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Summary

The spheromak is a Magnetic Fusion Energy (MFE) configuration, which is a leading alternative to the tokamak. It has a simple geometry which offers an opportunity to achieve the promise of fusion energy if the physics of confinement, current drive, and pressure holding capability extrapolate favorably to a reactor.

Recent changes in the US MFE program, taken in response to budget constraints and programmatic directions from Congress, include a revitalization of an experimental alternative concept effort. Detailed studies of the spheromak were consequently undertaken to examine the major physics issues which need to be resolved to advance it as a fusion plasma, the optimum configuration for an advanced experiment, and its potential as a reactor.

As a result of this study, we conclude that it is important to evaluate several physics issues experimentally. Such an experiment might be appropriately be named the Sustained Spheromak Physics Experiment (SSPX). It would address several critical issues, the solution to which will provide the physics basis to enable an advanced experiment. The specific scientific goals of SSPX would be to:

- Demonstrate that electron and ion temperatures of a few hundred electron volts can be achieved in a steady-state spheromak plasma sustained by a magnetic dynamo ("helicity injection").

- Relate energy confinement quantitatively to the magnetic turbulence accompanying the dynamo and use this knowledge to optimize performance.

- Measure the magnetic field profiles and magnetic turbulence in the plasma and relate these to the science of the magnetic dynamo which drives the current in the plasma.

- Examine experimentally the pressure holding capability ("beta limit") of the spheromak.

- Understand the initial phases of the transition of the plasma from an equilibrium supported by a magnetic-flux conserving wall to one supported by external coils.

These goals could be achieved in an experiment with duration of a few milliseconds, and can consequently be addressed at a relatively low cost. There are additional goals which would be addressed in a larger, follow-up experiment, the Advanced Spheromak Physics Experiment. These include
the achievement of temperatures in the multi-keV range, the control of low mode-number instabilities (perhaps with a feedback system), and the technology of long-pulse current drive.

This document reviews past work in the field and describes a number of new results. Recent publications which complement this report are also referenced. These publications also describe the characteristics of an experiment to examine the important spheromak physics issues.
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1.0 Why a new spheromak experiment?

1.1 Reasons and plans

Previous research, both experimental and theoretical, is summarized in detail in the next section. Significant progress was made throughout the course of this research, but experiments were terminated before the lessons learned could be applied fully to magnetic fusion energy issues. Recent theory and review of data indicate a need for an experiment to evaluate the critical, fusion related issues, especially core energy confinement of the plasma and the beta ($\beta$, ratio of kinetic to magnetic pressures) limit, with the goal of developing a small fusion reactor with low cost of electricity.

Previous experimental progress, especially in the CTX experiment at Los Alamos, resulted from progressive improvements in vacuum techniques, in controlling the open magnetic flux surrounding the toroidally confined plasma, and in the means for supplying magnetic helicity (current drive) to the spheromak. Each of these steps resulted from experiment and the interpretation of diagnostic measurements. An electron temperature of 400 eV and an ion temperature of 1 keV were obtained in an experiment which had the goal of compressing a spheromak with high explosives accelerating a thin section of the flux conserver wall to high velocity. (The compression experiment was not carried out.) Because this experiment was not directed to magnetic fusion energy issues, electron temperature and energy confinement measurements were not focused on the steady-state (sustainment) phase of operation. Thus, although the high temperature results are very exciting, they cannot be used with confidence to project parameters in fusion experiments and reactors.

Projecting spheromak performance requires measurement of $T_e$ and energy confinement during sustainment, and to relate the energy losses to the level of magnetic turbulence which accompanies the magnetic dynamo which balances the ohmic losses of current. To succeed, any new experiment must apply modern vacuum techniques, largely learned from tokamak research which demonstrated their importance, to the experiment with the goal of maximizing temperatures.

- Titanium gettering was used to minimize impurities in spheromak plasmas. It was found, however, that the plasma pulse length was limited by heating of the flux-conserver surface, liberating large amounts of hydrogen. New vacuum techniques using low-Z gettering have been developed on tokamaks in recent years and can overcome this problem. The experiment proposed here will be designed to utilize low-Z gettering, especially boronization, in addition to bake-out and discharge cleaning, to control the impurities and fuel gas ($H_2$ or $D_2$).
Energy confinement was found to be sensitive to the amount of magnetic flux surrounding the plasma which was open to nearby walls. Current flowing on the resistive, cold plasma on this flux was a large energy loss mechanism in most experiments. Careful control of magnetic errors and open flux was essential for the high-temperature experiment. Further control of the flux coupling between the gun and the spheromak during the sustainment phase is planned and should further improve the global confinement.

More recently, Fowler hypothesized that the core energy confinement is much better than the global confinement measured in the experiments, and an extensive review by Hooper, et al. of the data in decaying spheromak plasmas is consistent with this hypothesis. Fowler also applied concepts of resistive tearing mode turbulence to the problem of energy confinement, concluding that a reactor-grade spheromak should have good confinement if the hypothesis is true. The experimental test of core-confinement in a sustained plasma is a major goal of the proposed experiments; they will be accompanied by measurements of the magnetic turbulence associated with the magnetic dynamo. Following experiments on energy confinement during sustainment, tests can be made of improvements on the pressure stability limit, beta limits, and their relationship to confinement.

1.2 Fundamental science

The fundamental science behind the spheromak experiment is that of the magnetic dynamo, reconnection of magnetic fieldlines, and resultant current drive. The dynamo physics is a laboratory version of the mechanism which generates the magnetic field of the earth and sun, and which plays a major role in many astrophysical phenomena. We know from Cowling's theorem that the generation of an axisymmetric magnetic field from the dynamo requires a breaking of axisymmetry in the plasma flow and magnetic fields which constitute the dynamo. The spheromak (and closely related reversed-field pinch, RFP) operate at high magnetic Reynolds number ("Lundquist number"), with the result that reconnection of the magnetic field to generate the confining, axisymmetric field, must occur over distances much shorter than the size of the device. The primary mechanism for this to happen is the generation of magnetic turbulence through the nonlinear interaction of tearing modes in the plasma, although distortion of the axisymmetric configuration by global modes can generate a dynamo in the edge boundary layer of the plasma through time-varying stochastic fields.

Magnetic turbulence is less well understood than ordinary fluid turbulence or even electrostatic turbulence in tokamak plasmas. The experimental challenge is to measure the characteristics of this turbulence sufficiently well that the data contributes to the fundamental understanding
of the turbulence, and to minimize its effects on the energy losses from the
magnetic configuration thereby moving the spheromak further into the
fusion regime. The measurements cannot be made by local magnetic probes
without destroying the high temperature characteristic of the plasma being
studied, so new diagnostic techniques will need to be applied.

The experimental study in turn will challenge the development of theory
and computational modeling of the magnetic processes. We anticipate that
the results (with those from RFPs) will provide a stimulus for a better
understanding of the dynamo and reconnection processes throughout the
universe.

1.3 Opportunity for innovation

Although the immediate goals of the experiment are the evaluation of
energy confinement and other important physics of the spheromak, it will
also provide an opportunity for innovative concepts to be explored and
applied to the spheromak. To enhance the possibility for this to occur,
collaborations both within LLNL and throughout the community will be
encouraged. One purpose of these collaborations will be to draw upon the
ideas from a wide range of physicists.

2.0 Background

2.1 Motivation

The CTX experiment at Los Alamos obtained $T_e = 400$ eV at densities of
several $\times 10^{20}$ m$^{-3}$. There is a great similarity between this accomplishment
and the early, important success in tokamaks, namely the T3 results reported
at the IAEA meeting at Novosibirsk in 1968. At the time it was reported that
T3 attained $T_i = 400$ eV, $n \sim 5 \times 10^{20}$ m$^{-3}$, and an estimated $T_e$ in the range 0.1-2
keV with a $\tau_e$ up to 10 msec. It was subsequently shown, with Thomson
scattering by the English team, that $T_e \sim 400$ eV; this was reported at the
Dubna meeting in 1969. It is generally believed that the most important
achievement was the electron temperature which demonstrated that
electrons were well confined, and it was considered that T3 constituted the
"proof-of-principle" for tokamaks.

The solid flux conserver experiments in CTX referenced above had a
similar electron temperature (indicating toroidal confinement of electrons),
had similar ion temperatures, and had densities in the mid $10^{20}$ m$^{-3}$ range.
The CTX results show that spheromaks can confine electrons at interesting
temperatures, although the global energy confinement was poorer, of order
100 $\mu$sec. Fowler conjectured that the core energy confinement was much
better than the global energy confinement (perhaps by as much as a factor of 10), and if this can be achieved in a sustained configuration the result would, in a way similar to T3, be a “proof-of-principle” for spheromaks.

A spheromak reactor is projected to be much smaller than a tokamak, lacks toroidal field coils, and is generally much simpler. We estimate that, if the physics can be demonstrated, the cost of electricity for a 1 GW plant will be comparable to that from a fission plant. The potential payoff of research on the spheromak is consequently very large.

2.2 History

Interest in the spheromak was generated in the late 70s with a groundbreaking paper by Rosenbluth and Bussac concerning MHD equilibrium and stability of the device. The spheromak is a toroidal magnetic configuration with helical field lines lying on closed surfaces as in the tokamak. Figure 1 shows the basic geometry as initially modeled; we will later consider changes to this which have evolved as current drive techniques were developed.

The spheromak requires no toroidal field coils, thus offering the possibility of a greatly simplified, compact reactor. Large currents flowing within the plasma produce the toroidal and poloidal fields, which are of comparable magnitude. The radial equilibrium force is held by an external vertical field or (for short time scales) fields produced by eddy currents in a tight-fitting conducting shell.

Five different methods for forming the spheromak have been proposed and tested: an inductive flux-core scheme, a theta/z-pinch, a magnetized coaxial gun, a conical z-pinch, and a kinked z-pinch. Experiments based on each of these concepts successfully demonstrated spheromak formation, although the best performance in confinement and electron temperature were eventually achieved in gun-produced spheromaks: electron temperature = 400 eV, peak magnetic field = 3 T, toroidal magnetic current = 1 MA, helicity decay time of 2 ms, and global energy decay time of 0.2 ms.

The magnetized coaxial plasma gun technique was pioneered at LLNL and LANL in the late 70s and early 80s with the BETA-II and CTX experiments. Plasma flowing from the coaxial gun discharge entrains poloidal magnetic field generated by solenoidal coils. (C.f. Fig. 2) The plasma and fields flow into a formation region where the spheromak is established following magnetic reconnection. Early work showed that spheromaks could readily be formed by this technique, although the discharges were radiation dominated from large quantities of low-Z impurities, with electron temperatures in the 10 eV range. It was also demonstrated that a close fitting, oblate, flux-conserving shell was needed to suppress tilt and shift instabilities. The ability of the coaxial gun to form the spheromak within a flux conserving shell is a
Fig. 1. The original spheromak geometry of Rosenbluth and Bussac. Note that the magnetic x-points lie on the geometric axis, so that there is no plasma between the separatrix and this axis.
major advantage of this technique and may account for why it proved the most successful.

Spheromak research made a large amount of progress in a little more than a decade, in part because of the existence of MHD theory and the Taylor minimum energy principle\textsuperscript{22,23} which provided a sound theoretical basis for the work. Indeed, a key result of the early spheromak experiments at LLNL and elsewhere was the validation of the Taylor theory. Taylor applied relaxation concepts to reversed field pinch discharges, concepts originally put forward by L. Woltjer\textsuperscript{24} for astrophysical plasmas and later by Wells\textsuperscript{25} to explain early compact toroid results. The spheromak can be viewed as the low-aspect-ratio, zero-reversal limit of the RFP, not requiring external toroidal field. Spheromaks exhibited "flux amplification" and other phenomena that could not be explained by the usual models\textsuperscript{17} but were in quantitative agreement with Taylor's theory. The singular importance of the magnetic helicity\textsuperscript{22,26} was demonstrated\textsuperscript{27} which led to the concept of helicity injection for the long-pulse build up and sustainment of the spheromak over many resistive decay times\textsuperscript{28}.

Spheromak confinement experiments at LLNL concluded in 1982, although experiments on related compact tori continued for other purposes. Gun-produced spheromak experiments at Osaka\textsuperscript{14,29} and at Nihon\textsuperscript{30} Universities in Japan began in about 1980. At LANL, the CTX experiment continued confinement studies that led to improved plasma parameters. LANL developed the slow (100-1000 microsecond) formation technique\textsuperscript{28} which was subsequently employed on compact tori at LLNL to produce cleaner discharges. Through a sequence of improvements in plasma-facing surfaces, flux-conserver designs to minimize losses on open field lines at the plasma edge, discharge cleaning, and titanium gettering, the plasma purity and lifetime on CTX were improved. Flattops of almost 6 ms\textsuperscript{31} and total duration of nearly 10 ms\textsuperscript{28} were achieved by halting the ohmic decay of the spheromak by continuous helicity injection from the gun. Experiments on the large flux conserver reached 200 eV electron temperatures\textsuperscript{32}. The parameters were limited by the onset of an interchange instability when the central beta reached $\sim 20\%$, but the global beta was only a few percent. Theoretical calculations\textsuperscript{33,34,35} indicate that correct shaping of the flux conserver can increase the magnetic shear of the spheromak equilibrium, leading to global $\beta$-limits of 10% or more. (Shaping is also required to stabilize the tilt and shift modes\textsuperscript{10,36}) In a smaller flux conserver, a field of 3 T, electron density of $5\times10^{20}$ m$^{-3}$, electron temperature of 400 eV, ion temperature of 1 keV, and a global confinement parameter $n\tau = 1.8\times10^{16}$ m$^{-3}$ sec were reached\textsuperscript{37}. 
Fig. 2. Schematic of the formation of a spheromak. (From Jarboe\textsuperscript{38}). The current, $I$, in the gun links the flux $\Psi_{\text{gun}}$ and expands into the flux conservers. (a) elongation of the initial flux, (b) expansion into the flux conservers, and (c) relaxation. In the sustainment mode, the magnetic field structure is maintained by continuous injection of helicity from the gun.
In these decaying plasmas the energy confinement was limited by losses in the cold edge plasma. The lack of neutral-particle control at the spheromak edge, coupled to the constraints in the spheromak MHD equilibrium evolution, led to the global loop voltage (and thus global confinement) being dominated by plasma currents driven along the outer flux surfaces where the resistivity was very high due to electron-neutral collisions. Most of the magnetic energy dissipation was probably lost by charge exchange, particle transport, and conduction along open-field lines in the edge. Indeed, until the last series of experiments, the edge included a significant volume of open magnetic field lines, so that these losses were very large. In experiments on the CTX large flux conserver (0.61 cm), the global time was improved by careful magnetic design. These solid flux conserver experiments were funded not to study confinement, however, but only to achieve high temperature, low resistivity, and relatively long magnetic lifetimes suitable for explosive compression. As a consequence, the confinement during sustainment was not determined.

Further details and references can be found in several review articles.

The critical information missing from previous experiments is the energy confinement time (and transport) in the core of the plasma and in sustained plasmas. Fowler developed a model based on the Rechester-Rosenbluth thermal conductivity applied to a plasma in which tearing modes carry helicity into the spheromak core. Extrapolation to reactors is very promising in that it predicts good confinement at the low level of turbulence needed to transport helicity at high electron temperatures. The model also predicts that ohmic ignition of a reactor is possible.

Experimental evidence for this hypothesis was examined in considerable detail by Hooper, et al., who concluded that it was well supported by experiments in decaying plasmas but that there is insufficient data from spheromaks sustained by helicity injection. They include discussion of other physics issues and of an experiment proposed to evaluate the energy confinement and the transition from an equilibrium supported by a flux conserver to one supported by external coils.

2.4 Reactor opportunities

The route to improved reactors based on magnetic fusion energy may lie in the return to conceptually simple physics configurations rather than in refined engineering concepts for the present approach. This will offer the potential to obtain the step-change in improvement needed for a truly viable product. Accordingly, of all the magnetic geometries explored to date, the
spheromak arguably offers the best reactor potential: because of its simple geometry, no materials (first wall, vacuum vessel, blankets or magnets) link the torus. This leads to the following distinct advantages:

- Compact fusion power core with high mass power density: ⇒ Potential for smaller unit size plants with good economics.

- Low complexity reactor geometry (no toroidal field coils, low technology normal-conducting equilibrium field coils, simple “pool” blankets):
  ⇒ Increased reliability and maintainability; good availability prospects.

- Single, one-piece fusion power core: ⇒ Factory fabrication and testing; simplified assembly; one-piece maintenance; one-piece disposal.

- Significantly cheaper and shorter development path for the series:
  Ignition → Engineering Test Reactor → DEMO → Commercial Prototype.

- External divertor system effected by the open geometry.

- Plasma sustained in steady-state by helicity injection: ⇒ Greater inherent efficiency relative to conventional, non-inductive current-drive methods.

- Desirable core size and power outputs for other non-electric applications: Desalination, fission waste transmutation, fission/fusion hybrids, etc.

An artist's conception of a spheromak configuration appropriate for a reactor is shown in Fig. 3. Previous studies of the spheromak reactor, e.g. by Krakowski and coworkers at LANL, have demonstrated relatively low cost of electricity in a simple system which could be easily maintained. Modern reactor analysis techniques suggest that further improvements may be possible. Fowler, et al. estimate that ohmic ignition may be possible, eliminating the need for auxiliary heating; the result is based on application of energy confinement scaling to the geometry. Consequently, if the energy confinement and beta limit in a spheromak reactor can be demonstrated to be sufficiently good, this configuration may yield the best possible reactor available to harness magnetic fusion energy.

Analysis of this configuration indicates that it would make an excellent reactor if the energy confinement and other issues can be successfully worked out consistent with the drive of helicity from the plasma edge.
Figure 3. Advanced spheromak reactor (artist’s conception).
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