Task 2.8 - Mercury Speciation and Capture in Scrubber Solutions

**Semi-Annual Report
July 1 - December 31, 1996**

**RECEIVED
AUG 14 1997
OSTI**

**By
Sumitra R. Ness**

Work Performed Under Contract No.: DE-FC21-93MC30097

**For
U.S. Department of Energy
Office of Fossil Energy
Morgantown Energy Technology Center
P.O. Box 880
Morgantown, West Virginia 26507-0880**

**By
Energy and Environmental Research Center
University of North Dakota
P. O. Box 9018
Grand Forks, North Dakota 58202-9018**

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

MASTER

DTIC QUALITY INSPECTED 4

19980313 045

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government, nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This semiannual was prepared with the support of the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Federal Energy Technology Center, Cooperative Agreement No. DE-FC21-93MC30097. However, any opinions, findings, conclusions, or recommendations expressed herein are those of the author(s) and do not necessarily reflect the views of the DOE.

EERC DISCLAIMER

LEGAL NOTICE This research report was prepared by the Energy & Environmental Research Center (EERC), an agency of the University of North Dakota, as an account of work sponsored by U.S. Department of Energy. Because of the research nature of the work performed, neither the EERC nor any of its employees makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement or recommendation by the EERC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES	i
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
2.0 OBJECTIVES	1
3.0 INITIAL SCOPE OF WORK	1
4.0 DISCUSSION	1
5.0 REVISED SCOPE OF WORK	2

LIST OF TABLES

1 Revised Test Matrix	3
------------------------------------	----------

TASK 2.8 - MERCURY SPECIATION AND CAPTURE IN SCRUBBER SOLUTIONS

1.0 INTRODUCTION

Investigations into mercury control across conventional scrubber systems have precipitated questions concerning 1) the initial speciation between oxidized and elemental forms of mercury in flue gas from coal-fired boilers and, subsequently, 2) the effects of scrubber slurry composition and pH on the mercury forms. Mercury capture in scrubber slurry is highly dependent on its form. Oxidized mercury is highly water-soluble and can be removed by scrubber slurry, whereas elemental mercury is not and passes through the scrubber to the stack.

2.0 OBJECTIVES

The objectives of this project are to determine whether scrubber solutions convert either form of mercury to another and whether mercury capture is affected by pH.

3.0 INITIAL SCOPE OF WORK

The mercury bench-scale test unit in the Energy & Environmental Research Center (EERC) Particulate Research Laboratory will be used to perform these tests. A bench-scale scrubber will be constructed to achieve the desired liquid-to-gas ratios and/or sulfur dioxide and mercury removals. Major variables include high and low pH and two of three slurry compositions (lime-based, limestone-based, and scrubber solution from a local utility). Simulated flue gas (SO_2 , NO_x , CO_2 , H_2O , O_2 , and balance N_2) spiked with either or both elemental and oxidized mercury from commercially manufactured calibration tubes will be scrubbed while inlet and outlet elemental mercury concentrations will be measured using the on-line continuous emissions monitor, SemTech.

4.0 DISCUSSION

- Simulated scrubber slurry components and scrubber slurry from a local utility as well as laboratory equipment required for the project have been procured.
- Quantities and flow rates of the gases and mercury spiking have been determined.
- The bench-scale unit has been set up and should be operational through the month of February 1997. Modifications to the pH maintenance system and extra heating/insulation are still required.

Bench-scale tests, which were to proceed in August 1996, were put on hold because of downtime on the SemTech mercury analyzers and conversion systems which were serviced on-site over Thanksgiving 1996. The SemTech analyzers have since been in full use for other delayed

projects. This project will now have full use of a SemTech analyzer for the month of February 1997. Use of the on-line analyzer, such as the SemTech, for both elemental and oxidized mercury was initially preferred because real-time changes in mercury capture could be recorded as the scrubber solution was spent. The main advantages of using the SemTech were the ability to shake down operation of the unit and investigate more variables for the same cost and in a shorter amount of time than with wet chemistry methods which are time-averaged over 30-60 minutes and expensive; the project budget would only support eight analyses. Other disadvantages of the wet chemistry methods were the continuing dispute over which methods (or whether any of the methods) adequately capture oxidized forms of mercury. Therefore, it was determined that the project should be postponed until issues with the SemTech could be adequately addressed. When the scope of work for this project was initially proposed, it was believed that the wet chemistry methods would be validated and the on-line analyzers/conversion systems both would be successfully operational by the project start date. At this time, a revised scope of work is proposed and will be completed by March 31, 1997. A discussion of the Particulate Research Lab's capabilities for mercury spiking, bench-scale studies, and the SemTech follows.

The SemTech analyzer only measures elemental mercury and uses a conversion system to convert oxidized forms of mercury to elemental mercury. The oxidized fraction is measured by difference between the total mercury in converted samples less the mercury in unconverted samples. At this time, the SemTech analyzer and its conversion system *appear* to be working within the range of instrument specifications. However, the bench-scale mercuric chloride spiking system has not yet yielded reproducible results before and after a system shutdown but does yield reproducible results within a given day. It has not yet been determined if the data discrepancies are because of the mercuric chloride spiking system, the SemTech conversion system, the SemTech analyzer, or the wet chemistry methods used as backup data for the mercuric chloride spiking system calibrations.

In contrast, the elemental mercury spiking system and SemTech analyzer results have been favorable. Since elemental mercury is predicted to pass through the scrubber solutions unaffected as has been shown at the full scale, the focus is on the behavior of oxidized mercury in the scrubber solutions. However, there is some evidence at the full scale that oxidized mercury is converted into elemental mercury across wet scrubbers. This effect is small ($\leq 10\%$) and, as of yet, not confirmed. Therefore, both elemental mercury and mercuric chloride will not be spiked in combination because of the possibility of this effect.

Based on the capabilities of the SemTech analyzer and currently favored wet chemistry methods, a revised scope of work has been determined.

5.0 REVISED SCOPE OF WORK

Results which have been determined by the many mercury projects at the EERC and other research facilities that affect the scope of work for this project are as follows:

- Results from wet chemistry methods are affected by the presence of, but not quantities of (as found in typical combustion flue gas), HCl and NO_x and will be a constant, not variable, component of the simulated flue gas.

- The tris-buffer method, not EPA Method 29, is currently favored for the most accurate mercury speciation results.
- Mercuric chloride mass balances cannot be obtained unless all surfaces line surfaces are heated to at least 250°F. Since the scrubber cannot be operated at this temperature, several modifications are required to heat all of the scrubber surfaces and components to at least 150°F. However, deposition of mercuric chloride is still likely and may be a major obstacle to obtaining definitive data from this study. A revised 2³ test matrix has been developed where

pH: - = low pH and + = high pH
 Slurry: - = Lab Slurry and + = Commercial Slurry
 Hg Species: - = Hg⁰ and + = Hg⁺

TABLE 1

Revised Test Matrix			
Run	pH	Slurry	Hg Species
1	-	-	-
2	+	-	-
3	-	+	-
4	+	+	-
5	-	-	+
6	+	-	+
7	-	+	+
8	+	+	+

The initial scope of work intended to use two slurries: one lime and one limestone. Since commercial scrubber slurry includes many oxidizing and chlorine-containing additives (for disinfection, etc.), it is preferable to use it in lab characterization. The revised scope of work shows one lab and one commercial slurry. If the data warrant and enough time remains in the project, a second lab slurry will be tested.

The objectives for the revised scope of work are as follows:

- To determine if an effect in scrubber slurry removal of mercury is dependent upon pH for both elemental mercury and mercuric chloride.

- To determine if under the same conditions laboratory-prepared slurries show the same results as commercial slurries which contain antibacterial agents and other oxidizers.
- To determine if a detectable amount of mercuric chloride is converted to elemental mercury in the scrubber solution.

All measurements for tests (and corresponding blanks) spiked with elemental mercury will be made with the SemTech analyzer. All measurements for tests (and corresponding blanks) spiked with mercuric chloride will be made using the tris-buffer mercury speciation method. The error of the SemTech analyzer and conversion system is not great enough to conclusively detect a 10% conversion of mercuric chloride to elemental mercury, whereas the error of the tris-buffer method is approximately equal to 10%. Therefore, it is possible that the tris-buffer method may be able to show the presence of, but not quantitate, the conversion. Sulfur dioxide will be regulated at the inlet with mass flow controllers and checked at the outlet using a portable electrochem analyzer. The pH of the slurry will be monitored with a pH meter as well as litmus paper. Temperatures will be monitored throughout the system. All data will be recorded manually. The final report for this project will be written in March 1997.

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
FEDERAL ASSISTANCE MANAGEMENT SUMMARY REPORT**

FORM APPROVED

OMB NO. 1900-0127

Page 1 of 2

1. Program/Project Identification No. DE-FC21-93MC30097		2. Program/Project Title TASK 2.0 AIR QUALITY ASSESSMENT AND CONTROL				3. Reporting Period 10-1-88 through 12-31-98										
4. Name and Address Energy & Environmental Research Center University of North Dakota PO Box 9018, Grand Forks, ND 58202-9018 (701) 777-5000		5. Program Start Date 01-12-93				6. Completion Date 12-31-97										
7. FY 96/97	8. Months or Quarters Quarters	b. Dollar Scale	1st JAN	FEB	MAR	2nd APR	MAY	JUN	3rd JUL	AUG	SEP	4th OCT	NOV	DEC		
9. Cost Status		a. Dollars Expressed in Thousands														
10. Cost Chart																
Fund Source		Quarter				Cum. to Date	Tot. Plan									
		1st	2nd	3rd	4th											
DOE	P	0	66	67	67	200	200									
	A	0	18	22	37	77										
	P															
	A															
	P															
	A															
	P															
	A															
Total P		0	66	67	67	200	200									
Total A		0	18	22	37	77										
Variance		0	48	45	30	123										
P = Planned A = Actual																
Total Planned Costs for Program/Project \$200						c. Cumulative Accrued Costs										
Planned								0			66			133		200
Actual								0			18			40		77
Variance								0			48			93		123

11. Major Milestone Status	Units Planned	
	Units Complete	
2.7 Mercury Capture Mechanisms on Solid Surfaces and Aerosols	P	
	C	
2.8 Mercury Speciation and Capture in Scrubber Solutions	P	
	C	
2.9 Improved Methods for Chlorine and Fluorine in Coal	P	
	C	
	P	
	C	
	P	
	C	
	P	
	C	
	P	
	C	

12. Remarks	
13. Signature of Recipient and Date <i>Smith 2/7/97</i>	14. Signature of DOE Reviewing Representative and Date

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
FEDERAL ASSISTANCE MANAGEMENT SUMMARY REPORT**

1. Program/Project Identification No. DE-FC21-83MC30097		2. Program/Project Title TASK 2.0 AIR QUALITY ASSESSMENT AND CONTROL		3. Reporting Period 10/1/96 through 12/31/96	
4. Name and Address Energy & Environmental Research Center University of North Dakota PO Box 9018, Grand Forks, ND 58202-9018 (701) 777-5000				5. Program Start Date 1-12-93	
				6. Completion Date 12-31-97	
Milestone ID. No.	Description	Planned Completion Date	Actual Completion Date	Comments	
Subtask 2.7	Mercury Capture Mechanisms on Solids & Aerosols			1	
a	Task Initialization - procure supplies, determine establish test matrix	3/96	8/96		
b	Perform bench-scale experiments	8/96			
c	Evaluate data and perform additional tests if necessary	10/96			
d	Submit draft topical report and an article for publication	12/96			
Subtask 2.8	Wet-Scrubber Effects on Mercury Speciation			2	
a	Modify mercury bench-scale unit. Construct and shake down bench-scale scrubber	3/96			
b	Complete bench-scale tests	6/96			
c	Complete data reduction and evaluation	8/96			
d	Submit draft topical report and an article for publication	12/96			
Subtask 2.9	Improved Methods for Cl and F in Coal				
a	Evaluate ASTM proposed pyrohydrolysis method	5/96			
b	Compare pyrohydrolysis method to oxygen bomb combustion method	11/96			
c	Submit draft topical report and an article for publication	12/96			
1	Delayed due to late finalization of Cooperative Agreement				
2	Project withdrawn due to partial funding of the Cooperative Agreement				