SAN097-2904C
SAND--97-2904C
CONF-970706--

Synthetic infrared spectra for correlation spectroscopy

M.B. Sinclair, M.A. Butler, A.J. Ricco, S.H. Kravitz, W.J. Zubrzycki, and M.E. Warren

Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, NM 87185-1405

RECEIVED

DEC 09 1997

OSTI

ABSTRACT

As a first step toward the development of a new remote sensing technique that we call "holographic correlation spectroscopy", we demonstrate that diffractive optics can be used to synthesize the infrared spectra of real compounds. In particular, we have designed, fabricated, and characterized a diffractive element that successfully reproduces the major features of the spectrum of gaseous HF in the region between 3600 cm^{-1} and 4300 cm^{-1} . The reflection-mode diffractive optic consists of 4096 lines, each $4.5\text{ }\mu\text{m}$ wide, at 16 discrete depths relative to the substrate (from 0 to $1.2\text{ }\mu\text{m}$), and was fabricated on a silicon wafer using anisotropic reactive ion-beam etching in a four-mask-level process. We envision the use diffractive elements of this type to replace the cumbersome reference cells of conventional correlation spectroscopy and thereby enable a new class of compact and versatile correlation spectrometers.

Keywords: correlation spectroscopy, synthetic spectra, diffractive optics, infrared spectroscopy

1. HOLOGRAPHIC CORRELATION SPECTROSCOPY

Correlation spectroscopy is a selective and sensitive chemical sensing technique²⁻⁴. In a conventional correlation spectrometer, broadband light passes through a sample volume containing unknown substances, and then through a reference cell containing a known target compound (see Fig. 1). The degree of correlation between the transmission spectra of the sample and reference is measured by modulating the transmission of the reference in a suitable fashion, and recording the modulation imposed on the broadband optical beam. For materials such as gases that are characterized by narrow absorption lines, the cross-correlation between the transmission spectra of different compounds can usually be neglected,² and a significant correlation will be observed only when the sample and reference cells contain the same compound. Thus, correlation spectrometers are capable of excellent selectivity. Correlation spectroscopy also achieves good sensitivity for materials with narrow absorption bands, since the transmission auto-correlation functions of these materials are sharp and small modulations of the transmission of the reference cell can impose a large modulation on a beam that transits both cells.

Figure 1. A schematic representation of a traditional correlation spectrometer

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

MASTER

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

**Portions of this document may be illegible
in electronic image products. Images are
produced from the best available original
document.**

The principal disadvantage associated with correlation spectroscopy is the need for reference cells containing real compounds. These cells tend to be bulky and fragile, and it is difficult to envision a correlation spectrometer that is capable of detecting more than a few compounds. In addition, it would be extremely difficult to construct a correlation spectrometer for the detection of dangerous species such as chemical warfare agents and corrosive compounds, or for transient species such as ozone. Still another disadvantage is the limited modulation amplitude that can be achieved by available methods, such as pressure modulation and Stark modulation. Finally, since the absorption spectra of many compounds consist of narrow, isolated absorption bands, their transmission coefficients are near unity for most wavelengths. In this case, a large background of unmodulated radiation will reach the detector since the overall transmission will only be modulated in spectral regions where the reference absorbs.

A schematic diagram of a holographic correlation spectrometer is shown in Fig. 2. The principal difference between this spectrometer and the traditional correlation spectrometer of Fig. 1 is the replacement of the reference cell by a diffractive element. The diffractive element may operate in either a reflective (shown) or transmissive mode. In this configuration, the total power measured at a diffraction angle θ_d is determined by the cross-correlation between the transmission of the sample cell, and the diffraction efficiency of the diffractive element (at θ_d). If the diffraction efficiency spectrum closely corresponds to the absorption spectrum of a compound of interest, then the effect of the diffractive element is analogous to that arising from a reference cell containing a physical sample.

Figure 2. A schematic representation of a holographic correlation spectrometer.

The use of diffractive elements to produce reference spectra has several significant advantages over the use of reference cells containing real materials.⁵

1. The diffractive elements can be extremely compact, allowing many to be stored on a common substrate. Alternatively, a single, programmable diffraction grating may be used to recreate the spectra of a large number of materials. Thus, a correlation spectrometer relying on synthetic spectra can easily be configured to detect many different compounds.
2. The diffractive elements may be designed to only reproduce a subset of the target spectrum. This is desirable in situations where interference from overlapping absorption of other non-target compounds is expected. By reproducing only those portions of the target spectrum that are free from chemical interferences, increased selectivity will be obtained.
3. The diffracted light spectrum may be modulated in ways not possible with real reference materials. In the case of simple wavelength modulation, the magnitude of the modulation may be made to be significantly larger than can be achieved with a real reference material. More complex forms of modulation may also be used. In this way both the sensitivity and selectivity of the spectrometer may be optimized for a given application. However, for all modulation schemes, it is essential that the spectrally integrated diffraction efficiency remains constant to avoid spurious intensity modulation of the transmitted optical energy.
4. Diffractive elements may be designed to simulate dangerous species such as chemical warfare agents or corrosive compounds, or transient chemical species whose lifetimes are too short to allow their use as reference materials.

5. Since there is spatial information in the direction perpendicular to the diffraction plane, it should be possible to develop an imaging correlation spectrometer by modifying a simple holographic correlation spectrometer to include a detector array and "push broom" collection optics.
6. A final advantage of the use of diffractive elements in correlation spectroscopy is obtained when the diffractive element synthesizes the complement ($1-T_R$) of the transmission (T_R) of the target compound. This will lead to an increase in the fractional modulation of the detected power (and, hence, the signal-to-noise ratio), by minimizing the unmodulated background seen by the detector.

2. DESIGN PROCEDURES

The production of a synthetic spectrum requires the design of a diffractive element, whose diffraction efficiency spectrum at a predetermined diffraction angle is identical to the infrared spectrum of interest. For a phase-shifting element, this requires the determination of a phase profile, $\phi(x)$, which, when imposed on the incident broadband infrared beam, will result in the desired efficiency spectrum. The procedure used to design these optics was described in detail in Ref. 5, and will only be outlined briefly here. First, the infrared spectrum of the compound of interest is converted to a "u-space" amplitude profile, where u is the Fourier-space variable conjugate to the linear coordinate along the diffractive element (x). Assuming normal incidence on the diffractive element, u is given by:

$$u = \frac{\sin(\theta)}{\lambda}, \quad (1)$$

where θ is the diffraction angle, and λ is the wavelength of the incident light. In contrast to monochromatic applications, which exploit the angular dependence of u , the present application exploits its spectral dependence. Working in the Fraunhofer approximation⁶ and assuming spatially uniform incident radiation, a modified Gerchberg-Saxton algorithm^{7,8} is then used to obtain a phase profile $\phi(x)$ which produces a close approximation to the desired u-space profile. To accommodate a four-mask-level fabrication procedure, the traditional Gerchberg-Saxton algorithm is modified to produce a phase profile discretized to 16 phase levels. The phase profile determined in this manner is then translated into a surface relief profile, $d(x)$, using $d(x) = \phi(x) \cdot \lambda_1 / 4\pi$, where λ_1 is a specific wavelength within the spectral range of interest (usually the wavelength which corresponds to the largest feature in the spectrum). The surface relief profile obtained in this fashion represents the first approximation of the solution to the design problem. For any wavelength (λ), the phase profile imposed by the surface relief profile determined above is given by:

$$\phi_\lambda(x) = \left[\frac{4\pi}{\lambda} \right] \cdot d(x), \quad (2)$$

where the subscript indicates that the phase profile depends parametrically on the wavelength. Note that the imposed phase profile will exactly match the phase profile determined by the Gerchberg-Saxton algorithm only at λ_1 .

The spectrum of diffracted radiation is obtained from the surface relief profile by calculating the diffracted intensity for each wavelength within the spectral range of interest. For each wavelength, the phase profile imposed by the diffractive element is calculated according to Eq. 2, and a forward Fourier transform is performed to generate the u-space profile for that wavelength. Since both λ and θ are known, the u-space coordinate required for the output spectrum is determined from Eq. 1, and the amplitude corresponding to this u-value can be extracted from the u-space profile. This procedure is then repeated for each wavelength in the desired spectral range to assemble the entire spectrum. The surface relief profile is further optimized using a simulated quenching procedure,⁹ in which the full spectrum is calculated for each step in the quenching algorithm.

3. DEVICE FABRICATION AND EVALUATION

The target compound for this investigation, hydrogen fluoride, was chosen for several reasons.¹⁰ First, the IR spectrum of HF in the region from 3600 cm^{-1} to 4300 cm^{-1} consists of a series of sharp rotational lines

superimposed on a broad vibrational envelope. The multi-line nature of this spectrum makes HF an ideal candidate for detection using correlation spectroscopy.² Hydrogen fluoride is also an example of a compound for which construction of a stable reference is difficult and hazardous. A solid-state diffractive optic that synthesizes the IR spectra of HF would circumvent this problem, providing the basis for a correlation spectrometer for the detection of HF. Using the procedure described above and in Ref. 5, a reflection-mode diffractive optic was designed to synthesize the HF spectrum at a diffraction angle of 15°. It consists of 4096 lines, each of width 4.5 μm , for an overall length of 18.4 mm. The depths of the lines relative to the substrate ranges from 0 to 1.2 μm in 16 discrete steps. The choice of 4096 lines is dictated by the desire to achieve high spectral resolution (which requires a large element with many lines), without introducing significant computational or fabrication complications.

The surface relief profile was fabricated on a silicon wafer using a four-mask-level process (since the etching process described below is additive, n mask levels can be used to produce 2^n discrete etch depths)¹⁰. The four masks were produced on quartz substrates using direct-write electron-beam lithography. For each mask level, the silicon wafer was treated with a vapor of hexamethyldisilazane (HMDS), and a 1.4- μm -thick layer of AZ5214 photoresist was applied by spin coating. Alignment of the 2nd, 3rd, and 4th mask levels to the previous levels was accomplished using a Suss MJB3 contact printer. The mask corresponding to the shallowest etch depth was used first, with subsequent masks used in order of increasing etch depths. Alignment features were included in the mask design to aid in this process. Mask-to-mask alignment tolerances are estimated to be $\pm 0.5 \mu\text{m}$. Subsequent to development of the photoresist images, the silicon was etched in a load-locked reactive-ion-beam etcher described elsewhere.¹¹ This machine produces extremely anisotropic silicon etching, with $\pm 5\%$ depth uniformity. After the 4th level was etched, a reflective layer consisting of 100 Å of titanium and 500 Å of gold is deposited onto the diffractive element. Figure 3 shows a scanning electron micrograph of a portion of one of the gratings produced in this manner.

Figure 3. A scanning electron micrograph of an end view of a portion of the HF element.

A diagram of the experimental apparatus used to evaluate the diffractive elements is shown in Figure 4.¹⁰ The output of a tungsten-halogen lamp is directed through an order-sorting filter and chopper, and focused onto the entrance slit of a 0.25 m monochromator. The output of the monochromator is collimated with a 200 mm focal length spherical mirror, directed onto a flat steering mirror, and then strikes the diffractive element at normal incidence. The infrared radiation diffracted at 15° to the element normal is collected by a 200 mm focal length mirror and focused through an adjustable slit onto a photoconductive PbS detector. The detector output was recorded using a lock-in amplifier. A personal computer was used to scan the monochromator and record the output of the lock-in amplifier. The intensity spectrum recorded in this manner is normalized by replacing the diffractive element with a flat mirror which is adjusted to reflect the infrared radiation in the direction of the focusing mirror. In this manner, diffraction efficiency spectra are obtained.

Figure 4. A diagram of the experimental apparatus used to record the diffraction efficiency spectrum of the diffractive optical element.

The infrared spectrum of HF in the region between 3600 cm^{-1} and 4250 cm^{-1} is shown in Figure 5a.¹² Apart from an increase in the widths of the rotational lines, the theoretical diffraction efficiency spectrum predicted by the algorithm (Fig. 5b) closely resembles the real HF spectrum. The increased line width is due to the resolution limitations imposed by the use of an 18.4 mm diffractive element. With a larger element, narrower absorption lines could be synthesized. Figure 5c shows the synthetic spectrum measured from the HF diffractive element.¹⁰ All the major rotational lines, seen in the real HF spectrum, appear in the synthetic spectrum at the correct spectral positions and with approximately correct relative intensities. Thus, this optical element successfully synthesizes the general features of the HF spectrum. The spectral positions of the diffraction efficiency peaks observed for the HF diffractive element match those of the rotational lines of HF only at a 15° diffraction angle. Small variations in the angle at which the diffracted radiation is observed result in shape-preserving spectral shifts of $\sim 300\text{ cm}^{-1}/\text{deg}$. This behavior is precisely what is expected from consideration of the diffraction process (see Eq. 1), and offers an easy means of generating the spectral modulation required for correlation spectroscopy.

Although the synthetic spectrum shown in Fig. 5c faithfully reproduces many of the features of the real HF spectrum (Fig. 5a), it is obvious that the synthetic spectrum exhibits deviations from the real spectrum. The primary deviation is the increased line width of the synthetic spectrum. This is principally due to the resolution limitations of the simple experimental apparatus used to record the synthetic spectrum. Narrower lines have been obtained by increasing the resolution of the monochromator, at a cost of decreased signal-to-noise levels. The line widths of the spectrum shown here represent a compromise between resolution and signal-to-noise considerations. The development of a characterization system capable of higher resolution and better signal-to-noise levels is currently under way. It is important to note that slightly broadened lines are not necessarily detrimental to correlation spectroscopy applications: provided the extent of spectral modulation exceeds the width of the synthetic spectral lines, the magnitude of the correlation signal will be very similar to that obtained using a perfect spectral match.

The other significant discrepancies between the synthetic spectrum and the real spectrum are the appearance of small features (peaks, shoulders, etc.) between the rotational lines, as well as small deviations of the relative intensities from the real spectrum. Although the origin of these features has not yet been pinpointed, several likely candidates exist and are being investigated. First, the accuracy of the scalar diffraction method used to design the diffractive element is questionable, since the minimum feature size is only twice as large as the operating wavelength. It is expected that higher accuracy will be obtained by incorporating rigorous diffraction models^{13,14} in the design of the element. Second, fabrication errors (i.e. mask alignment errors and etch depth errors) result in deviations from the as-designed profile, and are expected to result in discrepancies between the synthetic and real spectra. Finally, any spatial non-uniformity of the infrared radiation incident on the diffractive element may adversely affect spectral fidelity.

Figure 5. (a) The infrared spectrum of HF between 3600 cm⁻¹ and 4250 cm⁻¹.
 (b) The theoretical synthetic spectrum predicted by the design algorithm.
 (c) The Infrared spectrum measured for the diffractive element shown in Fig. 3.

4. CONCLUSIONS

We have demonstrated that diffractive elements that can be used to synthesize the infrared spectra of real materials by designing and fabricating a diffractive optic that successfully synthesizes the HF spectrum between 3600 cm^{-1} and 4300 cm^{-1} . To our knowledge, this represents the first demonstration of using diffractive optics to synthesize infrared spectra. Finally, we have described a holographic correlation spectrometer in which the reference spectra are generated using diffractive elements rather than reference cells. Many of the limitations imposed by the use of real reference materials, such as those associated with toxic, corrosive, or transient species, are avoided using synthetic spectra. Storage of a large number of diffractive elements in compact disk-like format, or use of a programmable diffractive element, will allow a spectrometer of this type to detect many compounds without the need for reconfiguration. At present, work is underway to refine the design algorithms and improve our fabrication procedures in an effort to improve the accuracy of the synthetic spectra, and to demonstrate the use of these novel diffractive elements in a correlation spectroscopy-based chemical sensor system.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the United States Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC04-94AL85000. Sandia is a multiprogram laboratory operated by Sandia Corporation, a Lockheed Martin Company, for the United States Department of Energy.

REFERENCES

1. The adjective "holographic" is used not because holography is used to produce the diffractive elements, but because like white-light holograms, the diffractive elements are designed to simultaneously diffract several wavelengths of light at a common diffraction angle.
2. R. Goody, "Cross-Correlating Spectrometer," *J. Opt. Soc. Am.*, **58**, 900-908, 1968.
3. H.O. Edwards and J.P. Daikin, "Gas sensors using correlation spectroscopy compatible with fibre-optic operation," *Sensors and Actuators*, **B11**, 9-19, 1993.
4. D.M. Rider, J.T. Schofield, J.S. Margolis, and D.J. McCleese, "Electrooptic phase modulation gas correlation spectroscopy: a laboratory demonstration," *Appl. Opt.* **25**, 2860-2862, 1986.
5. M.B. Sinclair, M.A. Butler, A.J. Ricco, and S.D. Senturia, "Synthetic spectra: a new tool for correlation spectroscopy," *Appl. Opt.*, **36**, 3342-3348, 1997.
6. J.W. Goodman, *Introduction to Fourier Optics*, Chap. 4, p. 57-74, McGraw-Hill, 1968.
7. R.W. Gerchberg and W.O. Saxton, "A practical algorithm for the determination of phase from image and diffraction plane pictures," *Optik* **35**, 237-246, 1972.
8. J.R. Fienup, "Phase retrieval algorithms: a comparison," *Applied Optics* **21**, 2758-2769, 1982.
9. D.W. Prather, M.S. Mirotznik, and J.N. Mait, "Design of subwavelength diffractive optical elements using a hybrid finite element-boundary element method," *Proc. SPIE*, 2689, 14-23, 1996.
10. M.B. Sinclair, M.A. Butler, S.H. Kravitz, W.J. Zubrzycki, and A.J. Ricco, "Synthetic infrared spectra," *Opt. Lett.*, **22**, 1036-1038, 1997.
11. G. Allen Vawter, Joel R. Wendt, "Chlorine reactive ion-beam etching of InSb and $\text{InAs}_{0.15}\text{Sb}_{0.85}$ /InSb strained-layer superlattices," *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, **58**, 289-291, 1991.
12. The infrared spectrum of HF was obtained from: Infrared Analysis, Inc., 1334 North Knollwood Circle, Anaheim, CA, 92801.
13. *J. Opt. Soc. Am.*, **A12**, #5, 1995, (special issue on diffractive optics).
14. *Appl. Opt.*, **34**, #14, 1995, (special issue on diffractive optics).