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ABSTRACT

The Plutonium(IV) Oxalate Precipitation and Calcination Process for converting plutonium nitrate to plutonium oxide is described for a 100-kg plutonium per day (Pu/day) throughput facility. Block flow diagrams, equipment flowsheets, and stream material balances are included. Advantages and disadvantages of the process, additional research and development necessary, and history of the process are also discussed. This report is one of a series describing various processes for converting plutonium nitrate to oxide. The information in this report should be used when comparing the various processes, and as a starting point for development of a prototype or plant-scale facility.

INTRODUCTION

Plutonium (Pu) recovered from spent nuclear fuel by the Purex solvent-extraction process is in the form of plutonium nitrate [Pu(NO₃)ₓ] solution, whereas the starting material for fabrication of plutonium-containing fuel rods is solid plutonium oxide (PuO₂). It is, therefore, necessary to convert Pu(NO₃), to PuO₂. If fuel fabrication plants are separated geographically from reprocessing plants, shipping regulations require plutonium to be in a solid form (1). Therefore, PuO₂ is a logical product of a fuel reprocessing plant.

Many different processes for converting plutonium nitrate to oxide (PNO), exist; seven of which are considered in the Rocky Flats PNO project. This report describes precipitation of plutonium(IV) oxalate, with subsequent calcination of the precipitate to PuO₂. Other PNO processes, such as Fluidized Bed Direct Denitration, Mechanical Direct Denitration Using A Screw Calciner, Pu(III) Oxalate Precipitation, Batch Direct Denitration, Sol-Gel, and Peroxide Precipitation, are described in other reports of this series.

Each time an industrial or government organization considers building a plutonium nitrate-to-oxide facility, various candidate processes are compared before making a final selection. The process selected depends on the information the particular organization has concerning the process and the criteria developed by that organization. This report (and the others in this series) will provide a body of information which may be used as a basis for the comparisons.

For comparison, all the PNO processes are described for a fuel reprocessing plant having a maximum throughput of 100 kg Pu/day, based on a 1500-tonne per

¹Number in parenthesis refer to references at end of text.
year fuel recycle facility, feed containing 1% Pu, and an average operation of 300 days per year.

Feedstock to the PNO conversion unit is plutonium nitrate from the Purex process, and is expected to meet the ASTM C710-73 standard specification for plutonium nitrate solutions, as listed in Table I. This should result in a product that will meet the plutonium oxide impurity standards described in ASTM Standard C 757-74a. These and other plutonium oxide impurity standards are listed in Table II.

A waste treatment plant is assumed to be on site. Liquid wastes below discharge limits will be transferred to the waste treatment plant. Discharge limits are defined as 1x10^{-3} grams of Pu per litre of solution. Liquid waste streams that exceed this limit will be recycled to the PUREX plant.

Solid recycle and waste streams will be processed in one of two ways. Recycle plutonium oxide will be sent to the dissolution unit. (A special plutonium oxide dissolution module may be necessary if halogens are to be kept out of the main process stream.) Line generated solid wastes will be transferred to solid waste disposal.

Off-gas streams will be processed before release to the plant filter plenum. All required utilities are assumed to be provided. Resources which can be recovered (such as nitric acid) will be transferred by appropriate means to a utility system. Where needed for design calculations, standard plant values will be assumed for utilities (e.g., cooling water available at 27°C returned at 37°C).

Remote "hands off" operation and maintenance will be performed on those plutonium streams which require protection from radiation hazards. The plant facility should be designed so as to limit the whole body dose to 1 rem per year.

PERFORMANCE AND PHYSICAL PROPERTY REQUIREMENTS OF PuO₂ POWDER

Safety and Respirable Dust

Particles less than 4 μm in diameter are considered respirable dust and are undesirable from a safety standpoint. However, no standard specification requires that particles be larger than 4 μm.

Sinterability

PuO₂ powder from a conversion facility must pass a sinterability test before it can be accepted by a pellet fabricator. The sinterability test is described in ASTM Standard C 757-74a (1974) and Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Standard RDT E 13-1T (1971). The pellets of pure PuO₂ are pressed and sintered under controlled conditions.
TABLE I. Specification for Plutonium Nitrate Solutions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element/Compound</th>
<th>Concentration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uranium, ppm(^a)</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorium, ppm</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Americium, wt. %</td>
<td>see spec, &lt;0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plutonium, g/l</td>
<td>50 to 250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon</td>
<td>see spec, no visible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{HNO}_3) Concentration, M</td>
<td>2 to 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorine + Fluorine, ppm</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfur, ppm</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Zr} + \text{Nb}, \mu\text{Ci/g})</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gamma Emitting, (\mu\text{Ci/g})</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total of below, ppm</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\text{Al}, \text{B}, \text{Be}, \text{Bi}, \text{Ca}, \text{Cd}, \text{Cr}, \text{Co}, \text{Cu}, \text{Fe}, \text{Li}, \text{Mg}, \text{Mn}, \text{Mo}, \text{Na}, \text{Ni}, \text{P}, \text{Pb}, \text{Si}, \text{Sn}, \text{Ta}, \text{Ti}, \text{V}, \text{W}, \text{Zn})</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Equivalent Boron Content, ppm</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


\(^a\) ppm refers to parts per million parts by weight of plutonium element.
TABLE II. Plutonium Oxide Powder Specifications.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>ASTM(^a)</th>
<th>FFTF(^b)</th>
<th>ALKEM(^c)</th>
<th>BARNWELL(^d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Uranium, ppm</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>&lt;2,600</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thorium, ppm</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Americium, wt. %</td>
<td>See</td>
<td>See</td>
<td>0.25, ASTM</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plutonium, wt. %, dry</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>87.6</td>
<td>87.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oxygen: Pu ratio</td>
<td>1.95-2.0</td>
<td>1.95-2.0</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorine + Fluorine</td>
<td>125</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sulfur</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe + Cr + N:</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>850</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zr + Nb, μCi/g Pu</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gamma Emitting, μCi/g Pu</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&lt;8</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture, wt. %</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total of below, ppm</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>5,000</td>
<td>2,500</td>
<td>5,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al, Be, C, Ca, Cd, Cl, Co, Cr, Cu, F, Fe, Li, Mg, Mn, Mo, N, Ni, P, S, Si, Sn, Ta, Ti, W</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle Size: max, avg, Micrometers</td>
<td>90, 10</td>
<td>90, &lt;10</td>
<td>44, -</td>
<td>76, -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Area, m²/g</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>&gt;2.5</td>
<td>&gt;5.0 m²/g</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk Density, g/cm³</td>
<td>&gt;1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2 ± 0.3</td>
<td>&gt;1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE II. Plutonium Oxide Powder Specifications (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Properties</th>
<th>ASTM</th>
<th>FFTF</th>
<th>ALKEM</th>
<th>BARNWELL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sinterability Test:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cold Pressure, kpsi</td>
<td>See</td>
<td>30-50</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soak Temp &amp; Time</td>
<td>1550°C, 1 hr</td>
<td>1550°C, 1 hr</td>
<td>BLENDABLE,</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sintered Density, %Theoretical</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---


e ppm refers to parts per million parts by weight of plutonium element.

To pass the sinterability test, pellets should not flake or fracture easily. Flakes and fractures are caused, in part, by powders with inactive surfaces. That is, powders that have been over-calcined have closed internal pores which inhibit material flow during pressing and sintering. Therefore, the FFTF Standard specifies that the BET surface area, measured by gas absorption, be greater than 2.5 $m^2/g$. And, the Alkem powder specification requires a BET surface area greater than 5 $m^2/g$.

The sintered pellets of pure PuO$_2$ should have a minimum density of 90% theoretical density. The percent theoretical density increases as the size of the powder particles decrease, because smaller particles pack with less void volume. Percent theoretical density also depends on the shape of the particles.
Square prisms and round rosettes pack well. Powders containing rosettes must be prepressed and granulated before final pressing to smooth out the particle surface for dense packing. Therefore, all the powder specifications described in the tables require that PuO₂ particles be less than 90 μm. In addition, the ASTM and FFTF Standards require an average particle size of about 10 μm.

**Canning Requirements**

PuO₂ powders are stored and shipped in cans. To prevent build-up in the cans due to radiolysis of contained water, the moisture content of the calcined PuO₂ is specified to be less than 2.5 wt. % (based on Pu) in the present FFTF specification. The moisture content allowed by Rockwell Hanford Operations will be reduced by 1980 to less than 0.5%, as specified in the ASTM Standard. Moisture content of PuO₂ depends on rate of temperature increase during calcining, the final temperature, and soak time at the final temperature.

**Fuel Pellet Fabrication Requirements**

A constant weight over a 6-day time period from the time of assay is important. Powders with high surface areas tend to absorb moisture and environmental vapors. This absorption increases the PuO₂ weight and causes variable U-Pu oxide pellet densities. To prevent absorption, PuO₂ powders with high surface area are recalcined at 750°C to reduce the surface area to 1 to 5 m²/g. Alternatively, PuO₂ with high surface area can be equilibrated with a high humidity environment and stored in a controlled atmosphere.

Near Stoichiometric PuO₂ is necessary for blending with UO₂ to form mixed-oxide fuels, especially for 94 to 96% theoretical dense (TD) fuels. For lower density FFTF fuels of 90% TD, the stoichiometry can be adjusted to PuO₁.₉₆ by sintering the U-Pu pellet in an argon - 8% H₂ atmosphere. The FFTF Standard requires as calcined plutonium dioxide powder to have at least 85 wt. % Pu and requires at least 87.5 wt. % Pu after calcining at 950°C. Stoichiometric PuO₂ is 88 wt. % Pu.

PuO₂ powder must be blendable with UO₂ to give the following theoretical densities: Experimental Breeder Reactor (EBR) Fuel - 22-33% Pu, 94-96% TD; Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) Fuel - 22-30% Pu, 90.4% TD; Light Water Reactor (LWR) Fuel - 3-5% Pu, 94-95% TD. Pellet fabricators of mixed oxides can vary several parameters to achieve different TD's. The type of binder (if any), lubricant, sintering atmosphere and granulation size after prepressing affect the TD of the mixed oxide.

Uniformity of as-received PuO₂ is desirable so that pellet fabrication parameters don't have to be readjusted for each lot. Uniform PuO₂ particle size would allow pellet fabricators to mill for a constant time to obtain particles of a spec-
ified size. Variation in particle size from lot to lot requires frequent interruptions of the milling procedure for size analyses.

Dissolution of Unirradiated Fuel Pellets

UO₂ dissolves completely in boiling 12 M HNO₃, whereas PuO₂ requires fluoride ion (F⁻) in the nitric acid⁵. Solid solutions of U-Pu which contain up to 30% Pu (the maximum tested) dissolve in boiling HNO₃ without F⁻ (⁳,⁵). During irradiation of mixed oxides, the core of the pellet becomes hot enough to fuse the mixed oxide into a true solid solution of U and Pu oxides (⁵). Irradiated cores of pellets are, therefore, more soluble than unirradiated mixed-oxide fuel. But the temperature at the outside perimeter of the pellet in the reactor may not exceed 600⁰C, which is not hot enough for the mixed-oxide to form a solid solution (⁵). Therefore, F⁻ is necessary to completely dissolve the entire irradiated mixed oxide pellet.

Fluoride ion is extremely corrosive, and creates a hostile environment to the expensive fuel reprocessing equipment. Fluoride ion is also troublesome in the reprocessing procedure (⁵,⁶). Therefore, fuel reprocessors don't want F⁻ in their plants. They have suggested the requirement that unirradiated fuel pellets be at least 99.5% dissolvable. Some reprocessors suggest 99.8% dissolubility (⁵).

Unirradiated mixed oxides made by mechanically blending PuO₂ with UO₂ showed preferential dissolution of uranium in nitric acid without F⁻. The residue was 8 to 10% of original Pu present in early studies (⁷). But in most recent studies, as fuel pellet fabricators learned how to blend UO₂ and PuO₂ better, the residue was only 0.06% of the original Pu (⁵).

To achieve a homogenous blend of UO₂ and PuO₂, the two materials must have nearly the same surface area and particle size. High surface area PuO₂ particles of 40 m²/g aggregate and do not blend well with intermediate surface area UO₂ of 3 m²/g. By contrast, low surface area PuO₂ of 5 m²/g blends well with UO₂ of 3 m²/g (⁸). The average particle size of the UO₂ currently used by Babcock and Wilcox is 0.75 μm. Therefore, Babcock and Wilcox mill the calcined PuO₂ until the particle size is 1 μm (⁵).

HISTORY

Precipitation and Calcination Reactions

Oxalic acid (H₂C₂O₄) is combined with an aqueous nitric acid solution of plutonium in the Pu(IV) state to give a precipitate of plutonium(IV) oxalate hexahydrate [Pu(C₂O₄)₂ • 6H₂O]. The Pu(IV) oxalate is then calcined to plutonium dioxide. The reaction is:
Oxalic acid (H₂C₂O₄) can be added to plutonium nitrate [Pu(NO₃)₄] (direct strike); plutonium nitrate can be added to nitric acid (HNO₃) (reverse strike); or oxalic acid and nitric acid can be added simultaneously as separate streams to a precipitation vessel (continuous process). Direct strike and reverse strike methods have been used in batch processes only.

**Uses Of Pu(IV) Oxalate Process**

The direct strike and continuous processes were originally developed as a final purification step prior to hydrofluorination and subsequent reduction of the fluoride to pure plutonium metal(9).

Pu(IV) oxalate-prepared PuO₂ has been blended with uranium dioxide (UO₂) and fabricated into nuclear fuel pellets in mixed-oxide fuel fabrication facilities(10). Experimental Breeder Reactor (EBR) fuel and Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF) fuel contain 22 to 30% PuO₂, while Light Water Reactor (LWR) fuel contains only 5% PuO₂.

The Pu(IV) oxalate direct strike batch process is used in Europe to reprocess spent nuclear fuel at Cadarach in France, Belgonucleaire in Belgium, and Alkem in Hanau, West Germany(10). Alkem has fabricated more than 3,000 kg of thermal reactor recycled fuel(11).

Pu(IV) oxalate-prepared PuO₂ has been used to make U-Pu carbide and nitride reactor fuels. Carbides and nitrides of U-Pu are expected to be second generation nuclear fuels because they have greater heat conductivity, greater dimensional stability in the reactor, and better containment of fission product gases(11). To prepare U-Pu carbide or nitride, PuO₂ and UO₂ are mixed with graphite and heated. PuO₂ prepared by reverse strike and by Hanford's continuous Pu(IV) oxalate process has been used for this purpose(12,13).

²³⁸PuO₂ heat sources have been used to power thermoelectric generators in space satellites and deep space probes. These heat source pellets contain 100% PuO₂ prepared from Pu(III) oxalate reverse strike procedures and from hydroxide precipitation. But recent experiments with Pu(IV) oxalate reverse strike prepared PuO₂ pellets show better dimensional stability and require fewer processing steps(14,15). Possibly, heat source pellets will be made from Pu(IV) oxalate reverse strike-prepared PuO₂ in the future.
Chronology of Pu(IV) Oxalate Process

The Pu(III) oxalate process was developed at Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory (LASL), Los Alamos, New Mexico, in 1944 as a final purification step before plutonium was converted to the tetrafluoride, and subsequently reduced to Pu metal. Hydroiodic acid was used to convert plutonium to the Pu(III) state. But the corrosiveness and cost of hydroiodic acid made its replacement or an alternate process desirable. As an alternate process, peroxide precipitation was developed at LASL in 1950 and tested at the Hanford Installation, Richland, Washington. But either an alcohol wash or a slow drying step was necessary in the Hanford procedure to prepare the peroxide precipitate for hydrofluorination. After Brown and Reas found that peroxide adjusted the valence of plutonium to the Pu(IV) state, regardless of whether the plutonium was in the Pu(III) or Pu(IV) state, attention at Hanford turned to the Pu(IV) oxalate process.

The direct strike batch process, described in Table III, was developed first and was used until a greater Pu throughput was needed. The batch process, with its 4-hour cycle time and relatively small capacity, necessitated costly maintenance of numerous process trains and equipment units. To avoid these shortcomings, the continuous process, using a rotary drum filter, was developed in 1956. The Pu(NO₃)₄ and oxalic acid were added directly to the pan of the rotary drum filter. But the Pu(IV) oxalate particles were too small to filter and there was excessive Pu loss to the filtrate. However, the Pu(IV) oxalate particles increased in size after three throughputs. To increase particle size and to achieve more uniform filter cake properties, a precipitation vessel was used in the plant by 1963. Pu(NO₃)₄ and oxalic acid were added simultaneously, but as separate streams, to the bottom of the precipitation vessel, whose overflow then went to the pan of the rotary vacuum drum filter.

To prepare PuO₂ for fuel for the Experimental Breeder Reactor and the Fast Flux Test Facility (FFTF), Hanford used that part of their hydrofluorination equipment devoted to continuous precipitation and calcination of Pu(IV) oxalate. The calcination temperature was limited to 380°C because PuO₂ calcined above 480°C would not hydrofluorinate completely. But the PuO₂ calcined at 380°C was only 80 to 85 wt. % plutonium compared to 88 wt. % for pure stoichiometric PuO₂. To meet the FFTF specification of 87 wt. % plutonium, Hanford added a second stage calciner which operated at 525°C. The continuous process used by Hanford until August 1976 is described in Table III. Throughput is 1 kg Pu per hour and a run is normally 100 kg Pu per month.

In 1970, Alkem, in West Germany, built a conversion facility based on their small scale process. Alkem selected the batch direct strike process because small quantities of plutonium could be processed separately as required by West German safeguard regulations. Their 5 kg Pu per batch, one batch per day facility was commissioned in 1972.
### TABLE III. Pu(IV) Oxalate Process Parameters and Product PuO₂ Properties.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Batch Size</strong></td>
<td>NR&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5 kg</td>
<td>1 g</td>
<td>15 g</td>
<td>100 kg/run</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Throughput</strong></td>
<td>4 hr/batch</td>
<td>1 batch/day</td>
<td>lab scale</td>
<td>lab scale</td>
<td>1 kg/hr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>[Pu] in Feed</strong></td>
<td>10-100 g Pu/l</td>
<td>250 g Pu/l</td>
<td>5 g Pu/l</td>
<td>5 g Pu/l</td>
<td>250 g Pu/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>[HNO₃] in Slurry</strong></td>
<td>2.5-3.5 M</td>
<td>1.5-5 M</td>
<td>1.1 M</td>
<td>1.2-2. M</td>
<td>2.5-3.5 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Valence Adjust</strong></td>
<td>50%H₂O₂, 50°C</td>
<td>room temp. 22 days</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>12%H₂O₂, 46°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strike Temp.</strong></td>
<td>50°C</td>
<td>80°C max.</td>
<td>45°C</td>
<td>20-40°C</td>
<td>46°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oxalid Acid Concentration</strong></td>
<td>1.0 M</td>
<td>solid</td>
<td>1.0 M</td>
<td>0.9 M</td>
<td>1.0 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Addition Rate</strong></td>
<td>45-90 min.</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>10 min.</td>
<td>not applicable</td>
<td>continuous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Excess, Slurry</strong></td>
<td>0.1 M</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0.2 M</td>
<td>0.2 M</td>
<td>0.1 M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Digestion Time</strong></td>
<td>30 min.</td>
<td>1 hr.</td>
<td>30 min.</td>
<td>30 min.</td>
<td>30 min.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Digestion Temp.</strong></td>
<td>50-60°C</td>
<td>80°C max.</td>
<td>45°C</td>
<td>25°C</td>
<td>46°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chill Slurry</strong></td>
<td>&lt;10°C</td>
<td>35°C</td>
<td>not chilled</td>
<td>not chilled</td>
<td>not chilled</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wash</strong></td>
<td>0.05 M H₂C₂O₄, 2 M HNO₃</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>0.06-0.4 M H₂C₂O₄, 2 M HNO₃</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Filtrate, mg Pu/l</strong></td>
<td>30-60</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>40-60</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Calcination Atmosphere</strong></td>
<td>air</td>
<td>N₂</td>
<td>air</td>
<td>air</td>
<td>air</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Temp. Increase</strong></td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>several hours</td>
<td>rapid</td>
<td>rapid</td>
<td>380°C, 1 hr.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Soak</strong></td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>575°C, 735°C, 735°C</td>
<td>735°C, 735°C</td>
<td>525°C, 2 hr.</td>
<td>2 hr. 1 hr.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
TABLE III. Pu(IV) Oxalate Process Parameters and Product PuO₂ Properties (Continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PuO₂ Tap Density</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>3.3 g/cm³</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>2.4 g/cm³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Area</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>6-15 m²/g</td>
<td>7 m²/g</td>
<td>4.5 m²/g</td>
<td>30-45 m²/g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle Size</td>
<td>5-7 μm</td>
<td>12-26 μm</td>
<td>12 μm</td>
<td>17.5 μm</td>
<td>95%&lt;20 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle Shape</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>rosettes</td>
<td>square prisms</td>
<td>rosettes + square prisms + thin plates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moisture, wt. %</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>&lt;0.4</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NR means not reported.

The Alkem process is described in Table III(22,23). No special measure is taken to adjust the valence to the Pu(IV) state because the Pu(VI) formed during concentration by evaporation is reduced to an insignificant amount after 22 days storage. Solid oxalic acid (H₂C₂O₄ • 2H₂O) rather than an aqueous 1 M solution is used because the volume of liquid waste is reduced by 27% and the capacity of the precipitation column is increased by 29%. The precipitation column has an external recirculating loop equipped with heating and cooling coils. A similar precipitation column is described later in this report, and in the equipment flow diagram.

The Pu(IV) oxalate reverse strike method described in Table III(22,24,25) is still in the developmental stage. In 1976, Savannah River Laboratory, Aiken, South Carolina, (SRL) began further development of the reverse strike batch Pu(IV) oxalate process to make ²³⁸PuO₂ spheres for heat sources for space satellites. The ²³⁸PuO₂ was formerly made by plutonium hydroxide precipitation at Mound. But hydroxide-prepared PuO₂ when hot pressed, gave pellets with regions of low density which caused pellet fracture(24). Both Pu(III) oxalate direct strike and Pu(IV) oxalate direct strike PuO₂ particles were too large and required extensive milling. Pu(III) oxalate reverse strike PuO₂, with its smaller particles, is now used by both SRL and LASL to make ²³⁸PuO₂. To control pellet shrinkage from post heat treatment, the calcined PuO₂ is milled, presintered at 1100°C to 1300°C, prepressed, and granulated before hot pressing.
These pellets shrink 3% on subsequent heat treatment. However, Pu(IV) oxalate reverse strike PuO₂ particles can be hot pressed directly (without milling, presintering, prepressing, and granulation) to give pellets which shrink only 1.5% after heat treatment. Pu(IV) reverse strike PuO₂ of 15 to 20 μm has few if any particles less than 4 μm. This is a safety advantage because respirable dust is reduced. PuO₂ prepared by the continuous process was not tried as a heat source material.

Physical Requirements of PuO₂

The relationship between the physical properties (particle size, surface area, and moisture content) and the performance of PuO₂ during the sinterability test, storage in cans, pellet fabrication, and dissolution of mixed oxide fuel was discussed earlier.

For Pu(IV) oxalate PuO₂, particle size is primarily a function of precipitation parameters, as evidenced by very little change in particle size with calcination temperature(24,26).

For Pu(IV) oxalate PuO₂, surface area, tap density, and moisture content with its effect on powder fluidity are mostly functions of calcination parameters (8,22,23,27). The surface area of PuO₂ powder is more than 10 times that calculated for solid particles. Most of the surface area is due to internal pores that are interconnected and open to the outside. Internal pores result from carbon product removal during decomposition. The particle retains the original skeleton of the oxalate particle(8,24).

Precipitation Parameters And Particle Morphology

1. Equilibrium Solubility of Pu

Pu(IV) oxalate was equilibrated at 25°C with various solutions of known nitric acid (HNO₃) and oxalic acid (H₂C₂O₄) concentrations, and the solubility in the solutions, mg Pu/l, was then determined. As HNO₃ concentration increased, the solubility decreased to a minimum at 2.5 M HNO₃, then increased again(9). Solubility increased above the minimum due to Pu-nitrate complexes at higher nitric acid concentrations and, at lower nitric acid concentrations, due to Pu-oxygen polymers(28). Almost parallel solubility curves were obtained for each of the 3 oxalic acid concentrations studied (0.05 M, 0.1 M, and 0.2 M). Solubility increased as oxalic acid concentration increased due to Pu oxalate complex formation(9). The minimum solubility was 10 to 20 mg Pu/l at 25°C.

Solubility increased with temperature from 5°C to 80°C, but values were not reported.
2. Valance Adjustment

Hydrogen peroxide ($H_2O_2$) was found to be the most satisfactory reducing agent because it is non corrosive, inexpensive, acts rapidly at 50 to 55°C, introduces no foreign ions, and can be used with plutonium nitrate solutions of any acidity ($^9$).

$H_2O_2$ can both oxidize Pu(III) and reduce Pu(IV). The reaction of $H_2O_2$ with plutonium ions occurs stepwise. Brown and red peroxy complexes are formed successively, then blue Pu(III), and finally the desired Pu(IV) ($^9$). The reaction rate for reduction of Pu(VI) to Pu(IV) is slow at room temperature, but fast at 50 to 55°C. The reduction rate is increased by the presence of impurities, like iron salts, which catalyze decomposition of $H_2O_2$. Oxidation of Pu(III) to Pu(IV) is inhibited by sulfamic acid which stabilizes Pu(III) that has been formed by reduction with $H_2O_2$ ($^9$). Other impurities which stabilize Pu(III) might also inhibit Pu(III) to Pu(IV) conversion.

3. Direct Strike Parameters

The average diameter of PuO$_2$ prepared by the Pu(IV) oxalate direct strike method is 12 to 26 μm. The parameters which need to be controlled and the effects of their variation on the size of Pu(IV) oxalate particles are:

(a) Adjust HNO$_3$ concentration in plutonium nitrate feed so the slurry will be 1.5 to 4.5 M HNO$_3$. Lower [H+] produces particles that are too small to filter and favors coprecipitation of impurities. Higher [H+] produces a gummy precipitate which is difficult to dry ($^9$).

(b) Adjust valence with 12 to 15 $H_2O_2$ wt. % (based on pure $H_2O_2$) at 50°C for 250 g Pu/l ($^{20,21}$). Higher [H$_2$O$_2$], like 50% $H_2O_2$, causes excessive gas evolution and frothing at 250 g Pu/l, but is acceptable for 10-20 g Pu/l. Lower temperatures like 25 to 35°C produce incomplete conversion of Pu(VI) to Pu(IV) and Pu(III) to Pu(IV). Both Pu(III) and Pu(VI) oxalate are quite soluble at this adjusted nitric acid concentration. Incomplete conversion can cause appreciable Pu loss in the filtrate, considering that Hanford feed is 15 to 21% Pu(VI).

(c) Adjust oxalic acid concentration so that slurry will have 0.1 M excess. Less than 0.05 M excess and greater than 0.2 M excess increases Pu solubility and causes Pu loss in the filtrate. The 0.1 M excess is used to ensure enough excess to complex with contaminants like Fe and Al.

(d) Add oxalic acid over a 30 to 90 minute time period. Fast addition produces small particles and submicron fines which cannot be filtered and cause high Pu loss in the filtrate.
(e) Maintain strike temperature around 50°C. At 20°C, the particles are too small to filter. At 75°C the precipitate is gummy and its filter cake is difficult to dry. In the Alkem procedure, the maximum strike temperature is 80°C(23).

(f) Digest slurry at 50-60°C for 1 hour. Alkem digests for 1 hour at 80°C maximum. Digestion allows small particles with high surface energy to dissolve while larger particles with low surface energy grow in size.

(g) Chill the slurry to less than 10°C over a 20-minute time period to reduce Pu solubility and to prevent post precipitation during filtration, which blinds the filter media. Facer and Harmon found that slower cooling rates and lower temperatures had no effect(9). Alkem chills the slurry to 35°C over a 1.5 hour time period(23).

(h) Control stirrer speed or agitation in the precipitation vessel. Too vigorous agitation can break up large particles and causes fines which can not be filtered.

(i) Wash the filtrate with a mixture of 2 M HNO₃ & 0.05 M H₂C₂O₄. Use 20 g of wash solution per gram of Pu in the cake(79). Distilled water washes produce plutonium-oxygen polymers in the filtrate which can not be dissolved without adding F⁻(21).

(j) Adjust plutonium feed concentration to prevent contaminants from forming suspended solids. Excessive amounts of aluminum can form solid Al(NO₃)₃ when HNO₃ is too high. Excessive amounts of sulfate can form plutonium sulfate [Pu(SO₄)₂] if the feed is concentrated enough to exceed the solubility product of Pu(SO₄)₂. For pure Pu(NO₃)₄, which is free of contaminants, Pu concentration can be 1 to 550 g Pu/l(9).

(k) Adjust oxalic acid feed concentration to 1 M which is near saturation at 25°C. Higher concentrations require heating to keep the oxalic acid in solution. Solid oxalic acid can be used. But it must be added very slowly because the solid becomes occluded with Pu(IV) oxalate and further reaction is slow(9,23).

Savannah River Laboratory (SRL) prepared Pu(IV) oxalate-direct strike PuO₂ on the laboratory scale. SRL reported a bimodal particle size distribution of 11 and 40 μm, which was larger than Hanford's reported average particle size of 5 to 7 μm and Alkem's 12 to 26 μm particle size. Larger particles at SRL might have been due to less residence time in the ultrasonic particle dispersion bath before size measurement. The ultrasonic bath can break up loose agglomerates and fracture very thin plate shaped particles. For SRL particles, the mode at 11 μm corresponded to square prism-shaped particles, and that at 40 μm corresponded to rosettes which were aggregates of thin plate shaped particles.
4. Reverse Strike Parameters

For 1 gram of Pu per batch, the parameters which produce square 11-μm prisms and the effects of their variation are:

(a) Adjust feed to 5 g Pu/l. Higher Pu concentration of 10 g Pu/l gives poorly formed square prisms plus fines. At 25 g Pu/l, the average particle size is 2 to 3 μm.

(b) Adjust nitric acid (HNO₃) concentration in the plutonium feed to 1.1 M HNO₃. This gives 11-μm particles and 40 to 45 mg Pu/l in the filtrate. At 2.0 M HNO₃, the mode of the particle distribution is slightly larger (11.5 μm) and Pu loss in filtrate is less (20 mg Pu/l). No data are reported for [HNO₃] more dilute than 1.1 M.

(c) Adjust strike temperature to 25°C. At 35°C, the particle distribution can be bimodal. The mode corresponding to larger particles is due to presence of rosettes which are clusters of square and round plates. At 50°C, the particles are square plates (18 μm) which are too thin for good compaction during pellet fabrication. Thin plates can agglomerate easily to form large rosettes. Large rosettes are undesirable because they require extensive milling before pressing.

(d) Adjust oxalic acid (H₂C₂O₄) concentration (before plutonium nitrate is added) to 0.9 M H₂C₂O₄. At 0.5 M plus 3 M HNO₃ in the oxalic acid, the particles are bimodal with one mode corresponding to poorly formed prisms and the other corresponding to fines.

(e) Increase batch size from 1 g Pu/batch to 15 g Pu/batch. The particle size distribution mode increases from 11 to 17.5 μm, with very slight increase in standard deviation from 4.9 to 5.8 μm.

5. Continuous Process Parameters

Continuous process Pu(IV) oxalate prepared PuO₂ has some 60 μm rosettes. But the average particle size is 5 μm. The distribution for several runs was:

- 95% of particles <20 μm
- 90% of particles <9 to 12 μm
- 50% of particles <5 μm

The shape of the particles less than 12 μm were mostly rosettes with some square prisms.

The parameters for the continuous process are listed in Table III. Effects of parameter variation have not been investigated. Contents of the valence-adjust vessels, the precipitation column, and the rotary drum vacuum filter pan are at 46°C because they are all in the same glovebox with the calciners.
The continuous process with 2 separate feed streams is like a combination of the direct strike and reverse strike methods. The parameters like $[\text{HNO}_3]$, strike temperature, $[\text{H}_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_4]$ in the feed, and digestion time, are optimal for direct strike, but not for reverse strike. Conditions present in the continuous process which would produce fines if the process were performed by direct strike are high $[\text{Pu}]$ in the feed (greater than 5 g Pu/l) and low $[\text{H}_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_4]$ in the slurry (less than 0.9 M). A condition in the continuous process which would produce large aggregates if the process were performed by reverse strike is the high temperature (greater than 25°C).

One can conjecture that if the continuous process parameters were set for optimal reverse strike conditions (decrease Pu feed concentration of 5 g Pu/l, raise $[\text{H}_2\text{C}_2\text{O}_4]$ in the slurry to 0.9 M, and decrease the strike temperature to 25°C), the direct strike conditions would be far from ideal. Pu loss in the filtrate would be very high due to Pu complexes and many of the Pu(IV) oxalate particles would be too small to filter due to the 25°C strike temperature.

Calcination And Decomposition Of Pu(IV) Oxalate

1. Calcination Parameters

The decisive calcination parameters are the rate of temperature increasing during oxalate decomposition, final temperature, and holding time. These parameters affect bulk and tap density, surface area, water content, powder fluidity, and percent loss on ignition.

As the final calcination temperature was increased to 1000°C, the PuO$_2$ bulk and tap density increased, and the BET surface area decreased$^{(8,27)}$. For constant 30-minute dwell times in the calciner, the BET surface area dropped from 43 m$^2$/g at 350°C to 4 m$^2$/g at 760°C$^{(8)}$.

As rate of temperature increase was decreased by longer holding times of several hours at selected temperatures along the heating curve, water content of PuO$_2$ decreased from 1 wt. % to less than 0.4 wt. %. Powder fluidity improved as water content decreased$^{(22)}$.

The Alkem calcination procedure, described in Table III, produces PuO$_2$ with BET surface area of only 6 to 15 m$^2$/g, tap density of 3.3 g/cm$^3$, and less than 0.4 wt. % moisture. N$_2$ is used in the calciner furnace. The rate of temperature increase is controlled. The temperature is kept constant for several hours at different points on the temperature curve. The holding time at the final temperature of 575°C is 4 hours. Oxalate depth in calcination crucibles is kept less than 3 cm. Otherwise, CO, which is formed during decomposition of the oxalate, will burn on the powder surface and cause deterioration of the ceramic properties and excessive carbon content of the powder.
The Hanford calcination procedure for their continuous process is described in Table III. The Hanford procedure produces PuO$_2$ with BET surface area of 35 to 45 m$^2$/g, tap density of 2.4 g/cm$^3$, and 2.5% loss in weight (after calcining at 950°C) which is mostly water. This 2.5 wt. % moisture content is too high to meet the ASTM specification of 0.5 wt. %. Air is used in the calciners. Temperature increase is not controlled. Pu(IV) oxalate enters the first stage calciner maintained at 380°C. The first stage calciner is a rotary screw type. The second stage calciner is maintained at 525°C. It is a vibrating transfer type.

The Savannah River Laboratory (SRL) calcination procedure produces PuO$_2$ with a BET surface area of 7 m$^2$/g for direct strike and 4.5 m$^2$/g for reverse strike. Tap density and percent moisture are not reported. The oxalate is calcined at 735°C for 2 hours. Temperature increase can not be controlled because $^{238}$Pu(IV) oxalate self heats to 350°C due to alpha radiation. The specific alpha activity of $^{238}$Pu is 250 times that of $^{239}$Pu.

2. Mechanism of Decomposition and Stability of Pu(IV) Oxalate

Thermogravimetric studies at NUMEC$^{31}$ indicated that a gradual decomposition of the oxalate occurred during storage at room temperature. The thermogravimetric curves for fresh Pu(IV) oxalate showed three distinct stages of decomposition in both nitrogen and air atmospheres. The first stage was attributed to loss of water and occurred between 50 and 130°C for both air and nitrogen atmospheres. The second stage occurred between 150 and 250°C for both air and nitrogen. The third stage occurred between 250 and 350°C in air and between 250 and 450°C in nitrogen atmosphere. The thermogravimetric curves for 18 day old Pu(IV) oxalate did not show the second stage of decomposition, but the first and third were present in both air and nitrogen atmospheres. The weight after drying was compared with the final PuO$_2$ weight for identical samples determined on different days. The data indicated that fresh oxalate was 3% decomposed while 18 day old oxalate was 17% decomposed. Further evidence that decomposition at room temperature had occurred was obtained from x-ray examination. Faint but definite PuO$_2$ lines were observed in the diffraction pattern of the aged sample.

This room temperature decomposition was attributed to a $\alpha$ radiolysis or localized $\alpha$ decay heat$^{31}$.

NUMEC suggested that the mechanism of decomposition involved formation of plutonium carbonate as an intermediate. The suggested reactions (after loss of water) were: Pu(C$_2$O$_4$)$_2$ $\rightarrow$ Pu(CO$_3$)$_2$ + 2CO$^+$ and Pu(CO$_3$)$_2$ $\rightarrow$ PuO$_2$ + 2CO$_2$$. Analysis of off gases during decomposition would be necessary to confirm the suggested mechanism of decomposition.
3. Products of Decomposition

Calcination in a nitrogen atmosphere would give the following reaction:

$$Pu(C_2O_4)_2 \xrightarrow{N_2} PuO_2 + 2CO↑ + 2CO_2↑$$

But, calcination in air could provide enough oxygen to convert the carbon monoxide to carbon dioxide:

$$Pu(C_2O_4)_2 + O_2 \rightarrow PuO_2 + 4CO_2↑$$

Calcination in an ample supply of air would make the heat of reaction more negative because heat is released when CO and O$_2$ react to form CO$_2$. This released heat may be the reason why the 3rd stage of calcination in an air atmosphere occurs at a lower temperature than in a N$_2$ atmosphere.

Filtrate Treatment

Pu(IV) oxalate fines and excess oxalic acid in the filtrate are decomposed by oxidation with HNO$_3$ during filtrate evaporation to 10 M HNO$_3$. A gummy residue results if no KMnO$_4$ is added. Hanford adds just enough KMnO$_4$ to maintain a permanent purple color in the filtrate before it is evaporated. The concentrated filtrate is recycled back to the separations facility for Purex solvent extraction.

Selection of the Pu(IV) Oxalate Process

The particle sizes from the different processes are: direct strike, 12-26 μm; reverse strike, 17 μm at 5 g Pu/l and 2-3 μm at 25 g Pu/l; and continuous process, 90% <9 to 12 μm and 50% <5 μm. None of the processes require milling in the conversion facility. But PuO$_2$ from all the processes requires milling in the pellet fabrication facility to 1 μm for adequate blending with 0.75-μm UO$_2$. Adequate blending is necessary for subsequent dissolution of unirradiated and irradiated fuels in a fuel reprocessing facility.

Continuous process PuO$_2$ has too many particles less than 4 μm and can present a respirable dust problem. Reverse strike conditions for particle sizes greater than 4 μm require dilute Pu solutions of 5 g Pu/l. This means that Pu(NO$_3$)$_4$ from the Purex process would have to be diluted from 30 to 50 g Pu/l to 5 g Pu/l, which would increase the process and waste volume 6 to 10 times.

Therefore, the direct strike method was selected for the Pu(IV) oxalate process description and for comparison with other Pu nitrate-to-oxide conversion processes.
PU(IV) OXALATE PROCESS DESCRIPTION

Feed Storage

Plutonium nitrate feed solution is taken from the Separations Plant and stored in slab tanks having capacity of approximately 10,000 litres, adequate for about 4 weeks accumulation of feed stock. The feed solution is assumed to have a Pu concentration of 250 g/l, and a HNO₃ concentration of 3 molar. The Pu concentration is reduced to 150 g/l by the addition of very dilute HNO₃. The feed storage tanks are manifolded slab tanks of 4-inch thickness. The other vessel dimensions and number of vessels have been left to engineering design. The vessels will require cooling because of a decay heat of 60 BTU/hr/kg of Pu. The means of cooling, whether ventilation, cooling coils, etc., has also been left to engineering design. These factors will be the same for any of the processes studied and, therefore, need not be considered in detail for the purpose of process evaluation and selection.

Precipitation

The plutonium nitrate solution is transferred by metering pump to the precipitator trains as called for by the operator. Precipitation of plutonium nitrate to plutonium oxalate is performed in 10 precipitators operating in parallel. The precipitation is a batch process with a total process time of one hour. A metered quantity of feed stock is fed to a free reactor, the batch size being three litres. The adjusted solution is heated to 50°C, then seven ml of 50% hydrogen peroxide is added for valence adjustment of the Pu to the IV state. 7.2 litres of one-molar oxalic acid is then added to the reactor over a period of 15 minutes. Excess oxalic acid is added to make the slurry 0.1 molar in oxalic acid. Following precipitation, the reaction products are chilled to 10°C before discharge to the filter. Throughout the processing period, the reactor contents are stirred by means of an agitator. Heating and cooling are accomplished by circulating the reactor contents through a heater and a cooler. Each reactor is equipped with its own pump, heater, and cooler. A centrifugal pump is indicated on the flow sheet; however, to prevent damage to the plutonium oxalate crystals during the cooling cycle, it may be necessary to use a pump of gentler action, such as a diaphragm pump. The stainless steel reactor is a nominal 6 inches in diameter with a total capacity of 8 litres. The auxiliary equipment is also stainless steel. The reactors discharge to a common header for filtration.

Filtration

The plutonium oxalate slurry is fed to the basin of a rotary drum vacuum filter of safe geometry. Two filters operate in parallel, with one serving as a spare.
The filters are fed from a manifold which receives slurry from the precipitation reactors. Other types of filtration can be used and may eventually be proven superior. Batch filtration, using a filter boat which is then used as a container for calcination, as shown in the peroxide precipitation flowsheet is a feasible process. Other likely filtration processes are through filters and horizontal belt filters. The principal criterion for the selection of the best filtration process is probably involved with the ease of remote replacement, with reliability also being important. At this point, the rotary drum seems to be the best filtration process. It involves the least equipment and, thus, should require the least maintenance.

The plutonium oxalate cake is washed with two molar nitric acid which contains 0.05 M oxalic acid, a difference from the flowsheet. The washed, dewatered cake is cut off the belt with a "doctor" knife (or, shown in the Pu(III) flowsheet, is broken off over rolls) and falls into a hopper which feeds the screw calciner. The filtrate and wash solutions are vacuum transferred to filtrate storage through an in-line full-flow filter which acts as a backup filter in case of a belt failure on the rotary drum filter. The secondary filter is backwashed when required and the wash is recycled to the rotary drum filter.

Calcination

Calcination of the plutonium oxalate to plutonium oxide is performed in an electrically-heated screw calciner. The calcination temperature is 500°C. The calciner operates in tandem with a screwed cooler to cool the oxide to a reasonable temperature before discharge to a ball mill. A small air sweep through the equipment is provided to remove water vapor, gaseous reaction products, and fines. The air is preheated to reduce the load on the calcination heaters.

Grinding, Screening, and Packaging

The plutonium oxide from the calciner-cooler is passed through a ball mill where it is ground to specification particle size. According to some sources, grinding of oxide calcined from oxalate precipitate is unnecessary; grinding is included here as a worst case. The ball mill shown would be very small and probably would not have major maintenance problems. The overall impact of including it is probably small. The determination of a grinding requirement is a fruitful area for research if this process is selected as the primary one. After grinding the reduced oxide passes through a screen of specification mesh. It would be expected that very little material would fail to pass the screen as "overs." What overs exist will be collected in a canister for recycling to the grinder, as indicated on the flowsheet. The material passing the screen is canned, weighed, and sent to storage or shipping. Canning, weighing, shipping, and storage are the same for all processes under investigation and have no impact on process evaluation. These processing steps are not considered in detail.
Scrubbing

The equipment items: calciner-cooler, ball mill, screen, and canner hopper, are all interconnected and swept with air to minimize dusting of the process area with oxide. The air sweep accumulates water, reaction off-gases, and very fine dust particles. The off-gases are collected and passed through a cooler to reduce the temperature to a reasonable level, both for personnel protection and to reduce the operating temperature of the scrubber. The cooler outlet temperature is well above the dew-point of the off-gas, so that condensation and consequent corrosion of off-gas piping should not be a problem. The cooled off-gas goes to a venturi scrubber where it is contacted with a circulating liquid stream. A venturi scrubber is used because of its efficiency in removing very small particles. The scrubber discharge is liquid with entrained gas, and is passed to a packed column where the off-gases are separated from the liquid and entrained plutonium oxide particles. The cleaned off-gas is passed to the plant off-gas system, and the oxide-contaminated liquid is stored in vessels packed with boron-glass Raschig rings for further processing. The liquid from the scrubber is sampled and, if it is below discard limits, it is sent to the filtrate receiver vessels for processing with the filtrate.

Filtrate Evaporation

The rotary drum filtrate and wash and scrubber liquid, if any, are collected in a manifold set of pencil tanks. The tanks are equipped so that filtrate can be drawn into some tanks by means of vacuum simultaneously with feeding the filtrate evaporator from other tanks. A small quantity of potassium permanganate (KMnO₄) is added to the solution. The KMnO₄ is not indicated on the flowsheet. The filtrate evaporator is fed by a metering pump and accomplishes a ten-to-one boildown by weight. Nitric acid of molarity 2.3 is the overhead product. The bottoms is 8.2 molar nitric acid and contains whatever plutonium or other solids are in the filtrate. The filtrate evaporator also accomplishes the destruction of the excess oxalic acid present in the filtrate. Nitric oxide and carbon dioxide are formed from the oxalic acid, and being noncondensables, are vented from the distillate condenser. The evaporator distillate is stored in a vessel filled with boron-glass Raschig rings, sampled, and if below discard limits, is piped to the waste treatment facility. The evaporator concentrate is cooled to a reasonable temperature, then recycled to the separations plant.

RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT

Minimize Number Of Process Trains For Direct Strike

Increased precipitation vessel volume and increased Pu feed concentration might reduce the number of precipitation vessels described in the process description.
from 10 to 1. A slab tank 10.16 cm (4 inches) wide, 76.2 cm (30 inches) high, and 121.9 cm (48 inches) long would have 9.5 times more usable volume than the 8-litre precipitation vessel described. Such a slab tank, equipped with a circulation loop that includes a heater and a cooler, should provide the same plant capacity as the 10 reactors presently shown. To ensure adequate mixing in the slab tank, several nozzles connected to manifolds could be used. Solution from the circulation loop would be injected into the tank at several locations. Development work to determine proper baffling in the tank would be necessary to ensure adequate mixing.

The Pu feed concentration could be increased to more than 250 g of Pu per litre of solution. Laboratory scale work showed that feed concentrations up to 550 g of Pu per litre of solution were satisfactory. However, for large batch sizes associated with the proposed 76-litre slab tank precipitation vessel, developmental work would be necessary to demonstrate the feasibility of 250 to 550 g of Pu per litre of solution.

Cycle time for the precipitation vessel would also have to be determined. A 2-hour cycle time would allow a longer digestion time and sufficient Pu throughput to meet the 100 kg Pu per day plant requirement if batch size were greater than 8.5 kg Pu. This batch size would correspond to 35 litres of 250 g of Pu per litre of solution and 40.25 litres of 1.0 M oxalic acid.

**Improve Filters and Calciners**

Different types of filters and calciners should be investigated and improved. Factors to be considered are: amenability to automatic operation, ease of remote replacement, and amenability to assay for Pu accountability. The new safety standards will require remote operation. Equipment that was formerly acceptable under the old safety standards may not be acceptable in the future. Remote operation is especially important when one considers that the isotopes in recycled fuel grade Pu emit about 16 times more radiation to an operator's hand than $^{239}$Pu in weapons-grade Pu.

In the future, pellet fabricators might want to reduce plant dust and its associated accountability problems; they may also want to reduce the number of processing steps. One way to do this would be to avoid milling in the pellet fabrication facility. In this case, precipitation parameters would have to be set to give oxalate particles of 1 μm diameter for adequate PuO$_2$-UO$_2$ blending. Particles of 1 μm are difficult to filter. They either pass through the conventional filter media or tend to blind it. Reverse osmosis and membrane filtration should be considered. G. D. White at ARHCO has suggested that the oxalate slurry be centrifuged to separate the supernatant solution.
Special calciners would have to be developed to prevent these 1 μm particles from agglomerating. Another possible way to produce 1-μm PuO₂ in the conversion facility would be to grind the particles during calcination. A screw calciner could be developed for this.

**Study Effect Of Continuous Process Parameters On PuO₂ Powder**

The relationship between the precipitation and calcination parameters and product PuO₂ performance should be studied as suggested by G. D. White at Hanford. A set of conditions might be found that produce:

(a) A narrow particle size distribution with few if any particles in the respirable dust range (<4.0 μm).

(b) Particles of the proper size and shape which can be cold or hot-pressed directly to the desired theoretical density without prepressing and granulation.

(c) Particles with the proper surface area (1 to 5 m²/g) so that their weight will be constant for at least 6 days. Continuous process PuO₂ is now about 40 m²/g.

(d) Particles of stoichiometric PuO₂ which means less than 0.5 wt. % moisture content or less in weight after calcining at 950°C. Continuous process PuO₂ now has 2.5 wt. % moisture.

Alternatively, another set of conditions might be found which produces a product with the above properties except that the average particle size would be around 1 μm.

**ADVANTAGES AND DISADVANTAGES**

**Advantages**

1. **Operating Experience with Recycled Fuel Grade Pu**

The plutonium(IV) oxalate process is the only conversion process studied in this series of reports that has operating experience with recycled fuel grade plutonium. Alkem in Hanau, West Germany has fabricated more than 3,000 kg of thermal reactor recycled fuel(32).

2. **Precipitation Process with Least Number of Steps and Equipment**

Compared to the other precipitation conversion processes, the plutonium(IV) oxalate process has fewer processing steps. This means that the cost to build, operate and maintain this process would be less. Fewer processing steps and less equipment means that the number of remote systems required for remote
maintenance and automated operation would be fewer. However, all the direct denitration processes require even fewer steps and equipment than the PU(IV) oxalate process.

3. High Decontamination Factor

It is expected that decontamination of plutonium nitrate feed from Purex solvent extraction won't be necessary. However, the nitric acid and oxalic acid might corrode the storage vessels and introduce impurities of Fe, Cr, and Ni into the plutonium solutions. The decontamination factors of Pu(IV) oxalate are quite high as discussed by Crocker and Hopkins(19) for the continuous process and by Kuhn and Koch(22) for Alkem's direct strike method. By contrast, direct denitration PuO2 might pick up corrosion products from the hot denitration vessel walls.

4. High Theoretical Density Mixed Oxide Pellets

As discussed earlier in this report, EBR and LWR fuels require mixed oxide pellets to have 93 to 96% theoretical density (TD). Both Alkem's direct strike and Hanford's continuous process Pu(IV) oxalate-prepared PuO2 can be blended with UO2 to produce the desired theoretical density. However, direct strike Pu(III) oxalate PuO2 from both SRL and LASL does not produce as dense pellets as Pu(IV) oxalate PuO2. The same pellet fabrication process that produces 93 to 94% TD pellets from Pu(IV) oxalate PuO2, produces only 85 to 88% TD pellets from Pu(III) oxalate PuO2(26). However, pellet fabrication procedures for Pu(III) oxalate PuO2 can be modified to produce 90% TD pellets as required for FFTF fuel(26). But it is unknown at this time if Pu(III) oxalate PuO2 can give 93 to 96% TD mixed oxide pellets.

In earlier work, PuO2 from oxalate was compared with PuO2 from batch direct denitration (prepared on laboratory scale) and PuO2 from oxidized metallic Pu(33). The oxalate PuO2 gave mixed oxide pellet densities which were less than direct denitrated PuO2, which in turn, were less than oxidized metallic PuO2.

No data have been reported on the percent TD of mixed oxide pellets from fluid bed or screw calciner direct denitratated PuO2, or from peroxide prepared PuO2.

Disadvantages

1. Hazardous Hydrogen Peroxide Used for Valence Adjustment

For the most part, the products and reactants in the plutonium(IV) oxalate process are stable; however, hydrogen peroxide is used for valence adjustment, and can become explosive if not handled properly.
2. More Steps and Equipment than Direct Denitration Processes

Even if the precipitation vessel volume were increased so that only one vessel rather than 10 were necessary, the precipitation process still requires filtration units and a precipitation vessel. These items are not required by the direct denitration processes.

3. Dissolution in HNO₃ Leaves 0.06% Pu Undissolved

Without using corrosive fluoride ions, mechanically mixed oxides which have been dissolved in hot nitric acid leave 0.06% Pu undissolved. This 0.06% is for optimal blending using small 1-μm PuO₂ particles. Less than optimally blended mixed oxides can leave as much as 10% Pu undissolved. By comparison, sol gel produced U-Pu oxide completely dissolves without fluoride. Also, U-Pu oxide which has been prepared by U-Pu hydroxide coprecipitation dissolves completely without fluoride, as shown in most recent work(2).

4. Dust Problems with Mechanically Mixed Oxides

Dust problems seem to be unavoidable with all the conversion processes to prepare PuO₂ for mechanically mixed oxides. Dust occurs when PuO₂ is prepared and when PuO₂ is blended with UO₂. By contrast, processes for sol-gel vibrapacked rods minimize dust.

CONCLUSIONS

Plutonium(IV) nitrate [Pu(NO₃)₄] and oxalic acid (H₂C₂O₄) can be combined in different ways to precipitate plutonium(IV) oxalate hexahydrate [Pu(C₂O₄)₂·6H₂O] of different sizes and shapes. The oxalate particles retain their original size and shape as they decompose to plutonium dioxide (PuO₂) during calcination. Oxalic acid can be added to a solution of Pu(NO₃)₄ (direct strike), or Pu(NO₃)₄ can be added to H₂C₂O₄ (reverse strike). Pu(NO₃)₄ and H₂C₂O₄ can be added simultaneously, but as separate streams, to the bottom of a precipitation vessel while vessel contents overflow to a rotary drum filter (continuous process). Continuous process is like a combination of direct and reverse strike as evidenced by its PuO₂ particles, Table IV.

Particles less than 4 μm are considered respirable dust and are undesirable from a safety viewpoint. Direct strike PuO₂ particles larger than 4 μm can be made from [Pu(NO₃)₄] of 1 to 500 g Pu per l. But, reverse strike PuO₂ particles larger than 4 μm require dilute [Pu(NO₃)₄] of 5 g Pu per l. This is a disadvantage because: feed from Purex solvent extraction would have to be diluted, process and waste volumes would be increased, and Purex feed could not be concentrated to minimize storage space. The continuous process needs developmental work on the precipitation parameters to avoid PuO₂ particles less than 4 μm. Therefore, direct strike was selected for the process description and equipment flow diagrams in this report.
TABLE IV. Plutonium(IV) Oxalate Precipitation Process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Particle Configuration</th>
<th>Particle Size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>direct strike</td>
<td>rosettes</td>
<td>20 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>reverse strike</td>
<td>square prisms</td>
<td>3 μm at 25 g Pu/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17 μm at 5 g Pu/l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>continuous</td>
<td>rosettes, square prisms</td>
<td>90% &lt;9 to 12 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and thin plates</td>
<td>50% &lt;5 μm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

REFERENCES


ILLUSTRATIONS

Pu(IV) Oxalate

Flow Sheet & Material Balance
(2 sheets)
DISTRIBUTION

INTERNAL

1 – 20 R&E Admin (Record)
21 Library
22 W. S. Bennett
23 J. Byrne, Jr.
24 R. H. Karlsson
25 G. Lehmkuhl
26 L. G. Thomas
27 E. Vejvoda
28 D. A. Wiederecht
29 R. O. Williams

EXTERNAL

30 – 121 TID-4500, UC-83, Nuclear Converter Reactor Fuel Cycle Technology

122 T. B. Hindman
E. I. DuPont de Nemours and Co.
Savannah River Laboratory
Aiken, South Carolina 29801

123 A. S. Jennings
E. I. DuPont de Nemours and Co.
Savannah River Laboratory
Aiken, South Carolina 29801