Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory

FERMILAB-TM-2015

Power Loss to Tevatron Beam Pipe

K.Y. Ng

*Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory
P.O. Box 500, Batavia, Illinois 60510*

August 1997

Disclaimer

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

Distribution

Approved for public release; further dissemination unlimited.

POWER LOSS TO TEVATRON BEAM PIPE

K.Y. Ng

(August, 1997)

I. GENERAL THEORY

For a beam pipe of perfectly rectangular cross section (no rounded corner), the longitudinal wall resistive impedance for a length L at frequency $\omega/(2\pi)$ is [1]

$$Z_{\parallel} = [1 - i \operatorname{sgn}(\omega)] \frac{\rho L}{\pi \delta h} F\left(\frac{w}{h}\right), \quad (1)$$

where h is the height and w the width of the cross section, ρ is the wall resistivity, and the form factor $F(\frac{w}{h})$ depends only on the ratio of width to height. For a squared cross section where $w = h$, $F = 1$ up to a few significant figures. In the above, the skin depth at angular frequency ω is given by

$$\delta = \sqrt{\frac{2\rho}{|\omega|\mu}} = \sqrt{\frac{2\rho}{|\omega|Z_0}}, \quad (2)$$

where the magnetic permeability of the wall will be taken as $\mu = 1$ and $Z_0 \approx 377$ Ohms is the impedance of free space.

For a beam pipe of circular cross section of radius b , the expression for longitudinal wall resistive impedance is exactly the same as Eq. (1), with the form factor $F = 1$ and $h = 2b$. Therefore, we can carry out the analysis with Eq. (1) and make the correct substitution of h or b at the end, depending on whether the cross section is square or circular.

The power loss to the wall by a bunch of linear charge distribution $\lambda(z)$ is given by

$$P = \left(\frac{\omega_0}{2\pi}\right)^2 \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |\tilde{\lambda}(n\omega_0)|^2 \operatorname{Re} Z_{\parallel}(n\omega_0), \quad (3)$$

where the summation is over all the revolution harmonic n , ω_0 is the revolution angular frequency, and $\tilde{\lambda}$ the Fourier spectrum of the bunch:

$$\tilde{\lambda}(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dz}{c} \lambda(z) e^{-i\omega z/c} , \quad (4)$$

c being the velocity of light. Assuming a Gaussian distribution for the bunch containing N protons with rms length σ_z , we have

$$\tilde{\lambda}(\omega) = eN e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\omega\sigma_z/c)^2} . \quad (5)$$

Then the power loss for M bunches is given by

$$P = FM \left(\frac{eNc}{2\pi} \right)^2 \left(\frac{2}{\sigma_z^{3/2} h} \right) \left(\frac{\rho Z_0}{2} \right)^{1/2} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} n \left(\frac{\sigma_z}{R} \right)^{3/2} e^{-(n\sigma_z/R)^2} , \quad (6)$$

where R is the mean radius of the accelerator ring. The summation can be approximated by an integral

$$\sum \longrightarrow \int_0^{\infty} dx x^{1/4} e^{-x} = \Gamma(\frac{3}{4}) = 1.2254167 . \quad (7)$$

For a Tevatron bunch of length $\sigma_z = 14$ cm, the step size of the integration, $\sigma_z/R = 1.4 \times 10^{-4}$, is small, and the approximation should be extremely accurate. In fact, numerical summation agrees with the integration approximation up to the 10th figure. Note that the summand has maximum contribution when $n \approx R/(2\sigma_z) = 3571$, and gives negligible contribution when n is small. Thus, we do not need to worry at all whether the thickness of the beam pipe is larger than the skin depth at low frequencies. The final formula for the power loss is therefore

$$P = FM \left(\frac{eNc}{2\pi} \right)^2 \left(\frac{2}{\sigma_z^{3/2} h} \right) \left(\frac{\rho Z_0}{2} \right)^{1/2} \Gamma(\frac{3}{4}) . \quad (8)$$

It is interesting to point out that the power loss is independent of the circumference or revolution frequency of the accelerator ring, because this is the loss into the length of the beam-pipe wall which the beam sees in unit time.

TeV33 will be working with $M = 100$ bunches each of intensity $N = 2.7 \times 10^{11}$, and rms length $\sigma_z = 14$ cm. The beam pipe is of stainless steel with a resistivity of $\rho = 7.4 \times 10^{-7}$ Ohm-m. For a square beam pipe of side $h = 6$ cm throughout the ring, we obtain the power loss $P = 3.922$ kwatt.

II. POWER LOSS IN COLD SECTIONS

The Tevatron beam pipe in the Tevatron is mostly of a square cross section with rounded corners. Each side is of width $h = 6$ cm. The beam pipe in the quadrupoles is of circular cross section of radius $b = 3.485$ cm. According to the design report [2], the various types, number, and lengths of quadrupoles are listed in Table I. Although some

Table I: Quadrupole information in the Tevatron.

	Length (m)	Number	Total Length (m)
Standard quad	1.679	180	302.209
Long straight inner quad	2.525	12	30.297
Normal long straight short quad (48, 12)	0.815	8	6.517
Outer quad	2.101	8	16.809
High beta long straight short quad (48, 12)	0.648	4	2.591
Outer quad	2.291	4	9.163
Total			367.586

quadrupoles in the Tevatron may have been different from the original design, especially those in the low-beta sections, the result of this analysis will not be affected by very much because, first, the width of the square beam pipe is not much different from the diameter of the circular beam pipe in the quadrupoles, and, second, the total length of quadrupoles only amounts to about 5.6% of the circumference of the whole ring.

We worry only about the heat load in the cold sections of the beam pipe. Table II lists all the warm sections in the Tevatron. Information concerning the low-beta sections is supplied by Gelfan [3] and the rest is taken from the design report [2]. We want to emphasize that usually there is a length of about 1 m for each transition from cold to warm sections, and these transition lengths have been included in the lengths of the warm sections tabulated.

The computation of the power loss is tabulated in Table III. The power loss in the cold sections is found to be 3.604 kw. Note that the $\rho = 7.4 \times 10^{-7}$ Ohm-m we used is the resistivity of stainless steel at room temperature. According to the SSC design report [4], this resistivity will drop to 5.0×10^{-7} Ohm-m at superconducting temperatures. If this is true, the power loss in the cold sections will be lowered to 2.96 kw.

Table II: The warm sections in the Tevatron.

	Length (m)	Number	Total Length (m)
Median location 17	14.539	6	87.234
Normal beta median location 48	8.192	5	40.958
High beta median location 48	8.136	1	8.136
Normal beta doublet space 49 or 11	3.819	6	22.915
High beta doublet space 49 or 11	3.852	2	7.704
Normal or high beta long straight section	53.194	4	212.776
Low beta double space 49 or 11	11.959	4	47.836
Low beta long straight section	15.248	2	30.496
Total			458.053

Table III: Power loss in different sections using $\rho = 7.4 \times 10^{-7}$ Ohm-m.

	Warm	Cold Square	Quadrupoles
Fraction of whole ring	0.0729	0.8686	0.0585
Power loss if pipe is all square or round (kw)		3.9221	3.3763
Actual power loss (kw)		3.4067	0.1975
Total power loss in cold sections			3.6042 kw

References

- [1] K.Y. Ng, Part. Accel. **16**, 63 (1984).
- [2] *A Report on the Design of the Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory Superconducting Accelerator*, ed. F.T. Cole, M.R. Donaldson, D.A. Edwards, H.T. Edwards, and P.F.M. Koehler, May, 1979.
- [3] N. Gelfan, private communication.
- [4] *Conceptual Design of the Superconducting Super Collider*, SSC-SR-2020, SSC Central Design Group, ed. J.D. Jackson, March, 1986, p. 169.