RECEIVED

AUG 11 1997
OSTI

Procuring Low-Energy Design and Consulting Services

A Guide for Federal Building Managers, Architects, and Engineers

U.S. Department of Energy

Dozens of Federal agencies construct, renovate, and maintain thousands of offices, warehouses, repair facilities, and dwelling units in a wide range of climates and conditions. As the world's largest consumer of energy (1.2 quadrillion British thermal units [Btu] delivered to the point of use in fiscal year 1994), the Federal government has a tremendous opportunity to save vast amounts of money each year in energy costs. Executive

Low-Energy Design

The incorporation of energy efficiency, renewable energy, and passive solar design is referred to as "low-energy" design in this document. Low-energy design strategies use standard building elements—walls, windows, and floors—to collect, store, and release the sun's energy for heating, cooling, and lighting. These proven strategies can dramatically reduce a building's energy consumption for little or no added cost while improving its comfort, economy, and environmental performance.

Order 12902, which was passed in 1994, challenges Federal building managers to reduce the energy consumption of these facilities 30% from 1985 levels by the year 2005.

To meet this challenge, you have been directed to incorporate energy efficiency, renewable energy, and, specifically, passive solar design into all major projects when it is technically and economically feasible. But how do you do it? The information presented here will help you initiate and manage the procurement and design process and answer many of your questions, such as: How do I get my design team to consider whole building and passive solar performance? How much analysis is enough? How much should it cost?

The Challenge

Because low-energy design represents both a load reduction strategy and a renewable energy source, it is essential to consider low-energy principles at the beginning of the design process. But how do you do it?

Designers of low-energy buildings incorporate many different renewable energy and energy efficiency strategies, including efficient lighting and daylighting.

MASTER

U.S.
Department
of Energy

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED *ng*

Because of the diversity of Federal projects, it is impossible to define a single energy design and procurement process. Nevertheless, by understanding and following the guidance given here, you can optimize the use of energy resources in your new construction and renovation projects, saving U.S. taxpayers millions of dollars.

Achieving superior building energy performance requires a comprehensive effort that begins during programming; moves through the selection of architectural and engineering professionals; continues through schematics, design development, and construction documents; and culminates in building construction and commissioning. Superior building performance must then be sustained by conscientious maintenance and confirmed by monitoring.

Incorporating low-energy and climate-responsive strategies requires a unique perspective, that of whole-building performance. This means learning to balance heating, cooling, and lighting requirements using both energy efficiency and renewable energy. It also means understanding the interactive effect of architectural decisions such as orientation, the amount and location of glazing, and the placement of thermal mass and insulation on heating, cooling, and lighting.

If low-energy building design is so great, why isn't everybody using it? In part, because evaluating these complex trade-offs requires some type of analysis. The tools to evaluate these trade-offs used to be cumbersome and expensive. However, a new generation of software has made this process both easy and accurate. With the Federal Energy Management Program (FEMP) as an advocate and you as project champion, more buildings can benefit from low-energy design.

Project Manager Checklist—Getting Started

- Do members of the in-house project team understand what is meant by low-energy building design and whole-building performance?
- Is someone on the project team prepared to act as a "champion" for low-energy building design throughout design and construction?
- Are members of the project team committed to sustaining a focus on energy performance throughout all phases of the project?

Programming

Establish Low-Energy Building Design as a Project Goal

A critical first step of every project is to explicitly define goals. This is particularly true for building energy performance. A general goal for most Federal projects is to produce "a beautiful, sustainable, cost-effective building that meets its program, encourages productivity, consumes as little nonrenewable energy as possible through the use of passive solar design, energy efficiency, and the use of other renewable resources." To the extent that the energy performance of a project can be clearly and quantitatively stated at the beginning of design and construction, the more likely it is to be factored into all decisions throughout the process.

Establishing energy performance goals can be difficult if your agency has task order contracts to develop building programs with architects and engineers that were selected because of expertise in specialties other than energy efficiency and passive solar design (such as life safety, seismic design, or accessibility). Consider writing very specific language into your new requests for proposals that stresses the need for indefinite-quantity contractors to demonstrate expertise in energy efficiency and the use of renewable

DISCLAIMER

**Portions of this document may be illegible
in electronic image products. Images are
produced from the best available original
document.**

Figure 1. This sample Energy-10 "Autobuild" report is suitable for inclusion in programming documents to indicate energy performance targets.

energy sources in building design. Indicate that they will be responsible for developing specific energy consumption targets and that, if they do not have the expertise on staff, they must demonstrate the ability by hiring knowledgeable consultants to do the job.

Use Appropriate Language

Many Federal agencies, such as the General Services Administration (GSA) and the Department of Defense, already use appropriate language in their contracts that encourages the use of low-energy building design and renewables in all new construction and major renovations wherever technically and economically feasible. This language, however, often dates back to the late 1970s and early 1980s, and does not provide usable guidance or direction to contractors and other design professionals. Therefore, it is necessary to first review existing agency documents and determine how their directives can be used to encourage the use of low-energy design and other renewables. For example, the GSA prospectus development study (PDS) process clearly allows for energy and passive solar performance to be prominently

called out as a fundamental design criteria, or what they call "functional objective," in the Building Systems Matrix that summarizes project goals.

Define Energy Performance Targets

What is meant by an energy target? It is a quantitative measure, or goal, of the maximum expected energy consumption for a structure based on accepted calculation procedures.

In smaller projects (projects of approximately 10,000 ft² or less with only one or two thermal zones, such as warehouses, small offices, or individual residences), use of quick, design-based, climate- and program-specific energy software such as Energy-10, Building Design Advisor, or Energy Scheming during programming can be helpful. Using these software packages, Federal managers or their subcontractors can incorporate numerical energy targets, including breakdowns of estimated energy consumption for heating, cooling, and lighting, into their program documents. Incorporation of this kind of information in a program statement provides a criteria against which to evaluate subsequent design performance (see Figure 1).

For larger multizone projects such as laboratories or high-rise office buildings, it will be necessary to run more complex software packages such as DOE 2.1E, Blast, or the equivalent to generate similar estimates of energy consumption. Because this can be time consuming and expensive, as an alternative, national average energy consumption data by building type (available through the Energy Information Administration [EIA], part of the U.S. Department of Energy) can be referenced and cited as targets to be met or exceeded in the course of the project.

For example, according to the EIA, in 1994 the average office building in America consumed energy at the rate of \$1.54 per square foot. Although actual energy consumption for any given office building can deviate

significantly from this national mean because of a wide range of factors, including building size, local climate, mechanical system control strategies, utility rates, maintenance practices, and occupancy schedules, it does provide a useful point of reference.

In new office design it is economically realistic to reduce energy costs from 30% to 50% below national averages if an optimum mix of low-energy design strategies is applied to the building design. These strategies might include optimized glazing and insulation, daylighting, shading, and passive solar heating. Even greater savings are feasible when advanced technologies and techniques are employed.

This suggests that an annual savings of between \$0.45 and \$0.75 per square foot of office building is a reasonable estimate of the maximum cost savings possible from the use of energy-efficient design. However, if you compare energy consumption in

your new design to a hypothetical "base-case" building (see p. 6) rather than to the national mean for existing structures, the possible savings will be more modest. In this instance, savings might be expected to range from \$0.20 to \$0.30 per square foot per year, depending critically on the definition of the base-case building.

It is usually not wise to establish these numerical goals as absolute project criteria. The great variety of building types, programs, and conditions makes such rigid goals questionable and difficult to enforce. Nevertheless, incorporating this information into a programming document conveys the seriousness of energy consumption and the use of low energy as a design issue. By asking potential contractors to comment on this information in their proposal submissions, you can evaluate the energy responsiveness and insights of design contractors.

Project Manager Checklist—Programming

- Has the goal to employ low-energy building design and other renewable energy strategies (such as daylighting and passive solar heating) whenever economically and technically feasible been stressed in program documents?
- Has achieving superior whole-building energy performance been highlighted as a written project goal?
- Have quantitative targets been established to help evaluate the energy implications of design decisions?
- Has the use of energy design tools and software been encouraged?

Choosing Design Professionals

Presently, there is a wide disparity in the energy sensitivity and interest among design professionals. Some architecture and engineering firms have a strong commitment to

Energy Software Packages

ASEAM

Advance Sciences Inc.
2000 North 15th Street, Suite 407
Arlington, VA 22201-2627
(703) 243-4900

BLAST

BLAST Support Office
1206 West Green Street
Urbana, IL 61801
(217) 333-3977

Building Design Advisor

Energy and Environment Division
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720
(510) 486-4000

DOE-2

Building Energy Simulation Group
Energy and Environmental Division
Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory
University of California
Berkeley, CA 94720
(510) 486-4000

ENERGY-10

Passive Solar Industries Council
1511 K. Street, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, DC 20005
(202) 628-7400

Energy Scheming

Energy Studies in Buildings
Laboratory Department of
Architecture

University of Oregon
Eugene, OR 97403
(503) 346-3656

HAP (Hourly Analysis Program)

Carrier Corporation
P.O. Box 4808
Syracuse, NY 13221
(315) 432-7072

TRACE

The Trane Company
3600 Pammel Creek Road
La Crosse, WI 54601-7599
(608) 787-3926

resource optimization and view it as a leading design consideration and directive. Others, while generally acknowledging that low energy consumption is a desirable end, do not see it as a central determinant of building form. They are usually content to postpone energy considerations to late in the design process when improvements are limited to alternative heating, ventilating, and air-conditioning (HVAC) and lighting selections. At that point in the process, major opportunities are likely to have been missed.

Evaluate Commitment to Achieving Energy Performance

To maximize energy performance, a supportive, knowledgeable architecture and engineering team must be selected. An architect unconcerned with energy performance coupled with an engineering firm with impeccable energy credentials is unlikely to produce an optimal building design. The reverse situation is also true. What is critical is the selection of a team that is prepared to work together to achieve superior building performance.

Adopt Appropriate Solicitation Language

During the selection process, successful architecture firms should enthusiastically respond to inquiries about energy consciousness. As part of their formal written and verbal presentations, they should address project-specific energy challenges and opportunities. The principal-in-charge and the project architect should demonstrate familiarity with critical concerns such as low-energy building design, daylighting, and peak demand. Successful candidates should clearly describe a design process in which the energy implications of design decisions will be evaluated at each phase with tools appropriate to that phase.

Selecting Energy-Conscious Design Professionals

The following language can be used to modify or supplement solicitations for design services:

- Successful applicants should state their commitment to whole-building performance and demonstrate their ability to respond to the energy targets set in program documents.
- Successful applicants should propose a team organization that encourages energy design quality and that can respond constructively to energy analysis results.
- Successful applicants should demonstrate a familiarity with new energy technologies such as high-performance glazings, lighting, and HVAC controls.
- Successful applicants should be able to cite completed projects that feature workable, cost-effective, energy-efficient, and low-energy design principles.
- For small projects (residences and commercial and institutional projects of less than about 20,000 ft²), successful applicants should demonstrate an understanding of daylighting and passive solar heating in cold climates and passive solar cooling in warm climates. For large projects (more than 20,000 ft²), successful applicants should demonstrate an understanding of daylighting, passive solar cooling, and sun control strategies.
- Successful applicants should demonstrate a familiarity with computer-based energy analysis techniques.
- Successful applicants should demonstrate an understanding of the most recent federal code, 10 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 435, or the comparable commercial code, American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air-Conditioning Engineers (ASHRAE) energy standard, Standard 90.1.

Table 1. Appropriate investments to be made in energy analysis and consulting services when designing a new building or making major renovations, by building size and energy-use intensity (per square foot of conditioned space).

Energy Use Type	Investment, \$/ft ²		
	Small buildings (0 to 20,000 ft ²)	Medium buildings (20,000 to 100,000 ft ²)	Large buildings (100,000 ft ² and above)
Moderate Energy Users including single-family residences, housing, and warehouses.	\$0.35 to \$0.25	\$0.25 to \$0.15	\$0.15 to \$0.05
High Energy Users including offices, factories, and service centers	\$0.40 to \$0.30	\$0.30 to \$0.20	\$0.20 to \$0.10
Very High Energy Users including laboratories and hospitals.	\$0.45 to \$0.35	\$0.35 to \$0.25	\$0.25 to \$0.15

CDM-SS1-B200603

Note: This table adjusts the rule of thumb for building size and energy use characteristics and provides a more precise guideline. Note that as buildings get larger there is an economy of scale so it is not necessary to expend as much on a square-foot basis.

Choosing Appropriate Energy Analysis Techniques and Alternatives

Be Realistic

Energy calculations, regardless of their sophistication, cannot precisely predict actual energy consumption. Factors such as construction quality, occupancy schedules, and maintenance procedures may vary markedly from assumptions contained in the analysis and skew results. However, this does not mean that energy analyses are not important tools.

Conducting an energy study of a new building or a retrofit project is an excellent way to evaluate the relative energy performance of alternative designs. In particular, the effect of low-energy strategies such as moving windows from one facade to another for passive solar heating or improved daylighting, optimizing glazing selection, or installing dimmable ballasts can be carefully evaluated on a comparative basis.

How Much Can I Afford to Spend?

Energy design and consulting services, like the actual buildings, should be cost effective. For Federal buildings, cost-effectiveness is defined in 10 CFR 436 as a savings-to-investment ratio of greater than 1.0 during a 40-year analysis period for building envelope measures and a 25-year analysis period for equipment measures. This means that it is important to be practical about the extent and cost of energy consulting and analysis services that a project can support. It is equally important to be realistic about the extent of the benefits that can be expected as a result of applying these services. This is true whether the analysis is being conducted internally or by outside contractors or consultants.

As a rule of thumb, Federal building managers should be willing to spend as much as one year's expected energy savings for new building energy analysis studies.¹ For major renovations that include window replacements, insulation retrofits, and lighting changes, this rule of thumb is also valid. For minor renovations involving only component changes such as fixture or ballast replacements, it is prudent to limit expenditures to not more than half the values recorded in Table 1.

Establish a Base Case

Related to the issue of what you should be prepared to spend for energy consulting or extended professional services is the issue of establishing an appropriate base-case building definition to evaluate low-energy design investments during the design process. Establishing a viable base case is also an essential step

¹ Like all rules of thumb, this recommendation must be tempered with common sense and an appreciation of special conditions. In some instances, such as demonstration projects or projects with high energy consumption rates, investing two to three years worth of savings for energy consulting services can be justified.

when pursuing a performance compliance path under 10 CFR 435 or the comparable commercial code, ASHRAE 90.1.

This task can be deceptively difficult because there is no universal approach. Early in the design process it may be the minimum, code-complying structure and a generic "shoebox" form. Later it may be a building design that has already been partially optimized and in the shape of the actual building design, but with different subsystems. How much time and money are you prepared to spend to analyze this nonexistent, and never-to-be-built, baseline structure?

These decisions will go a long way in deciding what energy-based interventions will prove cost effective. Consequently, establishing the specifications of an appropriate base-case building design is an important task that the project manager and design professional or energy consultant should clarify early in the design process.

It is often necessary to define a base-case building during programming to establish aggressive energy performance targets. If this is so, you will probably want to retain this base-case definition throughout the project.

What Can I Expect for My Money?

There is a clear relationship between what energy analysis you can afford and what deliverables and level of detail you can expect from the analysis. Although schematic, the following list can help you understand what level of effort you can expect from your energy design professional or your energy consultant.

Modest Effort: 3 to 15 person days (less than \$10,000)

At this level, your contractor or consultant might be expected to:

- Attend a preliminary meeting and present results at a second meeting
- Help define energy targets (in both dollars and Btus per square foot) during programming by running a

design-phase analysis tool such as Energy-10 or Energy Scheming

- Together with the project architect or manager, use similar tools to study schematic building envelope and massing alternatives, including such options as daylighting, night cooling, passive solar heating, and glazing optimization during the early phases of design
- Be available to the project architect or manager throughout the design process to answer questions
- In one- or two-zone buildings, analyze a limited number of simplified HVAC configurations
- Provide a brief, written final report summarizing recommendations.

Intermediate Effort: 3 to 12 person weeks (between \$10,000 and \$40,000)

At this level, your contractor or consultant might be expected to:

- Attend regular meetings during the design and design development phases
- Help define energy targets (in both dollars and Btus per square foot) during programming
- Together with the project architect or manager, run DOE 2.1E, Blast, or the equivalent hour-by-hour simulation tool to study schematic building envelope and massing alternatives, including such options as daylighting, shading, lighting controls, and glazing optimization during the early phases of design
- Be available to the project architect or manager throughout the design process to answer questions
- Analyze a significant number of alternative HVAC configurations, including controls and distribution options, during design development
- Conduct an economic analysis of building design and systems alternatives, including life-cycle costs or discounted paybacks
- Provide a comprehensive, written final report summarizing recommendations.

**Large Effort: 2 to 6 person months
(more than \$40,000)**

At this level, your contractor or consultant might be expected to:

- Attend regular meetings throughout the project
- Help define energy targets (in both dollars and Btus per square foot) during programming
- Together with the project architect or manager, run DOE 2.1E, Blast, or the equivalent hour-by-hour simulation tool to study schematic building envelope and massing alternatives, including such options as daylighting, shading, lighting controls, and glazing optimization during the early phases of design
- Be available to the project architect or manager throughout the design process to answer questions
- Maintain an ongoing energy analysis of the evolving design to inform the designers of the energy implications of design alternatives
- Analyze a significant number of alternative HVAC configurations, including controls and distribution options
- Conduct a comprehensive economic analysis of building design and systems alternatives, including life-cycle costs or discounted paybacks (Many Federal agencies require that at least three alternative HVAC systems be analyzed on a life-cycle basis.)
- In some cases, help write or compile a building commissioning handbook
- In the case of major renovation projects, conduct physical tests of existing conditions such as infiltration studies, thermography, and equipment efficiency studies
- Undertake higher-order prediction studies such as physical daylight study models of prototypical office spaces or computational fluid dynamic models of convective flows in atriums

- Team with utility to analyze utility interface issues such as off-peak ice thermal storage and other peak-shaving and peak-shifting strategies
- Monitor actual building performance
- Produce comprehensive final and intermediate reports, as appropriate.

Which Energy Analysis Technique Should I Use?

Clarify Tasks

As the lists suggest, there are many kinds of analysis techniques. These include calculations (such as loads or energy consumption programs), physical and computer modeling (such as daylight study models or light-tracing simulations), and testing (such as infiltration or HVAC equipment efficiency studies). Individual projects can benefit from some or all of these studies.

The most common forms of analysis procedures involve calculations. Although hand-based methods remain valid and can be used, today most designers and consultants use computer-based methods. These software programs have varying levels of accuracy; are intended to be used at different phases of design; and require very different levels of effort and cost. As a Federal manager, your goal should be to match an appropriate level of analysis to the task at hand.

For example, some tools, such as Energy-10 or the Windows version of DOE 2, Blast, and other programs, have been designed (or, are being designed) to provide immediate feedback to the designer or project manager during the earliest phases of a project. Others, such as DOE 2.1E and Blast, require more input time and detail. Consequently, they are generally reserved for later in the design process when many architectural decisions have already been finalized. Still other software packages, such as the proprietary program Trace, have

Basic Calculation Methodologies

Most energy programs use one of three underlying calculation procedures: correlations, bin methods, or simulations.

Correlations. In a correlation-based program, daily, monthly, or seasonal building performance is computed by comparing, or correlating, the performance of the building in question against predetermined equations (or curves) that predict the performance of the building

A variety of software packages are available for generating energy consumption estimates.

based on key thermal characteristics and climate information. Correlation programs generally run quickly because they demand a minimum of computation, but this speed is at the expense of some accuracy. Also, because of their relative simplicity, correlation programs are not able to evaluate the important trade-offs between certain interactive energy strategies such as daylighting and heating or thermal mass and cooling.

Bin methods. Bin method calculations generally evaluate building energy performance based on temperature bins. The "bins," or groupings, typically used are 5°F ranges, centered on a reference value. Therefore, the 62° bin for a particular climate represents the number of hours during the year when temperatures fall between 59.5° and 64.5°F. With bin information, it is possible to estimate the number of hours of part-load system operation and assign adjusted system efficiencies to these hours. Based on these results, it is possible to estimate annual fuel consumption and annual energy costs.

Simulations. By far, the most precise and computationally intensive approach to energy performance calculations is hour-by-hour simulations. In this approach, building energy requirements are calculated based on "first principles" on an iterative basis each hour of the year. This approach allows the integrated effect of building design decisions such as daylighting, glazing selection, shading devices, and lighting controls to be most accurately modeled.

Even a few years ago, analyzing a building using hour-by-hour simulation software required considerable run times. Today, new generations of powerful personal computers permit annual results in minutes or even seconds.

been developed to assist mechanical equipment selection and are often distributed by manufacturers. They are generally used only after all building envelope and massing decisions have been finalized.

Match Tool to Task

Calculations are made of building energy performance for two primary reasons. Either they are made to size mechanical equipment or to predict the annual energy consumption of a structure. Although these two tasks are not mutually exclusive and some programs can handle both tasks, they do tend to be conducted in isolation

from each other. Any energy analysis should determine both peak loads (sizing requirements) and annual energy consumption.

Sizing programs are primarily geared to calculating peak hourly load conditions independently during the heating season and during the cooling season for the purposes of sizing mechanical equipment. Almost all buildings have a sizing analysis of some kind run by an architect, engineer, or mechanical contractor in order to select the equipment. Most sizing programs are based on consensus procedures and algorithms

CDM-SS1-B200602

Figure 2. This flowchart can be used to determine energy costs.

established by ASHRAE, but many are proprietary products distributed or sold by equipment manufacturers.²

Annual consumption programs are primarily designed to analyze the total energy consumed by a structure in a typical year expressed in terms of Btus, dollars, or pollution avoidance. To achieve this end, the most accurate of these software packages calculate building loads on an hourly basis and then assume a mechanical system of some defined efficiency and control strategy is used by the structure to meet this hourly load. Based on the inefficiencies of the mechanical system and the distribution system of the building (e.g., ductwork losses), the program can then make an estimate of building energy consumption for that hour. Annual performance is calculated by summing the hourly results for all 8,760 hours of the year.

In many cases, annual energy consumption programs include provisions for inputting utility rate structures so that annual energy cost values (not only Btu consumption values) can be determined. Figure 2 indicates the general steps that must be followed to fully evaluate project energy consumption costs.

Who Should Perform the Analysis?

Energy analyses can be performed in-house or out-of-house; they can be run by your primary design contractors (if they have adequate energy expertise) or by energy consultants. The most important thing to remember when performing an energy analysis is that the results must be

taken seriously and be given sufficient weight in the course of the design.

Project Manager Checklist—Determining Energy Analysis Techniques

- Is there a match between project phase and design tool?
- Has a reasonable budget for project energy analysis and consulting services been established based on Table 1?
- Is there a reasonable correlation between potential energy savings and investment in energy analysis and consulting services?
- Have you assured that the information generated from quantitative energy analyses will have an impact on the design process?
- How will the cost-effectiveness of energy investments be determined?

Conclusion

A low-energy building is not simply the product of new hardware; it is the product of better design. Creating a low-energy building requires comprehensive attention to detail throughout the design process. Even after the building is constructed and properly commissioned, effective post-occupancy analysis is necessary to assure that the anticipated performance has been achieved.

Studies show that buildings designed from inception with energy consumption in mind by knowledgeable design teams do significantly outperform the average. So, get involved and take a proactive stance. The directives and criteria you set early in the programming and project development phase will have a crucial impact on any building's energy effectiveness.

² Some sizing programs also provide an estimate of annual energy consumption. In many cases, however, this calculation is based on simplified algorithms using correlations or temperature bins.

Glossary

ADELINE (includes SUPERLITE and RADIANCE) — A software tool for daylighting design that links daylighting and thermal performance.

algorithm — A step-by-step procedure for solving a problem or accomplishing some end; the underlying equations that govern a calculation procedure.

ASEAM — A simplified energy analysis software tool based on the bin method of calculating annual performance. It is not geared to properly evaluate the interactive effect of many passive solar features such as daylighting and thermal mass.

bins — Groupings of temperature, usually in 5°F increments centered on a reference value. For example, the 62° temperature bin for a particular climate represents the number of hours during the year when temperatures fall between 59.5° and 64.5°F.

Blast — A detailed, annual energy performance software tool that is able to model the interactive effects of passive solar design strategies such as daylighting, passive solar heating, and thermal mass.

consumption, energy — The actual energy consumed by a building—compare with "load."

correlation — An analysis technique whereby building energy performance is calculated by comparing, or correlating, the performance of the building in question with prevalidated equations (or curves) based on key thermal characteristics and climate information.

daylighting — The intentional, controlled use of natural light to reduce the requirement for artificial lighting in a building.

DOE 2.1E — An energy analysis software program that calculates the hour-by-hour energy use of a building given detailed information on the building's location, construction, operation, and heating, ventilating, and air-conditioning systems. It was developed by Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory in collaboration with Los Alamos National Laboratory and supported by the U.S. Department of Energy.

elimination parametrics — An analysis procedure that involves the zeroing out of individual load components, such as artificial lighting, for the purpose of evaluating the consequence of that component on total building loads or energy consumption.

Energy-10 — An hour-by-hour, annual simulation program designed for the analysis of residential and commercial buildings of less than approximately 10,000 ft² (or two zones). It was specifically conceived to be used during the earliest phases of design. It was developed by the National Renewable Energy Laboratory with support from the U.S. Department of Energy.

hour-by-hour simulation — An analysis approach that calculates the energy loads and consumption of a building for each hour of the year. Examples of hour-by-hour simulation software include DOE 2.1E and Energy-10.

load — The net hourly heat loss or heat gain from a structure that must be met by a heating system to achieve interior comfort conditions.

passive solar design — A whole-building, integrated approach to energy design that minimizes loads and uses standard elements of a building, such as windows, walls, and floors, to collect, store, and release the sun's energy for heating, cooling, and lighting.

Trace — A proprietary equipment-sizing program developed by the Trane Corporation.

FEMP gratefully acknowledges the major contribution to this guide from Donald Prowler, Fellow of the American Institute of Architects, Chairman of the Passive Solar Industries Council (PSIC), Washington, D.C.

PSIC also provides courses on designing low-energy buildings and design assistance for new construction in support of FEMP. For more information contact your FEMP regional representative.

Mike Fulton
Chicago Regional Support Office
(312) 886-8585

Nancy Hapstak
Philadelphia Regional Support
Office
(215) 656-6961

Aran Jhaveri
Seattle-San Francisco Regional
Support Office
(206) 553-2152

Randy Jones
Denver Regional Support Office
(303) 275-4814

Paul King
Boston Regional Support Office
(617) 565-9712

Fred Singleton
Atlanta Regional Support Office
(404) 347-3482

You can also contact:

Helen English
Passive Solar Industries Council
1511 K Street N.W., Suite 600
Washington, DC 20005
Phone: (202) 628-7400
Fax: (202) 393-5043
E-Mail: PSICouncil@aol.com

Federal building design assistance is provided through the following national laboratories in support of the U.S. Department of Energy Federal Energy Management Program (FEMP).

Laboratory Contacts Include:

National Renewable Energy Laboratory,
Andy Walker, (303) 384-7531

Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory,
Rick Diamond, (510) 486-4459

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory,
Steve Parker, (509) 375-6366

For More Information Contact:

Anne Sprunt Crawley
Team Leader, Technical Assistance
DOE FEMP, (202) 586-1505

FEMP Help Desk:
1-800-DOE-EREC (363-3732)
Internet: <http://www.eren.doe.gov/femp>

NOTICE: This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States government. Neither the United States government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States government or any agency thereof.

Printed in the United States of America

Available to DOE and DOE contractors from: Office of Scientific and Technical Information (OSTI),
P.O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, TN 37831

Prices available by calling (615) 576-8401

Available from: National Technical Information Service (NTIS), U.S. Department of Commerce,
5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161, (703) 487-4650

Information pertaining to the pricing codes can be found in the current issue of the following publications, which are generally available in most libraries: Government Reports Announcements and Index (GRA and I); Scientific and Technical Abstract Reports (STAR); and publication NTIS-PR-360 available from NTIS at the above address.

Produced for the U.S. Department
of Energy (DOE) by the National
Renewable Energy Laboratory,
a DOE national laboratory

DOE/GO-10097-401
DE97000255
July 1997

Printed with a renewable-source ink on
paper containing at least 50% wastepaper,
including 20% postconsumer waste