HE FRICTION SENSITIVITY
OBLIQUE IMPACT SENSITIVITY OF EXPLOSIVES
(THE SKID TEST)

J. H. Van Velkinburgh

DEVELOPMENT DIVISION

APRIL - JUNE 1971
SANL 804-002

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

MASTER

For
Lawrence Livermore Laboratory
Livermore, California

Mason & Hanger-Silas Mason Co., Inc.
Pantex Plant

P. O. BOX 647
AMARILLO, TEXAS 79105
806-335-1581

operated for the
ATOMIC ENERGY COMMISSION
under

U. S. GOVERNMENT Contract DA-11-173-AMC-487 (A)

71-47C

HE FRICTION SENSITIVITY
OBLIQUE IMPACT SENSITIVITY OF EXPLOSIVES
(THE SKID TEST)

J. H. Van Velkinburgh

DEVELOPMENT DIVISION

This is the study of the friction sensitivity of explosives and the mechanism of frictional initiation via an apparatus which subjects a small explosive sample to shear while under a sustained normal loading force. It is also the use and study of a sensitivity test for large bare explosive charges to oblique impact and the use of a sensitivity test to determine relative shock sensitivities of small diameter explosive samples.

April - June 1971

SANL 804-002

Section C

HE FRICTION SENSITIVITY OBLIQUE IMPACT SENSITIVITY OF EXPLOSIVES (THE SKID TEST)

ABSTRACT

Oblique impact tests were performed on both artificially aged and unaged LX-09-0.

Oblique impact, instrumented vertical drops, and friction tests were performed on RX-25-AA.

An extensive oblique impact and instrumented vertical drop test series was performed using three compositional variations of LX-10-0.

DISCUSSION

Three specially instrumented oblique impact tests were performed this period. Instrumentation included two accelerometers on the explosive billet to measure normal and rotational acceleration plus an accelerometer attached to the impact surface and one attached to the concrete block. The accelerometers on the skid surface and block were aligned so that their active axes were parallel to the motion of the explosive billet. These tests were made to observe if there was any movement of the sanded impact surface with respect to the concrete block. Accelerometer records indicated a ringing rather than forward movement and "snap back" of the sanded surface. An observed "snap back" would have helped to explain the abnormally high rotational velocities observed during some of the instrumented oblique impact tests. Thus the super-rotation has yet to be satisfactorily explained.

Four additional instrumented oblique impact tests were done using LX-09-0 (11.8-inch diameter, 28.6 pounds). Billets artificially aged at 158 F (70 C) for 11 months and unaged billets were tested. Tests were done at an impact angle of 45° and drop heights of 2.5 feet and 3.5 feet. Results of tests are listed in Table I and plots of acceleration histories given in Figs. 1 through 8.

Friction tests and a series of oblique impact and instrumented vertical drop tests were done on RX-25-AA. Friction test results indicated a high level of sensitivity. Three tests each at 500, 1000, 2000, 4000, and 5000 pounds normal force were done. All tests gave a reaction level III (light and smoke). Oblique impact tests results bore out the high sensitivity observations. One test each at 14°, 0.88 feet and 45°, 2.5 feet were performed. Both experienced reaction level III's. Unreacted material was broken into sub-pea-gravel size and scattered 50-75 meters from the impact point. Normal and rotational acceleration histories up to the time of reaction are given in Figs. 11 through 14. Acceleration histories for two vertical drops (3 inches and 24 inches) are given in Figs. 9 and 10. Tables II and III give results of the vertical and instrumented skid tests respectively.

Instrumented oblique impact and vertical drop tests were performed using three compositional variations of LX-10-0. Material designations and nominal compositions are:

RX-04-EB	95.5/4.5 HMX/Viton
RX-04-DW	95.0/5.0 HMX/Viton
RX-04-EA	94.5/5.5 HMX/Viton

Several oblique and vertical drops used a large steel plate (10.875-inch diameter, 1.850-inch thickness, 48.67 pounds) permanently attached to the equatorial surface of the billet. Tables IV and V give pertinent test parameters and results for the vertical drop and oblique impact tests respectively. Figs. 15 through 35 give plots of the acceleration histories for these tests.

COMMENTS; CONCLUSIONS

The friction test and oblique impact test results on RX-25-AA indicate high sensitivity to frictional initiation but only moderate "explosiveness." Additional oblique impact tests are planned to determine the initiation threshold.

Several more oblique impact tests are planned for the LX-10-0 variants. Several oblique impact tests are planned for PBX 9404 using the steel plate attached to the equatorial surface.

Table I. LX-09-0 Aging Study

Oblique Impact Test Results
(45° Impact Angle)

<u>Billet Status (aged/unaged)</u>	<u>Billet Weight (lbs)</u>	<u>Drop Height (ft)</u>	<u>Final Rotational Velocity (Rads/sec) (Fastax)</u>	<u>Coefficient of Restitution (Fastax)</u>
Unaged	28.27	2.5	20.20	0.30
Aged	28.26	2.5	17.50	0.30
Unaged	27.90	3.5	29.00	0.34
Aged	28.24	3.5	27.60	0.34

Table II. Vertical Drop Test Results
(RX-25-AA)

<u>Billet Weight (lbs)</u>	<u>Drop Height (in)</u>	<u>Contact Spot Diameter (in)</u>	<u>Coefficient of Restitution Fastax</u>	<u>Accelerometer</u>
24.62	3.0	0.75	0.56	0.52
24.62	24.0	1.18	0.51	0.48

Table III. Oblique Impact Test Results
(RX-25-AA)

<u>Billet Weight (lbs)</u>	<u>Height (ft)</u>	<u>Impact Angle (°)</u>	
24.60	.88	14	Reaction Level 3
24.60	2.5	45	Reaction Level 3

Table IV. Vertical Drop Tests Results
(IX-10-0 Variants)

<u>Material</u>	<u>Drop Height (in)</u>	<u>Billet Weight (lbs)</u>	<u>Contact Spot Diameter (in)</u>	<u>Coefficient of Restitution Fastax</u>	<u>Accelerometer</u>
RX-04-EA	1.5	23.55	0.66	.40-.45	.33
RX-04-EA	3.0	23.53	0.76	.39	.34
RX-04-EA	38.0	23.55	1.38	.48	.45
RX-04-EB	1.5	23.55	0.64	.42	.32
RX-04-EB	3.0	23.53	0.76	.46	.37
RX-04-EB	36.0	23.54	1.34	.46	.38
RX-04-DW	1.5	23.68	0.67	.42	.40
RX-04-DW	3.0	23.56	0.75	.42	.35
RX-04-DW	12.0	23.68	1.06	.45	.36
RX-04-DW	36.0	23.52	1.35	.46	.37
RX-04-DW	.75	65.90	0.65	—	.30 Steel Plate Attached
RX-04-DW	1.5	66.94	0.81	—	.345 Steel Plate Attached
RX-04-DW	3.0	67.45	1.00	.34	.26 Steel Plate Attached
RX-04-DW	12.0	68.80	1.38	.34	.24 Steel Plate Attached
RX-04-DW	36.0	67.45	1.81	—	— Steel Plate Attached Piece Broke on Impact

Table V. Oblique Impact Test Results
(LX-10-0 Variants)

45° Impact Angle

<u>Material</u>	<u>Drop Height (in)</u>	<u>Billet Wt. (lbs)</u>	<u>Final Rotational Velocity (Rads/sec) (FASTAX)</u>	
RX-04-EA*	42	23.55	26.60	
RX-04-EA*	42	23.54	25.89	
RX-04-EB*	42	23.54	26.11	
RX-04-EB*	42	23.53	20.52	
RX-04-DW*	42	23.47	—	#6 Reaction
RX-04-DW*	120	66.66	Indeterminate	Piece broke Test on floor cover, steel plate on equa- tor

14° Impact Angle

<u>Material</u>	<u>Drop Height (in)</u>	<u>Billet Wt. (lbs)</u>	<u>Final Rotational Velocity (Rads/sec) (FASTAX)</u>	
RX-04-EA*	10.5	23.51	Indeterminate	
RX-04-EA*	10.5	23.50	<6.0	
RX-04-EB*	10.5	23.53	<6.0	
RX-04-EB*	10.5	23.54	<6.0	
RX-04-DW*	10.5	23.55	<7.0	
RX-04-DW*	10.5	23.53	Indeterminate	
RX-04-DW*	5.25	68.80	<6.0	Steel Plate on Equator
RX-04-DW	7.5	70.08	—	#6 Reaction Steel Plate on Equator
RX-04-DW	60	65.83	Indeterminate	Test on floor cover, steel plate on equator

* Accelerometer record to be analyzed

Fig. 1. LX-09-0 Aging Study; 2.5', 45°; Unaged Billet; Normal Acceleration

Fig. 2. LX-09-0 Aging Study; 2.5', 45°; Unaged Billet; Rotational Acceleration

Fig. 3. LX-09-0 Aging Study; 2.5', 45°; Aged Billet; Normal Acceleration

Fig. 4. LX-09-0 Aging Study; 2.5', 45°; Aged Billet; Rotational Acceleration

Fig. 5. LX-09-0 Aging Study; 3.5', 45°, Unaged Billet, Normal Acceleration

Fig. 6. LX-09-0 Aging Study; 3.5', 45°, Unaged Billet; Rotational Acceleration

Fig. 7. LX-09-0 Aging Study; 3.5', 45°; Aged Billet; Normal Acceleration

Fig. 8. LX-09-0 Aging Study; 3.5', 45°; Aged Billet; Rotational Acceleration

Fig. 9. RX-25-AA Vertical Drop; Drop Height = 3.0"; Spot Size = 0.75"

Fig. 10. RX-25-AA Vertical Drop; Drop Height = 24.0"; Spot Size = 1.18"

Fig. 11. RX-25-AA Oblique Impact Test; 0.88', 14°; Normal Acceleration; Reaction at 0.97 millisecond

Fig. 12. RX-25-AA Oblique Impact Test; 0.88' 14°; Rotational Acceleration; Reaction at 0.97 millisecond

Fig. 13. RX-25-AA 2.5', 45°; Reaction Level 3 at ~ 0.38 millisecond, Normal Acceleration

Fig. 14. RX-25-AA 2.5', 45°; Reaction Level 3 at ~ 0.38 millisecond, Rotational Acceleration

Fig. 15. RX-04-EA Vertical Drop; Drop Height = 1.5"; Spot Size = 0.66"

Fig. 16. RX-04-EA Vertical Drop; Drop Height = 3.0"; Spot Size = 0.76"

Fig. 17. RX-04-EA 38" Vertical Drop

Fig. 18. RX-04-EB Vertical Drop; Drop Height = 1.5"; Spot Size = 0.64"

Fig. 19. RX-04-EB Vertical Drop; Drop Height = 3.0"; Spot Size = 0.76"

Fig. 20. RX-04-EB Vertical Drop; Drop Height = 36"; Spot Size = 1.34"

Fig. 21. RX-04-DW Vertical Drop; Drop Height = 1.5"; Spot Size = 0.67"

Fig. 22. RX-04-DW Vertical Drop; Drop Height = 3.0"; Spot Size = 0.75"

Fig. 23. RX-04-DW Vertical Drop; Drop Height = 12.0"; Spot Size = 1.06"

Fig. 24. RX-04-DW Vertical Drop; Drop Height = 36.0"; Spot Size = 1.35"

Fig. 25. RX-04-DW Vertical Drop; Drop Height = 0.75"; Spot Size = 0.65"; Steel Plate on Equator

Fig. 26. RX-04-DW Vertical Drop; Drop Height = 1.5"; Spot Size = 0.81"; Steel Plate on Equator

Fig. 27. RX-04-DW Vertical Drop; Drop Height = 3.0";
Spot Size = 1.00"; Steel Plate on Equator

Fig. 28. RX-04-DW Vertical Drop; Drop Height = 12.0"; Spot Size = 1.38";
Steel Plate on Equator

Fig. 29. RX-04-DW 50-lb Plate on Equator 36" Vertical Drop

Fig. 30. RX-04-DW 50-lb Plate on Equator 0.44', 45°, Normal Acceleration

Fig. 31. RX-04-DW 50-lb Plate on Equator 0.44', 14°, Rotational Acceleration

Fig. 32. RX-04-DW 50-lb Plate on Equator 0.62', 14°, Normal Acceleration

Fig. 33. RX-04-DW 50-lb Plate on Equator 0.62', 14°, Rotational Acceleration

Fig. 34. RX-04-DW 50-lb Plate on Equator 5', 14°, Normal Acceleration

Fig. 35. RX-04-DW 50-lb Plate on equator 5', 14°, Rotational Acceleration

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

**Portions of this document may be illegible
in electronic image products. Images are
produced from the best available original
document.**