CONF-970743

# 1997 DOE Technical Standards Program Workshop

# PROCEEDINGS



July 8–10, 1997 Washington, D.C. MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

## DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

## **1997 Technical Standards Program Workshop**

The Department of Energy held its annual Technical Standards Program Workshop on July 8-10, 1997, at the Loews L'Enfant Plaza Hotel in Washington, D.C. The workshop focused on aspects of implementation of the *National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995* [Public Law (PL) 104-113] and the related revision (still pending) to OMB Circular A119 (OMB A119), *Federal Participation in the Development and Use of Voluntary Standards*. It also addressed DOE's efforts in transitioning to a standards-based operating culture, and, through this transition, to change from a developer of internal technical standards to a customer of external technical standards. Concurrent with the 1997 program workshop, meetings were held involving the DOE Technical Standards Managers' Committee and a working group composed of DOE "topical" committee chairpersons and representatives of standards development organizations (SDOs); both meetings were focused on improving DOE's implementation of PL 104-113 through the increased use of and participation in voluntary consensus standards by DOE facilities and programs.

150 individuals representing DOE and DOE contractor organizations, other Federal agencies, voluntary standards groups, and the private sector attended the workshop. This higher than normal attendance, in comparison with past workshops, is attributed, in part, to the effect that the new law is having on the Federal government. Attached to these notes are the agenda for the workshop (Attachment A), a listing of those individuals attending the workshop (Attachment B), and copies of presentations provided by the speakers at the different technical sessions (Attachment C).

The workshop was designed to provide a forum to better understand how the new law is affecting Department activities. Panel topics such as "Public Law 104-113 and Its Influence on Federal Agency Standards Activities" and "Update on Global Standards Issues" provided insight on both the internal and external effects of the new law. Keynote speaker Richard Meier of Meadowbrook International (and formerly the Deputy Assistant U.S. Trade Representative) addressed the subject of international trade balance statistics. He pointed out that increases in U.S. export figures do not necessarily indicate increases in employment. Rather, increased employment results from product growth. Mr. Meier also discussed issues such as the U.S. migration to the use of the metric system, the impact of budget limitations on Government participation in voluntary standards organizations, international standards ISO 9000 and ISO 14000, and DOE's role in the worldwide transition from weapons production to cleanup. In addition to Mr. Meier's keynote address, the workshop participants were treated to special presentations by Russ Vacante, Army Management Staff College, Ft. Belvoir, on the "RMS Partnership" (focused on reliability, maintainability, and sustainability standards) and Dr. Belinda Collins, National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), on the new leadership role of NIST in the implementation of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995.

Early in the program, Peter Weiss, Office of Management and Budget, discussed the upcoming revision to OMB A119. The point was made that PL 104-113 takes OMB A119

one step further by imposing reporting requirements for non-use of voluntary standards. In OMB's estimation, this is more prescriptive than what the long-standing OMB circular has required of Federal agencies. However, Trudie Williams, Department of Defense (DoD), was quick to point out that DoD has been following many of the provisions of the new law since before the law was enacted. DoD's efforts have included the cancellation of military specifications and standards in support of using what they refer to as nongovernment (i.e., voluntary) standards and specifications. In short, the enactment of PL 104-113 has not been a major imposition to that agency.

In general, voluntary standards developing organizations are pleased with the new law. ASTM representative Kitty Kono stated that currently the majority of work being done on non-government standards is not the result of new standards development; rather, it is in the updating of existing standards. She clearly indicated that the regulatory community has been a major participant in the development of ASTM standards and that this long-standing partnership should be expanded in the future. Tony O'Neill, representing both the National Fire Protection Association and the American National Standards Institute (ANSI), also emphasized the need for increased participation to make the voluntary standards process better meet the needs of Federal agencies.

Standards in the international arena are having an impact on the U.S. as well. ISO 9000 is currently being adopted by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA). Presenter Carl Schneider stated that NASA has decided to apply the highly recognized quality assurance standard in its own day-to-day operations first, so that it can set an example of quality assurance leadership for its contractors. By the same token, Diane Meier of Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory informed the workshop attendees of the status of ISO 14000 implementation. Ten states are currently implementing pilot projects for this international standard on environmental management. Another "global" standards issue discussed at the workshop involves the application of strategic standardization principles by a number of private sector companies; Bob Walsh, ANSI, updated the workshop participants on progress achieved in strategic standardization initiatives undertaken by ANSI company members. Also, Oliver Smoot, Information Technology Industry Council, described initiatives and activities in the international arena related to information technology standards.

Delivery of standards information was another key topic discussed at the 1997 workshop. Informative presentations on the information needs of standards users and current developments in standards information access were given by Chuck Moseley, Lockheed Martin Energy Systems; Diane Thompson, Information Handling Services; George Gianios, Department of Defense Single Stock Point; and Ken Peabody, ANSI Project Manager for the National Standards System Network.

Two technical sessions were dedicated to presentations on technical standards development activities within DOE. The topics covered by the presenters included metrology (Bob Wayland, Sandia National Laboratories), hoisting and rigging (Lynn Holt,

Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory), health/safety issues associated with post-operation facility activities (George Detsis, DOE/EH-53), fire protection (Dennis Kubicki, DOE/EH-51), radiation control (Judy Foulke, DOE/EH-52), criticality safety (Richard Black, Director, Office of Nuclear Safety Policy and Standards, DOE/EH-31), uninterruptable power supplies (John Fredlund, DOE/DP-45), lessons learned (Bobbie Smith, DOE/EM-43) and environmental surveillance/monitoring (Andy Wallo, DOE/EH-412). The sessions provided a forum for voluntary standards organization representatives at the workshop to learn about these activities and interact with the DOE/contractor personnel on issues where voluntary standards could be used in lieu of pursuing the development of DOE technical standards.

The final day of the workshop was dedicated to hearing from representatives from organizations external to DOE on the effects of PL 104-113 on their organization's activities and the perceived effects of PL 104-113 on the DOE "work-smart" standards (WSS) process. Key presenters included Ed Jordan, Nuclear Regulatory Commission; Mary McKiel, Environmental Protection Agency; Julie Abraham, National Highway Transportation Safety Administration, John D. Crawford, Jr, former member, Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board; Earl Carnes, DOE/EH-31; Frank Kornegay, Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL); Dennis Murphy, Bechtel-Nevada; and Mike Weis, DOE/Rocky Flats Office. The workshop closed with brief presentations by Rick Serbu, TSP Manager, DOE/EH-31; Madelyn Wilson, DOE/OSTI, and Don Williams, ORNL, on programmatic and procedural improvements being made to the TSP.

This was the fifth DOE workshop on the Technical Standards Program, and it was by far the best yet. It would appear that the issue of technical standards is being raised to an entirely different level, in part due to the new public law mandating the use of existing voluntary standards. More important, however, it seems that in these times of budgetary cutbacks, organizations are realizing the need to stop "reinventing the wheel." This workshop made it clear that Federal agencies are taking more seriously the issue of using existing voluntary standards. Where there is a need for continued improvement is in the area of "participation." Participation goes hand in hand with the use of applicable voluntary standards. Russ Vacante said it best when he claimed that an organization must be actively involved with professional societies in order to be competitive, and DOE is no exception to this observation.

Looking ahead to 1998, the Technical Standards Program hopes to team with other common interest groups (such as the RMS Partnership and another Federal agency with regulatory responsibilities) to conduct another workshop on the program. Watch for additional information to be published in future editions of *The Standards Forum* and also posted on the "DOE Technical Standards" Home Page.

Additional copies of these proceedings may be obtained by contacting Lori Lane, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, at (423) 574-7886 (lj8@ornl.gov).

3

# 1997 DOE Technical Standards Program Workshop

Attachment A AGENDA

## INTENTIONALLY BLANK

## 1997 DOE Technical Standards Program Workshop

ฏ

"Striking The Right Balance: DOE's "Work-Smart' Implementation of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995"

.D.D. notpninsew • letoH eself thefna' 2 even very 01-8 ylul

## тэвчгай

On March 7, 1996, President Clinton signed into law "The National Technology Transter and Advancement Act of 1995" (NTTAA). The new law, referred to as Public Law 104-113, continues the policy changes initiated in the 1980s under Office of Management and Budget Circular A-119, Federal Participation in the Development and Use of Voluntary Standards, that are transitioning the executive branch of the Federal Government from a developer of internal standards to a customer of external standards. This transition serves our domestic interests through cost savings and efficiencies gained in governmental operations, which helps improve our country's competitive position in the global marketplace.

The NTTAR is a true shift in the paradigm for many Federal agencies regarding the conduct of their technical standards activities. The goal of the 7997 Technical Stanbards Program Workshop is to promote implementation of this legislation as an important element of DOE's continuing transition to a standards-based operating culture. As such, the workshop has been designed to discuss the features of the new law affect on the many performance improvement initiatives (i.e., "work-smatt" standards, safety issues management, transition to external oversight, etc.) currently in progress within the Department and address how the new law may influence these initiatives. In addition, workshop participants will learn from representatives of other Federal agencies and the private sector how the new law affects their business practices and technical operations. Participants will also have the opportunity to interact directly technical operations. Participants will also have the opportunity to interact directly technical operations. Participants will also have the opportunity to interact directly with representations. Participants will also have the opportunity to interact directly technical operations. Participants will also have the opportunity to interact directly technical operations. Participants will also have the opportunity to interact directly technical operations. Participants will also have the opportunity to interact directly technical operations. Participants will also have the opportunity to interact directly technical operations. Participants will also have the opportunity to interact directly technical operations. Participants will also have the opportunity to interact directly technical operations. Participants will also have the opportunity to interact directly technical operations. Participants will also have the opportunity to interact directly technical operations.

This is the fifth annual workshop of the DOE Technical Standards Program. The workshop has proven to be an effective medium for communicating information to all organizations and disciplines within the Department of Energy on technical standards activities and strategic approaches to standardisation. We hope you will take the opportunity to participate in and contribute to this important national forum. Josuods

Office of Nuclear Safety Policy and Standards, EH-31

## INTENTIONALLY BLANK

## Monday, July 7, 1997

9:00am5:00pm:	Technical Standards Managers' Committee (TSMC) Meeting	I

4:00pm-6:00pm: Workshop Registration

## Tuesday, July 8, 1997

- 8:30am–1:00pm: Workshop Registration
- 8:30am-12:00: Meeting with DOE Topical Committee Representatives: Interface with the Technical Standards Program (TSP)
- 8:30am–12:00: TSMC Meeting; Conduct of TSP Tutorial
- 12:00–1:00pm: Lunch (on your own)

1:00pm-2:30pm: Workshop commences

- Opening remarks: Richard Serbu, Manager, DOE Technical Standards Program, Office of Environment, Safety and Health.
- Introduction of keynote speaker: Richard Black, Director, Office of Nuclear Safety Policy and Standards, Office of Environment, Safety and Health.
- Keynote Speaker: Richard Meier, Meadowbrook International (former Deputy Assistant U.S. Trade Representative).

## 2:30pm-2:45pm: Break

2:45pm-4:30pm:

Session 1: Public Law (P.L.) 104-113 and its Influence on Federal Agency Standards Activities—How do other Federal agencies view and plan to respond to the new law? The speakers in this session will discuss the perspectives of other members of the Executive Branch of the Federal Government and external organizations on P.L. 104-113.

2:45pm-4:30pm:	Session 1: Public Law (P.L.) 104-113 and its Influence on Federal Agency Standards Activities (continued)	
	Moderator, Lynne Kroggel, Los Alamos National Laboratory	
	<ul> <li>Bruce McConnell, Office of Management and Budget (OMB)—preparation of new revision to OMB A-119 for implementing P.L. 104-113.</li> <li>Trudie Williams, Department of Defense (DoD)—DoD's implementation of P.L. 104-113.</li> <li>Anthony O'Neill, National Fire Protection Association (NFPA) and Past Chairman, Board of Directors, American National Standards Institute (ANSI)—NFPA and ANSI federation views on how voluntary standards groups expect Federal agencies to react to the new law.</li> <li>Kitty Kono, American Society for Testing and Materials</li> </ul>	
	(ASTM)—ASTM views on the new law.	
4:30pm–5:00pm:	<b>Special Presentation:</b> Russell Vacante, Ph.D., Chair, RMS Partnership—–"RMS Standards: A Present Challenge for Industry- Government Partnerships."	
5:00pm:	<b>Reception</b> (hosted by the Operational Performance Technology Section, Oak Ridge National Laboratory).	
Wednesday, July 9, 1997		

8:30am–10:00am: Session 2: Update on Global Standards Issues—With the enactment of P.L. 104-113, Federal agency personnel have more incentive to keep up with and, when appropriate with their agency's mission, participate in voluntary standards activities. A number of these standards activities are evolving in the international standards arena. The speakers in this session will discuss these activities and their implications to DOE and other organizations.

#### 8:30am–10:00am: Session 2: Update on Global Standards Issues (continued)

Moderator, John Notestein, Federal Energy Technology Center

- Bob Walsh, ANSI—Implementation of "Strategic Standardization Management."
- Diane Meier, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (EFCOG Vice Chair of ISO 14000 Working Group)—Environmental Management Systems Standards (ISO 14000 series).
- Carl Schneider, National Aeronautics and Space Administration—Quality Management Systems Standards (ISO 9000 series).
- Oliver Smoot, Information Technology Industry Council—Information Technology Standards.

10:00am-10:30am: Break

10:30am-12:00:

Session 3: Delivering Standards Information—Build it and they will come. Now that P.L. 104-113 places a renewed emphasis on the use of existing voluntary standards, standards users are faced with gaining timely access to the thousands of existing standards to select the one(s) that best meets their needs. A number of local/network systems are in place or being developed that assist the standards users in their search. The speakers in this session will discuss the delivery of standards information and improvements envisioned to better meet user/customer needs.

*Moderator*, Chuck Mosely, Lockheed Martin Energy Systems (LMES)

- Chuck Mosely, LMES—advocate for user needs.
- Diane Thompson, Information Handling Services (IHS).
- George Gianios, Department of Defense Single Stock Point (DoDSSP).
- Ken Peabody, ANSI, Project Manager, National Standards System Network (NSSN).

12:00-1:00pm:

Lunch (on your own)

1:00pm-2:45pm:

#### Session 4: Key Technical Standards Issues Within

**DOE**—Across DOE, a number of Department and contractor subject matter experts (sometimes organized as working groups or topical committees) work to address a broad spectrum of technical issues that apply to multiple Department facilities and projects. The guidance and recommendations produced by these individuals or groups efforts are usually documented either as technical reports, registered DOE technical standards projects, or "de facto" technical standards (because of their content and/or level of acceptance). With the enactment of P.L. 104-113, the individual and collective efforts of these subject matter experts must become more focused on the identification and use of existing voluntary consensus standards in lieu of developing new or revised DOE technical standards or "de facto" technical standards. The speakers in this session will discuss the recent activities of these experts/groups and how P.L. 104-113 will influence their work.

Moderator, Bob Wayland, Sandia National Laboratories (SNL)

- Bob Wayland, SNL—Metrology Standards.
- Patrick Finn, DOE/Office of Environment, Safety and Health—Hoisting and Rigging Standards.
- George Detsis, DOE/Office of Environment, Safety and Health—Standard for Integrating Health and Safety During Post-Operation Facility Activities.
- Dennis Kubicki, DOE/Office of Environment, Safety and Health—Fire Protection Standards.
- Judith Foulke, DOE/Office of Environment, Safety and Health—Radiation Control Standards.

2:45pm-3:15pm Break

3:15pm–5:00pm: Session 5: Key Technical Standards Issues Within DOE (continued)

Moderator, Mosi Dayani, DOE/Savannah River Operations

- Richard Stark, DOE/Office of Environment, Safety and Health—Criticality Safety Standards.
- John Fredlund, DOE/Office of Defense
   Programs—Uninterruptable Power Supply Standards.
- James Slawski, DOE/Office of Defense Programs—HEPA Filters Standards.
- Bobbie Smith, DOE/Office of Environmental Management—Lessons Learned Standard.
- Andrew Wallo, DOE/Office of Environment, Safety and Health—Radiation Surveillance/Monitoring Standards.

#### Thursday, July 10, 1997

## 8:00am–8:45am: **Special Presentation:** Dr. Belinda Collins, Chair, Interagency Committee on Standards Policy, NIST–Role of Government in standards development and use and the new leadership role of NIST under P.L. 104-113 and the revision to OMB Circular A-119.

8:45am–10:15am: Session 6: Regulatory Perspectives—Other agencies and organizations with external oversight responsibilities may be influenced by P.L. 104-113. The speakers in this session will discuss the "regulator's" perspective on this issue.

Moderator, Rudy Hirzel, DOE/Chicago Operations Office

- Ed Jordan, Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC)—Implications of P.L. 104-113 to NRC activities.
- Mary McKiel, Environmental Protection Agency (EPA)—Implications of P.L. 104-113 to EPA activities.

#### 8:45am–10:15am: Session 6: Regulatory Perspectives (continued)

- Julie Abraham, Department of Transportation (DOT)—Implications of P.L. 104-113 to DOT/National Highway Transportation Safety Administration activities.
- John D. Crawford, Jr, former member, Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (DNFSB)—Implications of P.L. 104-113 to DNFSB activities.

#### 10:15am-10:30am Break

10:30am–12:00: Session 7: "Work-Smart" Standards—Since 1994, DOE has dedicated significant resources toward the development and implementation of a "work-smart" approach to defining the environmental, safety, and health (ES&H) standards that satisfactorily address the work and its associated hazards at DOE facilities. This effort has been guided by senior DOE management through the Department Standards Committee (DSC). The speakers in this session will discuss the recent efforts of the DSC, successful applications of the "Work-Smart Standards" (WSS) approach, and implications of P.L. 104-113 to this work.

Moderator, Lynn Maestas, DOE/Albuquerque Operations Office

- Maggie Sturdivant, DOE/Office of Environment, Safety and Health, DSC Executive Secretary—DSC overview.
- Frank Kornegay, Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL)—Application of WSS approach at ORNL.
- Mitch Kunich, DOE/Nevada Operations Office, and Dennis Murphy, Bechtel-Nevada—Application of WSS approach at Bechtel-Nevada.
- Mark Frei, DOE/Office of Environment Management (EM)—Programmatic issues associated with application of WSS approach at EM facilities.
- Mike Weis, DOE/Rocky Flats Office—Implications of WSS approach in DOE's response to DNFSB Recommendation 95-2 (Safety Management Systems).

12:00–1:00pm: Lunch (on your own)

1:00pm–2:30pm: Session 8: Technical Standards Program Initiatives—To remain as a "value-added" activity for DOE, the Technical Standards Program (TSP) must keep abreast of and respond in a proactive manner to the many dynamic programmatic and technical initiatives (e.g., P.L. 104-113, "work-smart" standards, safety issues management, enhanced work planning, quality/environmental management systems standards, electronic information management, etc.) occurring both within and external to the Department. TSP personnel will discuss the program's response to these challenges.

- Rick Serbu, Manager, Technical Standards Program—TSP programmatic issues.
- Don Williams, ORNL—TSP procedural issues.
- Madelyn Wilson, DOE/Office of Scientific and Technical Information—TSP information delivery.

2:30pm-3:00pm: Closing Remarks/Adjourn-Richard Serbu, DOE.

## INTENTIONALLY BLANK

# 1997 DOE Technical Standards Program Workshop

# Attachment B FINAL LIST OF ATTENDEES

## INTENTIONALLY BLANK

**Ron Barton** 

Julie Abraham U.S. Department of Transportation National Highway Trans. Safety Admin. 400 7th St., S.W., Suite 5520/NOA-05 Washington, DC 20590 Phone: 202-366-1455 Fax: 202-366-2106 E-mail: JAbraham@nhtsa.dot.gov

Doug Abramson U.S. Department of Energy EH-22 MS 5015 Germantown, MD 20545 Phone: 301-903-7328 Fax: 301-903-4672 E-mail: Doug.Abramson@eh.doe.gov

#### Cynthia Adair

U.S. Department of Energy - Albuquerque Pennsylvania & H St. Albuquerque, NM 87185 Phone: 505-845-4427 Fax: 505-845-4758 E-mail: cadiar@doeal.gov

#### C. R. Arnold

U.S. Department of Energy Century XXI, EH-31 19901 Germantown Rd. Germantown, MD 20874-1290 Phone: 301-903-5773 Fax: 301-903-6172 E-mail: Rocky.Arnold@eh.doe.gov

#### Devan S. Atri

U.S. Department of Energy Richland Operation Office Jadwin Ave., MS A5-55 Richland, WA 99352 Phone: 509-376-5526 Fax: 509-373-6100 E-mail: devan s atri@rl.gov

#### Susan Barisas

Argonne National Laboratory 955 L'Enfant Plaza, S.W. Suite 6000 Washington, DC 20024-2168 Phone: 202-488-2421 Fax: 202-488-2413 E-mail: barisas@anl.gov 625 Indiana Ave., N.W. Suite 700 Washington, DC 20004 Phone: 202-208-6409 Fax: E-mail: ronb@dnfsb.gov Dr. Doris Bernardini DoD DISA Center for Standards 10701 Parkridge Blvd. (JEBE) Reston, VA Phone: 703-735-3566 Fax: 703-735-3257 E-mail: bernardd@ncrm.disa.mil

Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board

Richard L. Black U.S. Department of Energy EH-31/CXXI 20010 Century Blvd. Germantown, MD 20874 Phone: 301-903-3465 Fax: 301-903-6172 E-mail:

Dennis Bodson National Communications System 701 South Court House Rd. Arlington, VA 22203 Phone: 703-607-6200 Fax: 703-607-4830 E-mail: BODSOND@ncs.gov

Dolf L. Bonenberger West Valley Nuclear Services Co., Inc. WV-52 10282 Rock Springs Rd. West Valley, NY 14171 Phone: 716-942-4210 Fax: 716-942-2240 E-mail: SHUGARSL@DOE.WV.GOV

#### James A. Booth

EG&G Mound Applied Technologies One Mound Rd. Miamisburg, OH 45342 Phone: 937-865-4504 Fax: 937-865-3835 E-mail: bootja@doe-md.gov

Dale Chah

08/29/97

# William Boyce U.S. Department of Energy Office of Safety and Health EM-4 Washington, DC 20585 Phone: 202-586-8856 Fax: E-mail: William.Boyce@em.doc.gov Jcannie Boyle U.S. Department of Energy 19901 Germantown Rd. Germantown, MD 20874 Phone: 301-903-3393 Fax:

E-mail: Eugenia.Boyle@eh.doe.gov

#### Kathy Brack

Mason & Hanger Corporation Pantex Plant P.O. Box 30020 Amarillo, TX 79120-0020 Phone: 806-477-4099 Fax: 806-477-4241 E-mail: krobinet@pantex.com

#### **Jim Burtle**

Federal Communications Commission 1919 M St., N.W. Washington, DC Phone: 202-418-2445 Fax: E-mail: JBurtle@fcc.gov

## Amy Bush

Oak Ridge National Laboratory P.O. Box 2009 Oak Ridge, TN 37831-8065 Phone: 423-576-2395 Fax: 423-574-0382 E-mail: az3@ornl.gov

#### W. Earl Carnes

U.S. Department of Energy EH-31 20030 Century Blvd. Germantown, MD 20874 Phone: 301-903-0077 Fax: 301-903-0557 E-mail: Earl.Carnes@hq.doe.gov

U.S. Department of Energy EH-52/GTN Washington, DC 20585 Phone: 301-903-4359 Fax: E-mail: Belinda Collins, Ph.D. National Institute of Standards and Technology Bldg. 820, Room 285 Gaithersburg, MD 20899 Phone: 301-975-6455 Fax: E-mail: belinda.collins@nist.gov **James Crabtree** U.S. Department of Energy NN-512 19901 Germantown Rd. Germantown, MD 20874 Phone: 301-903-6008 Fax: 301-903-8717 E-mail: James. Crabtree@hq.doe.gov John D. Crawford, Jr. 11405 Farmland Dr. Rockville, MD 20852 Phone: 301-881-4268 Fax: E-mail: Mayme Crowell Oak Ridge Institute for Science and Education P.O. Box 117 Oak Ridge, TN 37831-0117 Phone: 423-576-3406 Fax: 423-576-6675 E-mail: crowellm@orau.gov **Gloria Cummins** Waste Management Federal Services of Hanford P.O. Box 700 Richland, WA 99352 Phone: 509-372-2484

E-mail: gloria d cummins@rl.gov

Fax.

08/29/97

#### Rich Cunningham U.S. Department of Energy DP-45, Rm. GE063 1000 Independence Ave., S.W. Washington, DC 20585 Phone: 202-586-7032 Fax: 202-586-6575 E-mail: richard.cunningham@dp.doc.gov

**Gustave Danielson** 

U.S. Department of Energy EH-31 19901 Germantown Rd. Germantown, MD 20874 Phone: 301-903-2954 Fax: 301-903-6172 E-mail: bud.danielson@ch.doe.gov

#### Mosi Dayani

U.S. Department of Energy Savannah River Operations Office P.O. Box A, Bldg. 703-47A Aiken, SC 29802 Phone: 803-725-7721 Fax: 803-725-5153 E-mail: mosi.dayani@srs.gov

#### **Christine DeVaux**

National Institute of Standards and Technology Bldg. 820, Room 249 Gaithersburg, MD 20899 Phone: 301-975-5503 Fax: E-mail: christine.devaux@nist.gov

#### **George Detsis**

U.S. Department of Energy EH-53/270CC 19901 Germantown Rd. Germantown, MD 20874 Phone: 301-903-1488 Fax: 301-903-8817 E-mail: George.Detsis@hq.doe.gov

#### **Kelly Duniap**

U.S. Department of Energy Office of Scientific & Technical Info. P.O. Box 62 Oak Ridge, TN 37831 Phone: 423-576-1258 Fax: 423-241-3826 E-mail: Kelly.Dunlap@ccmail.osti.gov Ken Duvall U.S. Department of Energy EH-412 FORS/1000 Independence Ave. Germantown, MD 20874 Phone: 202-586-0242 202-586-3915 Fax: E-mail: Kenneth.Duvall@ch.doe.gov Janice Eason TRESP Associates, Inc. 687 Emory Valley Rd. Suite B Oak Ridge, TN 37830 Phone: 423-241-2468 Fax: 423-483-3466 E-mail: jeason@stride.doe.gov Gerald M. Eisenberg ASME 345 E. 47th St. New York, NY 10017 Phone: 212-705-8510 212-705-7196 Fax. E-mail: 102203.415@compuserve.com **Edward Farrell** Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory 7000 East Ave., Code L654 Bldg. 551, East Livermore, CA 94550 Phone: 510-423-4011 510-423-3977 Fax: E-mail: ewf@llnl.gov Jeffrey D. Feit U.S. Department of Energy EH-31 CXXI, 19901 Germantown Rd. Germantown, MD 20874 Phone: 301-903-3927 Fax: 301-903-7063 E-mail: Jeffrey.Feit@eh.doe.gov **Judith Foulke** U.S. Department of Energy EH-5/GTN 19901 Germantown Rd. Germantown, MD 20874 Phone: 301-903-5865 Fax: 301-903-7773 E-mail: Judy.Foulke@hq.doe.gov

## John Fredlund U.S. Department of Energy DP-45/GTN 19901 Germantown Rd. Germantown, MD 20874 Phone: 301-903-3059 Fax: 301-903-8754 E-mail: John.Fredlund@dp.doc.gov

Oak Ridge National Laboratory P.O. Box 2008 Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6429 Phone: 423-241-3651 Fax: 423-574-5738 E-mail: zig@ornl.gov

#### John R. Gerber

West Valley Nuclear Services Co., Inc. WV-B1G 10282 Rock Springs Rd. West Valley, NY 14171 Phone: 716-942-4885 Fax: 716-942-4992 E-mail: gerberj@doe.wv.gov

#### **George Gianios**

U.S. Department of Defense 701 Robbins Ave. Bldg. 4-D Philadelphia, PA 19111-5094 Phone: 215-697-4741 Fax: 215-697-1462 E-mail: george\_gianios@ddas.mil

#### **Robert C. Girton**

Lockheed Martin Idaho Technologies Company P.O. Box 1625 MS 3428 Idaho Falls, ID 83415-3428 Phone: 208-526-1070 Fax: 208-526-8536 E-mail: GCR@inel.gov

#### Sat P. Goel

U.S. Department of Energy 19901 Germantown Rd. Germantown, MD 20874 Phone: 301-903-3057 Fax: E-mail: sat.goel@oer.doe.gov

Manuel R. Gomez American Industrial Hygiene Association 2700 Prosperity Ave. Suite 250 Fairfax, VA 22031 Phone: 703-849-8888 Fax: 703-247-3561 E-mail: mgomez@aiha.org Stephen A. Green Westinghouse Electric Corporation 11 Stanwix St. Pittsburgh, PA 15222 Phone: 412-642-2455 Fax: 412-642-3224 E-mail: greensa@westinghouse.com **Kevin Greenaugh** U.S. Department of Energy 19901 Germantown Rd. Germantown, MD 20874 Phone: 301-903-5709 Fax: 301-903-8754 E-mail: Kevin.Greenaugh@dp.doe.gov **Gordon Guenterberg** Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory P.O. Box 808 L-360 Livermore, CA 94551 Phone: 510-423-0807 Fax: 510-423-7942 E-mail: **Dinesh Gupta** U.S. Department of Energy EM-4 Rm. 415, Trevion II Washington, DC 205855 Phone: 301-903-7990 Fax: 301-903-1550 E-mail: Dinesh.Gupta@em.doe.gov Kenneth W. Hanks Sandia National Laboratories Manager, Laboratory Standards Dept. P.O. Box 5800, MS 1367 Albuquerque, NM 87185 Phone: 505-845-9948 Fax: 505-844-1390 E-mail: kwhanks@sandia.gov

08/29/97

#### **Claudia Harris**

NAPHCC/IAPMO 180 S. Washington St. Falls Church, VA 22046 Phone: 800-533-7694 Fax: 703-237-7442 E-mail: CHarris@NAPHCC.org

#### **Tim Harvey**

Roy F. Weston 1395 Piccard Dr. Suite 200 Rockville, MD Phone: 301-208-6823 Fax: 301-208-6801 E-mail: tim.harvcy@oer.doe.gov

#### George H. Haynes

U.S. Department of Energy DP-45 19901 Germantown Rd. Germantown, MD 20874 Phone: 301-903-6210 Fax: 301-903-7065 E-mail: george.haynes@dp.doe.gov

#### Jeanette K. Helfrich

U.S. Department of Energy GC-52 1000 Independence Ave., S.W. Washington, DC 20585 Phone: 202-586-4218 Fax: 202-586-6977 E-mail: Jeanette.Helfrich@hq.doe.gov

#### Marcia Hernandez

Lockheed Martin Idaho Technologies Company P.O. Box 1625 Idaho Falls, ID 83415-0316 Phone: 208-526-6470 Fax: 208-526-6361 E-mail:

#### **Rudolph C. Hirzel**

U.S. Department of Energy Chicago Operations Office 9800 S. Cass Ave. Argonne, IL 60439 Phone: 630-252-7818 Fax: 630-252-2835 E-mail: Rudolph.Hirzel@ch.doe.gov Lynn Holt Lockheed Martin Idaho Technologies Company P.O. Box 1625 MS-3405 Idaho Falls, ID 83415 Phone: 208-526-8121 Fax: 208-526-5880 E-mail: HoltGL@tis.eh.doe.gov Tammra W. Horning Oak Ridge National Laboratory P.O. Box 2009 Oak Ridge, TN 37831-8065 Phone: 423-574-5208 Fax: 423-574-0382 E-mail: HorningTW@ornl.gov **Merle Jackson** Duke Engineering Services NW 840 Northgate, Rm. 201, 700 Area P.O. Box 150, MS B1-14 Richland, WA 99352 Phone: 509-373-7824 Fax: 509-373-1134 E-mail: Merle\_D\_Jackson@rl.gov C. Susi Jackson Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory 7000 East Ave. L-633 Livermore, CA 94550 Phone: 510-423-6577 510-422-2470 Fax: E-mail: jackson4@llnl.gov **Deborah A. Jackson** U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission MS T-10 E10 Washington, DC 20555 Phone: 301-415-5887 Fax: 301-415-5074 E-mail: DAJ1@NRC.GOV Laura A. James, Ph.D., RN U.S. Food and Drug Administration Office of Policy 5600 Parklawn Bldg. Rockville, MD Phone: 301-827-3344 Fax: 301-443-6906 E-mail: LJames@oc.fda.gov

08/29/97

#### Edward L. Jordan U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 11555 Rockville Pike, MS 05E6 Rockville, MD 20852 Phone: 301-415-1705 Fax: 301-415-2162 E-mail: ELJ1@nrc.gov

Stephen H. Kale

Systematic Management Services, Inc. 20201 Century Blvd. Germantown, MD 20874 Phone: 301-353-0072 Fax: 301-353-0076 E-mail: Stephen.Kale@dp.doe.gov

#### **Gail Kleiner**

U.S. Department of Energy 19901 Germantown Rd. Germantown, MD 20874 Phone: 301-903-5601 Fax: 301-903-7773 E-mail: Gail.Kleiner@eh.doe.gov

#### **Kitty Kono**

ASTM - Washington Office 1828 L. St. N.W. Suite 900 Washington, DC 20036 Phone: 202-835-0200 Fax: 202-835-0201 E-mail: kkono@astm.org

#### Sherry Kontes Lockheed Martin Idaho Technologies Company P.O. Box 1625 Idaho Falls, ID 83415-0316 Phone: 208-526-6030 Fax: 208-526-6361 E-mail:

Frank Kornegay Oak Ridge National Laboratory P.O. Box 2009 Oak Ridge, TN 37831-6275 Phone: 423-574-6688 Fax: 423-576-8739 E-mail: KornegayFC@ornl.gov Lynne Kroggel Los Alamos National Laboratory P.O. Box 1663 **MS C303** Los Alamos, NM 87544 Phone: 505-665-6011 505-665-4660 Fax: E-mail: lkroggel@lanl.gov Paul F. Krumpe U.S. Department of Energy **DP-45** 19901 Germantown Rd. Germantown, MD 20874 Phone: 301-903-2356 Fax: 301-903-6623 E-mail: paul.krumpe@dp.doe.gov **Dennis Kubicki** U.S. Department of Energy EH 313/270 Room 5112 Washington, DC 20585 Phone: 301-903-4794 Fax: 301-903-2239 E-mail: Dennis.Kubicki@eh.doe.gov Jack Lance **Electric Power Research Institute** 1300 Harris Blvd. Charlotte, NC Phone: 704-547-6063 704-547-6035 Fax: E-mail: jlance@epri.com Lori Lane Oak Ridge National Laboratory P.O. Box 2009 Oak Ridge, TN 37831-8065 Phone: 423-574-7886 423-574-0382 Fax: E-mail: 1j8@ornl.gov Krista J. Leuteritz National Institute of Standards and Technology Bldg. 820, Room 282 Gaithersburg, MD 20899 Phone: 301-975-5104 Fax: 301-963-2871 E-mail: krista.leuteritz@nist.gov

#### Marlene Lydick

U.S. Department of Energy HR-4/FORS 1000 Independence Ave. Germantown, MD 80374 Phone: 202-586-3278 Fax: 202-586-9585 E-mail:

#### John MacEvoy

Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board 625 Indiana Ave., N.W. Suite 700 Washington, DC 20004 Phone: 202-208-6387 Fax: E-mail: johnm@dnfsb.gov

#### Lynn Maestas

U.S. Department of Energy Albuquerque Operations Office P.O. Box 5400 Albuquerque, NM 87185-5400 Phone: 505-845-6388 Fax: 505-845-5810 E-mail: Imaestas@doeal.gov

#### **Marty Marchbanks**

Oak Ridge National Laboratory P.O. Box 2009 Oak Ridge, TN 37831-8065 Phone: 423-241-3658 Fax: 423-574-0385 E-mail: MarchbanksMF@ornl.gov

#### Gary T. Mays

Oak Ridge National Laboratory P.O. Box 2009 Bldg. 9201-3, MS-8065 Oak Ridge, TN 37831-8065 Phone: 423-574-0394 Fax: 423-574-0382 E-mail: MaysGT@ornl.gov

#### James J. McAndrews

Westinghouse Savannah River Company 730-B Aiken, SC 29801 Phone: 803-952-8099 Fax: 803-952-8383 E-mail:

#### Jaralyn D. McAtee Pacific Northwest National Laboratory Requirements Management P.O. Box 999 Richland, WA 99352 Phone: 509-372-3605 Fax: 509-376-6663 E-mail: JD\_Mcatee@pnl.gov

Daniel L. McCollum U.S. Department of Energy Federal Energy Technology Center P.O. Box 880 Morgantown, WV 26507-0880 Phone: 304-285-4780 Fax: 304-285-4403 E-mail: daniel.mccollum@fetc.doe.gov

#### **Timothy M. McGuire**

Roy F. Weston, Inc. 1395 Piccard Dr. Suite 200 Rockville, MD 20850 Phone: 301-208-6806 Fax: 301-208-6805 E-mail: Tim.Mcguire@oer.doe.gov

#### Mary McKiel U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

PPD-7409 401 M. St., S.W. Washington, DC 20460 Phone: 202-260-3584 Fax: E-mail: McKiel.Mary@epamail.epa.gov

#### **Diane Meier**

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory 20201 Century Blvd. 1st Floor Germantown, MD 20874 Phone: 301-916-7719 Fax: 301-916-7777 E-mail: Meier6@llnl.gov

#### **Richard Meier**

Meadowbrook International 11141 Timberhead Court Reston, VA 20191 Phone: 703-295-0838 Fax: 703-295-0838 E-mail: meadowbrookintl@worldnet.att.net

08/29/97

#### Irene Meisel Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory 20201 Century Blvd. 1st Floor Germantown, MD 20874 Phone: 301-916-7702 Fax: 301-916-7777 E-mail: Meisel2@llnl.gov

Gerald Meyers U.S. Department of Energy EH-53 Washington, DC Phone: 301-903-3190 Fax: 301-903-8817 E-mail: gerald.meyers@eh.doe.gov

#### **Gilbert Millman**

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555 Phone: 301-415-5843 Fax: 301-415-5151 E-mail: GCM@nrc.gov

## **Charles Moseley**

Lockheed Martin Energy Systems 701 Scarboro Rd. Oak Ridge, TN 37831 Phone: 423-241-6637 Fax: 423-241-6638 E-mail: xzq@ornl.gov

#### Kelly Mularie

Global Envionment & Technology Foundation 7010 Little River Turnpike Suite 300 Annandale, VA Phone: 703-750-6401 Fax: 703-750-6405 E-mail: kelly.mularie@getf.org

#### Dennis W. Murphy

Bechtel Nevada P.O. Box 98521 MS NLV007 Las Vegas, NV 89193-8521 Phone: 702-295-0734 Fax: 702-295-0674 E-mail: MurphyDW@nv.doe.gov **Ross Natoli** U.S. Department of Energy EH-41 Washington, DC 20585 Phone: 202-586-1336 Fax: 202-586-0955 E-mail: Ross.Natoli@eh.doe.gov **Theodore S. Needels** U.S. Department of Energy EM-76 Cloverleaf Germantown, MD 20874 Phone: 301-903-4684 Fax: 301-903-9691 E-mail: ted.needels@em.doe.gov **Dr. DeVaughn Nelson** U.S. Department of Energy Office of Energy Research 19901 Germantown Rd., GTN, Room E-232 Germantown, MD 20874-1290 Phone: 301-903-5608 301-903-7047 Fax: E-mail: DeVaughn.Nelson@oer.doe.gov John E. Notestein U.S. Department of Energy Morgantown Energy Tech. Center 3610 Collins Ferry Rd. Morgantown, WV 26507-0880 Phone: 304-285-4232 304-285-4292 Fax: E-mail: JNotes@fetc.doe.gov Anthony O'Neill National Fire Protection Association 1110 N. Glebe Rd. Suite 560 Arlington, VA 22201 Phone: 703-516-4346 Fax: 703-516-4350 E-mail: wdc@nfpa.org Percy S. Pan American Association for Laboratory Accreditation Manager, Business Development 6565 Quince Orchard Rd., Suite 620 Gaithersburg, MD 20878 Phone: 301-670-1377 Fax: E-mail: ppan@a21a.org

Elisabeth M. Parker National Institute of Standards and Technology Bldg. 820, Room 306 Gaithersburg, MD 20899-0001 Phone: 301-975-3089 Fax: 301-975-4715 E-mail: libby@nist.gov

#### Gerald T. Paulson

Lochkeed Martin Idaho Technologies Company P.O. Box 1625 Idaho Falls, ID 83404 Phone: 208-526-9436 Fax: 208-526-8287 E-mail: Jerry@inel.gov

#### Maria T. Pavlova

U.S. Department of Energy EH-61 19901 Germantown Rd. Germantown, MD 20874-1290 Phone: 301-903-3658 Fax: 301-903-5072 E-mail: maria.pavlova@hq.doe.gov

#### Ken Peabody

American National Standards Institute 11 W. 42nd St. New York, NY 10036 Phone: 212-642-8908 Fax: 212-398-0023 E-mail: KPeabody@ansi.org

## Maurice Pitt

U.S. Department of Energy DP-311/A-105-GTN 19901 Germantown Rd. Germantown, MD 20874-1290 Phone: 301-903-6582 Fax: 301-903-6744 E-mail: Maurice.Pitt@dp.doe.gov

#### **James T. Powers**

U.S. Department of Energy Office of Emergency Management 1000 Independence Ave., S.W. Washington, DC 20585 Phone: 202-586-0633 Fax: 202-586-3859 E-mail: powersj@oem.doe.gov **Ankur Purohit** Argonne National Laboratory 9700 S. Cass Ave. Technology Development Bldg. 207 Argonne, IL 60439 Phone: 630-252-6670 630-252-1774 Fax: E-mail: ankur@rd.anl.gov **Choonk Quan** U.S. Department of Energy Washington, DC Phone: 202-586-2834 Fax: E-mail: Joel L. Rabovsky U.S. Department of Energy EH-52 Washington, DC Phone: 301-903-2135 Fax: 301-903-2135 E-mail: joel.rabovsky@eh.doe.gov Joe Richards U.S. Department of Energy Hanford Operations Office 750 Swift Blvd., Suite 12 Richland, WA 99352 Phone: 509-946-0645 Fax: 509-946-1954 E-mail: Rjoey@ix.netcom.com Peter F. Riehm Science Applications International Corporation 20201 Century Blvd. 3rd Floor Germantown, MD 20874 Phone: 301-903-0520 Fax: 301-903-6744 E-mail: Sally Rood

National Technology Transfer Center 2121 Eisenhower Ave. Suite 400 Alexandria, VA 22314 Phone: 703-518-8800 Fax: 703-518-8986 E-mail: rood@nttc.edu

#### William Roy-Harrison

U.S. Department of Energy DP-45 19901 Germantown Rd. Germantown, MD 20874 Phone: 301-903-5171 Fax: 301-903-1562 E-mail: William.Roy-Harrison@dp.doc.gov

#### **James Sanderson**

U.S. Department of Energy 1000 Independence Ave. Washington, DC 20555 Phone: 202-586-1402 Fax: 202-586-0955 E-mail: jim.sanderson@hq.doe.gov

#### **Carl Schneider**

National Aeronautics and Space Administration Office of Safety and Mission Assurance IV&V Facility Fairmont, WV Phone: 304-367-8342 Fax: E-mail: Carl.Schneider@ivv.nasa.gov

#### Norm Schwartz

U.S. Department of Energy EH-31 20030 Century Blvd. Germantown, MD 20874-1290 Phone: 301-903-2996 Fax: 301-903-4594 E-mail: Norm.Schwartz@eh.doe.gov

#### **Jane Schweiker**

ANSI 7315 Wisconsin Ave. Bethesda, MD 20814 Phone: 301-469-3363 Fax: 301-469-3361 E-mail: jschweik@ansi.org

#### **Richard J. Serbu**

U.S. Department of Energy EH-31 CXXI, 19901 Germantown Rd. Germantown, MD 20874 Phone: 301-903-2856 Fax: 301-903-8817 E-mail: Richard.Serbu@eh.doe.gov Jeff Shadley U.S. Department of Energy Idaho Operations Office 850 Energy Dr. Idaho Falls, ID 83402 Phone: 208-526-5005 Fax: 208-526-7040 E-mail: ShadleJT@inel.gov Jean E. Shorett Pacific Northwest National Laboratory 901 D St., S.W. Suite 900 Washington, DC 20024-2115 Phone: 202-646-7809 Fax: 202-646-5233 E-mail: ji shorett@pnl.gov Leonard Sjostrom U.S. Department of Energy Savannah River Operations Office P.O. Box A Aiken, SC 29802 Phone: 803-725-5562 Fax: 803-725-7082 E-mail: leonard.sjostrom@srs.gov Jerry Smith **DISA Center for Standards** 10701 Parkridge Blvd. Reston, VA 20191-4327 Phone: 703-735-3305 703-735-3255 Fax<sup>-</sup> E-mail: Smith5J@ncr.disa.mil **Bobbie Smith** U.S. Department of Energy EM-43 Germantown, MD 20874 Phone: 301-903-7436 Fax: 301-903-3617 E-mail: bobbie.smith@em.doe.gov, **Oliver Smoot** Information Technology Industry Council 1250 Eye St., N.W. Suite 200 Washington, DC 20005 Phone: 202-626-5755 Fax: 202-638-4952 E-mail: OSmoot@itic.nw.dc.us

William K. Sowder Lockheed Martin Idaho Technologies Company P.O. Box 1625 Idaho Falls, ID 83415-3750 Phone: 208-526-3073 Fax: 208-526-1798 E-mail: wks@incl.gov Donald J. Spellman

Oak Ridge National Laboratory P.O. Box 2009 Oak Ridge, TN 37831-8065 Phone: 423-574-7891 Fax: 423-574-0382 E-mail: SpellmanDJ@ornl.gov

#### **Irv Spickler**

U.S. Department of Energy Washington, DC Phone: 301-921-9208 Fax: 301-921-1959 E-mail:

#### Larry Stirling

U.S. Department of Energy EH-41 1000 Independence Ave., S.W. Washington, DC 20589 Phone: 202-586-2417 Fax: 202-586-0959 E-mail: john.stirling@eh.doe.gov

#### **Diane Thompson**

Information Handling Services 15 Inverness Way E. Englewood, CO 80112 Phone: 303-397-2564 Fax: 303-397-2797 E-mail: Diane. Thompson@ihs.com

#### Patrick Tran

U.S. Department of Energy EH-51 19901 Germantown Rd. Germantown, MD 20874 Phone: 301-903-5638 Fax: 301-903-2239 E-mail:

Anthony J. Trennel Sandia National Laboratories P.O. Box 5800 MS 0717 Albuquerque, NM 87185-0717 Phone: 505-845-9542 505-844-0244 Fax: E-mail: ajtrenn@sandia.gov Joan Tyler National Institute of Standards and Technology Bldg. 820, Room 304 Gaithersburg, MD 20899 Phone: 301-975-5555 Fax: E-mail: joan.tyler@nist.gov Russell Vacante, Ph.D. Army Management Staff College 5500 21st St. Suite 2607 Ft. Belvoir, VA 22060-5934 Phone: 703-805-4727 Fax: 703-805-4801 E-mail: VacanteR@amsc.belvoir.army.mil **Robert J. Vondrasek** National Fire Protection Association 1 Batterymarch Park Quincy, MA 02269 Phone: 617-984-7440 Fax: 617-984-7110 E-mail: RVondrasek@nfpa.org Andrew Wallo U.S. Department of Energy EH-4/FORS 1000 Independence Ave., S.W. Washington, DC 20585 Phone: 202-586-4996 Fax: 202-586-3915 E-mail: Andrew. Wallo@eh.doe.gov **Robert Walsh** American National Standards Institute 11 West 42nd St. New York, NY 10036 Phone: 212-642-8921 Fax: 212-398-0023 E-mail: bwalsh@ansi.org

## J. Robert Wayland

Sandia National Laboratories Department 4301 P.O. Box 5800 Albuquerque, NM 87185-1367 Phone: 505-845-9771 Fax: 505-844-1390 E-mail: jrwayla@sandia.gov

#### Susan Martz Wayland

Sandia National Laboratories Department 15103, MS 0180 P.O. Box 5800 Albuquerque, NM 87185-1810 Phone: 505-284-4355 Fax: 505-284-4358 E-mail: smwayla@sandia.gov

#### Patrick J. Weaver

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory Requirements Management P.O. Box 999 Richland, WA 99352 Phone: 509-376-3075 Fax: 509-376-6663 E-mail: Patrick.Weaver@ccmail.pnl.gov

#### Arlene G. Weiner

Waste Management Federal Services of Hanford 2440 Stevens H6-21 Richland, WA 99352 Phone: 509-372-8119 Fax: E-mail: arlene g weiner@rl.gov

#### Mike Weis

U.S. Department of Energy Rocky Flats Field Office Golden, CO 80402 Phone: 303-966-7076 Fax: 303-966-2848 E-mail

#### Peter Weiss

Office of Management and Budget Office of Info. and Regulatory Affairs NEOB Room 10236 Washington, DC 20503 Phone: Fax: E-mail:

#### Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory 7000 East Ave., L-627 Livermore, CA 94550 Phone: 510-422-1266 Fax: 510-423-8847 E-mail: wells9@llnl.gov Cory Wilkinson Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory 20201 Century Blvd. First Floor Germantown, MD 20874-1113

James E. Wells

Germantown, MD 20874-1113 Phone: 301-916-7721 Fax: 301-916-7777 E-mail: Wilkinson@washops.llnl.gov

#### Don Wille

Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board 625 Indiana Ave., N.W. Suite 700 Washington, DC 20004 Phone: 202-208-6563 Fax: E-mail: donaldw@dnfsb.gov

Don L. Williams, Jr. Oak Ridge National Laboratory P.O. Box 2009 Oak Ridge, TN 37831-8065 Phone: 423-574-8710 Fax: 423-574-0382 E-mail: WilliamsDLjr@ornl.gov

#### **Trudie Williams**

U.S Department of Defense Standardization Program Division 5203 Leesburg Pike, Suite 1403 Falls Church, VA 22041 Phone: 703-681-5494 Fax: 703-681-7622 E-mail:

#### **Madelyn Wilson**

U.S. Department of Energy Office of Scientifc & Technical Info. P.O. Box 62 Oak Ridge, TN 37830 Phone: 423-576-8408 Fax: 423-576-2865 E-mail: Madelyn\_Wilson@ccmail.osti.gov

#### **Mclodic Witherspoon**

Fluor Daniel Hanford P.O. Box 1000 H8-66 Richland, WA 99352 Phone: 509-372-2472 Fax: 509-372-3950 E-mail: Mclodie\_R\_Witherspoon@rl.gov

#### Howard H. Woo

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory P.O. Box 808 L-360 Livermore, CA 94550 Phone: 510-423-1353 Fax: 510-423-7942 E-mail:

#### **Robert Wright**

U.S. Department of Energy Office of Fossil Energy FE-73/CC270 Washington, DC 20585-0002 Phone: 301-903-5471 Fax: 301-903-2713 E-mail: Robert.Wright@hq.doe.gov

#### **Steven Yesner**

Sandia National Laboratories MS 1041 Albuquerque, NM 87185 Phone: 505-844-3806 Fax: 505-844-8952 E-mail: scyesner@sandia.gov

#### John Yoder

U.S. Department of Energy EH-63 GTN/CXXI Germantown, MD 20874 Phone: 301-903-5650 Fax: 301-903-8817 E-mail: John.Yoder@eh.doe.gov

#### Won Yoon

U.S. Department of Energy NE-40/A-177/GTN 19901 Germantown Rd. Germantown, MD 20854 Phone: 301-903-5634 Fax: 301-903-4905 E-mail: won.yoon@hq.doe.gov

## INTENTIONALLY BLANK

# 1997 DOE Technical Standards Program Workshop

## Attachment C PRESENTATION MATERIALS

## INTENTIONALLY BLANK
### 1997 DOE Technical Standards Program Workshop

Tuesday, July 8, 1997 Session 1: Public Law 104-113 and its Influence on Federal Agency Standards Activities

### INTENTIONALLY BLANK

### Remarks to DoE Technical Standards Program

### Bruce McConnell, Chief of Information Policy and Technology Office of Management and Budget July 8, 1997 at 2:45 pm Loews L'Enfant Plaza Hotel

Thanks for the opportunity to participate in today's workshop. I understand that the Department of Energy has an excellent record in the use of voluntary standards, going back to DOE Order 1300.2A which requires the use of international and national voluntary standards in preference to government unique standards wherever practical. I also know that a large number of agency employees participate in the development of voluntary consensus standards, and that the standards community welcomes this involvement.

So, as I speak to you today, I am aware that I am faced with one of the most effective and involved agencies in the federal arena. Since I have been asked today to provide you with an update on the revision to OMB Circular A-119, which will provide guidance to the agencies on Section 12(d) of the NTTAA, I feel as if I am speaking to an audience that is ahead of the curve when it comes to implementation of this statute.

As you know, on March 7, 1996, the President signed into law the "National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act" or NTTAA ( P.L. 104-113). Section 12(d) of the Act imposed requirements, effective immediately, for the use of consensus technical standards. According to those closely involved in passage of the legislation, the Act was intended to codify existing OMB Circular A-119 on the federal use of voluntary standards.

In brief, Section 12(d) of the Act imposed the requirement that agencies "use voluntary consensus standards ... to carry out policy objectives or activities determined by the agencies ... unless inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical." Agencies are also to "consult with voluntary, private sector, consensus standards bodies and shall ... participate" with them in developing standards "when such participation is in the public interest and is compatible with agency and departmental missions, authorities, priorities, and budget resources."

Finally, if compliance is "inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impractical, a Federal agency or department may elect to use technical standards that are not developed or adopted by voluntary consensus standards bodies if the head of each such agency or department transmits to the Office of Management and Budget an explanation of the reasons for using such standards." Each year, the OMB, "shall transmit to Congress and its committees a report summarizing all explanations received in the previous year."

In simple terms, agencies are not to reinvent the wheel by coming up with a unique standard when a perfectly good one is already available in the private sector. And if agencies determine that they need to develop their own standard, they are free to do so, as long as they provide a valid explanation in their report to OMB.

This policy sounds like a pretty common sense idea. But, like many good ideas, it quickly became clear that more guidance was needed, and the current Circular was insufficient. Moreover, although the Act was intended to codify current OMB Circular A-119 on the federal use of voluntary standards, a closer inspection revealed that the law and the current Circular differed in several ways, and that the Circular should be revised accordingly.

So, beginning last summer, OMB began its work of revising the Circular, working closely with the Interagency Committee on Standards Policy. A draft revision was released in December, and over 50 comments were received. In response to the comments, and in close coordination with the ICSP, we are now preparing a final version. Publication is anticipated by the end of the summer.

Without going into great detail, the principle issues to be resolved focused on definitions, federal participation, and the new reporting requirement.

 First, the law and the Circular relied on different terminology, as in the use of "voluntary consensus technical standard," "voluntary standard," and "technical standard."

 Second, the law directed agencies to participate in such bodies, but conflicting comments were received from the public, advocating both greater and less participation.

• Third, the law added an additional reporting requirement, that agencies report "the reasons for using [non-voluntary consensus standards]."

I will touch only briefly on the first two issues. Regarding definitions, I can only say that have found there to be a distinct lack of consensus when it comes to standardized definitions. Nevertheless, I am confident that our lengthy process of consultation with both the federal agencies and the public will produce a meaningful understanding of such terms as "consensus" and "technical standard."

Regarding participation, it is difficult to create a one-size-fits-all solution to the issue of how to ensure that agencies participate equally in standards development, without dominating the process. Again, I expect to see language emerge that will ensure the right balance.

Regarding the reporting requirement, the law requires agencies to report to Congress when they fail to use voluntary, consensus standards. Overall, I have the impression that your agency is in pretty good shape. For one thing, your Technical Standards Program seems to be a model approach to reviewing and overseeing the Department's standards activities. In addition, you have already relied on, for some time, directives such as DOE Order 1300.2A. And finally, you, too, have benefited from the government-wide trend in procurement to use commercial off-the-shelf products, which are presumed to rely on voluntary consensus standards.

However, all is not good news. Remember, Congress insisted on an annual report that describes when agencies do not use voluntary consensus standards. Although it appears that your agency is in substantial compliance, the law still requires a report.

What OMB is currently proposing is that your agency establish a "Standards Management System" that will demonstrate to Congress that your agency is reviewing its procurements or its regulatory actions to make sure that, should an exception to the policy arise, the agency will be capable of reporting such. This is a highly flexible approach, one that will accommodate the varying needs of agencies, whether they use standards primarily in regulation or in procurement.

A Standards Management System is a system that identifies, tracks, and reviews agency use of standards. At a minimum, such a system needs to identify where your agency used a government-unique standard in lieu of a voluntary, consensus standard. Based on the many different ways agencies use standards, OMB recognizes that different management systems may be better suited to different situations. For example, your agency may choose to establish a management system that identifies standards on a **transaction** basis, such as individual procurements or regulations. Alternatively, your agency may choose to establish a management system that identifies standards on a **categorical** basis, through an inventory or database that tracks how a certain standard is used throughout the agency.

Your agency may choose to establish a standards management system based on either approach or a combination of both. Subject to approval by OMB, your agency may also choose to establish an alternative management system.

The purpose of a standards management system is to enable your agency to report to OMB through NIST on your agency's uses of non-voluntary consensus standards, along with an explanation. This report, due December 31 of the following fiscal year, may summarize such uses and explanations as your agency deems appropriate and necessary.

To report on a categorical basis, your agency needs to:

maintain a centralized system of standards management that identifies how your agency uses standards; systematically review your agency's use of government-unique standards for conversion to voluntary consensus standards; and

maintain records on instances in which your agency uses a government-unique standard in lieu of a voluntary consensus standard, including an explanation.

This concludes my remarks. On behalf of OMB, I am looking forward to continuing to work with the Department of Energy as we conclude the process of revising OMB Circular A-119 this summer. Again, thank you for the opportunity to participate in today's activities.

### INTENTIONALLY BLANK



Trudie Williams, OUSD(A&T) 703-681-5494 williatl@acq.osd.mil http://www.acq.osd.mil/es/std



## **Definition**

## A consensus document developed by a private sector standards developing organization. Sometimes called a voluntary standard, private sector standard, or industry standard. Company standards are not non-government standards.

## DoD Supports P.L. 104-113 Goals

- DoD has a process in place to encourage the use of industry standards in lieu of Military documents.
  - It is DoD policy for each Military document to undergo a review every five years.
    - Since July 1994, DoD has reviewed and categorized all of its Military specifications and standards.

DoD NGS strategy



- DoD continually works with standards organizations to develop industry replacements for Military documents.
  - Equal Partner Implementation Committee (EPIC)
  - Early Warning Project Group (EWPG)
- DoD policies on development and adoption of NGS flow from the Public Law.

## DoD Supports P.L. 104-113 Goals

- The DoD process (cont.):
  - DoD maintains executive level oversight on development of new documents to determine if there is a commercial counterpart.
  - DoD requires any mandatory use of a Military series document in a major acquisition be justified and approved by the appropriate authority.



## **DoD Policy Memos Reflect Public Law**

 Policy memo,18 Mar 97, subject: Guidance on Development and Adoption of NGS

A the second second to the second second

tomorate data for a second

- Do not develop and use NGS simply to avoid use of Gov't specs and standards.
  - Acid test: Will the NGS be used by other than DoD?
- DoD is trying to gain more access to commercial products and practices ... NGS are one way to gain this access.

### **DoD Policy Memos Reflect Public Law**

- Policy memo, 7 Jul 95, subject: NGS Policies
  - DoD activities are encouraged to adopt
    NGS to provide visibility and accessibility.
    - DoD activities and contractors may cite NGS even if document has not been adopted by DoD.
  - Use of performance specs does not eliminate the preference for NGS.

### DoD Has Difficulty Complying With P.L. 104-113 Reporting Requirement

- DoD is compliant with the spirit of the Public Law and intent of OMB Circular A-119.
  - OMB acknowledges compliance.
- Draft OMB Circular A-119 requires DoD track every procurement and report each instance when a Military document is used in lieu of an NGS.
  - An onerous requirement for DoD.



ا به الموليد التركيلية المعالمين من المعالمين المعالمين المعالية المعالية المعالية المعالية المعالية المعالية و ويستقد المستقدمة المعالية الم

- Canada Co

Year

### DoD Has Difficulty Complying With P.L.104-113 Reporting Requirement

- DoD has a management system in place to identify, track, and review NGS use.
  - Since 1982, DoD has provided input to OMB on NGS replacements, adoptions, and employee participation in development activities.
- DoD and OMB are discussing reporting options.



P.L. 104-113 — Technology Transfer Act — Section 12

- Codified OMB A119
- Congressional Intent Clear
- Utilize Private Sector-Developed
  Consensus Technical Standards
- O For Procurement/Acquisition
- (i.e., Technical Requirements of Regulations) For Regulatory Purposes 0
- As a means to carry out agency policy objectives

American National Standards Institute (ANSI)

Purposes (ByLaws — 1995)

- 1.) Coordinate voluntary standards activities
- 2.) Represent U.S.A. in ISO/IEC
- 3.) Promote voluntary standards/certification activities
- 4.) Protect public interest; promote participation/ representation
- 5.) Facilitate new standards activities where needed
- 6.) Approve American National Standards "ANS" . . .

American National Standards Institute (ANSI)

Purposes (ByLaws — 1995) — [CONTINUED]

7.) Recognize certification programs

8.) Cooperate with government

9.) Promote use of American National Standards

10.) Clearinghouse for standards/certification information

# □ ANSI Purposes

## Number 8

 $\infty$ 

federal, state and local governments in achieving (i) optimum compatibility between government laws usage of American National Standards; and (iii) industry and commerce; (ii) maximum common To cooperate with departments and agencies of and regulations and the voluntary standards of broader cooperation between government and industry on testing, certification and quality assurance matters

### Use of Consensus Technical Codes/Standards for Procurement/Acquisition (NFPA Examples)



Protect Corporate or Agency assets, employee safety and visitors' safety

When building new or renovating existing

Retroactive re-hab

<u>SimplifiedDefinition</u>: Procurement (or acquisition) means the purchasing of installations or equipment that provide for protection of assets and people to carry out purpose of the agency

### Use of Consensus Technical Codes/Standards for Procurement/Acquisition (NFPA Examples) [CONTINUED]

### • Examples

- Electrical wiring, fire alarm systems
- Fire sprinkler, Halon or standpipe systems
- Fire engines, firefighter equipment
- Industrial process safety; spray finishing, dust hazards, etc.

- Use of Consensus Technical Codes/Standards for Regulatory Purposes (NFPA Examples)
  - Protect the Public Health, Safety and Welfare
    - State and Local matter (Intrastate)
    - O Except Interstate which is federal matter
  - Fire, Electrical, Fuel Gas, Propane Safety at State and Local Level
    - For the "Built Environment"

- Use of Consensus Technical Codes/Standards for Regulatory Purposes (NFPA Examples) [CONTINUED]
  - Building Codes use of reference technical standards (State and Local)

Simplified Definition: Regulatory purposes means regulating public safety, health or environment

### Indirect Regulatory Impact (NFPA Examples)



Life Safety Code® minimum requirements for federal-Medicare/Medicaid reimbursement eligibility of health care facilities

 Hotel Motel Fire Safety Act — Federal Employee Travel Policy

- New Trends Regulatory Use of Private Sector-Developed Codes and Standards (Federal Impact of P.L. 104-113)
  - Pipeline Regulations DOT Liquefied Natural Gas NFPA 59A
  - Manufactured Housing Construction and Safety Standards — HUD — NFPA 501
  - Life Safety Code for Cruise Ships United States Coast Guard — NFPA 301

- Why Use Private Sector-Developed Technical Standards for Regulatory Purposes
  - Represents timely "state-of-the-art" advances (NFPA 59A)
  - Recognizes downsizing and budget cuts in Federal agencies
  - Federal agencies meet legislative mandate to regulate;
    while assuring highest level of technical expertise is
    utilized
- Reduces adversarial relationship between regulator (government) and regulated (industry)
- States and local governments have been doing so for decades

### Federal Agency Challenges

•

Match consensus technical standards to requirements of the authorizing legislation

- •
- Clearly understand the legislative intent of the authorizing legislation
- Make sure industry and other vested interests are "on board"...
- Provide incentives for private sector standards developing organization to maintain / update the consensus standards

- □ NFPA's Home Page . . . Internet "Codes & Standards Home" . . . Website
  - ♦ All current proposals and comments
  - Enter NFPA standard number or cycle for revision (Fall 1997, Annual 1998)
  - Download in "Acrobat Reader" format
  - http://www.nfpa.org/

### INTENTIONALLY BLANK

### ASTM and the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act Kathleen Riley Kono July 8, 1997 Washington, D.C.

Good afternoon. It is a real pleasure to be here to talk about ASTM's view of the National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act. My talk will be in three parts. First, to put things into perspective, I will present an overview of ASTM and how we develop standards; then talk about the long and very successful history of government involvement in the development and use of ASTM standards with a particular focus on DOE; and conclude by making some recommendations on how we can fine tune the system to maximize the benefits of working together for the development of standards.

#### What is ASTM?

ASTM is one of the world's largest developers of voluntary consensus standards for all types of material, products, systems, and services. In 1998, we will celebrate our 100<sup>th</sup> anniversary. In that time our 132 main technical committees have developed over 10,000 full consensus standards. These standards encompass everything from nuclear energy, solar energy, geothermal energy, coal and coke, petroleum, steel, thermal insulation to standards for vacuum cleaners, medical and surgical devices, computers, baseball helmets, and golf clubs.

ASTM and other major standards developers within the United States are different from standards developers in other countries in that most other countries only allow voting participation from the citizens of that particular country. Within the U.S., we encourage the participation of experts from anywhere in the world. ASTM currently has 34,000 members from 100 countries.

### What is Full Consensus?

Full Consensus within ASTM has three principals. The first is that we do our best to encourage all the key stakeholders to participate. For standards that could be used for regulation, the key stakeholders are the buyers, the sellers, the regulators, and the people from research and academia who have a stake in that particular commodity. If all of these sectors are not represented, then the standard that is developed will not be as strong as if it had the input from all.

The second principal is Balance of Interest. In ASTM we ensure a balance of interest by requiring that all main technical committees and subcommittees developing standards for commercial products must not have more producer voting members than user and general interest voting members. Producers cannot dominate the membership of a main committee or subcommittee.

And the third principal is Due Process, which means that anyone who wishes to express a written opinion about a standard has the opportunity to do so. And if the participant does not like the response of the ASTM subcommittee regarding his or her opinion, he or she may appeal at every step in the standards development process all the way up to the ASTM Board of Directors.

### The Federal Government and ASTM

The federal government has been an integral part of ASTM for almost our entire history. As far back as 1917, William Bixby then the Chief of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers was the Chairman of the Board of ASTM. Many hundreds of federal employees have since served in leadership capacities. Nancy Trahey, formerly with the U.S. Department of Energy, New Brunswick Laboratory was chairman of our Committee C-26 on Nuclear Fuel Cycle from 1983 - 1989. And although she left DOE to work at the National Institute for Standards and Technology, she served as the ASTM Chairman of the Board in 1993.
Today, there are about 1,500 federal employees participating on our technical committees. Five hundred are from DoD and the remaining 1,000 represent various regulatory agencies. DOE Headquarters in particular has 23 participants in ASTM participating on about 20 different ASTM technical committees. I've brought with me the names and addresses of all the DOE participants and what committees they belong to.

In addition there are hundreds of individuals representing DOE as operating contractors or representatives from the various national laboratories who have been participating in ASTM for decades. For instance, today over one/half of the 200 representatives that make up our Committee C-16 on Nuclear Fuel Cycle represent DOE or one if its contractors. This includes the Chairman, two vice chairman, and the secretary. Twenty three representatives from DOE labs participate on our Nuclear Technology Committee, including two vice chairmen, and seven representatives from DOE labs participate on our thermal insulation committee. The contributions that these DOE affiliated personnel have made to the development of voluntary consensus standards in these three areas alone is phenomenal.

### CFR References to ASTM

Participation is one measure, but perhaps even more important is how often the Federal Government has adopted by reference voluntary standards in the Code of Federal Regulations. About one year ago, I went to the NIST library and looked through every volume of the Code of Federal Regulations and found about 600 ASTM standards referenced about 800 times by a dozen different agencies. The Department of Energy references 50 ASTM standards.

I thought this was great until I looked at the year dates for the referenced standards and noted that more than 90% of the 800 references were out of date by an average of 10 years and some by more than 20. In addition quite a few of the referenced standards had been withdrawn from the ASTM books without replacement.

It is important to note at this point that the majority of work that goes on in an ASTM standards writing committee is not the development of new standards (although an average of 400 new standards are developed each year), but the revision of current standards to keep them up to date with new technology. Every ASTM standard is reviewed at least once every five years. If an agency adopts a standard by reference and then never goes back to review it and keep it up to date, then the agency is prohibiting the use of newer technology by those who are regulated by that standard. This is an important point that needs to be addressed.

Looking over the list of referenced standards we found that every agency is as guilty as the next in this regard, except for one, the Minerals Management Society, part of the Department of the Interior, which has made it a practice to review its standards incorporated by reference on a yearly basis, and will update those standards regularly through a direct final rule in the Federal Register.

### What can ASTM do?

First we can provide the current date of every standard that each agency references. In fact, I've brought with me today copies of the titles of all 50 ASTM standards that DOE references, the current year date of each standard, and where those references appear in the Code of Federal Regulations (10 CFR).

Second, in the future ASTM will automatically notify the appropriate person within each agency when a standard has been revised and make a request that the agency review the latest version and consider updating the reference.

Third, if a notice is put in the Federal Register proposing to update a standard and comments are generated, ASTM would be happy to help respond to those comments.

# What can DOE do?

First, make it a practice to regularly review the standards incorporated by reference.

Second, streamline the update process by going through a direct final rule procedure in the Federal Register.

And third, actively participate in the standards writing process. Make sure that if you are referencing a group of standards from a particular committee that you have representation on that committee. For example, DOE references 28 standards from ASTM's committee on Thermal Insulation. Seven representatives from National Laboratories participate on the ASTM committee, but I'm not sure if any of those representatives are aware that DOE references 28 standards, 22 of which are all out of date by many years. Someone at DOE Headquarters should somehow be in the loop to ensure that these standards are kept up-to-date.

## Tools From ASTM

ASTM has a number of tools that can be used to determine what the latest version of an ASTM standard is. All the titles and scopes and year dates of all of our 10,000 standards are on the Internet. In addition, by August 1, all ASTM standards will be available electronically through the Internet. What this means is that you can have access and download a standard from our data base into your computer at the click of a mouse for a reasonable fee.

## Need for New Standards

Finally, let me address potential need for new standards. If DOE or any agency has a need for a standard and does not know where to begin. May I suggest that you first call the National Center for Standards and Certification Information at NIST. Their telephone number is 301-975-4037. JoAnne Overman is the head of that office and she can tell you what standards organization could best help you in the development of that standard.

If by chance she tells you it is ASTM, we have a new activities director named Terri Luthy who can also help. Her telephone number is 610-832-9679. She will work with you to determine who the key stakeholders are, find the right committee in ASTM to incorporate the activity, and facilitate the process to get a new standard developed as quickly as possible.

I can also help with any questions you may have. My telephone number is 610-832-9687 and e-mail is kkono@astm.org. Please do not hesitate to call.











# Standard

A document that has been developed and established within the consensus principles of the Society and that meets the approval requirements of ASTM procedures and regulations



	cipating?	
DOD (500)	• NASA (77)	
NIST (230)	DOT (77)	
HHS (88)	USDA (72)	
EPA (74)	Treasury (34)	
	CPSC (22)	
DOF (03)	GSA (10)	
DUE (23)	E Labor (9)	
FTC (2)	HUD (8)	
VA (14)	Postal Serv (5)	
NRC (13)	n Justice (2)	



Protective Coating and Linin Power Generation Facilities	g work for
Nuclear Technology	
Quality and Statistics	
Chromatography	1
Healthcare informatics	1
Conformity Assessment	3
Occupational Health and Sal	fety

CFR Refer	ences to ASTM
∎HUD (270)	EUSDA (52)
■DOT (229)	∎DOL (25)
■EPA (188)	<b>ECPSC (21)</b>
■FDA ( 60)	■DOI (5)
■DOE (59)	■ NRC (1)



	DOE Reference	es 50 ASTM
	Standards - 44	Out of Date
	■ Thermal Insulation (28)	■ Building Materials (3)
	■ Building Seals and Sealants (8)	■ Aerospace (1) ■ Corrosion (1)
	■ Petroleum (2)	Electrical
PÅ Då	Plastics (3)	Insulating
	■ Fire (3)	Materials (1) 3
		88823J88







Titles, Scopes, and Year Dates on the Internet

http://www.astm.org/ dsearch.htm

# ASTM Standards Available via Internet

By August 1



Determine if standard has been developed (http://www.astm.org)

■ Call ASTM New Activities Director -Terri Luthy (610-832-9679) Questions????

8

.

网络勒尔尔 法出现条

### F. J. Moudy, Maximum Flow Rate of a Single Component, Two- Fart 50, App. K. LC.1b. **j0.55a** 50.5.53 50.55a 50.553 50.55a 50.55a 50 55a 50.55a 50.558 1968 Edition: Addenda Summer 68, Winter 68, Summer 69, Winter - 50.55a 1974 Edition: Addenda Summer 24; Winter 24; Summer 75, Winter 50 55a 50.55a 50.55a 50.55a 50.552 50 55a 50.554 IO CFR 1977 Edition: Addenda Summer 77; Winter 77; Summer 78, Winter 50.55a 50.34 50.34 50.558 Draft ASME Code for Pumps and Valves for Nuclear Power. dated 1977 Edition: Addenda Summer 77; Winter 77; Summer 73; Winter 1983 Edition: Addenda Summer 93; Winter 83; Summer 84; Wonter Phase Mixture, Journal of Heat Transfer, Trans American Society 1971 Edition: Addenida Summer 71; Winter 71; Summer 72, Winter 1983 Edition: Addenda Summer 83; Winter 83; Summer 84; Winter 1974 Edition: Addenda Summer 74; Winter 74; Summer 75; Winter 1971 Edition: Addenda Summer 71; Winter 71; Summer 72; Winter ASME Builer and Pressure Vessel Code Section III, Division 1 ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code Section III, Division 2 ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code Section XI, Division 1 NUCLEAR RECULATORY COMMISSION -- Continued 10 CFR (HAPTER I IPARTS 0-50) Continued 1980 Fidition: Addenda Summer 80; Winter 80 1981 Edition: Addenda Winter 81; Winter 82 1986 Edition: 1986, 1987 and 1983 Addenda 1986 Edition: 1986, 1987 and 1988 Addenda Nov. 1968 and 1 addenda dated Mar. 1970. 1981 Edition: Addenda Winter 1982 1980 Edition: Subarticle CC-3720 1970 Edition: Addenda Winter 70 1980 Edition: Addenda Winter 80 78; Summer 79; Winter 79 84; Summer 85; Winter 85 75; Summer 76; Winter 76 84; Summer 85; Winter 85 72; Summer 73; Winter 73 72, Summer 73, Winter 73 75; Summer 76; Winter 76 78; Summer 79; Winter 79 69, Summer 20, Winter 20 Subarticle NE-5220 noitible Balition 1989 Edition

# American Society for Testing Materials

of Mechanical Engineers, 87, No. 1, Feb. 1965.

79

1916 Raco St., Philadelphia, PA 19103 ASTM E 185-73, E 185-79 and E 185-82 Standard Recommended Part 50, App. H. I Practice for Surveillance Tests for Nuclear Reactor Vessels.

# Commerce Department, National Technical Information Service

5285 Port Royal Rd., Springfield, VA 22167 Baker-Just, Studies of Metal Water Reactions at High Temperatures, Part 50, App. K, III. Experimental and Theoretical Studies of the Zirconium-Water J.A.5

Reaction, ANL-6548, page 7, May 1962. PWR FLECHT (Full Length Emorgency Cooling Heat Transfer) Final Part 50, App. K, Report, Westinghouso Report WCAP-7665, Apr. 1971.

10 CFR CHAPTER 1 (PARTS 0-50) --Continued NUCLEAR REGULATORY (COMMISSION --Continued

# **General Electric Co.**

Nuclear Energy Business Group, Tochnical Support Services, MC 211, 175 Curtuer Ave., San Jose, CA 95125

Loss of Coolant Accident and Emergency Core Cooling Models for Part 50, App. K. General Electric Boiling Water Reactors, General Electric Company 1.0.7.c.

Report NEJXD-10329, Apr. 1971. Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers United Engineering Center, 345 E. 47th St., New York, NY 10017 [EEE-279 Criteria for Protection Systems for Nuclear Generating Star 50.55a(h) tions, dated Aug. 30, 1968 and June 3, 1971.

EEE 803-1983 Recommended Practices for Unique Identification in 50.73 Power Plants and Related Facilities—Principles and Definitions.

10 CFR

787

### Tille TO-Energy

### 10 CFR CHAPTER II (PARTS 400-499)-Continued DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY-Continued

80

	10 CFR
ANSI C82.1983, For Reference Ballasts for Fluorescent Lamps	430.22; 430.2
ANSI 211.182-1965 (R 1971), Standard Method of Test for Smoke Density in the Flue Gases from Distillate Fuels (ASTM D 2156- 65 (1975)).	Part 430, Subpart B. Appendix N and O
ANSI 221.10.1-1975 Gas Water Heaters	Part 430, Subpart B, Appendix E
ANSI Z21.11.1-1974 ANS for Gas-Fired Room Heaters, Vol. 1, Vented Room Heaters.	Part 430, Subpart B, Appendix O
ANSI Z21.13-1974 ANS for Gas-Fired Low Pressure Steam and Hot Water Heating Boilers.	Part 430, Subpart B, Appendix N
ANSI 221.44-1973 Gas Fired Cravity and Fan Type Direct Vent Wall Furnaces.	Part 430, Subpart B, Appendix O
ANSI Z21.47-1978 Gas Fired Central Furnaces	Part 430, Subpart B, Appendix N and O
ANSI 221.48-1976 Gas Fired Gravity and Fan Type Floor Furnaces	Part 430, Subpart B, Appendix O
ANSI Z21.49-1975 Gas Fired Gravity and Fan Type Vented Wall Furnace.	Part 430, Subpart B, Appendix O
ANSI 291.1-1972 ANS Performance Requirements for Oil-Powered Central Furnaces.	Part 430, Subpart B, Appendix N
ANSI 2224.1-1971 Performance Evaluation Procedures for Household Washers (AHAM HLW-1, Dec. 1971).	Part 430, Subpart B, Appendix J
ANSI Z234.1-1972 Room Air Conditioners	Part 430, Subpurt B, Appendix F
ANSI 2235.1-1972 Appliance Humidifier Standard (AHAM HU-1- 72).	Part 430, Subpart B, Appendix K2
American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning E 345 E. 47th St., New York, NY 10017	ngineers, Inc.
ASHRAE Standard 16-69 Method of Testing for Rating Room Air Conditioners.	Part 430, Subpart B. Appendix F
ASHRAE Standard 37-78 Method of Testing for Rating Unitary Air Conditioning and Heat Pump Equipment.	Part 430, Subpart B, Appendix M
American Society for Testing and Materials 1916 Race St., Philadelphia, PA 19103	
ASTM D 396-78 Standard Specification for Fuel Oils	430.2
ASTM D 2158-65 (1975) Method of Test for Smoke Density in Flue Gases from Distillate Fuels (ANSI Z 11.182-1965 (R 1971)).	Part 430, Subpart B, Appendix N and O
Association of Home Appliance Manufacturers 20 N. Wacker Dr., Chicago, IL 60606	
AHAM HLD-1, June 1974 Performance Evaluation Procedure for Household Tumble Type Clothes Dryers.	Part 430, Subpart B, Appendix O
AHAM HLD-2EC, Dec. 1975 Test Method for Measuring Energy Con- sumption of Household Tumble Type Clothes Dryers.	Part 430, Subpart B, Appendix D
AHAM HLW-2EC, Dec. 1975 Test Method for Messuring Energy Consumption of Household Clothes Washers.	Part 430, Subpart B, Appendix J
AHAM HLW-1, Dec. 1971 Household Wesher Performance Evaluation Procedure. (ANSI Z 224.1-1971).	Part 430, Subpart B, Appendix J

## 552

material Approved for incorporation by Reference

# 10 CFR CHAPTER 11 (PARTS 400-499)---Continued DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY---Continued

	10 CFR
AHAM HU-1-72, Appliance Humidifier Standard (ANSI Z 235.1- 1972).	Part 430, Subpart B Appendix K2
AHAM HRF-2-ECFT-1975 Test Procedures to Determine the Tem- perature and Energy Consumption of Household Refrigerators, Com- bination Refrigerator-Freezers, and Freezers.	Part 430, Subpart B Appendix A and H
Illuminating Engineering Society of North America, Publications D. 345 E. 47th Street, New York, NY 10017, (212) 705-7925	epartment
IES LM-9-88, IES Approved Method for the Electrical and Photo- metric Measurements of Fluorescent Lamps.	430-22, Subpart B, Appendix R
IES, LM-16, -84, IES Pratical Guide to Colorimetry of Light Sources	430.22, Subpart B. Appendix R
IES LM-20-1982, IES Approved Method for Photometric Measuring and Reporting Tests on Reflector Type Lamps.	430.22, Subpart B, Appendix R
IES LM-45-91, IES Approved Method for Electrical and Photometric Measurements of General Service Incandescent Filament Lamps. IES LM 59, 09 (2007) 100 (2007)	430.22, Subpart B, Appendix R
IES LM -56-83, IES Guide to Spectroradiometric Measurements	430.22, Subpart B, Appendix R
metric Merasurements of Single-Ended Compact Fluorescent Lamps.	430.22, Subpart B, Appendix R
Bureau Central De La CIE, 4 AV. Du Recteur-Poincare, 75 782 Paris, Cedex 16, France	
International Commission on Illumination Publication No. 13.2, 1974, corrected reprint 1993, Method of Measuring and Specifying Color Rendering Properties of Light Sources, ISBN 3-900-734-39-9.	430.22, Subpart B, Appendix R
Hydronics Institute 35 Russo PL, Berkeley Heights, NJ 07922	
Testing and Rating Standards for Cast Iron and Steel Heating Boilers, Jan. 1977.	Part 430, Subpart B, Appendix N
Underwriters Laboratories Inc.	
207 E. Ohio St., Chicago, IL 60611	
UL 729–1976 Standard for Safety: Oil-Fired Floor Furnaces	Part 430, Subpart B, Appendix O
UL 730-1974 Standard for Safety: Oil-Fired Wall Furnaces	Part 430, Subpart B, Appendix O
OL 895-1973 Standard for Safety: Oil-Burning Stoves	Part 430, Subpart B, Appendix O
rart 430-rederal energy management and planning programs	
American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning En 345 E. 47th St., New York, NY 10017	gineers, Inc.
formance of Solar Collectors.	436.76
Part 440Weatherization assistance for low-income persons More information regarding the standards in this reference can be obtained from the following sources:.	
Sovironmental Protection Agency, 401 M Street, NW, Washington, DC 20006; (202) 554-1080.	
National Institute of Standards and Technology, U.S. Department of Commerce, Gaithersburg, MD 20899, (301) 975–2000.	
Neatherization Assistance Programs Division, Conservation and Re- newable Energy, Mail Stop 5G-023, Forrestal Bldg., 1000 Independ- ence Ave, SW, Washington, DC 20585; (202) 586-2207.	

# Title 10—Energy

10 CFR CHAPTER II (PARTS 400-499)-Continued			
DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY-Continued			
Air Conditioning and Petrigeration Institute	10 C	FR	
1501 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA 22209; (703) 524-8800			
ARI 470-1987	Part	440,	Appendix
AKI 210/240~1989	Part A	440,	Appendix
American Material Constants Institute/American Anthitectural Man			
American National Staticards institute/American Architectural Mail	waci	wens	
1540 East Dundee Road, Palatine, 1L 60067; (708) 202-1350			
ANSI/AAMA 1102.7-89	Part	440,	Appendix
	A Dom	440	Annondia
ANSI/AAMA 101-60	ren A	440,	whhangiy
ANSI/AAMA 1002.10-83	Part	440,	Appendix
	۸		
American Gas Association			
1515 Wilson Blvd., Arlington, VA 22209; (703) 841-8400			
AGA No. 1-80, Requirements for Heat Reclaimer Devices for Use with Cas. Fired Appliances lune 1 1980	Pari	440,	vbbeugix
with Gas-Filed Appliances, june 1, 1900.			
1430 Broadway, New York, N.Y. 10018; (212) 642-4900			
ANSI Z21.8–1984	Part	440,	Appendix
	٨		
ANSI 221.17-1984, and 221.17a-1990	Part A	440,	Appendix
ANSI Z21 21-1987 and Z21 21a-1989	Part	440,	Appendix
	•		
ANSI 221.47-1987, 221.47a-1988 and 221.47b-1989	Part 🔺	440,	Appendix
ANSI 721 68-1988 including Exhibits A & B	Part	440.	
ANDI 221.00-1960, including axilono 11 4 5	٨		
ANSI 221.71-1981, 221.71a1985 and 221.71b-1989	Part	440,	Appendix
ANCI 7223 1-1088	Part	440.	Appendix
ANSI 2223.1-1900	•	,	
ANSI Z223.1-1988, including Appendix H	Part	440,	Appendix
the Read A sees to built a Dest C and Appendices C & H	A Part	440	Annendiv
ANSI Z223.1-1988, including Part 9 and Appendices 6 a 11		440,	whhengry
ANSI Z223.1-1986, including Appendices H, I, J and K	Part	440,	Appendix
	A		A na ondia
ANSI/American Home Appliance Manufacturers ANSI/AHAM KAC-	Part	440,	vbbenuix
	. n		
American National Standards Institute/National Wood Window and	100	F 7488	DCISIOD
ANSI/NWWDA I.S. 1-87 Exterior door provisions)	Part	440,	Appendix
	٨		
ANSI/NWWDA I.S. 2-87	Part A	440,	Appendix
ANCI/MINIMA 1 S 2 A7 (Section 3)	Part	440	
VINDIVINANANDA 1.9. 2-07 (Section 3)			·····

# Material Approved for Incorporation by Reference

10 CFR CHAPTER II (PARTS 400-499)—Continued DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY—Continued			
	10 C	File	
ANSI/NWWDA LS. 3-83	Part	440	Annundus
	•		repondix
ANSI/NWWDA LS. 6~86	Part	44L,	Appendix
American National Standards Institute/Steel Door Institute 712 Lakewood Center North, 14600 Detroit Avenue, Cleveland, OH 44107; (216) 899-0100	n		
ANSI/SDI 100-1985	Part A	440,	Appendix
American Society for Testing and Materials 1916 Race Street, Philadelphia, PA 19103; (215) 299–5400			
National Standards Association 1200 Quince Orchard Blvd., Gaithersburg. MD 20878; (301) 590- 2300. (NSA is a local contact for materials from ASTM)			
ASTM C208-72 (1982)	Part A	440,	Appendix
ASTM C509-84	Part A	440,	Appendix
ASTM C516-80 (1990)	Part A	440,	Appendix
ASTM C517-71 (1979)	Part	440,	Appendix
ASTM C533-85 (1990)	Part A	440,	Appendix
ASTM C534-88	Part A	440,	Appendix
ASTM C547-77	Part A	440,	Appendix
ASTM (2549-81 (1986)	Part A	440,	
ASTM C552-88	Part A	440,	Appendix
ASTM C553-70 (1977)	Part A	440,	
ASTM C570-72 (1989)	Part A	440,	Appendix
ASTM C578-87a	Part A	440,	Appendix
ASTM C591-85	Part A	440,	Appendix
ASTM C592-80	Part A	440,	Appendix
ASTM C610-85	Part A	440,	Appendix
ASTM C612-83	Part A	440,	Appendix
ASTM C665-88	Part A	440,	Appendix
ASTM C669-75 (1989)	Part A	440,	Appendix
ASTM C720-89	Part	440,	Appendix

### IIII IU-ENOIGY

# 10 CFR CHAPTER II (PARTS 400-499)-Continued DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY-Continued 10 CFR ASTM C726-88 ..... Part 440, Appendix ASTM C728-89a ..... Part 440, Appendix ASTM C739-88 ...... Part 440, Appendix ASTM C755-85 (1990) ...... Part 440, Appendix ASTM C764-88 ..... Part 440, Appendix ASTM C834-76 (1986) ...... Part 440, Appendix ASTM C892-89 ...... Part 440, Appendix ASTM C920-87 ...... Fart 440, Appendix ASTM C971-82 ..... Part 440, Appendix ASTM C984-83 ...... Part 440, Appendix ASTM D3678-88 ...... Part 440, Appendix ASTM E84-89a ..... Part 440, Appendix ASTM E96-90 ..... Part 440, Appendix American Society of Mechanical Engineers United Engineering Center, 345 East 47th Street, New York, NY 10017; (212) 705-7800 ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code, 1992, Sections II, V, VIII, Part 440, Appendix Λ. IX. and X. ASME CSD-1-1988 ..... Part 440, Appendix A Federal Specifications, General Services Administration Specification Section, Room 6654, 7th and D Streets, SW, Washington, DC 20407; (202) 708-5082

8

10111-1-10/6/11/100-01-1-1-0-1	Α
FS HH-1-1972/2, August 12, 1981 and Amendment 1, October 3, 1985	Part 440, Appendi A
FS HH-I-1972/4, August 12, 1981	Part 440, Appendi: A
FS HHI-558C, January 7, 1992	Part 440, Appendix
FS TT-P-00791B, October 16, 1969 and Amendment 2, March 23, 1971	Part 440, Appendi: A
FS TT-S-001657, October 8, 1970	Part 440, Appendix A
FS TT-S-00230C, February 2, 1970 and Amendment 2, October 9, 1970.	Part 440, Appendix A

### minimum wherever in incorboration by Keleteuce

### 10 CFR CHAPTER II (PARTS 400-499)--Continued DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY--Continued

National Electrical Manufacturers Association 2101 L Street, NW, Suite 300, Washington, DC 20017; (202) 457- 8400	10 CFR
NEMA DC3-1989	Part 440, Appendio
National Fire Protection Association Batterymarch Park, P.O. Box 9101 Onincy, MA (12269-6612) 270-	Α
3000	
NFPA 70-1993, National Electrical Code	Part 440, Appendia
NFPA 31-1987	Part 440, Appendir
NFPA 58-1989	- Part 440, Appendix
NFPA 211-1988	A Part 440 <u>,</u> Appendix
Sheet Metal and Air Conditioning Contractors Association	Α
P.O. Box 221230, Chantilly, VA 22022–1230; (703) 803–2980	
SMACNA Energy Recovery Equipment and Systems, Air-to-Air (1978)	Part 440, Appendia A
Tubular Exchange Manufacturers Association	
25 North Broadway, farrytown, NY 10591; (914) 332-0040 Standards of the Tubular Exchanger Manufactuers Association, Sev-	Part 440, Appendix
onin Ed., 1988. Underwriters Laboratories, Inc.	Λ
P.O. Box 75530, Chicago, IL 60675-5330; (706) 272-8800	<b>D</b>
OC 17, NUVAIIIOAI 20, 1988	Part 440, Appendix
UL 296, February 28, 1989	Part 440, Appendix
UL 507, August 23, 1990	Part 440, Appendix
UL 727, August 27, 1991	Part 440, Appendix
UL 1995, November 30, 1990	A Part 440, Appendix
Part 456-Residential energy conservation program	Λ
American National Standards Institute	
ANSI Z21.13-1977 Gas-Fired Low Pressure Steam Hot Water Heating Boilers	456.814; 456.914
ANSI 221.20-1979 Automatic Gas Ignition Systems and Components	456.814
NSI 221.47-1978 Gas-fired Central Furnaces	456.814; 456.914
ANSI Z21.59–1974 Gas-fired High Pressure Steam and Hot Water Heating Boilers.	456.814
ANSI 221.66–1978 Electrically-Operated Automatic Vent Damper De- vices for Use with Gas-fired Appliances	456.814; 456.914
ANSI 221.67-1978 Mechanically-Actuated Automatic Vent Damper	456.814; 456.914
NSI 221.68-1976 Thermally-Actuated Automatic Vent Damper De- vices for the with Cas, fired Appliance	456 814, 456.914
NSI 2912-1976 Performance Requirements for Automatic Pressure Oil Burners of the Mechanical Draft Type	456.814; 456.913

### 10 CFR CHAPTER II (PARTS 400-499)-Continued DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY-Continued

83

	10 CFR
ANSI Z96.1-1978/UL 727 Oil-fired Central Furnaces	456.814
ANSI Z96.2-1974/UL 296 Oil Burners	456.814
ANSI Z96.3-1975/UL 726 Oil-fired Boiler Assemblies	456.814
ANSI/AAMA 1002.9-1977 Voluntary Specification for Aluminum Combination Storm Windows for External Applications.	456.813
ANSI/AAMA 1102.7-1977 Voluntary Specifications for Aluminum Storm Doors.	456.813
ANSI/ASTM B 152-79 Standard Specification for Copper Sheet, Strip, Plate, and Rolled Bar.	456.810
ANSI/ASTM B 446-75 Standard Specification for Nickel-Chromium- Molybdenum-Columbium Alloy (UNSO6625) Rod and Bar.	456.810
ANSI/ASTM D 2156-65 (1975) Method of Tests for Smoke Density in the Flue Geses from Distillate Fuels.	456.913
ANSI/NWMA I.S. 2-73 Industry Standard for Wood Windows	456.813
ANSI/NWMA I.S. 5-73 Ponderosa Pine Doors	456.813
American Society for Testing and Materials	
ASTM C 177-76 Standard Test Method for Steady State Thermal Transmission Properties by Means of the Guarded Hot Plate.	456.810
ASTM C 236-66 (1971) Standard Test Method for Thermal Conduct- ance and Transmittance of Built-up Sections by Means of the Guard- ed Hot Box.	456.810
ASTM C 272-53 Test for Water Absorption of Core Materials for Structural Sandwich Constructions.	456.907
ASTM C 355-64 Tests for Water Vapor Transmission of Thick Mate- rials	456.907
ASTM C 518-75 Standard Specification for Vermiculite Loose Fill	456 806
ASTM C 518-76 Standard Test Method for Steady State Thermal Transmission Properties by the Means of Heat Flow Meter.	456.810
ASTM C 520-65 (1975) Standard Method for Density of Granular Loose-fill Insulation.	456.806; 456:807
ASTM C 570-72 Specification for Oil and Resin Based Caulking Compound for Building Construction.	456.812
ASTM C 578-69 Standard Specification for Preformed, Block-Type Cellular Polystyrene Thermal Insulation.	456.808
ASTM C 755-73 Standard Recommended Practice for Selection for Vapor Barriers for Thermal Insulation.	456.903
ASTM C 79074 Standard Recommended Practices for Use of Latex Sealing Compounds.	456.916
ASTM C 797-75 Standard Recommended Practices and Terminology for Use of Oil- and Resin-Based Putty and Glazing Compounds	<b>456.916</b>
ASTM C 804-75 Standard Recommended Practices for Use of Solvent Release Type Sealants.	456.916
ASTM C 834-76 Specification for Latex Sealing Compounds	456.812
ASTM D 257-78 Test for DC resistance or Conductance of Insulating Materials.	456.810
ASTM D 1622-63 (1975) Standard Method of Test for Apparent Density of Rigid Cellular Plastics.	456.810
ANSTM E 84-79B Standard Test Method for Surface Burning Character- istics of Building Materials.	456.808; 456.809; 456.810; 456.812
ASTM E 98-66 (1972) Standard Test Method for Water Vapor Trans- mission of Materials in Sheet Form.	456.805

558

# 10 CFR CHAPTER II (PARTS 400-499)-Continued DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY-Continued

Management by Releighce

ASTM E 119-79 Standard Methods of Fire Tests of Building Construc- tion and Materials.	10 CFR 456.906; 456.907
ASTM E 136–79 Behavior of Materials in a Vertical Tube Furnace at 750° C.	456.804; 456.805;
ASTM E 283-73 Standard Test Method for Rate of Air Leakage Through Exterior Windows, Curtain Walls and Doors.	456.813
ASTM E 576-76 Standard Test Method for Dew/Frost Point of Sealed Insulating Glass Units in Vertical Position	456.802; 456.813
ASTM E 737-80 Standard Practice for the Installation of Storm Win- dows, Replacement Windows, Multi-Glazing, Storm Doors and Re- placement Doors.	456.911
ASTM G 1-72 (1979) Standard Recommended Practice for Preparing, Cleaning, and Evaluating Corrosion Test Speciments.	456.810
Building Officials and Code Administrators, International Inc. 17926 S. Halsted St., Homewood, IL 60430 BOCA Research Papert No. 73, 73	
Commerce Department, National Bureau of Standards Washington, DC 20234	456.813
NBS/PS 26-70 Rigid Polyvinyl-chloride Profile Extrusions	456.813
Cincinnati, OH 45268	
EPA Report No. 600/2-75-069a Guidlines for Residential Oil Burner Adjustments.	456.913
Federal Specifications: Navel Publication and Forms Center, 5801 Tabor Ave., Philadelphia, PA 19120	
HH-I-515D (6/78) Insulation, Thermal (loose-fill for Pneumatic or Poured Application): Cellulosic or Wood Fiber.	456.803; 456.804; 456.805
HH-I-524B (11/72-Interim Amendment, 1/76) Insulation, Board, Thermal (Polystyrene).	456.808
HH-I-530A (1971 and Interim Amendment 3, 5/76) Insulation, Board, Thermal (Polyurethane and Polyiscocynurste).	456.809
HH-I-558B (1971 and Interim Amendment 3, 5/76) Insulation Blocks, Boards, Blankets, Felts, Sleeving, and Pipe Fitting Coverings.	456.812
HH-I-573B (1968 and Interim Amendment, 1976) Insulation Thermal, (Flexible Unicellular Sheet and Pipe Covering).	456.812
HH-I-574B (1974 and Interim Amendment 1, 9/76) Insulation, Ther- mal (Perlite).	456.807
TT-S-00227E (1969 and Amendment 3, 10/70) Sealing Compound, Elastomeric Type, Multi-Component (for Caulking, Sealing, and Glazing in Buildings and Other Structures).	456.812
TT-S-001657 (COM-NBS) (1970) Sealing Compound. Single Compo- nent Butyl Rubber Base, Solvent Release Type (for Buildings and Other Types of Construction).	456 812
TT-S-00230C (COM-NBS) (1970 and Amendment 2, 10/70) Sealing Compound, Elastomeric Type, Single-Component. (for Caulking, Sealing, and Glazing in Buildings and Other Structures).	456.812
Housing and Urban Development Department Office of Technical and Credit Standards, Room 6156, 451 Seventh St., SW., Washington, DC 20410	
HUD Intermediate MPS Supplement 4930.2-1977 Solar Heating and Domestic Hot Water Systems.	456.702; 456.703; 456.704

167-030 0 -- 96---- -- 19

# INTENTIONALLY BLANK

# ASTM Standards Referenced by the Department of Energy

CFR Designation		Full Title			
.C	0355-64	C 355-64 Tests for Water Vapor Transmission of Thick Materials.	-		
С	0517-71(1979)	C 517-71 (1979) Specification for Diatomaceous Earth Block and Pipe Thermal Insulation			
С	0533-85(1990)	C 533-85 (1990) Specification for Calcium Silicate Block and Pipe Thermal Insulation			
C	0547-77	C 547-77 Specification for Mineral Fiber Pipe Insulation			
С	0552-88	C 552-88 Specification for Cellular Glass Thermal Insulation			
С	0610-85	C 610-85 Specification for Molded Expanded Perlite Block and Pipe Thermal Insulation			
С	0612-83	C 612-83 Specification for Mineral Fiber Block and Board Thermal Insulation			
С	0726-88	C 726-88 Specification for Mineral Fiber Roof Insulation Board			
с	0208-72(1982)	C 208-72 (1982) Specification for Cellulosic Fiber Insulating Board			
С	0534-88	C 534-88 Specification for Preformed Flexible Elastomeric Cellular Thermal Insulation in Sheet and Tubular Form			
С	0578-87a	C 578-87a Specification for Rigid, Cellular Polystryene Thermal Insulation			
с	0591-85	C 591-85 Specification for Unfaced Preformed Rigid Cellular Polyisocyanurate Thermal			
с	0728-89a	C 728-89a Specification for Perlite Thermal Insulation Board			
с	0984-83	C 984-83 Specification for Perlite Board and Rigid Cellular Polyisocyanurate Composite Roof Insulation			
С	0516-80(1990)	C 516-80 (1990) Specification for Vermiculite Loose Fill Thermal Insulation			
с	0549-81(1986)	C 549-81 (1986) Specification for Perlite Loose Fill Insulation			
С	0553-70(1977)	C 553-70 (1977) Specification for Mineral Fiber Blanket Thermal Insulation for Commercial and Industrial Applications			

. .

CFR Designation		Full Title			
С	0592-80	C 592-80 Specification for Mineral Fiber Blanket Insulation and Blanket-Type Pipe Insulatin (Metal-Mesh Covered) (Industrial Type)			
C	0665-88	C 665-88 Specification for Mineral Fiber Blanket Thermal Insulation for Light Frame Construction and Manufactured Housing			
С	0720-89	C 720-89 Specification for Spray Applied Fibrous Thermal Insulation for Elevated Temperature			
с	0739-88	C 739-88 Specification for Cellulosic Fiber (Wood-Base) Loose-Fill Thermal Insulation			
С	0764-88	C 764-88 Specification for Mineral Fiber Loose-Fill Thermal Insulation			
С	0892-89	C 892-89 Specification for High Temperature Fiber Blanket Thermal Insulation			
С	0518-76	C 518-76 Standard Test Method for Steady State Thermal Transmission Properties by the Means of Heat Flow Meter.			
с	0520-65(1975)	C 520-65 (1975) Standard Method for Density of Granular Loose-fill Insulation			
С	0755-85(1990)	C 755-85 (1990) Practice for Selection of Vapor Retarders for Thermal Insulation			
E	0096-90	E 96-90 Test Methods for Water Vapor Transmission of Materials			
С	0971-82	C 971-82 Guide for Selection and Application of Insulation Systems for Heating, Ventilating, and Air Conditioning Duct Work			
С	0570-72(1989)	C 570-72 (1989) Specification for Oil-and Resin-Base Caulking Compound for Building Construction			
С	0669-75(1989)	C 669-75 (1989) Specification for Glazing Compounds for Back Bedding and Face Glazing of Metal Sash			
С	0797-75	C 797-75 Standard Recommended Practices and Terminology for Use of Oil- and Resin-Based Putty and Glazing Compounds			
C	0790-74	C 790-74 Standard Recommended Practices for Use of Latex Sealing Compounds.			
С	0834-76(1986)	C 834-76 (1986) Specification for Latex Sealants			
с	0804-75	C 804-75 Standard Recommended Practices for Use of Solvent Release Type Sealants			

and a second second second second

CFR Designation		Full Title
C	0920-87	C 920-87 Specification for Elastomeric Joint Sealants
С	0509-84	C 509-84 Specification for Elastomeric Cellular Preformed Gasket and Sealing Material
D	0396-78	D 396-78 Standard Specification for Fuel Oils
D	2156-65(1975)	D 2156-94, Method of Test for Smoke Density in Flue Gases from Distillate Fuels (ANSI Z 11.182-1965 (R 1971)).
D	0257-78	D 257-78 Test for DC resistance or Conductance of Insulating Materials
D	1622-63(1975)	D 1622-63 (1975) Standard Method of Test for Apparent Density of Rigid Cellular Plastics.
D	3678-88	D 3678-88 Specification for Rigid Poly(Vinyl Chloride) (PVC) Interior-Profile Extrusions
D	4099-89	D 4099-89 Specification for Poly (Vinyl Chloride) (PVC) Prime Windows/Sliding Glass Doors
Ε	0119-79	E 119-79 Standard Methods of Fire Tests of Building Construction and Materials.
E	0084-89a	E 84-89a Test Method for Surface Burning Characteristics of Building Materials
E	0136-79	E 136-79 Behavior of Materials in a Vertical Tube Furnace at 750 <dg>C</dg>
Ε	0576-76	E 576-76 Standard Test Method for Dew/Frost Point of Sealed Insulating Glass Units in Vertical Position.
E	0283-73	E 283-73 Standard Test Method for Determining the Rate of Air Leakage Through Exterior Windows, Curtain Walls and Doors Under Specified Presure Differences Across the Specimen
E	0737-80	E 737-80 Standard Practice for the Installation of Storm Windows, Replacement Windows, Multi-Glazing, Storm Doors and Replacement Doors
С	0272-53	C 272-53 Test for Water Absorption of Core Materials for Structural Sandwich Constructions.
G	0001-72(1979)	G 1-72 (1979) Standard Recommended Practice for Preparing, Cleaning, and Evaluating Corrosion Test Speciments.

,

# ASTM Standards Referenced by the Department of Energy

Government Agency	ASTM Subcommittee	CFR Designation	Current Designation
Energy	• C16	C 0355-64	W/D Replaced by E 96-95
Energy	C16	C 0517-71(1979)	W/D No Replacement
Energy	C16.20	C 0533-85(1990)	C 533-95
Energy	C16.20	C 0547-77	C 547-95
Energy	C16.20	C 0552-88	C 552-91
Energy	C16.20	C 0610-85	C 610-95
Energy	C16.20	C 0612-83	C 612-93
Energy	C16.20	C 0726-88	C 726-93
Energy	C16.22	C 0208-72(1982)	C 208-95
Energy	C16.22	C 0534-88	C 534-94
Energy	C16.22	C 0578-87a	C 578-95
Energy	C16.22	C 0591-85	C 591-94
Energy	C16.22	C 0728-89a	C 728-91
Energy	C16.22	C 0984-83	C 984-94
Energy	C16.23	C 0516-80(1990)	C 516-80(1996)
Energy	C16.23	C 0549-81(1986)	C 549-81(1995)
Energy	C16.23	C 0553-70(1977)	C 553-92
Energy	C16.23	C 0592-80	C 592-80
Energy	C16.23	C 0665-88	C 665-95
Energy	C16.23	C 0720-89	C 720-89(1994)
Energy	C16.23	C 0739-88	C 739-91
Energy	C16.23	C 0764-88	C 764-94

----

Government Agency	ASTM Subcommittee	CFR Designation	Current Designation
Energy	C16.23	C 0892-89	C 892-93
Energy	C16.30	C 0518-76	C 518-91
Energy	C16.32	C 0520-65(1975)	C 520-91
Energy	C16.33	C 0755-85(1990)	C 755-85(1990)
Energy	C16 33	E 0096-90	E 96-95
Energy	C16.40	C 0971-82	C 971-82(1992)
Energy	C24.12	C 0570-72(1989)	C 570-95
Energy	C24.12	C 0669-75(1989)	C 669-95
Energy	C24.12	C 0797-75	C 797-94
Energy	C24.16	C 0790-74	C 790-90
Energy	C24.16	C 0834-76(1986)	C 834-95
Energy	C24.18	C 0804-75	C 804-83(1988)
Energy	C24.20	C 0920-87	C 920-95
Energy	C24 73	C 0509-84	C 509-94
Energy	D02	D 0396-78	D 396-96
Energy	D02	D 2156-65(1975)	D 2156-94
Energy	D09.12	D 0257-78	D 257-93
Energy	D20.22	D 1622-63(1975)	D 1622-93
Energy	D20.24	D 3678-88	D 3678-96a
Energy	D20.24	D 4099-89	D 4099-95
Energy	E05.11	E 0119-79	E 119-95a
Energy	E05.22	E 0084-89a	E 84-96a
Energy	E05.23	E 0136-79	E 136-96a
Energy	E06.22	E 0576-76	E 576-88(1995)

a a anti-transformer

•

89

Page 2 of 3

Government Agency	ASTM Subcommittee	CFR Designation	Current Designation
Energy	E06.51	E 0283-73 .	E 283-91
Energy	E06.51	E 0737-80	E 737-84
Energy	F07.05	C 0272-53	C 272-91(1996)
Energy	G01.05	G 0001-72(1979)	G 1-90(1994)

# 1997 DOE Technical Standards Program Workshop

Tuesday, July 8, 1997 Special Presentation—RMS Standards: A Present Challenge for Industry-Government Partnerships

# INTENTIONALLY BLANK

# **STANDARD REFORM**

# A PRESENT CHALLENGE FOR INDUSTRY- GOVERNMENT PARTNERSHIPS

**DOE Technical Standards Program Workshop** 

L'Enfant Plaza Hotel

**July 8, 1997** 

RUSSELL A. VACANTE, Ph.D., CHAIR, RMS Partnership

# A STRATEGIC PERSPECTIVE

# **Initial Steps:**

- A Blueprint for Change (draft 23 Nov 1993) -PAT Report
- The Role of Professional Societies & Their Leadership in the Defense Standards Community (Winter 1994 -SAE Article)
- SAE Meeting MOA (draft March 9, 1994)
- Report of the Process Action on Military Specifications & Standards, April 1994
- Policy Memo on MilSpec & MilStds Reform, SECDEF Perry, June 29, 1994
- \* "The National Technology Transfer & Advancement Act of 1995" signed into law by the President, March 7, 1996

# THE CHALLENGE

3

# **PROVIDING WORLD-CLASS STANDARDS FOR A CHANGING ENVIRONMENT**

# ☆ INDUSTRY'S WAY OF DOING BUSINESS IS CHANGING

- ☆ MORE DECENTRALIZED
- ☆ LEANER AND MORE COMPETITIVE
- ☆ DOWNSIZING

# INDUSTRY-GOVERNMENT COMMONALITY

# **PRODUCT LINES - A NEW DIMENSION** CAPTURING CORE FUNCTIONS OR

# A NATIONALLY

# A INTERNATIONALLY

# NEW STANDARDS TO MEET THE CHALLENGES AND REQUIREMENTS OF THE GLOBAL MARKET

# **INDUSTRY & GOVERNMENT INCREASE RELIANCE ON:**

GENERIC, DUAL-USE STANDARDS

☆ PERFORMANCE BASED STANDARDS

# AS STANDARD DEVELOPERS AND USERS, INDUSTRY-GOVERNMENT TEAMS MUST ENSURE . . .

# **STANDARDS:**

☆ ARE EASY TO USE
☆ ADD VALUE
☆ ARE REASONABLY PRICED
☆ ARE READILY ACCESSIBLE

# GOVERNMENT-INDUSTRY PARTNERSHIPS

# ARE ENCOURAGED TO SUPPORT COMMON STANDARDS THROUGH:

- ☆ ENHANCED & CONSISTENT FUNCTIONS
- **EASE OF INTERCHANGEABILITY**
- MORE R&D DOLLARS VIS-A-VIS INFRASTRUCTURE REDUCTION
- COST AVOIDANCE BY REDUCING DUPLICATION OF STANDARDS ACTIVITIES
- REDUCTION OF LAG TIME BETWEEN INTRODUCTION OF NEW TECHNOLOGIES & DEVELOPMENT OF STANDARDS

# THE LEADERSHIP ROLE OF THE RMS PARTNERSHIP

# A CATALYST FOR CHANGE IN THE RMS COMMUNITY:

- ☆ ASSISTED PROFESSIONAL SOCIETIES IN ASSUMING OWNERSHIP OF RMS STANDARDS
- STD BODIES
  - ☆ DEVELOPED TEAMS TO WORK & SUPPORT STD DEVELOPMENT EFFORTS
  - ☆ FACILITATED COMMUNICATIONS AMONG RMS PROFESSIONALS
  - ☆ IMPROVED U.S. REPRESENTATION IN INTERNATIONAL STANDARD ORGANIZATION

SERVED AS AN IMPLEMENTATION ARM FOR DOD REFORM

# THE LEADERSHIP ROLE OF THE RMS PARTNERSHIP

(Cont'd)

# NOW AND IN THE FORESEEABLE FUTURE:

- DEVELOP AND IMPLEMENT AN OPERATIONAL PLAN TO ENSURE THAT RMS CONSIDERATIONS ARE INTEGRAL TO REFORM INITIATIVES
- ENCOURAGE INCREASED INDUSTRY/PROFESSIONAL SOCIETY INVOLVEMENT
- INCREASE OUR INVOLVEMENT IN INTERNATIONAL STANDARDS ACTIVITIES
- ☆ PROVIDE USEFUL PRODUCTS TO THE CUSTOMER

# SUMMARY

10

5.4

# CONTINUOUS CHANGE WILL REMAIN A WAY OF LIFE:

- CONSOLIDATION AND LESS DUPLICATION OF STANDARDS EFFORTS ARE CRITICAL EFFICIENCIES
- ☆ DUAL-USE STANDARDS, A MEANS TO AN END
- ☆ INDUSTRY-GOVERNMENT PARTNERSHIP ROLES ARE EXPANDING AND ARE INCREASINGLY NECESSARY
- THE RMS PARTNERSHIP CONTINUES TO SERVE AS A VEHICLE OF COMMUNICATION, KNOWLEDGE, EXPERTISE AND EXPERIENCE - CRITICAL TO DOD REFORM & WORLD-CLASS STANDARD DEVELOPMENT

# CONCLUSION

310 (k) 21 (k) 22 (k) 11

# **CONTINUE TO MEET THE CHALLENGE BY:**

- USING THE RMS PARTNERSHIP AS A CATALYST FOR BUILDING INDUSTRY-GOVERNMENT TEAMS
- ASSISTING PROFESSIONAL SOCIETIES TO INCREASE THERE OWNERSHIP OF RMS STANDARDS
- ENCOURAGING A SET OF NATIONAL CONSENSUS STANDARDS
- DEVELOPING A SEAMLESS-SUPPORTIVE RMS & STANDARDS CULTURE BETWEEN THE WORK PLACE AND PROFESSIONAL SOCIETIES

# INTENTIONALLY BLANK

# 1997 DOE Technical Standards Program Workshop

Wednesday, July 9, 1997 Session 2: Update on Global Standards Issues

# INTENTIONALLY BLANK




**\***ISO 14001 final in 9/96

\*Environmental Management -System (EMS)

Plan, do, check, act









### Six DOE sites are committed to implementing ISO 14001 EMS

- **\*** Kansas City Plant (KCP)
- \* Savannah River Site (SRS)
- **★Hanford** Site
- ★Nevada Test Site (NTS)
- \* Waste Isolation Pilot Project (WIPP)
- **\***West Valley

Interest is growing across the DOE complex

\* ISO 14000 Working Group sponsored by Energy Facilities Contractor Group

\* About 60 contractor members from 20 DOE sites

\* DOE representatives also active

### What are drivers for ISO 14001 at DOE sites?

- \*Corporate interests of DOE contractors that are doing international business
- **\*DOE contract requirements**
- \*State pilot programs for ISO 14001 EMS

## Two DOE contractors have taken the initiative on ISO 14001

- \*Westinghouse: SRS, WIPP, West Valley
- \*AlliedSignal: KCP
- ★ISO 14001 meets companies' business objectives, as well as DOE needs

Contracts require ISO 14001 EMS at two DOE sites

\*Hanford

• Fluor Daniel contract

\* Nevada Test Site

• Bechtel contract

States will implement @ 100 ISO 14001 pilots

- **\*** Working Group of 10 States
- \* Includes CA and TX, which have DOE sites
- \* Potential for regulatory flexibility

Is Federal policy direction on ISO 14001 needed?

- \*EPA Code of Environmental Management Principles
  - Recognizes ISO 14000
- \*Interagency Working Group on EMS
  - Co-chaired by DOE and EPA

### Is DOE policy needed?

- \*How should ISO 14001 be addressed in contracts?
- \*How should DOE Integrated Safety Management System (ISMS) relate to ISO 14001 EMS?

### Hanford is integrating the EMS and ISMS

\*Paul Krupin, DOE/RL:

ISMS = EMS as skeleton + SMS as muscle

**\***Other sites may follow Hanford

















### EMS and ISMS differ in focus

- **\* ISO 14001** 
  - management commitment and review of the system
  - pollution prevention
- **\*** ISMS
  - work activities
  - safety systems

ISO 14001 can improve ISMS

**\***Build on strength of both

\*Assure ES&H integration

\*Comply with National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act

### INTENTIONALLY BLANK



# Reinventing NASA through ISO 9000

Carl Schneider NASA Headquarters Washington, DC

July 9, 1997

 $e^{-t}$ 

# **Discussion Topics**

- Overview of NASA
- Where we Were on Quality
- Today and beyond
- Agency Strategy
- What will it do for NASA?
- Key issues
- Window Dressing or Opportunity?





# **Overview of NASA**

### **NASA Summary:**

- National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA)
  - Created in July 1958
  - Congress and President Eisenhower
  - Provided a concentrated responsibility for civilian space and aeronautical activities
- A thriving organization
  - 20,000 Civil Servants
  - 40,000 Support Service Contractors
  - Annual appropriations
    - On a downward trend (FY 98 @ \$13.5B)

### NASA Installations **Lewis Research** Center Cleveland, OH **Goddard Space Flight Center Ames Research** Greenbelt, MD Center Wallops Flight Moffett Field, CA Facility **Dryden Flight Research** Wallops Island, VA Center - NASA Edwards AFB, CA **Headquarters** Washington, DC Langley **Research Center Jet Propulsion** Hampton, VA Laboratory **Johnson Space** Pasadena, CA Kennedy Center **Space Center** Houston, TX Florida **Stennis Space** White Sands Test Center Facility **Marshall Space** Mississippi Las Cruces, NM **Flight Center** Huntsville, AL Michoud Assembly Facility New Orleans, LA





# Where We Were

The 60's and 70's ....

- NASA "Inspect in quality" approach- NHB 5300.4(1B), dated 1969
  - Product focused
  - Defect detection and correction
  - Perscriptive "How to" approach
  - Applicable to NASA contractors only

The 80's ...

- The "Design in quality" paradigm change
  - Emphas on process control and varialbility

- Early design involvement and integration
- Total Quality Management (TQM)
- Hightened customer awareness



# **Today and Beyond**

- Agency undergoing major reengineering activities
  - Acquisition Reforms
    - Performance Based Contracting
    - Single Process Initiative
    - Full cost accounting
  - Corporate restructuring
    - Lean Headquarters
    - Technical and program management transferred to field Centers
    - "Faster, Better and Cheaper" Philosophy

# NASA STATE

# **Generic Milestones**

- <u>Strategic Planning</u> Management commitment and selection of registrar
- Gap Analysis Identify existing system to conformance model
- <u>Corrective Action</u> Correct deficiencies from Gap Analysis
- <u>Documentation and records</u> Implement a documentation control system
- <u>Implementation</u> Management implements and monitors all changes to system
- <u>Pre-certification Audit</u> initial on-site visit

- Registrar Documentation Review at registrar off-site location
- <u>Site preparation</u> Management prepares organization for Certification Audit
- Certification Audit Evaluates existence, adequacy and compliance



# **Agency Strategy**

- Responsibility
  - Field Center Directors are responsible
  - Funded internal to Center operations
- Headquarters guidance
  - Limited
  - Institutional Program Offices (IPO's) for Centers
- Criticality of scope of certification
  - Drives internal workload
- Institutionalize approach
  - Strategic plan
  - Strategic Management Handbook
  - Program/Project Managers Guidance (7120.4/ 7120.5)
  - Other directives

### We must do the right thing for the right reason



# What will it do for NASA?

- Identifies customers, deliverable products and key processes
- Requires introspection of internal processes
  - Encourages creativity and streamlining
  - Focuses on process definition and effectiveness
- Results in a structured and documented management system
  - Policy
  - Procedures
  - Work Instructions
  - ) Data
- Aligns NASA to commercial best practices in quality management
  - Ensures consistent management approach across Centers
- Improves productivity
  - Hawthorne Effect
- Provides assurance of predictability to customers





- Headquarters and Center ISO 9000 Project Offices
- Different approaches being used
- Integration of effort
- Agency
- Enterprise
- Center (Headquarters)
- Selection of ISO 9000 Registrars
- How many and who selects?
- Funding of ISO activities
- Centralized or decentralized

- Training
- Centralized or decentralized
- Type and extent of guidance to centers
  - Level of oversight and reporting



# Window Dressing or Opportunity?

A time to Change:

- A new world for both government and industry
  - Global economy
  - Government efficiency
- Why ISO 9000 in a time of turmoil?
  - Downsizing, re-engineerting, reduced budget, reorganizing, privatization, buy-outs
  - Status quo not good enough
    - Work smarter not harder...
    - Do more with less....
    - Do less with less...
- Cost versus benefit analysis
  - A balanced common sense approach
  - Not a destination but a start

"You don't have to do this, survival is not compulsory."

Deming



# Back-up Charts

### **Elements of ISO 9001**



### **1. MANAGEMENT RESPONSIBILITY**

- 2. QUALITY SYSTEM
- **3. CONTRACT REVIEW**
- 4. DESIGN CONTROL
- 5. DOCUMENT/ DATA CONTROL
- 6. PURCHASING
- 7. CONTROL OF CUSTOMER SUPPLIED PRODUCT
- 8. PRODUCT IDENTIFICATION AND TRACEABILITY
- 9. PROCESS CONTROL
- **10. INSPECTION AND TESTING**
- 11. CONTROL OF INSPECTION, MEASURING, AND TEST EQUIPMENT

- **12. INSPECTION AND TEST STATUS**
- 13. CONTROL OF NONCONFORMING PRODUCT
- 14. CORRECTIVE AND PREVENTIVE ACTION
- 15. HANDLING, STORAGE, PACKAGING, PRESERVATION, AND DELIVERY
- 16. CONTROL OF QUALITY RECORDS
- **17. INTERNAL QUALITY AUDITS**
- **18. TRAINING**
- **19. SERVICING**
- **20. STATISTICAL TECHNIQUES**



l

### Key Processes to be ISO 9001 Certified at NASA Field Centers

	AS O	1: June 6, 1997
CENTER	KEY PROCESSES (SCOPE OF CERTIFICATION)	REMARKS
ARC	Design and development of aeronautics, space and information research and technology products including: software, hardware and services.	
	Key processes include:	
	Design and development of software Design and development of hardware and facilities Program/project management Management and performance of tests Production of hardware components and systems Management and operations of facilities Management, operation and maintenance of aircraft (?)	
DFRC	<ul> <li>Flight Research - Atmospheric Flight Operations</li> <li>Key processes embodied in Basic Operations Manual (BOM):</li> <li>BOM - flight research project management process manual.</li> <li>Risk management addressed "up-front" and throughout.</li> <li>Covers project introduction and planning, project development, flight preparation, and flight operations.</li> <li>All processes, from program/project introduction through flight operations, will be certified</li> </ul>	
GSFC	The Quality Management System (QMS) applies to all organizational elements whose responsibilities affect the quality	

the second se		
	of GSFC products. Center products covered by the QMS include: spacecraft, space flight instruments, and ground	
	support and mission operation elements that directly interact with them; Center-authorized studies; balloon, sounding	
	rocket, and aircraft experiments. Products include such deliverable items as hardware, software, documentation, and	
	services. Scientific research, analysis, and algorithm development is excluded	
JPL	Those processes required to design produce, and operate NASA funded spacecraft flight instruments, and ground	<u> </u>
110	support equipment are considered to be within the scene of compliance	
	support equipment are considered to be within the scope of compliance.	
	Forty-nine of 150+ processes identified by the Laboratory are currently designated as "In-Scope".	
JSC	The scope of JSC certification encompasses the five core business	
	processes that are enumerated in the agency's strategic implementation plans:	
	Program and project management	
	Spacecraft engineering and design	
	Space and life sciences research	
	Flight crew training	
	Mission Operations	
KSC	The management of space vehicles and payload processing, launch, and support activities include:	1
	Development	
	Assembly	
	Checkout	
	Testing	
ł	Maintenance	
	Modifications	
	Uparades	
ļ	Refurbishment	
LARC	The scope of certification encompasses all activities that result in products to external customers, including basic	
	research. Key processes are:	
	Theoretical and experimental research in aerodynamics, structures and materials, and flight systems	
	Wind Tunnel testing	
	Flight Simulation	
	Flight Testing	
	Spaceflight Hardware Development	
	Program management	
LERC	ISO 9001 certification is applicable to all key processes that produce	
	aeronautic and space science technology products for LeRC customers.	
	Support processes that directly affect the quality of LeRC products and services will also be included	
	oupport protocolo and anothing drawly of active products and carried and do no included.	
1		

	Key Processes:	<u> </u>
	Engineering Design Control and Documentation	
	· Software Development (See Appendix C)	{
	· Program/Project Management	[ [
	· Acquisition/Purchasing	[ [
	· External Contracts/Agreements	
	· Receiving/Receiving Inspection	
	·Fabrication	
	· Metrology	
	· Inspection/Testing	
	Internal Audits	
	· Failure Reporting/Corrective Action	
	Logistics - Storage/Packing/Handling/Delivery	
	Human Resources Management - Training and Skills Mix	
	Product Identification and Traceability	]
	Management Review	
	· Scientific Research	
	Supporting Processes:	
	· Technology Transfer	
	· Facilities Management	
	Intellectual Property Management	
	· Information Technology - Security and Backup	
	• Resources management - Budgeting, Tracking, and Reporting	
	Public, University, and Community Relations	
	· Institutional Salety	
MSEC	The Quality Management System (QMS) shall apply to all onsite	
	processes and operations for procurement, design, development	
	production testing and servicing of flight hardware flight	
	software, protoflight units, qualification units, and associated	
	flight support equipment, for which MSFC has responsibility.	
SSC	All on-site processes and operations involved in the delivery of	
000	products and services to external customers in the areas of propulsion test and commercial remote sensing	
	protection and the state of the state of propulsion toot and commission for the state of the sta	

•

### INTENTIONALLY BLANK



# Requires Global Standards The dynamics of the IT market force global focus on vendors Global Information Infrastructure developments have increasingly **V** users to have a global caused orientation.



We Support Strong **Government** Participation dvocated our views in NRC Study upported adoption of the T Support parallel revision of A-11 ◆ Want Federal Agency participation in development of IT standards of all types where Agency is a materially interested party
















### 1997 DOE Technical Standards Program Workshop

### Wednesday, July 9, 1997 Session 3: Delivering Standards Information

### INTENTIONALLY BLANK

**.**...

### Development of an American Nuclear Society Standard DOE Conference - July 8, 1997 C. H. Moseley, Jr.

It is a distinct pleasure for me to be a member of this panel on standards and represent the American Nuclear Society, for, as many of you know. I have a deep personal commitment to the development of nuclear standards.

We, of the nuclear community, have accepted the tremendous challenge and responsibility of meeting the unprecedented demands for electricity and other forms of energy essential to this Nation's health, safety, and welfare. And at the same time, we must deal with the challenge of alleviating environmental problems which an energy-based society has helped create. Meeting these challenges will be extremely difficult, complex, and costly. And the problems involved will in many cases take a long time to work out.

But, there is one area of difficulty where the course of action is so abundantly clear that continuing failure to take the necessary actions on an urgent basis appears nothing short of remarkable. I refer to the need for a systematic industry-wide effort on the development, acceptance, and disciplined application of standards.

The American Nuclear Society Standards Committee develops standards in accordance with the accredited organization method for developing evidence of consensus for their approval as American National Standards. Rules for accreditation of the ANS Standards Committee were approved by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) on March 14, 1985.

Over 900 volunteers participate in the development of ANS-sponsored nuclear standards, of which there are about 125 in various phases of development. As of early 1997, there were over 100 approved American National Standards offered for sale.

All standards developed within the ANS Standards Committee have the ultimate goal of becoming American National Standards, commonly known as "ANSI Standard.," a misnomer since ANSI does not develop standards at all. To achieve this goal of approval, a project charter, which describes the proposed standard, and the proposed standard itself must go through a series of reviews and approvals as described below. There must be a compelling and recognized need for a standard to initiate this process. The need for a standard is usually established by a recurring issue that can be addressed by development of a standard. This need may be identified by any individual or committee, but usually arises within a consensus committee.

Once the issue to be addressed is defined, a working group (WG) is selected to prepare a scope statement and title for the proposed standard. The initial responsibility of the WG is to develop the project charter that defines the project, the issue to be addressed (purpose), and how the issue can be resolved by the existence of a standard (need), as well as other information related to the

### project.

The charter is sequentially reviewed and approved by the responsible subcommittee (SC) and consensus committee (CC), and by the Standards Steering Committee (SSC), the Standing Committee of the Society responsible for all standards-related issues. It is then sent to the Nuclear Standards Board (NSB) of ANSI for a broad review by interested participants who are, for the most part, potential users of the proposed standard. Comments may be received throughout this chain of review that can enhance the value of the merging standard. During this sometimes prolonged process of project charter approval, development of the proposed standard may continue at the WG level.

The writing of a standard is usually achieved through meetings of a WG composed of a small number of individuals who have recognized expertise in the subject. While there is no requirement for a balance of representation on a WG, the membership should include individuals from those organizations having a significant interest in the project. The meetings of the WG are supplemented by exchanges of information through the mail, by telephone, and by electronic means.

Subcommittees are established to manage the development of several standards in closely related disciplines, such as reactor operations, waste management, criticality safety, etc. Members of the subcommittees are recognized as having expertise in one or more areas in which the proposed standards are being prepared. Again, a balance of representation is not required, but the number of members on the SC is greater in order that a broad technical review of the proposed standards within its scope of activity can be accomplished. SC members are expected to lend their special expertise to the development of standards presented for review. SC procedures do not require a formal ballot process; indication of SC approval is often achieved by in-committee discussion.

The work of the ANS Standards Committee is managed by four consensus committees:

N16	Nuclear Criticality Safety
N17	Research Reactors, Reactor Physics, Radiation Shielding and
	Computational Methods
N48	Radioactive Waste Management
Nuppsco	Nuclear Power Plant Standards Committee

Consensus committees are comprised of a balance of representation from among various areas of interest, including users, in the work of a specific committee. These committees manage the development of proposed standards within their assigned scopes of responsibility, and they develop consensus for approval of the projects. A formal ballot process is employed to ascertain each member's position on each standard brought before the committee. All comments received must be formally responded to by the WD; the SC may assist in resolving comments.

A conscientious attempt must be made to resolve concerns expressed by negative votes, and a technically appropriate response is required. Each negative voter is requested to review the response to his comments and to change his vote to affirmative. If he is not satisfied with the

attempted resolution of his negative, he may maintain it but must formally state his reasons for doing so. Any outstanding negative positions must be circulated to all members of the CC for review. A member holding an affirmative position may change his vote if he wishes to support those whose votes remain negative.

Public review (PR), usually concurrent with the CC ballot, is conducted through the auspices of ANSI. The availability of the proposed standard for review for a period of 60 days is announced in the "Standards Action" section of the *ANSI Reporter*. Anyone interested in reviewing the document may obtain a copy and provide comments. All comments from PR must be promptly and formally addressed.

At completion of the consensus process, the SSC reviews a "case history" of the due process conducted for each proposed standard to certify that all procedures have been implemented. The SSC does not review the document itself.

The final step in the development of a proposed standard is approval by the ANSI Board of Standards Review (BSR). Upon certification by the SSC that consensus procedures have been adhered to, the proposed standard is sent to BSR along with documentation of the ballot results. A "clean case presentation" -- where there have been no comments received from PR and there are no outstanding negatives -- is ensured immediate approval. However, the members of the BSR carefully review, and often question, cases where negative notes have not been resolved.

Upon satisfaction of all the many steps in the consensus process, a proposed standard emerges as an American National Standard -- a remarkable achievement and a credit to all the volunteers who made it possible.

### STEPS IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF A STANDARD



### AMERICAN NUCLEAR SOCIETY STANDARDS COMMITTEE



### INTENTIONALLY BLANK

### Meeting Customers' Standards Information Needs

Diane Thompson Director, Industry Standards Information Handling Services

DOE Technical Standards Program Workshop July 8-10, 1997



## Agenda

- IHS corporate overview
- Standards users needs
- Standards business timeline
- IHS standards products
- Benefits of electronic products
- IHS delivery options

》HRS



### IHS Corporate Overview



### **IHS Corporate Overview**

- Founded in 1959
- ♦ \$250 million revenue
- 1,800 employees worldwide
- ♦ 500,000 users in 95 countries
  - 17 million pages of data
- 250,000 document images in process daily
- 2+ terabytes optical/magnetic storage
- ♦ ISO 9001 certified





沙HKS

### **Technical Information**

- Efficiently collected & organized
- Indexed & cross referenced
- Distributed via a wide range of media
  - Easily accessible using powerful applications software
  - Automatically updated



### **Standards Users**





## Standards Users Needs

### **General Users Needs**

- Electronic access to standards
- Shorter development times
- Document currency to include work in progress
- Full text document delivery

- Reusable information (text and graphics)
- Desktop access to information



### **Infrequent Users Needs**

- Individual document purchases
- Fax back services
- Pay as you go access to electronic documents

# **Mainstream Users Needs**

- More frequent users need:
- Subscriptions to electronic documents
- Industry/market specific packages
- Single point of access to central document repository
- Consistency between standards collections
- Change notification
- Site licensing/print licensing
- Network solutions

》 HR

### **High End Users Needs**

- Large corporations share mainstream market needs plus:
  - Enterprise wide delivery of information (WANs)
  - Integration with internally generated documents
  - Access from a variety of internal systems



### IHS Standards Products

### **Standards Business Timeline**

- 1974 initial microfilm/fiche products
  - 1980 initial electronic online offering
- ♦ 1989 initial CD ROM offering (full text)
  - 1991 initial raster offering (WWSS)
- 1992 initial network offering

### **Standards Business Timeline**

1994 - first WAN application of raster

- launch WWP DOS

- 1996 launch WWP Windows
  - pilot Internet project
- 1997 launch ERC Specs and Standards online

### CD-ROM *Worldwide Standards Service* Plus (WWP)

- index of 300,000 standards from almost
  450 organizations worldwide
- links to images of over 190,000 standards from 80+ standards developers



### CD-ROM WWP - Features

### extensive bibliographic index data

- document title / number
- organization name / acronym
- publication date
- subject terms
- geographic source
- page count
- new / revised status
- ICS codes



# WWP - Features (continued) CD-ROM

- multilingual
- search screens
- IHS Thesaurus
- save search feature
- bookmark and notes features
- detailed on-line help

### CD-ROM WWP - Futures

### additional bibliographic index data

- abstracts
- referenced documents
- outlines
- historical references
- international/national relationships
- committee references



### CD-ROM Full Text Standards Products

- ♦ ASME BPVC
- API Select
- PetroChem
  - ♦ CSA E-CODE
  - ♦ IEEE Software Engineering Collection
  - + ANS

173

AWS

*≥IHS* 

### Online

- Initial online offering introduced in 1980
  - Bibliographic records
  - Still available via Knight Ridder (Dialog)
- New online products in 1997
  - Engineering Resource Center (ERC)
    - World Wide Web
    - Intranet
    - Extranet
    - Windows Online

*≥IHS* 

# **Online Products (full text)**

- World Wide Web
- Full text IEEE standards developed in 1996 I
- Under Development
- Military standards available in late '97

175

Several individual standards collections under development 》 HNS

### **Benefits of Electronic Products**

- immediate access to data
- saves time and improves productivity
- improved quality of search results
- re-engineering ("do more with less")

- automatic updating
- links to referenced documents
- saves storage
  space / costs
- flexibility of multilingual products



》HNS

# Questions ?

### INTENTIONALLY BLANK


## DOE Technical Standards Program 1997 Workshop July 9, 1997 Ken Peabody, Director, NSSN Services, ANSI kpeabody@ansi.org (212) 642-8908

## BACKGROUND

- Designed with and for all users
  - 30 Company, user, and academic organizations
  - 24 Standards developers and administrators
  - 6 US Government agencies
  - 8 International organizations



# BACKGROUND

- Development guided by 38 User Needs
- Search (15)
- Security/Integrity (4)
- Standards Development (5)
- Business (6)
- Help/Training (5)
- Other (3)



## TODAY:

- more than 600 developing organizations Over 250,000 records representing
  - US Industry Standards
- US Government Agencies
- International Standards Bodies
- Foreign National Standards Bodies



## TODAY: NSSN BASIC

- Goal Increase awareness of standards and generate as much activity as possible
- World Wide Web delivery
- No charge to users
- Minimal content
- Links to SDO Home Page and/or thirdparty distributors



- Goal Be a single source for information on U.S. commercial and government, international and foreign standards
- Subscription service
  - Single User Password \$495
  - Five User Password \$895
  - Ten User Password \$1695
  - Enterprise-wide License



- Content rich
  - Scope/Abstracts
  - Keywords
  - Equivalents
  - Committee Information
  - References
- More controlled searches than NSSN Basic
- Links to SDO Home Page and/or third-party distributors



- Information on standards under development
- Standards Alert Service
  - Each password entitles user to create up to 5 profiles
  - Alert provides email notification of standards initiations, reviews, approvals
- NSSN QuickLinks



- Standards Activities of Organizations of the United States
- Database of US Participation in ISO Activities
- References to voluntary standards in legislation and regulations (in development)



## BENEFITS

- Standards Developers
  - Increase exposure of their standards
  - Access to a broad segment of users
  - Exposure in a fast growing marketplace
  - Awareness of activities of other developers



## BENEFITS

- Industry users
  - Conveniently identify standards from more than 600 developers world wide
  - Better project planning with the ability to identify standards that are still in development
  - Timely information with the Standards Alert Service



## BENEFITS

- US Government users
  - Easily locate non-government standards
  - Increased participation in the development of voluntary standards
  - Timely access to information with the Standards Alert Service



## 1997 DOE Technical Standards Program Workshop

Wednesday, July 9, 1997 Session 4: Key Technical Standards Issues Within DOE

## INTENTIONALLY BLANK

## **Topical Committees on Metrology and Accreditation**

**Bob Wayland** Laboratory Standards Sandia National Laboratories

Presented at:

193

1997 DOE Technical Standards Program Workshop

July 8, 1997









## inimum S a state of the sta of Standar Sandia National Laboratories Uniformity ommunication hite Papers Educate Resources Web Site Charter

## SECOND DOE METROLOGY COMMITTEE MEETING NIST, Gaithersburg MD

196\_



ACCREDITATION RELATIONSHIPS



## Department of Energy Hoisting and Rigging Standard, DOE-STD-1090-96

In 1975, safety and health personnel of the program offices of the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Headquarters (HQ) met to discuss the need for a DOE hoisting and rigging manual. At that meeting, existing applicable hoisting and rigging codes, standards, and regulations were reviewed in detail. It was determined that these documents, while adequate as minimum general industry standards, did not contain the detail necessary to adequately accomplish the extremely complex, critical, and hazardous hoisting and rigging operations being performed at DOE sites. A decision was made that a DOE hoisting and rigging manual was not only desirable, but necessary. Preliminary work on the manual was initiated in 1976.

A final draft of the document was completed in 1978 and implemented on a trial basis. In 1980, the Manual was formally distributed across the DOE complex. In 1984, DOE Order 5480.4 "Environmental Protection, Safety, and Health Protection Standards" included it among a list of referenced, nonmandatory standards and guides. It underwent numerous revisions between 1984 and 1996 to reflect changes in source standards as well as to improve format, strengthen wording and reduce redundancy. Ultimately, it enjoyed very wide distribution and usage across the DOE complex, with over 4000 copies in print.

As a result of the 1995 restructuring of DOE directives system, DOE's Office of Scientific and Technical Information (OSTI) reclassified the manual as a handbook, after which it was republished as the DOE Hoisting and Rigging Handbook (DOE-HDBK-1090-95). After further review, OSTI reclassified the handbook as a DOE Technical Standard in September 1996. It was again published and it is now available as the DOE Hoisting and Rigging Standard, DOE-STD-1090-96 (Rev-1). It is available on a limited basis in hardcopy from OSTI and can be downloaded directly from OSTI's Internet website(http://apollo.osti.gov/html/techstds/standard.html).

The Hoisting and Rigging Standard is intended as a reference document for use by supervisors, line managers, safety personnel, equipment operators, and other personnel responsible for the safety of hoisting and rigging operations at DOE sites. The standard encompasses, under one cover, applicable requirements of the U.S. Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) and the American National Standards Institute (ANSI). The standard also delineates more stringent requirements such as: the respective responsibilities and accountabilities of management, persons-in-charge, and designated leaders; qualifications and training for operators and inspectors; special requirements for "Critical" and "Preengineered Production" lifts; and special requirements for the testing, inspection, and maintenance of hoisting equipment in "Hostile Environments."

The standard is maintained by the DOE Hoisting and Rigging Technical Advisory Committee, a group of DOE and Contractor hoisting and rigging safety professionals representing many of the DOE sites. It is chaired by the Headquarters Office of Occupational Safety and Health Policy, which has responsibility for safety policies addressing the Department's hoisting and rigging activities. The Committee is the major source for input into the standard, particularly in areas for which no applicable Federal and national consensus standards exist.



# U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY



## HOISTING AND RIGGING TECHNICAL STANDARD (DOE-STD-1090-96)

## *DOE HOISTING & RIGGING STANDARD (DOE-STD-1090-96)* **HISTORY**

- ◆ 1975 HQ MEETING ON NEED FOR H&R MANUAL
  - ➤ COMPLEX & HAZARDOUS H&R OPERATIONS
  - > EXISTING CODES AND STANDARDS INADEQUATE
- DECISION: DOE H&R MANUAL NECESSARY
- DRAFT COMPLETED AND PILOTED IN 1978
- DISTRIBUTED ACROSS COMPLEX IN 1980
- REVISED 8 TIMES FROM 1984-1996
- ♦ 4000 COPIES IN CIRCULATION

## DOE HOISTING & RIGGING STANDARD (DOE-STD-1090-96)

## **DIRECTIVE STATUS**

- 1984-1995 NONMANDATORY REFERENCE IN DOE ORDER 5480.4
- ◆ 1995 HANDBOOK (DOE-HDBK-1090-95)
- ◆ 1996 STANDARD (DOE-STD-1090-96)

- DOE HOISTING & RIGGING STANDARD (DOE-STD-1090-96) CONSOLIDATES REQUIREMENTS OSHA 29 CFR 1910 & 1926

ANSI B30 SERIES

• DOE SPECIFIC REQUIREMENTS FOR:

» "CRITICAL LIFTS"

\* "PREENGINEERED PRODUCTION LIFTS" » "HOSTILE ENVIRONMENTS" DOE HOISTING & RIGGING STANDARD (DOE-STD-1090-96)

## **CONTINUOUS USER INPUT**

- ◆ DOE H&R TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE
  > DOE FEDERAL STAFF
  - > DOE CONTRACTOR REPRESENTATIVES
  - ♦ MEETS TWICE ANNUALLY
  - ♦ SUGGESTS AND REVIEWS PROPOSED CHANGES

-DOE HOISTING & RIGGING STANDARD (DOE-STD-1090-96)

## AVAILABILITY

- ◆ ELECTRONIC COPY
- » OSTI INTERNET WEBSITE:

(http://apollo.osti.gov/html/techstds/standard/standard.html)

◆ HARDCOPY

► OSTI (Phone 423-576-8401 (Limited basis))

## DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY HOISTING & RIGGING TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP

NAME	COMPANY	E-MAIL	TELEPHONE	FAX
AUSTIN, DAVID W	FERMILAB P O BOX 500 MS 316 BATAVIA IL 60510	dwa@tis.eh.doe.gov	708-840-3446	708-840-3756
BAKER, CINDY	MASON & HANGER CORPORATION PANTEX PLANT APPLIED TECHNOLOGY, T9-059 P O BOX 30020 AMARILLO TX 79120	cbaker@pantex.com	806-477-3525	806-477-6697
BAXLEY, MICHAEL	MASON & HANGER CORPORATION PANTEX PLANT PROGRAMS COMPLIANCE T9-060 P O BOX 30020 AMARILLO TX 79120	mbaxley@pantex.com	806-477-3405	806-477-3395
BELLEAU, JIM	WESTERN AREA POWER ADMINISTRATION P O BOX 3700 LOVELAND CO 80539	jbelleau@wapa.gov	303-490-7393	303-490-7393
BERRY, MICHAEL A	WESTINGHOUSE SAVANNAH RIVER COMPANY BUILDING 705 N P O BOX 616 AIKEN SC 29802	mike.berry@srs.gov	803-557-4657	803-557-4784
BRYSON, EVA JEAN	U S DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY ROCKY FLATS FIELD OFFICE P O BOX 928 BLDG 460 GOLDEN CO 80402	eva.bryson@rfets.gov	303-966-3097	303-966-4589
FEVIG, RALPH	U S DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY ALBUQUERQUE OFFICE P O BOX 5400 ALBUQUERQUE NM 87185		505-845-6099	505-845-6195
FINN, PAT	U S DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY EH 51 270 CORPORATE WAY WASHINGTON DC 20585	pat.finn@hq.doe.gov	301-903-9876	301-903-2239
GRIEGO, ALEX	U S DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY ALBUQUERQUE OPERATONS OFFICE P O BOX 5400 ALBUQUERQUE, NM 87185	agriego@doeal.gov	505-845-5681	505-845-6195

NAME	COMPANY	E-MAIL	TELEPHONE	FAX
DDOCK, RICH	U S DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OAKLAND OPERATIONS OFFICE ESH DIVISION 1301 CLAY ST RM 700 N OAKLAND CA 94612	rich.haddock@oak.doe.gov	510-637-1601	510-637-2078
IER, H JACK	U S DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY IDAHO OPERATIONS OFFICE 850 ENERGY DRIVE MS 4160 IDAHO FALLS ID 83401-1563	heierhj@inel.gov	208-526-0662	208-526-7414
LT, G LYNN	LOCKHEED MARTIN IDAHO TECHNOLOGIES COMPANY P O BOX 1625 IDAHO FALLS ID 83415-3405	holtgl@tis.eh.doe.gov	208-526-8121	208-526-5880
IMPHREYS, NNIS	U S DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY RICHLAND OPERATIONS OFFICE P O BOX 550 MS R3 78 RICHLAND WA 99352		509-373-7868	509-376-0695
XFORD, BUTCH	U S DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY SAVANNHA RIVER OPERATIONS OFFICE P O BOX A 730-B AIKEN SC 29802		803-557-5257	803-725-3376
CGEE, LOUIS	U S DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY EM-37 19901 GERMANTOWN ROAD GERMANTOWN MD 20874	louis.mcgee@em.doe.gov	301-903-7992	301-903-7166
DRGAN, CARL	OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LAB. P. O. BOX 2008 MS 6292-BL 3550 OAK RIDGE TN 37831	nc9@ornl.gov	423-574-6682	423-574-5070
BORN, RUPERT O	LOCKHEED MARTIN ENERGY SYSTEMS P O BOX 2009 MS 8202 BLDG 91-12 OAK RIDGE TN 37831	roo@ornl.gov	423-574-1987	423-574-0785
TIGALIA, ED	U S DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY EH 51 19901 GERMANTOWN ROAD GERMANTOWN MD 20874	ed.patigalia@hq.doe.gov	301-903-3972	301-903-2239
UL, DOUG	U S DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OAK RIDGE OPERATIONS OFFICE P O BOX 2001 OAK RIDGE TN 37931		423-576-9473	423-576-3725

NAME	COMPANY	E-MAIL	TELEPHONE	FAX
PHILLIPS, CHARLES	U S DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY AMARILLO AREA OFFICE P O BOX 30030 AMARILLO TX 79120	cphillip@pantex.doe.gov	806-477-5336	806-477-5896
POPE, RAY	FLUOR DANIEL NORTHWEST H5-70 P O BOX 1050 RICHLAND WA 99352	ray_pope@rl.gov	509-376-3223	509-376-0279
SALADYGA, JOHN	WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC P O BOX 191 ROCKSPRINGS ROAD WESTVALLEY NY 14171-0191		716-942-4141	
STEVENS, DAN	WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC P O BOX 191 ROCKSPRINGS ROAD WESTVALLEY NY 14171-0191		716-942-4437	716-942-4376
TURNER, KAROL	U S DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY KANSAS CITY AREA OFFICE P O BOX 410202 KANSAS CITY MO 64141	· ·	816-997-3354	816-997-7310
VIOLA, MIKE	PRINCETON PLASMA PHYSICS LAB P O BOX 451 PRINCETON NJ 08543	mikev @tis.eh.doe.gov	609-243-3655	609-243-3248
YOUNG, ROBERT	U S DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY AMARILLO AREA OFFICE P O BOX 30030 AMARILLO TX 79120	ryoung@pantex.com	806-477-3132	806-477-5893
REV 06-30-97				

## **DOE Technical Standard**

## Integration of Safety and Health into Facility Disposition Activities

1997 DOE Technical Standards Program Workshop

July 9, 1997

by George E. Detsis EH Office of Worker Health and Safety



## **Presentation Overview**

- Background
  - DOE Facility Disposition Mission
  - -S&H Technical Standard
- Key Features of Technical Standard (SAFT-0060)
- Integrated Safety Management System for Facility Disposition
- Technical Standard Path Forward



211

## What Are Facility Disposition Activities ?

Facility transition from operation and cleanup activities that include deactivation, long-term surveillance and maintenance, decontamination, and dismantlement.

Commonly referred to as "D&D"

## What Are Facility Disposition Activities?



**Typical Facility Life Cycle** 

212

## **Facility Disposition Process and Hazard Profile**



## **Several Thousand Facilities in Facility Disposition**

Example Site	Example Facility
Hanford	PUREX, B-Plant, PFP, N-Reactor, C-Reactor
Los Alamos National Laboratories	Facilities in Technical Areas 2, 16, 21, 33 and 35
Oak Ridge	K-25, Molten Salt Reactor
INEL	ICPP, Small-Scaled Decommissioning Projects.
Mound	Entire Site [over 100 buildings (only 6 or 7 considered nuclear)]
SRS	232-F Tritium Facility, HWCTR, L-, P- and R- Production Reactors

 ${\bf k}_{\rm F} = -{\bf k}$ 

 $\{ g_i \}_{i \in I}$
### **Drivers for Technical Standard Development**

- Secretary corrective actions responding to Hanford Plutonium Finishing Plant chemical accident (May 1997)
- Field requests to "codify" clarification of operations-oriented S&H directives
- Tiered to facility disposition directives

215

- DOE O 4XX.1, Facility Disposition Management
- DOE M 4XX.1-1, Facility Disposition Manual
- Key issues raised in Secretary approved memo (1995)
- Strong interest by Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board and other external organizations (e.g., EPA-Labor S&H Superfund Task Force)

### Issue\*

"DOE Orders, OSHA standards, RCRA regulations, and a host of additional requirements are potentially applicable to EM's cleanup work. As these Orders, standards, and regulations are translated and transmitted by headquarters, operations offices, site management, contractors and subcontractors, the breadth and complexity grows to the point where project managers, supervisors, and workers are fundamentally confused as to what requirements apply and what specific procedures should be followed for the job or task in which they are engaged."

**Solution--**"Apply clear and appropriate requirements and procedures for cleanup work."

\*Secretary approved EH-1/EM-1 memo dated April 7, 1995 on Environmental Management Safety and Health Plan



## Characteristics of Operating Facility and Disposition Activities

	Disposition	Operation
S&H Regulatory Framework	Categorized by hazard types and clarified by this Technical Standard	Established by existing DOE directives and external regulations
Hazard Profile	Frequently changing; not well characterized; more unrecognized hazards	Stable; well characterized
Work Planning	Task or job oriented; frequently performing new tasks; first of kind tasks, one time and short duration tasks	Routine; focused on operation and maintenance
Hazards Analysis	Dynamic; mainly task oriented	Operation oriented; generally stable
Work Force Experience	New mission; limited experience; subcontractors may not have process knowledge of facility operations	Familiar with facility operation and routine work
Contract Management	More short-term subcontractor involvement	Contractor managed and operated



# **Technical Standard Objectives**

- Management System and integrated hazard management Guidance on implementing Integrated Safety for facility disposition
- Guidance on clarifying operation-oriented S&H directives and external regulations (overlaps and redundancies)
- Roadmap of existing S&H directives
- S&H performance expectations for integrated hazard management



# **Technical Standard Development Process**

- Teaming with HQ, field/contractors
  - Members with "on-the-ground" experience
  - Technical advisors representing DOE's Program Secretarial Offices, field and contractors
- S&H workshop, meetings and site visits
- Several drafts undergone peer review
- Active DNFSB staff participation



# **Key Features of Technical Standard**



# Scope of Technical Standard



221



# **Integrated Hazard Management**



# **Clarification of S&H Implementation Issues**

- Elimination of fixed contamination/activated metals for Hazard Category decommissioning inventory considerations
- Nuclear S&H and CERCLA document integration
- Use of BIOs for entire duration of facility disposition activities
- Safety controls retirement criteria during facility disposition activities
- Criteria for using existing operation oriented safety basis for facility disposition
- Readiness review in lieu of ORRs for Category 3 nuclear facilities
- Natural phenomenon assessment guidelines



# **Path Forward**

- Draft formal coordination/review (July 1997) (30 day formal comment/review)
  - DOE Technical Standard Website http://apollo.osti.gov/html/techstds/techstds.html
     Go to: Draft Standards
  - EH D&D Website http://tis-nt.eh.doe.gov/dd/
- Final approval (October 1997)
- Workshops on Technical Standard orientation and awareness (beginning October 1997)

# "Fire Protection Standards" PANEL PRESENTATION



Dennis Kubicki, P.E. Fire Protection Engineer USDOE HQ EH-51

**DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY** 

# **DOE FIRE PROTECTION PROGRAM**

**Source Documents:** 

- CFR Requirements
- DOE Orders (420.1 & 440.1)
- Implementation Guide
- DOE Fire Protection Standards
- DOE Fire Protection Handbook
- NFPA Codes and Standards
- Other Industry Standards
- Field Office Directives
- Contractor Requirements

# **MOST RECENT ACTIVITY**

- "Fire Protection Design Criteria" DOE-STD-1066-97 Approved March, 1997
  - Reflects "Good Stuff" Lost From DOE 6430.1A, "GDC"
  - Addresses Issues Other Codes and Standards
    Don't and Won't
  - Reflects DOE Experience

227

Sanctions Flexible, Cost-Effective Design

# **FESSONS FEVENED**

- Committee Development and Review Don't Save
  Time or Effort
- "Extremist" Comments Are a Problem
- Consensus Cannot Be Achieved Across the Complex
- Gvercome All Hurdles
  Overcome All Hurdles

# FUTURE ISSUES

- K-25 Fire Fatality "Corrective" Actions
- Fire Protection Industry Evolving Codes and Standards
- **ES&H** Developments Within DOE
- **Expanded Internet Use**

# **K-25 FATALITY**

- No Flame-Resistant PPE
- No Fire Watcher

#### **Existing Standards Require:**

- Hazards Analysis
- Fire-Safe Environment
- Permit (or Equivalent)
- **PPE Appropriate for Hazard**
- Fire Watcher
- Fire-Fighting Equipment
- Fire Alarm Capability
- Emergency Response
- Management Commitment
- Oversight

D. Kubicki July 8, 1997 (7)

# **K-25 FATALITY**

Lack of Explicit Requirements Prompted "Judgment of Need" for New DOE Directives.

- Secretarial Memorandum
- Revised ES&H Bulletin
- Order Change
- Implementation Guide Change

What Are the Implications?

D. Kubicki July 8, 1997 (8)

#### INTENTIONALLY BLANK

#### EH-52 TECHNICAL STANDARDS

DOE's Office of Worker Protection and Hazard Management is processing two types of Technical Standards: facility radiation protection programs and instructor and student guides for radiation protection training programs. The following standards have been published for comment and in some cases the comment period has expired.

#### RADIOLOGICAL CONTROL

DOE has established basic standards for occupational radiation protection in the Code of Federal Regulations 10 CFR 835, "Occupational Radiation Protection." In the radiation protection programs developed to ensure compliance with 10 CFR 835, most DOE facilities have committed to implementation of certain provisions of this Standard. In order to ensure implementation of a comprehensive and coherent radiological control program that exceeds basic requirements and provides a substantial safety margin, DOE encourages its contractors to implement the provisions of this Standard to the extent appropriate to facility hazards and operations.

The Standard establishes practices for the conduct of DOE radiological control activities. The Standard states DOE's positions and views on the best courses of action currently available in the area of radiological controls. Accordingly, the provisions in the Standard consitute acceptable techniques, methods, and solutions for line management fulfillment of its responsibilities for development and implementation of radiological control practices.

#### INTERNAL DOSIMETRY

The purpose of this document is to provide detailed information on carrying out a facility's internal dosimetry program. As is true with all DOE technical standards, the programs presented in this standard are not mandatory; alternate methods of achieving the same results can be justified.

In order to meet the requirements for occupational exposure limits given in 10CFR835.202, it is necessary to develop procedures for determining the internal dose received by a worker. Bioassay techniques, in which samples are collected from a worker (urine and feces, usually) and analyzed radiochemically are widely used. This requires conscientious sample collection, handling, and analysis procedures. Each radionuclide requires very specific radiochemical procedures. Another method of determining the amount and distribution of radionuclides in the body is whole-body (or certain organ) counting. Optimal use of commercial equipment is necessary. Finally, it is necessary to calculate the organ doses from the bioassay or whole-body counting data. Computer codes have been developed for this purpose, but they depend on choice of various parameters. Guidance on calculating internal doses is provided in this document.

#### TRAINING PROGRAM HANDBOOKS

These Handbooks contain Program Management Guides which provide

information on how to use the Handbook materials, Instructor's Guides which include lesson plans for instructor use, and Student's Guides which contain student handout materials. In some cases, overhead transparencies are provided to supplement the Handbook materials.

1. Radiological Safety Training for Accelerator Facilities (DOE-HDBK-1108-97, March 1997)

This Handbook addresses the following topics with respect to accelerators:

History and use of accelerators Radiological concerns Types of radiological controls Radiological monitoring methods Radioactive waste issues

2. ALARA Training for Technical Support Personnel (DRAFT, April 1997)

This Handbook addresses the following topics:

The ALARA philosophy Principles of ALARA Application of ALARA ALARA reviews ALARA optimization analysis

3. Radiological Contamination Control Training for Laboratory Research (DOE-HDBK-1106-97, February 1997)

This Handbook addresses the following topics:

Discussion of radiological contamination Preparation of work areas and materials Good practices when working with radioactive material Radiological contamination monitoring techniques Decontamination methods

4. Radiological Safety Training for Radiation Producing Devices (DRAFT, February 1997)

This Handbook addresses the following topics:

Production of x-rays Biological effects of exposure to x-rays Radiation detection Protective measures X-ray generating devices Responsibilities for x-ray safety

5. Radiological Training for Tritium Facilities (DOE-HDBK-1105-96, December 1996)

This Handbook addresses the following topics:

Properties of tritium Sources and uses of tritium Modes of exposure and biological behavior of tritium Radiological controls for tritium Monitoring for tritium Tritium waste minimization and handling Abnormal conditions in a tritium facility

6. Radiological Safety Training for Uranium Facilities (DRAFT, June 1997)

This Handbook addresses the following topics:

The use of uranium in the nuclear fuel cycle Physical, radioactive, and chemical properties of uranium Control of internal and external exposure to uranium Techniques for measuring radioactivity due to uranium Criticality safety

#### INTENTIONALLY BLANK

#### 1997 DOE Technical Standards Program Workshop

Wednesday, July 9, 1997 Session 5: Key Technical Standards Issues Within DOE (continued)

#### INTENTIONALLY BLANK

# DOE Backup Power Working Group

Engine Generators Uninterruptible Power Supplies

Stationary Batteries

www3.dp.doe.gov/ctg/bpwg/bpwg.htm

John Fredlund, DOE/DP-??

john.fredlund@dp.doe.gov

239

#### Key Technical Standards Issues

- DOE applications require some unique considerations
- Lack of travel and training funding
- Increasing isolation Busy? Morale? Priorities?

#### Charter

The DOE BPWG fosters safe, practical, and effective testing, maintenance, operation, design, and installation of systems and equipment used to provide backup electrical power at DOE facilities. The BPWG provides an open forum on standard practices, safety issues, training, and solutions to problems encountered at DOE facilities. The BPWG promulgates its learning throughout the DOE complex and industry.

To fulfill its charter, the BPWG will

- Share practical design and operating experience with backup power sources (BPS) by promoting a continuing exchange among DOE contractor personnel, Headquarters. Operations offices, and industry
- Examine existing standards applicable to BPS and adopt, endorse, or tailor them for DOE applications
- Identify and apply techniques to achieve and maintain reliability and availability at levels commensurate with mission/safety objectives
- Promote worker safety, public safety, protection of the environment, and the DOE mission in the application of BPS
- Exchange successful and cost effective maintenance management techniques
- Identify potential means for coordination of training among DOE facilities
- Discuss graded approaches for conformance with DOE Orders

# Standards, Guidance, and Specifications by BPWG

- DOE-STD-3003 identified & solved major issues identified by AET
- DOE-SPEC-3018 & 3019 for Battery Systems
- DOE-HDBK-???? Diesel Generators
- DOE-HDBK-1084 Reviewed, working on update
- DOE-SPEC-3021 UPS Systems

#### **Recent Activities**

Semiannual Meetings, 8 so far

- 4/97 Richland, WA (Hanford)
- 10/96 Knoxville, TN (Oak Ridge)
- 4/96 Denver, CO (Rocky Flats)
- 10/95 Albuquerque, NM (Los Alamos)
- 4/95 Augusta, GA (Savannah River)
- 10/94 Las Vegas, NV (Test Site)
- 4/94 N. Redington Beach, FL (Pinellas)
- 10/93 Pleasanton, CA (LLNL)

#### How P.L. 104-113 May Influence BPWG

- Focus on Identification and Use of Existing Consensus Standards
- Downplay understanding of DOEunique aspects of BPS
- Added overhead for increased level of interaction with VCSBs
- Difficulty procuring copies of consensus Standards in "real" time.

#### Conclusion

- BPWG voted to join the standards committees as the DOE Backup Power Supply experts
- Whatever protection and support TSP can give us would be greatly appreciated
- TSP could help by supporting crosspollenization
- TSP should "organize" timely procurement of copies of standards



# Department of Energy Lessons Learned Program

**Points of Contact** 

February 1997

If you are interested in getting involved with any of the following projects, or would like some additional information, please contact the appropriate individual listed below. For an introduction to the Society for Effective Lessons Learned Sharing and its projects, reference the additional Society Fact Sheets listed in the text box below.

#### Membership -- New and General

Bobbie Smith Office of Environmental Restoration e:mail address: bobbie.smith@em.doe.gov phone: (301) 903-7436 fax: (301) 903-3479

#### Membership Listings

Bobbie Smith Office of Environmental Restoration e:mail address: bobbie.smith@em.doe.gov phone: (301) 903-7436 fax: (301) 903-3479

Doug Matia Project Performance Corporation e:mail address: dmatia@ppc.com phone: (703) 404-1516 fax: (703) 406-8972

#### **Calendar of Events**

Cynthia Eubanks Lockheed Martin Energy Systems e:mail address: EUB@ornl.gov phone: (423) 576-7763 fax: (423) 241-6539

#### **Guidance Documents**

Bobbie Smith Office of Environmental Restoration e:mail address: bobbie.smith@em.doe.gov phone: (301) 903-7436 fax: (301) 903-3479

Cynthia Eubanks Lockheed Martin Energy Systems e:mail address: EUB@ornl.gov phone: (423) 576-7763 fax: (423) 241-6539

#### Lessons Learned Performance Measures

Measures for the Society Dick Trevillian Office of Environment, Safety, and Health e:mail address: dick.trevillian@eh.doe.gov phone: (301) 903-3074 fax: (301) 903-7358

Measures of the Benefits of Lessons Learned Cynthia Eubanks Lockheed Martin Energy Systems e:mail address: EUB@ornl.gov phone: (423) 576-7763 fax: (423) 241-6539

Measures for the Lessons Learned Process Cynthia Eubanks and Merri R. Lewis Sandia National Laboratories e:mail address: MRLEWIS@sandia.gov phone: (505) 844-6523 fax: (505) 844-3014

#### Strategic Plan

Bill McQuiston U.S. DOE Idaho Operations Office e:mail address: mcw@tis.eh.doe.gov phone: (208) 526-7373 fax: (208) 526-1926

Suzanne Harter Project Performance Corporation e:mail address: suzanneh@ppc.com phone: (202) 646-1090 fax: (202) 484-0821

#### **List Server**

Meredith Brown Los Alamos National Laboratory e:mail address: Racer@lanl.gov phone: (505) 667-0604 fax: (505) 667-0555

#### Network of Experts and Functional Categories

John Bickford Fluor Daniel Hanford, Inc. e:mail address: John\_C\_Bickford@rl.gov phone: (509) 373-7664 fax: (509) 376-5243

#### Web Site/Newsgroup

Tom Moore Lockheed Martin Hanford Tank Waste Division e:mail address: mooret@tis.eh.doe.gov phone: (509) 373-6175 fax: (509) 373-1080

Visit the Lessons Learned Program Web Site at http://www.tis.eh.doe.gov/others/Il/II.html

211

#### Page 2

Jeff Pack SCIENTECH, Inc. e:mail address: jpack@scientech.com phone: (208) 523-2077 fax: (208) 529-4721

#### Lessons Learned Success Stories

Bill McEvoy Westinghouse Savannah River Company e:mail address: winfred.williams@srs.gov phone: (803) 952-9900

fax: (803) 952-8295 Terry Pierce Lockheed Martin Idaho Technologies e:mail address: txp@inel.gov

e:mail address: txp@inel.gov phone: (208) 526-4288 fax: (208) 526-5008

Dick Trevillian Office of Environment, Safety, and Health e:mail address: dick.trevillian@eh.doe.gov phone: (301) 903-3074 fax: (301) 903-7358

#### **Program Implementation**

Tom Moore Lockheed Martin Hanford Tank Waste Division e:mail address: mooret@tis.eh.doe.gov phone: (509) 373-6175 fax: (509) 373-1080

Merri R. Lewis Sandia National Laboratories e:mail address: MRLEWIS@sandia.gov phone: (505) 844-6523 fax: (505) \*44-3014

Claretta SL.livan Oak Ridge National Laboratory e:mail address: sv2@ornl.gov phone: (423) 241-3134 fax: (423) 241-3336 Joanne Lorence U.S. DOE Oakland Operations Office e:mail address: JDLORENCE@lbl.gov phone: (510) 486-4379 fax: (510) 486-4710

Meredith Brown Los Alamos National Laboratory e:mail address: Racer@lanl.gov phone: (505) 667-0604 fax: (505) 667-0555

Bill McEvoy Westinghouse Savannah River Company e:mail address: winfred.williams@srs.gov phone: (803) 952-9900 fax: (803) 952-8295

Fred Witmer Office of Defense Programs e:mail address: fred.witmer@dp.doe.gov phone: (301) 903-2270 fax: (301) 903-6623

Bobbie Smith Office of Environmental Restoration e:mail address: bobbie.smith@em.doe.gov phone: (301) 903-7436 fax: (301) 903-3479

Dick Trevillian Office of Environment, Safety, and Health e:mail address: dick.trevillian@eh.doe.gov phone: (301) 903-3074 fax: (301) 903-7358

Skip Castro Office of Field Management e:mail address: skip.castro@fm.doe.gov phone: (202) 586-4936 fax: (202) 586-3933

Terry Pierce Lockheed Martin Idaho Technologies e:mail address: txp@inel.gov phone: (208) 526-4288 fax: (208) 526-5008

Cynthia Eubanks Lockheed Martin Energy Systems e:mail address: EUB@ornl.gov phone: (423) 576-7763 fax: (423) 241-6539 February 1997

Bill McCaughey Roy F. Weston, Inc. e:mail address: William.Mccaughey%ER@mailgw.er.doe .gov phone: (301) 208-6825 fax: (301) 208-6801

#### Other Fact Sheets about the Society for Effective Lessons Learned Sharing:

- Society General Information
- Lessons Learned List Server
- Training Options and Topics
- Information Dissemination Methods
- Corrective Action Management
- Lessons Learned Web Site
- Lessons Learned Newsgroup

Contact Bobbie Smith at (301) 903-7436 or bobbie.smith@em.doe.gov for copies of any listed fact sheets or for additional information about the Society for Effective Lessons Learned Sharing.

Visit the Lessons Learned Program Web Site at http://www.tis.eh.doe.gov/others/Il/IL.html

242

Specific Requirements - 10 CFR Part 834 and DOE 5400.1/5400.5

- o General Dose limits and ALARA Process
- o Monitoring/Surveillance
- o Media/Source/Pathway Specific Requirements
  - Air
  - Water
  - Waste
  - Residual Radioactive Material
- o Ecological Standards

Presented by Andy Wallo July 9, 1997

DOE Implementation Guides and Technical Standards:

o General - Dose limits and ALARA Process

IG- General Implementation Guide

- TS- Environmental ALARA Technical Standard (ENR0001)
  - -ICRP 22
  - -ICRP 37
  - -ICRP 55
- ES- Federal Guidance Reports #11 and #12 (DOE/EH-0070 & 0071) -ICRP 26/30
- HB- ERPP plan format guide
- HB- Derived Concentration Guides
- HB- Dose assessment guide
- o Monitoring/Surveillance
  - TS- DOE/EH-173T(ENVR0005)

ES-ANSI 13.1 (continuous monitoring)

ES- DOE approval for shrouded probe

HB- DOE Radiological Survey Manual(ENVR0006) ES- MARSSIM

DOE Implementation Guides and Technical Standards:

- o Media/Source/Pathway Specific Requirements
  - Air

ES/tool- CAP88 PC for air dose assessment

- Water

TS- Groundwater Protection Program(ENVR0002)

TS- Soil Column Control(ENVR0003)

TS- Best Available Technology for Radiological Effluent Control(ENVR0004)

- Waste

HB- waste management recommendations

DOE Implementation Guides and Technical Standards:

o Media/Source/Pathway Specific Requirements (cont.)

- Residual Radioactive Material

IG- Control of Residual Radioactive Material

- Regulatory Guide 1.86/NRC Guidance on license termination
- CS- Recommended tritium release guides

TS- Handbook for control and release of non-real property HB/tool- RESRAD code and manual

- Data Collection Handbook
- Uptake Factors
- Handbook of distributions

Memo- Non-real property requirements(EH, Nov. 1995) Memo- Release to RCRA facilities (EM, Jan 1997) Tool- Dose assessments for recycle and reuse Tool- Dose assessment for Disposal of Hazardous Waste HB/tool- TSD Dose: radiological dose assessment model for TSDs(April 1997)

TS- Game release standard

#### o Ecological Standards

HB- Handbook for ecological dose evaluation

- NCRP 109, Aquatic Organisms
- IAEA 332, Plants and animals
- ES, ORNL/TM-13141, Terrestrial organisms

External Standards:

o General Environmental

#### ISO14001

- Published September 2, 1996

- Identifies elements of an environmental management system (elements commonly found in well run organizations): Policy, planning, Implementation and Operation, Checking and Corrective Action, Management Review and Continuous Improvement

- Interagency Working Group (established by Interagency Council Standards Policy, direction and support provided by NIST (DOE and EPA Co-chair)

IAEA (Draft) Radiological Control of Radioactive Discharges into the Environment

o Monitoring/Surveillance

ANSI N13.1 (revision) Sampling Stacks and Ducts

- Performance based standard
- Through first ballot (accepted)
- Expected 1998

ISO 2889, Air monitoring and control

- Stacks & ducts, work place and outdoor.
- Performance-based

#### Tracking

ANSI N13.9, Guide to Environmental Surveillance around Nuclear Facilities.

ANSI N13.33, Preparation of Environmental Surveillance Reports.

ANSI N13.47, Environmental Modeling.

o Media/Source/Pathway Specific Requirements

Waste

NCRP 87-2, Risk-based Classification of Radioactive and Hazardous Chemical Waste.

ANSI N13.50, Qualitative and Quantitative Characterization of LLW

- Process knowledge
- Non-destructive assay techniques
- completed 1st review
- expected next year.

CRCPD, Consider Radiation Control Criteria for Hazardous Waste

IAEA Safety Standards, Near Surface Disposal of Radioactive Waste

IAEA Joint Convention on the Safety of Spent Fuel and Radioactive Waste Management

IAEA-TECDOC-909, Issues in radioactive waste disposal.

o Media/Source/Pathway Specific Requirements

**Residual Radioactive Material** 

ANSI N13.12, Surface and Volume Radioactivity Standards for Unconditional Clearance

- 4 Groups based on hazard
- Maximum doses < 10 mrem/year
- Generally conservative assumptions
- Mass and Surface Limits
- Limited to clearance of non-real property (no NARM)
- Complete first ballot (accepted with comment)
- Expect 1998

IAEA-TECDOC-855, Clearance levels for radionculides in solid material.

IAEA (draft) Criteria for clean-up of contaminated areas.

Tracking

ANSI N13.31, Radiation doses from plutonium and americium in soil

ANSI, Naturally Occurring Radioactive Material

#### INTENTIONALLY BLANK
### 1997 DOE Technical Standards Program Workshop

### Thursday, July 10, 1997 Session 6: Regulatory Perspectives

#### INTENTIONALLY BLANK



### THE NRC

### Authority: Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended Energy Reorganization Act of 1974, as amended

Mission: Ensure adequate protection of the public health and safety, the common defense and security, and the environment with regard to the construction and operation of nuclear power plants and the use of nuclear materials.

### Regulatory Functions:

- Establish standards and regulations
- Issue licenses for nuclear facilities and users of nuclear materials
- Inspect facilities and users of nuclear materials to ensure compliance with requirements



ICSP May 13, 1997



### **USE OF CONSENSUS CODES AND STANDARDS**

- Consensus codes and standards have been an integral part of the NRC regulatory process for almost three decades.
- New federal requirements place increased emphasis on agency staff participation and use of standards.
- Presentation overviews the ways in which codes and standards promote the safe operation of nuclear power plants, and the manner is which NRC staff participate in the development and endorsement process.



### WHY CONSENSUS CODES AND STANDARDS ARE IMPORTANT TO THE NRC

Complement NRC's broad General Design Criteria

- Form a basis for NRC requirements and guidance in many areas of the design, construction, inspection, testing and repairs of mechanical and electrical components, and large civil structures
- Incorporate many years of accepted good engineering practice and reflect state-of-the-art technology
- Provide for efficient use of NRC resources



### REGULATORY FRAMEWORK FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF CODES AND STANDARDS

- Public Law 104-113, "National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995"
- OMB Circular A-119, "Federal Participation in the Development and Use of Voluntary Standards"
- Regulations, primarily 10 CFR 50.55a, "Codes and Standards"
- Regulatory Guides and other regulatory documents



ICSP May 13, 1997



### **STAFF PARTICIPATION ON SDOS**

Standards Developing Organization	Staff
American Society of Mechanical Engineers	47
American Nuclear Society	39
Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers	26
Health Physics Society	12
American Society of Testing and Materials	11
Institute of Nuclear Materials Management	6
American National Standards Institute	5
American Concrete Institute	4
Other	12
American Society of Civil Engineers	4
Total	166



260

**United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission** 

**Strategic Assessment and Rebaselining Initiative** 

- Initiated in 1995 to provide foundation for future direction and decision making
- Direction Setting Issues (DSIs) address major aspects of NRC functions
- Commission final decision on DSI 13, "Role of Industry," specifies development of an implementation plan that would address, among other things:
  - The need to streamline and simplify the NRC's internal process for endorsing codes and standards
  - Whether the intent of Public Law 104-113 is being fully addressed in all regulatory requirements and guides

11

# Impact of Public Law 104-113 on DOT Regulatory Activities.

Julie Abraham Office of International Harmonization National Highway Traffic Safety Administration

July 10, 1997

### Goals:

262

- Reduction of duplication and waste
- maintenance of a national competitive edge with respect to transportation related technological developments.
- Shaping the creation of new international standards which are adopted by other nations.
- fulfillment of obligations under the existing international trade agreements and initiatives.

Secretary have reported varying degrees of Nine DOT agencies and the Office of the **OT Participation in Voluntary** organizations and related activities. participation in standards-setting undards Organizations

263

420 DOT employees participate in at least one standards-developing group.

The total number of voluntary standards groups in which DOT employees participate is 86.

Between October 1, 1995 and October 1, 1996, DOT adopted 277 voluntary standards as a result of agency participation in a standardsdeveloping group.

DOT regulatory agencies consistently review existing regulations, and standards are often updated to reflect the most recent edition based on staff participation in standards committee activities.

# OT's Implementation of rcular A-119

DOT has always reported on its agencies' participation in A-119 activities, which until now, have only <u>encouraged</u> executive agencies to adopt consensus technical standards promulgated by voluntary standard bodies and to participate in these same bodies.

The activities that were encouraged by the original Circular are now required.

Agencies must now defend the negative decision NOT to adopt technical standards, domestic or international that are developed by voluntary standards bodies.

### **HOWEVER...**

The Circular does not prevent agencies from continuing to develop and use government standards within their statutory authority in the event that there are no voluntary standards available, or that the available voluntary standard is inconsistent with applicable law or otherwise impracticable.

### HENCE ...

With the exception that this revised version creates an avenue of legal liability, the implications of the Circular are minimal with respect to the way DOT will continue to conduct its regulatory activities.

standards of voluntary consensus bodies; and DOT regulatory agencies have always taken into account and in many instances adopted plications of Revised A-119

DOT agencies' regulatory processes are transparent and involve extensive analyses of available information and justifications for the decisions made, as well as the participation of a broad spectrum of the public, including voluntary standardizing bodies.

# amples Drawn from NHTSA ocess

Glazing Materials Regulation (FMVSS No. 205)

Protection Regulation (FMVSS No. 208) Warning Labels for Air bags- Occupant

# azing Materials Regulation MVSS No. 205)

The purpose of this standard is to specify requirements for glazing materials used in motor vehicles in order to reduce lacerations to the face, scalp, and neck; to minimize the possibility of occupant ejection through the vehicle windows during collisions; and to ensure driving visibility especially at night.

azing Materials Regulation MVSS No. 205)-Chronology <u>February 3, 1967</u> : FMVSS No. 205 was first published and it incorporated ANSI's July 15, 1966 Glazing Standard Z26.1-1966. June 21, 1972: NHTSA amended FMVSS No. 205 to allow two additional types of glazing, laminated glass and Plastics, for use in specified vehicle locations where bullet resistance is desired.
--

# azing Materials Regulation MVSS No. 205)-Chronology

276

January 26, 1977: ANSI revised its Safety Code for Safety Glazing Materials to incorporate the items added to FMVSS No. 205.

July 3, 1980: ANSI updated its standard to make minor changes to the plastic bullet proof glazing item, allowing less light transmittance and more loss of transmittance due to weathering.

s Regulation	-Chronology
ial	05)
ter	<u>,</u>
Ma	No
181	SS
IZIN	MV.
2	

glazing and to clarify items of glazing location July 14, 1980. NHTSA amended FMVSS No. 205 to include rigid plastic and flexible plastic in vehicles. However, it did not update the reference to the ANSI Z26.1-1977

277

petition by Rohm and Haas Co., GE and SAE to amend FMVSS No. 205 by updating the November 28, 1980. NHTSA granted a reference to ANSI Z26.1-1977.

azing Materials Regulation MVSS No. 205)-Chronology February 23, 1984: NHTSA granted a petition also by Rohm and Haas Co. and GE and published a final rule that amends FMVSS No. 205 to include a reference to ANSI Z26.1-1980.

278

September 14, 1990: ANSI catches up with NHTSA and updates its standard to include NHTSA's 1980 and 1984 revisions.

# azing Materials Regulation MVSS No. 205)-Chronology

<u>April 10, 1991</u>: NHTSA was petitioned by SAE to adopt ANSI A26.1-1990 in place of the 1977 and 1980 versions. The petition was denied by NHTSA due to the deregulation activity underway at that time.

# azing Materials Regulation MVSS No. 205)-Chronology

Currently ANSI is finalizing a 1997 version of its standard that incorporates the latest advancements in glazing technology and all recent changes to FMVSS No. 205. Since both parties are trying to converge, it is likely that NHTSA will adopt Z26.1-1997.

# r bag Warning Labels

28

On November 27, 1996, NHTSA published a final rule requiring new attention getting labels in order to reduce the adverse effects of air bags.

When devising the warning labels, NHTSA evaluated existing ANSI and ISO standards for warning labels in an attempt to harmonize the color and the pictogram.

r bag Warning Labels

The agency conducted six focus groups in three cities , Chicago, San Diego and Baltimore in order to test consumer reaction to existing warning labels and to NHTSA proposed labels based on commenters' suggestions.

lor
Col
-57
abe
3 L
ning
arv
M
bag

emphasis. The color yellow used in NHTSA's background color should be orange, the text proposed labels is associated with the word black, and the alert symbol should be black ANSI standard Z535.4 specifies that when on white, with occasional use of color for "warning" is used in the heading, the "caution" in the ANSI standard.

283

# r bag Warning Labels-Color

Only two of the 53 participants in the focus groups preferred orange. Participants generally stated that yellow was more eyecatching and hence more effective.

Based on the survey results, NHTSA, in its final rule, specified the background color to be yellow for the header.

# r bag Warning Labelsctogram

285

ISO, NHTSA and Chrysler proposed pictograms depicting the danger of airbags to children in rear-facing child seats.

The participants of a second round of focus groups all agreed that a pictogram is important to attract attention, and that even a bad pictogram would get them to read the label.

# r bag Warning Labelsctogram

The ISO pictogram was the least liked by the focus groups (one of 53) who indicated that it was too peaceful, and didn't convey a sense of danger. The ISO pictogram according to one of the focus groups is misleading, as it suggests that the air bag never touched the rear-facing child seat.
r bag Warning Labelsctogram

was preferred. Chrysler's pictogram modified Of the remaining two, the Chrysler pictogram NHTSA's pictogram by showing more of the having the air bag bending around the child vehicle seat for context, by having the child seat broken by the inflating air bag, and by seat.

ISO committee working on the pictogram, they specified the Chrysler pictogram for use on the NHTSA representatives are involved with the will suggest that ISO consider replacing its NHTSA will not adopt the ISO pictogram. It air bag warning label. In addition, since v bag Warning Labels**ctogram** 

current pictogram with the pictogram NHTSA

288

requires on its labels.

### r bag Warning Labels

NHTSA's main mission is to improve and preserve the safety of motor vehicle occupants; thus, the agency takes very seriously the importance of making sure that these labels do all they can to help avoid preventable fatalities. Therefore, although NHTSA has always sought to harmonize its regulations with those of voluntary standards organizations, its procedural rules will supersede all other requirements, even those of the revised A-119.

### INTENTIONALLY BLANK

### 1997 DOE Technical Standards Program Workshop

### Thursday, July 10, 1997 Session 7: "Work-Smart" Standards

### INTENTIONALLY BLANK

### Work Smart Standards for Environmental Management Facilities

by

Mark W. Frei Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Waste Management, Office of Environmental Management

1997 DOE TECHNICAL STANDARDS PROGRAM WORKSHOP July 10, 1997

### Background

- In March 1996, the Under Secretary endorsed a set of applications to develop Work Smart Standards.
- Five EM sites volunteered to identify and implement Work Smart Standards for some of their facilities.

# **Current EM Applications**

- Hanford Surveillance and maintenance of the PUREX facility;
- Savannah River (1) site-wide environmental remediation; (2) TNX facility; and (3) Savannah River Ecology Laboratory;
- Rocky Flats (1) Building 440; and (2) Building 771; Z
- Oak Ridge Environmental Management Enrichment Facilities:

295

TSCA Incinerator; and (4) the Transportable Vitrification System. Phase 1: (1) East Tennessee Technology Park (formerly K-25) surveillance and maintenance; (2) UF<sub>6</sub> Cylinder Program; (3)

Phase 2: Engineering design and construction activities;

Mound - Accelerated method for D&D of the surplus CFX facility.



### Hanford - PUREX

- Deactivation activities for PUREX have been completed.
- Surveillance and maintenance will be performed until the facility is decommissioned.
- Lessons learned from REDOX pilot have been applied to identify surveillance and maintenance standards.
- A comprehensive hazards analysis is the basis for the Work Smart Standards.
- The standards set is in final review by the site manager and modifications to the contracts are being prepared.



LUDA CATC 24

### **Savannah River - Three Applications**

- Site-wide environmental remediation Work Smart Standards have been identified and approved by the agreement parties.
   DOE is currently in the process of incorporating these standards into the contract.
- A Work Smart Standards application has been completed for the TNX facility. The identified requirements are being incorporated into the contract.

299

Identification of Work Smart Standards has been completed for the Savannah River Ecology Laboratory. The standards are being reviewed by the agreement parties for approval. Following approval, the standards set will be incorporated into the cooperative agreement with the University of Georgia.

## Rocky Flats - Two Applications of **Authorization Basis**

- Hazards analyses and standards/controls for work in Buildings 440 and 771 were confirmed using the Work Smart Standards process.
- Operations (BFOs) that were then approved by the agreement The standards/controls were incorporated into the Bases for parties. BFOs are tailored safety basis (authorization basis) documents.
- material consolidation and removal operations in Building 771 using these controls. Implementation of the control set for Operations in Building 440 (waste storage) are underway is scheduled for December 1997.





1-12 PUNNT

# Oak Ridge - Two Phases, EMEF Business Unit

Standards, was completed by September 30, 1996, for (formerly K-25) Surveillance and Maintenance,  $UF_{6}$ Phase 1, identification and approval of Work Smart four projects: East Tennessee Technology Park Cylinder Program, TSCA Incinerator, and the Transportable Vitrification System.

## Oak Ridge - Two Phases, EMEF Business Unit (continued)

- Under Phase 2, Work Smart Standards will be identified Kentucky; ETTP (K-25), and parts of Y-12 and ORNL, and approved for all remaining EMEF activities at five sites in three States (Portsmouth, Ohio; Paducah, Tennessee).
- A set of Phase 2 Work Smart Standards for engineering design and construction activities was completed and approved in March 1997. ŀ
- approval by DOE and Lockheed Martin Energy Systems The remaining Phase 2 sets are in confirmation, and Agreement Parties is expected by mid-July 1997.

### Mound - Accelerated D&D of the CFX

- An application of Work Smart Standards has been initiated to develop an accelerated method for decontamination and decommissioning of the surplus CFX facility.
- The Convened Group has been formed and its charter has been approved.

305

- The identification of the standards has been completed by the Identification Team.
- The Confirmation Team has completed the review of the identified requirements and has submitted comments. The comments are expected to be resolved and the set approved by the end of July 1997.

### Lessons Learned

- It is critical to have the appropriate people at Work Smart Standards meetings. Project management representatives must be present.
- 2. All team members must continually challenge each other to ensure that the standards proposed truly fit the work and hazards.
- 3. It is important to select the identification and confirmation team members from the correct functional areas for the work to be performed.

### Lessons Learned (continued)

- 4. If possible, benchmark through use of an outside A&E firm to provide an industrial perspective on standards/ requirements.
- 5. It is essential to maintain project knowledge and close. contact (almost daily) with the workers.
- 6. It is vital to establish clear expectations and milestones with significant consequences (e.g., award fee) for not meeting the schedule.

### **Benefits**

- Reduced Order Compliance. Only the applicable DOE
  Orders need to be included in the list of standards
  identified by the Work Smart Standards application.
- Ownership. The workers and management team involved in the identification process take ownership of the requirements as these are not externally imposed.
- Discovery of New Information that may avert problems, expenses in the future.
- Improved Communications among the various parties that will work at the facility/site.

### 1997 DOE Technical Standards Program Workshop

Thursday, July 10, 1997 Session 8: Technical Standards Program Initiatives

### INTENTIONALLY BLANK

### Department of Energy Technical Standards Program Issues and Activities

### Prepared for The DOE Technical Standards Program Workshop Striking the Right Balance: DOE's Work Smart Implementation of The National Technology Transfer and Advancement Act of 1995

### Presented by Richard J. Serbu, Manager DOE Technical Standards Program Office of Nuclear Safety Policy and Standards Environment, Safety and Health U.S. Department of Energy July 10, 1997

### **Objective:** Discuss Key Issues and Activities for the Main DOE Technical Standards Program Areas:

- o Policy and Program Management
- o Technical Assistance
- o Communications and Outreach

- Policy and Program Management
  - PL 104 -113 & OMB A-119 Revision
  - Update of Orders: DOE 1300.2A to DOE 252.1
    - Reflect PL and OMB A-119
    - Provide a Program Guide (DOE G 252.1)
  - TSP Procedures Reflect DOE user, TSMC, TC needs
  - Closer Coordination with the DOE Directives System
    - Push for Direct Contact with Standards Development Organizations to develop new standards for DOE
    - Establish a "Screening for Need" Body (DSC/DMB/Field)
    - Control "Rogue Standards"
    - DOE-Wide Participation in TSP
    - Review Role of Directive System
      Points-of Contact

• <u>Technical Assistance</u>

- Goal: Paperless Communications & Activities
  - New Home Page
  - Electronic Information Transfer/Internet Use
  - All Tech Standards/Drafts Posted Electronically
  - TSP Data Bases On Home Page
  - Electronic Communications with TSMs
  - Standards Forum/Standards Actions
- Post New TSP Home Page keying on interests and usage
- Broaden DOE-Wide Participation in TSP
- Recognition of DOE Topical Committees

### • <u>Technical Assistance</u>

- Provide Links to Standards Development Organizations
  - Internet Links
  - Program Contacts
  - Establish Topical Committee Counterparts
  - Standards Listing Services
  - Standards Summary Services
  - Standards Purchasing Services
- Improve TSM Participation in TSP
  - Push Participation at Meetings
  - Provide Training for new TSMs
  - Conduct Management Training
  - Push for Management Responsibility
  - Provide TSP Home Page

Information

- <u>Communications/Outreach</u>
  - TSP Home Page "Hot Links" to/from other standards activities
  - Cooperation with the Interagency Committee on Standards Policy/NIST
  - Participation with ANSI & ANSI's Government Members Council
  - Coordinating TSP Activities with other Federal Agencies, Standards Organizations, and Industry
  - Establishing direct links between the TSP, TSP Topical Committees, and Standards Development Organizations
  - Sharing with and Benchmarking from Organizations outside of DOE

Communications/Outreach

- Broaden DOE-Wide Participation in TSP
  - Recognition of DOE Topical Committees
  - TSM Training
  - TSM Participation at Meetings
  - Management Training
  - Management Responsibility
  - TSP Home Page Information
  - Publications:
    - Standards Forum
    - Standards Actions

### Summary

- DOE and the Federal Government are in an Era of Change
- The TSP is a Service Program
  - Provides a Uniform System for DOE
  - Provides the Means for DOE to Meet Federal Law and Policy
  - Provides the Means for All DOE Organizations to Impact Program Direction
  - Electronic Communications and the Internet are Key Paths to Success
- Participation Means Sharing in Goal-Setting
  - Technical Standards Managers
  - Topical Committee Members
  - Standards Development Organizations
  - Other Federal Agencies
- Non-Participation Means Following Where Others Lead and Having No Say
- DOE Intends to be a Leader in Technical Standards Management: Help Us Map the Path and Set the Example!

### INTENTIONALLY BLANK

### PROGRAM PROCEDURES TECHNICAL STANDARDS CHANGES TO THE

Don Williams, Oak Ridge National Laboratory

Presented at the 1997 Technical Standards Program Workshop; Washington, D.C. July 10, 1997

### CKGROUND

Summer 1996 - Revision to the Technical Standards Program Procedures (TSPPs) commenced (address PL 104-113, draft TSP Order/Guide, and issues from recent TSMC meetings

- October 1996 Planned revisions discussed at TSMC meeting
- March 1997 Draft general revision issued for comment
- June 1997 General revision completed



Topical Committees (new TSPP-11) Procedure Format (single-spaced)

- TSP recognition (existing technical groups, including TRADE SIGs)
- Role & responsibilities defined

- Updated References
- PL 104-113
- DOE 0 252.1 / DOE G-252.1-1
- DOE G-1430.1D


# SPP CHANGES

## Project Screening (TSPP-2/-4)

- More emphasis on use of NGSs
- TSP support for identifying available NGSs
- Justification for developing new DOE technical standards

## Establishing Need (TSPP-2)

- Expanded process description
- Terminology clarification
  - "Sources" of standards (NGS vs. Government)
  - "Formats" for DOE tech. standards (STD, SPEC, HDBK, TSL)
- Technical standards as "Requirements" incorporated verbiage from STD-1082: "shall" vs. "should"

## PP CHANGES

324

### Coordination (TSPP-4 thru TSPP-7)

- New emphasis on coordination with line organizations and TSMs
- Roll-up of site comments for submittal to Preparing Activities (PAs)
- GC receipt of new DOE technical standards for Congressional notification
- TSPO assistance with electronic coordination
- Guidance on coordinating NGS projects and role of DOE representative to NGS committee / working group



325

TSPP-3: (1) expanded definition of "adopted" NGSs to include those in SARs; (2) added data to be reported under OMB A-119

- TSPP-4: proposed set of revised functional areas for DOE technical standards included
- TSPP-8: emphasis added on receipt of PostScript and/or PDF files from PAs
- **TSPP-9**: Use of "Change Notice" clarified

# PP CHANGES

## Reaffirmation (TSPP-9)

- Notice of intent to reaffirm announced through Standards Actions
- Reaffirmations announced through
  Standards Actions
- TSP Home Page and TSIS annotated with reaffirmation data
- Goal use copies of technically valid documents; no "administrative" reprinting

# SPP CHANGES

- Inactivation/Cancellation (TSPP-9)
- Notice of intent to inactivate/cancel DOE technical standards and final actions to inactivate/cancel announced through Standards Actions

327

Inactive/canceled DOE technical standards upon request from TSPO removed from TSP Home Page; available

# MERGING ISSUES

328

Mid-June: communications with DNFSB staff - commitment in 90-2 response to coordinate nuclear-related directives with Board; will require additional TSPP revisions (scope TBD)

Adoption process - Need to consider employing DoD system (adoption notices generated by field)

#### REDESIGN OF THE DOE TECHNICAL STANDARDS HOME PAGE

#### DOE TECHNICAL STANDARDS PROGRAM

Madelyn Marie Wilson Office of Scientific and Technical Information July 10, 1997

#### **REDESIGN INITIATIVE**

The redesign initiative for the DOE Technical Standards Home Page is predicated upon an architectural hierarchy and structured layout of information. This is essential in managing the content and in the leveraging of information to produce consistent and improved business results. The information and life-cycle management challenges confronting the DOE Technical Standards Program, as it faces the realities of the new millennium, mandate the electronic development, delivery, and archival of technical standards documents.

#### **REDESIGN FOCUS**

OS II has management and oversight responsibilities for the redesign of the home page. This collaborative venture with the Oak Ridge National Laboratory supports the mission of the "Department's Standards Program and in partnership with all stakeholders, enhances DOE's transition to a standards based culture by providing information, coordinating activities, and promoting the use of consensus standards, and, when needed, the development of DOE technical standards."



#### **REDESIGN FOCUS (continued)**

The redesign of the DOE Technical Standards Home Page is a direct result of rapid changes in technology and user expectations. Technological enhancements will always be the driver in the management of information content on the home page. Ever-increasing technological advancements have resulted in the need to perform a strategic analysis of the existing home page to ascertain market trends and user requirements to determine the optimal structure and software for handling the information.

#### **REDESIGN FOCUS (continued)**

Goals of the redesign effort:

- Develop an architectural hierarchy of information
- Develop a search engine for PDF documents
- Develop intuitive navigational tools
- Include additional topics of interest
- Increase interactive capabilities
- Establish the feel of user ownership of the home page



#### **GRAPHICAL DESIGN OF THE HOME PAGE**

A well-planned and carefully designed architectural hierarchy is critical for information management. Designing a web page in such a manner allows the user to access the information quickly and intuitively. To achieve this goal, Frames technology has been adopted by the DOE Technical Standards Program as part of its redesign composite. Frames, a new feature of Netscape Navigator 2.0 or higher. allow the user to view information in a multiple, independently scrollable window within a single browser viewing area.

#### GRAPHICAL DESIGN OF THE HOME PAGE (continued)

The user may click on a link in the left frame and view the information content behind that link in the right frame. In other words, each frame can contain both textual and graphical information. Frames facilitate searches and the viewing of help screens without having to back-track through multiple screens.

332

#### GRAPHICAL DESIGN OF THE HOME PAGE (continued)

Advantages of Frames technology:

- Provides user-friendly navigational interface
- Allows different information to be viewed simultaneously
- Enhances menu functionality
- Side-by-side frames allow the execution of a search query in one frame and the results of the query to be displayed in the second frame
- Individual URL addresses can be assigned to each frame

#### SEARCH ENGINE

To enhance the search capabilities for both PDF and HTML documents, OSTI will use OpenText software to develop the search engine. OpenText interface is a search engine that can interface with the World Wide Web. The OpenText search engine has full-text searching capabilities for both PDF and HTML formats. The OpenText interface is platformindependent, supports all World Wide Web browsers such as Netscape and Microsoft Internet Explorer.

#### SEARCH ENGINE (continued)

The full-text search and retrieval capabilities of the OpenText search engine as implemented for DOE Technical Standards are:

- Search for a single word or a group of words
- Search for a phrase of any length
- Search with Boolean logic operators
- Stem searching
- Document ranking or relevance ranking to make searches more productive

• Search, retrieve, and view any document resulting from the search query

#### SE ARCH ENGINE (continued)

To expedite ease of use for the user by providing required results upon execution of a search query, the search screen allows field searching in the following categories:

- Keyword search
- Document number
- Document number ranges
- Functional areas

Ell	
	N
Landa (PD) Trata all pri and finite than the	י ד
Technical Standards Search Form	E
Is this your first time searching? Please time at the same time to be a To get	
information about specific fields in the search form lists, on the field name	
FILLEN South	
Firmet Search Inc	
OApproval Standards	11
ODraft Sensients	
O Both Approved and Dealt	
Document Number	
OR	
Document Number Range	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Evendenci Area	
ALTY-A.:errete Puels	
COPS-Concurt of Operations	
CRIT-Criticality	
MCH-Bag. Dimign and Constr. Bucloar	
1 2021- Braz Genzy Hanagement	
DGR Bhergy Conversation	
1 ANY P-MINITERPHILEL FICERILIEN	

#### FIECTRONIC DOCUMENT MANAGEMENT

As gatekeepers of the DOE Technical Standards Home Page, OSTI and the Oak Ridge National Laboratory consistently collaborate to provide accurate real-time document delivery. Electronic dissemination of DOE Technical Standards is essential to the Work-Smart process.

#### ELEC TRONIC DOCUMENT MANAGEMENT (continued)

Current information and additional topics of interest on the DOE Technical Standards Home Page are vital to maintain the Program's competitive advantage by providing timely, accurate, and viable information to the user.



#### ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT MANAGFMENT (continued)

The success of any Web-based application is measured by usership and accessibility. During FY 1996, electronic dissemination of technical standards consisted of:

- 120 PDF technical standards documents posted on the home page
- € 29,656 accesses to the home page



#### ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT MANAGEMENT (continued)

During FY 1997, it is projected that usership and accessibility will consist of:

- An additional 30 technical standards
- A 37% increase of usage in comparison with FY 1996



#### ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT MANAGEMENT (Cont.)

As indicated by the statistical data, the home page is a viable product for electronic dissemination of technical standards. As electronic document delivery becomes more and more integrated into the operations, the Technical Standards Program will be better able to:

- Reduce costs and provide a more functional and usable service
- Decrease the turn-around time in document development and delivery
- Increase document accuracy

• Increase access and expand distribution





The existing partnership between OSTI. Oak Ridge National Laboratory. and the Office of Nuclear Safety Policy Standards will continue to promote electronic dissemination as an imperative to the Technical Standards Program as we approach the new millennium. The DOE Technical Standards Program has been proactive in the technological execution of electronic dissemination, which will ensure the Program's success. This approach will continue to enhance and direct the flow of information in an open-system environment.



## memorandum

DATE: September 17, 1997

REPLY TO: Office of Nuclear Safety Policy and Standards: R. J. Serbu: 301-903-2856

SUBJECT: Memorandum of Appreciation for Participation in 1997 DOE Technical Standards Program (TSP) Workshop

το: Distribution

A copy of the proceedings from the 1997 DOE Technical Standards Program Workshop is enclosed for your information and reference.

These proceedings provide a record of the significant activities which took place during the workshop held on July 8-10, 1997, in Washington, D.C.

If you have any questions or comments on these proceedings, please contact either Don Williams, ORNL (423-574-8710; dw5@ornl.gov) or me.

R. J. Serbu, Manager DOE Technical Standards Program, EH-31

**Enclosure** 

Distribution: 1997 TSP Workshop Participants

MASTER

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED