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### Acronyms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AHFC</td>
<td>Alaska Housing Finance Corporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BEES</td>
<td>Building Energy Efficiency Standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>BOP</td>
<td>Builder Option Package</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CABO</td>
<td>Council of American Building Officials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEC</td>
<td>California Energy Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHEERS</td>
<td>California Home Energy Rating System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHFA</td>
<td>Colorado Housing Finance Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHUMS</td>
<td>Computerized Housing Underwriting Management System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CY</td>
<td>Calendar Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOE</td>
<td>U.S. Department of Energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEI</td>
<td>Edison Electric Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEIRR</td>
<td>Energy Efficiency Interest Rate Reduction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EEMs</td>
<td>Energy-efficient mortgages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EIM</td>
<td>Energy improvement mortgages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPA</td>
<td>U.S. Environmental Protection Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERHA</td>
<td>Energy Rated Homes of America</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERH-AK</td>
<td>Energy Rated Homes of Alaska</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERH-AR</td>
<td>Energy Rated Homes of Arkansas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERHC</td>
<td>Energy Rated Homes of Colorado</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERHM</td>
<td>Energy Rated Homes of Mississippi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERH-VT</td>
<td>Energy Rated Homes of Vermont</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EWG</td>
<td>Evaluation Working Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHA</td>
<td>Federal Housing Authority, HUD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FSEC</td>
<td>Florida Solar Energy Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FY</td>
<td>Fiscal Year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HELP</td>
<td>Home Energy Loan Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HERS</td>
<td>Home Energy Rating Systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUD</td>
<td>U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEC</td>
<td>Model Energy Code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAHB</td>
<td>National Association of Home Builders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASEO</td>
<td>National Association of State Energy Officials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-HERO</td>
<td>National Home Energy Resources Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NREL</td>
<td>National Renewable Energy Lab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OEC</td>
<td>Colorado Office of Energy Conservation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG&amp;E</td>
<td>Pacific Gas and Electric Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PVE</td>
<td>Petroleum Violation Escrow Funds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWG</td>
<td>Pilot States Working Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RESNET</td>
<td>Residential Energy Services Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHS</td>
<td>Rural Housing Service, USDA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMS</td>
<td>Rating Management Software</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEO</td>
<td>State Energy Office</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEP</td>
<td>State Energy Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TVA</td>
<td>Tennessee Valley Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>USDA</td>
<td>U.S. Department of Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VA</td>
<td>U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-HERO</td>
<td>Virginia Home Energy Rating Organization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbreviation</td>
<td>Full Name</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEIC</td>
<td>Vermont Energy Investment Corporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VHFA</td>
<td>Vermont Housing Finance Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WSU</td>
<td>Washington State University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YESS</td>
<td>Yearly Energy Savings System Mortgage Program (Vermont)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Executive Summary

Home Energy Ratings Systems (HERS) provide a standardized method of rating the energy efficiency of residential buildings. With this rating, homeowners and potential homebuyers can assess valuable information on the cost of energy and the potential for improving the efficiency of a home. A rating can also be the basis for qualifying for an energy-efficient mortgage (EEM).

The Energy Policy Act of 1992 included several provisions promoting the use of HERS and EEMs, which strengthened efforts to develop a national infrastructure for HERS and to promote the use of EEMs. This report documents HERS and EEMs activities since 1992 by the U.S. Department of Energy, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, mortgage lenders, and other organizations. Efforts of the prime stakeholders have included, among other things:

- **Regulatory actions**—including draft guidelines for HERS
- **Technical assistance**—including training and validation of rating tools
- **Promotional programs**—such as mortgage rate reductions and increased loan amounts
- **Funding for pilot state initiatives**—to identify and overcome barriers.

Though the process of establishing HERS has faced some barriers, this report shows that, as of November 1999, home energy ratings were available in 47 states and the District of Columbia, which represents a significant increase from 1993 when home energy ratings were available in 17 states. Both national and state organizations have developed HERS and related residential energy-efficiency programs.

The availability and use of EEMs has also increased significantly. The number of EEMs supported by the Federal Housing Administration has increased more than eight times in the last three years. More than $2.5 billion in federally supported EEMs have been issued to date. Several national lenders offer EEMs, and six states have state-specific EEM or loan programs. EEMs have been used to finance energy-efficient homes in every state.
I Introduction

Improving the energy efficiency of the U.S. housing stock can result in significant benefits for homeowners, the economy, and the environment. Housing affordability can improve if borrowers can take advantage of a variety of energy-efficient mortgage products available, and lenders and secondary mortgages can make more loans. Builders can build houses and market them as energy efficient—thus increasing their market share. Real estate professionals can increase their commissions by selling houses that have the additional energy-efficient features. Becoming a trained and certified home energy rater can be an additional valuable skill for someone already in the residential building business. Contractors for energy-efficient equipment, and the manufacturers and distributors of that equipment, benefit from increased market share. Citizens and the environment benefit from a reduction in emissions from power plants and fossil fuel combustion from the resultant increase energy efficiency in housing.

A variety of laws, regulations, and policies have been or are being implemented to increase energy efficiency in the U.S. housing market. Section 102 of Energy Policy Act of 1992 (EPACT) amends Title II of the National Energy Conservation Policy Act (42 U.S.C. 8211 et. seq.) to promote the use of home energy ratings. This report, the National Status of HERS and EEMs, documents home energy rating systems (HERS) and energy-efficient mortgages (EEMs) activities over the past five years by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD), and other organizations, banks, and companies. This report also documents the current status of HERS and EEMs in the marketplace. Sources include articles published in trade press and other media, press releases, programmatic information available in the public domain, and data reported to DOE.

A HERS is a standardized system for rating the energy efficiency of residential buildings. The home energy rating is a standard measurement of a home's energy efficiency, which allows a homebuyer to compare the energy efficiency of different homes. A homeowner who wants to upgrade the home's energy efficiency can use the energy rating to evaluate specific, cost-effective improvements. Most home energy ratings include an on-site inspection of a home by a residential energy efficiency professional—a home energy rater. Home energy raters are typically trained and certified by the operating HERS.

Often, home energy raters have worked in the housing or energy fields and include home inspectors, appraisers, energy auditors, low-income weatherization contractors, and energy-efficient homebuilders and designers. The home energy rater inspects the home and measures its energy characteristics, such as insulation levels, window efficiency, wall-to-window ratios, heating and cooling system efficiency, solar orientation of the home, and the efficiency of the water heating system. Diagnostic testing, such as using a blower door for air leakage and testing for duct leakage, is often part of the rating. For most HERS, the measurements are then entered into a computer program that produces a report of the cost-effective options for improving the home's energy efficiency as well a “rating” of the home's energy efficiency and estimated energy costs.

An EEM is any home mortgage for which either the underwriting guidelines have been relaxed specifically for energy-efficiency features, or for which any form of financing incentive is given for energy efficiency. Several lenders that offer EEMs require that a home energy rating be performed to verify that the energy efficiency of the home warrants an EEM.
II Background

In 1993, home energy ratings were only available in 17 states. As of November 1999, home energy ratings are available in 47 states and the District of Columbia, although the number of raters varies from state to state. Both national and state organizations have developed HERS and related residential energy-efficiency programs, shown in Figure 1 and listed in Table 1. Several HERS provider pilot states are now offering ratings on a regional basis. Also, 11 independent HERS programs were operating as of September 1999, some of which have more than one state as their service territory.

Overall, several national lenders offer EEMs and six states have state-specific EEM or loan programs. EEMs have been used to finance energy-efficient homes in every state. Lists of EEM providers are shown in tables 2, 3 and 4.

---


2 Access to raters varies widely from state to state, i.e. some states have one or two raters and other states have 25 - 50 raters (see Table 1 for additional information and independent HERS program availability).
### Table 1. HERS and Other Residential Energy-Efficiency Programs, as of Fall 1999

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization/Program</th>
<th>Availability</th>
<th>Program Energy-Efficiency Scale</th>
<th>Verification Methodology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy Rated Homes of America (ERHA)/Various programs</td>
<td>See Figure 1.</td>
<td>Numerical score of 1-100 where 80 is equivalent to Model Energy Code 1992 (MEC 92(^4)).</td>
<td>Rating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>National Home Energy &amp; Resources Organization (National-HERO)/HERS</td>
<td>See Figure 1.</td>
<td>Numerical score of 1-100 where 80 is equivalent to MEC 92.</td>
<td>Rating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska Housing Finance Corporation (AHFC)/AHFC Energy Programs</td>
<td>Alaska only.</td>
<td>Numerical score of 1-100 where 80 is equivalent to MEC 92.</td>
<td>Rating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona / Southwest Gas Corporation</td>
<td>Arizona only.</td>
<td>Numerical score of 1-100 where 80 is equivalent to MEC 95.</td>
<td>Ratings performed by utility company and builders(^8).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Organizations: (^9)</td>
<td>California only.</td>
<td>Title 24 - Building Energy Efficiency Standards (BEES), which has been certified to be more stringent than MEC 95.(^{10})</td>
<td>Rating or professional consultation using state-certified analysis software; depending on site specifics, could be either prescriptive or performance based.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida Department of Community Affairs / Florida Program</td>
<td>Florida only.</td>
<td>Numerical score of 1-100 where 80 is equivalent to MEC 92.</td>
<td>Rating</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---


4 MEC (Model Energy Code): “A ‘model’ national standard for residential energy efficiency. The MEC was developed through a national consensus process by the Council of American Building Officials (CABO) and is the accepted national minimum efficiency standard for residential construction. Since MEC is a model code, it does not have the "force of law" unless and until it is adopted by the local code authority. Nonetheless, MEC is used as the basis for many, if not most, of the energy-efficiency criteria employed in the U.S. marketplace. By virtue of its reference in EPACT, the MEC is used as the national standard for determining EEM qualification, and it serves as the national ‘reference point’ used by HERS in the determination of energy ratings for homes.” From the Florida Solar Energy Center web site, http://www.fsec.ucf.edu/ratings/e_terms.htm. Date accessed: December 6, 1999. The International Code Council (ICC) was established by CABO and other code organizations, and ICC is maintaining the International Energy Conservation Code (IECC), the successor to the MEC.


7 Conversation with Eric Shoberg, Southwest Gas Corporation, March 2, 2000. Tucson Electric Power also has an active residential energy-efficiency program in Arizona, however this program does not use ratings. Instead, a field test determines the efficiency of a home. Conversation with Randy Allegott, Tucson Electric Power, March 8, 2000.

8 Utility company performs ratings on building plans; builder’s ratings are based on field testing of the homes. Conversation with Eric Shoberg, Southwest Gas Corporation, March 2, 2000.

9 Conversation and correspondence with Mike Hodgson, ConSol Inc., August, 1999.

10 Letter from California Energy Commission (CEC), December 22, 1998 to Dan Reicher, DOE, which states that “the Efficiency Standards Committee of the CEC hereby certifies that California’s Building Energy Efficiency Standards (Title 24, Part 6) for Residential Buildings achieves greater energy efficiency than [MEC 95].”

11 Correspondence with Philip Fairey, Florida Solar Energy Center, August 1999.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization/Program</th>
<th>Availability</th>
<th>Program Energy-Efficiency Scale</th>
<th>Verification Methodology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Idaho State Energy Office / GEMSTAR Program(^{12}) (See Table 4 for financing criteria.)</td>
<td>Idaho only.</td>
<td>One-star to five-star rating scale where a five-star rating is equivalent to 30% more efficient than MEC 92. New site-built and manufactured homes require a five-star rating.</td>
<td>Rating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kansas Department of Commerce &amp; Housing/ Kansas Energy Star Program(^{13}) (See Table 4 for financing criteria.)</td>
<td>Kansas, Arkansas, Nebraska, New York, and Missouri.(^{14})</td>
<td>Numerical score of 1-100 where 80 is equivalent to MEC 92.</td>
<td>Rating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana State Energy Office / Louisiana Home Energy Loan Program (HELP)(^{15}) (See Table 4 for financing criteria.)</td>
<td>Louisiana only.</td>
<td>One to five star rating scale where a five star rating is equivalent to 30% more efficient than MEC 92. Energy-efficient financing—new homes require a Five Star rating; existing homes require 30% energy efficiency improvement.</td>
<td>Rating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska State Energy Office/ Nebraska Program(^{16}) (See Table 4 for financing criteria.)</td>
<td>Nebraska only.</td>
<td>Numerical score of 1-100 where 80 is equivalent to 1995 MEC.</td>
<td>Rating</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Mexico/Green Builder Program(^{17})</td>
<td>New Mexico only.</td>
<td>Numerical score of 1-100 where 80 is equivalent to MEC 92; also requires certain prescribed green building features.(^{18})</td>
<td>Self-certified ratings and sampled ratings performed by utility companies, builders and developers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) energy right® Residential New Homes Program(^{19}) • energy right certification requires four stars or a rating of 80 to 82. • energy right gold certification requires 4½ to 5 stars or a rating of 83 or better.</td>
<td>Tennessee and adjacent states (including portions of AL, GA, KY, MS, NC, and VA).</td>
<td>Numerical score of 1-100 where 80 is equivalent to MEC 92. Scores are correlated to a five star rating scale.</td>
<td>Verification method is a combination of a performance rating, physical inspection, and builder affidavit. All program-certified homes must be inspected by a TVA-authorized professional.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texas State Energy Commission/ Texas Program(^{20}) (Pilot)</td>
<td>Texas only.</td>
<td>Numerical score of 1-100 where 80 is equivalent to MEC 92.</td>
<td>Rating</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{13}\) Conversation with Stan Butler, Kansas Department of Commerce and Housing, Energy Programs Section, June 17, 1999.
\(^{14}\) The Kansas Energy Star program is currently negotiating with Colorado, North Dakota, and Wyoming for a variety of cooperative or reciprocal arrangements.
\(^{15}\) Correspondence with Wade Byrd, Louisiana Department of Natural Resources, Energy Division, September 27, 1999.
\(^{16}\) Conversation with Jack Osterman, Nebraska Energy Office, September 15, 1999.
\(^{17}\) Conversation with Harold Trujillo, New Mexico Energy Conservation and Management Division, May 18, 1999.
\(^{18}\) Conversation with Jim Folkman, Home Builders Association of Central New Mexico (HBA of CNM), October 1, 1999.
\(^{19}\) Conversation with Jerry Lyle, Tennessee Valley Authority, September 17, 1999.
### Table 1. HERS and Other Residential Energy-Efficiency Programs, as of Fall 1999 (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization/Program</th>
<th>Availability</th>
<th>Numerical score of 1-100 where 80 is equivalent to MEC 92.</th>
<th>Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Home Energy Rating Organization (V-HERO)/HERS&lt;sup&gt;21&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Virginia only.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Washington State University (WSU) Cooperative Extension Energy Program&lt;sup&gt;22&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Washington only.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wisconsin Energy Conservation Corporation/ Home Performance&lt;sup&gt;23&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Wisconsin and parts of Minnesota.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 2. National EEM Programs for Existing Homes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization/Program</th>
<th>Energy-Efficiency Criteria</th>
<th>Availability</th>
<th>Program Energy-Efficiency Scale</th>
<th>Verification Methodology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HUD–FHA / 203(b) EEM, 203(k) EEM, 234 EEM, And 221(d2) EEM.&lt;sup&gt;25&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Federal Housing Administration (FHA) guidelines require determination of cost effectiveness.&lt;sup&gt;26&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>The total cost of the improvements (including maintenance costs) must pass a net present value test of energy costs saved over the lifetime of the improvements.</td>
<td>Cost effectiveness calculations must be documented by a HERS rating report.</td>
<td>EEM enhancements for financing of energy-efficiency improvements to existing homes can be added to any of the following HUD-FHA mortgages; 203(b), 203(k), 203(h), 234 [for condominiums], or 221(d2), for either purchase or refinance of a property. The property does not need to be appraised for the value of the energy-efficiency improvements. The borrower does not have to undergo qualification for the additional amount of financing of an EEM. No additional down payment is required on the additional financing. The limits of the amount of financing allowed are the same as other HUD-FHA financing: e.g., for existing single-family homes, HUD-FHA will underwrite an increase in the loan amount for the greater of $4,000 or 5% of the appraised value (with a cap of $8,000).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<sup>21</sup> Conversation and correspondence with Stephen Lowrie, National HERO, October 10, 1999.
<sup>22</sup> In performing ratings on new homes and manufactured housing, the WSU Cooperative Extension Energy Program has used customized software that is based on the state’s Model Conservation Code, a predecessor to CABO MEC. Conversation with Bruce Carter, WSU Cooperative Extension Energy Service, October 15, 1999.
<sup>24</sup> Conversation with Mary Meunier, Wisconsin Energy Bureau, March 14, 2000.
<sup>25</sup> Conversation and correspondence with Virginia Holman, HUD-FHA, August 17, 1999.
<sup>26</sup> HUD-FHA guidelines for existing home EEMs do not involve MEC. This is because for most existing homes, energy-efficiency improvements that would meet MEC 92 would be cost prohibitive.
## Table 2. National EEM Programs for Existing Homes (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization/Program</th>
<th>Energy-Efficiency Criteria</th>
<th>Availability</th>
<th>Program Energy-Efficiency Scale</th>
<th>Verification Methodology</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) / EEM&lt;sup&gt;27&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Acceptable energy-efficiency improvements are prescribed in the VA guidelines. Other improvements may be accepted at lender’s discretion (e.g., insulation, water heaters, storm windows/doors, weather-stripping, solar or conventional heating/cooling systems.)</td>
<td>The total cost of the improvements (as defined by the increase in monthly mortgage payments) must not exceed the likely reduction in monthly utility costs.</td>
<td>Loans for improvements over $3,000 must be deemed cost effective by an official documented verification of the improvements. VA allows the addition of $3,000 of improvements without verification of the improvements. Or VA allows up to $6,000 for energy improvements verified and documented to be cost effective by either of the following means: A) A HERS rating; or B) a “local reliable information source” subject to lender’s determination.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fannie Mae/ EEM (June 1999)&lt;sup&gt;28&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Not Applicable.</td>
<td>Annual energy savings must exceed the annual additional mortgage cost. Savings are calculated from baseline of “as is condition.”</td>
<td>Scientific ratings or preapproved prescriptive programs including HERS and sampled ratings.</td>
<td>Expands features of the prior Fannie Mae EEM to allow: 100% financing of energy improvements, new definition of cost effectiveness, combination with other Fannie Mae mortgage products, for new or existing one-to four-family properties, for purchase or refinance. Costs of improvements cannot exceed 15% of property’s value, and final loan-to-value ratio cannot exceed 95%.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freddie Mac/EEM&lt;sup&gt;29&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Documented determination of “high efficiency” using either; A) HERS rating, B) energy consultant’s certification, C) appraisal of improvements, or D) MEC 92 compliance.</td>
<td>Not Applicable.</td>
<td>Documentation of high efficiency: A) HERS rating report; B) Form 70A certified by an energy consultant; C) an appraisal report indicating the post-improvement energy efficiency of the property; or D) MEC 92 compliance documented by one of the following: a) building permit in states with mandatory state energy code, b) preapproved prescriptive certification, c) assurance from an energy consultant or a builder.</td>
<td>Allows both front-end and back-end debt-to-income ratios to be stretched by the amount of the expected savings. Alternatively, allows lender to increase the borrower’s maximum monthly mortgage payment by the amount of the expected monthly energy savings. Freddie Mac has no specific limits on the amounts of their EEMs, other than final loan-to-value ratio, which is the same as their regular mortgage loans.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

<sup>27</sup> Conversation and correspondence with Bob Finneran, Department of Veterans Affairs, August, 1999.<br><sup>28</sup> Conversation and correspondence with Patricia O’Loughlin, Fannie Mae, August, 1999.<br><sup>29</sup> Conversation and correspondence with John Hemschoot, Freddie Mac, August 17, 1999.
Table 3. National EEM Programs for New Homes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization/Program</th>
<th>Energy-Efficiency Criteria</th>
<th>Cost-Effectiveness Criteria</th>
<th>Verification</th>
<th>Terms &amp; Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HUD–FHA / 203(b) EEM, 203(h) EEM, 234 EEM, and 221(d2) EEM</td>
<td>Must exceed MEC 92.</td>
<td>The total cost of the energy-efficiency features (including maintenance cost) must pass a net present value test of energy costs saved over the lifetime of the features.</td>
<td>Cost-effectiveness calculations must be documented by a HERS rating report showing attainment of a rating of 81 or higher – indicating greater efficiency than MEC 92.</td>
<td>EEM enhancements for financing of energy-efficiency features in new homes can be added to any of the following HUD-FHA mortgages; 203(b), 203(h), 234 [for condominiums], or 221(d2). The property does not need to be appraised for the value of the energy-efficiency improvements. The borrower does not have to undergo qualification for the additional amount of financing of an EEM. No additional down payment is required on the additional financing. The limits of the amount of financing allowed are the same as other HUD-FHA financing: e.g., for existing single-family homes, HUD-FHA will underwrite an increase in the loan amount for the greater of $4,000 or 5% of the appraised value (with a cap of $8,000).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fannie Mae/ EEM (June 1999)</td>
<td>30% or better than MEC 92.</td>
<td>Annual energy savings must exceed the annual additional mortgage cost. Savings are calculated from baseline of “as is condition.”</td>
<td>Scientific ratings or prescriptive programs including HERS, sampled ratings, and preapproved prescriptive measures.</td>
<td>Expands features of the prior Fannie Mae EEM to allow 100% financing of energy improvements, revised definition of cost effectiveness from that of the prior EEM, and combination with other Fannie Mae mortgage products for purchase or refinance of new or existing one- to four-family properties. Costs of improvements cannot exceed 15% of property’s value, and final loan-to-value ratio cannot exceed 95%.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

30 Freddie Mac’s Form 70A is a two-part form (formerly the Energy Addendum to the Residential Appraisal Report), the first part of which must be completed by an energy consultant documenting their evaluation of the energy-efficiency features of the given home. Part two is not required for an EEM but may be completed by the appraiser or energy consultant documenting the calculated savings expected from the energy-efficiency features.

31 Conversation and correspondence with Virginia Holman, August 17, 1999.

32 Conversation and correspondence with Patricia O’Loughlin, August, 1999.
### Table 3. National EEM Programs for New Homes (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization/Program</th>
<th>Energy-Efficiency Criteria</th>
<th>Cost-Effectiveness Criteria</th>
<th>Verification</th>
<th>Terms &amp; Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Freddie Mac/EEM33</td>
<td>Improvements must be determined to be high efficiency by means of HERS, energy consultant’s certification, or MEC 92 compliance.</td>
<td>Freddie Mac policies do not require cost effectiveness criteria, per se.</td>
<td>High efficiency must be verified using either: a) HERS report; b) Form 70A certified by an energy consultant; c) an appraisal report indicating the energy efficiency of the property after the improvements; or d) MEC 92 compliance documented by mandatory state code, label certification, an energy consultant, or a builder.</td>
<td>Allows both front-end and back-end debt-to-income ratios to be stretched by the amount of the expected savings. Alternatively, allows lender to increase the borrower’s maximum monthly mortgage payment by the amount of the expected monthly energy savings. Freddie Mac has no specific limits on the amounts of their EEMs, other than loan-to-value ratio, which is the same as their regular mortgage loans.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4. State EEM and Loan Programs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State/Program</th>
<th>Energy-Efficiency Criteria</th>
<th>Energy-Efficiency Verification</th>
<th>Terms &amp; Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alaska Housing Finance Corporation/Home Energy Rating Program, based on the Alaskan Building Energy Efficiency Standard (BEES)34</td>
<td>“Four Stars Plus,” equals a rating of 83 or better (roughly 25% better than MEC 92). Five stars are given for a rating of 88 or better (roughly 50% better than MEC 92).35</td>
<td>Rating.</td>
<td>Home buyers who obtain an AHFC loan may qualify for an interest rate reduction (up to 1.5 %) and/or increased debt-income ratios, depending on the energy efficiency of the home. Both new and existing homes are eligible. The financial incentives are based on the energy rating and whether the property has access to natural gas (larger interest rate reductions are given to homes lacking natural gas access).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Rated Homes of Alaska/Energy Mortgage Programs36</td>
<td>New construction-Four Star Plus and Five Star. Existing homes-No minimum required to participate.</td>
<td>Rating.</td>
<td>Home buyers qualify for closing cost reductions and increased debt to income ratios. Energy Efficiency Value Increment (EEVI) for homes that achieve a rating over 85 points (Four Star Plus threshold). Incentives are offered for both new and existing homes.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

33 Conversation and correspondence with John Hemschoot, August 17, 1999.
35 The Alaskan BEES was developed before MEC 1992, therefore this comparison of the two is only approximated. While there is no technical analysis comparing the two (as was done with California’s Title 24 BEES and MEC 1992), due to climatic differences the Alaskan BEES is probably more rigorous than MEC 92.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State/Program</th>
<th>Energy-Efficiency Criteria</th>
<th>Energy-Efficiency Verification</th>
<th>Terms &amp; Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Idaho /GEMSTAR(^{37})</td>
<td>Five stars - 30% over MEC.</td>
<td>Rating for new and existing homes; brand-certified prescriptive programs for manufactured homes (i.e., Super Good Cents or Natural Choice).</td>
<td>Four percent interest loans of $1,000 to $1,500 for certified manufactured homes;(^{38}) loans have five-year term and are funded out of a state-subsidized revolving loan fund.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louisiana /Home Energy Loan Program(^{39})</td>
<td>30% over MEC 1995, with greater incentives for higher efficiency (i.e., either a larger loan at 2% interest or a larger cash-at-closing award).</td>
<td>Rating.</td>
<td>For both new and existing homes HELP offers either a) a 2% interest loan on the energy-efficiency improvement portion of the house, or b) a cash-at-closing incentive of 2% of the purchase price (limited to $1,000). The value of these financial incentives are set by the “energy-efficient premium (EEP).” The EEP is calculated differently for new and existing homes. New: EEP is the present value of energy savings over the life of the loan. Existing: EEP is the lesser of the cost of improvements or the present value of the energy saved by the improvements over their useful lives. These incentives are funded from an escrow revolving loan fund.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska /Nebraska Energy-Efficient Mortgages(^{40})</td>
<td>EEMs are available only for first mortgages on either new construction that meets exceeds MEC 1995 or existing homes that can be modified to meet MEC 1995.</td>
<td>Plan review by the Energy Office for Compliance, on-site inspections by the lender to verify installation of required energy efficiency construction features, with a home energy rating optional at completion; or in the case of existing homes, pre-improvement energy evaluation and plan review, and post-improvement inspection.</td>
<td>EEMs up to a maximum of $250,000 are available for first mortgages that meet the criteria (see left). EEMs for purchase of existing homes are eligible for a 1/4% reduction in interest rate; new construction EEMs may qualify for interest rate reductions of 1/4%, 1/2%, or 1% depending on construction details. Eligible properties must be located within Nebraska and the borrower must be a Nebraska resident.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---


\(^{38}\) Idaho’s GEMSTAR program is in the final stages of developing an EEM program for site-built homes.

\(^{39}\) Correspondence with Wade Byrd, Louisiana Department of Natural Resources, Energy Division, August 17, 1999.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State/Program</th>
<th>Energy-Efficiency Criteria</th>
<th>Energy-Efficiency Verification</th>
<th>Terms &amp; Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nebraska/ Dollar and Energy Saving Loans(^{41})</td>
<td>Dollar and Energy Saving Loans are available for any of a list of prequalified improvements to existing homes (i.e., energy efficiency is determined by prescription) or improvements supported by an energy audit and meeting specific simple payback requirements.</td>
<td>Under the loan program, improvements are verified by preapproval of quotes for each type of project or acceptance of the energy audit by the Energy Office and by the requirement that all work must be completed within 120 days of Energy Office approval. Lenders verify work completed.</td>
<td>Under the loan program the total amount that can be borrowed is limited according to the type of project: Residential project limits are $25,000 for single family and $75,000 for multi-family. The program brochure also describes limits for Energy &amp; Dollar Savings Loans to small and large businesses and non-profits, farms and ranches, local government divisions, nursing homes, telecommunications projects, alternate fuel projects, and waste minimization projects. Loans are offered at 5% annual percentage rate or less, and can only be made for the costs of goods and services, not for the borrower's labor costs. Some fees may apply (including origination fees of up to 2%), particularly if the term of the loan is for the maximum amount of time, which varies according to type of project. Eligible properties must be located within Nebraska and the borrower must be a Nebraska resident.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4. State EEM and Loan Programs (continued)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State/Program</th>
<th>Energy-Efficiency Criteria</th>
<th>Energy-Efficiency Verification</th>
<th>Terms &amp; Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Tennessee Valley Authority / energy right® Program\(^{42}\) | energy right requires four stars or a rating of 80 to 82 (equivalent to MEC 92). Energy right Gold requires four and a half to five stars or a rating of 83 or better (15% or more better than MEC 92). | Can be either a prescriptive- or performance-based verification method. However, all program-certified homes are physically inspected by a TVA professional, in some instances using software for a performance-based verification. | The two levels of program certification help home builders qualify for a range of incentives. Buyers may qualify for one or more of the following:\(^{43}\)  
- Special financing including reduced closing costs and interest rates,  
- Reduced fees for application, approval, affordability estimates,  
- Enhanced client benefits and/or gift packages,  
- Free or low-cost package of services,  
- Rate-lock-in option,  
- Move-in services or discounts,  
- Qualification for higher-priced home. |

---


42 Conversation with Jerry Lyle, TVA, September 17, 1999.

43 Under the TVA energy right® Residential New Homes Program there are tiered incentive levels: energy right and energy right Gold. Participating builders and participating lenders may selectively adopt different program options. EEM incentives offered through the energy right Program EEMs may differ from one participating building to another, or from one participating lender to another. E-mail from Jerry Lyle, November 4, 1999.
Table 4. State EEM and Loan Programs (continued)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State/Program Name</th>
<th>Energy-Efficiency Criteria</th>
<th>Energy-Efficiency Verification</th>
<th>Terms &amp; Conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vermont / Energy Improvement Mortgage Service (EIMS) 44</td>
<td>Improvement package must be “cost effective,” annual savings greater than mortgaged cost.</td>
<td>Rating</td>
<td>Turn-key services to home buyers to incorporate energy improvements into their mortgage. ERH-VT rates the home, writes up work specifications, puts them out for bid, assembles the rating package with firm, fixed bids, coordinates with the lender for financing (available through Freddie Mac, Fannie Mae, FHA, VA or Vermont Housing Finance Agency), implements contracts with chosen contractors, oversees the work, performs final inspection and re-rates the house. ERH-VT charges $800+ for this service, which can be financed under some programs.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Vermont / Vermont Housing Finance Agency’s Yearly Energy Savings System (YESS) Program 45 | At least $2,500 of “cost effective” improvements rolled into mortgage. | Rating | Stepped interest rate incentive for participants. As of January, 2000, rates for 1-point mortgage are:  
Year 1: 5.45%  
Year 2: 5.95%  
Year 3: 6.45%  
Years 4-30: 6.95% fixed  
EIMS (see above) utilized for every customer. |
| Vermont / Vermont Energy Investment Corporation (VEIC) ENERGY STAR Loan 46 | Any “ENERGY STAR” certified products (plus renewables). | Copy of contractors’ invoice, customers’ signed approval of installation. | Unsecured consumer loan up to $20,000 with terms of 3, 5, 7 or 10 years and interest rates generally between 11% and 15%, depending on the loan amount. 24 hour approval, no points, no fees. |

III Federal Agency Activity

1. DOE Five-Year Pilot of HERS Provider Organizations 47

To increase the market penetration of HERS and EEMs, DOE supplied funding to a total of seven states during a five-year pilot program. In 1994, five HERS providers in Alaska, Arkansas, California, Vermont, and Virginia were funded to recruit and train raters, process ratings, and provide assistance to other states that wanted to start HERS programs. In 1995, Colorado was added to the pilot program, and in 1996 Mississippi was added to the pilot program. The number of ratings completed in the pilot states is shown in Table 5, and the number of HUD-Federal Housing Authority (FHA) EEMs is shown in Figure 2.

Although each of the pilot states’ circumstances are different, there are some common themes. Over the course of the pilot program, HERS providers who exhibited some of the following factors had a higher market penetration of home energy ratings, and higher EEMs or other financing that used a HERS.

44 E-mail from Richard Faesy, Energy Rated Homes of Vermont, January 4, 2000.
45 E-mail from Richard Faesy, January 4, 2000.
46 E-mail from Richard Faesy, January 4, 2000.
Table 5. Ratings Completed in Pilot States (By Calendar Year)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>3,293</td>
<td>2,869</td>
<td>628</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>7,882</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AHFC¹</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>416</td>
<td>1021</td>
<td>2092</td>
<td>3,529</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arkansas²</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California³</td>
<td>8,378</td>
<td>548</td>
<td>1,302</td>
<td>2,374</td>
<td>4,674</td>
<td>17,276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colorado⁴</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>1,284</td>
<td>1,814</td>
<td>3,812</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mississippi⁵</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont⁵</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>427</td>
<td>523</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>2,048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>7,345</td>
<td>4,275</td>
<td>3,000</td>
<td>3,500</td>
<td>18,370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>12,382</td>
<td>11,493</td>
<td>7,803</td>
<td>8,745</td>
<td>13,037</td>
<td>53,460</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Data provided by the DOE pilot states HERS provider organizations and the AHFC.

A Alaska Housing Finance Corporation started performing ratings in 1996 without DOE pilot funds
C Includes all but one HERS provider in California.
D Colorado did not begin ratings until 1995.

Figure 2. HUD-FHA EEMs in DOE Pilot States

HERS programs in Alaska, California and Colorado each received relatively high levels of funding during short periods of time, which may have resulted in increased HERS activities for those states. The HERS program in Alaska received $825,000 for FY 1994 – FY 1995; the HERS program in California received $3.2 million for FY 1994 – FY 1995; and the HERS program in Colorado received $2.5 million for FY 1997 – FY 1998.

⁴⁸ Data provided by Maurice Gulledge, Data Warehouse Manager, HUD-FHA, Single Family Loan Housing, March 24, 1999.
Financial incentive for energy-efficient financing (mortgages or loans) for mortgage borrowers. Offering a slight interest rate break for EEMs (on the order of a quarter-point mortgage interest rate reduction) would make these mortgages more attractive to borrowers (although the incentive to lenders of offering an interest rate break is unclear). Although not officially connected with the DOE pilot program, the Alaska Housing Finance Corporation (AHFC) offers such an interest rate break to borrowers for existing homes and new construction. In 1998, 1,151 AHFC loans were completed.\(^49\) (In contrast, only 32 HUD FHA EEMs were completed in Alaska in 1998.) The Yearly Energy Savings System (YESS)\(^50\) program in Vermont is an energy-improvement mortgage program that offers an interest rate reduction to energy-efficient mortgage borrowers for existing homes. The Energy Rated Homes Loan Program, offered in Alaska, offers unsecured loans for existing houses of $1,000—$20,000 for qualifying energy-efficient home improvements with no loan application fee.\(^51\)

Financial incentive for lenders to market EEMs. In 1998, Virginia offered a $2,000 recognition award for the lender completing the highest number of EEMs in Virginia. A relatively high number of EEMs, 375, were completed in Virginia using ratings from the Virginia Home Energy Rating Organization (HERO) program. This observation suggests that aggressive marketing of EEMs by the mortgage community may be important to increasing the number of EEMs achieved, and that a financial incentive for lenders could increase lender marketing efforts.

Diversification of services. Vermont’s HERS program has been incorporated into a larger entity (a nonprofit organization), and offers a suite of services including, besides home energy ratings, a turn-key service for lenders, code compliance documentation, and appraiser training. The Virginia HERS program offers several services including consulting on inner-city housing affordability, development of innovative energy-efficient financing products, and financial incentives for lenders. Mississippi’s program also offers several services including “EnergyCheck,” a checklist of viable energy-efficiency options for the homeowner. Organizations that combine their home energy rating services with other energy-efficiency, housing, and mortgage lending services may increase the probably of their viability without federal funding. Packaging services appears to have three aspects: (1) diversification of services to add value that the market recognizes and is willing to pay for; (2) sharing of administrative costs so that the incremental cost of each rating processed is reduced; and (3) reducing per-unit rating cost through working with large production builders.

Continuity in HERS program leadership. Continuity in program leadership, combined with experienced HERS program leaders, appears to be another key factor in likelihood of sustained operation. Alaska, Vermont, and Virginia have had the same directors for the duration of the five-year pilot program, which appears to have strengthened each of these programs. The other pilot states have experienced major shifts in leadership, which could have cost them some momentum.

Active stakeholder involvement and relative size of state population. The extent of stakeholder involvement appears to be another factor. The two least-populated states, Alaska and Vermont, appear to have completed, proportionally, the most ratings. This may be, at least in part, a function of a smaller state’s greater opportunities for interaction among the prominent stakeholders in the energy, building, housing finance, lending, real estate, appraisal, government, and rating-provider communities. The active participation of key stakeholders appears to enhance a program’s effectiveness in completing ratings.


\(^{50}\) The Yearly Energy Savings System (YESS) Mortgage program for existing homes allows borrowers eligible for financing from the Vermont Housing Finance Authority to make their homes more energy efficient with a reduced interest rate starting at 5.45%. YESS finances up to 100% of the cost of approved energy improvement. http://www.erhvt.org/yess7-99.htm. Date accessed, November 11, 1999.

Beyond providing HERS in their own states, Alaska, Arkansas, Colorado, Mississippi, and Vermont have taken a regional approach. By offering ratings in other states the amount of rating activity actually performed by the pilot state is underreported by only examining the ratings numbers in the pilot state. These pilot states plan to use the existing infrastructure developed for the pilot program and expand HERS coverage to other surrounding states (or in Alaska’s case, expanding to the southwestern part of the United States). Vermont and Alaska are offering a loan program for energy-efficiency improvements for existing homes in an attempt to increase the amount of improvements to existing homes. Mississippi and Vermont are offering other related services for fees including energy audits on small commercial buildings, simpler residential audits, and other residential energy services. Colorado is seeking to license their software system for managing rater certification information and ratings.

Many of the HERS provider organizations in the pilot states believe that additional federal funding is needed for their organizations, as well as funding for national marketing and public service announcements. All of the HERS provider organizations believe that programs like ENERGY STAR Homes should be required to use ratings for their certifications. Currently, the cost of delivering ratings is higher than the fees charged for ratings, resulting in a net loss for each rating performed.

2. **DOE State Energy Program HERS Grants**

As a result of specific Congressional appropriations language, HERS funds were set aside for non-pilot states to assist in developing programs in FY98 and FY99 via a competitively selected process. In addition to funding HERS pilot programs in seven states (Alaska, Arkansas, California, Colorado, Mississippi, Vermont, and Virginia), DOE also awarded State Energy Program (SEP) special projects grants to promote HERS in 11 other states.52 In FY98, DOE awarded grants to Delaware, Idaho, Kentucky, Michigan, Nebraska, Washington, and Wisconsin. In FY99, DOE awarded additional SEP special project grants to Florida, Idaho, Iowa, Kansas, Ohio, and Wisconsin. [In addition to these states, SEP-funded HERS activities have been reported by the State Energy Offices (SEOs) in Illinois and Utah.53] The HERS SEP grantees report a range of progress, stretching from “little progress” to “the beginnings of market penetration.”

As of spring 1999, of the above-mentioned states funded in FY98 and FY99, Idaho, Iowa, Kansas, Nebraska, Washington, and Wisconsin reported that they have active HERS programs in place for training and certifying raters and providing ratings. Kentucky and Ohio report that they do not have HERS programs in place but are developing HERS programs by negotiating reciprocity agreements54 with other states’ HERS providers. In addition, other states are using regional cooperative efforts to provide ratings in their states, such as in the Northeast and the Northwest.55

Interviews also revealed that the majority of the states receiving SEP grants plan to engage in activities to either strengthen or widen the reach of programs that are already successful. An example of this is the state of Florida, where the state energy office, two university-related entities (a research center and an extension service), the ENERGY STAR Homes program, and builders, lenders, and real estate professionals have developed an independent HERS/EEMs program. Florida intends to use FY99 special

---

52 The 11 states are Delaware, Florida, Idaho, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Michigan, Nebraska, Ohio, Washington, and Wisconsin.
53 Phone interviews with SEO officials, April – August, 1999.
54 Reciprocity agreements allow one state’s ratings provider to provide ratings in another state.
55 Organizational agreements refer to the formal alliance with regional or national organizations such as Energy Rated Homes of America and National HERO. In the Northeastern states of Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New York, Rhode Island, and Vermont, state energy officials are collaborating on HERS-EEMs through two organizations: the Northeast Energy Efficiency Partnerships, Inc. (NEEP), which provides support to state energy code activities, and performs some work with HERS; and the Northeast Home Energy Rating System Alliance (NE HERS Alliance), which stimulates demand for the creation of energy-efficient, comfortable and affordable housing. NE HERS Alliance works to standardize HERS, EEMs and ENERGY STAR Homes in the housing markets throughout the northeast region. In the Northwest, Washington state energy office reports that they are cooperating with both Idaho and Oregon to develop HERS.
project funding to further strengthen the training and outreach efforts in those areas of the state with the most activity. The stakeholders believe that by focusing their efforts in an already active area, the program will naturally spread to other building markets, such as small commercial buildings.


HUD-FHA's main activity is to insure residential mortgage loans made by private lenders. Although HUD-FHA neither buys nor originates mortgages, it sets standards for mortgage underwriting through its insurance function. HUD-FHA insured loans are open to all qualified buyers of moderately priced homes (up to a maximum loan value of $219,849 for a one-family house as of 1/1/0056). In 1998, HUD-FHA’s total mortgage loan business (not including their loan insurance business) represents between 12% and 15% of the total U.S. market for mortgage loan originations.57

HUD-FHA has promoted energy efficiency in housing since the early 1970s. In response to EPACT, in May 1993, HUD established the EEM pilot program58 for existing properties in five states: Alaska, Arkansas, California, Virginia, and Vermont.59 In August 1993, HUD required that new residential structures (single-to-four-family dwellings) meet or exceed MEC 1992 to be eligible for HUD insured mortgage financing.60 During the period from 1994 through 1999, the number of HUD-FHA EEMs has, on average, more than doubled every year.

In 1995, the HUD-FHA EEM was extended nationwide for construction of new homes as well as retrofits to existing homes.61 The same mortgagee letter made two other significant changes: it allowed EEMs to be written as adjustable rate mortgages, and made renovations62 eligible for EEMs under Section 203(k) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1992. If a rating is used to determine the cost effectiveness of a renovation, the EEM can be applied to renovate a dwelling as large as a four-family dwelling.

As of 1997, the HUD-FHA EEM can be used in conjunction with Section 203(h), mortgages made to replace or rebuild homes destroyed in disasters.63 Section 203(h) of the Housing and Community Development Act of 1992 authorized HUD to offer special underwriting to victims of events declared disasters by the President as relief to their efforts to rebuild, repair, or renovate homes damaged or destroyed by the disaster.

For all EEMs except the 203(h) (i.e., new construction, renovation, and improvements to existing homes), the energy-efficient features must surpass those required for compliance with the current FHA energy conservation standards for new construction the Council of American Building Officials (CABO) 1992 Model Energy Code (MEC).64

---

56 The current basic standard mortgage limit for FHA insured loans is $121,296 for a one-family house. However, in high-cost areas the limits are subject to a ceiling based on a percentage of the Freddie Mac Loan limits. The ceiling for a one-family house in a high-cost area is $219,849. From the HUD-FHA web site: www.hud.gov/ha/fha/sfh/hasfhbus.html. Date accessed: January 30, 2000. Date last modified: January 29, 2000.
58 The Housing and Community Development Act (Section 513) (Public Law 102-550) and EPACT (Section 106) provided authority for HUD to undertake these EEM activities.
59 Mortgagee Letter 93-26, from the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Housing-Federal Housing Commissioner, dated August 24, 1993. This policy was to become effective October 24, 1993, but was modified by an extension in order to avoid disruption of home building and selling in process during the implementation period. The extension was announced by Mortgagee Letter 93-35, dated October 24, 1993.
61 Renovations are residential construction projects that substantially improve an existing dwelling so that it may be made habitable.
In all cases, the HUD-FHA EEM program requires that the improvements be deemed cost effective—meaning that the present value of the energy saved over the life of the energy-efficiency investment must exceed the cost of the energy-efficient improvements. The FHA program does not require an appraisal of the energy improvements or further scrutiny of the borrower’s credit qualifications.

For new construction, HUD-FHA always requires that a rating validate the energy and cost savings required by an EEM. However, data indicate that, in some states, the number of EEMs reported may be inaccurate. In Arkansas and Mississippi, best-available data show that more EEMs are being reported than there are ratings being completed. Several factors could affect these counts. Well-known EEM reporting problems in the Computerized Homes Underwriting Management System (CHUMS) data system include: loan officers may be failing to record actual EEMs or loan officers may be designating loans as EEMs that are not actually EEMs when loan data are entered into the CHUMS system; and data are reported in two ways—calendar year, a 12-month period from January through December, and fiscal year, a 12-month period from July through June—because they are reported in two ways they are difficult to accurately compare.65

- Regardless of the questionable data, the HUD-FHA numbers show a consistent growth pattern in the use of EEMs between 1994 and 1999, as seen in Figure 3.

![Figure 3. HUD-FHA EEMs Fiscal Years 1994-1999](image)

4. Department of Veterans Affairs Energy-Efficient Mortgages

The Department of Veterans Affairs (VA) offers EEMs to qualified military personnel, reservists, and veterans in all 50 states for energy-efficiency improvements to existing homes at the time of purchase. Up to $3,000 of improvements can be financed based solely on documented costs. Upgrades up to $6,000

66 For FY94 – FY98, data provided by Maurice Gulledge, Data Warehouse Manager, HUD-FHA, Single Family Loan Housing, March 24, 1999, for FY99, data provided by Robert Groberg, Director, Energy Division, HUD, January 28, 2000.
are possible if deemed cost effective. The numbers of EEMs generated by the VA program has not exceeded 1,000 in any of the six years of the program, and cumulatively represent less than 4,300.\textsuperscript{67}

5. United States Department of Agriculture Rural Housing Service Guaranteed and Direct Loans

U. S. Department of Agriculture Rural Housing Service (USDA-RHS) offers loans for home purchases and renovations that require modest energy-efficiency features (e.g., a specified level of insulation). The direct loan program provides 100% financing directly to qualified low-income rural homebuyers. The guarantee program is RHS’s involvement in the secondary mortgage market. Although these RHS loans are not the same as EEMs, data were collected and analyzed in this report for both types of RHS loan. Overall, RHS loans do not represent a large share of the market. In FY94 (the year with the greatest number of RHS loans for the period 1994 to 1998), 31,200 RHS loans were made,\textsuperscript{68} which represents less than half the number of FHA new home loans for the same year (89,700).\textsuperscript{69}

6. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency ENERGY STAR Homes

As a component of the DOE/EPA Energy Star Program, the ENERGY STAR Homes program—managed by EPA—began in 1995. The program helps builders to promote construction of homes that are 30% more efficient than MEC. The program distinguishes energy-efficient homes with a brand name label certification system and a preferred mortgage financing network. According to EPA, as of July 1999, ENERGY STAR Homes certified over 9,000 homes, recruited over 1,000 builder partners, and has over 250 rating providers and home energy raters as ENERGY STAR Homes Allies.\textsuperscript{70} The number of homes certified as ENERGY STAR Homes is shown in Table 6.

\begin{table}[h]
\centering
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
Year & HERS Ratings & Sampled Ratings & Builder Option Packages & Sampled Builder Option Packages & Total Certifications Per Year \\
\hline
1995 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 \\
1996 & 194 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 194 \\
1997 & 1045 & 553 & 0 & 0 & 1598 \\
1998 & 3720 & 285 & 1049 & 0 & 5054 \\
1999* & 1741 & 350 & 205 & 5 & 2301 \\
\hline
Total Since Start & 6702 & 1188 & 1254 & 5 & 9149 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\caption{Number of Homes Nationwide Certified as ENERGY STAR Homes}
\end{table}

* Through July 1999

The ENERGY STAR Mortgage program is a promotional effort designed to encourage mortgage lenders to use EEMs for certified ENERGY STAR Homes. An ENERGY STAR mortgage offers a minimum 2% stretch on an applicant’s maximum debt-to-income ratio, plus at least one additional feature designed to encourage borrowers to purchase ENERGY STAR Homes. Two national lenders, Chase and Countrywide, currently provide ENERGY STAR Mortgages. At the state level, 10 other lenders are promoting EEMs for purchases of new homes with the ENERGY STAR certification. Countrywide’s and Chase’s national mortgage products resemble the standard 2% stretch ratio products, but with added incentives such as discounts on closing, special underwriting allowances, and fee waivers. Some lenders may offer interest rate discounts, paying for the cost of the rating and/or extending special debt-to-income

\textsuperscript{67} Conversation with Kathleen Mangold, Loan Guarantee Service, Department of Veterans Affairs, March 12, 1999.
\textsuperscript{68} Conversation with Dean Duettwyler, Senior Loan Specialist, Guaranteed Loan Division of USDA Rural Housing Services, March 19, 1999.
\textsuperscript{69} Based on calculations by the author using data from the USDA Rural Housing Service and the HUD-FHA Single Family Loan Office.
\textsuperscript{70} From the EPA web site: http://yosemite.epa.gov/appd/eshomes/eshomes.nsf. Date accessed: July 26, 1999.
ratios—as high as 4%. EPA’s dedication to working with lenders bodes well for EEMs, and potentially for HERS.

In the first three years of the ENERGY STAR Homes program, EPA required that its ENERGY STAR Homes label be used only on new homes that have been rated as 86 or higher on the home energy rating scale, which corresponds to 30% more efficient than the MEC 1992. Builders expressed concern about the complexity and cost of providing ratings for each new home as well as adding another third-party inspection to the process of building a home. In response to these concerns, EPA has developed alternative certification methods which could reduce the “per house” cost of certification. One alternative, a builder option package (BOP), comprises an approved checklist of energy improvements that builders can implement to obtain ENERGY STAR certification. The prescribed improvements are designed to bring a home’s energy efficiency to an 86 level. EPA designed the ENERGY STAR Homes program to be flexible and has worked with builders at the beginning of the program on a case-by-case basis to meet the ENERGY STAR energy-efficiency criteria. EPA designed the BOPs with the assistance of ENERGY STAR Allies. To achieve the ENERGY STAR Homes label, some builders chose to have all their homes rated, some builders chose to have their homes rated using a sampling protocol, and some builders chose to have their homes inspected by a third party to verify the measures of the BOP using a similar sampling protocol.

With the aforementioned sampling protocol, ENERGY STAR Homes requires that the first three homes constructed by a builder either be (a) rated using a HERS or (b) inspected by a third-party to ensure that the prescriptive measures in the BOP have been incorporated by the builder. Once the first three homes have either been rated or inspected and meet the energy-efficiency criteria of ENERGY STAR Homes, then 15% of the rest of the homes would be randomly selected to either be rated or inspected in the same manner as the initial three homes. In December of 1999, EPA is reviewing the sampling protocol for both HERS and BOP inspections and testing the homes that qualified as ENERGY STAR Homes using the sampling protocol to determine if the sampling protocol has been effective. Both sampling methods are less expensive than performing a rating on every house. However, HERS providers believe EPA should continue to require home energy ratings for each house labeled as an ENERGY STAR Home to ensure that the house meets efficiency standards as well as to ensure a market for their services.

To address this situation, ENERGY STAR Homes program has responded by providing marketing materials to assist HERS providers in marketing ratings services to builders by showing that using a HERS rather than a builder option package can be less expensive. The marketing materials contain a cost comparison fact sheet that compares the two technical verification systems—custom HERS review versus builder option packages. This comparison “show[s] that a custom HERS review can offer a…net lower cost,” with a difference of $870 in favor of HERS.

IV Energy-Efficient Mortgage Activities

This section summarizes the variety of non-federal energy-efficiency financing activities. Programs fall into two general categories, those operating in the secondary mortgage market and those operating in the primary mortgage market. Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac operate in the secondary mortgage market by
purchasing loans from primary lenders allowing them to then make additional new loans. Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac have established criteria that provide borrowers with certain incentives to purchase energy-efficient homes or to make homes more efficient. These criteria include increasing the amount of money that can be loaned by increasing a borrower’s debt-to-income ratio. Primary lenders use Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac criteria and offer a variety of incentives including waiving certain fees and reducing closing costs.

1. Secondary Mortgage Market, Government-Sponsored Enterprises

   Federal National Mortgage Association

In June 1999, the Federal National Mortgage Association (Fannie Mae) announced a new EEM that allows the use of several approved home energy ratings methods and prescriptive programs to evaluate energy efficiency and estimate the resulting cost savings. Both existing and new residential properties are eligible nationwide. This new Fannie Mae pilot does not require a HERS, but accepts a HERS as one method of verification, either as part of a prescriptive program via random sampling or on individual homes.

Under the terms and conditions of this EEM, the energy savings are translated into mortgage dollars, and the value that the savings adds to the house is recognized. The present value of the savings is added to the appraised value of the house to recognize the value added by the energy-efficiency features.

Fannie Mae expects that more builders will participate in this EEM because: 1) the energy efficiency of a home can be certified by either a home energy rating or a prescriptive method; and 2) sampled ratings will be allowed for certifying new construction (which reduces builder costs by reducing the number of ratings required for a large development). Both scientific ratings and prescriptive programs are acceptable, including the ENERGY STAR Homes certification, Edison Electric Institute’s (EEI’s) E Seal certification, HERS ratings, and “other programs as approved by Fannie Mae.”

If the borrower qualifies for a maximum monthly mortgage payment of $1,000 and the energy savings is shown to be $50 per month, then the lender may increase the borrower’s maximum mortgage payment to $1,050, limited only by the loan-to-value ratio.

With this EEM, the cost of the improvements is limited to 15% of the total cost of the house for existing homes. Therefore on a $100,000 house, up to $15,000 of improvements could be made—providing the improvements are documented to be cost effective. There is no limit imposed on the cost of improvements for new construction.

A homebuyer can finance 100% of the energy-efficiency improvements without a corresponding increase in down payment. For example, if a homebuyer selects a $100,000 home and wants to add $10,000 of cost-effective, energy-efficiency improvements and the lender requires a 20% down payment, the homebuyer’s EEM would be for $110,000 with a down payment of $20,000. Therefore the total mortgage would cover the cost of the house and the energy-efficiency improvements.

76 However, increasing the debt-to-income ratio only serves as an incentive to a small percentage of borrowers, since the majority of borrowers do not apply for the maximum amount for which they qualify.
77 Telephone conversation with Patricia O’Loughlin, Fannie Mae, November 8, 1999.
78 Document from Patricia O’Loughlin, Fannie Mae, June 6, 1999.
80 The loan-to-value limit is defined by the ratio of the value of the property divided by the total amount of the loan—including the value of the energy efficiency improvements. Fannie Mae’s EEMs have always required that the final loan-to-value limit must not exceed 95%.
81 From document provided by Patricia O’Loughlin, Fannie Mae Home Improvement Loans Division, June 6, 1999; and from a Fannie Mae press release dated June 10, 1999.
82 Telephone conversation with Patricia O’Loughlin, November 8, 1999.
83 100% financing of the energy improvements is allowed up to the limit of the loan-to-value ratio (95%).
Furthermore, in June 1999 Fannie Mae and the National Association of Home Builders (NAHB) announced a partnership that includes energy-efficient mortgages as one of many planned environmental initiatives. Fannie Mae’s partnership with NAHB is intended to bring about positive environmental features beyond energy efficiency, such as the use of recycled content and ecologically innovative materials and supplies, proximity to public transportation, energy- and water-saving landscaping, etc.  

Prior to the release of this national initiative, Fannie Mae had been offering a pilot EEM in nine states. The terms and conditions of Fannie Mae’s prior EEM pilot programs are similar to the HUD-FHA EEM, both of which are still being used in some states. These other programs, based on the 2% stretch in the debt to income ratios, have a lesser appeal since many mortgage lenders have the freedom to extend a larger stretch at their discretion, without energy-efficiency considerations. Currently, Fannie Mae does not have available information on the number of EEMs that are done by the lenders.

In addition to these EEMs, Fannie Mae reports that they have made more than 40,000 unsecured energy improvement loans between 1995 and 1998 for improvements to existing homes.

**Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation**

The Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation’s (Freddie Mac’s) energy-efficient mortgage activities in the secondary mortgage market are limited to financing of purchases of existing-energy efficient residential properties or properties that are to be retrofitted or renovated for energy efficiency. Freddie Mac’s EEM is similar to the 2% stretch ratios but can exceed the 2% stretch at the lender’s discretion. The lender may extend higher ratios of “housing expense-to-income” and/or “monthly-debt-payment-to-income,” if energy-efficiency savings are considered.

In general, Freddie Mac’s EEM guidelines are intentionally less explicit than HUD-FHA or Fannie Mae, so that the lender can have the flexibility to “make a greater number of energy-efficient loans.” In the case of the higher ratios, Freddie Mac maintains the strength of their EEM portfolio by requiring that source documentation be provided with the loan file. This documentation must show “the dollar offset allowed due to lower utility charges,” and must be one of the following: A) HERS rating report; B) Form 70A certified by an energy consultant; C) an appraisal report indicating the post-improvement energy efficiency of the property; or D) MEC 92 compliance documented by one of the following: a) building permit in states with mandatory state energy code, b) preapproved prescriptive certification, c) assurance from an energy consultant or a builder.

Moreover, the Freddie Mac EEMs guidelines allow a broader range of energy-efficient improvements than other EEMs. Regarding Freddie Mac’s definition of “energy-efficient property and conservation items,” the Florida Solar Energy Center notes the following:

*Section 2214. "Energy-Efficient Properties," defines these properties as follows: An energy-efficient property uses cost-effective design, materials, equipment, and site orientation to conserve nonrenewable fuels. Implicit in this definition is proper design and installation of materials and equipment consistent with the climate in the area. (This...*)  

---

84 Telephone conversation with Patricia O’Loughlin, June 11, 1999.
85 From a telephone interview with David Carey, Fannie Mae Home Improvement Loans Division, May 21, 1999.
86 Telephone conversation with John Hemschoot, Freddie Mac, July 1, 1999.
87 Freddie Mac’s Form 70A is a two part form (formerly the Energy Addendum to the Residential Appraisal Report), the first part of which must be completed by an energy consultant documenting their valuation of the energy efficiency features of the given home. Part two is not required for an EEM but may be completed by the appraiser or energy consultant documenting the calculated savings expected from the energy efficiency features.
definition could also include the use of alternative equipment to generate or supplement an individual property's power needs, such as a windmill or solar panel that generates electricity.)

Although Freddie Mac’s guidelines for EEMs have been readily available to lenders (i.e., referred to in the Single Family Seller/Service Guide as “sellers”) since early 1998, there appears to be no means to determine how the lenders might have interpreted the guidelines, or how often they might have used them. Currently, Freddie Mac does not have information available on the number of EEMs given by the lenders.

2. National Primary Lenders with Energy-Efficient Mortgage Programs

Currently, several national lenders are providing EEMs, which are listed below. After performing a search, the author is unaware of any other ongoing national EEM programs as of January 2000.

**Chase Manhattan Mortgage Corporation**

Chase Manhattan Mortgage is currently offering an energy-efficient mortgage for new construction based on the 2% stretch ratios with the added incentive of discounts on closing costs. Chase’s EEM product is available nationwide, with more active promotion reported in some states. Chase became an ENERGY STAR Mortgage partner in 1997. Chase’s EEMs emphasis is more on the builder market than on the borrower market. To qualify a home for an ENERGY STAR Mortgage product, the Chase EEM requires a certification of energy efficiency through an EPA-approved prescriptive method, a HERS, or a sampling protocol using either method.

**Countrywide Home Loans**

Countrywide Home Loans also offers a 2% stretch ratio EEM product nationwide, which is marketed through the ENERGY STAR Mortgage program. Countrywide’s EEM requires qualification of energy efficiency by means of EPA’s prescriptive process, a HERS, or a system of sampling. According to an EPA official, the Countrywide EEM does not offer a closing cost discount, but it does waive fees for the tax service contract and the credit report. Also, local Countrywide offices may provide additional incentives. According to a Countrywide official, the Countrywide Builder Division is actively involved in the promotion of EEMs, but the EEMs activities of the local Countrywide offices are not limited to the builder market.

**GMAC**

An official with GMAC Mortgage reports that the company financed “about 5,000” energy-efficient mortgages in 1998. GMAC has been providing 2% stretch ratio EEMs since 1986. In December 1998, GMAC launched a new EEM. Marketed directly to builders as the “Solar Energy Built Homes Program” this EEM product emphasizes solar energy installations (see also section IV, 1. Federally Chartered Lenders). Available for new construction only, this EEM is an “enhancement” of the “Fannie Mae conforming fixed rate and seven-year balloon products.” The enhancement allows “GMAC to consider increased qualifying ratios for the purchasers of energy-efficient homes based on the monthly reduction of..."
their EEMs. The GMAC EEM is available nationwide with the majority of mortgages occurring in Arizona (e.g., Civano, a sustainable residential development of 2,600 homes in Tucson95).

**Norwest Mortgage, Inc.**

In 1996 Norwest Mortgage, Inc. launched a nationwide, cooperative energy-efficient mortgage effort with the EPA ENERGY STAR Homes program. Norwest’s EEM was marketed through a toll-free phone number, but after less than a year it became inactive. ENERGY STAR Mortgages are now marketed though local Norwest branches. Various focused interviews report Norwest’s EEM activity continuing as the standard 2% stretch ratio in Florida, Iowa, New Jersey, Ohio, and Pennsylvania.96 Currently in these states Norwest representatives are actively marketing EEMs to builders, and promoting their use by working with local interests, such as non-profit HERS providers, state energy offices, and utility companies.

In Florida, Ohio, and Pennsylvania the Norwest product, which offers closing cost discounts in addition to the 2% stretch ratio, is an ENERGY STAR Mortgage and requires either a HERS, builder option package, or a sampling method to qualify. In all areas, according to a Norwest official, the field representatives see immediate advantages in promoting EEMs as “a way to get in with more builders.” Apparently, it is a niche approach to covering the market through all sizes of builders.

**Lincoln Service Mortgage**

Edison Electric Institute’s (EEI) E Seal program is working with a national lender, Lincoln Service Mortgage, to offer an EEM as a component of their program. Lincoln Service Mortgage offers an EEM that finances 100% of cost-effective energy-efficiency upgrades, without increasing the down payment or private mortgage insurance. Lincoln Service Mortgage allows the full cost of energy-efficiency upgrades to be financed through the mortgage and recognizes and warrants the full value of the upgrades in the mortgage. This EEM removes financing and institutional barriers that can inhibit the purchase of more energy-efficient and environmentally responsive homes. Lincoln Service Mortgage offers this product nationwide, but only through affinity marketing partnerships with electric utilities that have E Seal certified programs. Lincoln Service Mortgage relies on the sponsoring electric utility for the value of the cost-effective energy efficiency upgrades, which can be provided by the utility, or a qualified energy consultant or HERS report. In addition to the 100% financing of cost-effective energy efficiency upgrades, Lincoln Service Mortgage, through the sponsoring electric utility, offers preferential mortgage products, with interest rates 1/8 to 1/4 percent below prevailing national rates and reduced closing costs or zero origination fee.

**V HERS and Other Organizations’ Activities**


In 1992 the DOE was directed (via section 102 of EPACT, “Residential Energy Efficiency Rating Guidelines,”) to issue voluntary guidelines to encourage uniformity in systems for rating the annual energy efficiency of residential buildings. The guidelines were to be developed in consultation with various federal agencies and private entities interested in residential energy-efficiency ratings. The legislation specified that the secretary of HUD, the secretary of VA, representatives of existing home energy rating programs, and other appropriate persons should be consulted.

---

95 The Building America program, one of DOE’s Office of Building Technology, State and Community Programs, provided technical assistance to Civano. Model homes were tested to exceed high community building standards and provide for guaranteed savings. From www.civano.com. Date accessed: August 28, 1999.

96 Telephone interviews with the relevant state energy officials, and with Dan Schmidt, Director of Norwest’s National Builder Division, June 7, 1999.
According to the directive, the guidelines should establish protocols and procedures for:

- Certification of the technical accuracy of building energy analysis tools used to determine energy-efficiency ratings\(^{97}\)
- Training of rating personnel
- Data collection and reporting
- Quality control
- Monitoring and evaluation.

The guidelines were also to account for local climate conditions and construction practices, solar energy collected on site, and the benefits of peak load shifting techniques. Additionally, the guidelines were “not to discriminate among fuel types.” The concept of not discriminating among fuel types was not further explained in the legislation, and is sometimes referred to as the “fuel neutrality issue.” The fuel neutrality issue has been the cause of controversy among stakeholders, and is closely related to the rating method\(^{98}\) and to the concepts of source and site energy use.

In response to the EPACT directives, DOE collaborated with hundreds of stakeholders and organizations through the HERS Council including the National Association of State Energy Officials (NASEO) and HERS providers—many of whom had been meeting regarding HERS since about 1987. Based on input from all the stakeholders, on July 25, 1995, DOE issued for public comment a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on voluntary guidelines.

Most of the comments DOE received on the proposed rule were readily resolved. But the issue of “fuel neutrality” was not easily incorporated into the rule. “Fuel neutrality” involved interpretation of the requirement that the rule not “discriminate among fuel types” for which the EPACT legislation provided no clarification. Yet, in spite of an intensive effort by DOE to work with both the electric and natural gas industries, a definition regarding fuel neutrality was not resolved and remains hotly disputed.

Initially, using DOE technical resources, the HERS Council issued guidelines and then DOE issued the Notice of Proposed Rulemaking for public comment; however, the fuel neutrality issue remains disputed. Moreover, HERS, EEMs, and guidelines for their uniformity have continued to develop. At the state and local level, HERS activities can be found in all but three states. State and local rating systems have been moving ahead under the jurisdiction of states and the oversight of national professional and industry organizations. The HERS industry members of NASEO and the Residential Energy Services Network (RESNET) have adopted guidelines similar to the draft guidelines produced by DOE. The document *National NASEO/RESNET Home Energy Rating System Guidelines*, dated September 19, 1999, parallels the draft guidelines issued by DOE for public comment, and was similar to the HERS Council guidelines. Joint efforts by NASEO and RESNET have also produced accreditation standards for using HERS in mortgage lending. The NASEO/RESNET accreditation standards relied heavily upon the accreditation standards produced by the HERS Council. The NASEO/RESNET group is still struggling with the definition of fuel neutrality, but the market is moving forward with the industry guidelines.

In summary, the technical work that DOE and the HERS Council accomplished has been adopted in the NASEO/RESNET guidelines document. Except for the fuel neutrality issue, these guidelines successfully addressed the items called for in EPACT to the satisfaction of the HERS Council and NASEO/RESNET.

---


\(^{98}\) The rating method is the means by which energy use is converted to a rating score.
Moreover, the proposed outcome of a DOE final voluntary HERS rule was to facilitate the development of a nationwide industry, to provide consistent information to residents, and to support energy-efficiency financing. This has been achieved without a final rule through the public/private partnership of DOE, industry, and the financial community. HERS are now available in 47 states; they are generally consistent in content, and energy-efficiency financing is growing steadily. DOE has not issued a final rule on HERS.

2. Energy Rated Homes of America

Energy Rated Homes of America (ERHA) is a non-profit 501 [c] [3] membership organization that provides consumers with access to preferred financing for making their homes more affordable through energy efficiency. ERHA seeks to be the national association of HERS providers, and attempts to strengthen such programs as ENERGY STAR Homes and attract more mortgage partners to offer preferred financing for energy-efficient homes. As of summer 1999, ERHA has expanded the number of member states to 16: Alaska, Arkansas, Colorado, Florida, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Louisiana, Michigan, Mississippi, Missouri, Nevada, Oregon, Utah, Vermont, and Wisconsin.99 ERHA-affiliated programs include: Energy Rated Homes of Alaska, Energy Rated Homes of Arkansas (Arkansas and Missouri), Energy Rated Homes of Colorado, CHEERS (one of several California HERS providers), Energy Rated Homes of Iowa, Energy Rated Homes of Louisiana, Energy Rated Homes of the Midwest (Indiana and Michigan), Energy Rated Homes of Mississippi, Energy Rated Homes of Nevada, Energy Rated Homes of Oregon, Energy Rated Homes of the South (Alabama, Georgia, North Carolina, and South Carolina), Energy Rated Homes of Utah, Energy Rated Homes of Vermont, Energy Wise Homes of Illinois, Florida Energy Gauge Program, and Wisconsin Energy Conservation Corporation.100 Other ERHA-affiliated states include: Connecticut, Maine, Massachusetts, Montana, New Hampshire, New York, and Ohio.101

3. National Home Energy & Resources Organization

National Home Energy and Resources Organization (National-HERO) is a private sector corporation based in Richmond, Virginia. National HERO has trained and certified approximately 400 raters in over 35 states since 1995, and currently can offer HERS and related services in 40 states and the District of Columbia. These states include: Alabama, Arkansas, Arizona, California, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Kentucky, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, Missouri, Nebraska, Nevada, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Oklahoma, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Utah, Virginia, Washington, and West Virginia.102 The number of raters per state varies widely with some states having more rater coverage than others. National HERO is nationally accredited and provides plan review and heating, ventilating and air conditioning systems sizing; program marketing and management services; and training for lenders, real estate professionals, raters, utilities, contractors, and builders. National HERO offers heating/cooling cost guarantees on new homes. National HERO also manages the Virginia Home Energy Rating Organization, the HERS provider organization in the pilot state of Virginia.

4. Edison Electric Institute

The Edison Electric Institute (EEI) is an industry association of shareholder-owned electric utilities. EEI’s E Seal program is a self-supported green-building initiative and energy-efficiency financing program that certifies utility programs for both new and existing homes via energy-efficient and

101 E-mail from Steve Baden, RESNET, November 18, 1999.
An E Seal-certified program must meet specific energy-efficiency criteria and incorporate features for indoor air quality, water quality and conservation, home waste management, and construction waste reduction. E Seal offers financing that can eliminate or reduce the first cost barrier to higher levels of energy efficiency.

E Seal guarantees that certified residential construction programs exceed MEC 1992 by a minimum of 10%. However, the program gives incentives for utilities that adopt criteria resulting in houses that perform 20% to 30% better than MEC 1992. Since its launch in 1994, E Seal utilities and their buildings have built 155,000 new homes that are 20% to 30% more efficient than MEC 1992. EEI estimates the savings are $26 million and 312 million kWh each year.103

An important component of the E Seal program is the financing of residential energy-efficiency upgrades. The E Seal Energy-Efficiency Mortgage is available through Lincoln Service Mortgage and utilities with E Seal-certified programs and offers the following benefits:104

- Finances the purchase of new homes with E Seal upgrades, or refinesances existing homes while adding E Seal upgrades
- Finances 100% of the E Seal upgrades, the value of which is recognized and warranted by the lender
- Requires no additional down-payment, no increase in mortgage insurance obligation or requalification for a higher mortgage, thus providing customers lower monthly housing costs
- Offers maximum qualifying ratios that are 5% better than standard ratios and 3% better than regular EEMs, allowing buyers to qualify for 15% to 20% larger mortgages
- Offers preferential mortgage products, with lower than prevailing market interest rates and closing costs, i.e. zero origination fee
- Provides customer service performance guarantees regarding application approvals and adherence to the designated closing date
- Takes applications over toll-free phone lines.

The E Seal program was designed to be sufficiently flexible that participating utilities can address a wide range of energy and environmental issues in ways that best suit their overall corporate, demand-side-management, marketing, and consumer program goals.105

VI HERS Activities In States Other Than DOE Pilot States

To assess HERS activities in states other than the seven DOE pilot states, focused interviews were conducted with stakeholders including SEO officials between April and August 1999. Interviews revealed that ratings programs have been developed in three states that were neither DOE pilot states nor SEP-grantee states—Arizona, Louisiana, and Texas.106 In addition, the following six non-pilot states, which received SEP funding, have developed independent HERS programs: Florida, Idaho, Kansas, Nebraska, Washington, and Wisconsin. Beyond the aforementioned states, these 10 states have operating HERS programs: Indiana, Illinois, Iowa, Michigan, Nevada, New Mexico, Ohio, Oregon, Tennessee, and Utah. Additionally, another 10 states107 indicate that they have HERS programs in development or in transition from a pilot program to a state-wide program.

104 From a document entitled Energy Efficiency Mortgage provided by EEI’s Tom Farkas, on August 16, 1999.
105 From a document entitled E Seal Certification provided by EEI’s Tom Farkas, on August 16, 1999.
106 See Table 1 for additional details on state programs.
107 The 10 states are Connecticut, Delaware, Hawaii, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, New Hampshire, New York, Rhode Island, and Wyoming. From focused interviews conducted in April – August 1999.
The focused interviews also revealed that the independent HERS program development is happening both separately and in relation to the development of HERS programs in pilot states and SEP grantee states. In relation to current HERS programs, reciprocity agreements are being negotiated and signed (e.g., between Ohio and Michigan), existing local HERS providers are expanding to regional levels (e.g., Indiana and Vermont), and HERS programs in one state are helping other states to develop their own programs (e.g., Mississippi and North Carolina).

Those activities occurring separately from the activities of the pilot states and SEP grantee states involve EEMs. Higher numbers of EEMs are reported in several non-pilot, non-SEP-grantee states than in the pilot or SEP grantee states. Through focused interviews with SEOs, it appears that much of this EEM activity can be attributed to aggressive promotion of the ENERGY STAR Homes program. Apparently, both EPA and the homebuilding sector are marketing energy-efficient options for new residential construction, which is promoting EEMs.
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Appendix A
List of Contact Information

The following pages contain a list of individuals who have provided information in the preparation of this report and have agreed to be contacted for more information about state-specific, program-specific or other specialized information.

Terri Adams
Energy Conservation Section Chief
Science, Technology and Energy Division
Alabama Department of Economic and Community Affairs
401 Adams Avenue
PO Box 5690
Montgomery, AL 36103-5690

T.C. Adams III
Director, North Carolina State Energy Division
North Carolina Department of Commerce
1830A Tillery Place
Raleigh, NC 27604

Kelly Aker
The Community of Civano
10501 E. Seven Generations Way
Tucson, AZ 85747

Randy Altergott
Technical Consultant
Tucson Electric Power
PO Box 711
220 West 6th Street
Tucson, AZ 85702

Steve Baden
Executive Director
Residential Energy Services Network
PO Box 4561
Oceanside, CA 92052

Rick Baldauf
Program Coordinator
South Carolina Energy Office
1201 Main Street
Suite 820
Columbia, SC 29201

Don Best
Editor
Energy Design Update
65 Hallwood Drive
Surry, NH 03431

Frank Bishop
Executive Director
National Association of State Energy Officials
1414 Prince Street
Suite 200
Alexandria, VA 22314

Ron Burton
Assistant Staff Vice President, Construction Codes and Standards
Regulator and Legal Affairs Division
National Association of Home Builders
1201 15th St. NW
Washington, DC 20005

Stan Butler
Program Manager, Housing Development Division
Kansas Department of Commerce and Housing
700 SW Harrison Street
Suite 1300
Topeka, KS 66603-3712

Wade Byrd
Supervisor, Energy Rated Homes of Louisiana Energy Division
Department of Natural Resources
PO Box 44156
Baton Rouge, LA 70804-4156

David Carey
Director of Energy Finance
Fannie Mae
3900 Wisconsin Avenue, NW
MS 2H5W/02
Washington, DC 20016
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title/Position</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>City, State, Zip</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Steve Harding</td>
<td>Special Projects Coordinator, Governor's Office of Economic Development</td>
<td>711 E Wells Avenue</td>
<td>Pierre, SD 57501-3369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Hattery</td>
<td>Associate Director, Maryland Energy Administration</td>
<td>45 Calvert Street, 4th Floor</td>
<td>Annapolis, MD 21401</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Hemschoot</td>
<td>Director of Policy and Development, Freddie Mac</td>
<td>8200 Jones Branch Dr.</td>
<td>McLean, MD 22102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brian Henderson</td>
<td>Program Director, Energy Efficiency Services, New York State Energy Research and Development Authority</td>
<td>Corporate Plaza West</td>
<td>Albany, NY 12203-6399</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jeff Herholdt</td>
<td>Manager, Energy Efficiency Office, West Virginia Development Office</td>
<td>Building 6, Room 645</td>
<td>Charleston, WV 25305</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Daniel Hester</td>
<td>Energy Star Mortgage Director, Chase Manhattan Mortgage Corporation</td>
<td>343 Thronall St</td>
<td>Edison, NJ 08837</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sam Hodges</td>
<td>Senior Architect, USDA-Rural Housing Service</td>
<td>1400 Independence Avenue</td>
<td>Washington, DC 20250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Hodgson</td>
<td>President, ConSol Inc</td>
<td>7407 Tom O'Shanter Drive</td>
<td>Stockton, CA 95210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Dale Hoffman</td>
<td>Energy Program Specialist, Energy Minerals Division</td>
<td>Wyoming Business Council</td>
<td>Cheyenne, WY 82009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia Holman</td>
<td>Senior Single Family Housing Specialist, HUD-FHA</td>
<td>3600 W Board Street</td>
<td>Richmond, VA 23230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mark Jansen</td>
<td>President, Energy Rated Homes of America</td>
<td>1845 West 18th Street</td>
<td>Indianapolis, IN 46202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Pierce Jones</td>
<td>Assistant Director, Florida Energy Extension Service (University Of Florida)</td>
<td>PO Box 110570</td>
<td>Gainesville, FL 32611</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phil Kaluza</td>
<td>State Energy Program Manager, Alaska Housing Finance Corporation</td>
<td>PO Box 101020</td>
<td>Anchorage, AK 99510-1020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allen Kramer</td>
<td>Assistant Section Manager, Oregon Housing and Community Services</td>
<td>1600 State Street</td>
<td>Salem, OR 97301-4246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Lee</td>
<td>Director, Energy Star Homes Program, EPA</td>
<td>401 M Street, SW</td>
<td>Washington, DC 20460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Felix Lopez</td>
<td>Program Manager, State Agencies Program, Texas Energy Conservation Office</td>
<td>LBJ State Office Building</td>
<td>Austin, TX 78774</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Steven Lowrie, President
National HERO
804 Moorfield Park Drive
Suite 101
Richmond, VA 23236

Christine Lowrie
Executive Director
Virginia HERO
804 Moorfield Park Drive
Suite 101
Richmond, VA 23236

Jerry Lyle, Product Manager
Tennessee Valley Authority
Post Office Box 292409
Nashville, TN 37229-2409

Peggy MacLeod, Program Director
Energy Rated Homes of Connecticut
40 Gillette Street
Hartford, CT 06119

Kathleen Mangold, Management Analyst
Department of Veterans Affairs, Loan Guarantee Service
810 Vermont Avenue
Mailstop 26A2B
Washington, DC 20420

Rosemary Mape
Chief of Support Services
Department of Environmental Protection
PO Box 2063
400 Market Street, RCSOB
Harrisburg, PA 17105

John Marley
HERS Program Director
Illinois Department of Commerce and Community Affairs
325 West Adams, Room 300
Springfield, IL 62704-1892

Larry Masland
Program Director, Division Of Energy Resources
Department of Economic Development
100 Cambridge Street, Room 1500
Boston, MA 02202

Dave McNeil
Building Energy Efficiency/Renewable Energy Manager
Nevada State Energy Office
1050 East Williams Street, Suite 435
Carson City, NV 89701

Mary G. Meunier
Program Manager, Department of Administration
Wisconsin Energy Bureau
PO Box 7868
Madison, WI 53707-7868

Joe Murphy
Program Manager, State Energy Program
North Dakota Division of Community Services
State Capitol, 14th Floor
600 East Boulevard Avenue
Bismarck, ND 58505-0170

Patricia O'Loughlin, Business Manager
Fannie Mae
3900 Wisconsin Avenue, NW
Washington, DC 20016

John Osterman
Chief of Energy Financing Division
Nebraska State Energy Office (Dollar and Energy Saving Program)
PO Box 95085
1111 O Street, Suite 223
Lincoln, NE 68509-5085

Claude Papesh
Energy Rated Homes of America Associate
Energy Rated Homes of Iowa
1001 South 18th Ave
Elberon, IA 52225

Linda Perry, Executive Director
Energy Rated Homes of Mississippi, Inc.
5250 Galaxie Drive
Suite I
Jackson, MS 39204
Ed Pinero  
Director Bureau of Operations/
Pennsylvania's Energy Officer  
Department of Environmental Protection, Office of Pollution Prevention and Compliance Assistance  
PO Box 2063  
400 Market Street, RCSOB  
Harrisburg, PA 17105  

Jim Ploger  
Energy Program Manager, Energy Programs Section  
Kansas Corporation Commission  
1500 SW Arrowhead Road  
Topeka, KS 66604-4027  

George Riepe  
Assistant Director, Division of Energy  
New Jersey Board of Public Utilities  
2 Gateway Center  
Newark, NJ 07102  

Elizabeth Robertson  
Director Division of Energy Resources  
Georgia Environmental Facilities Authority  
100 Peachtree St, NW  
Suite 2090  
Atlanta, GA 30303-1911  

John Sarver  
Supervisor of Technical Assistance, Energy Resources Division  
Michigan Department of Consumer and Industry Services  
PO Box 30221  
Lansing, MI 48909  

Deborah Schachter  
Director  
Governor's Office of Energy and Community Services  
57 Regional Drive  
Concord, NH 03301  

Dan Schmidt  
Director National Builder Division  
Norwest Mortgage, Inc.  
6800 College Blvd  
Suite 440  
Overland Park, KS 66211  

Eric Shoberg  
Senior Account Representative  
Southwest Gas Corporation  
3401 Gas Road  
Tuscon, AZ 85726  

Caroline Shon  
Energy Conservation Program Manager  
Department of Business Economic Development and Tourism  
253 South Beretania, 5th Floor  
PO Box 2359  
Honolulu, HI 96804-2349  

Tei Simmerman  
Program Coordinator  
Florida Solar Energy Center  
1673 Clearlake Rd  
Cocoa, FL 32922-5703  

Charlie T. Smissen Jr.  
Energy Program Administrator, Division of Facilities Management  
State Energy Office  
149 Transportation Circle  
Dover, DE 19901  

Terry Smith  
Energy Analyst, Office of Energy Efficiency  
Ohio Department of Development  
77 South High Street, 26th Floor  
Columbus, OH 43266-0101  

John Stapleton, Director  
Kentucky Division of Energy  
663 Teton Trail  
Frankfort, KY 40601  

Janet Streff, Energy Programs Manager  
Energy Division  
Minnesota Department of Commerce  
121 7th Place East  
Suite 200  
St. Paul, MN 55101-2145  

John Struchen  
Acting Chief of Home Improvement Branch  
HUD-FHA  
451 7th Street  
Room 9272  
Washington, DC 20410-8000
Jim Tait
Florida Energy Director
Florida State Energy Office
255 Shumard Oak Blvd
Tallahassee, FL 32399-2100

David Terry
Managing Director
National Association of State Energy Officials
1414 Prince Street
Suite 200
Alexandria, VA 22314

Richard Tracey
Residential Energy Analyst
RH Tracey Residential Energy Analyst
7340 Argonne Dr.
Marriottsville, MD 21104

Harold Trujillo
Bureau Chief, Energy Technology Programs
Energy Conservation & Management Division
408 Galisteo St.
PO Box 1948
Santa Fe, NM 87504

Brenda Williams, Director
Division of Community and Economic Development Oklahoma Department of Commerce
PO Box 26980
900 N. Stiles
Oklahoma City, OK 73126
The Energy Policy Act of 1992 included several provisions promoting the use of HERS and EEMs, which strengthened efforts to develop a national infrastructure for HERS and to promote the use of EEMs. This report documents HERS and EEMs activities since 1992 by the U.S. Department of Energy, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, mortgage lenders, and other organizations.

Though the process of establishing HERS has faced some barriers, this report shows that, as of November 1999, home energy ratings were available in 47 states and the District of Columbia, which represents a significant increase from 1993 when home energy ratings were available in 17 states. Both national and state organizations have developed HERS and related residential energy-efficiency programs.

The availability and use of EEMs has also increased significantly. The number of EEMs supported by the Federal Housing Administration has increased more than eight times in the last three years. More than $2.5 billion in federally supported EEMs have been issued to date. Several national lenders offer EEMs, and six states have state-specific EEM or loan programs. EEMs have been used to finance energy-efficient homes in every state.