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Abstract

This report reviews a number of issues specific to stand-alone AC lighting systems. A review of AC lighting technology is presented, which discusses the advantages and disadvantages of various lamps. The best lamps for small lighting systems are compact fluorescent. The best lamps for intermediate-size systems are high- or low-pressure sodium. Specifications for battery charging and load control are provided with the goal of achieving lamp lifetimes on the order of 16,000 to 24,000 hours and battery lifetimes of 4 to 5 years. A rough estimate of the potential domestic and global markets for stand-alone AC lighting systems is presented. DC current injection tests were performed on high-pressure sodium lamps and the test results are presented. Finally, a prototype system was designed and a prototype system controller (with battery charger and DC/AC inverter) was developed and built.

The work described in this report was performed for Sandia National Laboratories under Contract No. BD-0005B.
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A system for delivering power to a battery and to a load includes a power source that supplies energy to the battery and the load. The battery can be charged by the power source and used to supply energy or power to the load when the power source is unable to provide sufficient energy and power to the load. The system reduces injection of DC current into the load and, as a result, extends the operation life of the load, particularly if the load is an AC lighting or lamp system. The system operates the load in an optimal manner such that battery storage is maintained at near full charge, yet the lighting load operates for a maximum number of hours per night. The benefit of the system is to prevent early failure of either the lighting load or the battery.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AC</td>
<td>alternating current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CF</td>
<td>compact fluorescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRI</td>
<td>color rendering index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVLC</td>
<td>constant-voltage limited-current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC</td>
<td>direct current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F</td>
<td>fluorescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAL</td>
<td>halogen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HALT</td>
<td>highly-accelerated lifetime testing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HID</td>
<td>high-intensity discharge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPS</td>
<td>high-pressure sodium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>incandescent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>kelvin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LED</td>
<td>light emitting diode</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPS</td>
<td>low-pressure sodium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LVD</td>
<td>low-voltage disconnect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L/W</td>
<td>lumens per watt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MH</td>
<td>metal halide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPT</td>
<td>maximum power tracking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTBF</td>
<td>mean time between failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MV</td>
<td>mercury vapor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV</td>
<td>photovoltaics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWM</td>
<td>pulse width modulated</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Executive Summary

This report reviews a number of issues specific to stand-alone AC lighting systems. Photovoltaics (PV) can be the power source for such systems. It is also possible to power such lighting systems using small wind turbines. A review of AC lighting technology is presented. The pros and cons of various lamps are discussed, the best of which are compact-fluorescent for small lighting systems and high-pressure sodium or low-pressure sodium for intermediate-size systems.

Specifications for both the PV and wind generated battery charging and load controls are presented. Some of the specifications are directed towards achieving the maximum battery and light fixture lifetimes. Lamp lifetimes on the order of 16,000 to 24,000 hours should be achievable, which corresponds to 5 to 10 years between lamp replacements. It is also a goal to achieve battery lifetimes up to 4 to 5 years, in line with manufacturer specifications.

The application requirements of intermediate-size (35 to 100 W) lighting systems are presented. Some estimates of market potential are made, with the world market predicted to be as large as $2 billion per year, but it is understood that there may be significant errors in the market estimates.

Test results of DC current injected into 70-W high-pressure sodium lamp fixtures are presented. Further testing is needed to reach broad conclusions, but our estimate is that if the DC/AC inverter injects less than a 0.56% DC to AC voltage ratio into this fixture, we should expect to achieve operation to 16,000 hours.

Finally, a prototype system controller with a battery charger and DC/AC inverter has been built. Ascension Technology intends to continue developing this controller specifically for use in intermediate-size stand-alone AC lighting systems.
1. Application Requirements

The Application Defined

The application that is targeted for this study is for intermediate-size (35- to 100-W) AC area lighting systems that are powered from a renewable resource such as photovoltaics (PV) or a small wind turbine. Because the power source and lighting loads are not coincident, some form of energy storage is required, in this case battery storage.

These systems are typically stand-alone; they are not connected to any other source of power. Such systems are needed in remote locations where getting a source of power can be an expensive proposition. Such sites exist both in developed and undeveloped countries for outdoor area lighting. In the United States, electric utilities are often expected or asked to provide area lighting.

Area lighting may be used to light a dark crossroads out in the country, or to provide lighting at a boat ramp so that at the end of the day, boaters can safely see to pull their boat out of a lake. Rural farmers and landowners use area lighting to help deter theft or vandalism. A typical light used at many rural locations is a 70-W high-pressure sodium lamp. Area lighting systems may be used to light the streets in villages or a town square for small villages without access to power.

Area lighting systems can also be used to supplement security lighting systems, such as fence perimeters. These systems each have their own power supply and battery backup and can operate without the main power source. Area lighting provides orientation and direction finding capability in the dark but is not always sufficient for specific task work.

Area lighting is distinct from street lighting requirements, particularly in the U.S. Street lights in the U.S. typically start at 100 W and get larger. A common street light size in a residential neighborhood in Colorado is 100 or 250 W. Traffic lighting and railroad crossing power requirements in the U.S. are large compared to area lighting systems, with power requirements in the hundreds of watts to kilowatts. Billboard lighting systems are also considered large lighting systems.

Standard billboards across the United States use 400-W Halophane light fixtures. A normal billboard uses from two to eight such fixtures, for a total load of 800 to 3200 W. The amount of billboard lighting needed depends upon the amount of ambient light in the area. Because one of the purposes of a billboard is to catch a viewer’s attention, it needs to be brighter than ambient. Because of these reasons, the best locations for PV-powered billboards tend to be remote locations, where AC power is not available and ambient lighting is low or non-existent.

PV has not sufficiently penetrated these large-load markets because the cost of PV to power such large loads is considered prohibitively high. The cost of PV lighting systems is directly related to the power rating and energy consumption of the lighting loads.

On the other end of the spectrum, hardware is readily available for small PV lighting systems. Systems as small as a few watts are used for pathway lighting and can be found
in hardware stores. Most distributors of PV lighting equipment sell lights with integral DC ballasts for these lower-power applications. However, these small lighting systems often do not produce enough light to provide outdoor area lighting for all applications.

For the purpose of defining system size, systems with lighting loads less than 35 W are considered small systems, and can typically be powered by off-the-shelf DC equipment. Systems with lighting loads greater than 100 W are considered large lighting systems. Reliable, rugged equipment is not readily available for intermediate-sized lighting systems (35 to 100 W).

**Summary of Lamp Technologies**

Table 1 lists the lamp technologies that were investigated in the 35- to 100-W power range. Some lamps above and below that range were also investigated.

The color rendering index (CRI) is a measure of the ability of a light source to represent colors in objects. It is a scale from 0 to 100. A CRI of 100 has the rendering capabilities of incandescent light (for sources below 5000°K) or “daylight” for sources above 5000°K). The most common measure of lamp efficiency is lumens per watt (L/W). Lumens is a measure of the light output of the lamp.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technology</th>
<th>CRI</th>
<th>Efficiency (L/W)</th>
<th>Lifetime (hours)</th>
<th>Power Rating (W)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incandescent</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4 to 25</td>
<td>75 to 8760</td>
<td>3 to 1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Halogen</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10 to 25</td>
<td>1,000 to 4000</td>
<td>15 to 750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compact Fluorescent</td>
<td>75 to 82</td>
<td>48 to 86</td>
<td>7000 to 20,000</td>
<td>5 to 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluorescent</td>
<td>51 to 95</td>
<td>34 to 104</td>
<td>6000 to 24,000</td>
<td>4 to 215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercury Vapor</td>
<td>20 to 50</td>
<td>18 to 63</td>
<td>12,000 to 24,000</td>
<td>50 to 1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal Halide</td>
<td>65 to 85</td>
<td>59 to 125</td>
<td>3000 to 20,000</td>
<td>39 to 1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-pressure Sodium</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100 to 183</td>
<td>14,000 to 18,000</td>
<td>18 to 180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-pressure Sodium</td>
<td>20 to 85</td>
<td>35 to 150</td>
<td>10,000 to 24,000</td>
<td>35 to 1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Incandescent**

Incandescent (I) lamps (see Figure 1) are common for indoor household use and are rather inexpensive. It is well known that incandescent lamps are not very efficient. Incandescent lamps turn on instantly when power is applied. Incandescent lamps provide a “warm” color rendition. As an example, a series of lamps used for street lighting applications was found [23]. In this series, the lamps ranged from 92 to 448 W at 120/125 V. The initial lamp output ranged from 1000 to 6000 L. The lamp efficiency ranged from 9.5 to 14.8 L/W. The rated lamp lifetimes were 3000, 6000, and 12,000 hours.

![Figure 1. Incandescent lamp.](image)

Lamps with longer lifetimes had lower efficiency. The lamps with higher power output had higher efficiency. An example is a GE 105A23/12/125V lamp, rated for 125 V, 1000 L, 105 W, 9.5 L/W, 12,000 hour lifetime, A-23 style lamp.

**Halogen**

Halogen (HAL) lamps (see Figure 2) are also common in household and outdoor lighting applications. They are somewhat more expensive and not very good in efficiency. Halogen lamps provide a white-light color rendition, but in most other respects are similar to incandescent lamps.

![Figure 2. Halogen lamp.](image)
Compact Fluorescent

Compact fluorescent (CF) lamps are only available in small sizes. These units require a ballast to drive the lamp from the power source. The ballast is found either in the lamp base for socket mounting or may be separate. CF lamps found in hardware stores typically come with AC ballasts. DC ballasts may be obtained from specialized PV supply distributors.

Fluorescent

Other fluorescent lamps are also available, and perform similarly to CF lamps. Fluorescent lamps require a ballast sized for each lamp type or combination of lamps.

Mercury Vapor

“Mercury vapor [MV] lamps, with their blue-green color and long life, are the preferred source for landscape and sign lighting, and are also used in a broad range of street lighting and industrial applications. Featuring very long lifetimes of over 24,000 hours, mercury vapor lamps have a CRI of up to 45 and efficacies ranging from 32 to 63 lumens per watt.” [22] MV lamps require a ballast sized for each lamp size.

Metal Halide

“Metal halide [(MH)] lamps, best known for the cool white light that so dramatically highlights architecture, bridges and monuments, are also used in sports and street lighting, and indoors in industrial, commercial and retail applications. They have the best overall color rendering properties (2-92 CRI) among HID [high-intensity discharge] lamps, and now MasterColor™ metal halide, Philips’ most recent technological advancement, offer impressive color consistency improvements as well. In addition, Metal Halide lamps provide high efficacies of 80 to 125 lumens per watt and life
expectancies of 4500 to 20,000 hours.” [22] MH lamps are one of several types of HID lamps. MH lamps also require a ballast sized specifically for each lamp type.

![Metal Halide Lamp](image)

**Figure 5. Metal halide lamp.**

**Low-pressure Sodium**

Low-pressure sodium (LPS) lamps are the most efficient lighting source available when measured in L/W. LPS lamps generate a monochromatic yellow light that does not give very good color rendition, and which is considered objectionable by some people. LPS lamps have a CRI of 0. Two typical lamp sizes are 18 W and 35 W, rated at 1800 and 4800 L, respectively. LPS lamps are not HID lamps.

**High-pressure Sodium**

High-pressure sodium (HPS) lamps (see Figure 6) are a form of HID lamp. Ballasts for HPS lamps must include a starting circuit that generates a high-voltage pulse to ignite the arc in the lamp. When the lamp is operating, it presents a low impedance to the ballast, and the lamp operates at 52, 55, or 100 V nominal, depending upon its rating.

“High pressure sodium lamps offer average life expectancies of 24,000 hours and outstanding efficacies of 40 to 140 lumens per watt. Originally associated with a poor CRI of 22, HPS lamps now have color rendering indices as high as 85 and include lamps with features designed for enhanced energy savings, visual comfort and instant restrike in street, outdoor, industrial, security, retail and office lighting applications.” [22]

![High Pressure Sodium Lamp](image)

**Figure 6. High-pressure sodium lamp.**
General Electric (GE) provides HPS lamps in the 35- to 1000-W range. End of life is defined as a reduction in light output by a factor of 0.73. Figure 7 shows HPS lamp lifetime as a function of how many hours per start cycle the lamp is operated. If the lamp is turned on once per day and operates for 5 hours, then the lamp should last nearly 10 years before needing replacement.

Figure 7. GE HPS lamp lifetimes.

Figure 8 shows the efficiency of the GE HPS lamps. As is typical with other lighting technologies, lamps with higher power ratings are more efficient at generating light. It is this very high efficiency that has led to the widespread use of HPS lamps for street lighting applications.

Figure 8. GE HPS lamp efficiencies.
A Simple Life-cycle Cost Analysis of Lamp Technologies

The initial capital costs and average annual maintenance costs are computed for an area lighting system using various lamp technologies. A simple test case is posed and costs are computed. Thirty-eight lamp characteristics were found representing the different lighting technologies. The lamp characteristics included initial lumens, power rating, approximate retail price, and average lamp lifetime in hours. The system requirements and assumptions on system operation are shown in Table 2.

Table 2. AC PV Lighting System Life-cycle Cost Assumptions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Case A (5000 L, 80% DOD)</th>
<th>Case B (5000 L, 90% DOD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cost of PV</td>
<td>$4/W</td>
<td>$4/W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cost of batteries (12-V)</td>
<td>$1/Ah</td>
<td>$1/Ah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hours of operation per night</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Light requirement</td>
<td>5000 L</td>
<td>5000 L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battery storage efficiency</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System controller efficiency</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ballast efficiency (when used)</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Days of storage autonomy</td>
<td>4 days</td>
<td>4 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battery replacement cycle</td>
<td>5 years</td>
<td>5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamp replacement at end of life</td>
<td>varies</td>
<td>varies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor cost and expense per site visit:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case A</td>
<td>$50/visit</td>
<td>$50/visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case B</td>
<td>$500/visit</td>
<td>$500/visit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PV resource, sunhours per day</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System operates the same in winter and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>summer</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Case A is the case where labor for site visits is relatively low. This would be the case when the system is close to service personnel or labor rates in the region are low. Case B is the case where labor and expenses to visit the site are higher, such as might be the case for U.S. utility workers visiting a remote location. The labor rate is the only parameter varied among cases A and B. Case B reflects the higher cost to service the site periodically, and is especially expensive for lighting technologies that have a short lamp lifetime.

Figure 9 shows the total life-cycle cost of the major system components, PV, batteries and lamps, and labor and expense to service the site for lamp replacements or battery replacements for Case A. Not all system costs are included because the goal is to compare various lamp technologies. The data is normalized on a dollar per lumen basis so that technologies with large differences in power (and light) ratings can be compared.
Figure 10. Case B comparison of lamp technologies: life-cycle costs.

Comparison of lamp technologies on the cycle cost

In some cases, the costs are much higher due to lamps with shorter lifetimes requiring more frequent site visits. In Figure 10, the data for the Case B comparison with high labor rates for site visits shows the impact of lamp technology on cycle costs.

Figure 9. Case A comparison of lamp technologies: life-cycle costs.

Comparison of lamp technologies on the cycle cost
Two main groupings are obvious in the data. The high-cost lighting methods are incandescent (I) and halogen (HAL) lighting. The lower cost methods are compact fluorescent (CF), fluorescent (F), high-pressure sodium (HPS), metal halide (MH), mercury vapor (MV), and low-pressure sodium (LPS). These lower-cost lighting methods are shown in more detail in Figure 11.

![Cost Comparison Groupings](image)

**Figure 11.** Cost comparison groupings for Cases A and B.

As can be seen in this figure, low-pressure sodium appears as the most cost-effective means in a PV-powered AC lighting system. LPS does have a major drawback not reflected in the figure; it is considered to have objectionable color rendition properties by some people. Therefore, this should be considered when choosing it as an option for area lighting. The second most cost effective method is high-pressure sodium. Although it has similar color rendition characteristics as low-pressure sodium, they are not as strong, and therefore not as objectionable. High-pressure sodium is the most common standard for street lighting applications in the U.S.

Use of compact fluorescent lighting is cost effective when the system size is small. Metal halide and mercury vapor lighting are also reasonably cost effective and may be used when color rendering is more important.

Each of these lighting technologies has pros and cons. All of these lighting technologies are available in standard products for outdoor lighting when powered from AC power. Some applications are best served with high-pressure sodium, others with low-pressure sodium, and still others with compact fluorescent lights.
System Specifications

A PV-powered AC lighting system should have the following features:

- Capable of utilizing any AC lighting technology, ultimately giving the customer the greatest choice in quality of lighting.

- Designed and produced well enough to achieve the limits of technology in terms of performance and reliability. Systems that are not properly designed and tested prior to market introduction do not serve the industry’s long term interests. Once a system is properly installed and operational, system failures should be limited to lamps reaching full end of life and maintenance and replacement of batteries at their design lifetime.

- An indication of the expected lamp and battery replacement cycle and procedures. A maintenance log provided with each system would help the operator to keep track of when battery and lamp replacement is due.

- Good aesthetics—aesthetics of the system are important to some customers. In these cases it is important to provide a well-integrated system.

System Design Day and System Sizing

Most locations have different solar resources from winter to summer months. System sizing and orientation depend upon the energy usage required of the load at different times of year. In North America, systems that have constant load requirements throughout the year will typically have a system “design day” in a winter month.

A system designed for use at a recreational area that is only open from spring to fall may have a design day in April or October. Such a system, which is not required to operate in the winter, still needs to maintain battery health during that time even if the load is switched off.

- If the system will have loads that are switched off or not operating for long periods of time, then the charge controller part of the system should include float voltage operation to prevent excessive overcharging of the batteries. A three-stage (bulk, regulation, and float) charge controller will accomplish that. [20]

- If the system is expected to operate continuously throughout the year, then a two-stage (bulk and regulation) battery charger may be acceptable.

- If the system will have periods of time when the PV (or wind) resource will be insufficient to operate the load for a fixed number of hours per night, then the load control part of the system should be capable of “automatic load operation.” In automatic operation, the load’s hours of operation are reduced automatically to prevent excessive deep discharge of the batteries.
Battery Charging Requirements

The requirements below apply to the charging of batteries from PV for standalone applications. These requirements may or may not apply to other applications.

Bulk Charging

Bulk charging is the first phase of charging a battery, where the battery voltage is lower than the regulation voltage set point. Typically, the maximum power available from the PV is less than the maximum amount of power the battery can accept, so maximum power tracking (MPT) is possible during this phase of charging. If the PV and battery are well matched with respect to the maximum power point voltage during charging, then a maximum power point controller provides minimal added benefit. The temperature coefficients of both the battery and PV should be accounted for to ensure the best match for the specific conditions of the system’s design day. Other benefits of MPT are as follows:

- MPT of the input power source allows less exact matching of the power source voltage characteristics to the battery characteristics.
- MPT can reduce the power source’s size requirement due to gains in bulk charging efficiency for the design day.

Regulation Voltage Charging

During regulation voltage charging, as long as power is available from the power source, battery voltage is held at a fixed regulation voltage set point. The voltage regulation set point should be temperature compensated based on battery temperature and chemistry, otherwise, over or undercharging of the battery will occur resulting in shorter battery lifetime. When selecting a charge controller, the following items should be considered:

- On/off charge controllers achieve voltage regulation by using two set points, disconnect and reconnect.
- Constant voltage pulse width modulated (PWM) charge controllers are typically on/off charge controllers that operate at a higher frequency, usually 100 to 500 Hz. The battery voltage averages to the regulation voltage set point.
- Both on/off and constant-voltage PWM charge controllers run the risk of damaging batteries and load-connected equipment if the battery bank develops a high resistance condition, as might occur in some lower quality batteries at end of life. This condition may also occur if the charge controller continues to try to charge the batteries when they have been disconnected and the controller keeps the load connected. Open-circuit voltage of the power source must be prevented from being connected directly to the load in this fault condition, otherwise damage to the load may occur due to the higher-than-normal voltage.

Float Voltage Charging

Three-stage charge controllers include float voltage operation. This is the same mode of operation as regulation voltage operation, except that the set point is lower. This prevents a fully charged battery from excessive overcharging.
"Proper float voltage minimizes positive grid corrosion. Long term floating of a battery either below the recommended float range (undercharging) or over the recommended float range (overcharging) [discharges the battery or] increases the positive grid corrosion and decreases life."[16]

When selecting the proper set point, it is important to remember:

- The float voltage set point depends upon battery chemistry.
- The float voltage set point must also be temperature compensated as per manufacturer’s recommendations.

**Constant-voltage Limited-current Charging**

Constant-voltage limited-current (CVLC) charging provides the greatest control over the battery charging process. CVLC charging is similar to constant-voltage PWM charging, but with the added ability to control the charging current from zero to the maximum available charging current. This control is achieved by filtering the PWM output with an inductor and a capacitor, which creates a true DC/DC converter in the process. Feedback of the output current is used to control charging current into the battery.

**High-power Input**

The battery charge controller must be able to handle over-irradiance conditions, or the system must be designed such that those conditions will never occur. Anecdotal stories of charge controllers that fail during operation in cold conditions with high (> 1100 W/m²) irradiance occur. Either enough design margin must be included in the charger design, or a means to prevent excessive battery charge current should be included.

**Temperature Compensation**

Adjustment of the regulation and float voltage set points must be included to prevent over or undercharging the battery. The slope of temperature compensation depends upon battery chemistry (see Table 3).

**Battery Technology Selection**

The two most common battery technologies in use today in standalone PV systems are flooded lead-acid and sealed gel-type batteries. These two technologies have different charging set points, as shown in Table 3.
Table 3. Example Battery Charging and Load Control Set Points for 12-V Batteries at 25°C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Equalization Voltage (V)</th>
<th>Regulation Voltage (V)</th>
<th>Float Voltage (V)</th>
<th>Low-voltage Disconnect (V)</th>
<th>Low-voltage Reconnect (V)</th>
<th>Temperature Compensation (mV/°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flooded lead-acid</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>14.4 to 14.7</td>
<td>13.65 or Man. Spec</td>
<td>11.5 to 11.88</td>
<td>&gt;13.0</td>
<td>-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sealed gel</td>
<td>14.1 or 14.4</td>
<td>14.1 or 14.4</td>
<td>Man. Spec</td>
<td>11.46 to 11.88</td>
<td>&gt;13.0</td>
<td>-30 or Man. Spec</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Load Control Requirements**

The requirements below apply specifically to PV/battery-powered AC lighting equipment. These requirements may or may not apply to other applications.

*Low-voltage Disconnect*

In solar home systems, users will often connect loads directly to the battery rather than to the system controller. Or they will short out, or shunt, the load control feature. They do this to disable the low-voltage disconnect (LVD) of the controller. Obviously, this causes the battery to be discharged far more than should be allowed, and will cause the battery to fail sooner than would normally occur. One researcher has suggested that controllers be able to provide an LVD warning, rather than an actual disconnect, and let the user decide if they will turn off the loads themselves. Therefore, three possibilities are available for LVD provisions.

- No low-voltage disconnect
- Low-voltage warning light (as used in automobiles)
- Low-voltage disconnect with display

*Soft Start*

Each time a lamp is started, its lifetime decreases from the starting operation. Soft start is a feature commonly applied to lighting technologies other than HID lighting. It can be found in DC ballasts for compact fluorescent lamps. Unitrode has a control chip [27] that incorporates soft start in a single-chip, DC-ballast design for cold-cathode fluorescent, neon, and other gas discharge lamps.

*Open Lamp Detect and Shutdown*

Some ballasts undergo excessive component stresses when attempting to light a lamp that is failed open or when no lamp is in the fixture. These ballasts should have an open lamp detect circuit that prevents the unit from attempting to light a lamp for an indefinite amount of time. The stresses that ballasts undergo are very specific to the ballast design. Open lamp detection is not required in all ballast designs. Ballast designers should be aware of this effect and provide open lamp detection and shutdown to protect the ballast from excessive component stress to extend ballast lifetime as long as possible.
**Automatic Load Control**

See the section “Battery Charging Requirements,” above.

**Standards**

UL 1741 – *Proposed First Edition of the Standard for Static Inverters and Charge Controllers for Use in Photovoltaic Power Systems*. Although not commonly known or applied, Underwriter’s Laboratories, Inc. does have a standard that applies to PV battery charge controllers and inverters used in PV systems. UL is primarily concerned with product safety and fire prevention and generally does not address performance, mean time between failure (MTBF), or product reliability.
2. Definition of User Needs

Gaps in Existing Technology

The major components in self-powered AC lighting systems are listed below. In each case, a determination is made as to whether or not a gap in technology exists that is limiting implementation of these AC lighting systems.

Renewable Power Sources

PV and or small wind turbines maybe used to power self-powered AC lighting systems. If there is any gap at all in this area, it would be the relatively high cost of PV and wind compared to other means of providing power. This gap tends to limit the size of lighting systems to small systems only. It is not the intent of this project to directly address this issue. The cost of PV and wind power sources continues to decline, in good part due to the support of the U.S. Department of Energy. As the costs of PV and wind generation decline, the cost-effective size of AC lighting systems will increase. With present pricing of PV and wind, there is a sufficient market for small- and intermediate-size AC lighting systems.

Batteries

Batteries are a very mature technology, but also are improving with time. Although battery failures are a problem to be avoided in stand-alone systems, it is generally not the fault of the battery hardware. Sources of suitable quality batteries do not appear to be a limiting factor when implementing self-powered AC lighting systems.

Lighting Hardware

AC-powered lighting hardware is commonly available. It comes in a wide variety of sizes, ratings, shapes, colors, technologies, and prices. It is available for service in industrial, commercial, or residential locations. Architectural poles and fixtures are used where appearance is important. AC lighting is manufactured in huge volumes relative to the size of the PV industry, and constitutes a significant portion of the total energy consumption in the U.S. It does not appear that there are any limiting factors in AC lighting hardware when implementing self-powered AC lighting systems.

AC Lighting System Controls

AC lighting system controls must provide battery charging control and properly regulated power to the load. Although one could build a self-powered AC lighting system with off-the-shelf components today, such a system would have the following drawbacks:

- Low level of integration of the battery charger and inverter components for loads sized from 35 to 100 W.
- Low quality of inverters, leading to potential reliability problems. One inverter found in a computer supply store, designed to operate from a 12-V battery, was found to have paper insulation between the power circuits and chassis. This inverter cost about $60 and could power up to 140 W continuous, but clearly it would not survive long-term operation in a stand-alone AC lighting system.
In summary, it appears that the main components that prevent large-scale implementation of stand-alone AC lighting systems are the system controller, charger, and inverter.

**Proposed System Design**

Design of the system controller must be made in the context of the system in which it will be used. There are indeed many variations to how stand-alone AC lighting systems may be designed. Figure 12 is a drawing of the major components in such a system.

![Proposed system design](image)

**Figure 12. Proposed system design.**

**Features of the Charge Controller**

- MPT of the power source is possible during bulk charging.
- CVLC, DC/DC charge controller.
- Three-stage charge control: bulk, regulation, and float.
- Limited-current charge control allows best possible charging without generating overvoltage conditions on the battery, even if battery resistance increases at end of battery life.
- Factory calibrated with standard, fixed, voltage regulation set points. User may adjust the set points for use with different battery chemistries by following the provided procedure.
- Light emitting diode (LED) indication of charging function.
Features of the Load Controller

- LED indications of LVD.
- Factory-calibrated LVD.
- Selection switch for setting hours of operation of the lighting load, including off, on 24 hours, and split evening/dawn operation.
- Automatic/fixed hours of operation selection switch. In the automatic setting, the unit will modify the battery’s selected hours of operation depending upon the battery’s state of charge and the availability of power resources to prevent the battery from operating in a continuous low state of charge.
- Continuous operation of AC loads up to 100 W, with a power factor as low as 0.4 in ambient temperatures up to 60°C.
- Means to prevent cycling of the LVD until battery charging resumes.
- PV/wind selector switch. In the PV position, the PV is used to determine night and day operation and the light does not need a light sensor to control its operation. In the wind position, the light must have a light sensor switch in the AC circuit. This sensor can be provided separately or is sometimes integrated into the light fixture. The controller can intelligently determine sunrise and sunset from operation of the load and the controller’s internal clock.
- Simple TEST push-button switch to turn on the AC output for testing the light, even during the day.

Features of the DC/AC Inverter

- LED indication of AC power output.
- Non-isolated converter. No transformer, high or low frequency, is used in the inverter, allowing for a very compact size and efficient operation.
- Power stage uses surface-mounted components to achieve the highest possible energy density, hence a small, easy-to-produce, low-cost package.
- Designed to specifically prevent DC injection into the lighting load.
- Designed to eliminate the need for electrolytic capacitors, a component that can impact long term reliability.

Common Features of the Integrated Controller

- The charger, load control, and inverter are all controlled by the microprocessor.
- Full protection against reverse connection of either the power source or battery. Reverse protection of the load is not required because the load is AC.
- Designed for operation in harsh environments and for rugged, long-term operation.
- Design MTBF greater than 10 years.
- Internal thermal protection.
• Operating temperature range -40 to +85°C, 0 to 100% relative humidity.
• Crystal clock operation for keeping track of time of day and accurate 60-Hz inverter output frequency.

**Drawbacks of This Approach**

• The AC output does not have a grounded conductor as is typical in 120-V, line-neutral systems. If a grounded conductor must be provided in a particular installation, then an isolation transformer will need to be added to the system. Product labeling will be required to indicate that both AC output wires are live relative to ground (60 V).

**A Prototype Controller**

Figure 13 shows a prototype of the system controller. One more revision of the design will be made before beta units are sent out for testing. It is expected that the final unit will be slightly smaller than the unit shown in the figure.

![Prototype controller](image)

**Figure 13. Prototype controller.**
The final design of the controller will utilize surface-mounted components for compact design and lower-cost production. The controller above includes a dip switch for setting the type of systems in which it is installed. The system controller’s appearance may be similar to that shown in Figure 14.

**Figure 14. System controller.**

**Features of the Battery Charger**

- Uses a true DC/DC 100-kHz PWM converter with output filtering to control battery charging current.
- Full protection against reverse connection of battery or power source.
- Three-stage charging profile
- Periodic equalization charging

**Features of the DC/AC Inverter**

- Uses a non-isolated DC/DC 100-kHz PWM boost converter with a low-loss, 60-Hz DC/AC inverter for shaping the output AC voltage.
- A semi-square-wave output is generated that is sufficient for powering AC lighting loads.
- Continuous operation for lamps up to 100 W at 60°C ambient, short-term surge rating is higher (to be determined).
- Designed to eliminate DC output, thereby preventing one of the potential failure modes in AC lamps.
How the Proposed System Addresses the Gaps in Technology

Reliability

The product will be thoroughly tested before introduction to the market. This testing will include beta testing at selected independent sites across the U.S. Testing will also include highly-accelerated lifetime testing (HALT) to ensure the design will meet the limits of electronic technology for performance and reliability.

The product will be protected against damage from moisture and other contaminants by using conformal coating or by encapsulating the circuit. The method of protection has not been determined yet. Because they are the least reliable system component, electrolytic capacitors will not be used in the design of the controller if possible.

The goal is to achieve a design with a MBTF greater than 10 years in field operation. Design for test is included in the design of the product to allow automated testing, both passive and active. All products shipped will be tested in the factory.

Cost—Level of Product Integration

This product is highly integrated for volume manufacture. There are no wires or cables or connectors used in the internal components of the product. All assembly is accomplished on a single circuit board. The charger and inverter share a single microcontroller.

Potential Buyers

Anyone who needs lighting in a remote location is a potential buyer. In the domestic market, electric utilities, local, state and federal government agencies, local communities, and property owners are some of the entities who would purchase systems for the following applications: parks, beaches, recreation areas, campgrounds, and remote street lighting. In the global market, electric utilities, local, state and federal government agencies, local communities, and property owners are some of the entities who might purchase systems for applications such as village lighting.

One customer who contacted Ascension Technology has an existing street lighting application for a village, but the diesel generator and electric distribution system has not been reliable enough to ensure operation of the lighting. They are considering using PV lighting to avoid the downtime caused by the diesel generator and distribution system.

Why Install Stand-alone AC Lighting Systems vs. Other Lighting Systems?

- Installation cost economics. The cost of extending power service exceeds that of installing a stand-alone system.
- Desire for reliable operation, independent of an unreliable power grid.
- Desire to use clean renewable energy, rather than fossil fuels.
- Lack of electric power infrastructure.
Market Potential Estimate

Estimating the potential market size of stand-alone lighting systems is difficult. Part of the difficulty is that a stable market has not yet been developed; creation of the market is still in its infancy. Many people have heard the anecdotal statement from IBM that the potential worldwide market for computers was about four. We know how accurate that early prediction was.

In 1995, the U.S. spent about $37 billion annually in electricity to power lighting loads.[7] This was about one quarter of the total energy consumption of the nation. If only 1% of that were diverted to the purchase and maintenance of stand-alone lighting systems, that would be $370 million per year domestically. Approximately 2 billion people worldwide are without electricity. If we assume the potential market is one lighting system per 1000 people per year, then the potential global market could be $2 billion per year.

There are approximately 416 National Parks, National Monuments, National Historic Sites, and National Recreation Areas. If we assume that these national locations represent 10 percent of all the parks and recreation areas in the United States, then there would be 4160 total such locations. If we assume that the average initial cost of a stand-alone AC lighting system is $1000, and that each of these sites purchases an average of one system per year, this would constitute $4.16 million annually. In 1990 there were 1.39 million farms in the United States. If we assume that 10% of those farms would purchase one stand-alone AC lighting system and would replace that system every 20 years, an annual market of $6.95 million per year would exist.

In the first nine months of 1998, Ascension Technology received inquiries for a total of about 2500 PV lighting systems. These customers were either told we were not prepared to provide systems at this time or are waiting for development of the stand-alone AC lighting system product. If we assume that one out of every five inquiries results in a system sale, and annualize the above inquiries, this would yield an immediate market of $670,000 annually.

Consequently, the short-term potential market is estimated to be between the hundreds of thousands to tens of millions of dollars annually for stand-alone AC lighting systems. Clearly there are some major assumptions in the numbers above. Certainly the actual market size will depend upon the cost, availability, and financing of such systems. Hopefully, these estimates will establish some boundaries on the potential markets for stand-alone AC lighting systems.
Table 4 was computed using the following assumptions:

- It will take twenty years to fully develop the potential markets listed above.
- The estimates above are overly optimistic by a factor of 10.
- The market growth will average 20% per year over the 20-year period.

Table 4. Estimate of Market Potential

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Global Gross Revenue ($ millions)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1999</td>
<td>7.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2001</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>15.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>38.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>237.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Proof of Concept Testing

Failure Mode Testing of AC Lamps

The Failure Mode

The specific failure mode that has been investigated is that of DC current injection into an AC powered lamp causing early failure of the lamp. One reference suggests this mode of failure but does not quantify the effect:

"The AC lamps contain symmetrical electrodes. They are non-polar and must be driven by an AC voltage with a zero DC average current. If forced to carry a DC current, one electrode etches or erodes very quickly, and the lamp fails prematurely."[13]

Another reference makes similar claims to problems with DC injection in AC lamps:

"Furthermore, the hot arc tube may suffer electrolysis problems over time in the presence of sodium ions and a DC electric field."[12]

Test Setup

The intention of this testing was to verify the occurrence of a mode of failure in AC lamps that was postulated to occur in the time frame of weeks or years. A test method was conceived in which it was hoped the method would accelerate the time to failure. Because an HPS lamp’s cumulative hours of operation before failure decrease as the number of starting operations increase, we chose a test cycle in which the lamp would be turned on and off many times per day.

Test 1

A 70-W HPS fixture, with integral lamp and ballast from Electripak® was used. The circuit shown in Figure 15 was used to turn power to the ballast on and off, and to count the number of cycles and hours of operation for the test fixture. The cycles and hours counters would not accumulate unless the fixture drew sufficient power to trip the current sense relay, which indicates that the lamp is operating. A capacitor and isolated DC supply circuit was used to impress a DC voltage on the ballast in addition to the AC power supply. The current limiting resistance of the test setup was used to control the amount of DC current injection into the lamp. Test results are summarized in Table 5.
Figure 15. Test circuit.

Table 5. Test 1 Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lamp #</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturer</td>
<td>Sylvania</td>
<td>Philips</td>
<td>Philips</td>
<td>Philips</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>70W S62</td>
<td>C70S62</td>
<td>C70S62</td>
<td>C70S62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limiting Resistance</td>
<td>6.8 Ω</td>
<td>13.6 Ω</td>
<td>3.4 Ω</td>
<td>No DC applied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total # Cycles</td>
<td>20,772 cycles</td>
<td>13,531 cycles</td>
<td>13,457 cycles</td>
<td>5803 cycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cumulative Hours</td>
<td>597.67 hours</td>
<td>361.34 hours</td>
<td>357.54 hours</td>
<td>238.13 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC Current Injected</td>
<td>0.14 A</td>
<td>Nm</td>
<td>Nm</td>
<td>0.00 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC Operating Current</td>
<td>1.64 A</td>
<td>Nm</td>
<td>Nm</td>
<td>Nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC/AC (%)</td>
<td>8.5%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulb Failure</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspection Notes</td>
<td>Some brown discoloration inside lamp at base. This brand of lamp comes with a silver coating at base of lamp when new.</td>
<td>No visible defects</td>
<td>A brownish discoloration at base of the lamp.</td>
<td>No visible defects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Because the results from this first test did not result in a failed lamp and because the lamps came from different manufacturers and the tests were started at different times, we decided to start the test again with lamps from one manufacturer at a higher level of DC injection.
Test 2

This second test ran for nearly 1000 hours by the time this report was written. As of the date of this report this second set of tests has not yet yielded a failed lamp. Test data is summarized in Table 6.

The amount of DC current injection was extremely high in this test. This was done to try to accelerate the time to failure. Surprisingly, Lamps 6 and 8 did not fail. The ballast for Lamp 7 appears to have failed immediately. New lamps were placed in the fixture, but would not light.

Table 6. Test 2 Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lamp #</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
<th>8</th>
<th>9</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturer</td>
<td>Sylvania</td>
<td>Sylvania</td>
<td>Sylvania</td>
<td>Sylvania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model</td>
<td>70W S62</td>
<td>70W S62</td>
<td>70W S62</td>
<td>70W S62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Limiting Resistance</td>
<td>6.8 Ω</td>
<td>13.6 Ω</td>
<td>3.4 Ω</td>
<td>No DC applied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total # Cycles</td>
<td>5,663 cycles</td>
<td>0 cycles</td>
<td>5,658 cycles</td>
<td>5,656 cycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cumulative Hours</td>
<td>936 hours</td>
<td>0 hours</td>
<td>935 hours</td>
<td>935 hours</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC Current Injected</td>
<td>0.643 A</td>
<td>Nm</td>
<td>Nm</td>
<td>0.00 A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC Operating Current</td>
<td>1.768 A</td>
<td>Nm</td>
<td>Nm</td>
<td>Nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC/AC Amps</td>
<td>36.4 %</td>
<td>Nm</td>
<td>Nm</td>
<td>Nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC Voltage</td>
<td>11.1 V</td>
<td>11.1 V</td>
<td>11.1 V</td>
<td>0 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AC Voltage</td>
<td>120.4 V</td>
<td>120.4 V</td>
<td>120.4 V</td>
<td>120.4 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC/AC Volts</td>
<td>9.2 %</td>
<td>9.2 %</td>
<td>9.2 %</td>
<td>0.0 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulb Failure</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inspection Notes</td>
<td>Uneven electrode blackening observed.</td>
<td>Unit would not operate, bulb was replaced unit still would not operate, presumed ballast failure.</td>
<td>Uneven electrode blackening observed</td>
<td>No visible defects.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

If one assumes that the failure mechanism is linear, that is, related to accumulated DC amp-hours through the lamp, then one can predict a maximum amount of DC current injection allowed into the lamp to achieve the product’s specified 16,000 hour life. It appears that a DC current injection of (0.643A)(936/16000) = 0.038 A would be a safe level of DC current injection. This level may actually be higher if Lamps 6 and 8 last much longer in this test. This level may be higher or lower if the failure mechanism is not linear.
The amount of current injection must be related to the DC and AC voltages applied to the light fixture so that requirements for preventing DC voltage output of the inverter may be determined. The combined operating DC resistance of the ballast plus lamp is measured as 17.7 Ω. To keep the DC current injection below 0.038 A, the DC voltage must be kept below 0.673 V for this ballast and lamp. This voltage represents 0.56% of the AC voltage, and the inverter must be designed to prevent a DC voltage above this level under all lighting load conditions.

Lamps 6 and 9 are shown in Figure 16. Lamp 6 has undergone some electrode blackening due to the DC current injection. It is a little difficult to see in the pictures below, but is quite obvious in person. This blackening was clearly visible after several hundred hours, and is shown below after 936 hours. It is not yet known how much the life of this lamp has been reduced due to the DC current injection.

![Figure 16. Lamps 6 and 9 during Test 2.](image)

**Can Conclusions be Drawn from these Tests?**

Some preliminary conclusions have been made as discussed in the previous section. But it would be premature to apply these results to all AC lighting systems. More testing needs to be conducted, over longer periods of time, over a broader range of lighting loads, and over a wider range of DC current injection levels.
4. Conclusions

Development of a controller for intermediate-size stand-alone AC lighting systems appears viable, and would benefit U.S. industry in providing larger outdoor lighting systems than are commonly available today.

More testing is needed on lamp failure modes to determine specific requirements on the DC/AC inverter portion of the system.
Intentionally left blank.
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<td>Larry S. Meisner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1400 Union Meeting Road</td>
<td>1516 Ninth Street, MS-46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.O. Box 3053</td>
<td>Sacramento, CA 95814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California State Air Resc. Board</td>
<td>J. Holmes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Research Division</td>
<td>1516 9th Street, MS43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.O. Box 2815</td>
<td>Sacramento, CA 95814-5512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calpine Corporation</td>
<td>Rod Boucher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Cooley</td>
<td>P.O. Box 196300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chugach Elec. Association, Inc.</td>
<td>Tom Lovas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Lebow</td>
<td>4 Irving Place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consolidated Edison</td>
<td>Anchorage, AK 99519-6300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Energy Commission</td>
<td>Jon Edwards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pramod P. Kulkarni</td>
<td>1516 Ninth Street, MS46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Energy Commission</td>
<td>Sacramento, CA 95814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chugach Elec. Association, Inc.</td>
<td>John Cooley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tom Lovas</td>
<td>P.O. Box 196300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anchorage, AK 99519-6300</td>
<td>Consolidated Edison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M. Lebow</td>
<td>New York, NY 10003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Consolidated Edison
N. Tai
4 Irving Place
New York, NY 10003

Crescent EMC
R. B. Sloan
P.O. Box 1831
Statesville, NC 28687

Corn Belt Electric Cooperative
R. Stack
P.O. Box 816
Bloomington, IL 61702

Delphi Energy & Engine
Bob Galyen
Management Systems
P.O. Box 502650
Indianapolis, IN 46250

Delphi Energy & Engine
Bob Rider
Management Systems
P.O. Box 502650
Indianapolis, IN 46250

Department of Energy - Retired
Albert R. Landgrebe
B14 Suffix Lane
Millsboro, DE 19966

Distributed Utility Associates
Joseph J. Iannucci
1062 Concannon Blvd.
Livermore, CA 94550

EA Technology, Ltd.
John N. Baker
Chester CH1 6ES
Caphurst, England
UNITED KINGDOM

Eagle-Picher Industries, Inc.
Jim DeGruson
C & Porter Street
Joplin, MO 64802
Energetics
Phil DiPietro
501 School Street SW
Suite 500
Washington, DC 20024

Energetics
Howard Lowitt
7164 Gateway Drive
Columbia, MD 21046

Energetics
Jennifer Schilling
501 School Street SW
Suite 500
Washington, DC 20024

Energetics
Mindi J. Farber-DeAnda
501 School Street SW
Suite 500
Washington, DC 20024

Energetics
Rich Scheer
501 School Street SW
Suite 500
Washington, DC 20024

Energetics
Paula A. Taylor
7164 Gateway Drive
Columbia, MD 21046

Energetics, Inc.
Laura Johnson
7164 Gateway Drive
Columbia, MD 21046

Energy & Env. Economics, Inc.
Greg J. Ball
353 Sacramento Street
Suite 1540
San Francisco, CA 94111

Energy Communications Consulting
Amber Gray-Fenner
7204 Marigot Rd. NW
Albuquerque, NM 87120

Energy Systems Consulting
Al Pivec
41 Springbrook Road
Livingston, NJ 07039
EnerTec Pty. Ltd.
Dale Butler
349 Coronation Drive
PO Box 1139, Milton BC Old 4044
Auchenflower, Queensland, 4066
AUSTRALIA

EnerVision
Robert Duval
P.O. Box 450789
Atlanta, GA 31145-0789

Ergenics, Inc.
David H. DaCosta
247 Margaret King Avenue
Ringwood, NJ 07456

EUS GmbH
Erik Hennig
Munscheidstrasse 14
Gelsenkirchen, 45886
Germany

Exide Electronics
John Breckenridge
8609 Six Forks Road
Raleigh, NC 27615

Firing Circuits, Inc.
J. Mills
P.O. Box 2007
Norwalk, CT 06852-2007

Florida Solar Energy Center
James P. Dunlop
1679 Clearlake Road
Cocoa, FL 32922-5703

Florida Solar Energy Center
Steven J. Durand
1679 Clearlake Road
Cocoa, FL 32922-5703

Frost & Sullivan
Dave Coleman
2525 Charleston Road
Mountain View, CA 94043

Frost & Sullivan
Steven Kraft
2525 Charleston Road
Mountain View, CA 94043
GE Industrial & Pwr. Services  
Bob Zrebiec  
640 Freedom Business Center  
King of Prussia, PA  19046

General Electric Drive Systems  
Declan Daly  
1501 Roanoke Blvd.  
Salem, VA  24153

General Electric Company  
Nick Miller  
1 River Road  
Building 2, Room 605  
Schenectady, NY  12345

Gerry Woolf Associates  
Gerry Woolf  
17 Westmeston Avenue  
Rottingdean, East Sussex,  
BN2 8AL  
UNITED KINGDOM

Giner, Inc.  
A. "Tony" LaConti  
14 Spring Street  
Waltham, MA  02254-9147

GNB Tech. Ind. Battery Co.  
J. Boehm  
Woodlake Corporate Park  
829 Parkview Blvd.  
Lombard, IL  60148-3249

GNB Technologies  
Sanjay Deshpande'  
Woodlake Corporate Park  
829 Parkview Blvd.  
Lombard, IL  60148-3249

GNB Tech. Ind. Battery Co.  
George Hunt  
Woodlake Corporate Park  
829 Parkview Blvd.  
Lombard, IL  60148-3249

GNB Tech. Ind. Battery Co.  
Joe Szymborski  
Woodlake Corporate Park  
829 Parkview Blvd.  
Lombard, IL  60148-3249

Golden Valley Elec. Assoc., Inc.  
Steven Haagensen  
758 Illinois Street  
P.O. Box 71249  
Fairbanks, AK  99701
Gridwise Engineering Company  
Ben Norris  
121 Starlight Place  
Danville, CA 94526

HL&P Energy Services  
George H. Nolin  
P.O. Box 4300  
Houston, TX 77210-4300

HL&P Energy Services  
George H. Nolin  
P.O. Box 4300  
Houston, TX 77210-4300

ILZRO  
Jerome F. Cole  
2525 Meridian Parkway  
PO Box 12036  
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

ILZRO  
Jerome F. Cole  
2525 Meridian Parkway  
PO Box 12036  
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

Imperial Oil Resources, Ltd.  
R. Myers  
3535 Research Rd. NW  
Calgary, Alberta, T2L 2K8  
CANADA

Innovative Power Sources  
Ken Belfer  
1419 Via Jon Jose Road  
Alamo, CA 94507

Intercon Limited  
David Warar (2)  
6865 Lincoln Avenue  
Lincolnwood, IL 60646

International Business & Tech.  
John Neal  
Services, Inc.  
9220 Tayloes Neck Road  
Nanjemoy, MD 20662

Hawaii Electric Light Co.  
Clyde Nagata  
P.O. Box 1027  
Hilo, HI 96720
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>City, State, Zip</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SAFT America, Inc.</td>
<td>Ole Vigerstol</td>
<td>711 Industrial Blvd.</td>
<td>Valdosta, GA 31601</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAFT Research &amp; Dev. Ctr.</td>
<td>Guy Chagnon</td>
<td>107 Beaver Court</td>
<td>Cockeysville, MD 21030</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt River Project</td>
<td>H. Lundstrom</td>
<td>P.O. Box 52025</td>
<td>Phoenix, AZ 85072-2025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salt River Project</td>
<td>G. E. &quot;Ernie&quot; Palomino</td>
<td>P.O. Box 52025</td>
<td>Phoenix, AZ 85072-2025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santa Clara University</td>
<td>Dr. Charles Feinstein</td>
<td>Dept. of Dec. &amp; Info. Sciences</td>
<td>Santa Clara, CA 95053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentech, Inc.</td>
<td>Kurt Klunder</td>
<td>4733 Bethesda Avenue</td>
<td>Bethesda, MD 20814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentech, Inc.</td>
<td>Robert Reeves</td>
<td>9 Eaton Road</td>
<td>Troy, NY 12180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentech, Inc.</td>
<td>Rajat K. Sen</td>
<td>4733 Bethesda Avenue</td>
<td>Bethesda, MD 20814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentech, Inc.</td>
<td>Nicole Miller</td>
<td>4733 Bethesda Avenue</td>
<td>Bethesda, MD 20814</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Siemens Solar
Clay Aldrich
4650 Aohn Lane
P.O. Box 6032
Camarillo, CA 93011

Soft Switching Technologies
Deepak Divan
2224 Evergreen Road
Suite 6
Middleton, WI 53562

Solar Electric Specialists Co.
Jim Trotter
232-Anacapa Street
Santa Barbara, CA 93101

Solar Energy Ind. Assoc. (SEIA)
Scott Sklar
122 C Street NW
4th Floor
Washington, DC 20001-2104

Solarex
Gerald W. Braun
630 Solarex Court
Frederick, MD 21701

Southern Company Services, Inc.
Bruce R. Rauhe, Jr.
600 North 18th Street
P.O. Box 2625
Birmingham, AL 35202-2625

Southern California Edison
Naum Pinsky
2244 Walnut Grove Ave.
P.O. Box 800, Room 418
Rosemead, CA 91770

Southern California Edison
Richard N. Schweinberg
6070 N. Irwindale Avenue
Suite I
Irwindale, CA 91702

Southern Company Services, Inc.
K. Vakhshoorzadeh
600 North 18th Street
P.O. Box 2625
Birmingham, AL 35202-2625

SRI International
C. Seitz
333 Ravenswood Avenue
Menlo Park, CA 94025
Stored Energy Engineering
Bob Bish
7601 E. 88th Place
Indianapolis, IN 46256

Switch Technologies
Jon Hurwitch
4733 Bethesda Avenue
Suite 608
Bethesda, MD 20814

The Brattle Group
Thomas J. Jenkin
44 Brattle Street
Cambridge, MA 02138-3736

The Pennsylvania State University
Charles E. Bakis
227 Hammond Building
University Park, PA 16802

The Technology Group, Inc.
Tom Anyos
63 Linden Avenue
Atherton, CA 94027-2161

Tampa Electric Company
Terri Hensley
P.O. Box 111
Tampa, FL 33601-0111

The Detroit Edison Company
Haukur Asgeirsson
2000 2nd Ave.
435 SB
Detroit, MI 48226-1279

The Solar Connection
Michael Orians
P.O. Box 1138
Morro Bay, CA 93443

TRACE Engineering Division
Bill Roppenecker
5916 195th Northeast
Arlington, WA 98223
Virginia Power
Gary Verno
Innsbrook Technical Center
5000 Dominion Blvd.
Glen Ellen, VA  23233

Virginia Polytechnic Inst. & State Uni
Alex Q. Huang
Virginia Power Electronics Center
672 Whittemore Hall
Blacksburg, VA  24061

Walt Disney World
Randy Bevin
Design and Eng'g
P.O. Box 10,000
Lake Buena Vista, FL  32830-1000

Westinghouse Elec. Corp.
Gerald J. Keane
Energy Management Division
4400 Alafaya Trail
Orlando, FL  32826-2399

Westinghouse
Tom Matty
P.O. Box 17230
Maryland, MD  21023

Westinghouse STC
Howard Saunders
1310 Beulah Road
Pittsburgh, PA  15235

Yuasa, Inc.
Frank Tarantino
2366 Bernville Road
P.O. Box 14145
Reading, PA  19612-4145

Yuasa, Inc.
Gene Cook
2366 Bernville Road
P.O. Box 14145
Reading, PA  19612-4145

Yuasa, Inc.
Nicholas J. Magnani
2366 Bernville Road
P.O. Box 14145
Reading, PA  19612-4145

Yuasa-Exide, Inc.
R. Kristiansen
35 Loch Lomond Lane
Middleton, NY  10941-1421
ZBB Technologies, Ltd.
Robert J. Parry
11607 West Dearbourn Ave.
Wauwatosa, WI 53226-3961
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