lance is the amount of water that may be required to install the saltwell screen. For these options to be successful, the strength of the waste material must be much less than Portland cement. Scoping tests, as described in Section 3.3.2, are planned for comparing the strength/hardness of the BY-105 waste with Portland cement by core drilling various mixtures of cement with the test drill rig. These tests should provide very useful information for evaluating what method should be used.

The third method is to use an existing ultra-high pressure (UHP) lance (e.g., the lance used for temperature/vapor probe [TVP] installation) to break up the hard waste material that is in the existing saltwell screen installed in riser 13B. This method has proven very successful for the installation of TVPs in tank farm waste tanks. This lance bores a nominal 10 cm (4 in.) diameter hole and could be used to make several "stabs" through the waste inside the saltwell screen to create a large enough hole for installing a saltwell pump. The success of this method

depends on how badly "clogged" the saltwell screen is with hardened waste. If the lancing were successful and a saltwell pump could be installed, the interstitial liquid may not drain very well through the existing saltwell screen. In addition, the waste particulates inside the saltwell screen could be damaging to the pump internals. The other uncertainty with this method is whether or not the lance will break up the waste into fine enough particles such that it will be displaced through the screen or around the pump assembly when the pump is installed.

The fourth option is to use the existing UHP lance that was procured for saltwell screen installation. Preliminary testing has demonstrated that this lance is capable of breaking up Portland cement, although the penetration rates were much less than desired. The cutting capability of this water drill was limited by the flow capacity of the available high pressure intensifier, which was just under 11.3 liter/min (3 gpm). In addition, the wheels, which were installed on the bottom of the drill to provide a minimum offset from the tank bottom, did not rotate very easily on the cement surface and caused the drill to wander somewhat during the preliminary testing. Also, the flow through the high pressure nozzles was insufficient to evacuate the cuttings and a separate water line was needed to flush the material away from the cutting surface. The primary disadvantage of using this device is the length of time and volume of water that may be required to bore a hole through the hard waste. If the waste is really as hard as Portland cement, several shifts of effort and thousands of gallons water could be required. If the scoping tests indicate that the waste is much softer than Portland cement, using this tool may be a good alternative. This tool may also be a good option if the BY-105 core data shows that the hard waste layer is only a few inches thick.

The fifth and final option is to develop a new larger diameter UHP water lance/drill to penetrate the hard waste material. Additional cutting capability can be obtained by the addition of an abrasive garnet to the high pressure water stream. An abrasive water jet has been proven to easily cut through cement along with many other materials, such as steel. Use of an abrasive water jet may provide too much cutting capability and safety concerns associated with penetrating the tank bottom would have to be evaluated. In addition, the flammable gas concerns associated with spark generation from the abrasive garnet would have to be addressed. The alternatives are summarized as follows.

- 1a) Drill multiple holes using RMCSS
- 1b) Drill multiple holes and use expansive grout
- 1c) Drill multiple holes and use existing high pressure lance
- 1d) Drill multiple holes, use expansive grout, and existing high pressure lance

- 2) Use the existing Hiline high pressure lance

- 3) Use an existing TVP UHP lance inside the existing saltwell screen

- 4) Use the existing UHP water drill procured for saltwell screen installation

- 5a) Develop and use new UHP water drill without an abrasive garnet
- 5b) Develop and use new UHP water drill with abrasive garnet

Clearly, the normal operation of low pressure water lancing for saltwell installation was not successful in penetrating through the tank waste in BY-105 during the original attempt. Another method must be used to allow insertion of a new saltwell screen in an adjacent riser or to allow installation/operation of a saltwell pump in the existing saltwell screen. Option 5a was determined to be the method with the highest probability of success without creating substantial safety concerns. The other methods may be pursued concurrently with this option and if the other methods prove successful, a new water drill will not be required. This engineering task plan (ETP) focuses on the activities required for developing and testing a UHP water drill system. The results of the scoping tests might indicate a need to reevaluate the method that is most appropriate for penetrating the BY-105 waste. If a method is selected that is different than the baseline method described in this ETP, then this ETP will be revised accordingly. This task also involves readiness preparations including developing operating procedures, training TWRs Operations personnel, and conducting an assessment of readiness, as required. This ETP does not address revising the Authorization Basis (AB) and assumes that this technology will not require an AB amendment. However, if it is determined during the performance of this task that an AB amendment is required, the AB implications will be identified for resolution and this ETP will be revised.

2.0 SCOPE

2.1 OBJECTIVES

The primary objectives of this development activity are as follows:

- Provide all necessary engineering and staff to support the planning, design, fabrication, procurement, testing, and implementation of the water drill system;
- Maximize use of existing designs, equipment, and procedures to reduce development time and cost;
- Design the system to minimize exposure and contamination to operations personnel and the environment;
- Coordinate with all user/user support groups to obtain concurrence on the design;
- Obtain all necessary permits and safety documentation required to authorize operation of the water drill system on the Hanford site;
- Provide operating procedures/work instructions and support training of operations staff.

2.2 DELIVERABLES

The following deliverables will be provided:

DOCUMENTATION

- This task plan including the functions and requirements for the water drill system;
- Unreviewed Safety Question (USQ) screening/determination, Hazards Assessment, Flammable Gas Equipment Advisory Board (FGEAB) report, Safety Equipment List (SEL), Commercial Grade Item (CGI) forms, and other safety documentation, as required;
- Procurement specification for the water drill system;
- As-built engineering drawings, sketches, and vendor drawings along with applicable vendor information;
- Qualification test plan/procedure and test report to document the procedures and results of qualification testing of the system;
- Installation/operation work instructions for the water drill system;
- Acceptance for Beneficial Use (ABU) form documenting turnover and acceptance to TWRS Operations.

HARDWARE

- One (1) each high-pressure water jet drill assembly for installation on the bottom of a deployment device or saltwell screen assembly;
- One (1) deployment device, as required, for deployment of water jet cutting system into tank BY-105. May not be required if water jet drill can be adapted to the bottom of a saltwell screen;
- Tank interface equipment including spray washer for decontamination, and sealing mechanism to maintain containment during deployment/operation of water drill system, as required;
- Waste box or container for regulated UHP water lance storage, as required.

3.0 DESCRIPTION

3.1 PHYSICAL DESCRIPTION

The current conceptual design for the high pressure water jet cutting system consists of four major components: water jet drill head, high pressure intensifier system, deployment device, and tank interface. A conceptual sketch of the system is shown in Figure 1. The water drill will be vendor designed/fabricated and will consist of a series of high pressure water jets/nozzles arranged to cut a nominal 30.5 cm (12 in.) diameter hole (or 25 cm [10 in.] diameter if a 20 cm [8 in.] saltwell screen is used) through the hard waste material. The cutting head (or hole) will be larger than the deployment device support pipe (or saltwell screen) to allow an annular space for the cuttings to be flushed away from the cutting surface. A separate flush line may be needed to the face of the cutting head for enhanced cuttings removal. Depending on the number of nozzles on the cutting head, the water drill may need to be rotated to some degree during deployment/operation to obtain the full circular cut. The number of nozzles is limited by the flow capacity of high pressure water from the intensifier system. A mechanical stop may also be required on the cutting head to prevent tank damage in case the water drill were to contact the tank bottom. The stop would limit the distance between the nozzles and the cutting surface.

The high pressure intensifier system supplies water at pressures up to 350 MPa (50,000 psi) to the water drill system. The current plan is to rent/lease an intensifier system from the vendor that provides the water drill, because an intensifier system may not be available on the Hanford site. The deployment device will be a long-length pipe (nominally 10 in. schedule 40) with piping to supply high pressure water and possibly flush water to the drill head. If the water drill must be rotated continuously during deployment, swivel pipe fittings will be required at the top of the deployment device. As mentioned previously, the deployment device may be a modified saltwell screen depending on how close the saltwell screen must be located to the tank bottom. Using a modified saltwell screen instead of a custom deployment device could represent a significant savings both in design and installation/operation costs, as well as retrieval/disposal costs. The tank interface equipment consists of a spray washer for decontaminating the water drill upon removal. If a modified saltwell screen is used as the deployment device, it will not be removed under normal operating conditions, and the spray washer would only be used on a contingency basis. The spray washer will operate on nominal 6.9 - 20.7 MPa (1000 - 3000 psi) water from a source such as a commercial high pressure washer. The tank interface equipment may also include a sealing mechanism for providing containment during installation/removal and will be compatible with existing methods for saltwell installation.

3.1.1 Functions and Requirements

The water drill system must satisfy the functions and requirements listed in the following sections.

3.1.1.1 Performance Requirements

- The diameter of the hole that is cut by the water drill system shall be at least 2.5 cm (1 in.) larger than the outside diameter of the saltwell screen. For 21.9 cm (8.625 in.) and 27.3 cm (10.75 in.) outside diameter saltwell screens, the holes shall be at least 24.4 cm (9.625 in.) and 29.8 cm (11.75 in.), respectively. Depending on nozzle configuration, the drill may need to be rotated manually back and forth through some angle to obtain the full circular cut.
- The cutting rate through material of strength equal to 28-day cured Portland cement/water mixture shall be a minimum of 2.5 cm/min (1 in./min) using no more than 280 liter/min (70 gpm) water (includes any flush water, if required), which is a limit imposed from the Notice of Construction (NOC). Flow rates much lower than this are expected. In addition, the cutting rate should be maximized, with cutting rates greater than 5 cm/min (2 in./min) desirable.
- Total water usage (UHP, flush water, and any spray wash water) shall be minimized. It is desirable to keep total water usage below 1890 liters (500 gallons), which is an administrative limit, and management authorization is required to exceed this limit.
- Testing shall be performed by drilling through Portland cement with a minimum depth of 0.9 m (3 ft) to demonstrate that cuttings will be sufficiently evacuated from the cutting surface and will not impede the cutting action. A separate flush line to the drill head may be needed to add water intermittently or continuously for removing the cuttings.
- A spray washer decontamination system will be developed to reduce the radioactive contamination levels of the deployment device to allowable levels when the device is withdrawn from the tank. The spray washer shall operate on a nominal 6.9 - 20.7 MPa (1000 - 3000 psi) water source from a commercial high pressure washer. The spray washer may need to be a split piece design if a saltwell screen is used as the deployment device, because the screen will not be removed.
- The deployment device with drill head and spray washer shall be reusable and portable (this requirement does not apply if the drill head is adapted to a saltwell screen).
- The operating life of the system shall be no less than 10 hours before servicing is needed. Serviceable items shall allow for easy field replacement.

Figure 1. Conceptual Sketch of the High Pressure Water Drill System

3.1.1.2 Mechanical and Material Requirements

- An administrative control that limits the lance operating pressure near the tank bottom is planned for system operation to prevent damage to the tank bottom. Testing shall be performed to demonstrate that the surface erosion on ASTM A36 carbon steel plate (with surface scale removed and bare "white" metal exposed) is no greater than 0.025 cm (0.010 in.) in five minutes with the drill head (or mechanical stop, if required) in contact with the plate using the drill system at these lower limiting operating conditions (flow and pressure).
- Drill head and deployment device shall be constructed of material that is compatible with the tank waste, which has a pH level up to 12. Use of stainless steel for metal components is preferred.
- None of the equipment inserted into the tank shall be spark generating. The deployment device will be grounded to the tank riser during installation/operation/removal.
- The water drill system shall function with a high pressure intensifier capable of pressures ranging up to 345 MPa (50,000 psi).
- Overall length of the drill head and deployment device will be approximately 14.6 m (48 ft) if a separate deployment device is used, as opposed to adapting the drill head to a saltwell screen
- Lifting bail(s) shall be provided at the top end of the deployment device to allow a pick by an overhead crane. The deployment device shall have sufficient bending strength to allow uprighting from horizontal to vertical by lifting from only the top end.
- The in-tank equipment shall be decontaminable and shall be contoured to allow "snag free" removal from a riser and be self-draining. Welds, crevices, and recesses shall be smooth and non-sealed joints shall be minimized. Internal recesses that are not easily accessible for decontamination shall be minimized or eliminated.

3.1.1.3 Safety

- System shall make use of methods to minimize exposure of the operators and staff to all hazards, striving for exposure being as low as reasonably achievable (ALARA).
- Radiation shine through a tank riser is a hazard to personnel. The drill system configuration shall minimize the need for personnel to operate the system near the tank riser.

- System operation shall be in compliance with riser and tank load limits.
- Installation/operation/removal method of the water drill system shall minimize the possibility of an unfiltered radioactive material release to the environment.
- System shall operate safely in an environment potentially containing flammable gases.
- Installation/operation of the water drill system shall comply with controls specified in the AB.

3.1.1.4 Interfaces

- The water drill and deployment device shall be installable through a 29.2 cm (11.5 in.) inside diameter riser that is up to 4.6 m (15 ft) long.
- Interfacing the high pressure pumps and intensifiers with the existing support trailer, which includes a generator, water tank, and high pressure hoses, shall be considered.

3.2 ENGINEERING TASKS

The engineering tasks to be performed as part of this activity are as follows:

- Preparing this task plan
- Defining and documenting the functions and requirements for the water drill system in this task plan
- Preparing a USQ screening and USQ determination, as required
- Performing and documenting a Hazards Assessment, as required
- Determining the safety classification for the Systems, Structures, and Components (SSCs) and preparing/updating the SEL, as required
- Preparing CGI forms for the water drill system, as required
- Preparing a FGEAB review package and presentation, as required
- Preparing engineering sketches for the deployment device and tank interface equipment fabrication
- Performing design reviews and resolving design review comments
- Providing technical direction for fabrication of the water drill system
- Preparing as-built engineering drawings of the water drill system components
- Preparing a procurement specification for the water drill head and intensifier system
- Providing oversight and technical direction for the vendor design, fabrication, and testing of the water drill
- Preparing a vendor information (VI) file for the water drill system
- Preparing a test plan/procedure, and test report to document the methods and

- results of qualification testing of the water drill system
- Providing technical direction for qualification testing of the water drill system
- Preparing installation/operation work instructions for the water drill system
- Providing technical direction for the preparation of training materials and training of operations personnel
- Completing the engineering portion of the ABU form provided in Appendix A.

The responsible personnel and organizations for the tasks listed above along with other responsibilities are identified in Section 4.0.

3.3 DESIGN VERIFICATION AND TESTING

3.3.1 Design Reviews & Inspections

Design verification of the water drill system shall be performed in accordance with HNF-PRO-445, *Design Verification Requirements* (HNF 1997l). Two design reviews are planned: an initial review prior to fabrication of components, and a final design review following functional testing, after any required design changes have been incorporated. The initial design review may be split into two separate reviews depending on when the vendor design is ready for review. These design reviews will be formal reviews if the water drill system or some of its components are designated as Safety Class SSCs based on the Hazards Assessment. Otherwise, other design reviews methods such as independent reviews may be used.

Included in these design reviews will be a review from the Radiological Control organization in accordance with HNF-PRO-1622, *Radiological Design Review Process* (HNF 1998). The purpose of these design reviews will be to ensure that appropriate methods and considerations are integrated into the design to maintain occupational exposures ALARA during the installation/operation/removal of the UHP water drill and saltwell screen.

A Quality Assurance (QA) inspection plan shall be prepared in accordance with HNF-PRO-268, *Control of Purchased Items and Services* (HNF 1997f), to verify that the vendor's water drill head design meets the requirements identified in the procurement specification (which will include applicable design requirements from this ETP). This inspection will consist of a source inspection and/or receipt inspection of the vendor fabricated water drill head. QA witness of water drill functional testing at the vendor's facility may be included as part of the QA inspection plan.

3.3.2 Testing

Initial scoping tests are planned to help compare the strength and hardness of the BY-105 waste with known material, i.e., Portland cement. These tests will consist of core drilling various mixtures of Portland cement to accomplish two basic objectives. The first objective is to compare the down force and penetration rate data obtained from core drilling BY-105 waste to load and penetration rate data for different mixtures of Portland cement. The mixtures planned consist of

using 25%, 50%, 100%, 150%, and 200% of the optimal amount of water. Because the cement was put into BY-105 in a dry condition, it was most likely not well mixed with the liquid waste present in the tank, such that the optimal water mixture ratio was not obtained. This process most likely resulted in a much weaker cement mixture.

If testing shows that the BY-105 waste is much softer than high strength Portland cement, then the second objective will be to choose a cement mixture that is comparable to the strength characteristics of the BY-105 waste so that a more realistic simulant can be used for water drill functional tests. A "best case" and a "worst case" simulant would be used for the tests to allow design decisions to be based on more realistic conditions. Depending on the results of these scoping tests, it may be necessary to reevaluate the need for developing a new UHP water drill. These tests may indicate that an existing water lance may be adequate for penetrating the hard waste in tank BY-105.

Qualification testing is the final design verification method appropriate for the water drill system. Qualification testing shall be performed in accordance with HNF-PRO-446, *Testing Requirements* (HNF 1997m). A test plan/procedure will be prepared to identify the requirements and methods for qualification testing followed by a test report to summarize the results.

3.4 PROCUREMENT TASKS

Procurement activities will be coordinated by Cogema personnel with approval from Lockheed Martin Hanford Company (LMHC) for major purchases. Procurement of safety class equipment, if required, shall be performed in accordance with HNF-PRO-447, *Procurement of Safety Structures, Systems, and Components and Management of Spares* (HNF 1997n). Any CGI forms required to support procurement activities will be prepared in accordance with HNF-IP-0842, Volume IV, Section 3.11, "Replacement Item Evaluation, Commercial Grade Item Dedication and Upgrade" (LMHC 1998a).

A procurement specification will be prepared in accordance with HNF-PRO-241, *Engineering Specification Requirements* (HNF 1997c), for design, fabrication, and functional testing of the water drill system. The procurement specification will also include the rental/lease of the high pressure water intensifier system, as required.

All materials and components for safety SSCs shall be procured in accordance with HNF-PRO-447 (HNF 1997n). Material certifications, in accordance with the applicable ASTM/ASME material standards, shall be required for safety class purchased raw material and weld filler metal. Material certifications shall be traceable to the material heat or lot number. Traceability to the material certifications shall be maintained during fabrication as noted by the associated drawing, sketch, or specification. QA/QC inspection will be performed on safety class raw material procurements to assure identification and traceability in accordance with HNF-PRO-268 (HNF 1997f).

3.5 FABRICATION TASKS

Fabrication of components shall meet the requirements specified on the drawings and this task plan. Design and fabrication of the water drill system shall be in accordance with HNF-PRO-442, *Development Control Requirements* (HNF 1997j). The requirements to be followed are those for hardware with facility-use potential.

Drawings, sketches, and specifications shall be identified as "Development Control" per HNF-PRO-442. Two complete independent sets of these fabrication drawings shall be maintained with identical information, and updated on a daily basis (if drawing changes are required). One set is to be in the responsible engineer's possession and one set is to be with the fabrication package. Changes, additions, or deletions to development control drawings, sketches, or specifications shall be controlled either by marking the change in red ink or by preparing additional pages or sketches and identifying traceability to the affected drawing, sketch, or specification. A logbook of drawing changes and their location is to be maintained with the drawings. For all drawing modifications, the affected area shall be clouded in red, signed, and dated by the responsible engineer or his designee.

At the end of fabrication, all development control changes shall be incorporated into the appropriate engineering documents. Engineering drawings shall be prepared and released as H-series drawings in accordance with HNF-PRO-242, *Engineering Drawing Requirements* (HNF 1997d). Engineering specifications shall be prepared and released in accordance with HNF-PRO-241 (HNF 1997c). All subsequent changes to released drawings and documents shall be controlled using the engineering change notice (ECN) process in accordance with HNF-PRO-440, *Engineering Document Change Control Requirements* (HNF 1997i).

3.6 INSTALLATION TASKS

All installation activities associated with this task plan including development of installation procedures/work instructions and work packages will be managed and performed by LMHC personnel or their designates.

3.7 OPERATIONAL TESTS

Following fabrication of the water drill system components, a qualification test will be performed to verify that the system meets the design requirements. The final part of this qualification test will include an operability test in a non-radioactive environment conducted and evaluated by TWRS Operations personnel. The operability test will simulate operation/installation of the water drill system into a waste tank through a mock-up riser. This test will also be used to evaluate the installation procedures/work package by stepping through the procedures/work package during the water drill system operation. Currently, it is planned to have the operational test at the 277-W Fabrication Shop. However, if resources are limited, testing could be performed at the 305 or 306E Facility, where qualification testing will be performed.

3.8 ACCEPTANCE FOR BENEFICIAL USE

The ABU process that will be used for this activity will conform with HNF-IP-0842, Volume IV, Section 3.12, "Acceptance of Structures, Systems, and Components for Beneficial Use" (LMHC 1998b). The actual ABU checklist/forms are provided in Appendix A. After completion of the testing of the water drill system, the ABU form will be completed and signed. The signed ABU form will be added to this task plan using the Engineering Change Notice (ECN) process in accordance with HNF-PRO-440. The ABU constitutes final acceptance of the system by TWRS Operations.

4.0 ORGANIZATION

The task descriptions and responsibilities are outlined in the following sections. Signatures on the engineering data transmittal (EDT) for this document indicate agreement for the task responsibility, schedule, and estimated costs by the responsible organization.

4.1 CHARACTERIZATION EQUIPMENT ENGINEERING

Project Manager:	C. E. Hanson
Lead Project Engineer:	G. A. Ritter
ORG:	S7000

- Provide overall planning, scheduling, budgeting, and coordination of the project.
- Prepare this task plan including the functions and requirements specified in Section 3.1.1.
- Provide technical input for the preparation of the USQ screening/determination and the Hazards Assessment, as required.
- Prepare the CGI forms, FGEAB review package, and prepare/update the SEL, as required.
- Provide design/drafting support for the preparation of engineering drawings and sketches and coordinate design reviews.
- Coordinate and support the fabrication and procurement of all components of the water drill system including preparing a procurement specification, and providing technical oversight for vendor design, fabrication, and functional testing.
- Prepare a VI file to archive important vendor information.

- Prepare the test plan/procedure and test report and provide technical direction for qualification testing of the water drill system.
- Support preparation of the installation/operation work instructions, training materials, and support training to Operations personnel.
- Prepare the ABU forms and coordinate approval of the ABU.
- Approve all engineering and safety documentation associated with the water drill system development.

4.2 TWRS INTERIM STABILIZATION ENGINEERING

Cognizant Manager:	M. R. Koch
Cognizant Engineer:	C. B. McVey
Design Authority:	P. F. Kison
ORG:	74920

- Provide funding and project management along with performing the Design Authority, Cognizant Engineer, and Cognizant Manager function.
- Provide technical direction for the preparation of this ETP including the functions and requirements and the ABU for the water drill system.
- Prepare the USQ screening and determination and provide technical direction for preparation of the Hazards Assessment, as required.
- Determine safety class designations for SSCs associated with the water drill system.
- Provide technical direction for the preparation of the SEL, CGI forms, and FGEAB review package, as required.
- Provide technical direction for the preparation of engineering drawings, sketches, and specifications (procurement specification), and perform design reviews.
- Provide technical direction for qualification testing of the water drill system.
- Review and approve the installation/operation work instructions, and support training to Operations personnel.
- Support the assessment of readiness, as required.
- Approve all engineering and safety documentation associated with the water drill system development.

4.3 TWRS OPERATIONS AND PROJECT SAFETY SUPPORT

Manager: C. E. Leach
Engineer: TBD
ORG: 2N140

- Prepare the Hazards Assessment, as required, for the water drill system as it relates to installation, operation, and removal from tank BY-105.

4.4 TWRS NUCLEAR SAFETY

Manager: W. T. Dixon
Engineer: L. S. Krogsrud
ORG: 7B300

- Perform design reviews of water drill system design including vendor drill head design.
- Provide technical direction for the preparation of the USQ screening, USQ determination, and Hazards Assessment for the water drill system.
- Review and approve safety class designations.
- Review and approve all safety documentation associated with the water drill system including coordinating all required safety reviews, i.e., Nuclear Safety, Industrial Health and Safety, and Fire Protection Safety.
- Support the assessment of readiness, as required.

4.5 TWRS RADIOLOGICAL CONTROL

Manager: R. J. Giordano
Engineer: J. E. Pieper
ORG: 79700

- Perform radiological design reviews for implementation of ALARA engineering practices.
- Review and approve all radiological related safety documentation associated with the water drill system.
- Support the assessment of readiness, as required.

- Provide Radiation Work Planners and Health Physics Technicians in support of field activities.

4.6 TWRS QUALITY ASSURANCE

Manager: S. M. Byers
Engineer: M. C. Tipps
ORG: 7B400

- Review and approve engineering documentation, as required.
- Perform design reviews of water drill system design including vendor drill head design.
- Prepare QA inspection plan for the vendor's water drill head and perform the necessary inspection activities to assure conformance to the procurement specification, including QA witness of vendor functional testing, as required.
- Perform design verification activities including witnessing qualification testing and inspecting as-built engineering drawings to ensure the documentation reflects the final system configuration.
- Support the assessment of readiness, as required.

4.7 TWRS ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITS/POLICY

Manager: D. J. Carrell
Engineer: C. J. Grando
ORG: 7B210

- Revise/update the NOC to authorize installation/operation of the water drill in tank BY-105, as required.

4.8 TWRS OPERATIONS TRAINING

Manager: J. M. Morris
Engineer: T. C. Bender
ORG: 79620

- Prepare training materials and support training of Operations personnel.

4.9 NUMATEC HANFORD COMPANY EQUIPMENT DEVELOPMENT AND TESTING LABORATORIES (305 & 306E FACILITIES)

Manager: M. J. Schliebe
Responsible Engineer: TBD
ORG: 8C900

- Provide fabrication support for the water drill system test fixtures, as required.
- Perform/support scoping tests using the test drill rig and qualification tests of the water drill system, and support training of TWRS Operations personnel, as required.
- Support equipment operation in the field during turnover to TWRS Operations, as required.

4.10 DYNACORP HANFORD FABRICATION SERVICES

Manager: M. A. Butterworth
Fabrication Engineer: TBD
ORG: 52000

- Provide fabrication support for the riser interface and deployment mechanism components.
- Maintain a quality work package to allow final equipment QA inspection and acceptance. (typical contents: assembly instructions, weld records, material certifications, QC inspections, as required)
- Provide support for operational testing and training of Operations personnel.

4.11 DYNACORP HANFORD CRANE & RIGGING SERVICES

Manager: W. A. Ferree
Supervisor: TBD
ORG: 55200

- Provide crane and rigging support for qualification testing of the water drill system, as required.

4.12 TWRS INTERIM STABILIZATION OPERATIONS

Manager: D. J. Saueressig
 Supervisor: M. N. Johnson
 ORG: 79480

- Provide operations review of water drill system design and engineering documentation, as required.
- Provide personnel (supervisor, operators, etc.) for the qualification testing and training for the water drill system.
- Review and approve the installation/operation work instructions and work package for operation of the water drill system in tank BY-105.
- Support the assessment or readiness, as required, and field operation of the water drill system.

4.13 TWRS PRODUCTION CONTROL

Manager: J. A. Crawford
 Planner: TBD
 ORG: 79220

- Prepare the work package including the installation/operation work instructions and assemble necessary support documentation (e.g., ALARA management work sheets, radiation work permits, job hazards analysis, etc).

5.0 SCHEDULE AND COST ESTIMATE

The schedule for the development of the water drill system is given in Appendix B. This schedule assumes the project starts at the beginning of October 1998, following approval of this ETP and approval of task orders, as required. A summary of the primary activities are as follows.

Complete initial design review of support equipment	11/27/98
Award contract for water drill head design/fabrication	12/3/98
Complete vendor functional testing of drill head	2/5/99
Complete fabrication of support equipment	2/26/99
Complete receipt inspection of vendor drill head	3/3/99
Complete qualification testing for water drill system	4/7/99
Complete operations training for water drill system	4/21/99
Issue ABU	5/3/99
Complete assessment of readiness for water drill system	5/24/99

The detailed cost estimate by organization for FY98 and FY99 is also provided in Appendix B. The total estimated costs without G&A and CSP adders are \$510K. With a 15% contingency, the cost estimate is \$586K. The cost estimate is shown in man-hours and total dollars based on rates given in the appendix.

6.0 CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT

The new documentation and hardware developed as part of this task will be maintained under configuration control in accordance with HNF-IP-0842, TWRS Administration Procedures, Volume IV, Section 3.5, "Engineering Documents" (LMHC 1996), and the project Hanford procedures identified as follows. All engineering documents to be maintained under configuration control shall be uniquely identified in accordance with HNF-PRO-227, *Engineering Document Identification* (HNF 1997a).

Supporting documents shall be assigned a unique identification number in accordance with HNF-PRO-439, *Supporting Document Requirements* (HNF 1997h). Using an engineering data transmittal (EDT) form, supporting documents will be reviewed, approved, and released in accordance with HNF-PRO-244, *Engineering Data Transmittal Requirements* (HNF 1997e), and HNF-PRO-317, *Engineering Release and Approval Requirements* (HNF 1997g). All engineering documents shall be submitted to Document Control Services for processing, release, and archival.

Engineering specifications shall be numbered, prepared, released, and maintained in accordance with HNF-PRO-241 (HNF 1997c). Vendor information will be compiled, identified, and controlled in accordance with HNF-PRO-444, *Vendor Information Requirements* (HNF 1997k). These documents are reviewed, approved, and released in the same manner as supporting documents.

As described in Section 3.5, the configuration of drawings of water drill system components to be fabricated on the Hanford Site will be under development control during fabrication in accordance with HNF-PRO-442 (HNF 1997j). Following fabrication, any changes/redlines will be incorporated and drawings will be reviewed, approved, and released in accordance with HNF-PRO-242 (HNF 1997d). Drawings will be assigned a unique H-series number and the cognizant engineer with concurrence from the cognizant manager, will determine the classification for the drawings, i.e., essential, support, or general.

All subsequent changes to the engineering documents described above shall be controlled using ECNs in accordance with HNF-PRO-440 (HNF 1997i).

7.0 QUALITY ASSURANCE

All design documentation (drawings, functions & requirements, procurement specifications, etc.) associated with this task shall be assigned approval designators by the

cognizant engineer with concurrence from the cognizant manager in accordance with HNF-PRO-233, *Review and Approval of Documents* (HNF 1997b). Those documents given an approval designator "Q" shall be reviewed and approved by Quality Assurance. The final equipment inspection and qualification testing will be performed in accordance with WHC-CM-4-2, *Quality Assurance Manual* (WHC 1991).

8.0 SAFETY AND AUTHORIZATION BASIS

No changes to the existing authorization basis are anticipated associated with the installation/operation of the water drill system. A USQ screening/determination will be performed based on the preliminary design in accordance with HNF-IP-0842, Volume IV, Section 5.4, "Unreviewed Safety Questions" (LMHC 1998c). Following completion of the USQ screening and a conceptual design, a hazards assessment will be performed, if required, in accordance with HNF-PRO-704, *Hazard and Accident Analysis Process* (HNF 1997o). This new UHP water drill is very similar to the UHP water drill that has been used several times for TVP installation, so it is very possible that a hazards assessment will not be required because the hazards associated with the new drill should have been previously analyzed. A USQ determination will then be completed, if required, based on the results of the USQ screening and hazards assessment to authorize installation/operation of the water drill system in the tank farms or to identify any need for modifying the AB. The need for amending any other safety authorization documents, e.g., NOC, Clean Air Permit, etc., will also be evaluated. UHP water lancing operations are outside the bounds of the existing NOC based on a preliminary review. Therefore, the NOC must be revised, or, approval from the Washington State Department of Health (WDOH) may be obtained at a WDOH routine meeting if it can be shown that potential emissions from the new UHP water drill are bounded by the calculations in the existing NOC.

The hazards assessment will be the basis for the safety classification of the water drill system components. Safety classifications will be designated by the design authority with input from the cognizant engineer and concurrence from the cognizant manager in accordance with HNF-PRO-704 (HNF 1997o). If any of the components of the water drill system are designated as safety class or safety significant, the SEL will then be prepared/updated, as applicable, in accordance with HNF-IP-0842, Volume IV, Section 5.2, "Safety Equipment Lists" (LMHC 1998b). CGI forms will also be prepared, as applicable, in accordance with HNF-IP-0842, Volume IV, Section 3.11 (LMHC 1998a).

All design documentation associated with this task shall be assigned approval designators by the cognizant engineer with concurrence from the cognizant manager in accordance with HNF-PRO-233 (HNF 1997b). Those documents given an approval designator "S" shall be reviewed and approved by the appropriate TWRS safety organization. In addition, any radiological related safety documentation will be reviewed and approved by TWRS Radiological Control.

9.0 SYSTEMS ENGINEERING

This task plan defines the work required to achieve the final objective of installing a saltwell screen in Tank BY-105. The interfaces between the participating organizations are also identified and therefore this task plan meets the requirements of the systems engineering function.

10.0 CLOSEOUT COSTS

If this project is terminated prior to completion, funds would be required to document the status of incomplete tasks and store incomplete work in a retrievable format or location. It is estimated that roughly \$20K - \$50K would be required to perform closeout activities depending on the progress made toward project completion.

11.0 REFERENCES

- Boger, R. M., 1997, "Engineering Task Plan," DI-75200-002-00, Rev. 1, Lockheed Martin Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
- HNF, 1997a, *Project Hanford Policies and Procedures Engineering Document Identification*, HNF-PRO-227, Rev. 0, Fluor Daniel Hanford Inc., Richland, Washington.
- HNF, 1997b, *Project Hanford Policies and Procedures Review and Approval of Documents*, HNF-PRO-233, Rev. 0, Fluor Daniel Hanford Inc., Richland, Washington.
- HNF, 1997c, *Project Hanford Policies and Procedures Engineering Specification Requirements*, HNF-PRO-241, Rev. 0, Fluor Daniel Hanford Inc., Richland, Washington.
- HNF, 1997d, *Project Hanford Policies and Procedures Engineering Drawing Requirements*, HNF-PRO-242, Rev. 1, Fluor Daniel Hanford Inc., Richland, Washington.
- HNF, 1997e, *Project Hanford Policies and Procedures Engineering Data Transmittal Requirements*, HNF-PRO-244, Rev. 0, Fluor Daniel Hanford Inc., Richland, Washington.
- HNF, 1997f, *Project Hanford Policies and Procedures Control of Purchased Items and Services*, HNF-PRO-268, Rev. 0, Fluor Daniel Hanford Inc., Richland, Washington.
- HNF, 1997g, *Project Hanford Policies and Procedures Engineering Release and Approval Requirements*, HNF-PRO-317, Rev. 0, Fluor Daniel Hanford Inc., Richland, Washington.
- HNF, 1997h, *Project Hanford Policies and Procedures Supporting Document Requirements*, HNF-PRO-439, Rev. 0, Fluor Daniel Hanford Inc., Richland, Washington.

- HNF, 1997i, *Project Hanford Policies and Procedures Engineering Document Change Control Requirements*, HNF-PRO-440, Rev. 1, Fluor Daniel Hanford Inc., Richland, Washington.
- HNF, 1997j, *Project Hanford Policies and Procedures Development Control Requirements*, HNF-PRO-442, Rev. 0, Fluor Daniel Hanford Inc., Richland, Washington.
- HNF, 1997k, *Project Hanford Policies and Procedures Vendor Information Requirements*, HNF-PRO-444, Rev. 0, Fluor Daniel Hanford Inc., Richland, Washington.
- HNF, 1997l, *Project Hanford Policies and Procedures Design Verification Requirements*, HNF-PRO-445, Rev. 0, Fluor Daniel Hanford Inc., Richland, Washington.
- HNF, 1997m, *Project Hanford Policies and Procedures Testing Requirements*, HNF-PRO-446, Rev. 1, Fluor Daniel Hanford Inc., Richland, Washington.
- HNF, 1997n, *Project Hanford Policies and Procedures Procurement of Safety Class Items and Management of Spares*, HNF-PRO-447, Rev. 1, Fluor Daniel Hanford Inc., Richland, Washington.
- HNF, 1997o, *Project Hanford Policies and Procedures Hazard and Accident Analysis Process*, HNF-PRO-704, Rev. 0, Fluor Daniel Hanford Inc., Richland, Washington.
- HNF, 1998, *Project Hanford Policies and Procedures Radiological Design Review Process*, HNF-PRO-1622, Rev. 0, Fluor Daniel Hanford Inc., Richland, Washington.
- LMHC, 1996, *TWRS Administration*, HNF-IP-0842, Volume IV, Section 3.5, Rev. 1, "Engineering Documents," Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation, Richland, Washington.
- LMHC, 1998a, *TWRS Administration*, HNF-IP-0842, Volume IV, Section 3.11, Rev. 1, "Replacement Item Evaluation, Commercial Grade Item Dedication and Upgrade," Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation, Richland, Washington.
- LMHC, 1998b, *TWRS Administration*, HNF-IP-0842, Volume IV, Section 3.12, Rev. 1b, "Acceptance of Structures, Systems, and Components for Beneficial Use," Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation, Richland, Washington.
- LMHC, 1998c, *TWRS Administration*, HNF-IP-0842, Volume IV, Section 5.4, Rev. 10g, "Unreviewed Safety Questions," Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation, Richland, Washington.
- LMHC, 1998d, *TWRS Administration*, HNF-IP-0842, Volume IV, Section 5.2, Rev. 1a, "Safety Equipment Lists," Lockheed Martin Hanford Corporation, Richland, Washington.
- WHC, 1991, *Quality Assurance Manual*, WHC-CM-4-2, latest revision, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.

APPENDIX A - ACCEPTANCE FOR BENEFICIAL USE FORM

ACCEPTANCE FOR BENEFICIAL USE (ABU)					
1. Document Title: ENGINEERING TASK PLAN FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF A HIGH PRESSURE WATER DRILL SYSTEM FOR BY-105 SALTWELL SCREEN INSTALLATION			2. Engineering Task No. TBD		
3. Document No. HNF-3225	4. Project No. NA	5. SSC Designator TBD	6. System/ Bldg/Facility 200General	7. Equip/ Component No. BY-105 Water Drill System	8. ECN/EDT No. EDT
9. Final ABU () Partial ABU ()			10. ATP Rerun Required () Yes () No		
11. Description of Work					
12. Description of Work to be Completed		13. Scheduled Completion Date	14. Responsibility for Completion	15. Impact on Operations/Safety	
See Section 3.1 and 3.2 of HNF-3225		5/3/99	GA Ritter	Water drill system installation/operation in accordance with the Authorization Basis and State operating permits.	

16. Documents or Other Items/Tasks to be Updated	17. Fund Source	18. Doc #	19. Responsibility for Completion	20. Required prior to final ABU (Yes/No)
<u>TRAINING:</u>				
• Training manuals ()				
• Training to Operating Crews (x)			TC Bender	Yes
• Training to Maintenance Crews ()				
<u>ENGINEERING:</u>				
• Engineering Procedure ()				
• Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR) ()				
• Safety Assessment (SA) ()				
• Authorization Basis Documentation (x)		TBD	GA Ritter	Yes
• Functional Design Criteria (FDC) ()				
• Conceptual Design Report (CDR) ()				
• Supplemental Design Requirements Document (SDRD) ()				
• System Design Description (SDD) ()				
• Test procedures/specifications (x)		TBD	GA Ritter	Yes
• Safety Equipment List (x)		TBD	GA Ritter	Yes
• Environmental Impact Statement ()				
• Environmental Report ()				
• Environmental Permit (x)		TBD	CJ Grando	Yes
• Stress/Seismic Analysis ()				
• Stress/Design Report ()				
• Equipment Specification ()				
• Procurement Specification (x)		TBD	GA Ritter	Yes
• Construction Specification ()				
• Essential Material Specification ()				
• Technical Specification ()				
• As-built Drawing (x)		TBD	GA Ritter	No
• Interface Control Drawing ()				
• Computer Software ()				
• DCM ()				

[illegible]

Signature	Date
<u>OPERATIONS AND ENGINEERING</u>	
Cog/Field Engineer CB McVey	_____
Cog/Field Mgr. MR Koch	_____
QA MC Tipps	_____
Safety LS Krogsrud	_____
Radiological Control JE Pieper	_____
Projects Stabilization	_____
Modification Projects	_____
Interim Stabilization Ops DJ Saueressig	_____
Design Engineer/Agent GA Ritter	_____
Design Authority PF Kison	_____

APPENDIX B - SCHEDULE AND COST ESTIMATE

SCHEDULE FOR DEVELOPMENT OF A WATER DRILL SYSTEM FOR BY-105 SALT WELL SCREEN INSTALLATION

ID	Task Name	Dur	Start	Finish	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May
1	WATER DRILL SYSTEM	207 d	8/10/1998	5/25/1999										
2	PROJECT MANAGEMENT	207 d	8/10/1998	5/25/1999										
3	APPROVE TASK ORDER FOR ETP	5 d	8/10/1998	8/14/1998										
4	PREPARE DRAFT ETP (FARs)	20 d	8/10/1998	9/4/1998										
5	REVIEW AND APPROVE ETP	10 d	9/7/1998	9/18/1998										
6	REVISE TASK ORDER	10 d	9/21/1998	10/2/1998										
7	MANAGEMENT OVERSIGHT	207 d	8/10/1998	5/25/1999										
8	AUTHORIZATION BASIS	133 d	10/19/1998	4/21/1999										
9	WRITE USQ SCREENING	10 d	10/19/1998	10/30/1998										
10	PERFORM HAZARDS ASSESS.	30 d	11/2/1998	12/11/1998										
11	WRITE USQ DETERMINATION	10 d	12/14/1998	12/25/1998										
12	REVISE NOC AS REQUIRED	30 d	12/14/1998	1/22/1999										
13	FOGAB PRESENTATION	5 d	4/8/1999	4/14/1999										
14	FOGAB REPORT	5 d	4/15/1999	4/21/1999										
15	DETERMINE SAFETY SSCs	20 d	12/14/1998	1/8/1999										
16	PREPARE SEL	20 d	1/11/1999	2/5/1999										
17	REVIEW AND APPROVE SEL	10 d	2/8/1999	2/19/1999										
18	ISSUE SEL	1 d	2/22/1999	2/22/1999										
19	ISSUE & APPROVE CGI FORMS	10 d	4/8/1999	4/21/1999										
20	DESIGN	138 d	10/19/1998	4/28/1999										
21	PREPARE DESIGN SKETCHES	20 d	10/19/1998	11/13/1998										

SCHEDULE FOR DEVELOPMENT OF A WATER DRILL SYSTEM FOR BY-105 SALT WELL SCREEN INSTALLATION

ID	Task Name	Dur	Start	Finish	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May
22	DEPLOYMENT MECHANISM	20 d	10/19/1998	11/13/1998										
23	TANK INTERFACE	20 d	10/19/1998	11/13/1998										
24	IDENTIFY AUXILIARY EQUIP.	20 d	10/19/1998	11/13/1998										
25	WATER SOURCE	20 d	10/19/1998	11/13/1998										
26	ELECTRICAL POWER	20 d	10/19/1998	11/13/1998										
27	HOISTING REQMT'S	20 d	10/19/1998	11/13/1998										
28	PERFORM INITIAL DESIGN REVIEW	10 d	11/16/1998	11/27/1998										
29	PERFORM FINAL DESIGN REVIEW	10 d	2/8/1999	2/19/1999										
30	AS-BUILD DRAWINGS	15 d	4/8/1999	4/28/1999										
31	PROCUREMENT OF WATER JET	128 d	10/5/1998	3/31/1999										
32	WRITE PROCUREMENT SPEC.	10 d	10/5/1998	10/16/1998										
33	REVIEW AND APPROVE SPEC.	10 d	10/19/1998	10/30/1998										
34	ISSUE PROC. SPEC.	1 d	11/2/1998	11/2/1998										
35	WRITE P.O.	3 d	11/3/1998	11/5/1998										
36	ISSUE RFP	10 d	11/8/1998	11/19/1998										
37	EVALUATE PROPOSALS	5 d	11/20/1998	11/26/1998										
38	PLACE CONTRACT	5 d	11/27/1998	12/3/1998										
39	VENDOR DESIGN	15 d	12/4/1998	12/24/1998										
40	REVIEW VENDOR DESIGN	5 d	12/25/1998	12/31/1998										
41	APPROVE VENDOR DESIGN	1 d	1/1/1999	1/1/1999										
42	VENDOR FABRICATION	20 d	1/4/1999	1/23/1999										

SCHEDULE FOR DEVELOPMENT OF A WATER DRILL SYSTEM FOR BY-105 SALT WELL SCREEN INSTALLATION

ID	Task Name	Dur	Start	Finish	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May
43	VENDOR TEST PLAN	10 d	1/4/1999	1/15/1999										
44	REVIEW/APPROVE TEST PLAN	10 d	1/18/1999	1/28/1999										
45	VENDOR FUNCTIONAL TEST	5 d	2/1/1999	2/6/1999										
46	TRAVEL TO VENDOR-2 TRIPS	10 d	2/1/1999	2/12/1999										
47	VENDOR FUNCTIONAL TEST REPORT	10 d	2/8/1999	2/18/1999										
48	INCORPORATE DESIGN CHANGES	10 d	2/8/1999	2/19/1999										
49	SHIP WATER JET HEAD	3 d	2/22/1999	2/24/1999										
50	WRITE QA INSP PLAN	10 d	2/1/1999	2/12/1999										
51	RECEIPT INSPECTION	5 d	2/25/1999	3/3/1999										
52	PREPARE VI FILE	20 d	3/4/1999	3/31/1999										
53	FABRICATION	65 d	1/13/1999	2/28/1999										
54	PREPARE WORK ORDERS	10 d	1/13/1999	1/21/1999										
55	PROCURE MATERIALS	25 d	1/21/1999	1/15/1999										
56	FAB. DEPLOYMENT MECH.	30 d	1/18/1999	2/26/1999										
57	FAB. TANK INTERFACE	30 d	1/18/1999	2/26/1999										
58	TESTING	184 d	9/7/1998	5/20/1999										
59	PERFORM DRILLING TEST WORKMENT	30 d	9/7/1998	10/16/1998										
60	PREP SIMULANT/PROCURE MATLS	20 d	9/7/1998	10/21/1998										
61	PERFORM TESTS	5 d	10/5/1998	10/9/1998										
62	EVALUATE RESULTS	5 d	10/12/1998	10/16/1998										
63	DEVELOP TEST PLAN/PROCEDURE	20 d	11/30/1998	12/25/1998										

SCHEDULE FOR DEVELOPMENT OF A WATER DRILL SYSTEM FOR BY-105 SALT WELL SCREEN INSTALLATION

ID	Task Name	Dur	Start	Finish	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May
64	REVIEW AND APPROVE TEST PLAN	10 d	12/28/1989	1/8/1990										
65	ISSUE TEST PLAN	1 d	1/11/1990	1/11/1990										
66	PREPARE TEST SITE	20 d	1/12/1990	2/8/1990										
67	PROCURE SIMULANT MATERIALS	10 d	1/12/1990	1/25/1990										
68	PREP. TEST SIMULANT	20 d	1/25/1990	2/22/1990										
69	STAGE HARDWARE	5 d	3/4/1990	3/10/1990										
70	PERFORM QUALIFICATION TEST	20 d	3/11/1990	4/7/1990										
71	PREPARE TEST REPORT	20 d	4/8/1990	5/5/1990										
72	REVIEW AND APPROVE TEST REPORT	10 d	5/6/1990	5/19/1990										
73	ISSUE TEST REPORT	1 d	5/20/1990	5/20/1990										
74	FINAL QA INSPECTIONS	5 d	4/22/1990	4/28/1990										
75	PROCEDURES	20 d	3/11/1990	4/7/1990										
76	DEVELOP INSTALLATION PROC.	10 d	3/11/1990	3/24/1990										
77	REVIEW/APPROVE INST. PROC.	10 d	3/25/1990	4/7/1990										
78	TRAINING	20 d	3/25/1990	4/21/1990										
79	DEVELOP TRAINING MATERIALS	10 d	3/25/1990	4/7/1990										
80	TRAIN OPERATORS	10 d	4/8/1990	4/21/1990										
81	ISSUE ABU	3 d	4/23/1990	5/3/1990										
82	ASSESSMENT OF READINESS	15 d	5/4/1990	5/24/1990										
83	SET-UP BY-105	1 d	5/25/1990	5/25/1990										

9/30/98
8:43 AM

Wintest5.xls

BY-106 WATER DRILL SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT												
COST ESTIMATE (NOTE: Rates are based on HANC 958 P2 resource rate table)												
9-Sep-98												
TASK#	DESCRIPTION	COCOMA ENGINEERING					NHC			LOCKHEED MARTIN HANFORD COMPANY		
		ENGR	MGMT	ADMIN	DRAFT	TESTING	ENVRON	MECH E	QA/QC	SAFETY	RADCON	CHEM E
	rate \$->	\$9,000	\$8,000	\$8,000	\$6,000	\$9,000	\$8,000	\$8,000	\$8,000	\$8,000	\$8,000	\$8,000
	P2 has test->	88	88	88	88	88	88	88	88	88	88	88
		\$18,000	\$7,000	\$18,000	\$18,000	\$4,100	78,200	74,920	78,400	78,500	79,700	74,920
	PROJECT MANAGEMENT											
	ETP (with F&R)	194	200					40	10	8	2	10
	management oversight											
	AUTHORIZATION BASIS											
	USG screening/determination	40						60		10		
	PGEAB	20						10				
	hazard assessment	20					240	20		10		
	SEC	40						10				
	CGI	40						10		10		
	DESIGN & DRAWINGS											
	task interface	80					160	20				
	task interface	80					160	20				
	design reviews	40						20	30	24	6	40
	PROCUREMENT OF WATER JET											
	procurement specification	120		10				20	10	8	2	
	procurement plan	20						20				
	QA inspection plan	20						20				
	procure equipment											
	travel-1 trip	10										
	HANFORD FABRICATION											
	deployment mech & tank interface	60										
	TESTING											
	perform scoring tests	20				160						
	load cell experiment	120		20				80	10	10		
	prepare test site	60				160						
	prepare test simulant					40						
	test report	160		20				80	10	10		
	perform testing	160				240		160	60	10		
	functional test	20						10	20			
	VI file	20		10				10				
	TRAINING											
	prepare training materials	20		10				40				120
	perform training	40										
	DEPLOY TO FIELD											
	prepare installation procedures	40		10				120	10	8	2	
	complete ABU	10						10				
	assessment of readiness	40						40	40	32	8	
	CONTINGENCY											
	TOTALS	1544	200	100	320	600	240	870	240	150	20	50
		\$92,840	\$16,000	\$4,000	\$19,200	\$48,000	\$16,080	\$88,290	\$16,080	\$10,050	\$1,340	\$3,380
												\$8,040

9/30/98
8:43 AM

Wipent5.xls

|--|