LA-UR- 97-5144

Title:

MEASUREMENTS OF SLANT AND VERTICAL TEC USING DATA
FROM FORTE AND THE TRACKER RAY-TRACING CODE

Author(s):

R. S. Massey

Submitted to:

Informal Distribution

RECEIVED

APR 06 1998

OSTI

MASTER

DTIC ORIGINALLY REJECTED 4

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

19980423 162

Los Alamos
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Los Alamos National Laboratory, an affirmative action/equal opportunity employer, is operated by the University of California for the U.S. Department of Energy under contract W-7405-ENG-36. By acceptance of this article, the publisher recognizes that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or to allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes. The Los Alamos National Laboratory requests that the publisher identify this article as work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy.

Form No. 836 R5
ST 2629 10/91

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Measurements of slant and vertical TEC using data from FORTE and the TRACKER ray-tracing code.

R. S. Massey

Introduction.

In a previous informal report, I described the FORTE satellite and the analysis techniques used to extract a slant TEC from measurements of the dispersion of a signal transmitted from an EMP generator at Los Alamos. In this report I will report on the use of a ray-tracing/ionospheric model code to deduce the vertical TEC to 800 km from the FORTE measurements.

Techniques.

On several occasions we have transmitted impulses from a transmitter at Los Alamos, NM (35.87° N, -106.3° E) to the FORTE satellite. There are about 6 impulses transmitted during each pass, and the elevation angle of course varies from shot to shot. In order to infer a vertical TEC, we need an appropriate ionospheric model. In this report, I use the TRACKER code, written by a team led by Paul Argo at LANL. TRACKER is a 3D ray-tracing code that incorporates the ICED ionospheric model. TRACKER was originally written for HF ray-tracing, but it has been modified and extensively tested for use in transionospheric ray-tracing. It has been benchmarked against another very different propagation code, called ITF (for Ionospheric Transfer Function), written by Bob Roussel-Dupré, also at LANL.

I ran TRACKER in a mode where it computed the group delays at the same set of frequencies received by FORTE, and compared the pseudo-TEC produced by TRACKER and by the FORTE data. By "pseudo-TEC", I mean the coefficient N_e of the $1/f^2$ fit to the group delay:

$$t_i = t_0 + \frac{kN_e}{f_i^2} \quad (1)$$

N_e is a good approximation to the line integral of the electron density only when the frequency is very high, and we did not use frequencies above 85 MHz in this report. Most of the data were taken in the 28-48 MHz band, where higher-order terms are important. The residual errors between the TRACKER output and the $1/f^2$ fit are shown in figure 1. The fit to equation 1 is (deceptively) good, because the higher-order terms are rather well correlated to $1/f^2$ in this frequency range. The pseudo-TEC nevertheless provides a convenient way to compare the measurements with results from the ray-tracing code.

I varied the sunspot number used by ICED until I got reasonable agreement between the computed and measured pseudo-TEC at the highest elevation angle, and then input the other satellite positions with the same sunspot number to see how well the pseudo-TECs agreed at lower elevation angles.

Finally, to obtain the actual vertical TEC, I had TRACKER output the electron density profile above the transmitter, and integrated it to 800 km.

Results.

We have had only 3 passes with elevation angles above 60° since we began taking LAPP data with the main FORTE antenna deployed, and the receivers set up to do these measurements. The passes occurred on October 31, November 5, and November 18, 1997. I will discuss the data from those passes in chronological order.

October 31 data.

The data from October 31 are summarized in table 1. Both FORTE TATR receivers were tuned to the 28-48 MHz band, and the "coherent" technique described in my previous note was used to estimate the slant pseudo-TEC.

UT	AZ	EL	LAT	LON	ALT	TEC A	TEC B	TRACKER
14:39:00	283.7	59.9	36.7	-110.8	808636	13.7	11.8	12.7
14:40:00	332.7	54.1	39.9	-109.0	808810	12.4	11.8	12.7
14:41:00	355.4	39.8	43.1	-107.1	809021	15.5	15.0	15.2
14:42:00	5.3	28.1	46.2	-105.0	809284	17.5	17.5	18.8
14:43:00	10.6	19.6	49.3	-102.5	809611	22.5	23.6	23.6
14:44:00	13.9	13.1	52.3	-99.7	809978	30.6		28.8

Table 1. Data from October 31 pass.

The columns, in order, are the Universal Time that the transmitter fired the pulse, the azimuth from north and elevation, in degrees, to the satellite, the latitude, east longitude (in degrees), and altitude (m) of the satellite, the pseudo-TEC for the A and B receivers, and the pseudo-TEC computed by TRACKER. The sunspot number used was 39 for all points. Both of the FORTE receivers were tuned to the 28-48 MHz band, and TRACKER was run for 10 frequencies in this range. Figure 2 shows the pseudo-TEC data.

The agreement is surprisingly good—note that only one parameter, the sunspot number, was adjusted to

obtain this fit.

The electron density profile over Los Alamos is shown in figure 3. Unfortunately, we do not have the TEC data from the JPL network for this date. The vertical TEC above Los Alamos to 800 km, obtained by integrating the density profile, is 11.2 TECU.

November 5 data.

The data from November 5 are summarized in table 2. The column headings the same as in the previous table. Both FORTE receivers were tuned to the 28-48 MHz band, and the "coherent" analysis technique was used to estimate the pseudo-TECs.

UT	AZ	EL	LAT	LON	ALT	TEC A	TEC B	TRACKER
23:22:00	301.9	55.6	38.1	-111.0	816452	14	14	14
23:23:00	248.6	67.3	34.9	-109.4	816741	13	15	13
23:24:00	197.3	54.8	31.6	-107.9	817084	16	16	14
23:25:00	181.2	38.6	28.3	-106.5	817462	24	21	18
23:26:00	174.7	26.8	25.0	-105.2	817904	28	26	23
23:27:00	171.3	18.5	21.7	-104.0	818462	36	36	30
23:28:00	169.3	12.3	18.4	-102.9	819149	45	47	38

Table 2. Results from November 5 pass.

The pseudo-TEC results are shown in figure 4.. The sunspot number used for this pass was 35. The TRACKER model seems to underestimate the TEC (relative to the data) at lower elevation angles. The density profile above Los Alamos is shown in figure 5. Integration of that profile yields a true TEC above Los Alamos of 11.7 TECU to 800 km. The GPS data from JPL estimated the TEC over Los Alamos to be 15.5, implying that the TEC above 800 km was 3.8 TECU.

November 18 data.

On this pass the TATR receivers were tuned to the 128-148 Mhz band and the 65-85 MHz band. Dispersion within the higher band was too small to be measured reliably (at least until I have included better receiver calibration data than I have yet done), so the results shown here are from the 65-85 MHz band, using the "incoherent" technique to obtain the pseudo-TEC. In order to fit the TRACKER result to the data at 67° elevation, a sunspot number of zero had to be used, along with an ad hoc reduction in the entire density profile by a factor of 0.95. As in the previous cases, these parameters were held fixed for the other shots.

The results are shown in table 3.

UT	AZ	EL	RANGE	LAT	LON	ALT	TEC 75	TRACKER
20:12:00	107	67	901356.8	34.9	103.2	821	12.4	12.3
20:13:00	135	48	1102052	31.6	101.7	821	16.5	15.6
20:14:00	144	33	1396829	28.3	100.3	821	18.7	20.6
20:15:00	147	23	1737714	25.1	99	822	24.7	27.5
20:16:00	150	16	2101488	21.8	97.8	822	36.9	32.5
20:17:00	151	10	2477055	18.5	96.7	823	48.9	39
20:18:00	152	5	2789000	15.1	95.6	824	62.5	48

Table 3. Results from November 18.

Figure 6 shows the TEC results. As in the November 5 data, the TRACKER results fall below the measurements at the lowest elevation angles, but agreement is fairly good above 15°. Figure 7 shows the density profile to 800 km over Los Alamos from the ionospheric model. The true vertical TEC to 800 km was 11.4 TECU. The GPS data from JPL estimated the TEC over Los Alamos to be 16.5 TECU, implying that the TEC above 800 km was 5.1 TECU.

Conclusions.

The combination of FORTE data with the 3D ray-tracing code TRACKER appears to be a useful tool in obtaining vertical TEC. The absolute accuracy of the technique is difficult to assess, but the excellent agreement between the data and the model over elevation angles from $20\text{--}67^\circ$ implies that the errors are small enough to make these measurements quite useful. Comparison of these measurements with the measurements of TEC to the GPS orbit suggest that a substantial fraction of the TEC lies above 800 km. Comparison of these and future results to upper-ionospheric and plasmaspheric models should prove fruitful.

M98004325

Report Number (14) LA-UR--97-5144

Publ. Date (11) 199712

Sponsor Code (18) DOE/DP, XF

UC Category (19) UC-200, DOE/ER

DOE