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Abstract

Europium L3-edge X-ray absorption fine structure (XAFS) spectroelectrochemistry was used to determine the valence of europium in \([\text{Eu(\(\alpha\)-2-As2W17O61)2})]^{17-}\) and \([\text{Eu(W5O18)2}]^{9-}\). Dilute solutions of these anions in aqueous supporting electrolytes were examined at ambient temperature and at extreme potentials. In situ XANES (X-ray absorption near edge structure) data revealed that Eu is trivalent in both \([\text{Eu(\(\alpha\)-2-As2W17O61)2})]^{17-}\) and \([\text{Eu(W5O18)2}]^{9-}\) at rest potential. Furthermore, it was not reduced to \(\text{Eu}^{2+}\) by constant-potential bulk electrolysis at significantly reducing potentials under the electrochemical conditions used herein. These results stand in obvious contrast to the redox behavior of \([\text{EuP3W9O31}]^{12-}\), in which \(\text{Eu}^{3+}\) is reduced to \(\text{Eu}^{2+}\) under similar electrochemical conditions.

Introduction

Lanthanide chemistry is dominated by reactions of the trivalent state, which is the most stable valence for all of the 4f-elements, Ce-Lu.[1] In many solids and solutions, the lanthanide (Ln) elements generally behave as classical, non-interacting trivalent ions. There are exceptions, of course, and one of the most notable ones is europium. Europium(III) is readily reduced to \(\text{Eu}^{2+}\). The stability of \(\text{Eu}^{2+}\) is partially attributed to its formal electronic configuration with a
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half-filled 4f shell, [Xe]4f⁷5d⁰6s⁰. The standard potential of -0.55 V vs. Ag/AgCl for the one-electron reduction Eu³⁺ + e⁻ → Eu²⁺ is attainable in aqueous, noncomplexing supporting electrolytes.[2] In addition, the europium(III,II) system has a simple and well-understood potential-pH diagram,[3] and its aqueous redox equilibria has received considerable attention.[4-8] As the potential indicates, Eu²⁺ is a good reducing agent.

Heteropolyoxometalates such as the Wells-Dawson anion, [α-P₂W₁₇O₆₂]⁶⁻, are electroactive and good oxidizing agents.[9] Like the well-known Keggin, [PW₁₂O₄₀]³⁻, and Preyssler, [NaP₅W₃₀O₁₁₀]¹⁴⁻, heteropolyanions, the Wells-Dawson anion turns blue upon reduction to form a so-called "heteropoly blue".[10] The blue color arises from the distribution of electrons in the W-O band.[11, 12] Heteropolyanions like the Wells-Dawson anion that are deficient in one [W=O]⁴⁺ unit (e.g., [α-2-P₂W₁₇O₆₁]¹⁰⁻) are known as monovacant, lacunary anions. These lacunary anions bind metal ions to form coordination complexes.[13-19] The first lanthanide(III) complexes of the monovacant anions [α-2-P₂W₁₇O₆₁]¹⁰⁻ and [W₅O₁₈]⁶⁻ were reported in 1971 by Peacock and Weakley.[20] Since then, there has been a great deal of research activity, both practical and theoretical, on other f-element complexes of [α-2-P₂W₁₇O₆₁]¹⁰⁻ as well as its arsenic analog [α-2-As₂W₁₇O₆₁]¹⁰⁻ and [W₅O₁₈]⁶⁻.[21-36]

The series of rare-earth arsenotungstate heteropolyanions, [Ln(α-2-As₂W₁₇O₆₁)₂]¹⁷⁻, where Ln = La-Yb, has recently come to our attention because of subtle differences in the potentials of the reduction waves for different Ln.[28] The apparent shift to lower reduction potentials, relative to those obtained from the neighboring Ln ions, of the three redox waves of the Eu analog is particularly interesting because the scans were over an applied potential of -0.2 and -1.1 V vs. Ag/AgCl. This potential range includes the standard reduction potential of Eu³⁺ itself, and raises the question of whether or not Eu is redox active in [Eu(α-2-As₂W₁₇O₆₁)₂]¹⁷⁻. Indeed, Eu has previously been found to be redox active under similar conditions in the Preyssler anion [EuP₅W₃₀O₁₁₀]¹²⁻.[37] In contrast, the cyclic voltammetry
of $[\text{Eu}(W_5O_{18})_2]^{9-}$ is reported to be featureless with applied potentials to -1.5 V vs. Ag/AgCl.[30] From this result alone, it is unclear if either the W-O framework or the Eu ion are electrochemically active in aqueous solution.

Herein we report our efforts to clarify any valence ambiguities of Eu in both $[\text{Eu}(\alpha-2-\text{As}_2W_{17}O_{61})_2]^{17-}$ and $[\text{Eu}(W_5O_{18})_2]^{9-}$ at rest potential and under reducing conditions through Eu X-ray absorption fine structure (XAFS) spectroelectrochemistry. In situ XANES (X-ray absorption near edge structure) data were collected at ambient temperature on dilute aqueous solutions of both anions at their rest potentials and at applied potentials of -1.0 V and -1.5 V vs. Ag/AgCl for $[\text{Eu}(\alpha-2-\text{As}_2W_{17}O_{61})_2]^{17-}$ and $[\text{Eu}(W_5O_{18})_2]^{9-}$, respectively. Our XANES results are compared with previous experiments on $[\text{EuP}_5W_{30}O_{110}]^{12-}$.

**Experiments**

$K_{17}[\text{Eu}(\alpha-2-\text{As}_2W_{17}O_{61})_2]\cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O}$ was prepared from EuCl$_3\cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ and the $\alpha$-isomer of $K_6[\text{As}_2W_{18}O_{62}]$, which was synthesized according to Contant and Thouvenot[38], according to the methods of Bartis et al.[23] for the synthesis of $K_{17}[\text{Eu}(\alpha-2-P_2W_{17}O_{61})_2]\cdot n\text{H}_2\text{O}$. $Na_9[\text{Eu}(W_5O_{18})_2]\cdot 32\text{H}_2\text{O}$ was prepared according to the published method of Sugeta and Yamase.[34]

Solution XAFS data were obtained at ambient temperature at the Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Laboratory on wiggler beam line 4-3 using a Si<220> double crystal monochromator and a 0.6 mm vertical pre-monochromator slit in a spectroelectrochemical cell of published design.[39] The fluorescence XAFS was accumulated with a flow-type ion chamber detector (The EXAFS Co.).[40] Helium was used to purge the cell box; nitrogen was used to monitor the incident X-ray intensity, $I_0$, and argon was used to monitor the Eu L$\alpha$ fluorescent X-ray intensity, $I_f$, in the conventional 45°-incident/45°-exit configuration through a Cr filter. At the Eu L$_3$ edge energy (6,977 eV), the total energy resolution was estimated to be 1 eV, which is
less than the natural linewidth (3.91 eV\textsuperscript{[41]} of the Eu L\textsubscript{3} core hole. As a result, the Eu L\textsubscript{3}-edge XANES shown here is not significantly broadened by instrumental factors. A BAS 100B/W electrochemical workstation was used to monitor and control the potential for the in situ electrochemical reduction with graphite rods for the working and auxiliary electrodes and a Ag/AgCl (3 M NaCl) reference electrode.\textsuperscript{[39]} The aqueous supporting electrolytes were vigorously sparged with He prior to and throughout the XAFS data collection and the course of the bulk electrolysis experiments.

Results and Discussion

The Eu L\textsubscript{3}-edge XANES data for the clear and colorless 3 mM solution of [Eu(\textalpha-2-As\textsubscript{2}W\textsubscript{17}O\textsubscript{61})\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{17-} at rest potential are shown in Figure 1 as the dashed line. The Eu L\textsubscript{3}-edge XANES data for the clear, colorless, 4.8 mM solution of [Eu(W\textsubscript{5}O\textsubscript{19})\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{9-} at rest potential are shown in Figure 2 as the dashed line. These data reveal intense edge resonances at 6,983.5 eV, which are typical of the Eu\textsuperscript{3+} L\textsubscript{3}-edge XANES observed for the solid reference materials EuF\textsubscript{3}, EuCl\textsubscript{3}•6H\textsubscript{2}O, and Eu\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}. The XANES shown in Figures 1 and 2 provide clear evidence that Eu is trivalent in the two aqueous electrolytes at rest potential. The presence of divalent or intermediate-valent Eu would manifest itself through the appearance of an additional peak shifted approximately 8 eV to the low energy side of Eu\textsuperscript{3+} resonance.\textsuperscript{[37, 39, 42]}

The [Eu(\textalpha-2-As\textsubscript{2}W\textsubscript{17}O\textsubscript{61})\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{17-} complex was reduced through constant-potential electrolysis at -1.0 V vs. Ag/AgCl. The applied potential was chosen to effect a deep, ca. 5-electron reduction of the heteropolyanion. The in situ XANES obtained for the resulting inky-blue, electrochemically-reduced solution is shown in Figure 1 as the solid line. The spectrum is nearly indistinguishable from the XANES obtained at rest potential, shown in Figure 1 as the dashed line. Because there is no evidence for a low energy resonance attributable to Eu\textsuperscript{2+}, we conclude that europium is trivalent in the reduced anion. The data of Figure 1 demonstrate that Eu\textsuperscript{3+} in [Eu(\textalpha-2-As\textsubscript{2}W\textsubscript{17}O\textsubscript{61})\textsubscript{2}]\textsuperscript{17-} is not reducible to Eu\textsuperscript{2+} by constant-potential electrolysis.
under the electrochemical conditions used herein. From this result, it follows that europium does not contribute to the redox behavior of \([\text{Eu}(\alpha-2-\text{As}_2\text{W}_{17}\text{O}_{61})_2]^{17-}\). This direct result obtained from in situ Eu L3-edge XAFS spectroelectrochemistry is consistent with the electrochemical studies of Xi et al.[28], who suggest that the reduction of \([\text{Eu}(\alpha-2-\text{As}_2\text{W}_{17}\text{O}_{61})_2]^{17-}\) involves the addition of electrons to predominantly non-bonding orbitals of the W$^{6+}$.O framework.

The in situ XANES for the colorless \([\text{Eu}(\text{W}_{5}\text{O}_{18})_2]^{9-}\) solution with the electrode polarized at -1.5 V vs. Ag/AgCl is shown in Figure 2 as the solid line. These data are virtually identical to those obtained at rest potential, shown in Figure 2 as the dashed line. There is no evidence for Eu$^{2+}$ under the electrochemical conditions employed herein. This is an interesting result in light of the fact that pulse and continuous radiolysis experiments show that Eu$^{3+}$ can be reduced by $e^{-}_{\text{aq}}$ to form \([\text{Eu}^{2+}(\text{W}_{5}\text{O}_{18})_2]^{10-}\).[30] The hydrated electron has a standard reduction potential of about -3 V vs. Ag/AgCl.[43]

The resistance of Eu$^{3+}$ to reduction in \([\text{Eu}(\alpha-2-\text{As}_2\text{W}_{17}\text{O}_{61})_2]^{17-}\) is somewhat unexpected. Precedence for the electrochemical reduction of Eu$^{3+}$ complexed to an electroactive phosphotungstic-anion comes from our study of the Eu-exchanged Preyssler anion \([\text{EuP}_5\text{W}_{30}\text{O}_{110}]^{12-}\).[37] XANES spectroelectrochemical experiments reveal the presence of Eu$^{2+}$ after constant-potential electrolysis at -0.55 V in a 1 M H$_2$SO$_4$ aqueous electrolyte.[37] Indeed, there is evidence that the Eu$^{3+}$ is reducible at applied potentials as high as -0.2 V.[44] The difference in reduction potentials of Eu in \([\text{Eu}(\alpha-2-\text{As}_2\text{W}_{17}\text{O}_{61})_2]^{17-}\) and \([\text{EuP}_5\text{W}_{30}\text{O}_{110}]^{12-}\) may be the result of large differences in the relative chemical potentials of the W-O framework orbitals that accept electrons during the reduction and the Eu 4f-states. However, the difference may also be due to orbital symmetry mismatches, or to differences in details of the two experiments. For example, the electrochemistry of the Preyssler anion was
carried out at a much lower pH than were the experiments on the Wells-Dawson anion, because of the limited range of stability of the latter anion as a function of pH.\cite{20, 31} The inability to reduce Eu$^{3+}$ in [Eu(W$_{5}$O$_{18}$)$_{2}$]$^{9-}$ is somewhat less surprising because this complex is not a heteropoly blue. To date, there is no understanding of the mechanism(s) of electron transfer within the Preyssler or any other heteropolyanion that govern the reduction of Eu. Therefore, further work is necessary to quantify the reduction behavior as a function of the anion, as well as of the chemical conditions used to affect the electrochemical reductions, such as pH and the choice of electrode. These topics remain the subject of further experimentation.
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Figure Captions.

Figure 1. In situ Eu L3-edge fluorescence XANES obtained at ambient temperature for a 3 mM solution of [Eu(α-2-As2W17O61)2]17– in an aqueous supporting electrolyte of 0.1 M CH3CO2Na + 0.1 M NaClO4 at pH 5.0 at the open circuit potential of +0.15 V (dashed line) and after bulk electrolysis with the electrode polarized at -1.0 V (solid line).

Figure 2. In situ Eu L3-edge fluorescence XANES of a 4.8 mM solution of [Eu(W5O18)2]9– at ambient temperature in an aqueous supporting electrolyte of 0.5 M NaCl at the open circuit potential of +0.6 V (dashed line) and after bulk electrolysis with the electrode polarized at -1.5 V (solid line).
References


Figure 1
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