Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy
Federal Energy Management Program

How to Buy an Energy-Efficient Distribution Transformer

Why Agencies Should Buy Efficient Products

- Section 161 of the Energy Policy Act of 1992 (EPACT) encourages energy-efficient federal procurement. Executive Order 12902 and FAR section 23.704 direct agencies to purchase products in the upper 25% of energy efficiency.
- Agencies that use these guidelines to buy efficient products can realize substantial operating cost savings and help prevent pollution.
- As the world's largest consumer, the federal government can help "pull" the entire U.S. market towards greater energy efficiency, while saving taxpayer dollars.

Federal Supply Source:

- Defense Supply Center, Columbus
Phone: (614) 692-3236

For More Information:

- DOE's Federal Energy Management Program (FEMP) Help Desk and World Wide Web site have up-to-date information on energy-efficient federal procurement, including the latest versions of these recommendations.
Phone: (800) 363-3732
<http://www.eren.doe.gov/femp/procurement>
- National Electrical Manufacturers Association (NEMA) publishes "Standard Publication TP-1-1996: Guide for Determining Energy Efficiency for Distribution Transformers."
To order: (800) 854-7179
- Consortium for Energy Efficiency (CEE) provides information on utility programs promoting energy-efficient transformers.
Phone: (617) 589-3949
- Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) will soon have ENERGY STAR® product listings. EPA also provides CITCEM, computer software that performs cost-effectiveness analyses for transformers.
Phone: (888) 782-7937
<http://www.epa.gov/appdstar/transform>
- Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory provided supporting analysis for this recommendation.
Phone: (202) 484-0880

Efficiency Recommendation

Single Phase Percent Efficiency			
Rated Capacity (kVA)	Low Voltage	Medium Voltage	
	Recommended Level	Recommended Level (Dry)	Recommended Level (Liquid)
10	—	—	98.3 or more
15	97.9 or more	97.6 or more	98.5 or more
25	98.0 or more	97.9 or more	98.7 or more
37.5	98.2 or more	98.1 or more	98.8 or more
50	98.3 or more	98.2 or more	98.9 or more
75	98.5 or more	98.4 or more	99.0 or more
100	98.6 or more	98.5 or more	99.0 or more
167	98.7 or more	98.7 or more	99.1 or more
250	98.8 or more	98.8 or more	99.2 or more
333	98.9 or more	98.9 or more	99.2 or more
500	—	99.0 or more	99.3 or more
667	—	99.0 or more	99.4 or more
833	—	99.1 or more	99.4 or more
Three Phase Percent Efficiency			
15	97.0 or more	96.8 or more	98.0 or more
30	97.5 or more	97.3 or more	98.3 or more
45	97.7 or more	97.6 or more	98.5 or more
75	98.0 or more	97.9 or more	98.7 or more
112.5	98.2 or more	98.1 or more	98.8 or more
150	98.3 or more	98.2 or more	98.9 or more
225	98.5 or more	98.4 or more	99.0 or more
300	98.6 or more	98.5 or more	99.0 or more
500	98.7 or more	98.7 or more	99.1 or more
750	98.8 or more	98.8 or more	99.2 or more
1000	98.9 or more	98.9 or more	99.2 or more
1500	—	99.0 or more	99.3 or more
2000	—	99.0 or more	99.4 or more
2500	—	99.1 or more	99.4 or more

Definitions

Energy efficiency of distribution transformers is defined by NEMA's "Standard Publication TP-1" as output kVA divided by the sum of output kVA plus losses, at a specified percent load and reference temperature.

Low voltage transformers have a primary voltage of 1200 volts or less; efficiency is measured at 35% of nameplate load, at 75°C.

Medium voltage transformers have a primary voltage greater than 1200 volts; efficiency is measured at 50% of nameplate load, at 75°C for dry-type transformers and 85°C for liquid-filled.

Liquid-filled transformers typically use oil as a combination coolant and insulating medium. They are generally higher in efficiency, but are most frequently installed outside.

MASTER

The federal supply source for distribution transformers is the Defense Logistics Agency (DLA)'s Defense Supply Center Columbus (DSCC). Whether you buy from DLA/DSCC or use a commercial supplier or contractor (e.g., an A&E firm), be sure to specify or select a transformer that meets the Efficiency Recommendation for that capacity and type.

The efficiency levels in this Recommendation are the same as those in NEMA's TP-1 standard (see "For More Information"). Additionally, the EPA/DOE ENERGY STAR program identifies efficient low-voltage distribution transformers with the ENERGY STAR® label. Complying models meet the same efficiency criteria specified in this Recommendation (and TP-1).

Safety codes require that indoor transformer installations meet stringent fire-protection and spill containment conditions. Some "less-flammable liquid-filled" transformers can meet code requirements for indoor uses when applicable fire protection and spill containment conditions are met.

Many types of modern office equipment and devices (personal computers, printers, copiers, fax machines, electronic lighting ballasts) and some industrial equipment (adjustable speed motor drives) impose non-linear loads, which can introduce power wave form distortion. If your transformer serves equipment such as these, consider using a "K-rated" or "drive isolation" transformer designed for specific types of non-linear loads. Simply replacing an existing transformer with a standard unit rated at a higher kVA level is generally not a good approach for these applications. Both first cost and operating cost are frequently higher with larger capacity standard units, which are not designed to accommodate harmonics and other problems imposed by non-linear loads.

Where To Find Energy-Efficient Distribution Transformers

Buyer Tips

Transformer Cost-Effectiveness Examples

1500 kVA, Three Phase, Medium Voltage Dry-Type

Performance	Base Model	Recommended Level
Efficiency	98.6%	99.0%
Annual Energy Loss	91,380 kWh	66,360 kWh
Annual Energy Loss Cost	\$5,480	\$3,980
Lifetime Energy Loss Cost	\$74,900	\$54,400
Lifetime Energy Cost Savings	-	\$20,500

25 kVA, Single Phase, Low Voltage

Efficiency	96.7%	98.0%
Annual Energy Loss	2,600 kWh	1,570 kWh
Annual Energy Loss Cost	\$156	\$94
Lifetime Energy Loss Cost	\$2,130	\$1,290
Lifetime Energy Cost Savings	-	\$840

Definitions

Annual Energy Loss is based on 50% of nameplate load in the medium voltage example (top), and 35% of nameplate load in the low voltage example (bottom).

Lifetime Energy Cost Savings is the sum of the discounted value of annual energy cost savings, based on average usage and an assumed transformer life of 25 years. Future electricity price trends and a discount rate of 4.1% are based on federal guidelines (effective from April, 1998 to March, 1999). The assumed electricity price is 6¢/kWh, the 1996 federal average electricity price in the U.S.

Using the Cost-Effectiveness Table

In the example shown above, a 1500 kVA, three phase, medium voltage transformer at the Recommended efficiency level of 99.0% is cost-effective if its purchase price is no more than \$20,500 above the price of the Base Model. Similarly in the 25 kVA, single phase, low voltage example, the Recommended model, with an efficiency of 98.0%, is cost-effective if its purchase price is no more than \$840 above the price of the Base Model.

EPA's software analysis program, *Commercial and Industrial Transformer Cost Evaluation Model (CITCEM)*, can assist in evaluating the cost-effectiveness of transformers for both new and replacement applications (see "For More Information").

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.