To be submitted for inclusion in the proceedings of the Actinides '97 conference that will be published as a volume of the *Journal of Alloys and Compounds*.

Spectroscopy and dynamics of 5f states of Es^{3+} in LaF_3

James V. Beitz, Clayton W. Williams, and G. K. Liu

Chemistry Division, Argonne National Laboratory, Argonne, IL 60439 (USA)

RECEIVED
NOV 18 1997
OSTI

Abstract

Using time- and wavelength-resolved laser-induced fluorescence methods, the 5f state spectroscopy and photodynamics of $^{253}\text{Es}^{3+}$ in LaF_3 have been investigated. Based on an effective operator Hamiltonian model and approximating the metal ion site symmetry as C_{2v} , a set of crystal field parameters has been obtained that fit the 56 assigned levels associated with the 7 states of Es^{3+} that were observed. The 5F_5 emitting state of Es^{3+} exhibited a decay rate that approached the expected purely radiative decay of the state. This suggests that the shorter lifetime previously found for this state of Es^{3+} in LaCl_3 arose from radiation damage induced by alpha decay of ^{253}Es .

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED *ph*

The submitted manuscript has been created by the University of Chicago as Operator of Argonne National Laboratory ("Argonne") under Contract No. W-31-109-ENG-38 with the U.S. Department of Energy. The U.S. Government retains for itself, and others acting on its behalf, a paid-up, nonexclusive, irrevocable worldwide license in said article to reproduce, prepare derivative works, distribute copies to the public, and perform publicly and display publicly, by or on behalf of the Government.

MASTER

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

**Portions of this document may be illegible
in electronic image products. Images are
produced from the best available original
document.**

1. Introduction

Past investigations [1] of the 5f state energy level structure of Es^{3+} focused on LaCl_3 as the host because of the prior extensive experimental spectroscopy and modeling efforts for trivalent lanthanide ions (Ln^{3+}) ions in LaCl_3 [2]. This past work both enabled rapid identification of transitions due to rare earth impurities in LaCl_3 and provided a starting point for theoretical modeling. Similarly detailed work for Ln^{3+} ions in LaF_3 was published recently [3]. Our current investigation marks the beginning of 5f state spectroscopy and photophysics studies on trivalent actinides in LaF_3 .

The synthesis, nuclear properties, and purification of Es have been reviewed by Hulet [4]. Radiation damage rapidly accumulates in hosts that contain ^{253}Es because it is a short-lived ($t_{1/2} = 20.47$ d) alpha emitter. In consequence, color center formation and other radiation damage-related factors may account for an observed luminescence lifetime of $^{253}\text{Es}^{3+}$ in LaCl_3 [5] that is more than a factor of 5 shorter than the calculated purely radiative rate of the corresponding emitting state of aquated Es^{3+} [6]. It is improbable that nonradiative decay to lattice phonons accounts for this difference, given the energy gap law established for 4f state transitions of Ln^{3+} in LaCl_3 [7]. In comparison with LaCl_3 , LaF_3 would be expected to less readily form color centers because of the higher electron affinity of fluorine versus chlorine atoms.

2. Experimental Details

An ion exchange column separation was used to remove decay daughter ^{249}Bk from ^{253}Es [4]. The sample was prepared by coprecipitation of Es^{3+} in LaF_3 (nominally 0.014 weight% Es in LaF_3). The precipitate was dried under a stream nitrogen gas, heated in anhydrous HF in a nickel tube, and then twice thermally fluorinated using fluorine gas to

ensure trivalent Es. The resulting powder sample was loaded into a fused silica capillary tube in a dry atmosphere gloved box. The sample tube then was evacuated, back filled with He gas, and flame sealed.

The sample cell was placed into the heat exchange gas space of a temperature controlled, liquid helium cooled cryostat. A dye laser pumped by a Q-switched Nd:YAG laser was the excitation source for time- and wavelength-resolved laser-induced fluorescence studies. A computer-interfaced, cooled, gated optical multichannel analyzer and a 1 meter monochromator recorded shorter wavelength time-resolved emission spectra. The gated analyzer provided discrimination against short-lived luminescence at the recorded wavelengths by more than a factor of 10^6 . Emission spectra at wavelengths longer than 900 nm were acquired using a cooled photomultiplier attached to the dual exit slit assembly of the monochromator. The dual exit slit enabled rapidly switching between these two detection capabilities. Photomultiplier data were acquired using a computer-interfaced boxcar detector (for emission spectra) or a signal averaging transient recorder (for decay dynamics studies).

3. Results

Intense, short-lived luminescence (attributed to radiation-induced defects such as color centers) interfered with observation of emission from 5f states of Es^{3+} above $20,000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Some of the observed excitation and emission spectra recorded at 15 K are shown in Fig 1. Emission spectra were recorded using 526 nm excitation. Excitation spectra for the $^5\text{F}_4$ and higher lying states were recorded by monitoring emission from the $^5\text{F}_4$ state. For other excitation spectra, $^5\text{F}_5$ state emission was monitored.

Classification of observed lines as to purely electronic or vibronic character was based on the temperature dependence of the observed spectra. The components of the 5I_8 ground state were assigned based on emission to it from the 5F_4 state and confirmed, for the lowest lying components, by emission from the 5F_5 state. The origin of the 5F_4 state was confirmed by observing it in both excitation and emission. As noted by Carnall [3], the metal ion site symmetry in LaF_3 is C_2 and, in consequence, few optical selection rules exist. Use of a powdered sample in our work prevented polarization analysis. For these reasons, state assignment was carried out starting from the premise that the free ion states deduced from work on Es^{3+} in LaCl_3 [1] are approximately those of Es^{3+} in LaF_3 .

Nonlinear least squares modeling of the observed spectra as to the underlying the energy level structure of 5f electron states was carried out by approximating the site symmetry as C_{2v} and using an effective operator Hamiltonian formalism with simultaneous adjustment of free-ion parameters as has been described previously [1]. The starting point for modeling was the set of crystal field parameters established for the 4f counterpart of Es^{3+} , namely Ho^{3+} , in LaF_3 [3] and the known trends for crystal field parameters of 3+ actinide ions in LaCl_3 . Assignments of levels within a crystal field multiplet were guided by past work on Es^{3+} in LaCl_3 [1] and the results of preliminary model calculations. Table 1 lists the resulting best fit model parameters; Table 2 compares the resulting calculated state energies to the assigned observed bands. As is evident, the model calculation provides a good fit to the assigned levels. However, for the reasons noted above, the assignments within a multiplet and the value of the deduced crystal field parameters must be considered to be subject to future revision when crystal field parameter trends for trivalent actinides in LaF_3 become established.

The fluorescence decay of the 5F_5 and 5F_4 states of Es^{3+} in LaF_3 at 15 K were recorded and found to consist of a complex but rapid initial decay followed by a single exponential decay at longer times. The rapid initial decay of the Es^{3+} emission is attributed to the influence of radiation damage induced color centers that quench the Es^{3+} excitation energy. The exponential decay at longer times reflects the dynamics of Es^{3+} ions that are isolated from the influence of color centers. The observed lifetimes are compared in Table 3 with values for Es^{3+} in LaCl_3 [5,8] and the calculated purely radiative lifetime of the 5F_5 state of aquated Es^{3+} [6]. It is evident in Table 3 that the observed lifetimes in LaF_3 are longer than those reported for LaCl_3 which is counter to the trend expected if nonradiative decay to lattice phonons (energy gap law behavior [7]) dominated the dynamics. This provides evidence that nonradiative decay to color centers or other radiation damage-induced factors dominated the 5f state dynamics of Es^{3+} in LaCl_3 . As might be expected from energy gap law trends for trivalent lanthanide ions in LaF_3 [3], the observed lifetime of the 5F_5 state of Es^{3+} in LaF_3 is comparable to the calculated purely radiative lifetime of the state. Evidence that this state can undergo rapid nonradiative in the absence of significant radiation damage is found in the reported short observed fluorescence lifetimes of the 5F_5 state of Es^{3+} as an aquated ion (1.05 μs in H_2O) and complexed in a organic phase (2.34 μs) [9]. In these solution phase studies, the coordinated ligands contained high frequency fundamental vibrational modes that are absent in LaF_3 .

Acknowledgments

The Transplutonium Element Program at Oak Ridge National Laboratory supplied the ^{253}Es used in this work. J. V. B. thanks L. R. Morss for providing a temperature controlled ion exchange column system and instruction in its use. This work was performed under the auspices of the Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Division of

Chemical Sciences of the U. S. Department of Energy under contract W-31-109-ENG-38.

References

1. W. T. Carnall, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **96** (1992) 8713.
2. G. H. Dieke, *Spectra and Energy Levels of Rare Earth Ions in Crystals*, Interscience, New York, 1968.
3. W. T. Carnall, G. L. Goodman, K. Rajnak, and R. S. Rana, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **90** (1989) 3443.
4. E. K. Hulet, in J. J. Katz, G. T. Seaborg, and L. R. Morss (eds.), *The Chemistry of the Actinide Elements*, 2nd Ed., Vol. 2., Chapman and Hall, London, 1986, pp.1071- 1084.
5. J. P. Hessler, private communication.
6. W. T. Carnall and H. M. Crosswhite, in J. J. Katz, G. T. Seaborg, and L. R. Morss (eds.), *The Chemistry of the Actinide Elements*, 2nd Ed., Vol. 2., Chapman and Hall, London, 1986, pp.1235-1227.
7. H. W. Moos, *J. Lumin.*, **1** (1970) 106.
8. J. P. Hessler, J. A. Caird, W. T. Carnall, H. M. Crosswhite, R..K. Sjoblom, and F. Wagner, Jr, in .G. J. McCarthy and J. J. Rhyne (eds.), *The Rare Earths in Modern*

Science and Technology, Plenum, New York, 1978, pp. 507-512.

9. J. V. Beitz, D. W. Wester, and C. W. Williams, *J. Less-Common Metals*, 93 (1983) 331.

Table 1. Energy level model parameters for Es^{3+} in LaF_3 (in cm^{-1})^a

Par.	Value	Par.	Value	Par.	Value	Par.	Value
F^2	64570 (53)	γ	472(32)	T^8	[408]	B^2_2	[-100]
F^4	50351 (140)	T^2	[110]	M^{0b}	[1.458]	B^4_2	423(53)
F^6	38047 (89)	T^3	[45]	P^{2c}	[506]	B^4_4	838(47)
ξ	3955 (2)	T^4	[50]	B^2_0	-505(37)	B^6_2	-1163(54)
α	[30.21]	T^6	[-256]	B^4_0	1167(86)	B^6_4	-651(52)
β	-751(20)	T^7	[684]	B^6_0	351(70)	B^6_6	-1930(42)

a. Values in parenthesis are errors in indicated parameters. Value in brackets were not allowed to vary in parameter fitting.

b. M^2 and M^4 were constrained to the ratios $M^2 = 0.55 M^0$ and $M^4 = 0.36 M^0$.

c. P^4 and P^6 were constrained to the ratios $P^4 = 0.5 P^2$ and $P^6 = 0.1 P^2$.

Table 2. Experimental and calculated 5f state energy levels of Es³⁺ in LaF₃.

Largest ^{2S+1} L _J state	Experimental energy ^a (cm ⁻¹)	Calculated energy ^b (cm ⁻¹)	Experimental - Calculated ^c (cm ⁻¹)
⁵ I ₈	0	4.3	-4.3
	9.5	6.9	2.6
	41.0	41.3	-0.3
		53.2	
	125.0	126.8	-1.8
	220.0	222.3	-2.3
	282.0	262.3	19.7
		341.4	
	380.0	372.4	7.6
	461.0	479.0	-18.0
	506.0	521.2	-15.2
		538.5	
	580.0	603.5	-23.5
		630.6	
	752.0	729.3	22.7
	780.0	771.0	9.0
	783.0	779.9	3.1
⁵ F ₅	9828.0	9827.9	0.1
		9844.9	
		9863.9	
		9863.9	
		9878.4	
		9922.2	
		9972.1	
		9980.1	
		9992.0	
		10073.9	
		10107.5	

5I_7		11053.2	
		11070.1	
		11089.5	
		11127.7	
		11134.7	
		11172.7	
		11190.8	
		11191.9	
		11195.6	
		11229.6	
		11261.9	
		11282.2	
		11300.0	
		11308.2	
		11351.2	
3D_2	12228.0	12249.0	-21.0
		12261.2	
	12290.0	12289.1	0.9
	12299.0	12291.1	7.9
	12340.0	12325.6	14.4
5I_6	13233.0	13215.8	17.2
	13244.0	13218.3	25.7
	13258.0	13262.5	-4.5
	13295.0	13298.3	-3.3
	13312.0	13328.3	-16.3
	13326.0	13332.3	-6.3
	13350.0	13351.8	-1.8
	13358.0	13371.4	-13.4
	13390.0	13391.4	-1.4
	13395.0	13392.1	2.9
	13440.0	13436.5	3.5
	13520.0	13524.7	-4.7
	13535.0	13533.4	1.6

5F_4	16314.0	16300.8	13.3
	16321.0	16321.7	-0.7
	16373.0	16385.0	-12.0
	16416.0	16431.8	-15.8
		16447.2	
	16580.0	16574.9	5.1
	16598.0	16583.9	14.1
	16629.0	16632.7	-3.7
		16664.5	

5I_4		17921.9	
		17924.5	
		17989.6	
		18049.4	
		18057.6	
		18131.4	
		18133.3	
		18194.0	
		18283.9	

5I_5	18707.0	18715.5	-8.5
	18783.0	18799.1	-16.1
	18855.0	18837.6	17.4
	18908.0	18888.8	19.2
		18943.5	
	18972.0	18973.0	-1.0
	19006.0	19001.1	4.9
	19088.0	19093.5	-5.5
	19165.0	19163.3	1.7
		19291.9	
	19309.0	19322.5	-13.5

${}^3K_8, {}^5G_6$		19938.1	
		19956.7	
		19987.4	

	20008.8	
	20016.2	
	20049.0	
	20067.8	
	20076.2	
	20081.3	
	20085.8	
	20096.5	
	20105.7	
	20110.3	
	20148.0	
20162.0	20159.7	2.3
20193.0	20212.2	-19.2
20228.0	20218.9	9.1
20238.0	20234.9	3.1
20256.0	20248.2	7.8
20300.0	20298.2	1.8
20320.0	20326.2	-6.2
	20353.3	
20365.0	20364.9	0.1
20380.0	20378.3	1.7
	20405.2	
	20454.1	
	20501.9	
	20506.6	
	20516.9	
	20521.0	

-
- a. Estimated uncertainty: $\pm 2 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.
- b. Energies are shown for all calculated levels up to and including $^3K_8, ^5G_6$.
- c. Considering all 56 assigned lines, the deviation [1] between the experimental and calculated values is 13.1 cm^{-1} .

Table 3. Comparison of 5f State Dynamics of Es^{3+}

Es^{3+} Emitting State	Energy Gap (cm^{-1}) ^a	Observed Lifetime (μs)		Aqueated Es^{3+} Calculated Purely Radiative Lifetime ^d (μs)
		Es^{3+} in LaCl_3 ^b	Es^{3+} in LaF_3 ^c	
5F_5	9425	418	2060 ± 100	2400
5F_4	2970	23	39 ± 6	not reported

a. Based on the free-ion state energies of Es^{3+} in LaCl_3 [1].

b. At 4 K [5,8].

c. Present work at 15 K, The long time portion of an observed decay was fit to a single exponential decay model.

d. See [6].

Fig. 1.

Observed emission and excitation spectra of f-f transitions of Es^{3+} in LaF_3 recorded at 15 K using time- and wavelength-resolved laser-induced fluorescence techniques.

Fig 1