JUL 25 1996

Sta. 15

21

ENGINEERING DATA TRANSMITTAL

Page 1 of 1

1. EDT 602623

2. To: (Receiving Organization) TWRS SAR Engineering	3. From: (Originating Organization) TWRS SAR Engineering	4. Related EDT No.: N/A
5. Proj./Prog./Dept./Div.: BM100	6. Cog. Engr.: G. W. Ryan	7. Purchase Order No.: N/A
8. Originator Remarks: This document was developed and approved by Westinghouse Savannah River Site (WSRS) personnel and is being submitted for approval and release into the Hanford document control system.		9. Equip./Component No.: N/A
		10. System/Bldg./Facility: TWRS
11. Receiver Remarks: N/A		12. Major Assm. Dwg. No.: N/A
		13. Permit/Permit Application No.: N/A
		14. Required Response Date: 7/23/96

15. DATA TRANSMITTED					(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
(A) Item No.	(B) Document/Drawing No.	(C) Sheet No.	(D) Rev. No.	(E) Title or Description of Data Transmitted	Approval Designator	Reason for Transmittal	Originator Disposition	Receiver Disposition
1	WHC-SD-WM-CN-052	N/A	0	Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms	N/A	1,2	1	1

16. KEY					
Approval Designator (F)		Reason for Transmittal (G)		Disposition (H) & (I)	
E, S, O, D or N/A (see WHC-CM-3-6, Sec.12.7)		1. Approval 2. Release 3. Information 4. Review 5. Post-Review 6. Dist. (Receipt Acknow. Required)		1. Approved 2. Approved w/comment 3. Disapproved w/comment 4. Reviewed no/comment 5. Reviewed w/comment 6. Receipt acknowledged	

17. SIGNATURE/DISTRIBUTION (See Approval Designator for required signatures)										(G)	(H)
Reason	Disp.	(J) Name	(K) Signature	(L) Date	(M) MSIN	(J) Name	(K) Signature	(L) Date	(M) MSIN	Reason	Disp.
1	1	Cog. Eng. G. W. Ryan	<i>G. W. Ryan</i>	7/23/96	A3-37						
1	1	Cog. Mgr. D. S. Leach	<i>D. S. Leach</i>	7/23/96	A3-34						
		QA - n/a									
		Safety - n/a									
		Env. - n/a									
1	1	See Page 1 of 94 for WSRS document approval signatures.									

18. Signature of EDT Originator <i>G. W. Ryan</i> Date: 7/23/96	19. N/A Authorized Representative Date for Receiving Organization	20. D. S. Leach <i>D. S. Leach</i> Cognizant Manager Date: 7/23/96	21. DOE APPROVAL (if required) Ctrl. No. ☐ Approved ☐ Approved w/comments ☐ Disapproved w/comments
---	--	--	---

INSTRUCTIONS FOR COMPLETION OF THE ENGINEERING DATA TRANSMITTAL

(USE BLACK INK OR TYPE)

BLOCK	TITLE	
(1)*	EDT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Pre-assigned EDT number.
(2)	To: (Receiving Organization)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enter the individual's name, title of the organization, or entity (e.g., Distribution) that the EDT is being transmitted to.
(3)	From: (Originating Organization)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enter the title of the organization originating and transmitting the EDT.
(4)	Related EDT No.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enter EDT numbers which relate to the data being transmitted.
(5)*	Proj./Prog./Dept./Div.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enter the Project/Program/Department/Division title or Project/Program acronym or Project Number, Work Order Number or Organization Code.
(6)*	Cognizant Engineer	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enter the name of the individual identified as being responsible for coordinating disposition of the EDT.
(7)	Purchase Order No.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enter related Purchase Order (P.O.) Number, if available.
(8)*	Originator Remarks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enter special or additional comments concerning transmittal, or "Key" retrieval words may be entered.
(9)	Equipment/Component No.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enter equipment/component number of affected item, if appropriate.
(10)	System/Bldg./Facility	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enter appropriate system, building or facility number, if appropriate.
(11)	Receiver Remarks	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enter special or additional comments concerning transmittal.
(12)	Major Assm. Dwg. No.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enter applicable drawing number of major assembly, if appropriate.
(13)	Permit/Permit Application No.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enter applicable permit or permit application number, if appropriate.
(14)	Required Response Date	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enter the date a response is required from individuals identified in Block 17 (Signature/Distribution).
(15)*	Data Transmitted	
	(A)* Item Number	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enter sequential number, beginning with 1, of the information listed on EDT.
	(B)* Document/Drawing No.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enter the unique identification number assigned to the document or drawing being transmitted.
	(C)* Sheet No.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enter the sheet number of the information being transmitted. If no sheet number, leave blank.
	(D)* Rev. No.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enter the revision number of the information being transmitted. If no revision number, leave blank.
	(E) Title or Description of Data Transmitted	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enter the title of the document or drawing or a brief description of the subject if no title is identified.
	(F)* Impact Level	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enter the appropriate Impact Level (Block 15). Also, indicate the appropriate approvals for each item listed, i.e., SQ, ESQ, etc. Use NA for non-engineering documents.
	(G) Reason for Transmittal	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enter the appropriate code to identify the purpose of the data transmittal (see Block 16).
	(H) Originator Disposition	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enter the appropriate disposition code (see Block 16).
	(I) Receiver Disposition	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enter the appropriate disposition code (see Block 16).
(16)	Key	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Number codes used in completion of Blocks 15 (G), (H), and (I), and 17 (G), (H) (Signature/Distribution).
(17)	Signature/Distribution	
	(G) Reason	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enter the code of the reason for transmittal (Block 16).
	(H) Disposition	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enter the code for the disposition (Block 16).
	(J) Name	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enter the signature of the individual completing the Disposition 17 (H) and the Transmittal.
	(K)* Signature	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Obtain appropriate signature(s).
	(L)* Date	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enter date signature is obtained.
	(M)* MSIN	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enter MSIN. Note: If Distribution Sheet is used, show entire distribution (including that indicated on Page 1 of the EDT) on the Distribution Sheet.
(18)	Signature of EDT Originator	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enter the signature and date of the individual originating the EDT (entered prior to transmittal to Receiving Organization). If the EDT originator is the cognizant engineer, sign both Blocks 17 and 18.
(19)	Authorized Representative for Receiving Organization	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enter the signature and date of the individual identified by the Receiving Organization as authorized to approve disposition of the EDT and acceptance of the data transmitted, as applicable.
(20)*	Cognizant Manager	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enter the signature and date of the cognizant manager. (This signature is authorization for release.)
(21)*	DOE Approval	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Enter DOE approval (if required) by letter number and indicate DOE action.

*Asterisk denote the required minimum items check by Configuration Documentation prior to release; these are the minimum release requirements.

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

G. W. Ryan

Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, WA 99352

U.S. Department of Energy Contract DE-AC06-87RL10930

EDT/ECN: 602623

UC: 510

Org Code: 8M100

Charge Code: N1FC3

B&R Code: EW3120071

Total Pages: 95

Key Words: caustic spray leak, NaOH, sodium hydroxide, caustic addition, TWRS, tank farms

Abstract: This document supports the development and presentation of the following accident scenario in the TWRS Final Safety Analysis Report:

Caustic Spray Leak.

The calculations needed to quantify the risk associated with this accident scenario are included within.

TRADEMARK DISCLAIMER. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof or its contractors or subcontractors.

Printed in the United States of America. To obtain copies of this document, contact: WHC/BCS Document Control Services, P.O. Box 1970, Mailstop H6-08, Richland WA 99352, Phone (509) 372-2420; Fax (509) 376-4989.

Karen A. Roland

Release Approval

7/25/96

Date

Release Stamp

Approved for Public Release

Calculation Cover Sheet

Project Hanford Tank Farm Safety Analysis		Calculation Number S-CLC-G-00126		Project Number N/A	
Title Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms (u)		Functional Classification SS		Sheet 1 of 94	
		Discipline HEALTH & SAFETY			
☐ Preliminary ☐ Committed ☒ Confirmed					
Computer Program No. (s) ☐ SPRAY				Version/Release No. 3.2	
Purpose and Objective The purpose of this calculation is to analyze and document the consequences of potential caustic releases related to pH adjustments at the Hanford Tank Farms. The objective is to document the need (or lack thereof) for either safety class or safety significant items associated with caustic addition operations.					
Summary of Conclusion Based on conservative analyses for NaOH, there no requirements for safety class items related to caustic addition. Safety significant controls will, however, need to be put in place to limit the maximum system pressure in order to meet onsite concentration guidelines. The allowable pressure is determined as a function of pipe thickness.					
Revisions					
Rev. No.	Revision Description				
0	Original Issue				
Sign Off					
Rev No.	Originator (Print) Sign / Date	Verification / Checking Method	Verifier / Checker (Print) Sign / Date	Manager (Print) Sign / Date	
0	Ted A. Long <i>Ted A. Long</i> 6-27-98	Verification by Independent Review (Manual E7, Procedure 2.40)	R.D. Graves 6-27-98 <i>R.D. Graves</i>	L.A. Wooten <i>L.A. Wooten</i> 6/28/98	
Classification					
DOES NOT CONTAIN UNCLASSIFIED CONTROLLED NUCLEAR INFORMATION					
Reviewing Official: <i>[Signature]</i> J.K. Nicholas Ph.D. Date: <u>7/1/98</u>					

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 3 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF ACRONYMS.....	5
REFERENCE:.....	6
INTRODUCTION	7
OPEN ITEMS	8
INPUT:.....	9
ASSUMPTIONS:	13
ANALYTICAL METHODS AND CALCULATIONS:	14
SPRAY RELEASE MODEL.....	14
Friction Factor Flag.....	16
Effect of Slit Depth (Thickness of Pipe Wall).....	16
Effect of Evaporation on Liquid Particles.....	16
Sauter Mean Diameter Fitting Constant.....	17
Effect of Solution Molarity	17
RESULTS:.....	18
SOLUTION STRENGTH FOR BOUNDING CONSEQUENCES.....	18
OFFSITE CONCENTRATION OF NAOH.....	18
ONSITE CONCENTRATION OF NAOH	19
CONCLUSION:.....	21
APPENDIX A.....	23
MAXIMUM SLIT LENGTH DETERMINATION	23
APPENDIX B.....	26
APPENDIX C.....	63
APPENDIX D.....	92

COMPUTER PROGRAMS USED

NAME	CONFIGURATION	If NO Description on page/in reference
	Control Version	
SPRAY 3.2	Yes	Controlled at WHC
	Yes	
	Yes	
	Yes	

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 4 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

CALC-NOTE CHECKLIST

REVIEWER(S)

NAME (PRINT OR TYPE)

Ronald D. Graves

SIGNATURE

Ronald D. Graves

DATE

6-27-96

CIRCLE ONE

1. Is the Subject and/or Purpose clearly stated? ☒ Yes No
2. Are the required Input Data and their references and source provided and are they consistent with the Calc-note purpose? ☒ Yes No
3. Are the Assumptions clearly identified, valid, and consistent with the Calc-note purpose? ☒ Yes No
4. Are the Analytical Methods clearly identified? ☒ Yes No
5. Are all pages consecutively numbered and identified by the calc-note number? ☒ Yes No
6. Is/are the version(s) of the computer program(s) used identified? ☒ Yes No N/A
7. Are input listings for all computer programs documented in this calc-note? Yes No ☒ N/A
8. Are the Results and Conclusions clearly stated? ☒ Yes No
9. Are all OUTPUT documents (if not part of the calculation) clearly referenced in the results section? ☒ Yes No

IF NO TO ANY OF THE ABOVE, SHEET NUMBER(S) WITH JUSTIFICATION:

REVIEWER'S NOTES (use additional pages as necessary)

Review method used:

Alternate calculation ☐ Attached?: Y ☐ N ☐Approximated Originator steps ☒

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126
Sheet No. 5 of 94
Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

List of Acronyms

BIO	Basis for Interim Operation
ERPG	Emergency Response Planning Guideline
FSAR	Final Safety Analysis Report
NaOH	sodium hydroxide
PEL-TWA	Personnel Exposure Limit-Time Waited Average
RAG	Risk Acceptance Guidelines
WHC	Westinghouse Hanford Company
WSRC	Westinghouse Savannah River Company

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126
Sheet No. 6 of 94
Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

Reference:

1. Risk Acceptance Guidelines, WHC-WM-4-46, Rev. 4, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington, 1996.
2. Perry, R.H., Green, D., Perry's Chemical Engineers' Handbook, Sixth Edition, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, New York, 1984.
3. Avallone, E.A., Baumeister, T., Marks' Standard Handbook for Mechanical Engineers, Ninth Edition, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, New York, 1987.
4. Van Keuren, J.C., Toxic Chemical Considerations for Tank Farm Releases, WHC-SD-WM-SARR-011, Rev. 2, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington, 1996.
5. Hey, B.E., Leach, D.S., A Model for Predicting Respirable Releases from Pressurized Leaks, WHC-SD-GN-SWD-20007, Rev. 0, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington, 1994.
6. Jenkins, S., Goakey, S.K., System Design Description for the Tank 241-AN-107 Caustic Addition Project, WHC-SD-SM-SDD-051, Rev. 0, Westinghouse Hanford Company, Richland, Washington.
7. White, F.M., Fluid Mechanics, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, New York, 1979.

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126
Sheet No. 7 of 94
Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

Introduction

As needed, caustic (NaOH) solutions are used to maintain the pH of waste tank contents within acceptable litmus to minimize stress corrosion considerations. The caustic solution may be supplied by offsite vendors via one or more 4000 gallon tanker trucks or mixed at onsite facilities. The solution strength may vary from 5 to 50 weight percent NaOH. In addition, the solution may, depending on the tank, be transported through a variety of different pipes and may be routed through a metering pump and a mixer pump, or merely pumped (at pressures as high as 125 psi) in through an available riser.

The Hazard Analysis for the Hanford Tank Farms identified a caustic spray release at tank 241-AN-107 as an accident capable of challenging the risk acceptance guidelines at the Hanford Nuclear Reservation[1]. This calcnote analyzes the consequences from a potential caustic spray release during transfer from a supply tanker truck to a generic storage tank. Only NaOH caustic solutions are analyzed.

The postulated accident is that a small crack occurs in the piping during a caustic transfer which leads to a spray release of caustic solution to the atmosphere. The release rate of respirable particles is calculated based on conservatively chosen physical parameters and a slit width which is optimized for the generation of respirable particles.

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126
Sheet No. 8 of 94
Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

Open Items

There are no open items associated with this calcnote.

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126
Sheet No. 9 of 94
Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

Input:

1. The only caustic material under consideration is NaOH.
2. The kinematic viscosity of NaOH as a function of temperature for various aqueous solutions is given in Figure 1.
3. The density of NaOH as a function of temperature for various aqueous solutions is given in Table 1.[2]
4. The maximum pressure which can be generated during a caustic addition is 125 psi. This is the peak pressure of the largest compressor available for use at the tank farms.
5. The peak temperature of the caustic solution is less than 50°C. The offsite vendor for 50% caustic solutions loads the tanker truck at 120°F (49°C). The tanker is unheated.
6. Per facility request, piping wall thicknesses as small as that corresponding to 1 inch diameter commercial grade Schedule 10 steel pipe and as large as 2 inch diameter crosslinked polyethylene hose were considered. The calculations were actually carried for the smaller Schedule 5 steel pipe as well. The wall thicknesses are listed in Table 8.7.3 of reference 3 and are repeated in Table 2 below.
7. The thickness of the 2 inch diameter polyethylene piping is 25/64 of an inch.[Appendix D]
8. The X/Q value for maximally exposed offsite receptor is $2.83\text{E-}5 \text{ s/m}^3$. [4] This is "less than 1 hour" value as selected by the FSAR methodology. The time frame for the accident is assumed to 15 minutes since the risk acceptance guideline is stated in terms of Peak 15 minute average concentration. Therefore, while the accident may occur over a longer time frame, the consequence calculation is only concerned with the dispersion occurring during the worst 15 minutes.
9. The X/Q value for onsite receptor 100 m from the release is $3.41\text{E-}2 \text{ s/m}^3$. [4] The time frame for the accident is assumed to 15 minutes since the risk acceptance guideline is stated in terms of Peak 15 minute average concentration. Therefore, while the accident may occur over a longer time frame, the consequence calculation is only concerned with the dispersion occurring during the worst 15 minutes.

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 10 of 94

Rev. 0

96 10:53 509 373 4093

27501.10000

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

part 3.8 Viscosity of Aqueous Caustic Soda Solutions

REF: KRINGS, W.
Z. ANORG. CHEM. 255, 29-8 (1948)

38

Figure 1. Viscosity of NaOH solutions.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 11 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

Table 1. Density of NaOH solutions.[2] (Underlined numbers are interpolated.)

Weight %	40°C	50°C	60°C
1	1.0033	<u>0.9987</u>	0.9941
2	1.0139	<u>1.0092</u>	1.0045
4	1.0352	<u>1.0303</u>	1.0254
5	<u>1.0459</u>	<u>1.0409</u>	<u>1.0360</u>
8	1.0780	<u>1.0728</u>	1.0676
<u>10</u>	<u>1.0995</u>	<u>1.0942</u>	<u>1.0889</u>
12	1.1210	<u>1.1156</u>	1.1101
<u>15</u>	<u>1.1536</u>	<u>1.1480</u>	<u>1.1424</u>
16	1.1645	<u>1.1588</u>	1.1531
20	1.2079	<u>1.2020</u>	1.1960
24	1.2512	<u>1.2450</u>	1.2388
<u>25</u>	<u>1.2620</u>	<u>1.2557</u>	<u>1.2495</u>
28	1.2942	<u>1.2878</u>	1.2814
<u>30</u>	<u>1.3152</u>	<u>1.3088</u>	<u>1.3023</u>
32	1.3362	<u>1.3297</u>	1.3232
36	1.3768	<u>1.3701</u>	1.3634
40	1.4164	<u>1.4098</u>	1.4027
44	1.4545	<u>1.4475</u>	1.4405
48	1.4922	<u>1.4852</u>	1.4781
50	1.5109	<u>1.5038</u>	1.4967

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 12 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

Table 2. Wall thickness for 1 inch diameter commercial steel piping.

Commercial Steel Pipe Schedule	Wall thickness for 1 inch diameter pipe, inches
5S	0.065
10S	0.109
40 or Standard	0.133
80 or XS	0.179
160	0.250
XXS	0.358

Calculation No.	S-CLC-G-00126
Sheet No.	13 of 94
Rev.	0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

Assumptions:

1. The caustic concentration at the location of the onsite receptor due to a simple tanker truck spill is negligible relative to the spray release. This is an acceptable assumption since the release fraction from a spill should be much less than that from a pressurized release and the aerodynamic entrainment from the pool surface should be negligible compared to the release from a spray release.
2. The spray release occurs through a slit type opening rather than an orifice opening. This is equivalent to assuming that the spray release occurs as a result of cracking or a loose coupling rather than a puncture. This is a conservative assumption since the peak respirable releases from slits always bounds the peak respirable releases from orifices.
3. The effective surface roughness inside the slit or orifice is at least as rough as a typical steel pipe ($\epsilon \approx 0.0018$ inch).
4. The depth of the slit is no less than the nominal thickness of the pipe wall. This is conservative since the flow path through the pipe wall is likely to be tortuous and significantly longer than the nominal thickness of the pipe.
5. The contraction loss coefficient for the leak is assumed to be 1.0 as appropriate for cracks which are not similar to sharp edge orifices.
6. The velocity loss coefficient is assumed to be 0.82 as is appropriate for pipe flow without engineered entry and exit geometries.[See reference 5.]

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 14 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

Analytical Methods and Calculations:*Spray release model*

The rate of release of potentially respirable caustic material was conservatively estimated using the SPRAY32 program to determine the size of opening in the piping which causes the largest release of respirable particles. This program is described in reference 5. The input variables are listed in Table 3.

Table 3. Input variables for SPRAY32.

Input variable	Description	Value
Mode	Flag to select an orifice or a slit	This flag is conservatively set to slit (=1) for all calculations.
Friction Factor Flag	Flag to select laminar flow, turbulent flow, or let the program select	See below.
Flow Determination Flag	Flag to have the program determine the optimum hole size, specify a hole diameter, or specify the Reynolds number	This flag was set to have the code calculate the optimum slit width for generating respirable particles.
Starting Particle Size	size of smallest particle bin	This input value only effects the particle binning in the output which is immaterial in the respirable release calculation.
Geometric Step Size	particle distribution step size	This input value only effects the particle binning in the output which is immaterial in the respirable release calculation.
Number of Intervals	number of particle bins	This input value only effects the particle binning in the output which is immaterial in the respirable release calculation.
Initial Slit Width or Orifice Diameter	Slit width in inches.	Since the calculations were all run in optimization mode, this is an unused input value.

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 15 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

Slit Length	Slit length in inches.	This input value was set 1 inch for all calculations. The release values were then scaled to the appropriate slit length in an Excel spreadsheet; see below.
Slit or Orifice Depth	Crack depth in inches.	Varied based on pipe size; see below.
Reynolds Number	Reynolds number of the flow in the slit or orifice.	Since the calculations were all run in optimization mode, this is an unused input value.
Pressure Differential	Driving pressure in psi.	Various; see below.
Absolute Surface Roughness	Roughness for flow in the slit or orifice, in inches.	$\epsilon=0.0018$ inches; see assumption 3.
Contraction Coefficient	C_c for minor losses	$C_c=1.0$ for all calculations; see assumption 5.
Velocity Coefficient	C_v for minor losses.	$C_v=0.82$ for all calculations; see assumption 6.
Fluid Density	Density in gm/l.	Corrected for temperature and concentration of caustic solution; see input data 3.
Dynamic Viscosity	Viscosity in centiPoise.	Corrected for temperature and concentration of caustic solution; see input data 2.
Respirable Diameter	Maximum diameter of generated particles which are included in the calculation of respirable release rate.	Varied from 10 to 46 μm ; see below.
Fitting constant, q	An empirically determined constant to fit Sauter Mean Diameter data for particle distributions.	$q=2.4$ for all calculations; see below.

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 16 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

Friction Factor Flag

All calculations were initially performed allowing the code to select laminar or turbulent flow relations for friction factor. For those calculations where the optimum Reynolds number was in the critical flow range (2000 to 4000), the code occasionally could not converge to a solution. In such instances, the Friction Factor Flag was set laminar in order to provide conservative¹ results.

Effect of Slit Depth (Thickness of Pipe Wall)

The slit or orifice depth is a critical parameter since it directly effects the flow resistance calculations through the resistance coefficient, $K = fL/D$. Higher flow resistance results in a reduction of the leak rate so using smaller depths (i.e. thinner pipes) results in a larger release. A series of calculations was run to determine the maximum allowable system pressure for various pipe wall thicknesses. At the request of the facility, calculations were run to determine the maximum allowable system pressure for wall thicknesses corresponding to various 1 inch diameter commercial steel pipes. The facility also asked for calculations corresponding to the wall thickness of the 2 inch diameter crosslinked polyethylene hose used for caustic transfer at tank 241-AN-107.

Effect of Evaporation on Liquid Particles

As the liquid caustic solution particles are transported by the atmosphere, the water of solution may evaporate and reduce the size of the particle. Thus, some of the released particle which are initially larger than $10\mu\text{m}$ (respirable cutoff) may decrease in size so that by the time they reach the site boundary they are respirable. Since amount of water in the released caustic solution varies with solution strength, the respirable diameter for the spray release was calculated for each solution strength considered using the formula from the SPRAY documentation[5].

Equation 1

$$D_{resp} = \frac{10\mu\text{m}}{\sqrt[3]{f_s}}$$

The respirable diameter calculation was based on the conservative assumption that all of the water associated with the released liquid particles evaporates (thus reducing the size of the particle) prior to reaching the receptor. The fraction of droplets generated by a spray release which is respirable at the offsite receptor includes all of

¹ In this flow regime, the laminar friction factor is smaller than the turbulent friction factor. The reduced friction allows a higher flow rate through the slit and a correspondingly larger number of respirable particles.

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126
Sheet No. 17 of 94
Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

those droplets larger than 10 μm which may evaporate down to 10 μm or less. For solution strength ranging from 1 to 50 weight percent, the respirable diameter input for offsite calculations varies from 46 μm to 13 μm , respectively. The onsite receptor is located 100 m from the spray so the travel time of the particles is short (less than a minute). Thus, the evaporation mechanism was neglected for onsite calculations.

Sauter Mean Diameter Fitting Constant

The fitting constant, q , was set to 2.4 for all calculations. The purpose of this constant is to match the Sauter Mean diameter to experimental data. Smaller values to q correspond to flatter distributions and elevated respirable releases. The value of 2.4 is smaller than the lowest value of q which adequately reproduces experimental data.[5]

Effect of Solution Molarity

The dynamic viscosity of NaOH solutions changes significantly with temperature and solution strength. In addition, the actual concentration of NaOH at the receptor is also a function of solution strength. The viscosity decreases with increasing temperature so higher temperature correspond to larger releases from the crack (less resistance to flow through the crack). The temperature effect was bounded by using Input Data #3. As the viscosity changes, the optimum crack width changes and the total NaOH in the form of respirable particles changes. The effect of solution strength was accounted for by running a number of SPRAY32 with various solution strengths to determine the bounding consequence for each receptor.

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 18 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

Results:*Solution strength for Bounding Consequences*

As the solution strength decreases, the leak path provides less resistance and more material is released. The total NaOH, release, however, decreases since the solution strength has decreased. The competing effects were investigated by running SPRAY32 for a variety of solution strengths holding all other input variables constant. Specifically, the comparison was made for the bounding offsite case of Schedule 5 piping and 125 psi driving pressure. The results are shown in Figure 2 and the SPRAY32 output are included in Appendix B. The optimum solution strength for releasing NaOH is around 10 to 15 weight percent (about 6 molar). The calculations below use 10 weight percent.

Offsite Concentration of NaOH

The first set of calculations performed were to determine a bounding offsite concentration due to NaOH spray releases. Based on input from the facility, the highest driving pressure that could be generated for a caustic transfer is 125 psi. The SPRAY32 code was run with a pressure of 125 psi using the thinnest 1 inch diameter commercial steel pipe (Schedule 5) and a slit length of 1 inch. The results were then scaled using a 30 inch slit length. The SPRAY32 output file is included in Appendix C. Applying the offsite dispersion coefficient to the total respirable release, the highest 15 minute average concentration at the site boundary is

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 19 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

Equation 2

$$C = \left(22.1 \frac{\text{g}}{\text{s} \cdot \text{inch}} \right) (30 \text{ inches}) \left(1000 \frac{\text{mg}}{\text{g}} \right) \left(2.83 \times 10^{-3} \frac{\text{s}}{\text{m}^3} \right) \left(0.10 \frac{\text{grams NaOH}}{\text{gram solution}} \right) = 1.88 \frac{\text{mg NaOH}}{\text{m}^3}$$

The offsite risk acceptance guideline for an anticipated chemical release is the PEL-TWA. For NaOH, the PEL-TWA is 2.0 mg/m³. The corresponding fraction is 0.94.

Onsite Concentration of NaOH

As a first estimate of the onsite consequences, the onsite concentration at 100 m was calculated using the same conditions as for the offsite calculation, including the conservative assumption that the particle sizes are reduced by evaporation before the cloud reaches the receptor. The SPRAY32 output files are included in Appendix C. Applying the onsite dispersion coefficient to the total respirable release, the highest 15 minute average concentration 100 m from the release is

Equation 3

$$C = \left(22.1 \frac{\text{g}}{\text{s} \cdot \text{inch}} \right) (30 \text{ inches}) \left(1000 \frac{\text{mg}}{\text{g}} \right) \left(3.41 \times 10^{-2} \frac{\text{s}}{\text{m}^3} \right) \left(0.10 \frac{\text{grams NaOH}}{\text{gram solution}} \right) = 2260 \frac{\text{mg NaOH}}{\text{m}^3}$$

The onsite risk acceptance guideline for an anticipated chemical release is the ERPG-1. For NaOH, the ERPG-1 is 2.0 mg/m³. The corresponding fraction is 1130. Thus, the conservative calculation for onsite consequences is well above the guidelines using the same conditions as the offsite consequence calculation. Note that if the accident were considered in the extremely unlikely frequency bin, the conservative onsite consequence calculation would still be over the risk acceptance guidelines by a factor of 23.

At a control-meeting held at the end of May, the facility agreed to use a Safety Significant pressure relief device to limit the driving force behind potential caustic spray releases and remain within the risk acceptance guidelines. Since the facility may be using a variety of different pipes and hoses for NaOH transfers, calculations were performed to determine the highest pressure for which the spray release is still below the risk acceptance guidelines. The pressure was determined as a function of pipe wall thickness. The range of wall thicknesses was based on the 1 inch diameter commercial steel piping ranging from Schedule 5 to Schedule XXS and also included one data point to account for the use of 2 inch diameter crosslinked polyethylene hose as described in the 241-AN-107 caustic addition system[6]. As for the offsite calculations, the SPRAY32 code was run in optimization mode assuming a 1 inch slit. Evaporation effects were neglected for the onsite calculations since the travel time of the liquid particles to the onsite receptor is less than a minute. The respirable releases were then scaled to a 30 inch long slit. The results are tabulated in Table 4 along with the calculated onsite consequences.

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 20 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

Table 4. Onsite consequences for various pipe thicknesses

Pipe Schedule	Diameter	Wall thickness, inches	Pressure, psi	Respirable release for 1 inch slit, g/s	Respirable release for 30 inch slit, g/s	Concentration at 100 m	Sum of fraction
5	1	0.065	8	0.0171	0.513	1.75	0.87
10	1	0.109	10	0.0171	0.513	1.75	0.87
40	1	0.133	11	0.0175	0.525	1.79	0.90
80	1	0.179	13	0.0190	0.570	1.94	0.97
160	1	0.250	15	0.0189	0.567	1.93	0.97
XXS	1	0.358	17	0.0177	0.531	1.81	0.91
2" dia. poly-ethylene	2	0.391	18	0.0185	0.555	1.89	0.95

Note that the consequences were calculated by substituting the appropriate release rate into equation 3 above.

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126
Sheet No. 21 of 94
Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

Conclusion:

Based on the offsite concentrations, no safety class SSCs or TSRs are required for NaOH transfers.

Based on the onsite concentration calculations, the step curve in Figure 3 represents the maximum system pressure limits as a function of pipe wall thickness. Any pipe wall thickness and system pressure combination which falls **below** the step curve will result in acceptable onsite consequences for an NaOH spray release.

In reality, the consequences calculated here are very conservative for a number of reasons.

- The calculations assume that the slit width is always the optimum size for generating respirable particle. Especially for a long slit, the width is likely to vary significantly from end to end. Either doubling or halving the slit width will result in a significant reduction (50 to 90%) in the amount of respirable material released. Slits that are 30 inches long (as assumed in this calculation) are likely to be much wider in the center than toward the ends, thus producing fewer respirable particles and simultaneously reducing the internal driving pressure by allowing more substantial amounts of fluid to leak out. If the leak is large enough, it can no longer be considered a spray release and would be treated as a spill with a reduced consequence.
- For the offsite calculations, the assumption that all of the water of solution evaporates and some of the large particles are reduced down to respirable sizes neglects the effects of agglomeration and deposition of large liquid particles.
- The likelihood of long undetected cracks which produce large amounts of liquid particles is probably unlikely or extremely unlikely. All of the scenarios considered here, however, were conservatively compared to anticipated guidelines.
- The flow resistance through the slit should be significantly more than in the above calculations since a thin crack is likely to have a tortuous flow path. The increased resistance will reduce the total flow through the crack.

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 22 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

Figure 3. Allowable system pressure for various pipe wall thicknesses.

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126
Sheet No. 23 of 94
Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

Appendix A

Maximum slit length determination

Although the following methodology was not used in the final version of the calcnote, some of the earlier decisions were based on calculations using this method in conjunction with the system description for 241-AN-107 caustic additions. When the calculation was broadened to include other NaOH transfer where the flow rate and pressure would not be restricted by the metering pump performance, the method became indeterminant without placing an additional safety significant restriction on the facility to regulate the maximum flowrate. Given a flowrate inside the pipe, a bounding slit length can be determined based on the parameters of the problem rather than an engineering judgement regarding the maximum length of a crack. The drawback, however, is that this method can lead to extremely large crack lengths if an independent flow rate restriction can not be imposed. Such considerations led to use of a maximum crack length of 30 inches in the body of the calcnote. The discussion is included for information only.

The choice of bounding values for most of the SPRAY parameters is fairly straight forward. The slit could, however, be in either the circumferential or longitudinal directions depending on the type of pipe and the cause of the failure so selecting a bounding the slit length is not obvious. A simple assumption of 2 inches (as used in the ASA) has no basis. This is an important parameter since the code optimizes the slit width with no consideration for the slit length but calculates the release rate based on the cross-sectional area of the slit. Thus, the release rate scales linearly with the slit length.

Since the slit length is independent of the remaining variables in the SPRAY model and there is no feedback mechanism in the model to reduce the driving pressure to account for the head loss from the leak, it is possible to choose a slit length which that results in a larger flow rate through the leak than is initially in the pipe. This behavior in the model is a result of the inherent assumption that the leak rate is small with respect to the base flow. The release can be bounded by requiring that the leak rate not exceed the total flow rate in the pipe. The calculation for the respirable fraction depends on the optimized slit width but is unaffected by the slit length. Thus a bounding release can be calculated by conservatively assuming that *all* of the flow in the pipe escapes through the slit at the rate determined using the peak system pressure and with the calculated respirable fraction.

Since the above method leads to unacceptable onsite consequences, a less bounding approach was used in this calcnote which takes credit for the fact that the driving pressure in the pipe decreases as material is removed through the leak. This effect is negligible for relatively short slit lengths but may be significant for long,

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 24 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

longitudinal slits. Rather than add another layer of iteration to the SPRAY models, the driving pressure was stepped down in 2.5 psi or greater increments by varying the slit length. For each pressure level, the total flow rate through the leak in order to reduce the pressure to the next level was combined with the respirable fraction and the dispersion factor to determine the contribution to the caustic concentration at the receptor. Once the pressure in the pipe was stepped down a value where the respirable release was negligible, the total release of respirable particles was used to calculate the concentration at the receptor. This process is diagrammed in Figure A1.

Figure A1. Bounding slit length methodology

The pressure reduction due to the flow through the leak was calculated from the relationship between pressure drop and flow rate for turbulent flow in a pipe.[7]

Equation A1

$$\Delta p \approx 0.241 L \rho^{0.75} \mu^{0.25} d^{-4.75} Q^{1.75}$$

Since ρ , μ , and d are constant in the pipe,

Equation A2

$$\Delta p \approx ALQ^{1.75}$$

where A is a constant. The pressure drop with respect to L is conservatively ignored so that

Equation A3

$$\Delta p \approx \hat{A}Q^{1.75}$$

where $\hat{A}$ is a new constant. Using the definitions in Figure A2,

Figure A2. Schematic of longitudinal crack.

Equation A4

$$\frac{\Delta p_{leak}}{\Delta p_{noleak}} = \left(\frac{Q_2}{Q_1} \right) = \left(\frac{Q_1 - Q_{leak}}{Q_1} \right)$$

and

Equation A5

$$\Delta p_{leak} = \Delta p_{noleak} \left(1 - \frac{Q_{leak}}{Q_1} \right)$$

The initial Q and Δp must be updated at the beginning of each step but are conservatively held constant over each step. The step size chosen for Δp ranged from 2.5 psi to 25 psi depending the size of the change.

Calculation No.	S-CLC-G-00126
Sheet No.	26 of 94
Rev.	0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

Appendix B

SPRAY32 output files for worst case solution strength determination.

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126
Sheet No. 27 of 94
Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

SPRAY Version 3.2

August 31, 1995

Spray Leak Code

Produced by Radiological & Toxicological Analysis

Westinghouse Hanford Company

Run Date = 06/11/96/

Run Time = 15:32:39.52

INPUT ECHO:

c 1% NaOH solution, 125 psi, 50 C

c SPRAY Code Version 3.2 Input File

c

c MODEL OPTIONS:

c

c mode - program calculation mode

c = 1 for orifice leak

c = 2 for slit leak

c ifric - integer flag for friction factor

c = 0 for program selection

c = 1 for laminar relation

c = 2 for turbulent relation

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 28 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

c iopt - integer flag for flow determination
 c = 0 for optimal diameter search given initial guess diameter and
 Re
 c = 1 for flow based on user specified diameter
 c = 2 for flow based on user specified Reynold's number,
 c
 c mode ifric iopt
 c 2 1 0

c PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION TABLE PARAMETERS:

c Starting

c Particle

c Size	Geometric	Number of
c (um)	Step Size	Intervals

c	1.00000E+00	2.00000E+00	10
---	-------------	-------------	----

c

c PARAMETER INPUT:

c

c Initial Slit	Slit or		
c Width or	Slit	Orifice	
c Orifice Dia.	Length	Depth	Reynold's
c (in)	(in)	(in)	Number

c	1.00000E-02	1.00000E+00	6.50000E-02	2.00000E+03
---	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------

c

c Absolute

c Surface

c Roughness Contraction Velocity

c (in) Coefficient Coefficient

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 29 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

c Pressure 0.00006 tube 0.61 and 0.98 for sharp edge orifice

c Differential 0.0018 steel 1.00 and 0.98 for rounded orifice

c (psi) 0.0102 iron 1.00 and 0.82 for square edge orifice

c

1.25000E+02 1.80000E-03 1.00000E+00 8.20000E-01

c

c Fluid Dynamic Respirable RR Fitting

c Density Viscosity Diameter Constant

c (g/cc) (centi-poise) (μ m) (q)

c

9.98700E-01 5.80000E-01 4.60000E+01 2.40000E+00

c

c Ambient Wind

c Density Speed

c (g/cc) (m/s)

c

1.22000E-03 1.50000E+00

MESSAGES:

Slit Model

Code search for optimal equivalent diameter.

Friction factor based on laminar flow.

OUTPUT:

Liquid Velocity = 1.09E+02 ft/s 3.33E+01 m/s

Reynolds Number = 9.31E+03 Turbulent Flow

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 30 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

Sauter Mean Diameter = $2.41E+01$ μ m
 Mass Median Diameter = $3.16E+01$ μ m
 Characteristic Dia. = $3.68E+01$ μ m
 Optimum Slit Width = $3.21E-03$ in $8.15E-05$ m
 Respirable Fraction = $8.19E-01$
 Total Leak Rate = $1.09E+00$ gpm $6.89E-05$ m³/s $6.88E+01$ g/s
 Respirable Leak Rate = $8.94E-01$ gpm $5.64E-05$ m³/s $5.63E+01$ g/s
 Jet Rise = $1.03E+00$ ft $3.15E-01$ m

Particle Diameter Sections (m)	Section Release Rate (kg/s)	Cumulative Release Rate (kg/s)	Cumulative Percent (%)
$1.00E-06$	$1.20E-05$	$1.20E-05$	0.02
$2.00E-06$	$5.13E-05$	$6.33E-05$	0.09
$4.00E-06$	$2.70E-04$	$3.34E-04$	0.48
$8.00E-06$	$1.41E-03$	$1.74E-03$	2.53
$1.60E-05$	$6.97E-03$	$8.71E-03$	12.66
$3.20E-05$	$2.64E-02$	$3.51E-02$	51.05
$6.40E-05$	$3.21E-02$	$6.72E-02$	97.70
$1.28E-04$	$1.59E-03$	$6.88E-02$	100.00
$2.56E-04$	$0.00E+00$	$6.88E-02$	100.00
$5.12E-04$	$0.00E+00$	$6.88E-02$	100.00

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126
Sheet No. 31 of 94
Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

SPRAY Version 3.2August 31, 1995

Spray Leak Code

Produced by Radiological & Toxicological Analysis

Westinghouse Hanford Company

Run Date = 06/11/96/

Run Time = 15:40:41.43

INPUT ECHO:

c 5% NaOH solution, 125 psi, 50 C

c SPRAY Code Version 3.2 Input File

c

c MODEL OPTIONS:

c

c mode - program calculation mode

c = 1 for orifice leak

c = 2 for slit leak

c ifric - integer flag for friction factor

c = 0 for program selection

c = 1 for laminar relation

c = 2 for turbulent relation

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 32 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

c iopt - integer flag for flow determination
 c = 0 for optimal diameter search given initial guess diameter and
 Re
 c = 1 for flow based on user specified diameter
 c = 2 for flow based on user specified Reynold's number
 c
 c mode ifric iopt
 2 0 0

c PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION TABLE PARAMETERS:

c
 c Starting
 c Particle
 c Size Geometric Number of
 c (um) Step Size Intervals
 c
 c 1.00000E+00 2.00000E+00 10

c PARAMETER INPUT:

c
 c Initial Slit Slit or
 c Width or Slit Orifice
 c Orifice Dia. Length Depth Reynold's
 c (in) (in) (in) Number
 c
 c 1.00000E-02 1.00000E+00 6.50000E-02 2.00000E+03
 c
 c Absolute
 c Surface
 c Roughness Contraction Velocity
 c (in) Coefficient Coefficient

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 33 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

c Pressure 0.00006 tube 0.61 and 0.98 for sharp edge orifice

c Differential 0.0018 steel 1.00 and 0.98 for rounded orifice

c (psi) 0.0102 iron 1.00 and 0.82 for square edge orifice

c

1.25000E+02	1.80000E-03	1.00000E+00	8.20000E-01
-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------

c

c Fluid	Dynamic	Respirable	RR Fitting
---------	---------	------------	------------

c Density	Viscosity	Diameter	Constant
-----------	-----------	----------	----------

c (g/cc)	(centi-poise)	(μ m)	(q)
----------	---------------	------------	-----

c

1.04090E+00	7.40000E-01	2.70000E+01	2.40000E+00
-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------

c

c Ambient	Wind
-----------	------

c Density	Speed
-----------	-------

c (g/cc)	(m/s)
----------	-------

c

1.22000E-03	1.50000E+00
-------------	-------------

MESSAGES:

Slit Model

Code search for optimal equivalent diameter.

OUTPUT:

Liquid Velocity = 7.11E+01 ft/s 2.17E+01 m/s

Reynolds Number = 4.96E+03 Turbulent Flow

Sauter Mean Diameter = 3.87E+01 μ m

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 34 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

Mass Median Diameter = $5.07\text{E}+01$ μm Characteristic Dia. = $5.91\text{E}+01$ μm Optimum Slit Width = $3.21\text{E}-03$ in $8.16\text{E}-05$ mRespirable Fraction = $1.41\text{E}-01$ Total Leak Rate = $7.12\text{E}-01$ gpm $4.49\text{E}-05$ m³/s $4.68\text{E}+01$ g/sRespirable Leak Rate = $1.01\text{E}-01$ gpm $6.35\text{E}-06$ m³/s $6.61\text{E}+00$ g/sJet Rise = $6.82\text{E}-01$ ft $2.08\text{E}-01$ m

Particle Diameter Sections (m)	Section Release Rate (kg/s)	Cumulative Release Rate (kg/s)	Cumulative Percent (%)
$1.00\text{E}-06$	$2.62\text{E}-06$	$2.62\text{E}-06$	0.01
$2.00\text{E}-06$	$1.12\text{E}-05$	$1.38\text{E}-05$	0.03
$4.00\text{E}-06$	$5.90\text{E}-05$	$7.28\text{E}-05$	0.16
$8.00\text{E}-06$	$3.10\text{E}-04$	$3.83\text{E}-04$	0.82
$1.60\text{E}-05$	$1.60\text{E}-03$	$1.99\text{E}-03$	4.25
$3.20\text{E}-05$	$7.59\text{E}-03$	$9.58\text{E}-03$	20.48
$6.40\text{E}-05$	$2.32\text{E}-02$	$3.28\text{E}-02$	70.17
$1.28\text{E}-04$	$1.39\text{E}-02$	$4.67\text{E}-02$	99.83
$2.56\text{E}-04$	$7.88\text{E}-05$	$4.68\text{E}-02$	100.00
$5.12\text{E}-04$	$0.00\text{E}+00$	$4.68\text{E}-02$	100.00

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126
Sheet No. 35 of 94
Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

SPRAY Version 3.2August 31, 1995

Spray Leak Code

Produced by Radiological & Toxicological Analysis

Westinghouse Hanford Company

Run Date = 06/11/96/

Run Time = 15:40:09.96

INPUT ECHO:

c 1% NaOH solution, 125 psi, 50 C

c SPRAY Code Version 3.2 Input File

c

c MODEL OPTIONS:

c

c mode - program calculation mode

c = 1 for orifice leak

c = 2 for slit leak

c ifric - integer flag for friction factor

c = 0 for program selection

c = 1 for laminar relation

c = 2 for turbulent relation

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 36 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

c iopt - integer flag for flow determination
 c = 0 for optimal diameter search given initial guess diameter and
 Re
 c = 1 for flow based on user specified diameter
 c = 2 for flow based on user specified Reynold's number
 c
 c mode ifric iopt
 c 2 0 0

c PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION TABLE PARAMETERS:

c Starting

c Particle

c Size	Geometric	Number of
c (um)	Step Size	Intervals
c <u>1.00000E+00</u>	<u>2.00000E+00</u>	<u>10</u>

c PARAMETER INPUT:

c Initial Slit		Slit or	
c Width or	Slit	Orifice	
c Orifice Dia.	Length	Depth	Reynold's
c (in)	(in)	(in)	Number
c <u>1.00000E-02</u>	<u>1.00000E+00</u>	<u>6.50000E-02</u>	<u>2.00000E+03</u>
c	Absolute		
c	Surface		
c	Roughness	Contraction	Velocity
c	(in)	Coefficient	Coefficient

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 37 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

c Pressure 0.00006 tube 0.61 and 0.98 for sharp edge
 orifice
 c Differential 0.0018 steel 1.00 and 0.98 for rounded orifice
 c (psi) 0.0102 iron 1.00 and 0.82 for square edge
 orifice
 c

1.25000E+02 1.80000E-03 1.00000E+00 8.20000E-01

c
 c Fluid Dynamic Respirable RR Fitting
 c Density Viscosity Diameter Constant
 c (g/cc) (centi-poise) (μm) (q)
 c
 9.98700E-01 5.80000E-01 4.60000E+01 2.40000E+00

c
 c Ambient Wind
 c Density Speed
 c (g/cc) (m/s)
 c
 1.22000E-03 1.50000E+00

MESSAGES:

Slit Model

Code search for optimal equivalent diameter.

OUTPUT:

Liquid Velocity = 7.88E+01 ft/s 2.40E+01 m/s

Reynolds Number = 8.01E+03 Turbulent Flow

Sauter Mean Diameter = 4.13E+01 μm

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 38 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

Mass Median Diameter = $5.41\text{E}+01$ æm
 Characteristic Dia. = $6.30\text{E}+01$ æm
 Optimum Slit Width = $3.83\text{E}-03$ in $9.72\text{E}-05$ m
 Respirable Fraction = $3.75\text{E}-01$
 Total Leak Rate = $9.40\text{E}-01$ gpm $5.93\text{E}-05$ m³/s $5.92\text{E}+01$ g/s
 Respirable Leak Rate = $3.52\text{E}-01$ gpm $2.22\text{E}-05$ m³/s $2.22\text{E}+01$ g/s
 Jet Rise = $8.38\text{E}-01$ ft $2.56\text{E}-01$ m

Particle Diameter Sections (m)	Section Release Rate (kg/s)	Cumulative Release Rate (kg/s)	Cumulative Percent (%)
$1.00\text{E}-06$	$2.84\text{E}-06$	$2.84\text{E}-06$	0.00
$2.00\text{E}-06$	$1.22\text{E}-05$	$1.50\text{E}-05$	0.03
$4.00\text{E}-06$	$6.41\text{E}-05$	$7.91\text{E}-05$	0.13
$8.00\text{E}-06$	$3.37\text{E}-04$	$4.16\text{E}-04$	0.70
$1.60\text{E}-05$	$1.75\text{E}-03$	$2.16\text{E}-03$	3.65
$3.20\text{E}-05$	$8.40\text{E}-03$	$1.06\text{E}-02$	17.84
$6.40\text{E}-05$	$2.77\text{E}-02$	$3.82\text{E}-02$	64.55
$1.28\text{E}-04$	$2.08\text{E}-02$	$5.90\text{E}-02$	99.58
$2.56\text{E}-04$	$2.49\text{E}-04$	$5.92\text{E}-02$	100.00
$5.12\text{E}-04$	$0.00\text{E}+00$	$5.92\text{E}-02$	100.00

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126
Sheet No. 39 of 94
Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

SPRAY Version 3.2August 31, 1995

Spray Leak Code

Produced by Radiological & Toxicological Analysis

Westinghouse Hanford Company

Run Date = 06/11/96/

Run Time = 15:32:41.11

INPUT ECHO:

c 15% NaOH solution, 125 psi, 50 C

c SPRAY Code Version 3.2 Input File

c

c MODEL OPTIONS:

c

c mode - program calculation mode

c = 1 for orifice leak

c = 2 for slit leak

c ifric - integer flag for friction factor

c = 0 for program selection

c = 1 for laminar relation

c = 2 for turbulent relation

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 40 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

```

c iopt - integer flag for flow determination
c      = 0 for optimal diameter search given initial guess diameter and
Re
c      = 1 for flow based on user specified diameter
c      = 2 for flow based on user specified Reynold's number
c
c mode ifric iopt
c      2      1      0

```

c PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION TABLE PARAMETERS:

```

c
c Starting
c Particle
c Size          Geometric      Number of
c (um)          Step Size      Intervals
c
c      _____
c      1.00000E+00  2.00000E+00  10

```

c PARAMETER INPUT:

```

c
c Initial Slit          Slit or
c Width or             Orifice
c Orifice Dia. Length   Depth      Reynold's
c (in)                (in)        (in)      Number
c
c      _____
c      1.00000E-03  1.00000E+00  6.50000E-02  2.00000E+03
c
c
c      Absolute
c      Surface
c      Roughness      Contraction   Velocity
c      (in)           Coefficient   Coefficient

```

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 41 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

c Pressure 0.00006 tube 0.61 and 0.98 for sharp edge orifice

c Differential 0.0018 steel 1.00 and 0.98 for rounded orifice

c (psi) 0.0102 iron 1.00 and 0.82 for square edge orifice

c _____

1.25000E+02	1.80000E-03	1.00000E+00	8.20000E-01
-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------

c

Fluid	Dynamic	Respirable	RR Fitting
Density	Viscosity	Diameter	Constant
(g/cc)	(centi-poise)	(μ m)	(q)

c. _____

1.14800E+00	1.35000E+00	1.90000E+01	2.40000E+00
-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------

c

Ambient	Wind
Density	Speed
(g/cc)	(m/s)

c _____

1.22000E-03	1.50000E+00
-------------	-------------

MESSAGES:

Slit Model

Code search for optimal equivalent diameter.

Friction factor based on laminar flow.

OUTPUT:

Liquid Velocity = 8.48E+01 ft/s 2.59E+01 m/s

Reynolds Number = 1.75E+03 Laminar Flow

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 42 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

Sauter Mean Diameter = $1.52\text{E}+01$ μm
 Mass Median Diameter = $1.99\text{E}+01$ μm
 Characteristic Dia. = $2.32\text{E}+01$ μm
 Optimum Slit Width = $1.57\text{E}-03$ in $3.98\text{E}-05$ m
 Respirable Fraction = $4.62\text{E}-01$
 Total Leak Rate = $4.15\text{E}-01$ gpm $2.62\text{E}-05$ m³/s $3.00\text{E}+01$ g/s
 Respirable Leak Rate = $1.92\text{E}-01$ gpm $1.21\text{E}-05$ m³/s $1.39\text{E}+01$ g/s
 Jet Rise = $5.22\text{E}-01$ ft $1.59\text{E}-01$ m

Particle Diameter Sections (m)	Section Release Rate (kg/s)	Cumulative Release Rate (kg/s)	Cumulative Percent (%)
$1.00\text{E}-06$	$1.59\text{E}-05$	$1.59\text{E}-05$	0.05
$2.00\text{E}-06$	$6.79\text{E}-05$	$8.38\text{E}-05$	0.28
$4.00\text{E}-06$	$3.56\text{E}-04$	$4.40\text{E}-04$	1.46
$8.00\text{E}-06$	$1.81\text{E}-03$	$2.25\text{E}-03$	7.49
$1.60\text{E}-05$	$7.87\text{E}-03$	$1.01\text{E}-02$	33.69
$3.20\text{E}-05$	$1.65\text{E}-02$	$2.66\text{E}-02$	88.56
$6.40\text{E}-05$	$3.43\text{E}-03$	$3.00\text{E}-02$	100.00
$1.28\text{E}-04$	$3.22\text{E}-07$	$3.00\text{E}-02$	100.00
$2.56\text{E}-04$	$0.00\text{E}+00$	$3.00\text{E}-02$	100.00
$5.12\text{E}-04$	$0.00\text{E}+00$	$3.00\text{E}-02$	100.00

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126
Sheet No. 43 of 94
Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

SPRAY Version 3.2August 31, 1995

Spray Leak Code

Produced by Radiological & Toxicological Analysis

Westinghouse Hanford Company

Run Date = 06/11/96/

Run Time = 15:32:41.60

INPUT ECHO:

c 20% NaOH solution, 50 psi, 50C

c SPRAY Code Version 3.2 Input File

c

c MODEL OPTIONS:

c

c mode - program calculation mode

c = 1 for orifice leak

c = 2 for slit leak

c ifric - integer flag for friction factor

c = 0 for program selection

c = 1 for laminar relation

c = 2 for turbulent relation

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 44 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

c iopt - integer flag for flow determination
 c = 0 for optimal diameter search given initial guess diameter and
 Re
 c = 1 for flow based on user specified diameter
 c = 2 for flow based on user specified Reynold's number
 c
 c mode ifric iopt
 2 1 0

c PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION TABLE PARAMETERS:

c Starting		
c Particle		
c Size	Geometric	Number of
c (um)	Step Size	Intervals
c		
1.00000E+00	2.00000E+00	10

c PARAMETER INPUT:

c Initial Slit		Slit or	
c Width or	Slit	Orifice	
c Orifice Dia.	Length	Depth	Reynold's
c (in)	(in)	(in)	Number
c			
1.00000E-03	1.00000E+00	6.50000E-02	2.00000E+03
c			
c	Absolute		
c	Surface		
c	Roughness	Contraction	Velocity
c	(in)	Coefficient	Coefficient

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 45 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

c Pressure 0.00006 tube 0.61 and 0.98 for sharp edge orifice

c Differential 0.0018 steel 1.00 and 0.98 for rounded orifice

c (psi) 0.0102 iron 1.00 and 0.82 for square edge orifice

c

1.25000E+02 1.80000E-03 1.00000E+00 8.20000E-01

c

c Fluid Dynamic Respirable RR Fitting

c Density Viscosity Diameter Constant

c (g/cc) (centi-poise) (mm) (q)

c

1.20200E+00 1.90000E+00 1.70000E+01 2.40000E+00

c

c Ambient Wind

c Density Speed

c (g/cc) (m/s)

c

1.22000E-03 1.50000E+00

MESSAGES:

Slit Model

Code search for optimal equivalent diameter.

Friction factor based on laminar flow.

OUTPUT:

Liquid Velocity = 7.93E+01 ft/s 2.42E+01 m/s

Reynolds Number = 1.29E+03 Laminar Flow

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 46 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

Sauter Mean Diameter = 1.85E+01 μ m

Mass Median Diameter = 2.43E+01 μ m

Characteristic Dia. = 2.83E+01 μ m

Optimum Slit Width = 1.67E-03 in 4.23E-05 m

Respirable Fraction = 2.55E-01

Total Leak Rate = 4.12E-01 gpm 2.60E-05 m³/s 3.12E+01 g/s

Respirable Leak Rate = 1.05E-01 gpm 6.63E-06 m³/s 7.97E+00 g/s

Jet Rise = 5.16E-01 ft 1.57E-01 m

Particle Diameter Sections (m)	Section Release Rate (kg/s)	Cumulative Release Rate (kg/s)	Cumulative Percent (%)
1.00E-06	1.03E-05	1.03E-05	0.03
2.00E-06	4.38E-05	5.41E-05	0.17
4.00E-06	2.30E-04	2.84E-04	0.91
8.00E-06	1.19E-03	1.47E-03	4.71
1.60E-05	5.55E-03	7.03E-03	22.50
3.20E-05	1.61E-02	2.31E-02	73.96
6.40E-05	8.11E-03	3.12E-02	99.92
1.28E-04	2.57E-05	3.12E-02	100.00
2.56E-04	0.00E+00	3.12E-02	100.00
5.12E-04	0.00E+00	3.12E-02	100.00

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126
Sheet No. 47 of 94
Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

SPRAY Version 3.2

August 31, 1995

Spray Leak Code

Produced by Radiological & Toxicological Analysis

Westinghouse Hanford Company

Run Date = 06/11/96/

Run Time = 15:32:42.10

INPUT ECHO:

c 25% solution, 125 psi, 50C

c SPRAY Code Version 3.2 Input File

c

c MODEL OPTIONS:

c

c mode - program calculation mode

c = 1 for orifice leak

c = 2 for slit leak

c ifric - integer flag for friction factor

c = 0 for program selection

c = 1 for laminar relation

c = 2 for turbulent relation

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 48 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

c iopt - integer flag for flow determination
 c = 0 for optimal diameter search given initial guess diameter and
 Re
 c = 1 for flow based on user specified diameter
 c = 2 for flow based on user specified Reynold's number
 c
 c mode ifric iopt
 2 1 0

c

c PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION TABLE PARAMETERS:

c

c Starting

c Particle

c Size	Geometric	Number of
c (um)	Step Size	Intervals

c

1.00000E+00	2.00000E+00	10
-------------	-------------	----

c

c PARAMETER INPUT:

c

c Initial Slit	Slit or
c Width or Slit	Orifice
c Orifice Dia. Length	Depth
c (in) (in)	Reynold's Number

c

1.00000E-03	1.00000E+00	6.50000E-02	2.00000E+03
-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------

c

c Absolute

c Surface

c Roughness	Contraction	Velocity
-------------	-------------	----------

c (in)	Coefficient	Coefficient
--------	-------------	-------------

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 49 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

c Pressure 0.00006 tube 0.61 and 0.98 for sharp edge orifice

c Differential 0.0018 steel 1.00 and 0.98 for rounded orifice

c (psi) 0.0102 iron 1.00 and 0.82 for square edge orifice

c

1.25000E+02 1.80000E-03 1.00000E+00 8.20000E-01

c

c Fluid Dynamic Respirable RR Fitting

c Density Viscosity Diameter Constant

c (g/cc) (centi-poise) (μm) (q)

c

1.25570E+00 2.90000E+00 1.60000E+01 2.40000E+00

c

c Ambient Wind

c Density Speed

c (g/cc) (m/s)

c

1.22000E-03 1.50000E+00

MESSAGES:

Slit Model

Code search for optimal equivalent diameter.

Friction factor based on laminar flow.

OUTPUT:

Liquid Velocity = 7.63E+01 ft/s 2.33E+01 m/s

Reynolds Number = 1.01E+03 Laminar Flow

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 50 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

Sauter Mean Diameter = $2.54\text{E}+01 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ Mass Median Diameter = $3.33\text{E}+01 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ Characteristic Dia. = $3.88\text{E}+01 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ Optimum Slit Width = $1.97\text{E}-03 \text{ in}$ $5.01\text{E}-05 \text{ m}$ Respirable Fraction = $1.13\text{E}-01$ Total Leak Rate = $4.69\text{E}-01 \text{ gpm}$ $2.96\text{E}-05 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ $3.71\text{E}+01 \text{ g/s}$ Respirable Leak Rate = $5.28\text{E}-02 \text{ gpm}$ $3.33\text{E}-06 \text{ m}^3/\text{s}$ $4.18\text{E}+00 \text{ g/s}$ Jet Rise = $5.63\text{E}-01 \text{ ft}$ $1.72\text{E}-01 \text{ m}$

Particle Diameter Sections (m)	Section Release Rate (kg/s)	Cumulative Release Rate (kg/s)	Cumulative Percent (%)
$1.00\text{E}-06$	$5.72\text{E}-06$	$5.72\text{E}-06$	0.02
$2.00\text{E}-06$	$2.45\text{E}-05$	$3.02\text{E}-05$	0.08
$4.00\text{E}-06$	$1.29\text{E}-04$	$1.59\text{E}-04$	0.43
$8.00\text{E}-06$	$6.72\text{E}-04$	$8.31\text{E}-04$	2.24
$1.60\text{E}-05$	$3.35\text{E}-03$	$4.18\text{E}-03$	11.26
$3.20\text{E}-05$	$1.32\text{E}-02$	$1.74\text{E}-02$	46.77
$6.40\text{E}-05$	$1.84\text{E}-02$	$3.58\text{E}-02$	96.41
$1.28\text{E}-04$	$1.33\text{E}-03$	$3.71\text{E}-02$	100.00
$2.56\text{E}-04$	$0.00\text{E}+00$	$3.71\text{E}-02$	100.00
$5.12\text{E}-04$	$0.00\text{E}+00$	$3.71\text{E}-02$	100.00

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 51 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

SPRAY Version 3.2

August 31, 1995

Spray Leak Code

Produced by Radiological & Toxicological Analysis

Westinghouse Hanford Company

Run Date = 06/11/96/

Run Time = 15:32:42.59

INPUT ECHO:

c 30% NaOH solution, 125 psi, 50C

c SPRAY Code Version 3.2 Input File

c

c MODEL OPTIONS:

c

c mode - program calculation mode

c = 1 for orifice leak

c = 2 for slit leak

c ifric - integer flag for friction factor

c = 0 for program selection

c = 1 for laminar relation

c = 2 for turbulent relation

c iopt - integer flag for flow determination

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 52 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

c = 0 for optimal diameter search given initial guess diameter and
Re

c = 1 for flow based on user specified diameter

c = 2 for flow based on user specified Reynold's number

c

c mode ifric iopt

2 1 0

c

c PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION TABLE PARAMETERS:

c

c Starting

c Particle

c Size Geometric Number of

c (um) Step Size Intervals

c

1.00000E+00 2.00000E+00 10

c

c PARAMETER INPUT:

c

c Initial Slit Slit or

c Width or Slit Orifice

c Orifice Dia. Length Depth Reynold's

c (in) (in) (in) Number

c

1.00000E-02 1.00000E+00 6.50000E-02 2.00000E+03

c

c Absolute

c Surface

c Roughness Contraction Velocity

c (in) Coefficient Coefficient

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 53 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

c Pressure 0.00006 tube 0.61 and 0.98 for sharp edge orifice

c Differential 0.0018 steel 1.00 and 0.98 for rounded orifice

c (psi) 0.0102 iron 1.00 and 0.82 for square edge orifice

c

1.25000E+02 1.80000E-03 1.00000E+00 8.20000E-01

c

c Fluid Dynamic Respirable RR Fitting

c Density Viscosity Diameter Constant

c (g/cc) (centi-poise) (μm) (q)

c

1.30880E+00 4.30000E+00 1.50000E+01 2.40000E+00

c

c Ambient Wind

c Density Speed

c (g/cc) (m/s)

c

1.22000E-03 1.50000E+00

MESSAGES:

Slit Model

Code search for optimal equivalent diameter.

Friction factor based on laminar flow.

OUTPUT:

Liquid Velocity = 7.41E+01 ft/s 2.26E+01 m/s

Reynolds Number = 8.15E+02 Laminar Flow

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 54 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

Sauter Mean Diameter = $3.44\text{E}+01$ μm Mass Median Diameter = $4.51\text{E}+01$ μm Characteristic Dia. = $5.26\text{E}+01$ μm Optimum Slit Width = $2.34\text{E}-03$ in $5.94\text{E}-05$ mRespirable Fraction = $4.81\text{E}-02$ Total Leak Rate = $5.40\text{E}-01$ gpm $3.41\text{E}-05$ m³/s $4.46\text{E}+01$ g/sRespirable Leak Rate = $2.60\text{E}-02$ gpm $1.64\text{E}-06$ m³/s $2.15\text{E}+00$ g/sJet Rise = $6.21\text{E}-01$ ft $1.89\text{E}-01$ m

Particle Diameter Sections (m)	Section Release Rate (kg/s)	Cumulative Release Rate (kg/s)	Cumulative Percent (%)
$1.00\text{E}-06$	$3.31\text{E}-06$	$3.31\text{E}-06$	0.01
$2.00\text{E}-06$	$1.41\text{E}-05$	$1.75\text{E}-05$	0.04
$4.00\text{E}-06$	$7.46\text{E}-05$	$9.21\text{E}-05$	0.21
$8.00\text{E}-06$	$3.92\text{E}-04$	$4.84\text{E}-04$	1.08
$1.60\text{E}-05$	$2.01\text{E}-03$	$2.49\text{E}-03$	5.59
$3.20\text{E}-05$	$9.19\text{E}-03$	$1.17\text{E}-02$	26.21
$6.40\text{E}-05$	$2.39\text{E}-02$	$3.56\text{E}-02$	79.89
$1.28\text{E}-04$	$8.96\text{E}-03$	$4.46\text{E}-02$	99.98
$2.56\text{E}-04$	$9.39\text{E}-06$	$4.46\text{E}-02$	100.00
$5.12\text{E}-04$	$0.00\text{E}+00$	$4.46\text{E}-02$	100.00

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 55 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

SPRAY Version 3.2August 31, 1995

Spray Leak Code

Produced by Radiological & Toxicological Analysis

Westinghouse Hanford Company

Run Date = 06/11/96/

Run Time = 15:32:43.09

INPUT ECHO:

c 40% NaOH solution, 125 psi, 50C

c SPRAY Code Version 3.2 Input File

c

c MODEL OPTIONS:

c

c mode - program calculation mode

c = 1 for orifice leak

c = 2 for slit leak

c ifric - integer flag for friction factor

c = 0 for program selection

c = 1 for laminar relation

c = 2 for turbulent relation

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 56 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

```

c iopt - integer flag for flow determination
c       = 0 for optimal diameter search given initial guess diameter and
Re
c       = 1 for flow based on user specified diameter
c       = 2 for flow based on user specified Reynold's number
c
c mode ifric iopt
c       2     1     0

```

c PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION TABLE PARAMETERS:

```

c Starting
c Particle
c Size           Geometric      Number of
c (um)           Step Size      Intervals
c
c _____
c 1.00000E+00    2.00000E+00    10

```

c PARAMETER INPUT:

```

c Initial Slit           Slit or
c Width or              Orifice
c Orifice Dia. Length    Depth      Reynold's
c (in)                 (in)        (in)      Number
c
c _____
c 1.00000E-02    1.00000E+00    6.50000E-02    2.00000E+03
c
c Absolute
c Surface
c Roughness          Contraction Velocity
c (in)              Coefficient Coefficient

```

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 57 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

c Pressure 0.00006 tube 0.61 and 0.98 for sharp edge orifice

c Differential 0.0018 steel 1.00 and 0.98 for rounded orifice

c (psi) 0.0102 iron 1.00 and 0.82 for square edge orifice

c

1.25000E+02 1.02000E-02 1.00000E+00 8.20000E-01

c

c Fluid Dynamic Respirable RR Fitting

c Density Viscosity Diameter Constant

c (g/cc) (centi-poise) (cm) (q)

c

1.40960E+00 8.50000E+00 1.40000E+01 2.40000E+00

c

c Ambient Wind

c Density Speed

c (g/cc) (m/s)

c

1.22000E-03 1.50000E+00

MESSAGES:

Slit Model

Code search for optimal equivalent diameter.

Friction factor based on laminar flow.

OUTPUT:

Liquid Velocity = 7.10E+01 ft/s 2.16E+01 m/s
Reynolds Number = 5.80E+02 Laminar Flow

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 58 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

Sauter Mean Diameter = 5.89E+01 μ m

Mass Median Diameter = 7.72E+01 μ m

Characteristic Dia. = 9.00E+01 μ m

Optimum Slit Width = 3.19E-03 in

8.11E-05 m

Respirable Fraction = 1.14E-02

Total Leak Rate = 7.06E-01 gpm

4.45E-05 m³/s

6.28E+01 g/s

Respirable Leak Rate = 8.07E-03 gpm

5.09E-07 m³/s

7.18E-01 g/s

Jet Rise = 7.50E-01 ft

2.29E-01 m

Particle Diameter Sections (m)	Section Release Rate (kg/s)	Cumulative Release Rate (kg/s)	Cumulative Percent (%)
1.00E-06	1.28E-06	1.28E-06	0.00
2.00E-06	5.48E-06	6.77E-06	0.01
4.00E-06	2.89E-05	3.57E-05	0.06
8.00E-06	1.53E-04	1.88E-04	0.30
1.60E-05	7.99E-04	9.87E-04	1.57
3.20E-05	4.05E-03	5.04E-03	8.02
6.40E-05	1.74E-02	2.24E-02	35.68
1.28E-04	3.43E-02	5.67E-02	90.26
2.56E-04	6.11E-03	6.28E-02	100.00
5.12E-04	2.91E-07	6.28E-02	100.00

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 59 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

SPRAY Version 3.2

August 31, 1995

Spray Leak Code

Produced by Radiological & Toxicological Analysis
Westinghouse Hanford Company

Run Date = 06/11/96/

Run Time = 15:41:01.37

INPUT ECHO:

c 50% solution, 125 psi, 50C

c SPRAY Code Version 3.2 Input File

c

c MODEL OPTIONS:

c

c mode - program calculation mode

c = 1 for orifice leak

c = 2 for slit leak

c ifric - integer flag for friction factor

c = 0 for program selection

c = 1 for laminar relation

c = 2 for turbulent relation

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 60 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

c iopt - integer flag for flow determination

c = 0 for optimal diameter search given initial guess diameter and
Re

c = 1 for flow based on user specified diameter

c = 2 for flow based on user specified Reynold's number

c

c mode ifric iopt

2 1 0

c

c PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION TABLE PARAMETERS:

c

c Starting

c Particle

c Size Geometric Number of

c (um) Step Size Intervals

c

1.00000E+00 2.00000E+00 10

c

c PARAMETER INPUT:

c

c Initial Slit Slit or

c Width or Slit Orifice

c Orifice Dia. Length Depth Reynold's

c (in) (in) (in) Number

c

1.00000E-02 1.00000E+00 6.50000E-02 2.00000E+03

c

c Absolute

c Surface

c Roughness Contraction Velocity

c (in) Coefficient Coefficient

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 61 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

c Pressure 0.00006 tube 0.61 and 0.98 for sharp edge orifice

c Differential 0.0018 steel 1.00 and 0.98 for rounded orifice

c (psi) 0.0102 iron 1.00 and 0.82 for square edge orifice

c

1.25000E+02 1.02000E-02 1.00000E+00 8.20000E-01

c

c Fluid Dynamic Respirable RR Fitting

c Density Viscosity Diameter Constant

c (g/cc) (centi-poise) (μm) (g)

c

1.50380E+00 1.45000E+01 1.30000E+01 2.40000E+00

c

c Ambient Wind

c Density Speed

c (g/cc) (m/s)

c

1.22000E-03 1.50000E+00

MESSAGES:

Slit Model

Code search for optimal equivalent diameter.

Friction factor based on laminar flow.

OUTPUT:

Liquid Velocity = 6.88E+01 ft/s 2.10E+01 m/s

Reynolds Number = 4.53E+02 Laminar Flow

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 62 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

Sauter Mean Diameter = $9.04E+01$ μ m
 Mass Median Diameter = $1.19E+02$ μ m
 Characteristic Dia. = $1.38E+02$ μ m
 Optimum Slit Width = $4.12E-03$ in $1.05E-04$ m
 Respirable Fraction = $3.43E-03$
 Total Leak Rate = $8.83E-01$ gpm $5.57E-05$ m³/s $8.38E+01$ g/s
 Respirable Leak Rate = $3.03E-03$ gpm $1.91E-07$ m³/s $2.88E-01$ g/s
 Jet Rise = $8.80E-01$ ft $2.68E-01$ m

Particle Diameter Sections (m)	Section Release Rate (kg/s)	Cumulative Release Rate (kg/s)	Cumulative Percent (%)
1.00E-06	6.11E-07	6.11E-07	0.00
2.00E-06	2.61E-06	3.22E-06	0.00
4.00E-06	1.38E-05	1.70E-05	0.02
8.00E-06	7.28E-05	8.98E-05	0.11
1.60E-05	3.83E-04	4.73E-04	0.56
3.20E-05	1.99E-03	2.47E-03	2.94
6.40E-05	9.75E-03	1.22E-02	14.58
1.28E-04	3.51E-02	4.73E-02	56.48
2.56E-04	3.54E-02	8.27E-02	98.76
5.12E-04	1.04E-03	8.38E-02	100.00

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 63 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

Appendix C

SPRAY32 output files for bounding concentration determination.

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 64 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

SPRAY Version 3.2

August 31, 1995

Spray Leak Code

Produced by Radiological & Toxicological Analysis
Westinghouse Hanford Company

Run Date = 06/25/96/

Run Time = 21:15:29.11

INPUT ECHO:

c 10% NaOH solution, 8 psi, 50 C

c SPRAY Code Version 3.2 Input File

c

c MODEL OPTIONS:

c

c mode - program calculation mode

c = 1 for orifice leak

c = 2 for slit leak

c ifric - integer flag for friction factor

c = 0 for program selection

c = 1 for laminar relation

c = 2 for turbulent relation

c iopt - integer flag for flow determination

c = 0 for optimal diameter search given initial guess diameter and Re

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 65 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

c = 1 for flow based on user specified diameter

c = 2 for flow based on user specified Reynold's number

c mode ifric iopt

2 1 0

c PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION TABLE PARAMETERS:

c Starting

c Particle

c Size Geometric Number of

c (um) Step Size Intervals

1.00000E+00	2.00000E+00	10
-------------	-------------	----

c PARAMETER INPUT:

c Initial Slit Slit or

c Width or Slit Orifice

c Orifice Dia. Length Depth Reynold's

c (in) (in) (in) Number

1.00000E-03	1.00000E+00	6.50000E-02	2.00000E+03
-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------

c Absolute

c Surface

c Roughness Contraction Velocity

c (in) Coefficient Coefficient

c Pressure 0.00006 tube 0.61 and 0.98 for sharp edge orifice

c Differential 0.0018 steel 1.00 and 0.98 for rounded orifice

c (psi) 0.0102 iron 1.00 and 0.82 for square edge orific

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 66 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

c	8.00000E+00	1.80000E-03	1.00000E+00	8.20000E-01
c				
c	Fluid	Dynamic	Respirable	RR Fitting
c	Density	Viscosity	Diameter	Constant
c	(g/cc)	(centi-poise)	(μ m)	(q)
c				
c	1.09420E+00	9.60000E-01	1.00000E+01	2.40000E+00
c				
c	Ambient	Wind		
c	Density	Speed		
c	(g/cc)	(m/s)		
c				
c	1.22000E-03	1.50000E+00		

MESSAGES:

Slit Model

Code search for optimal equivalent diameter.

Friction factor based on laminar flow.

OUTPUT:

Liquid Velocity = 2.04E+01 ft/s 6.21E+00 m/s

Reynolds Number = 8.15E+02 Laminar Flow

Sauter Mean Diameter = 9.28E+01 μ mMass Median Diameter = 1.22E+02 μ mCharacteristic Dia. = 1.42E+02 μ m

Optimum Slit Width = 2.27E-03 in 5.76E-05 m

Respirable Fraction = 1.72E-03

Total Leak Rate = 1.44E-01 gpm 9.10E-06 m³/s 9.95E+00 g/s

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 67 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

Respirable Leak Rate = 2.48E-04 gpm

1.57E-08 m³/s

1.71E-02 g/s

Jet Rise = 1.58E-01 ft

4.81E-02 m

Particle Diameter Sections (m)	Section Release Rate (kg/s)	Cumulative Release Rate (kg/s)	Cumulative Percent (%)
1.00E-06	6.83E-08	6.83E-08	0.00
2.00E-06	2.92E-07	3.60E-07	0.00
4.00E-06	1.54E-06	1.90E-06	0.02
8.00E-06	8.13E-06	1.00E-05	0.10
1.60E-05	4.28E-05	5.29E-05	0.53
3.20E-05	2.23E-04	2.76E-04	2.77
6.40E-05	1.10E-03	1.37E-03	13.79
1.28E-04	4.03E-03	5.40E-03	54.29
2.56E-04	4.39E-03	9.79E-03	98.40
5.12E-04	1.60E-04	9.95E-03	100.00

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 68 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

SPRAY Version 3.2

August 31, 1995

Spray Leak Code

Produced by Radiological & Toxicological Analysis

Westinghouse Hanford Company

Run Date = 06/25/96/

Run Time = 21:18:20.65

INPUT ECHO:

c 10% NaOH solution, 10 psi, 50 C

c SPRAY Code Version 3.2 Input File

c

c MODEL OPTIONS:

c

c mode - program calculation mode

c = 1 for orifice leak

c = 2 for slit leak

c ifric - integer flag for friction factor

c = 0 for program selection

c = 1 for laminar relation

c = 2 for turbulent relation

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 69 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

c iopt - integer flag for flow determination

c = 0 for optimal diameter search given initial guess diameter and

Re

c = 1 for flow based on user specified diameter

c = 2 for flow based on user specified Reynold's number

c

c mode ifric iopt

2 1 0

c PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION TABLE PARAMETERS:

c Starting

c Particle

c Size	Geometric	Number of
c (um)	Step Size	Intervals
c 1.00000E+00	2.00000E+00	10

c PARAMETER INPUT:

c Initial Slit

Slit or

c Width or Slit

Orifice

c Orifice Dia. Length

Depth

Reynold's

c (in) (in)

(in)

Number

c 1.00000E-03 1.00000E+00

1.09000E-01

2.00000E+03

c Absolute

c Surface

c Roughness

Contraction

Velocity

c (in)

Coefficient

Coefficient

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 70 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

c Pressure 0.00006 tube 0.61 and 0.98 for sharp edge orifice

c Differential 0.0018 steel 1.00 and 0.98 for rounded orifice

c (psi) 0.0102 iron 1.00 and 0.82 for square edge orifice

c

1.00000E+01	1.80000E-03	1.00000E+00	8.20000E-01
-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------

c

c Fluid	Dynamic	Respirable	RR Fitting
---------	---------	------------	------------

c Density	Viscosity	Diameter	Constant
-----------	-----------	----------	----------

c (g/cc)	(centi-poise)	(μ m)	(q)
----------	---------------	------------	-----

c

1.09420E+00	9.60000E-01	1.00000E+01	2.40000E+00
-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------

c

c Ambient	Wind
-----------	------

c Density	Speed
-----------	-------

c (g/cc)	(m/s)
----------	-------

c

1.22000E-03	1.50000E+00
-------------	-------------

MESSAGES:

Slit Model

Code search for optimal equivalent diameter.

Friction factor based on laminar flow.

OUTPUT:

Liquid Velocity = 2.28E+01 ft/s 6.94E+00 m/s

Reynolds Number = 1.11E+03 Laminar Flow

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 71 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

Sauter Mean Diameter = $1.06E+02$ μm
 Mass Median Diameter = $1.39E+02$ μm
 Characteristic Dia. = $1.62E+02$ μm
 Optimum Slit Width = $2.78E-03$ in $7.05E-05$ m
 Respirable Fraction = $1.26E-03$
 Total Leak Rate = $1.97E-01$ gpm $1.24E-05$ m³/s $1.36E+01$ g/s
 Respirable Leak Rate = $2.48E-04$ gpm $1.56E-08$ m³/s $1.71E-02$ g/s
 Jet Rise = $2.02E-01$ ft $6.15E-02$ m

Particle Diameter Sections (m)	Section Release Rate (kg/s)	Cumulative Release Rate (kg/s)	Cumulative Percent
$1.00E-06$	$6.82E-08$	$6.82E-08$	0.00
$2.00E-06$	$2.92E-07$	$3.60E-07$	0.00
$4.00E-06$	$1.54E-06$	$1.90E-06$	0.01
$8.00E-06$	$8.12E-06$	$1.00E-05$	0.07
$1.60E-05$	$4.28E-05$	$5.28E-05$	0.39
$3.20E-05$	$2.24E-04$	$2.77E-04$	2.03
$6.40E-05$	$1.12E-03$	$1.40E-03$	10.28
$1.28E-04$	$4.53E-03$	$5.93E-03$	43.58
$2.56E-04$	$7.01E-03$	$1.29E-02$	95.12
$5.12E-04$	$6.63E-04$	$1.36E-02$	100.00

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 72 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

SPRAY Version 3.2August 31, 1995

Spray Leak Code

Produced by Radiological & Toxicological Analysis

Westinghouse Hanford Company

Run Date = 06/25/96/

Run Time = 21:13:31.74

INPUT ECHO:

c 10% NaOH solution, 11 psi, 50 C

c SPRAY Code Version 3.2 Input File

c

c MODEL OPTIONS:

c

c mode - program calculation mode

c = 1 for orifice leak

c = 2 for slit leak

c ifric - integer flag for friction factor

c = 0 for program selection

c = 1 for laminar relation

c = 2 for turbulent relation

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 73 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

```

c iopt - integer flag for flow determination
c      = 0 for optimal diameter search given initial guess diameter and
Re
c      = 1 for flow based on user specified diameter
c      = 2 for flow based on user specified Reynold's number
c
c mode ifric iopt
c      2      1      0

```

PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION TABLE PARAMETERS:

```

c Starting
c Particle

```

Size (um)	Geometric Step Size	Number of Intervals
1.00000E+00	2.00000E+00	10

PARAMETER INPUT:

Initial Slit Width or Orifice Dia. (in)	Slit Length (in)	Slit or Orifice Depth (in)	Reynold's Number
1.00000E-03	1.00000E+00	1.33000E-01	2.00000E+03

Absolute Surface Roughness (in)	Contraction Coefficient	Velocity Coefficient
--	----------------------------	-------------------------

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 74 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

c Pressure 0.00006 tube 0.61 and 0.98 for sharp edge orifice

c Differential 0.0018 steel 1.00 and 0.98 for rounded orifice

c (psi) 0.0102 iron 1.00 and 0.82 for square edge orifice

c _____

1.10000E+01 1.80000E-03 1.00000E+00 8.20000E-01

c _____

c Fluid Dynamic Respirable RR Fitting

c Density Viscosity Diameter Constant

c (g/cc) (centi-poise) (μm) (q)

c _____

1.09420E+00 9.60000E-01 1.00000E+01 2.40000E+00

c _____

c Ambient Wind

c Density Speed

c (g/cc) (m/s)

c _____

1.22000E-03 1.50000E+00

MESSAGES:

Slit Model

Code search for optimal equivalent diameter.

Friction factor based on laminar flow.

OUTPUT:

Liquid Velocity = 2.40E+01 ft/s 7.30E+00 m/s

Reynolds Number = 1.27E+03 Laminar Flow

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 75 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

Sauter Mean Diameter = $1.11\text{E}+02$ μm Mass Median Diameter = $1.45\text{E}+02$ μm Characteristic Dia. = $1.69\text{E}+02$ μm Optimum Slit Width = $3.01\text{E}-03$ in $7.66\text{E}-05$ mRespirable Fraction = $1.12\text{E}-03$ Total Leak Rate = $2.25\text{E}-01$ gpm $1.42\text{E}-05$ m³/s $1.55\text{E}+01$ g/sRespirable Leak Rate = $2.53\text{E}-04$ gpm $1.60\text{E}-08$ m³/s $1.75\text{E}-02$ g/sJet Rise = $2.24\text{E}-01$ ft $6.83\text{E}-02$ m

Particle Diameter Sections (m)	Section Release Rate (kg/s)	Cumulative Release Rate (kg/s)	Cumulative Percent (%)
$1.00\text{E}-06$	$6.96\text{E}-08$	$6.96\text{E}-08$	0.00
$2.00\text{E}-06$	$2.98\text{E}-07$	$3.67\text{E}-07$	0.00
$4.00\text{E}-06$	$1.57\text{E}-06$	$1.94\text{E}-06$	0.01
$8.00\text{E}-06$	$8.29\text{E}-06$	$1.02\text{E}-05$	0.07
$1.60\text{E}-05$	$4.37\text{E}-05$	$5.39\text{E}-05$	0.35
$3.20\text{E}-05$	$2.28\text{E}-04$	$2.82\text{E}-04$	1.82
$6.40\text{E}-05$	$1.15\text{E}-03$	$1.43\text{E}-03$	9.23
$1.28\text{E}-04$	$4.78\text{E}-03$	$6.22\text{E}-03$	40.01
$2.56\text{E}-04$	$8.27\text{E}-03$	$1.45\text{E}-02$	93.26
$5.12\text{E}-04$	$1.05\text{E}-03$	$1.55\text{E}-02$	100.00

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 76 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

SPRAY Version 3.2

August 31, 1995

Spray Leak Code

Produced by Radiological & Toxicological Analysis

Westinghouse Hanford Company

Run Date = 06/25/96/

Run Time = 21:25:29.34

INPUT ECHO:

c 10% NaOH solution, 13 psi, 50 C

c SPRAY Code Version 3.2 Input File

c

c MODEL OPTIONS:

c

c mode - program calculation mode

c = 1 for orifice leak

c = 2 for slit leak

c ifric - integer flag for friction factor

c = 0 for program selection

c = 1 for laminar relation

c = 2 for turbulent relation

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 77 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

c iopt = integer flag for flow determination

c = 0 for optimal diameter search given initial guess diameter and
 Re

c = 1 for flow based on user specified diameter

c = 2 for flow based on user specified Reynold's number

c

c mode ifric iopt

2 1 0

c

c PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION TABLE PARAMETERS:

c

c Starting

c Particle

c Size	Geometric	Number of
c (um)	Step Size	Intervals

c

1.00000E+00	2.00000E+00	10
-------------	-------------	----

c

c PARAMETER INPUT:

c

c Initial Slit	Slit or		
c Width or	Slit	Orifice	
c Orifice Dia.	Length	Depth	Reynold's
c (in)	(in)	(in)	Number
1.00000E-03	1.00000E+00	1.79000E-01	2.00000E+03

c

c Absolute

c Surface

c Roughness Contraction Velocity

c (in) Coefficient Coefficient

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 78 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

c Pressure 0.00006 tube 0.61 and 0.98 for sharp edge orifice

c Differential 0.0018 steel 1.00 and 0.98 for rounded orifice

c (psi) 0.0102 iron 1.00 and 0.82 for square edge orifice

c

1.30000E+01 1.80000E-03 1.00000E+00 8.20000E-01

c

c Fluid Dynamic Respirable RR Fitting

c Density Viscosity Diameter Constant

c (g/cc) (centi-poise) (μ m) (q)

c

1.09420E+00 9.60000E-01 1.00000E+01 2.40000E+00

c

c Ambient Wind

c Density Speed

c (g/cc) (m/s)

c

1.22000E-03 1.50000E+00

MESSAGES:

Slit Model

Code search for optimal equivalent diameter.

Friction factor based on laminar flow.

OUTPUT:

Liquid Velocity = 2.59E+01 ft/s 7.89E+00 m/s

Reynolds Number = 1.51E+03 Laminar Flow

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 79 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

Sauter Mean Diameter = $1.15\text{E}+02$ μm Mass Median Diameter = $1.51\text{E}+02$ μm Characteristic Dia. = $1.76\text{E}+02$ μm Optimum Slit Width = $3.32\text{E}-03$ in $8.43\text{E}-05$ mRespirable Fraction = $1.03\text{E}-03$ Total Leak Rate = $2.68\text{E}-01$ gpm $1.69\text{E}-05$ m³/s $1.85\text{E}+01$ g/sRespirable Leak Rate = $2.75\text{E}-04$ gpm $1.74\text{E}-08$ m³/s $1.90\text{E}-02$ g/sJet Rise = $2.58\text{E}-01$ ft $7.88\text{E}-02$ m

Particle Diameter Sections (m)	Section Release Rate (kg/s)	Cumulative Release Rate (kg/s)	Cumulative Percent (%)
$1.00\text{E}-06$	$7.57\text{E}-08$	$7.57\text{E}-08$	0.00
$2.00\text{E}-06$	$3.24\text{E}-07$	$3.99\text{E}-07$	0.00
$4.00\text{E}-06$	$1.71\text{E}-06$	$2.11\text{E}-06$	0.01
$8.00\text{E}-06$	$9.01\text{E}-06$	$1.11\text{E}-05$	0.06
$1.60\text{E}-05$	$4.75\text{E}-05$	$5.86\text{E}-05$	0.32
$3.20\text{E}-05$	$2.49\text{E}-04$	$3.07\text{E}-04$	1.66
$6.40\text{E}-05$	$1.26\text{E}-03$	$1.57\text{E}-03$	8.46
$1.28\text{E}-04$	$5.33\text{E}-03$	$6.90\text{E}-03$	37.28
$2.56\text{E}-04$	$1.00\text{E}-02$	$1.69\text{E}-02$	91.47
$5.12\text{E}-04$	$1.58\text{E}-03$	$1.85\text{E}-02$	100.00

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126
Sheet No. 80 of 94
Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

SPRAY Version 3.2August 31, 1995

Spray Leak Code

Produced by Radiological & Toxicological Analysis

Westinghouse Hanford Company

Run Date = 06/25/96/

Run Time = 21:24:45.95

INPUT ECHO:

c 10% NaOH solution, 15 psi, 50 C

c SPRAY Code Version 3.2 Input File

c

c MODEL OPTIONS:

c

c mode - program calculation mode

c = 1 for orifice leak

c = 2 for slit leak

c ifric - integer flag for friction factor

c = 0 for program selection

c = 1 for laminar relation

c = 2 for turbulent relation

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 81 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

c iopt = integer flag for flow determination

c = 0 for optimal diameter search given initial guess diameter and

Re = Reynolds number for initial guess diameter

c = 1 for flow based on user specified diameter

c = 2 for flow based on user specified Reynold's number

c

c mode ifric iopt

2 1 0

c

c PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION TABLE PARAMETERS:

c

c Starting

c Particle

c Size Geometric Number of

c (um) Step Size Intervals

c

1.00000E+00	2.00000E+00	10
-------------	-------------	----

c

c PARAMETER INPUT:

c

c Initial Slit Slit or

c Width or Slit Orifice

c Orifice Dia. Length Depth Reynold's

c (in) (in) (in) Number

c

1.00000E-03	1.00000E+00	2.50000E-01	2.00000E+03
-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------

c

c Absolute

c Surface

c Roughness Contraction Velocity

c (in) Coefficient Coefficient

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 82 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

c Pressure 0.00006 tube 0.61 and 0.98 for sharp edge orifice

c Differential 0.0018 steel 1.00 and 0.98 for rounded orifice

c (psi) 0.0102 iron 1.00 and 0.82 for square edge orifice

c

1.50000E+01 1.80000E-03 1.00000E+00 8.20000E-01

c

c Fluid Dynamic Respirable RR Fitting

c Density Viscosity Diameter Constant

c (g/cc) (centi-poise) (μ m) (g)

c

1.09420E+00 9.60000E-01 1.00000E+01 2.40000E+00

c

c Ambient Wind

c Density Speed

c (g/cc) (m/s)

c

1.22000E-03 1.50000E+00

MESSAGES:

Slit Model

Code search for optimal equivalent diameter.

Friction factor based on laminar flow.

OUTPUT:

Liquid Velocity = 2.80E+01 ft/s 8.54E+00 m/s

Reynolds Number = 1.89E+03 Laminar Flow

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 83 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

Sauter Mean Diameter = $1.26\text{E}+02$ μm
 Mass Median Diameter = $1.66\text{E}+02$ μm
 Characteristic Dia. = $1.93\text{E}+02$ μm
 Optimum Slit Width = $3.83\text{E}-03$ in $9.74\text{E}-05$ m
 Respirable Fraction = $8.20\text{E}-04$
 Total Leak Rate = $3.35\text{E}-01$ gpm $2.11\text{E}-05$ m³/s $2.31\text{E}+01$ g/s
 Respirable Leak Rate = $2.74\text{E}-04$ gpm $1.73\text{E}-08$ m³/s $1.89\text{E}-02$ g/s
 Jet Rise = $3.07\text{E}-01$ ft $9.37\text{E}-02$ m

Particle Diameter Sections (m)	Section Release Rate (kg/s)	Cumulative Release Rate (kg/s)	Cumulative Percent (%)
$1.00\text{E}-06$	$7.54\text{E}-08$	$7.54\text{E}-08$	0.00
$2.00\text{E}-06$	$3.23\text{E}-07$	$3.98\text{E}-07$	0.00
$4.00\text{E}-06$	$1.70\text{E}-06$	$2.10\text{E}-06$	0.01
$8.00\text{E}-06$	$8.98\text{E}-06$	$1.11\text{E}-05$	0.05
$1.60\text{E}-05$	$4.74\text{E}-05$	$5.84\text{E}-05$	0.25
$3.20\text{E}-05$	$2.48\text{E}-04$	$3.07\text{E}-04$	1.33
$6.40\text{E}-05$	$1.27\text{E}-03$	$1.57\text{E}-03$	6.82
$1.28\text{E}-04$	$5.61\text{E}-03$	$7.18\text{E}-03$	31.10
$2.56\text{E}-04$	$1.27\text{E}-02$	$1.99\text{E}-02$	86.00
$5.12\text{E}-04$	$3.23\text{E}-03$	$2.31\text{E}-02$	100.00

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126
Sheet No. 84 of 94
Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

SPRAY Version 3.2August 31, 1995

Spray Leak Code

Produced by Radiological & Toxicological Analysis

Westinghouse Hanford Company

Run Date = 06/27/96/

Run Time = 13:18:10.96

INPUT ECHO:

c 10% NaOH solution, 17 psi, 50 C

c SPRAY Code Version 3.2 Input File

c

c MODEL OPTIONS:

c

c mode - program calculation mode

c = 1 for orifice leak

c = 2 for slit leak

c ifric - integer flag for friction factor

c = 0 for program selection

c = 1 for laminar relation

c = 2 for turbulent relation

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 85 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

c iopt - integer flag for flow determination

c = 0 for optimal diameter search given initial guess diameter and
Re

c = 1 for flow based on user specified diameter

c = 2 for flow based on user specified Reynold's number

c mode ifric iopt

2 1 0

c PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION TABLE PARAMETERS:

c Starting

c Particle

c Size Geometric Number of

c (um) Step Size Intervals

c 1.00000E+00 2.00000E+00 10

c PARAMETER INPUT:

c Initial Slit Slit or

c Width or Slit Orifice

c Orifice Dia. Length Depth Reynold's

c (in) (in) (in) Number

c 1.00000E-03 1.00000E+00 3.58000E-01 2.00000E+03

c Absolute

c Surface

c Roughness Contraction Velocity

c (in) Coefficient Coefficient

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 86 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

c Pressure 0.00006 tube 0.61 and 0.98 for sharp edge orifice

c Differential 0.0018 steel 1.00 and 0.98 for rounded orifice

c (psi) 0.0102 iron 1.00 and 0.82 for square edge orifice

c

1.70000E+01 1.80000E-03 1.00000E+00 8.20000E-01

c

c Fluid Dynamic Respirable RR Fitting

c Density Viscosity Diameter Constant

c (g/cc) (centi-poise) (μ m) (g)

c

1.09420E+00 9.60000E-01 1.00000E+01 2.40000E+00

c

c Ambient Wind

c Density Speed

c (g/cc) (m/s)

c

1.22000E-03 1.50000E+00

MESSAGES:

Slit Model

Code search for optimal equivalent diameter.

Friction factor based on laminar flow.

OUTPUT:

Liquid Velocity = 2.97E+01 ft/s 9.05E+00 m/s

Reynolds Number = 2.31E+03 Critical Flow

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 87 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

Sauter Mean Diameter = $1.41\text{E}+02$ μm Mass Median Diameter = $1.85\text{E}+02$ μm Characteristic Dia. = $2.16\text{E}+02$ μm Optimum Slit Width = $4.42\text{E}-03$ in $1.12\text{E}-04$ mRespirable Fraction = $6.28\text{E}-04$ Total Leak Rate = $4.09\text{E}-01$ gpm $2.58\text{E}-05$ m³/s $2.82\text{E}+01$ g/sRespirable Leak Rate = $2.57\text{E}-04$ gpm $1.62\text{E}-08$ m³/s $1.77\text{E}-02$ g/sJet Rise = $3.58\text{E}-01$ ft $1.09\text{E}-01$ m

Particle Diameter Sections (m)	Section Release Rate (kg/s)	Cumulative Release Rate (kg/s)	Cumulative Percent (%)
1.00E-06	7.07E-08	7.07E-08	0.00
2.00E-06	3.02E-07	3.73E-07	0.00
4.00E-06	1.60E-06	1.97E-06	0.01
8.00E-06	8.42E-06	1.04E-05	0.04
1.60E-05	4.44E-05	5.48E-05	0.19
3.20E-05	2.33E-04	2.88E-04	1.02
6.40E-05	1.20E-03	1.49E-03	5.26
1.28E-04	5.53E-03	7.01E-03	24.83
2.56E-04	1.50E-02	2.20E-02	77.83
5.12E-04	6.25E-03	2.82E-02	99.96

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 88 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

SPRAY Version 3.2

August 31, 1995

Spray Leak Code

Produced by Radiological & Toxicological Analysis

Westinghouse Hanford Company

Run Date = 06/25/96/

Run Time = 21:30:53.51

INPUT ECHO:

c 10% NaOH solution, 18 psi, 50 C

c SPRAY Code Version 3.2 Input File

c

c MODEL OPTIONS:

c

c mode - program calculation mode

c = 1 for orifice leak

c = 2 for slit leak

c ifric - integer flag for friction factor

c = 0 for program selection

c = 1 for laminar relation

c = 2 for turbulent relation

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 89 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

c iopt = integer flag for flow determination

c = 0 for optimal diameter search given initial guess diameter and

Re

c = 1 for flow based on user specified diameter

c = 2 for flow based on user specified Reynold's number

c

c mode ifric iopt

2 1 0

c

c PARTICLE SIZE DISTRIBUTION TABLE PARAMETERS:

c

c Starting

c Particle

c Size	c Geometric	c Number of
c (um)	c Step Size	c Intervals
c 1.00000E+00	c 2.00000E+00	c 10

c

c PARAMETER INPUT:

c

c Initial Slit	c Slit or		
c Width or	c Slit	c Orifice	
c Orifice Dia.	c Length	c Depth	c Reynold's
c (in)	c (in)	c (in)	c Number
c 1.00000E-03	c 1.00000E+00	c 3.90500E-01	c 2.00000E+03

c

c	c Absolute		
c	c Surface		
c	c Roughness	c Contraction	c Velocity
c	c (in)	c Coefficient	c Coefficient

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 90 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

c Pressure 0.00006 tube 0.61 and 0.98 for sharp edge orifice

c Differential 0.0018 steel 1.00 and 0.98 for rounded orifice

c (psi) 0.0102 iron 1.00 and 0.82 for square edge orifice

c

1.80000E+01 1.80000E-03 1.00000E+00 8.20000E-01

c

c Fluid Dynamic Respirable RR Fitting

c Density Viscosity Diameter Constant

c (g/cc) (centi-poise) (μm) (g)

c

1.09420E+00 9.60000E-01 1.00000E+01 2.40000E+00

c

c Ambient Wind

c Density Speed

c (g/cc) (m/s)

c

1.22000E-03 1.50000E+00

MESSAGES:

Slit Model

Code search for optimal equivalent diameter.

Friction factor based on laminar flow.

OUTPUT:

Liquid Velocity = 3.06E+01 ft/s 9.32E+00 m/s

Reynolds Number = 2.45E+03 Critical Flow

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 91 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

Sauter Mean Diameter = $1.42\text{E}+02$ æm
 Mass Median Diameter = $1.87\text{E}+02$ æm
 Characteristic Dia. = $2.17\text{E}+02$ æm
 Optimum Slit Width = $4.55\text{E}-03$ in $1.16\text{E}-04$ m
 Respirable Fraction = $6.18\text{E}-04$
 Total Leak Rate = $4.34\text{E}-01$ gpm $2.74\text{E}-05$ m³/s $3.00\text{E}+01$ g/s
 Respirable Leak Rate = $2.68\text{E}-04$ gpm $1.69\text{E}-08$ m³/s $1.85\text{E}-02$ g/s
 Jet Rise = $3.76\text{E}-01$ ft $1.15\text{E}-01$ m

Particle Diameter Sections (m)	Section Release Rate (kg/s)	Cumulative Release Rate (kg/s)	Cumulative Percent (%)
$1.00\text{E}-06$	$7.37\text{E}-08$	$7.37\text{E}-08$	0.00
$2.00\text{E}-06$	$3.15\text{E}-07$	$3.89\text{E}-07$	0.00
$4.00\text{E}-06$	$1.66\text{E}-06$	$2.05\text{E}-06$	0.01
$8.00\text{E}-06$	$8.78\text{E}-06$	$1.08\text{E}-05$	0.04
$1.60\text{E}-05$	$4.63\text{E}-05$	$5.72\text{E}-05$	0.19
$3.20\text{E}-05$	$2.43\text{E}-04$	$3.00\text{E}-04$	1.00
$6.40\text{E}-05$	$1.25\text{E}-03$	$1.55\text{E}-03$	5.18
$1.28\text{E}-04$	$5.78\text{E}-03$	$7.33\text{E}-03$	24.48
$2.56\text{E}-04$	$1.58\text{E}-02$	$2.32\text{E}-02$	77.28
$5.12\text{E}-04$	$6.79\text{E}-03$	$2.99\text{E}-02$	99.96

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126
Sheet No. 92 of 94
Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

Appendix D

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 93 of 94

Rev. 0

10:58 509 373 4095

2750E 1.0081

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

007

ANA CORP/ BOSTON

46E D 2621668 0001507 0 E-14-05

Green Cross Linked

TUBE REINFORCEMENT COVER

COLOR

TEMPERATURE RANGE

TYPE OF BRANDING

SUCTION

Cross Linked Polyethylene.
2 textile braids—helical wire.

EPDM.

Green.

-45°F to +150°F.

Impression.

Full vacuum.

WORKING PRESSURE TYPE OF COUPLING

WARNING
Consult with the coupling manufacturer to make sure you choose the correct coupling for the application and proper assembly. See coupling section, page 54, for further safety information.

100-150 PSI*

Non-Removable
(Winged, Cam and Groove,
or Combination Nipple)
Clamps—Interlocking,
Single Bolt, Double Bolt,
Band, or Wire
* Depends on Coupling.

Features

- EPDM cover

• Clear cross linked
polyethylene tube

- Continuous printed brand/
green cover
- Smooth bore

- Serialized Lengths

Benefits

- Chemical and ozone
resistant—longer
hose life

- Chemical, petroleum,
and solvent resistant.
Won't contaminate or
discolor fluids.

- Easy Identification

- Rapid fluid flow
- Easy to clean

- Safety and
maintenance records

Markets

Chemical/Petroleum Industry

Lumber/Woodworking

Plywood Mill

Pulp Processing

Tank Truck

Railroad Tank Car

Waste Hauling

Applications

Transfer of acids, chemi-
cals, solvents, and
petroleum products.Transfer chemicals and
solvents for processing
products.Loading or unloading
—pumping, suction, or
gravity flow discharge.

CODE	NOMINAL I.D.		BRAID	NOMINAL O.D.		APPROXIMATE WEIGHT POUNDS PER 100 FT.	MAXIMUM RECOMMENDED WORKING PRESS. (PSI)	MINIMUM RECOMMENDED BEND RADIUS O.D.	STANDARD TEST
	(IN.)	(O.D.)		(IN.)	(O.D.)				
43-0376-36	1	25.4	2	1 1/4	42.9	72	150	5	127.0
43-0378-14	1 1/2	31.8	2	1 3/4	46.4	86	150	8	203.2
43-0378-40	1 1/2	36.1	2	2 1/4	56.7	121	150	8	203.2
43-0378-42	2	50.8	2	2 1/2	70.6	146	150	9	228.6
43-0378-43	3	76.2	2	3 1/2	97.6	252	150	16	406.4
43-0378-44	4	101.6	2	4 1/2	122.2	348	100	21	533.4

WARNING
Before using any hoses in this section consult the
chemical resistance chart and safety section on pages 62
through 63.

30

ANA CORP/BOSTON INDUSTRIAL PRODUCTS DIV

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Calculation No. S-CLC-G-00126

Sheet No. 94 of 94

Rev. 0

Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms

This page intentionally left blank.

DISTRIBUTION SHEET

To	From		Page 1 of 1	
Distribution	G. W. Ryan		Date 7/23/96	
Project Title/Work Order			EDT No. N/A	
Analysis of NaOH Releases for Hanford Tank Farms.			ECN No. 602623	

Name	MSIN	Text With All Attach.	Text Only	Attach./ Appendix Only	EDT/ECN Only
C. Carro	A2-34	X			
D. S. Leach	A3-34	X			
TWRS S & L Project Files (2)	A2-26	X			
G. W. Ryan	A3-37	X			
Central Files (Original + 1)	A3-88	X			