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Direct limits are set on $WWZ$ and $WW\gamma$ three-boson couplings in a search for $WW$ and $WZ$ production in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.8$ TeV using the DØ and CDF detectors at the Fermilab Tevatron.

INTRODUCTION

Among the most characteristic and fundamental signatures of non-Abelian symmetry of SU(2) x U(1) gauge theory of the Standard Model electroweak interactions are the interactions of $W$, $Z$, and $\gamma$ bosons with each other. The interaction between the $W$ and $\gamma$ was previously studied in the process $p\bar{p} \to W\gamma$ (1). Here we report on bounds on the $WWZ$ and $WW\gamma$ couplings obtained from the production of $WW$ and $WZ$ in $p\bar{p}$ interactions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.8$ TeV (2).

In the standard model, the dominant contribution to diboson production in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.8$ TeV comes from two types of Feynman diagrams (figure 1). There are substantial cancellations between the $t$- or $u$-channel diagrams, which involve only the couplings of the bosons to fermions, and the $s$-channel diagrams which contain the three-boson coupling. These cancellations result in standard model cross sections of 9.5 pb and 2.5 pb for $WW$ and $WZ$ production respectively. To the extent that the fermionic couplings of the $W$, $Z$, and $\gamma$ have been well tested, we may regard diboson production as primarily a test of the three-boson couplings.

The most general $WW\gamma$ and $WWZ$ couplings consistent with Lorentz invariance have been formulated and may be parameterized in terms of fourteen

FIG. 1. Feynman diagrams for $WW$ production. In the standard model there are substantial cancellations between these two types of diagrams.
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independent couplings (or form factors), seven for the $WW\gamma$ vertex and seven for the $WWZ$ vertex (3). They are $g_1^V$, $g_2^V$, $g_3^V$, $\lambda^V$, $\kappa^V$, $\lambda^V$, and $\kappa^V$ where $V$ is either $\gamma$ (for $WW\gamma$) or $Z$ (for $WWZ$). The standard model SU(2) $\times$ U(1) electroweak theory corresponds to the choice $g_1^V = g_2^V = 1$ and $\kappa^V = \lambda^V = 1$ with all other couplings set to zero. The terms $\Delta \kappa \equiv \kappa - 1$ and $\Delta g_1 \equiv g_1 - 1$ are also used.

If any of these couplings differ substantially from the standard model values then the cross section increases. The enhancement is greatest at high boson $P_T$ where the strongest cancellations occur in the standard model. Any couplings, differing from the standard model values, that are independent of $\sqrt{s}$ cause the diboson production cross section to violate unitarity at some large $\sqrt{s}$. To avoid this the anomalous parts of the couplings are made functions of $\sqrt{s}$ and a form factor scale $\Lambda_{FF}$ in such a way that they approach their standard model values when $\sqrt{s}$ is bigger than $\Lambda_{FF}$.

$$\xi(\hat{s}) = \xi_{SM} + \frac{\xi(0) - \xi_{SM}}{(1 + \hat{s}/\Lambda_{FF})^4}$$

where $\xi$ stands for any of the couplings, $\xi_{SM}$ is its value in the standard model, and $\Lambda_{FF}$ represents the energy scale of unknown phenomena. The sensitivity of the measurement of the couplings can depend on the value of $\Lambda_{FF}$ used. However, if $\Lambda_{FF}$ is big enough, there is little effect at lower energies where the measurement is made.

The $WW$ and $WZ$ production at the Tevatron was studied in two channels, decay to leptons plus jets and decay to leptons only. The decay of $WW$, $WZ$ to leptons plus jets gives better sensitivity to anomalous three-boson couplings than the purely leptonic channels because the leptonic branching fractions of the $W$ and $Z$ are small and because the acceptance of the detector for jets is larger than for leptons. Background from the QCD processes $p\bar{p} \rightarrow W + \text{jets}$ and $p\bar{p} \rightarrow Z + \text{jets}$ is greatly reduced by requiring a large boson $P_T$, while retaining good sensitivity to anomalous three-boson couplings (3). This measurement was made by CDF. The purely leptonic decay mode of $WW$ does not have the overwhelming QCD $W$ plus jets background and therefore allows observation of the predicted standard model signal with a direct measurement of the production cross section. This measurement was performed by both D0 and CDF. In both measurements the leptons include electrons and muons.

THE COLLIDER DETECTOR AT FERMILAB

The Collider Detector at Fermilab (CDF) has been described in detail elsewhere (4). Here we give a brief description of the components relevant to this analysis. The location of the event vertex is measured along the beam direction with a time projection chamber (VTX). The momenta of charged particles are measured in the central tracking chamber (CTC), which is surrounded by a 1.4 T superconducting solenoidal magnet. Outside the CTC, the calorimeter is organized in electromagnetic (EM) and hadronic (HAD) compartments with projective towers covering the pseudorapidity range $|\eta| \leq 3.6$. 
Outside the central calorimeter, the region $|\eta| \leq 1.0$ is instrumented with drift chambers for muon identification. Each electron is identified by an isolated cluster in either the central EM calorimeter ($|\eta| \leq 1.1$) which matches a track in the CTC or the endplug EM calorimeter ($1.1 \leq |\eta| \leq 2.4$) with associated hits in the VTX. Each muon is identified by an isolated track in the CTC with minimum ionizing energy in the calorimeter. Events with one or more muons must have at least one muon with matching hits in the muon chambers. The presence of neutrinos is inferred from missing transverse energy ($E_T$), which is measured by the magnitude of the vector sum of the calorimeter tower energies perpendicular to the beam axis. Jet energy is measured by clustering the EM and HAD calorimeter energy within a cone $\Delta R < 0.4$, where $\Delta R = \sqrt{\Delta\phi^2 + \Delta\eta^2}$, and $\phi$ is the azimuthal angle (5).

### THE DØ DETECTOR

The DØ detector (6) consists of three major components: the calorimeter, tracking, and muon systems. A hermetic, compensating, uranium-liquid argon sampling calorimeter with fine transverse and longitudinal segmentation in projective towers measures energy out to $|\eta| \sim 4.0$, where $\eta$ is the pseudo-rapidity. The energy resolution for electrons and photons is $15%/\sqrt{E[\text{GeV}]}$. The resolution for the transverse component of missing energy, $E_T^{\text{cal}}$, is $1.1 \text{ GeV} + 0.02(\sum E_T)$, where $\sum E_T$ is the scalar sum of transverse energy, $E_T$, in GeV, deposited in the calorimeter. The central and forward drift chambers are used to identify charged tracks for $|\eta| \leq 3.2$. There is no central magnetic field. Muons are identified and their momentum measured with three layers of proportional drift tubes, one inside and two outside of the magnetized iron toroids, providing coverage for $|\eta| \leq 3.3$. The muon momentum resolution, determined from $J/\psi \rightarrow \mu\mu$ and $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$ events, is $\sigma(1/p) = 0.18(p - 2)/p^2 \pm 0.008$ (p in GeV/c). The $p_T$ of identified muons is used to correct $E_T^{\text{cal}}$ to form the missing transverse energy, $E_T$.

Muons are required to be isolated, to have energy deposition in the calorimeter corresponding to at least that of a minimum ionizing particle, and to have $|\eta| \leq 1.7$. For the $\mu\mu$ channel, cosmic rays are rejected by requiring that the muons have timing consistent with the beam crossing. Electrons are identified through the longitudinal and transverse shape of isolated energy clusters in the calorimeter and by the detection of a matching track in the drift chambers. Electrons are required to be within a fiducial region of $|\eta| \leq 2.5$. A criterion on ionization $(dE/dx)$, measured in the drift chambers, is imposed to reduce backgrounds from photon conversions and hadronic showers with large electromagnetic content.
CDF: $WW, WZ \rightarrow \nu \nu, l \bar{l} l 

The data for this analysis were recorded with the Collider Detector at Fermilab during the 1992-93 Fermilab Tevatron collider run, corresponding to an integrated luminosity of 19.6 pb$^{-1}$. We search for $WW$ and $WZ$ event candidates consistent with the decay of one boson to leptons and the other to hadrons. Background QCD processes are calculated at Born level (7), including simulation of the CDF detector and jet fragmentation using an adaptation of the HERWIG program (8,9). The boson $P_T$ requirement for $WW$ and $WZ$ event selection is chosen so that less than one background event is expected in the final sample. With this choice it is unnecessary to perform a background subtraction and any theoretical uncertainty in the background calculation is avoided.

A leptonic $W$ decay is identified by an isolated electron or muon with $P_T > 20 \text{GeV/c}$ and $E_T > 20 \text{GeV}$ forming a transverse mass $M_T > 40 \text{GeV/c}^2$. A leptonic $Z$ decay is identified by an electron or muon pair of opposite charge forming an invariant mass $70 < M < 110 \text{GeV/c}^2$. In events with a leptonic $W$ or $Z$ decay, a candidate hadronic $W$ or $Z$ decay is defined by the two jets (leading jets) in the event with the highest jet transverse energies ($E_T$). Each jet must have $E_T > 30 \text{GeV}$ and the invariant mass of the jet pair must be in the range $60 < M_{jj} < 110 \text{GeV/c}^2$. The $P_T$ of the two-jet system, interpreted as a hadronic $W$ or $Z$ decay, is required to satisfy $P_T > 130 \text{GeV/c}$ for leptonic $W$ events or $P_T > 100 \text{GeV/c}$ for leptonic $Z$ events.

The two-jet mass spectrum is shown in Figure 2a for events with a leptonic $W$ decay and with both leading jets satisfying $E_T > 30 \text{GeV}$. The sum of the predicted Standard Model $WW$ and $WZ$ signals plus QCD background is also shown, where the background is normalized to the observed number of $W$ events with two jets minus the predicted signal. Figure 2b shows the two-jet $P_T$ distribution in the subset of events which satisfy the two-jet mass criterion. The two-jet $P_T$ requirement is indicated by the arrow. One event passes this cut. For events with a leptonic $Z$ decay there are no events which satisfy all selection criteria.

The limits on the couplings follow from a Monte Carlo calculation of expected event yields for various values of the couplings. The Monte Carlo event generator (3,10) calculates to leading order the processes $pp \rightarrow W^+W^-$ and $p\bar{p} \rightarrow WZ$ with subsequent decay of a $W$ to $\nu\nu$, $\mu\mu$, or $jj$ and a $Z$ to $ee$, $\mu\mu$, or $jj$. Higher order QCD corrections to the cross section are accounted for by a "$K$-factor" of $K = 1 + \frac{3}{2}x_\tau$ (3). MTB2 structure functions are used (11). Initial and final state QCD radiation effects and jet fragmentation are modelled with an adaptation of HERWIG (8,9). The event generator is combined with a detector simulation which includes trigger efficiencies, lepton identification efficiencies, and jet response modeling. A fast parametrization of the full detector simulation was also employed. The trigger and lepton identification efficiencies are determined from the data and amount to 78% for electrons and 79% for muons. The modeling of the jet response and resolution are tuned to agree with studies of collider and test beam data (12).
FIG. 2. CDF selection of $WW/WZ \rightarrow lnujj$ candidates. All event selection cuts except the two-jet mass and two-jet $P_T$ cuts were used to select the events in (a). The subset of events from (a) passing the two-jet mass cut is shown in (b). One event remains after making all cuts. The solid line shows the data, the dots show the predicted standard model diboson signal, and the dashes show the predicted signal plus background shape.

The two-jet mass resolution is expected to be 9 GeV/c$^2$ for diboson events that would pass our candidate selection criteria. The efficiency of the two-jet mass cut is 88% for events passing all other cuts.

The systematic uncertainties on the yield are the uncertainties in the structure functions (6%), jet $E_T$ scale and resolution (16%), luminosity (4%), lepton identification efficiency (1%), and trigger efficiency (1%). The Monte Carlo acceptance modeling has 3% statistical uncertainty, and a 5% systematic uncertainty allows for differences between fast and full detector simulations. In addition a 14% uncertainty is assigned for the effects of higher order QCD corrections (8,13,14). These uncertainties are combined in quadrature.

The acceptance is a strong function of the couplings, because of the boson $P_T$ cut in combination with a varying boson $P_T$ distribution. For standard model couplings, $0.13 WW/WZ \rightarrow lnujj$ events and $0.02 WZ \rightarrow lnujj$ events are expected to pass the selection criteria, where $l$ is either an electron or a muon. The observation of one event in the $lnu jj$ channel and zero events in the $lljj$ channel is therefore not indicative of a departure from standard model couplings, even without consideration of the QCD background.

The predicted yield of high $P_T$ boson pairs is a quadratic function of the anomalous couplings. The lack of an excess of events therefore results in bounds on the couplings which take the form of ellipses in the plane of any two couplings. Since the one event passing all selection criteria could be either signal or background, we calculate the confidence limits from the probability of observing one or less signal events. We do not perform a background subtraction and therefore obtain conservative limits. The probability distribution
used is the convolution of a Poisson distribution with a Gaussian, where the Gaussian smears the mean of the Poisson distribution around the expected yield within the systematic uncertainty.

In Figure 3 we present bounds on four pairs of couplings. Except as noted in the figure caption, for each case all the other couplings are fixed at the standard model values. Each pair is constrained to the interior of an ellipse, which is a two dimensional section through an ellipsoidal allowed region in the fourteen dimensional space of three boson couplings. Because the bosons are required to have high $P_T$ our search is most sensitive to the couplings at energies near $\sqrt{s} = 500$ GeV. The limit contours, however, correspond to the value of the couplings at $\sqrt{s} = 0$ and therefore depend on the choice of $M_{FF}$ according to equation (1). The bounds are shown for $M_{FF} = 1000$ GeV and $M_{FF} = 1500$ GeV. The unitarity bounds, which depend strongly on $M_{FF}$, are also shown (15,16). For values of $M_{FF}$ larger than about 1600 GeV the bounds from unitarity are stronger than the bounds from the search.

Figure 3a shows limits in the plane $\lambda^7$ vs. $\lambda^2$. The limits are stronger for $\lambda^2$, illustrating the fact that the search is in general more sensitive to the $WWZ$ couplings. It is therefore complementary to studies of the process $pp \rightarrow WW$ (1).

The limits of Figure 3b focus on the $WWZ$ vertex, assuming that the $WW\gamma$ couplings take their standard model values. Bounds are shown for the couplings $g_1^2$ and $\kappa^2$, which are the only $WWZ$ couplings predicted to be nonzero in the standard model. The fact that the point $g_1^2 = \kappa^2 = 0$ lies outside the allowed region can be interpreted as direct evidence for a nonzero $WWZ$ coupling, and for the resulting destructive interference between s-channel and t or u channel diagrams which takes place in the standard model. Specifically, the search is directly sensitive to the $WWZ$ coupling in the region $\sqrt{s} = 500$ GeV. If the $WWZ$ coupling were zero in this region, the s-channel diagram containing the $WWZ$ vertex would not contribute to the amplitude, and the other diagrams by themselves would predict the observation of 15 ± 3 events, where the uncertainty is systematic. Independent of the choice of $M_{FF}$, this possibility is excluded at greater than 99% CL.

Figures 3c and 3d show limits on the couplings $\kappa$ and $\lambda$, assuming specific relations between the $WWZ$ and $WW\gamma$ couplings. In Figure 3c, the $WWZ$ couplings are assumed to equal the $WW\gamma$ couplings. The resulting 95% CL limits on $\kappa$ and $\lambda$ separately, assuming that only one departs from its standard model value, are $-0.11 < \kappa < 2.27$ and $-0.81 < \lambda < 0.84$ for the choice $M_{FF} = 1000$ GeV. With the assumption of matching $WWZ$ and $WW\gamma$ couplings, limits also result for the $W$ boson electric quadrupole moment $Q_+^W = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}M_W} (\kappa - \lambda)$ and magnetic dipole moment $\mu_0^W = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}M_W} (1 + \kappa + \lambda)$. In the standard model, these moments take the values $Q_+^W = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}M_W}$ and $\mu_0^W = \frac{1}{M_W}$. The point $Q_+^W = \mu_0^W = 0$ is outside the allowed region. Assuming only one of the moments departs from its standard model value, the limits at 95% CL are $-2.42 < Q_+^W / (e/M_W^2) < 0.35$ and $0.37 < \mu_0^W / (e/M_W) < 1.70$ for $M_{FF} = 1000$ GeV.

For Figure 3d, the relation assumed between the $WWZ$ and $WW\gamma$ cou-
FIG. 3. CDF allowed regions for pairs of anomalous couplings from the analysis of $WW, WZ \rightarrow b\bar{b}jj, b\bar{b}jj$ events. All couplings, other than those listed for each contour, are held at their standard model values. The solid lines are the 95% CL limits and the dotted lines are the unitarity limits; each is shown for $\sqrt{s} = 1000$ GeV (outer) and 1500 GeV (inner). The + signs indicate the Standard Model values of the couplings. (a) $\lambda^\gamma$ and $\lambda^Z$; (b) $g_1^Z$ and $\kappa^Z$; (c) $\kappa$ and $\lambda$ assuming the $WWZ$ and $WW\gamma$ couplings are the same; (d) $\kappa^\gamma$, $\kappa^Z$, $\lambda^\gamma$, $\lambda^Z$ and $g_1^Z$ in the HISZ prescription (see text), with independent variables $\kappa^\gamma$ and $\lambda^\gamma$. 
splittings is given by the HISZ equations (17), which specify $\lambda^Z, \kappa^Z$, and $\sigma^Z_\ell$ in terms of the independent variables $\kappa^7$ and $\lambda^7$. This prescription preserves $SU(2) \times U(1)$ gauge invariance and is well motivated in an effective Lagrangian approach. The corresponding subspace of anomalous couplings is not well constrained by previous indirect measurements (17). The individual 95% CL bounds on $\lambda^7$ and $\kappa^7$ are $-0.35 < \kappa^7 < 2.57$ and $-0.85 < \lambda^7 < 0.81$ for $\lambda_{\ell T} = 1000$ GeV, if only one of the two is varied from its standard model value.

**DØ : $WW \to \ell\nu\nu$**

The data for the DØ analysis were recorded during the 1992-93 collider run and correspond to an integrated luminosity of approximately 14 pb$^{-1}$. The DØ event samples come from triggers with dilepton signatures. The $e\mu$ sample is selected from events passing the trigger requirement of an electromagnetic cluster with $E_T \geq 7$ GeV and a muon with $p_T \geq 5$ GeV/c. The $e\mu$ candidates are required to have two isolated electromagnetic clusters, each with $E_T \geq 10$ GeV. The $e\mu$ candidates are selected from events where at least one muon is identified with $p_T \geq 5$ GeV/c at the trigger level.

In the offline selection for the $e\mu$ channel, a muon with $p_T \geq 15$ GeV/c and an electron with $E_T \geq 20$ GeV are required. Both $E_T$ and $E_T^{\text{incl}}$ are required to be $\geq 20$ GeV. In order to suppress $Z \rightarrow \tau\tau$ and $b\bar{b}$ backgrounds, it is required that $20^\circ \leq \Delta\phi(p_T^\ell, E_T) \leq 160^\circ$ if $E_T \leq 50$ GeV, where $\Delta\phi(p_T^\ell, E_T)$ is the angle in the transverse plane between the muon and $E_T$. One event survives these selection cuts in a data sample corresponding to an integrated luminosity of $13.5 \pm 1.6$ pb$^{-1}$.

For the $ee$ channel, two electrons are required, each with $E_T \geq 20$ GeV. The $Z$ boson background is reduced by removing events where the dielectron invariant mass is between 77 and 105 GeV/c$^2$. It is required that $20^\circ \leq \Delta\phi(p_T^\ell, E_T) \leq 160^\circ$ for the lower energy electron if $E_T \leq 50$ GeV. This selection suppresses $Z \rightarrow ee$ as well as $\tau\tau$. The integrated luminosity in this channel is $13.9 \pm 1.7$ pb$^{-1}$. One event survives these selection requirements.

For the $\mu\mu$ channel, two muons are required, one with $p_T \geq 20$ GeV/c and another with $p_T \geq 15$ GeV/c. In order to remove $Z$ boson events, it is required that the $E_T$ projected on the dimuon bisector in the transverse plane be greater than 30 GeV. This selection requirement is less sensitive to the momentum resolution of the muons than is a dimuon invariant mass cut. It is required that $\Delta\phi(p_T^\ell, E_T) \leq 170^\circ$ for the higher $p_T$ muon. No events survive these selection requirements in a data sample corresponding to an integrated luminosity of $11.8 \pm 1.4$ pb$^{-1}$.

Finally, in order to suppress background from $t\bar{t}$ production, the vector sum of the $E_T$ from hadrons, $E_T^{\text{had}}$, defined as $-(\vec{E}_T^H + \vec{E}_T^D + \vec{E}_T)$ is required to be less than 40 GeV in magnitude for all channels. Figure 4 shows a Monte Carlo simulation of $E_T^{\text{had}}$ for $\sim 20$ fb$^{-1}$ of SM $WW$ and $t\bar{t}$ events. For $WW$ events, non-zero values of $E_T^{\text{had}}$ are due to gluon radiation and detector resolution.
For $t\bar{t}$ events, the most significant contribution is the $b$-quark jets from the $t$-quark decays. This selection reduces the background from $t\bar{t}$ production by a factor of four for a $t$-quark mass of 160 GeV/$c^2$ and is slightly more effective for a more massive $t$-quark. The efficiency of this selection criterion for SM $W$ boson pair production events is 0.95$^{+0.09}_{-0.04}$ and decreases slightly with increasing $W$ boson pair invariant mass. The surviving $ee$ candidate passes this selection requirement but the $e\mu$ candidate (18) is rejected.

The detection efficiency for SM $W$ boson pair production events is determined using the PYTHIA (19) event generator followed by a detailed GEANT (20) simulation of the D0 detector. Muon trigger and electron identification efficiencies are derived from the data. The overall detection efficiency for SM $WW \rightarrow e\mu$ is 0.092$\pm$0.010. For the $ee$ channel the efficiency is 0.094$\pm$0.008. For the $\mu\mu$ channel it is 0.033$\pm$0.003. For the three channels combined, the expected number of events for SM $W$ boson pair production, based on a cross section of 9.5 pb (13), is 0.46$\pm$0.08. The Monte Carlo program of Ref. (3)
10

TABLE 1. DØ summary of backgrounds to $WW \rightarrow ee$, $WW \rightarrow e\mu$ and $WW \rightarrow \mu\mu$ events. The units are expected number of background events in the data sample. The uncertainties include both statistical and systematic contributions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Background</th>
<th>$ee$ (σ) ± (σ)</th>
<th>$e\mu$ (σ) ± (σ)</th>
<th>$\mu\mu$ (σ) ± (σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$Z \rightarrow ee \ or \ \mu\mu$</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.066 ± 0.026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z \rightarrow \tau\tau$</td>
<td>0.11 ± 0.05</td>
<td>&lt; 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>&lt; 10$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drell-Yan dileptons</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>&lt; 10$^{-3}$</td>
<td>&lt; 10$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W\gamma$</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.02 ± 0.01</td>
<td>--</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QCD</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.15 ± 0.08</td>
<td>&lt; 10$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t\bar{t}$</td>
<td>0.04 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.03 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.009 ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.075 ± 0.026</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

followed by a fast detector simulation (21) is used to estimate the detection efficiency for $W$ boson pair production as a function of the coupling parameters $\lambda$ and $\kappa$. The backgrounds due to $Z$ boson, Drell-Yan dilepton, $W\gamma$, and $t\bar{t}$ events are estimated using the PYTHIA and ISAJET (22) Monte Carlo event generators followed by the GEANT detector simulation. The backgrounds from $b\bar{b}$, $c\bar{c}$, multi-jet, and $W + jet$ events, where a jet is mis-identified as an electron, are estimated using the data. The $t\bar{t}$ cross section estimates are from calculations of Laenen et al. (23). The $t\bar{t}$ background is averaged for $M_{top} = 160, 170, \ and \ 180$ GeV/c$^2$. The background estimates are summarized in Table 1.

The 95% confidence level upper limit on the $W$ boson pair production cross section is estimated based on one signal event including a subtraction of the expected background of $0.56 \pm 0.13$ events. The branching ratio $W \rightarrow l\nu = 0.108 \pm 0.004$ (24) is assumed. Poisson-distributed numbers of events are convoluted with Gaussian uncertainties on the detection efficiencies, background and luminosity. For SM $W$ boson pair production, the upper limit for the cross section is 91 pb at the 95% confidence level. From the observed limit, as a function of $\lambda$ and $\kappa$, and the theoretical prediction of the $W$ boson pair production cross section, the 95% confidence level limits on the coupling parameters shown in Figure 5 (solid line) are obtained. Also shown in Figure 5 (dotted line) is the contour of the unitarity constraint on the coupling limits for the form factor scale $\Lambda = 900$ GeV. This value of $\Lambda$ is chosen so that the observed coupling limits lie within this ellipse. The limits on the CP-conserving anomalous coupling parameters are $-2.6 < \Delta \kappa < 2.8$ ($\lambda = 0$) and $-2.2 < \lambda < 2.2$ ($\Delta \kappa = 0$).

CDF: $WW \rightarrow l\nu\nu$

The data for the CDF analysis of $WW$ in the purely leptonic mode (25) were taken during the 1992-93 and 1994 Tevatron collider runs and corresponds to an integrated luminosity of 45 pb$^{-1}$. The electron and muon selection are similar to that used in the CDF top search (26). Events were required to have
FIG. 5. DØ 95% CL limits on the CP-conserving anomalous couplings $\lambda$ and $\Delta \kappa$, assuming that $\lambda_\gamma = \lambda_Z$ and $\kappa_\gamma = \kappa_Z$. The dotted contour is the unitarity limit for the form factor scale $\Lambda = 900 \text{ GeV}$ which was used to set the coupling limits.
TABLE 2. CDF summary of backgrounds to WW \rightarrow ee, e\mu, \text{ and } \mu\mu \text{ events. The units are expected number of background events in } 19.3 \text{ pb}^{-1}.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event Type</th>
<th>Background</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Z \rightarrow ee, \mu\mu, \tau\tau</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>\tau\tau</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b\bar{b}</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fake leptons</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The resulting WW production cross section is found to be 7.9 \pm 1.4 \pm 2.2 \text{ pb}. The 95\% confidence level upper limit on this cross section is 39.5 \text{ pb. The 95\% confidence level limits on the couplings are } -1.8 < \Delta \kappa < 1.9 \text{ (} \lambda = 0 \text{) and } -1.4 < \Delta \lambda < 1.4 \text{ (} \Delta \kappa = 0 \text{) assuming } \Delta \kappa^2 = \Delta \kappa^7 \text{ and } \lambda^2 = \lambda^7 \text{ and that the acceptance is independent of } \Delta \kappa \text{ and } \lambda. \n
CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, a search for WW and WZ in pp collisions at \sqrt{s} = 1.8 \text{ TeV is made. The resulting limits on the trilinear couplings and on WW production are summarized in Table 3.}
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>( A_{\ell\ell} ) (GEV)</th>
<th>assumptions</th>
<th>95% CL limits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DØ</td>
<td>900</td>
<td>WWZ = WW( \gamma )</td>
<td>( \Delta \kappa \in (-2.6, 2.8) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WW ( \rightarrow l\nu l\nu )</td>
<td></td>
<td>( \lambda \in (-2.2, 2.2) )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14 pb(^{-1})</td>
<td></td>
<td>( \sigma (pp \rightarrow WW) &lt; 91 \text{ pb} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDF</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>WWZ = WW( \gamma )</td>
<td>( \Delta \kappa \in (-1.8, 1.9) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WW ( \rightarrow l\nu l\nu )</td>
<td></td>
<td>( \lambda \in (-1.4, 1.4) )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>45 pb(^{-1})</td>
<td></td>
<td>( \sigma (pp \rightarrow WW) &lt; 40 \text{ pb} )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>WWZ = WW( \gamma )</td>
<td>( \Delta \kappa \in (-1.1, 1.3) )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WW, WZ ( \rightarrow l\nu jj, l\nu jj )</td>
<td>( Q_{\nu}^{w} = 0 ) is ruled out.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>19.8 pb(^{-1})</td>
<td>( \kappa^{\gamma} = g_{1}^{\gamma} = 1 )</td>
<td>( \kappa^{Z} = g_{1}^{Z} = 0 ) is ruled out.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HISZ</td>
<td>( \Delta \kappa^{\gamma} \in (-1.4, 1.5) )</td>
<td>( \lambda^{\gamma} \in (-0.8, 0.8) )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \Delta \kappa^{Z} \in (-0.5, 0.5) )</td>
<td>( \lambda^{Z} \in (-0.8, 0.8) )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \Delta g_{1}^{Z} \in (-0.9, 1.0) )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 3.** Summary of limits set on WW\( \gamma \) and WWZ trilinear couplings and on WW production at the Tevatron. All couplings, other than those for which limits are shown and those under HISZ, are held at their standard model values.
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