High SO₂ Removal Efficiency Testing

DE-AC22-92PC91338

Technical Progress Report - July - September 1996

Prepared for:

Janice Murphy
U.S. Department of Energy
Pittsburgh Energy Technology Center
P.O. Box 10940
Pittsburgh, PA 15236

Prepared by:

Gary Blythe
Radian Corporation
P.O. Box 201088
Austin, TX 78720-1088

MASTER

17 July 1996

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED *ph*

**CLEARED BY
PATENT COUNSEL**

RECEIVED
USDOE/PETC
36 OCT 24 AM 10:21
ACQUISITION & ASSISTANCE DIV.

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, make any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

**Portions of this document may be illegible
in electronic image products. Images are
produced from the best available original
document.**

1.0

INTRODUCTION

This document provides a discussion of the technical progress on DOE/PETC project number DE-AC22-92PC91338, "High Efficiency SO₂ Removal Testing," for the time period 1 July through 30 September 1996. The project involves testing at six full-scale utility flue gas desulfurization (FGD) systems, to evaluate low capital cost upgrades that may allow these systems to achieve up to 98% SO₂ removal efficiency. The upgrades being evaluated mostly involve using performance additives in the FGD systems.

The "base" project involved testing at the Tampa Electric Company's Big Bend Station. All five potential options to the base program have been exercised by DOE, involving testing at Hoosier Energy's Merom Station (Option I), Southwestern Electric Power Company's Pirkey Station (Option II), PSI Energy's Gibson Station (Option III), Duquesne Light's Elrama Station (Option IV), and New York State Electric and Gas Corporation's Kintigh Station (Option V). The originally planned testing has been completed for all six sites.

The remainder of this document is divided into four sections. Section 2, Project Summary, provides a brief overview of the status of technical efforts on this project. Section 3, Results, summarizes the outcome from technical efforts during the quarter, or results from prior quarters that have not been previously reported. In Section 4, Plans for the Next Reporting Period, an overview is provided of the technical efforts that are anticipated for the first quarter of calendar year 1996. Section 5 contains a brief acknowledgment.

2.0

PROJECT SUMMARY

On the base program, testing was completed at the Tampa Electric Company (TECo) Big Bend Station in November 1992. The upgrade option tested was DBA additive. Base project efforts during the second quarter of calendar year 1996 consisted only of project management and reporting activities. Additional testing is planned at this site during the last quarter of calendar year 1996. During the current quarter, only management and reporting activities took place.

For Option I, at the Hoosier Energy Merom Station, results from another program co-funded by the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) and the National Rural Electric Cooperative Association have been combined with results from DOE-funded testing. Three upgrade options have been tested: DBA additive, sodium formate additive, and high pH set-point operation. All testing was completed by November 1992. There were no activities for this site during the current quarter.

Option II involved testing at the Southwestern Electric Power Company Pirkey Station. Both sodium formate and DBA additives were tested as potential upgrade options. All of the testing at this site was completed by May 1993. There were no activities for this site during the current quarter.

On Option III, for testing at the PSI Energy Gibson Station, testing with sodium formate additive was completed in early October 1993, and a DBA additive performance and consumption test was completed in March 1994. There were no efforts for this site during the current quarter.

Option IV is for testing at the Duquesne Light Elrama Station. The FGD system employs magnesium-enhanced lime reagent and venturi absorber modules. An EPRI-funded model evaluation of potential upgrade options for this FGD system, along with a preliminary economic evaluation, determined that the most attractive upgrade options for this site were to

increase thiosulfate ion concentrations in the FGD system liquor to lower oxidation percentages and increase liquid-phase sulfite alkalinity, and to increase the venturi absorber pressure drop to improve gas/liquid contacting. Parametric testing of these upgrade options was conducted in March 1994. There were only reporting activities for this site during the current quarter.

Option V is for testing at the NYSEG Kintigh Station. Baseline testing was conducted in July 1994. Parametric testing at this site was conducted in late August, and a sodium formate additive consumption test was conducted in September 1994. There were only reporting activities related to this site during the current quarter.

3.0

RESULTS

Results from the base program (at the TECo Big Bend Station) and the first optional site (Hoosier Energy Merom Station) were presented in detail in the April 1993 quarterly Technical Progress Report, and updates were included in the July 1993 and October 1993 reports. For the second optional site (the Southwestern Electric Power Company Pirkey Station), results were presented in the July 1993 quarterly Technical Progress Report and updated in the October 1993 report.

For the third optional site (the PSI Energy Gibson Station), baseline testing was conducted in May 1993, and those results were presented in the July 1993 quarterly report. Parametric testing at this site was completed in early October 1993, and these results were discussed in the January 1994 Technical Progress Report. A DBA performance and consumption test was conducted in February and March of 1994. Preliminary results from this test were discussed in the April 1994 Technical Progress Report. An update of the results from this site was presented in the April 1995 quarterly report.

Baseline testing at the fourth optional site (Duquesne Light's Elrama Station) was completed in July 1993. Those results were discussed in the October 1993 quarterly report. The results of EPRI-funded FGDPRIISM modeling and preliminary economic evaluations of potential upgrades for this FGD system were discussed in the January 1994 Technical Progress Report. In March 1994, parametric testing of the most promising upgrade options was conducted. The preliminary results of these tests were discussed in the April 1994 Technical Progress Report. A draft Technical Note for this site was submitted to DOE in January 1995. An overview of new results presented in this draft Technical Note was included in the Technical Progress Report for the time period October through December 1994, dated 3 February 1995.

For the fifth optional site, at the New York State Electric and Gas Corporation's (NYSEG's) Kintigh Station, baseline, parametric, and additive consumption tests were completed during the third quarter of 1994. Results from the baseline testing at this site were discussed in

the Technical Progress Report for the third quarter of calendar year 1994, dated December 1994. The parametric and additive consumption tests at this site were also completed late in the third quarter. These results were discussed in the April 1995 quarterly Technical Progress Report. Late in the fourth quarter of calendar year 1994, FGDPRISM modeling of the Kintigh FGD system was completed, as were the economic evaluations of potential upgrade options for this site. A draft report discussing these results was submitted to DOE and to NYSEG in the first quarter of calendar year 1995. These results were discussed in the quarterly Technical Progress Report dated July 1995.

There are no new project results to present this quarter. A brief overview of the plan for testing scheduled at TECo's Big Bend Station later this year was included in the previous Technical Progress Report, dated July 1996.

4.0

PLANS FOR THE NEXT REPORTING PERIOD

Scheduled efforts during the fourth quarter of calendar year 1996 will consist of project management and reporting and the commencement of additional testing at the TECo Big Bend (base program) site. As described in the previous Technical Progress Report, there is a plan to demonstrate high-efficiency SO₂ removal operation for a longer period of time (up to six months) at that site, beginning in the next quarter. A three-week intensive test period will likely be completed in November, and longer-term monitoring of the system performance should continue into early 1997.

Options I and II (Hoosier Energy's Merom Station and SWEPCo's Pirkey Station, respectively) are in final reporting phases. No efforts are expected for these options during the next quarter.

For the PSI Energy Gibson Station (Option III), a Technical Note summarizing results from both the sodium formate and DBA performance and additive consumption tests were revised and resubmitted as a final report to DOE during the second quarter of calendar year 1996. A draft Topical Report for this site was also submitted. This draft Topical Report will be revised as necessary and reissued in final form during the next quarter.

A revised Technical Note for the Duquesne Light Elrama site (Option IV) was submitted to DOE and to Duquesne Light during the previous quarter. A draft Topical Report for this site will be prepared during the next quarter.

For Option V, testing at the NYSEG Kintigh Station, a revised Technical Note was prepared and submitted as a final report during the second quarter of calendar year 1996. A draft Topical Report for this site has been prepared and will be submitted during the next quarter.

5.0

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Funding for the FGDPRIISM modeling portion of this study is being provided by the Electric Power Research Institute.