LA-UR- 97 - 3195

CONF-97/187--

Title:

Electronics Cooling of Phenix Multiplicity and Vertex Detector

Author(s):

Zukun (NMI) Chen, ESA-DE
William S. Gregory, ESA-DE

RECEIVED

DEC 01 1997

STI

MASTER

Submitted to:

Tri-Lab Engineering Conference

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

Los Alamos
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Los Alamos National Laboratory, an affirmative action/equal opportunity employer, is operated by the University of California for the U.S. Department of Energy under contract W-7405-ENG-36. By acceptance of this article, the publisher recognizes that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or to allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes. The Los Alamos National Laboratory requests that the publisher identify this article as work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

**Portions of this document may be illegible
in electronic image products. Images are
produced from the best available original
document.**

ELECTRONICS COOLING OF PHENIX MVD

A Technical Progress Report

By

Zukun Chen
William Gregory
ESA-Design Engineering

Prepared for:

Jan Boissevain
Barbara Jacak
Jon Kapustinsky
Jehanne Simon-Gillo
P-25 (Subatomic Physics)

August 1996

Los Alamos
National Laboratory

Electronics Cooling Of PHENIX Multiplicity and Vertex Detector

Zukun Chen, ESA-DE, MS H821
William S. Gregory, ESA-DE, MS H821
Los Alamos National Laboratory

The Multiplicity and Vertex Detector (MVD) uses silicon strip sensors arranged in two concentric barrels around the beam pipe of the PHENIX detector that will be installed at Brookhaven National Laboratory. Each silicon sensor is connected by a flexible kapton cable to its own front-end electronics printed circuit board that is a multi-chip module or MCM. The MCMs are the main heat source in the system. To maintain the MVD at optimized operational status, the maximum temperature of the multi-chip modules must be below 40 °C.

Using COSMOS/M HSTAR for the Heat Transfer analysis, a finite element model of a typical MCM plate was created to simulate a 9m/s airflow and 9m/s mixed flow composed of 50% helium and 50% air respectively, with convective heat transfer on both sides of the plate. For the current MCM design, using an air cooling system with a 9m/s airflow velocity, the average maximum temperature reached by the MCMs is 39.1 °C. The maximum temperature which is represented by the hot spots on the MCM is 40.7 °C. The results using a mixed flow of helium and air show that the average maximum temperature reached by the MCMs is 37.5 °C. The maximum temperature which is represented by the hot spots on the MCM is 39.43 °C for the helium and air mixture which meets the design temperature requirement 40 °C.

To maintain the Multiplicity and Vertex Detector at optimized operational status, the configuration of the plenum chamber, the power dissipated by the silicon chips, the fluid flow velocity and comparison on the MCM design parameters will be discussed.

Presentation Classification:

Key Words: heat transfer, simulation, detector, electronics, Helium

Visual Equipment Requirements:

LA-UR-97-

Initial calculational results have been reported for the original design of the Phenix Multiplicity and Vertex Detector (MVD)[1]. To maintain the MVD at optimized operational status, the maximum temperature of the Multi-Chip Modules(MCM) must be below 40°C. To meet this requirement, the configuration of the plenum chamber, the power dissipated by the silicon chips, and airflow velocity must be examined.

The structure of the MVD has been described earlier and it was also mentioned that any two MVD plenum chambers are identical and are made of 12 parallelepipedic Rohacell modules. The configuration of these two plenum chambers has been updated in the latest design. The fully populated modules (first and second module of each end) contain 6 MCM plates with 3 different spacings, while all other modules contain 4 MCMs as shown in Fig. 1. The distance between the first MCM and the shell on either side is about 4 mm. Grooves 2 mm deep are cut into the upper and lower sides of the Rohacell to maintain the MCMs in place.

The MCMs are the main heat source in the system and each one dissipates about 2.32 W which is less than the MCM power used for the earlier analysis. The updated MCM parameters are shown in Fig. 2. This figure shows that the location of the chips, chip size, and substrate material are also different from the earlier analysis. The electronics boards are thermally isolated from the rest of the MVD by the foam shell and therefore become the only components that need to be cooled. The thermal requirement for the electronics components is to maintain the operational temperature below 50°C. However, the temperature of the MCM's must be below 40°C to provide an adequate safety margin. Air cooling for the design was used.

Fig. 2 MCM Parameters

II. SOLUTION PROCEDURE

1. HEATING OF AIR ALONG A CHANNEL IN THE FLOW DIRECTION

As shown in Fig. 1, inside one MVD plenum chamber, each two consecutive rows of MCMs provide an individual channel for air to flow. Only the worst case, according to the spacing of these channels and heat generated by the MCMs, will be picked to study the heat transfer phenomena.

Assuming that the temperature is uniform across the channel cross section, the air temperature at some distance d from the inlet, T_{air} , is equal to the air temperature at the inlet, T_{inlet} , plus a temperature increase corresponding to the heating of the air through the channel. Thus the air temperature is given by

$$T_{air} = T_{inlet} + \frac{Q}{\rho \cdot v \cdot A_c \cdot C_p} \quad (1)$$

where Q is the total heat load from the inlet to d , assuming a uniform heat load distribution along the channel.

The other variables in Eq. (1) are defined as

$$Q = (2.32 w) (12)(d/L),$$

L = total length of the channel = $53.2\text{mm} \times 12 = 0.6384\text{ m}$,

d = distance along the channel = $53.2\text{mm} \times \text{MCM number}$,

ρ = the air density at room temperature (20°C) = 1.057219 kg/m^3 ,

C_p = the air specific heat at 20°C = 1004.579 W s/kg K ,

v = the airflow velocity that needs to be determined in this study, and

A_c = the channel cross section.

Between two fully populated rows of MCMs the cross section area is the one parameter that determines the velocity of the chilled air. In the current design there are four sizes of spacing. At first, we assume an air velocity for the channel flow. The worst case is where the air will be heated more than in the other channels. Using equation (1) and any assumed airflow velocity, it can be determined that the channel with the mark 6* is the channel that we should use for the heat transfer evaluation since the combination of the cross section area and heat load will give the highest temperature in the MCM. In Fig. 3 the air temperature is shown along the channels in the flow direction for four different spacing, a velocity of 9 m/s , and a 20°C inlet temperature. The outlet air temperature corresponds to the air temperature at the 12th module.

Fig. 3 Air temperature along the channel with different spacings

Thus, Fig. 3 shows that for any air flow velocity channel 6* will always give the highest outlet temperature.

2. MCM-TO-AIR THERMAL EXCHANGE

The average temperature of the MCM, T_{MCM} , is equal to the air flow temperature, T_{air} , plus a temperature differential from the exchange process between the air and the MCM plate. Thus, the average temperature reached

by the MCM is

$$T_{MCM} = T_{air} + Q_{MCM} / h A_{MCM} \quad (2)$$

where Q_{MCM} is the heat load dissipated by one side of the MCM and is assumed to be half of the heat load per MCM in the current design. The value of the variable in Eq (2) are

$$Q_{MCM} = 1.16 \text{ W},$$

$$A_{MCM} = \text{the MCM surface area exposed to air flow} = 2.4472 \times 10^{-3} \text{ m}^2, \text{ and}$$

h = the heat transfer coefficient that depends on the flow regime (laminar or turbulent).

For a laminar flow, this coefficient is given by Sieder and Tate [2] as

$$h = 1.86 (k/D_h) (\text{Re Pr } D_h/L)^{0.33}. \quad (3)$$

For a fully developed turbulent flow, Dittus and Boelter [3] have given the following relation for h as

$$h = 0.023 (k/D_h) (\text{Re})^{0.8} (\text{Pr})^{0.4}. \quad (4)$$

In equations (3) and (4),

k = the air thermal conductivity at $20^\circ\text{C} = 2.5435 \times 10^{-2} \text{ (W/m K)}$,

D_h = hydraulic diameter = $1.0615 \times 10^{-2} \text{ (m}^2\text{)}$ for the channel with spacing 6*mm,

= $1.2151 \times 10^{-2} \text{ (m}^2\text{)}$ for the channel with spacing 7mm, and

Pr = the Prandtl number at room temperature = 0.72.

The Reynolds number is given by the equation

$$\text{Re} = v D_h / \nu. \quad (5)$$

where ν = the air kinematic viscosity at the room temperature (20°C) = $1.52 \times 10^{-5} \text{ m}^2/\text{s}$

The airflow character depends on the value of Reynolds number. For a laminar flow, Re is less than 2000, otherwise, the flow is turbulent. As shown in Fig. 4, channel 6*, near the inlet, the thermal boundary layer is not fully developed flow. To change the flow condition, the velocity has to be changed and the average temperature reached by the MCM plate depends on airflow velocity. From Fig. 4 it shows that if we use a velocity of 9m/s, the average temperature reached by the MCM plate will be lower than the cooling requirement of 40°C .

Fig. 4: Average temperature reached by the MCMs located at the inlet and the outlet versus the airflow velocity in the channel within spacing 6* mm

To verify that the velocity of 9m/s is the appropriate selection for this design, a calculation for the temperature reached by the MCM plates along the channel 6* has been completed and these temperatures are plotted in Fig. 5 for several airflow velocities.

Fig. 5: Average Temperature reached by the MCMs for the 12 modules while air flow through channel 6* for several airflow velocities

As shown in Fig. 5, at any given airflow velocity, the average temperature reached by the MCM plates is a function of the air temperature along the channel. The temperature differential for the MCM-to-air thermal exchange is constant as given by $Q_{MCM} / h A_{MCM}$. Therefore, for a given airflow velocity, the MCM temperature is a linear function of the distance from the inlet. The MCM plate that is located at the outlet is the least cooled since the air temperature is the warmest there. In Fig. 4 and Fig. 5, it is shown that the airflow velocity needed to maintain all MCMs below 40°C is at least 9m/s.

The airflow velocity of 9m/s in the channel 6* produces an average heat transfer coefficient of 52.72 W/m² °C and the outlet air temperature in this channel will reach 30.55 °C. To determine the MCM plate which is cooled least and therefore represents the maximum temperature reached at a MVD, an evaluation has also been done for a channel spacing of 7mm. In Fig. 3 the second highest outlet air temperature is for a channel with a spacing of 7mm. With an airflow velocity of 9m/s, an average heat transfer coefficient of 51.32 W/m² °C is produced in this channel and the outlet air temperature is 29.05 °C. The MCM plate that is located at the outlet of the plenum chamber and between channels with a spacing 6*mm and 7mm is shown in Fig. 1 as the MCM plate with red color.

The spreadsheets used for the above calculations are attached in Appendix 1, 2 and 3.

3. MCM HEAT SPREADING

All parameters of a MCM are shown in Fig. 2. It is made of alumina as a substrate material with 1.5mm in thickness; silicon chips embedded in alumina substrate 0.5mm deep; and kapton covers with four very thin layers (0.05mmx4) as shown in Fig. 2.

The silicon chips have 5 different sizes and dissipate more or less heat as shown in the front view of Fig. 2. The chips that are located in the first and second rows dissipate 0.112 W and 0.212 W each, respectively. In the third row there are two silicon chips dissipate 0.256 W each. The third chip dissipates the largest heat of 0.512 W.

Using COSMOS/M HSTAR for the heat transfer analysis, a finite element model of a typical MCM plate (the one with the red color as shown in Fig. 1) was created to simulate a 9m/s airflow and convective heat transfer on both sides of this plate. As described in section 2 of the solution procedure, one side of this MCM plate faces channel 6*, where the ambient air temperature was set to a computed outlet air temperature of 30.55 °C. The average heat transfer coefficient was set to 52.72 W/m² °C, which corresponds to a 9m/s airflow for this channel. On the other side of this MCM plate is channel 7, and from the computed results, the ambient air temperature was set to 29.05°C. The average heat transfer coefficient was set to 51.32 W/m² °C.

In addition to the ambient air temperature and the average heat transfer coefficients, the boundary conditions for this model also include the volumetric energy dissipated from the chips. They are:

- in the first row, the volumetric energy dissipated by the chips: $8.696 \times 10^{-3} \text{ W/mm}^3$
- in the second row, the volumetric energy dissipated by the chips: $7.681 \times 10^{-3} \text{ W/mm}^3$
- in the third row, the volumetric energy dissipated by the left chip: $1.422 \times 10^{-2} \text{ W/mm}^3$
- in the third row, the volumetric energy dissipated by the central chip: $5.331 \times 10^{-3} \text{ W/mm}^3$
- in the third row, the volumetric energy dissipated by the right chip: $6.300 \times 10^{-3} \text{ W/mm}^3$

The finite element analysis was performed for a model which contains 3 layers of material with different

thermal conductivities as shown in Fig. 6. The first layer facing channel 6* is pure alumina substrate, 1.0mm in thickness with a thermal conductivity of 29.427×10^{-3} W/mm K. The second layer, middle one, is 0.5 mm in thickness, contains alumina substrate, and all silicon chips have a thermal conductivity of 148×10^{-3} W/mm K. The third layer facing the channel with 7mm spacing is the kapton cover which is made of four mini layers and the total thickness is 0.2mm with a thermal conductivity of 0.2×10^{-3} W/mm K.

The thermal analysis for the MCM plate was carried out to determine the temperature distribution and heat flux contours which will identify hot spot locations. The MCM model built using COSMOS/M is shown in Fig. 6. This figure also indicates the locations where the temperature distribution and heat flux contours are plotted in Fig. 7 and 8.

Fig. 6: MCM structural sketch

The temperature contours of the kapton surface and the alumina surface are shown in Fig. 7(a) and (b), respectively. To find the hottest spots in the electronic system for the MVD, the nodes that are the hot spots and represent the maximum temperature on the MCM plate have to be found. Therefore, the temperature contours on both sides of the alumina containing the silicon chips are plotted and are shown in Fig. 7 (c) and (d). The average temperature on the MCM plate is 312.1°K (39.1°C), which agrees well with the 38.9°C calculated by hand as shown in Appendix 2 and 3. The maximum temperature on the MCM plate which is represented by the hot spots is 313.7°K (40.7°C) that is 0.7°C higher than the maximum temperature reached by the MCM in the design requirement and it is 1.6°C higher than the average maximum temperature reached by the MCM. The total temperature difference across the entire plate is 3.2°C . The maximum hot spot is located in the central part of the biggest chip. This is not surprising since the high volumetric energy is dissipated into a big volume that is closely surrounded by additional energy dissipaters. The smaller chip in the third row left which dissipates the highest volumetric energy, has no hot spot and is cooler than the right one. This is because this chip is not close to the other chips and its small volume allows the heat to be dissipated easily to the environment.

Fig. 7: Temperature distribution for the current design. Contour (a) is for the kapton surface; Contour (b) shows the Alumina surface; Contour (c) is located at the interface of alumina layer containing the chips and the kapton layer; Contour (d) is located at the interface of alumina layer containing the chips and the pure alumina layer.

Heat flux contours are shown in Fig. 8 and describe the magnitude and direction of the heat flux. On the legend, the positive heat flux is the heat flux to the kapton surface and the negative heat flux is to the alumina surface. The heat flux distribution is similar to the temperature distribution since the value of the heat flux is equal to the temperature difference between the air and the MCM plate multiplied by the average thermal coefficient which depends on the thermal conductivity of the material. This explains why the heat flux is larger toward the alumina than to the kapton. Fig. 8 (a) shows the heat flux contour on the kapton surface where the area in dark red (HF about $5.7 \times 10^{-4} \text{ W/mm}^2$) indicates where the temperature is higher and the hot spots are located. But on the alumina surface as shown in Fig. 8 (b), the higher heat flux (about 10×10^{-4} - $18.5 \times 10^{-4} \text{ W/mm}^2$) is blue and dark blue in color and are in the higher temperature area. The yellow color area is where little heat is dissipated by the silicon chips and the lower heat flux results in a lower temperature.

Fig. 8: Heat flux contours for the current design. Contour (a) is for the kapton surface. Contour (b) shows the heat flux on the alumina surface. Contour (c) is located at the interface of the alumina layer containing the chips and kapton layer. Contour (d) is located at the interface of the alumina layer containing the chips and alumina layer.

Fig. 9. Shows a summary of the heat transfer phenomena for the MCM plate.

Fig. 9: Diagrammatic sketch for the Temperature reached by the MCM

III. SUMMARY

The new MCM design has been analyzed for cooling effectiveness. For the current MCM design, using an air cooling system with 9m/s airflow velocity, the average maximum temperature reached by the MCMs is 39.1°C . The maximum temperature which is represented by the hot spots on the MCM is 40.7°C which is a little higher than the design temperature requirement.

For the MCM design, the most important parameter is the system heat load that dominates the results

from all three phenomena. The thermal transfer coefficient is another major parameter to determine the thermal exchange of air -to-MCM and heat spreading on the MCM plate. Actually, the thermal coefficient depends on the conductivity of the MCM material and is related to the airflow Reynolds number which depends on the configuration of the airflow channel.

To avoid any failures by temperature over the design requirement, using cooler inlet air directly lowers the maximum temperature reached by the MCM. Also increasing the airflow from 9 m/s to 9.5 m/s will lower the maximum temperature of the same MCM by approximately 1°C. The detailed calculational results is in Appendix 1.

REFERENCES

- [1] Parietti, L., Potter, C., Thompson, T., Martin, D., "ESA-DE PHENIX MVD DESIGN REVIEW" a progress report, January 1996.
- [2] Seider, F. and Tate, G., ind. Eng. Chem., 28, 1429 (1936).
- [3] Dittus, F. and Boelter, L., University of California, Publ. Eng., 2, 443 (1930)

ZC

cy: w/att

B. Jacak, P-25, MS H846
 J. Boissevain, P-25, MS H846
 J. Kapustinsky, P-25, MS H846
 J. Simon-Gillo, P-25, MS H846
 R. Martin, ESA-DE, MS H821
 W. Gregory, ESA-DE, MS H821
 Z. Chen, ESA-DE, MS H821

w/o att.

T. Thompson, ESA-DE, MS H821
 J. Erickson, ESA-DE, MS H821
 ESA-DE File

Appendix 1

[illegible]

Appendix 2

7/24/96													
Variables	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Total heat load	Q(w)	2.32	4.64	6.96	9.28	11.6	13.92	16.24	18.56	20.88	23.2	25.52	27.84
Spacing between 2 MCMs	s(m)	6.00E-03	6.00E-03	6.00E-03	6.00E-03	6.00E-03	6.00E-03	6.00E-03	6.00E-03	6.00E-03	6.00E-03	6.00E-03	6.00E-03
Thickness	t(m)	1.70E-03	1.70E-03	1.70E-03	1.70E-03	1.70E-03	1.70E-03	1.70E-03	1.70E-03	1.70E-03	1.70E-03	1.70E-03	1.70E-03
Air velocity between 2 MCMs	v(m/s)	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
MCM length	l(m)	5.32E-02	5.32E-02	5.32E-02	5.32E-02	5.32E-02	5.32E-02	5.32E-02	5.32E-02	5.32E-02	5.32E-02	5.32E-02	5.32E-02
Plenum length (12")	L(m)	0.6384	0.6384	0.6384	0.6384	0.6384	0.6384	0.6384	0.6384	0.6384	0.6384	0.6384	0.6384
MCM width	w(m)	4.60E-02	4.60E-02	4.60E-02	4.60E-02	4.60E-02	4.60E-02	4.60E-02	4.60E-02	4.60E-02	4.60E-02	4.60E-02	4.60E-02
Heated area of plenum $A_h=2*w*L$	Ah(sq m)	0.058733	0.058733	0.058733	0.058733	0.058733	0.058733	0.058733	0.058733	0.058733	0.058733	0.058733	0.058733
Temperature of air at inlet	t1(K)	293	293	293	293	293	293	293	293	293	293	293	293
Kinematic viscosity air (20C)	nu (sq m/ s)	1.52E-05	1.52E-05	1.52E-05	1.52E-05	1.52E-05	1.52E-05	1.52E-05	1.52E-05	1.52E-05	1.52E-05	1.52E-05	1.52E-05
Thermal conductivity air (20C)	K (W/m K)	0.025435	0.025435	0.025435	0.025435	0.025435	0.025435	0.025435	0.025435	0.025435	0.025435	0.025435	0.025435
Density air (20C)	rho (kg/cu m)	1.057219	1.057219	1.057219	1.057219	1.057219	1.057219	1.057219	1.057219	1.057219	1.057219	1.057219	1.057219
Specific density (air 20C)	Cp (W s/kg K)	1004.579	1004.579	1004.579	1004.579	1004.579	1004.579	1004.579	1004.579	1004.579	1004.579	1004.579	1004.579
Plenum cross sectional area $A_c=w*s$	Ac(sq m)	0.000276	0.000276	0.000276	0.000276	0.000276	0.000276	0.000276	0.000276	0.000276	0.000276	0.000276	0.000276
Hydraulic diameter	Dh	0.010615	0.010615	0.010615	0.010615	0.010615	0.010615	0.010615	0.010615	0.010615	0.010615	0.010615	0.010615
Reynolds number	Red	6270.533	6270.533	6270.533	6270.533	6270.533	6270.533	6270.533	6270.533	6270.533	6270.533	6270.533	6270.533
Flow state		turbulent	turbulent	turbulent	turbulent	turbulent	turbulent	turbulent	turbulent	turbulent	turbulent	turbulent	turbulent
Prandtl number (air at 20C)	Pr	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72
Nusselt number based on hydraulic diameter	Nud	22.00414	22.00414	22.00414	22.00414	22.00414	22.00414	22.00414	22.00414	22.00414	22.00414	22.00414	22.00414
Heat load per MCM	q (w)	1.16	1.16	1.16	1.16	1.16	1.16	1.16	1.16	1.16	1.16	1.16	1.16
MCM surface area $A_m=l*w$	Am(sq m)	0.002447	0.002447	0.002447	0.002447	0.002447	0.002447	0.002447	0.002447	0.002447	0.002447	0.002447	0.002447
Average heat transfer coefficient	h (w/K sq m)	52.72387	52.72387	52.72387	52.72387	52.72387	52.72387	52.72387	52.72387	52.72387	52.72387	52.72387	52.72387
Air temp at outlet	t3(K)	293.8794	294.7588	295.6382	296.5176	297.397	298.2764	299.1558	300.0352	300.9146	301.794	302.6734	303.5528
Air temp at outlet	t3(C)	20.8794	21.7588	22.6382	23.5176	24.3970	25.2764	26.1558	27.0352	27.9146	28.7940	29.6734	30.5528
Max temp reached by MCM at outlet	t4(K)	302.8698	303.7493	304.6287	305.5081	306.3875	307.2669	308.1463	309.0257	309.9051	310.7845	311.6639	312.5433
Max temp reached by MCM at outlet	t4(C)	29.8698	30.7493	31.6287	32.5081	33.3875	34.2669	35.1463	36.0257	36.9051	37.7845	38.6639	39.5433
Temp difference from MCM request	delta T(C)	10.13015	9.25075	8.371348	7.491946	6.612544	5.733142	4.85374	3.974339	3.094937	2.215535	1.336133	0.456731
Max temp accepted by MCM	t(C)	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40

Appendix 3

7/25/96													
Variables	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
Total heat load	Q(w)	2.32	4.64	6.96	9.28	11.6	13.92	16.24	18.56	20.88	23.2	25.52	27.84
Spacing between 2 MCMs	s(m)	7.00E-03	7.00E-03	7.00E-03	7.00E-03	7.00E-03	7.00E-03	7.00E-03	7.00E-03	7.00E-03	7.00E-03	7.00E-03	7.00E-03
Thickness	t(m)	1.70E-03	1.70E-03	1.70E-03	1.70E-03	1.70E-03	1.70E-03	1.70E-03	1.70E-03	1.70E-03	1.70E-03	1.70E-03	1.70E-03
Air velocity between 2 MCMs	v(m/s)	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9
MCM length	l (m)	5.32E-02	5.32E-02	5.32E-02	5.32E-02	5.32E-02	5.32E-02	5.32E-02	5.32E-02	5.32E-02	5.32E-02	5.32E-02	5.32E-02
Plenum length (12")	L (m)	0.6384	0.6384	0.6384	0.6384	0.6384	0.6384	0.6384	0.6384	0.6384	0.6384	0.6384	0.6384
MCM width	w (m)	4.60E-02	4.60E-02	4.60E-02	4.60E-02	4.60E-02	4.60E-02	4.60E-02	4.60E-02	4.60E-02	4.60E-02	4.60E-02	4.60E-02
Heated area of plenum $A_h=2*w*L$	Ah(sq m)	0.058733	0.058733	0.058733	0.058733	0.058733	0.058733	0.058733	0.058733	0.058733	0.058733	0.058733	0.058733
Temperature of air at inlet	t1(K)	293	293	293	293	293	293	293	293	293	293	293	293
Kinematic viscosity air (20C)	nu (sq m/ s)	1.52E-05	1.52E-05	1.52E-05	1.52E-05	1.52E-05	1.52E-05	1.52E-05	1.52E-05	1.52E-05	1.52E-05	1.52E-05	1.52E-05
Thermal conductivity air (20C)	K (W/m K)	0.025435	0.025435	0.025435	0.025435	0.025435	0.025435	0.025435	0.025435	0.025435	0.025435	0.025435	0.025435
Density air (20C)	rho (kg/cu m)	1.057219	1.057219	1.057219	1.057219	1.057219	1.057219	1.057219	1.057219	1.057219	1.057219	1.057219	1.057219
Specific density (air 20C)	Cp (W s/kg K)	1004.579	1004.579	1004.579	1004.579	1004.579	1004.579	1004.579	1004.579	1004.579	1004.579	1004.579	1004.579
Plenum cross sectional area $A_c=w*s$	Ac(sq m)	0.000322	0.000322	0.000322	0.000322	0.000322	0.000322	0.000322	0.000322	0.000322	0.000322	0.000322	0.000322
Hydraulic diameter	Dh	0.012151	0.012151	0.012151	0.012151	0.012151	0.012151	0.012151	0.012151	0.012151	0.012151	0.012151	0.012151
Reynolds number	Red	7177.591	7177.591	7177.591	7177.591	7177.591	7177.591	7177.591	7177.591	7177.591	7177.591	7177.591	7177.591
Flow state		turbulent	turbulent	turbulent	turbulent	turbulent	turbulent	turbulent	turbulent	turbulent	turbulent	turbulent	turbulent
Prandtl number (air at 20C)	Pr	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72	0.72
Nusselt number based on hydraulic diameter	Nud	24.51567	24.51567	24.51567	24.51567	24.51567	24.51567	24.51567	24.51567	24.51567	24.51567	24.51567	24.51567
Heat load per MCM	q (w)	1.16	1.16	1.16	1.16	1.16	1.16	1.16	1.16	1.16	1.16	1.16	1.16
MCM surface area $A_m=l*w$	Am (sq m)	0.002447	0.002447	0.002447	0.002447	0.002447	0.002447	0.002447	0.002447	0.002447	0.002447	0.002447	0.002447
Average heat transfer coefficient	h (w/K sq m)	51.31832	51.31832	51.31832	51.31832	51.31832	51.31832	51.31832	51.31832	51.31832	51.31832	51.31832	51.31832
Air temp at outlet	t3(K)	293.7538	294.5075	295.2613	296.0151	296.7689	297.5226	298.2764	299.0302	299.784	300.5377	301.2915	302.0453
Air temp at outlet	t3(C)	20.75377	21.50755	22.26132	23.01509	23.76887	24.52264	25.27641	26.03018	26.78396	27.53773	28.2915	29.0453
Max temp reached by MCM at outlet	t4(K)	302.9905	303.7442	304.498	305.2518	306.0055	306.7593	307.5131	308.2669	309.0206	309.7744	310.5282	311.282
Max temp reached by MCM at outlet	t4(C)	29.99046	30.74423	31.498	32.25178	33.00555	33.75932	34.5131	35.26687	36.02064	36.77441	37.52819	38.28196
Temp difference from MCM request	delta T(C)	10.00954	9.255769	8.501996	7.748223	6.99445	6.240677	5.486904	4.733131	3.979358	3.225585	2.471812	1.718039
Max temp accepted by MCM	l(C)	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	40