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ABSTRACT

Two major problems associated with Si-based MEMS (MicroElectroMechanical Systems) devices are stiction and wear. Surface modifications are needed to reduce both adhesion and friction in micromechanical structures to solve these problems. In this paper, we will present a CVD (Chemical Vapor Deposition) process that selectively coats MEMS devices with tungsten and significantly enhances device durability. Tungsten CVD is used in the integrated-circuit industry, which makes this approach manufacturable. This selective deposition process results in a very conformal coating and can potentially address both stiction and wear problems confronting MEMS processing. The selective deposition of tungsten is accomplished through the silicon reduction of WF₆. The self-limiting nature of this selective W deposition process ensures the consistency necessary for process control. The tungsten is deposited after the removal of the sacrificial oxides to minimize stress and process integration problems. Tungsten coating adheres well and is hard and conducting, requirements for device performance. Furthermore, since the deposited tungsten infiltrates under adhered silicon parts and the volume of W deposited is less than the amount of Si consumed, it appears to be possible to release stuck parts that are contacted over small areas such as dimples. The wear resistance of selectively coated W parts has been shown to be significantly improved on microengine test structures.

INTRODUCTION

Surface micromachined devices are currently fabricated from polycrystalline silicon, which is used by the silicon microelectronics industry as a gate electrode and local interconnect [1]. Parts fabricated from polysilicon, a material originally developed for its electronic properties, have been demonstrated to be mechanically robust [2]. However, wear has been identified as a significant failure mechanism for devices with load bearing surfaces [3-5].

Some approaches to the problem of wear include the introduction of a low friction polymeric coating, for example by PECVD (Teflon) or introduction of self assembled monolayer or through wet chemical routes after the release process [6, 7]. In these approaches the deposited layer itself is not hard and wear is diminished by the reduction in the coefficient of friction. The long-term behavior of these very thin layers of polymeric materials is unclear. A fundamentally different approach to the wear problem is to substitute the polysilicon with intrinsically hard materials such as diamond or silicon carbide. However, this runs counter to the great enabling strength of surface micromachining, leveraging of IC processing technology and tool sets. An even bigger drawback to this approach involves process integration. Most devices with contacting layers consist of a minimum of three mechanical levels fabricated using a complicated combination of deposition, photolithographic, etch, and planarization processes. The introduction of completely new materials and processing technologies into these complex process flows may be difficult. Therefore, development of better surface passivation and tribological coatings using standard IC processing tool set is of great importance for the successful widespread introduction of MEMS sensors and actuators with contacting surfaces. In this paper we demonstrate that coating with
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selectively deposited, self-limiting, tungsten coating can dramatically improving the wear characteristics of microengines.

The selective deposition of tungsten through the silicon reduction of WF₆ was studied in detail in the late 1980’s but never gained acceptance by the IC industry [8-13]. However, blanket tungsten CVD, using silane or hydrogen reduction is commonly used in the integrated-circuit industry, and this same tool set can be applied to the selective silicon reduction process outlined here. The selective deposition of tungsten is accomplished through silicon reduction of WF₆, which results in a self-limiting reaction [11, 12]. The self-limiting nature of this deposition process ensures the consistency necessary for process control and results in a very conformal coating. The ability to selectively deposit W after the removal of the sacrificial oxide and the low temperature of the deposition (<450°C) eases process integration. Furthermore, since the deposited tungsten infiltrates under adhered silicon parts and the volume of W deposited is less than the amount of Si consumed, it appears to be possible to release adhered parts that are contacted over small areas such as dimples.

Tungsten has a number of attractive properties as a wear resistant coating. Endurance of the W coating is important, especially in applications where wear may occur due to rubbing or contacting surfaces. Unlike polymeric coatings, which only serve to reduce the coefficient of friction, W is hard. Also unlike the polymers, W is entirely compatible with the temperatures typically associated with packaging and is ultra high vacuum compatible.

EXPERIMENT

The chemical reactions for selective W deposition using chemical vapor deposition are given below:

\[
2WF_6 + 3Si \rightarrow 2W + 3SiF_4 \uparrow \quad (1)
\]

\[
WF_6 + 3Si \rightarrow W + 3SiF_2 \uparrow \quad (2)
\]

When WF₆ encounters heated silicon, a reaction occurs in which SiF₄ or SiF₂ gas is formed and W is deposited on the silicon surface. Depending on the reaction temperature one reaction or the other dominates [10, 13]. Once a continuous film of W is formed (after ~200Å on our structural polysilicon) the WF₆ is shielded from the Si and the reaction slows or stops. This process is completely selective since the reaction does not occur on silicon dioxide or silicon nitride [14, 15].

The selective W deposited on polysilicon surfaces is extremely conformal as shown in a SEM micrograph in Figure 1. After cleaving, the polysilicon has been etched using HNO₃:HF to delineate the extremely thin W coating around the polysilicon, a cantilever in this case. The W on the top surface is continuous even after this aggressive etch, demonstrating the absence of pin holes which would have been enhanced during etching.

During the displacement reduction reaction of Si by WF₆ discussed earlier, the amount of Si thickness consumed is about twice the thickness of tungsten formed. This inherent difference in the deposited film thickness and the reacted film thickness results in W depositing in very narrow spaces making this process desirable for MEMS devices. Figures 2a and 2b show

Figure 1. A scanning electron micrograph showing a thin ~100Å layer of silicon reduced W conformal around all sides of a section of structural polysilicon. The silicon has been recessed using a HF/HNO₃ mixture in order to delineate the W.
undercutting in initially adhered Si members. The SEM image shows the backside of a cantilever beam where W has deposited. The structure was pulled using carbon-tape to image the backside. It appears that W has penetrated between layers of Si, which were initially adhered. If the contact area is kept small this may be a way to address the stiction problem. This is also important in that it is a mechanism for the coating of portions of parts that are unavoidably in local contact at the end of the drying process. Standard deposition processes either do not coat these contacting regions or can act to further glue them together.

WEAR RESISTANCE OF TUNGSTEN-COATED MICROENGINES

MEMS devices were selectively coated with W using the selective silicon reduction process outlined above [15]. The microengine on the diagnostic module of the wafer was chosen for reliability and wear resistance assessment. It is of the same design as most of those tested in previous reliability experiments and is shown in Figure 3. By applying the proper drive voltages, the linear displacement of the comb drives is transformed into circular motion. The X and Y linkage arms are connected to the gear via a pin joint. The gear rotates about a hub that is anchored to the substrate. The microengine has been the focus of much investigation for MEMS devices experiencing sliding friction [3-5].

One of the many issues associated with assessing the reliability of microengines is the method used to operate them. Ideal equations (model-based), based on Newtonian physics, were derived to optimize the electrical signals [16]. In the typical optimization procedure, parameters were measured [17] which were then input into the equations. These equations are NOT simple sine waves, and it has been demonstrated that operation by square waves produced early failures [18].

To make a clean comparison to the polysilicon microengine, we decided to use the same drive parameters and frequency (1720 Hz) used in an earlier test. All of the earlier tests were stressed.
with a large longitudinal force to accelerate the time to failure. The same was done with the tungsten-coated devices.

In our earlier tests without the coating, we observed a median time to failure of $4 \times 10^5$ accumulated cycles using a sample size of more than 20 microengines. This was performed in a controlled humidity environment of 39% RH. Using the same drive-signal parameters, but in ambient laboratory conditions (30-50%RH), we observed a dramatic increase in the time to failure for W coated parts. We saw no failures in 30 samples tested to 2 million cycles. Two microengines ran normally for 1,035,000,000 and 379,000,000 cycles respectively after which the test was stopped. One microengine was stressed using square waves and ran for 258,000,000 cycles without failure.

As shown in Figure 4, there is no apparent wear debris of the W coated devices. The polysilicon gear on the left failed after 1 million cycles with wear debris forming inside the hub and near the pin joint region. In comparison, on the right is a tungsten-coated microengine gear with no signs of wear debris after a billion cycles.

Further confirmation of excellent wear resistance is shown in Figure 5 by focused ion beam (FIB) cross sections of the pin joint region. The pin joint has a diameter of 3 microns. The polysilicon pin joint failed at 607,000 cycles and shows excessive wear with a significant narrowing of the diameter. Wear debris was present on all the rubbing surfaces. In contrast, the tungsten-coated pin joint shows no wear or wear debris anywhere near the rubbing surfaces.

Miniature tensile samples have been tested to investigate the affect of tungsten coating on the strength of polysilicon. Each sample has a freely moving pivot and a pull-ring; the gage crosssection is 1.8 µm wide by 2.5 µm
thick and varies in length from 15 to 1000 μm. The pull-ring is engaged by a 35 μm diameter flat tipped diamond using a nanoindenter. The normal force, lateral force and displacement are recorded. The normal force is maintained throughout the test to prevent the conical shaped diamond from being pushed upwards by the pull-ring engagement reaction. Once engaged, the tip continues to move laterally, which loads the thin polysilicon ligament in tension. Figure 6 shows two samples: the lower one has been tested.

Ninety-eight SCCO₂ released and 50 tungsten coated samples were tested. There was a significant difference between the two groups. The mean and SD for the SCCO₂ released samples is 4.27 ± 0.61 GPa while the tungsten coated samples decreased to 2.77 ± 0.60 GPa shown in Figure 7. The minimum values for each group dropped more precipitously, dropping from 2.91 GPa to 1.44 GPa. No difference could be established between the strengths of samples of different lengths within each group. While the strength has been lowered for tungsten coated polysilicon, it remains sufficiently high for most MEMS applications. More details about this technique are in reference [19]. TEM micrographs show particles at the tungsten-silicon interface, which may result in a stress concentration lowering the stress for static failure. Further work in understanding the W-Si interface is in progress.

CONCLUSIONS

Selective tungsten coating using chemical vapor deposition has been used to deposit a thin, uniform, conformal coating to improve wear resistance. This W coating shows dramatic improvements in wear characteristics. Microengines coated with selective W show longer lifetimes than polysilicon microengines. The mean time to failure observed in polysilicon microengines was $4 \times 10^3$ using 20 microengines. In comparison, in the tungsten coated microengines no failure was observed in 30 microengines tested to 2 million cycles.
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