Task 1.13 - Data Collection and Database Development for Clean Coal Technology By-Product Characteristics and Management Practices

**Semi-Annual Report
July 1 - December 31, 1996**

**By
Debra F. Pflughoeft-Hassett**

**RECEIVED
AUG 13 1997
OSTI**

Work Performed Under Contract No.: DE-FC21-93MC30097

For
U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Fossil Energy
Morgantown Energy Technology Center
P.O. Box 880
Morgantown, West Virginia 26507-0880

By
Energy and Environmental Research Center
University of North Dakota
P. O. Box 9018
Grand Forks, North Dakota 58202-9018

19980313 042

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

MASTER

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 4

Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0	INTRODUCTION	1
2.0	GOALS AND OBJECTIVES	1
3.0	STATEMENT OF WORK	2
3.1	Subtask 1 – Collect Data on PFBC and Gasification Systems	2
3.2	Subtask 2 – Assemble CCT By-Product Management Information	2
3.3	Subtask 3 – Finalize EERC Report of Barriers to CCB Utilization	2
3.4	Subtask 4 – Develop a CCT By-Product Workshop	2
4.0	ACCOMPLISHMENTS	2

TASK 1.13 - DATA COLLECTION AND DATABASE DEVELOPMENT FOR CLEAN COAL TECHNOLOGY BY-PRODUCT CHARACTERISTICS AND MANAGEMENT PRACTICES

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The U.S. Department of Energy Federal Energy Technology Center's (DOE FETC) efforts in the areas of fossil fuels and clean coal technology have included involvement with both conventional and advanced process coal conversion by-products. In 1993, DOE submitted a Report to Congress on "Barriers to the Increased Utilization of Coal Combustion/Desulfurization By-products by Governmental and Commercial Sectors," which provided an outline of activities to remove the barriers identified in the report. DOE charged itself with participation in this process, and the work proposed in this document facilitates DOE's response to its own recommendations for action. The work reflects DOE's commitment to the coal combustion by-product (CCB) industry, to the advancement of clean coal technology (CCT), and to cooperation with other government agencies.

Information from DOE projects and commercial endeavors in fluidized-bed combustion (FBC) and coal gasification is the focus of this task by the Energy & Environmental Research Center (EERC). The primary goal is to provide an easily accessible compilation of characterization information on the by-products from these processes to government agencies and industry to facilitate sound regulatory and management decisions. Additional written documentation will facilitate the preparation of an updated final version of background information collected for DOE in preparation of the Report to Congress on barriers to CCB utilization.

The information assembled will be valuable to the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) in its upcoming decision on the waste status of FBC by-products and must be submitted to EPA in April 1997. This effort facilitates interaction between DOE and industry regarding input to EPA. DOE FETC's efforts to provide this type of information to EPA is consistent with the recommendation in the DOE Report to Congress on ash barriers that a determination placing CCT by-products under Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Subtitle D for solid wastes is needed if these materials are to be utilized. Since several DOE projects have already investigated utilization of these materials, it is an opportunity to give this information the emphasis it deserves.

2.0 GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

The primary goal of this task is to provide an easily accessible compilation of characterization information on CCT by-products to government agencies and industry to facilitate sound regulatory and management decisions. Supporting objectives are 1) to fully utilize information from previous DOE projects, 2) to coordinate with industry and other research groups, 3) to focus on by-products from pressurized fluidized-bed combustion (PFBC) and gasification, and 4) to provide information relevant to the EPA evaluation criteria for the Phase II Bevill decision.

3.0 STATEMENT OF WORK

3.1 Subtask 1 – Collect Data on PFBC and Gasification Systems

Through literature, industrial and government contacts, and the research community, additional by-product and process data on PFBC systems and gasification systems will be collected and added to the database. Projects supported by DOE are expected to provide significant data for this subtask. It is anticipated that additional contacts, sources, and information will need to be developed through the performance of a literature search, through trade associations, and through personal contacts at utility and industrial plants with these systems in place.

3.2 Subtask 2 – Assemble CCT Byproduct Management Information

CCT by-products are relatively new to the CCB utilization industry, so the information collected in Subtask 1 may not include well-developed by-product management information. A specific effort will be made to collect and include management options for PFBC and gasification by-products. Using all contacts and sources developed in the previous subtasks, these options will be identified with the intent to collect specific information on by-product utilization examples, demonstrations, and commercial applications.

3.3 Subtask 3 – Finalize EERC Report on Barriers to CCB Utilization

The Energy & Environmental Research Center (EERC) assembled comprehensive information on CCB production, characteristics, and management options at the request of FETC prior to the submittal of DOE's Report to Congress on Barriers to the Increased Utilization of Coal Combustion/Desulfurization By-Products by Governmental and Commercial Sectors. The draft EERC report focuses on utilization of CCBs and will have broad applicability for regulatory agencies and CCB users. This report requires revision prior to its being published by FETC, and these revisions will be accomplished according to review comments previously received from FETC. Since this report was originally prepared in 1993, it is also proposed to add an update to the report. This addition to the report will summarize 1) current production and management statistics, 2) regulatory and legislative activities involving CCBs, 3) impacts of technology changes, and 4) new utilization options and standards and specifications.

3.4 Subtask 4 – Develop a CCT By-Product Workshop

Following the collection and submittal of the information on CCT by-products, the EERC will work with DOE and industry to develop a workshop designed to inform regulatory agencies and potential users about the properties and management options for CCT by-products.

4.0 ACCOMPLISHMENTS

This subtask has been under way since late October 1996. Since that time, the project staff has contacted several industry groups to ascertain the exact information needed to facilitate efforts already under way and to avoid any duplication of effort. The Council of Industrial Boiler Owners (CIBO) was contacted and assisted in refinement of the work plan. CIBO is collecting similar

information on commercial FBC systems and has agreed to include the information developed in this effort in its database. The EERC will share any information appropriate to CIBO's effort in order to facilitate the effort relative to commercial FBC systems.

General documents on PFBC and gasification were obtained, and a review of these documents was begun. Several phases of a literature search were completed. These searches included searches of the University of North Dakota and EERC library resources; EERC publications and documents, including many prepared for DOE; and the Internet of DOE and EPA sources. These literature searches are currently under review, and specific documents will be obtained as deemed appropriate. A final literature search option will consist primarily of the computer literature search of the National Technical Information Service (NTIS) database. Because of the long time period frequently involved for obtaining documents from NTIS, the DOE FETC Technical Contact may be requested to facilitate the receipt of some documents. Information from uncompleted projects performed at the EERC has been reviewed, and the appropriate DOE person will be contacted to get this information released. At this time, the information is not being limited to full-scale CCT facilities because of the limited number of them in operation, so it is expected that laboratory- and pilot-scale information will be included in the report to EPA.

Two utilities have volunteered to provide information on CCT by-product production and management. An information request document was prepared to facilitate their participation. The information document is attached. Continued industry contacts are planned for all full-scale PFBC and gasification facilities.

ATTACHMENT

**CLEAN COAL TECHNOLOGY BY-PRODUCT
CHARACTERISTICS AND MANGEMENT PRACTICES
INFORMATION REQUIREMENTS**

CLEAN COAL TECHNOLOGY BY-PRODUCT CHARACTERISTICS AND MANGEMENT PRACTICES INFORMATION REQUIREMENTS

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) has expressed a commitment to working with industry and other government agencies to reduce barriers to utilization of by-products of coal combustion and advanced coal technologies. As DOE recommended in its 1994 Report to Congress, information on by-products from advanced coal technologies needs to be provided to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) so a determination can be made on their status under the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA). The Energy & Environmental Research Center (EERC) is the subcontractor to DOE in an effort to collect information on by-products from pressurized fluidized-bed combustion and gasification. The EERC seeks information on these by-products to address EPA's Bevill Amendment Waste Evaluation Criteria, which will be used in its regulatory determination. The criteria are as follows:

- Source and volumes generated per year
- Current disposal and utilization practices for the waste
- Potential danger to human health and environment from disposal and use
- Documented cases of the danger of surface runoff or leachate
- Alternatives to current disposal methods
- Costs of alternatives
- Current and potential uses for the waste

The information listed below is requested from your company for inclusion in a database of information on advanced coal technologies that will be submitted to EPA for evaluation.

INFORMATION NEEDED FOR CLEAN COAL TECHNOLOGY BY-PRODUCTS

- A description of the advanced coal technology being utilized and a process flowchart, including fuel and additive introduction, by-product discharge locations, process recycling functions, gas cleanup systems, entrained particulate removal, and materials recovery.
- A list of the design fuel(s), including start-up, auxiliary, and supplemental fuels and additives for the process and the quantities. Please include typical ranges of characteristics for the fuels and additives (i.e., for coal include proximate-ultimate analysis results and ash fusion temperatures).
- A list of the high-volume by-products generated in the process, quantities produced, and a range of characteristics for each by-product. Please include the following information if possible:
 - Description of the appearance of the by-product and/or color photograph of the bulk material.
 - Physical properties, including appearance, specific gravity, bulk density, particle-size distribution, moisture content, and maximum dry density.

- Bulk chemical composition, including major inorganic constituents (silicon, aluminum, iron, calcium, magnesium, sodium, potassium, and sulfur); trace constituents, including metals (at minimum arsenic, barium, cadmium, chromium, lead, mercury, selenium, and silver); dioxins and furans; volatile organics; semivolatile organics; pesticides; polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs); radionuclides; carbon content; and pH.
- Leachate chemistry from toxicity characteristic leaching procedure (TCLP), synthetic groundwater leaching procedure (SGLP), or American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) D34 leaching procedures for metals, dioxins and furans, volatile organics, semivolatile organics, pesticides, PCBs, and radionuclides unless these are not present in the bulk chemical analyses.
- Mineralogical composition, listing minerals present and approximation of crystalline and amorphous content.
- A list of the low-volume by-products/wastes generated in the process, quantities produced, and a range of characteristics for each by-product. These by-products/wastes will be included in EPA's determination if they are comanaged with high-volume by-products. These low-volume by-products/waste may include boiler chemical cleaning wastes, demineralizer regenerant/rinses, coal/storage pile runoff, general site runoff, pyrites, laboratory wastes, water treatment wastes, domestic/municipal wastes, and miscellaneous plant wastes.
- Current management practices for both the high- and low-volume by-products, including disposal types and any beneficial uses. Include the environmental risks associated with disposal and use options and any environmental protection practices for management options. Also please outline any regulatory (federal, state, or local) requirements for management options, such as analyses, liners, leachate collection, or dust control.
- List any alternatives to current by-product management practices and the potential economic impacts of these alternatives.

If you have any questions regarding the information requested, please feel free to contact Debra Pflughoeft-Hassett by telephone at (701) 777-5261, by fax at (701) 777-5181, or e-mail at dphassett@eerc.und.nodak.edu. Thank you.

FORM EIA-459E
(10/88)U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
FEDERAL ASSISTANCE MANAGEMENT SUMMARY REPORTFORM APPROVED
OMB NO. 1900 0127
Page 1 of 3

1. Program/Project Identification No. DE-FC21-93MC30097		2. Program/Project Title TASK 1.0 WASTE MANAGEMENT				3. Reporting Period 10-1-96 through 12-31-96								
4. Name and Address Energy & Environmental Research Center University of North Dakota PO Box 9018, Grand Forks, ND 58202-9018 (701) 777-5000		5. Program Start Date 01-12-93				6. Completion Date 12-31-97								
7. FY 96/97	8. Months or Quarters Quarters	b. Dollar Scale	1st JAN	2nd FEB	3rd MAR	4th APR	5th MAY	6th JUN	7th JUL	8th AUG	9th SEP	10th OCT	11th NOV	12th DEC
9. Cost Status a. Dollars Expressed In Thousands														
10. Cost Chart														
Fund Source		Quarter				Cum. to Date	Tot. Plan							
		1st	2nd	3rd	4th									
DOE	P	38	34	34	64	170	235							
	A	0	61	57	26	144								
	P													
	A													
	P													
	A													
	P													
	A													
Total P		38	34	34	64	170	235							
Total A		0	61	57	26	144								
Variance		38	(27)	(23)	38	26								
		P = Planned A = Actual												
Total Planned Costs for Program/Project \$235		c. Cumulative Accrued Costs												
		Planned			38			72			106			170
		Actual			0			61			118			144
		Variance			38			11			-12			26

11. Major Milestone Status	Units Planned	Units Complete
1.7 Hot-Water Extraction of Organics, Metals and Halogens from Coal and Contaminated Soils	P	
	C	
1.11 Spectroscopic Field Screening	P	
	C	
1.12 Solid-Phase Microextraction (SPME)/Spectroscopy	P	
	C	
1.13.2 CCT Byproduct Management Workshop (optional)	P	
	C	
1.13.3 Revised Final Report on CCB Utilization	P	
	C	
1.13.4 Summary Final Report of Information Collected	P	
	C	
	P	
	C	
	P	
	C	

12. Remarks	
13. Signature of Recipient and Date 2/2/97	14. Signature of DOE Reviewing Representative and Date

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
FEDERAL ASSISTANCE MANAGEMENT SUMMARY REPORTFORM APPROVED
OMB NO. 1900 0127
Page 2 of 3

1. Program/Project Identification No. DE-FC21-93MC30097		2. Program/Project Title TASK 1.0 WASTE MANAGEMENT				3. Reporting Period 10-1-96 through 12-31-96									
4. Name and Address Energy & Environmental Research Center University of North Dakota PO Box 9018, Grand Forks, ND 58202-9018 (701) 777-5000		5. Program Start Date 01-12-93													
		6. Completion Date 12-31-97													
7. FY 97	8. Months or Quarters Quarters	b. Dollar Scale	1st		2nd			3rd			4th				
			JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	
9. Cost Status		a. Dollars Expressed In Thousands													
10. Cost Chart															
Fund Source		Quarter				Cum. to Date	Tot. Plan								
		1st	2nd	3rd	4th										
DOE	P	35	30			170	235								
	A					0									
	P														
	A														
	P														
	A														
	P														
	A														
Total P		35	30	0	0	170	235								
Total A		0	0	0	0	0	144								
Variance		35	30	0	0	26									
P = Planned A = Actual															
Total Planned Costs for Program/Project \$235															
			c. Cumulative Accrued Costs												
Planned					205			235			235			235	
Actual					0			0			0			0	
Variance					205			235			235			235	

11. Major Milestone Status	Units Planned	
	Units Complete	
1.7 Hot-Water Extraction of Organics, Metals and Halogens from Coal and Contaminated Soils	P	
	C	
1.11 Spectroscopic Field Screening	P	
	C	
1.12 Solid-Phase Microextraction (SPME)/Spectroscopy	P	
	C	
1.13.2 CCT Byproduct Management Workshop (optional)	P	
	C	
1.13.3 Revised Final Report on CCB Utilization (optional)	P	
	C	
1.13.4 Summary Final Report of Information Collected	P	
	C	
	P	
	C	
	P	
	C	

12. Remarks

13. Signature of Recipient and Date

2/3/97

14. Signature of DOE Reviewing Representative and Date

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
FEDERAL ASSISTANCE MANAGEMENT SUMMARY REPORT**

1. Program/Project Identification No. DE-FC21-93MC30097		2. Program/Project Title TASK 1.0 WASTE MANAGEMENT		3. Reporting Period 10/1/96 through 12/31/96	
4. Name and Address Energy & Environmental Research Center University of North Dakota PO Box 9018, Grand Forks, ND 58202-9018 (701) 777-5000				5. Program Start Date 1-12-93	
				6. Completion Date 12-31-97	
Milestone ID. No.	Description	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Comments	
Subtask 1.7	Hot-Water Extraction of Organics, Metals, and Halogens from Coal and Contaminated Soils				
a	Extraction efficiencies and selectivities for model systems	6/96		100%	
b	Extraction efficiencies and selectivities for real samples	12/96		100%	
Subtask 1.11	Spectroscopic Field Study				
a	Fabrication of SPME-IR soil probe completed	6/96		90%	
b	SPME-IR soil probe calibration data collection	8/96		90%	
c	Correlation of SPME-IR probe measurements to reference method	11/96		0%	
Subtask 1.12					
a	The relative advantages and disadvantages of three to five polymeric sorbent films for their optical clarity with UV and/or FT-IR spectroscopy and for their ability to concentrate model organic pollutants from water will be reported	8/96		100%	
b	Prototype dipstick devices will be constructed with the best of the sorbent films	10/96		100%	
c	Analytical characteristics of the sorbent films (e.g., selectivity, sensitivity, ability to perform quantitative determinations) for organic pollutants (e.g., aromatic fuel hydrocarbons and chlorinated solvents) in real water samples will be reported	12/96		100%	
Subtask 1.13.2	CCT Byproduct Management Workshop (optional)	12-31-97			
Subtask 1.13.3	Revised Final Report on CCB Utilization	6-30-97			
Subtask 1.13.4	Summary Final Report of Information Collected	6-30-97			

M97002226

Report Number (14) DOE/MC/30097-- 5579

Publ. Date (11) 1990708

Sponsor Code (18) DOE/FE, XF

JC Category (19) UC-101, DOE/ER

DOE

**A software system for the analysis of the “giornate”
sequences in frescoes**

poster presented at the day conference on
“The analysis of pigments and plasters”
British Museum, London 22-2-1997

organized by the Wall Paintings Section of the United
Kingdom Institute for Conservation

**C. Bertorello[^], L. Bordoni^{^^}, A. Colagrossi*, G. Martellotti[^],
C. Seccaroni****

[^]C.B.C. - Conservazione Beni Culturali Roma

*IASI-CNR Roma

^{^^}ENEA/STUDI/Doe - C.R. Casaccia Roma

**ENEA/INN/Art-C.R. Casaccia Roma

ABSTRACT

This paper reports on a software system for the analysis of the *giornate* sequences in frescoes, based on mathematical modelling. This model takes into account whole *giornate* and the relations defined on them by the temporal precedence in their realisation. A sample application is provided on frescoes by Luca Signorelli in the Cappella di San Brizio in the Orvieto Cathedral.

[Computer software, computer art, fresco, plasters, wall painting, Signorelli]

RIASSUNTO

Viene presentato lo sviluppo di un sistema software per l'analisi della sequenza di esecuzione delle giornate di un affresco. Tale sistema si basa su una modellizzazione matematica che considera da un lato l'insieme delle giornate e dall'altro la particolare relazione tra di esse, definita dalla precedenza temporale nella realizzazione. Viene inoltre fornita un'applicazione del sistema su affreschi eseguiti da Luca Signorelli nella Cappella di San Brizio nel Duomo di Orvieto.

1. PROBLEM DEFINITION

The technique of the fresco needs the execution of the painting directly on the wet plaster, before the carbonatation on its surface; for this reason the plaster must be drawn up only in the zone to be painted in one day (*giornata*). The careful examination of the joint between two adjacent *giornate* allows one to detect the overlapping of the plasters, so it is possible to establish the temporal precedence in the realisation of the interested *giornate*. The examination of the binary relationships concerning all the couples of adjacent *giornate* on the whole surface of a fresco permits to focalize the going on of the works during the execution of the painting. In the case of frescoes having a wide extension, the *giornate* number can be very high, so it is very difficult to consider and to elaborate the whole information concerning the joints.

The software system implemented for the analysis of the *giornate* in fresco paintings is based on a mathematical modelling that takes into account the whole *giornate* and the relation defined on them by the temporal precedence in their realisation. The mathematical model that fits this specific situation is the model of the direct acyclic graphs (dag).

2. CHARACTERISTICS OF THE SYSTEM

In addition to the temporal sequence the system allows:

- to point out possible anomalies, due to errors in the detection of the direction of the joints among the *giornate*, for example by the indication of the presence of *loops*;
- to simulate and to elaborate the different possible interpretations in the case it is impossible to detect the direction of the joints;
- to improve the readability of the whole *giornate* sequence by clustering selected sub-sequences.

The software system processes information concerning the overlapping of the plaster among one *giornata* and all the other adjacent *giornate*; it automatically eliminates redundant information by applying the *maximal path* algorithm; so it is possible to obtain the correct temporal sequence for the execution of the *giornate*.

The system runs on personal computer under MS-DOS operative system and it does not need any sophisticated configuration. In order to enable the graphic visualisation mode, it is necessary a WINDOWS 3.1 version or greater.

The system makes available to the user a wide number of features, implemented by means of several program modules. The system's features are:

- inserting, updating, deleting and accessing data concerning the plasters overlapping for the whole *giornate* in the fresco;
- detecting possible loops in the graph corresponding to the inserted data;
- processing the graph corresponding to the inserted data yielding an acyclic graph with no redundant information;
- visualising the resulting graph;
- constructing clustered graphs.

The data input occurs after a preliminary numbering of all the *giornate* of the fresco. At this point all that is needed is to enter the couples of numbers corresponding to the adjacent *giornate* and to the direction of their junction.

The inserted graph elaboration is made by a module that implements the *maximal path* algorithm. This elaboration must be preceded by the verification of the loops presence in the graph supplied by the user. This test is automatically carried out by the system which, whenever a loop is detected, points out all the nodes interested by the loop.

The result of the execution of the module based on the *maximal path* algorithm is provided in two distinct modes, depending on the user's choice:

- (1) couples of numbers, corresponding to the *giornate*;
- (2) the usual graphical representation: nodes connected by arcs.

Before producing clustered graphs a preliminary selection of the graph nodes to be clustered in only one node must be done.

3. LOOPS, LACK OF INFORMATION AND CLUSTERING

A loop occurs when, following the information concerning the joints of two or more *giornate*, the program comes to a previously examined *giornata*; this is a collapse situation because the sequence for the ordered *giornate* assumes a ring structure. The existence of a loop is unacceptable because it is incompatible with the precedence relations inferred by the overlapping of the plasters at the joints. Further, a loop makes the program removing the redundant information non-terminating. In order to avoid such a critical situation, when a loop is detected the program points out this situation and all the *giornate* interested by the loop. This signal allows the user to visualise the loop on the plotting, so it will be possible to verify directly on the fresco surface the overlapping of the interested joints and to change the incorrect information in the input file.

If it is not possible to look over the fresco surface, the loop elimination can be done inverting the direction of one or more joints following opportune considerations. Alternatively, it can be done considering as only one *giornata* all the *giornate* that are interested by the loop (in this case the *giornate* must be adjacent).

The absence of information concerning either the recognition of *giornate* or the direction of the plaster overlapping in the joints, can substantially modify the final result; the amount of the produced alteration depends on the information lack in the input data.

In the second case, that is when it is impossible to ascertain the precedence between two adjacent *giornate*, it must be underlined that this circumstance can not be determinant for the reconstruction of the real sequence: in fact the impossibility to establish a precedence relation between two adjacent *giornate* sometimes can not determine a real loss of information. The system is able to establish if the unregistered information is redundant, i.e. it does not determine any change in the correct ordering, on the other hand it is essential, because its non-registration causes a different solution by the algorithm.

The reconstruction of only one linear sequence is an extremely rare case; in fact, the increment of the number of the *giornate* makes the graph very branched.

Especially for frescoes having a wide extension some considerations concerning the organisation of the work allow one to pick up additional information for the general ordering of all the *giornate*, independently from the study of the joints. For instance it is evident that if it is possible to know the borders due to the level of the scaffold used for the realisation of the

fresco, like it happens between two adjacent *giornate*, it is possible establish a precedence relation between all the *giornate* under the above scaffold level and those under it. This operation allows for clustering the *giornate*, so that during the execution of the program all those *giornate* are treated as one only *giornata*.

4. A SAMPLE APPLICATION

This software system has been tested on the frescoes by Beato Angelico and Luca Signorelli in the Cappella di San Brizio in the Orvieto Cathedral; the whole fresco surface consists of more than 900 *giornate*. In figure 2 is shown the sequence concerning the *giornate* map for the *Hell* painted by Luca Signorelli; the same is shown as a graph in figure 3. In figure 4 and in figure 5 the situation concerning the *Heaven*, in the same Chapel, is shown. In both the maps the supposed levels for the scaffold are shown, they are different for number and tallness in this two scenes; finally in the graphs the *giornate* concerning local correction are shown with round areas.

The comparison of the situation for these two scenes clearly shows that for the *Heaven* the works have been processed in a more articulate way. For the *Hell*, on the contrary, with the exclusion of the branches due to the *giornate* concerning local corrections, four long linear sequences have been evidenced. Stylistic and technical considerations allow one to make the hypothesis that the *Hell* was the first scene frescoed by the painter on the walls of the Chapel, so it is interesting to have verified that this scene is different from the other also for the *giornate* organisation. The less branched structure of the *Hell* could be due to the absence of assistants in the first phase of the works; this circumstance allows to the painter to directly evaluate the problems due to the planning and the realisation of so large scenes. During the recent restore of the whole frescoes in the Chapel it has been verified in the *Hell* a greater number of corrections and *a secco* finishings; these corrections also concern the architectural structure of the scene.

5. BIBLIOGRAPHY

M. Bottoni, M. Cordaro, M.C. Gaetani, B. Provinciali: *Sviluppo di un sistema sperimentale per l'analisi dinamica delle fasi di esecuzione di affreschi. Finalità e fasi del progetto*. 2^a Conferenza Internazionale sulle prove non distruttive, metodi microanalitici e indagini ambientali per lo studio e la conservazione delle opere d'arte, Perugia 17-20 aprile 1988, vol. I 13.1-15.

G. Ausiello, A. Marchetti Spaccamela, M. Protasi: *Teoria e progetto di algoritmi fondamentali*, Franco Angeli, Milano, 1988.

C. Batini, L. Carlucci Aiello, M. Lenzerini, A. Marchetti Spaccamela, A. Miola: *Fondamenti di programmazione dei calcolatori elettronici*, Franco Angeli, Milano, 1992.

Figures

Fig. 1 - Luca Signorelli: the *Hell*. Particular with the overlapping of the plasters at the joint among the *giornate*.

Fig. 2 - Luca Signorelli: the *Hell*. Map of the *giornate* with the paths of the execution sequence.

Fig. 3 - Luca Signorelli: the *Hell*. Sequence of the *giornate*.

Fig. 4 - Luca Signorelli: the *Heaven*. Map of the *giornate* with the paths of the execution sequence.

Fig. 5 - Luca Signorelli: the *Heaven*. Sequence of the *giornate*.

Figure 3

Figure 5