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Introduction

Motivation. Poly(4-methyl-1-pentene), also known as PMP or TPX, has several commercially-important characteristics such as high optical transparency, high crystalline melting point, low density, low electrical conductivity, and good heat resistance. Such characteristics have lead to numerous industrial applications including infrared windows, infrared lenses, membranes, and food packaging. The life components fabricated from this material may be limited by thermal oxidative and radiation-induced degradation. A preliminary review of the scientific literature has been conducted to obtain relevant information on the effects of oxygen, moisture elevated temperature, and radiation on the chemical, thermodynamic, mechanical, and electrical properties of this important construction material. Key information from the literature has become especially important in light of decreased budgets for defense-related research and development, and the prolonged service life of existing systems.

Synthesis. The synthesis of both PMP and its monomer are described by Lopez and Wilkes [1]. The monomer is produced by the dimerization of propylene using an alkali metal catalyst. Higher conversion and selectivity are obtained with potassium than with sodium. The temperature and pressure for the reaction are typically 1500 psig and 150 to 160°C. The reaction occurs via an allyl carbanion (K⁺CH₂CH₂CH₂⁻) which adds across a double bond of a second propylene molecule to form the hexenyl anion (K⁺CH₂CH₂CH₂CH(CH₃)CH₂⁻). Depending on exactly how the carbanion adds across the double bond, 4-methyl-1-pentene or 1-hexene is produced. A variety of transition metal coordination catalysts such as Cr, Zr, and V are used in conjunction with an aluminum alkyl cocatalyst to polymerize the monomer to form head-to-tail isotactic polymers. The most active and stereospecific transition metal catalyst is Ti. The most widely used catalyst system consists of δ-TiCl₃ prepared from the reduction of TiCl₄ by
aluminum alkyls. Polymerization of the monomer with heterogeneous Ziegler-Natta coordination catalysts follows the same general mechanism as the polymerization of ethylene and propylene. The structure of the resulting polymer is shown in Figure 1.
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Figure 1. Chemical structure of poly(4-methyl-1-pentene).

**Crystallization.** PMP is a polymorph, a material that can crystallize in a variety of crystal lattices [1]. Crystallization from the melt results in a single structure known as modification I. This structure (modification I) has a tetragonal unit cell with four chains passing through it. There are 28 repeat units per unit cell. Due to the branched nature of this polymer, the chains are in a 7/2 helical conformation, with the axes of the helices parallel to the c-axis of the unit cell. Five different structures (modifications I, II, III, IV, and V) have been reported for crystallization from semidilute solution. The crystallization behavior of this polymer are summarized in Table 1. The solvents used for crystallization are summarized in Table 2.

Table 1. Crystallization of Poly(4-Methyl-1-Pentene)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modification</th>
<th>Unit Cell</th>
<th>a (Å)</th>
<th>b (Å)</th>
<th>c (Å)</th>
<th>Transition</th>
<th>Transition Point</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>Tetragonal</td>
<td>18.66</td>
<td>18.66</td>
<td>13.80</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>most stable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>Tetragonal</td>
<td>19.16</td>
<td>19.16</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>II → I</td>
<td>82°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>Tetragonal</td>
<td>19.36</td>
<td>19.36</td>
<td>6.94</td>
<td>III → I</td>
<td>55-73°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>Hexagonal</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>IV → I</td>
<td>200-270°C &amp; 4500 atm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>Hexagonal</td>
<td>22.17</td>
<td>6.69</td>
<td></td>
<td>V → I</td>
<td>130°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Solvents for Crystallization of Poly(4-Methyl-1-Pentene)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modification I</th>
<th>Modification III</th>
<th>Modification IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n-hexadecane 195°C</td>
<td>n-heptane 135°C</td>
<td>cyclopentane 85°C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>m-dodecane 165°C</td>
<td>n-pentane 135°C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>xylene &amp; amyl acetate 165°C</td>
<td>2,2,4-trimethylpentene 165°C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-nonane 165°C</td>
<td>3,4-dimethylhexane 135°C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-octane 165°C (I &amp; II)</td>
<td>2,4-dimethylpentene 165°C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2,3-dimethylpentane 165°C</td>
<td>3-ethylpentane 165°C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cyclooctane 135 &amp; 165°C</td>
<td>cycloheptane 85°C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cyclohexane 85°C</td>
<td>carbon tetrachloride 85°C</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carbon disulfide 85 &amp; 135°C (III &amp; II)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Physical Properties. As discussed by Lopez and Wilkes, unoriented crystalline isotactic PMP is a rigid thermoplastic with low elongation at break [1]. It has been reported to display a tensile modulus of 0.8 to 1.2 GPa (ASTM D638), tensile strength at yield of 23 MPa (ASTM D638), tensile strength at break of 17 MPa (ASTM D638), and elongation at break of 10-20% (He & Porter, 1987; Kissin, 1987). This polymer is more brittle than high-density polyethylene due to the higher molecular cross-sectional area (85.4x10^{-20} m^2 for PMP vs. 19.3x10^{-20} m^2 for HDPE). The critical tensile strength of a polymer decreases the number of backbone bonds per unit cross-sectional area decrease. The plastic deformation of PMP single crystals under uniaxial extension is attributed to micronecking, lateral buckling, localized lamellar thinning, and a unique slip mechanism that causes sectorization of the crystals. The deformation process of PMP upon tensile yielding produces whitening or crazing of material that has been found to be porous. PMP samples swollen with benzene have exhibited elongations as great as 480 percent. Such swelling phenomena appears to be reversible. The specific volumes of both crystalline and amorphous PMP increase with temperature (Figure 13 of Reference [1]). Several quantitative physical properties of PMP have been summarized by Lopez and Wilkes and are given in Table 3.

Table 3. Properties of Poly(4-Methyl-1-Pentene)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crystalline Density (20°C)</td>
<td>g cm^{-3}</td>
<td>0.828</td>
<td>Griffith &amp; Ranby, 1960</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amorphous Density (20°C)</td>
<td>g cm^{-3}</td>
<td>0.838</td>
<td>Isaacson, Kirshenbaum, &amp; Feist, 1964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heat of Fusion</td>
<td>kJ mol^{-1}</td>
<td>5.5-10</td>
<td>Karasz et al. 1967; Charlet et al. 1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melting Point</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>Karasz, Bair, &amp; O'Reilly, 1967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass Transition Point</td>
<td>°C</td>
<td>18-65</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Heat Capacity (25°C)</td>
<td>J g^{-1} K^{-1}</td>
<td>1.757</td>
<td>Charlet &amp; Delmas, 1988</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal Conductivity (25°C)</td>
<td>mW cm^{-1} K^{-1}</td>
<td>1.72</td>
<td>Jain, Wunderlich, &amp; Chaubey, 1977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tensile Modulus</td>
<td>GPa</td>
<td>0.8-1.2</td>
<td>Zoller, Starkweather, &amp; Jones, 1986</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tensile Strength at Yield</td>
<td>MPa</td>
<td>23-28</td>
<td>Schaeffgen, 1959</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tensile Strength at Break</td>
<td>MPa</td>
<td>17-20</td>
<td>Mandelkern, 1964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elongation at Break</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>10-25</td>
<td>Mandelkern, 1964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notched Impact Strength</td>
<td>kJ m^{-1}</td>
<td>100-200</td>
<td>Mandelkern, 1964</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refractive Index (17°C)</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>Hasegawa et al. 1970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dielectric Constant (25°C &amp; 30-100 Hz)</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>Woodward, Sauer, &amp; Wall, 1961</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As explained by Rossen, dynamic mechanical testing can be used to gain insight into the viscoelastic properties of polymers [2]. A sinusoidal strain, \( \gamma = \gamma' \sin(\omega t) \), is applied to the polymer and the resulting sinusoidal stress, \( \tau = \tau' \sin(\omega t + \delta) \), is measured. In the case of purely elastic materials, the stress and strain are in phase (\( \delta = 0^\circ \)). In the case of purely viscous materials, the stress and strain are in quadrature (\( \delta = 90^\circ \)). If the material is viscoelastic, the phase angle lies between 0 and 90 degrees. Note that the strain and stress can also be written in phasor notation: \( \gamma^* = \gamma' + i \gamma'' \) and \( \tau^* = \tau' + i \tau'' \), respectively. Furthermore, note that the complex strain and stress are related by the complex modulus, \( G^* = G' + iG'' \), where \( G' \) is the storage modulus and \( G'' \) is the loss modulus. The physical significance of the loss tangent can be best understood by defining it in terms \( G' \) and \( G'' \).
\[ \tan(\delta) = \frac{\tau''}{\tau'} = \frac{G''}{G'} \]  

From this definition, it is evident that the loss tangent is the ratio of mechanical energy converted to heat by molecular friction (represented by \( G'' \)) to mechanical energy stored elastically in the material (represented by \( G' \)). The loss tangent can also be defined in terms of the complex viscosity, \( \eta = \eta' + i \eta'' \).

\[ \tan(\delta) = \frac{\tau''}{\tau'} = \frac{\eta''}{\eta'} \]  

The loss tangent is measured as a function of temperature during a typical experiment. Peaks in loss tangent at characteristic temperature levels are referred to as “relaxation peaks” and are indicative of the absorption of mechanical energy from the surroundings.

The viscoelastic properties of PMP have been investigated over a wide range of frequencies: torsional pendulum measurements at \( 10^{-3} \) to 10 Hz; dynamic extensional testing at 110 Hz; dielectric measurements at \( 10^5 \) Hz; and ultrasonic measurements at \( 10^6 \) Hz [1]. The three characteristic relaxation peaks for PMP, \( \alpha_a \), \( \alpha_c \), and \( \beta_{sc} \), are summarized in Table 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relaxation Peak</th>
<th>Peak Temp. (°C)</th>
<th>Frequency (Hz)</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High-temperature peak (( \alpha_a ))</td>
<td>40; 52-67</td>
<td>90; \leq 10</td>
<td>Associated with ( T_g )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-temperature peak (( \alpha_c ))</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Expansion of unit cell ( a )-parameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-temperature peak (( \beta_{sc} ))</td>
<td>-140; -123</td>
<td>90; \leq 10</td>
<td>Localized thermal motion of side chain</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The first high-temperature peak (\( \alpha_a \)) coincides with the glass transition temperature and has an activation energy of about 443 kJ/mol. The second high-temperature peak (\( \alpha_c \)) is attributed to expansion of the unit cell \( a \)-parameter. The low-temperature peak (\( \beta_{sc} \)) is believed to be due to localized thermal (rotational and vibrational) motion of the side chain.

**Kinetics of Thermal Oxidative Degradation**

As described by Zitomer and DiEdwardo, PMP is a crystalline, saturated polyhydrocarbon with pendant isobutyl groups on alternate carbon atoms along the polymer chain [3]. The thermal oxidation of this polymer is interesting because there are two potential sites for attack: the tertiary carbon on the polymer backbone and the tertiary carbon in the pendant isobutyl group. It is difficult to predict which of the two sites is more susceptible to oxidation since their electron environments are similar. According to El Naggar, Lopez, and Wilkes, PMP is “highly prone to degradation by high-energy irradiation” due to the presence of two tertiary carbon atoms per repeat length [4]. Tertiary carbon atoms are more susceptible to radiation-induced degradation than secondary carbon atoms.
Zitomer and DiEdwardo used mass spectrometry, thermogravimetry, and gas chromatography to study the thermal oxidation of atactic and isotactic PMP in air [3]. The rate of carbonyl ingrowth was determined from infrared (IR) spectra of samples oxidized for various periods of time at several temperature levels (160, 175, 190, 198, 210, and 215°C). From this data the activation energy for carbonyl formation, \( E_a \), was determined to be 20.1 kcal mol\(^{-1}\), which is very reasonable. During thermal gravimetric analysis (TGA), the observed weight loss accelerated dramatically as the temperature increased above 300°C. The material was completely volatilized at 410°C. The analysis of weight loss data at 160, 190, and 220°C gave an activation energy of 21.5 kcal mol\(^{-1}\) for the simultaneous formation of volatile products (VPs).

Stivala and Gabbay used thermogravimetric analysis to conduct detailed studies of the thermal oxidation of atactic and isotactic PMP [5]. Weight loss was measured as a function of time at various temperatures (125, 140, 145, 155, 165, 175, and 185°C) and oxygen concentrations (75, 87.5, and 100% \( \text{O}_2 \)). These authors give the following expression (Equation 3) for the rate of volatile product formation:

\[
\frac{d[VP]}{dt} = k_4 k_1 [RH] [1 - e^{-\lambda t}] [O_2] = C [O_2] \\
(3)
\]

where \([RH]\) is the concentration of polymer, \([O_2]\) is the concentration of oxygen, \( k_i \) is a particular kinetic rate constant, and both \( A \) and \( C \) are constants under specific conditions. In this case, the rate expression is assumed to be first order in both polymer and oxygen concentration. Provided that \([RH]\) and \( e^{-\lambda t} \) remain unchanged, a linear relationship exists between \( d[VP]/dt \) and \([O_2]\). The rate of volatile product formation can also be expressed as:

\[
\frac{d[VP]}{dt} = \rho_m \frac{2 k_3}{k_6}
\\
(4)
\]

where \( \rho_m \) is the maximum rate of carbonyl formation. The expression for \( \rho_m \) is:

\[
\rho_m = \frac{k_4 k_1 k_3 [RH] [1 - e^{-\lambda t}] [O_2]}{(k_3 - k_4) A}
\\
(5)
\]

where \( t_m \) is the time to reach \( \rho_m \). The kinetic rate constants determined by Stivala and Gabbay are summarized in Table 5.

In this paper, we provide another analysis of the data collected by Stivala and Gabbay [5]. The rate expression for volatile products, \( d[VP]/dt \), is assumed to have a first-order dependence on polymer concentration, but an \( n \)-order dependence on oxygen concentration.

\[
\frac{d[VP]}{dt} = A e^{-\frac{E_a}{RT}} [RH] [O_2]^n
\\
(6)
\]
Table 5. Kinetic Parameters from Stivala and Gabbay

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T (°C)</th>
<th>$k_1 \times 10^7$ (1)</th>
<th>$k_2 \times 10^8$ (1)</th>
<th>$[O_2]$ %</th>
<th>$\rho_m$ (1)</th>
<th>$2k_3\rho_m/k_6 \times 10^4$</th>
<th>$d[VP]/dt \times 10^7$ (2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>155</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.147</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.560</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>0.520</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.480</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.208</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.780</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>0.730</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.690</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>175</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.366</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.030</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>0.961</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>185</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>0.428</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.420</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>1.340</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
<td>1.260</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>8.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: (1) infrared data for non-volatile products; (2) TGA data for volatile products.

As done in the analysis by Stivala and Gabbay, it is assumed that the following relationships (Equations 7 and 8) exist between the time-dependent concentrations of volatile products and polymer, the time-dependent fractional weight loss of the polymer, $w$, and the initial concentration of polymer, $[RH]_0$:

$$[VP] = w \times [RH]_0$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

$$[RH] = (1-w) \times [RH]_0$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

The rate expression (Equation 6) can be rewritten in terms of fractional weight loss:

$$\frac{dw}{dt} = Ae^{-\frac{E_1}{RT}}(1-w)[O_2]^n$$  \hspace{1cm} (9)

Integration of this simple rate expression (Equation 9) gives:

$$\ln\left(\frac{1}{1-w}\right) = Ae^{-\frac{E_1}{RT}}[O_2]^n$$  \hspace{1cm} (10)

This integrated rate expression (Equation 10) can be linearized.

$$\ln\left(\ln\left(\frac{1}{1-w}\right)\right) = \ln(A) + n\ln([O_2]) - \frac{E_1}{RT}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)
Linearization of this expression enables multivariable linear regression of the data presented in Table 1 of Reference [5].

\[
\ln \left( \frac{1}{1 - \frac{w}{\Delta t}} \right) = 24.898 + 2.1818 \ln ([O_2]) - 13,486 \frac{1}{T}
\]  

(12)

The regression gave a very high regression coefficient \( r = 0.93129 \) and indicates a second order dependence on oxygen concentration. Recall that the original analysis done by Stivala and Gabbay assumed first-order dependence on oxygen concentration (Table 5). This empirical expression for weight loss in PMP was used to calculate the curves shown in Figures 1 and 2. From these calculations, it is evident that there will be relatively little weight loss in PMP held in air at 300 K for sixty years. However, the weight loss at 325 K will become noticeable (6%) over this period of time. The weight loss at 350 K will be catastrophic (72%) over sixty years. At 375 K, the degradation of PMP will be almost instantaneous (24% weight loss after one year). The formation of volatile products and associated weight loss can be prevented by storage of PMP, also known as TPX, at low temperature (≤ 300 K) and under an atmosphere of inert gas (helium or argon).

In another publication, Babbay, Stivala, and Reich discuss the thermal oxidative degradation of atactic and isotactic PMP powders in the presence of pure, dry oxygen at 145 and 175°C [6, 7]. The formation of nonvolatile products (NVPs) were studied with infrared (IR) spectroscopy, while gas chromatography with mass spectroscopy (GCMS) was used to identify volatile products (VPs). A number of distinctive bands appeared in the infrared spectra of isotactic PMP during oxidation at 145°C. The appearance of a strong band centered at a wavelength of 2.85 \( \mu \text{m} \) is indicative of the formation of hydroxyl groups. The appearance of a triplet in the carbonyl stretching region (5.70-5.85 \( \mu \text{m} \)) is indicative of the formation of aldehyde, ketone, and carboxylic acid functional groups. The appearance of a band centered at 11.35 \( \mu \text{m} \) is indicative of the formation of substituted vinylidene double bonds. Corresponding GCMS data revealed a number of consistent volatile products: carbon dioxide; acrolein; acetaldehyde; acetone; isobutyraldehyde; methanol; ethanol; isopropanol; crotonaldehyde; water; isovaleraldehyde; isobutanol; acetic acid; propionic acid; isobutyric acid; and oxalic acid; isovaleric acid. Species present in the greatest abundance were: carbon dioxide (47%); water and isovaleraldehyde (16%); isobutyraldehyde (14%); and acetic acid (5%). Data for atactic PMP is similar. Particular attention should be given to acetic acid since it can promote metallic corrosion. A detailed kinetic mechanism is presented that explains formation of the observed degradation products. This mechanism is based on a series of chain reactions that involve the following free radicals: \( \text{R}^\cdot \), \( \text{HO}_2^\cdot \), \( \text{RO}_2^\cdot \), \( \text{RO}^\cdot \), and \( \text{HO}^\cdot \). It is noteworthy that the infrared data of Gabbay, Stivala, and Reich [6,7] are completely consistent with the earlier results obtained by Zitomer and DiEdwardo [2].
Gabbay and Stivala have also published detailed interpretations of the infrared spectra of PMP [8]. Interesting studies of the infrared absorption of PMP have been conducted by Chantry et al. [9] and may be of interest to the reader.

Radiation-Induced Degradation

High-energy radiation can cause chain scission and crosslinking in polymers, thereby altering their chemical and physical properties. The effects of neutrons and γ-rays on the optical clarity of PMP over the range of wavelength from 0.23 to 2.0 nm was investigated by Eberhagen and Fahrbach [10]. They found that this material retained optical clarity at doses up to 100 Mrad. Photobleachable species due to γ-irradiation were detected with electron spin resonance (ESR) spectroscopy by Kimura, Ueda, and Hirai [11]. El Naggar, Lopez, and Wilkes have studied the effects of high-energy electron beams on both high- and low-molecular weight PMP, HMPMP and LMPMP, respectively [4]. The molecular weights were $1.8 \times 10^6$ and $9.2 \times 10^5$, respectively. Samples were irradiated at 40 Mrad or less in nitrogen or air. First, these authors found that the molecular weight of the polymer systematically decreases with increasing dose. The molecular weight of HMPMP decreased from $1.8 \times 10^6$ to less than $4.2 \times 10^4$ after a 40 Mrad dose. Furthermore, they found that oxidative degradation is enhanced by radiation-induced chain scission. Tensile testing revealed that irradiation systematically decreases “elongation at break.” As shown in Figure 3, non-irradiated LMPMP samples broke at an elongation of 22 to 32 %, whereas samples irradiated at 40 Mrad broke at an elongation of less than 2%. Non-irradiated HMPMP samples broke at an elongation of 46 to 49%, whereas samples irradiated at 40 Mrad broke at an elongation of less than 3%. The effect of irradiation on the “stress at break” was also studied (Figure 3). At doses up to 20 Mrad, electron beam irradiation appears to slightly increase the strength of both LMPMP and HMPMP. This enhancement is attributed to radiation-induced cross-linking in the polymer. The “stress at break” was between 17 and 27 MPa for all samples irradiated at doses ≤ 20 Mrad. However, as the dose is increased to 40 Mrad, chain-scission dominated and the strength was degraded. The “stress at break” was between 10 and 20 MPa for all samples irradiated at a dose of 40 Mrad. The beneficial effects of irradiation at doses below 20 Mrad were enhanced by avoiding oxygen, while the detrimental effects or irradiation at 40 Mrad were exacerbated by the presence of oxygen. The relative effect of irradiation on “elongation at break” was greater than the relative effect of irradiation on “stress at break.” As shown in Figure 4, the melting and recrystallization temperatures of non-irradiated, HMPMP samples were 239-240 and 202-204°C, respectively. Irradiation systematically decreased the melting and recrystallization temperatures to about 221-222 and 189°C, respectively. The enthalpies of fusion ($\Delta H_f$) and recrystallization ($\Delta H_c$) of non-irradiated, high molecular weight samples were approximately 13.2 and 14.5 cal g$^{-1}$, respectively. These values dropped to about 11.5 and 11 cal g$^{-1}$, respectively, after irradiation at 40 Mrad.

The effects of both γ-ray and electron beam irradiation on “elongation at break” and “chemiluminescence intensity” have been studied by Yoshi et al. [12]. A $^{60}$Co source was used to irradiate PMP samples at a rate of 1 Mrad h$^{-1}$. A Cockcroft-Walton accelerator produced 1 MeV electrons at a current of 1 mA. PMP samples were given a 1 Mrad dose per pass through the electron beam (0.143 Mrad s$^{-1}$). As shown in Figure 5, PMP samples irradiated with γ-rays
are more prone to degradation than those irradiated with electron beams. For example, the
"elongation at break" dropped from 80% to 32% after γ-irradiation at 10 Mrad. An equivalent
dose of electrons reduced the "elongation at break" to only 56%. Furthermore, γ-rays produced
more chemiluminescence than electrons. Chemiluminescence (CL) is attributed to photons
emitted from excited ketones that are formed by peroxy radicals in the termination step of a free-
radical chain reaction. As expected, the rate of formation of the excited ketones and the related
CL intensity increases with temperature.

Summary

From a detailed quantitative analysis of published data, it is evident that relatively little weight
loss will occur in PMP (TPX) stored in air at 300 K for sixty years. However, the weight loss at
325 K will be noticeable (6%) over this period of time. The weight loss at 350 K will be
catastrophic (72%) over sixty years. At 375 K, the degradation of PMP will be almost
instantaneous (24% weight loss after one year). Volatile products include: carbon dioxide;
acrolein; acetaldehyde; acetone; isobutyraldehyde; methanol; ethanol; isopropanol;
crotonaldehyde; water; isovaleraldehyde; isobutanol; acetic acid; propionic acid; isobutyric acid;
and oxalic acid; isovaleric acid. Species expected to be present in the greatest abundance are:
carbon dioxide; water and isovaleraldehyde; isobutyraldehyde; and acetic acid. Several of these
expected volatile products are capable of promoting corrosion of metallic components. The
formation of volatile products and associated weight loss can be prevented by storage of PMP at
low temperature (≤ 300 K) and/or under an atmosphere of inert gas (helium or argon).

Irradiation of PMP with electrons and γ-rays reduces molecular weight, promotes chain scission
and oxidative degradation, and causes the material to become brittle. Irradiation with γ-rays is
more damaging that irradiation with electron beams. The molecular weight of HMPMP
decreased from 1.8 x 10^6 to less than 4.2 x 10^4 after a 40 Mrad dose of electrons. Corresponding
changes in the melting and recrystallization temperatures are observed. Tensile testing revealed
that irradiation systematically decreases "elongation at break." Non-irradiated LMPMP samples
broke at an elongation of 22 to 32%, whereas samples irradiated at 40 Mrad broke at an
elongation of less than 2%. Non-irradiated HMPMP samples broke at an elongation of 46 to
49%, whereas samples irradiated at 40 Mrad broke at an elongation of less than 3%. At doses up
to 20 Mrad, electron beam irradiation appears to slightly increase the strength of both HMPMP
and LMPMP. This enhancement is attributed to radiation-induced cross-linking in the polymer.
The "stress at break" was between 17 and 27 MPa for all samples irradiated at doses ≤ 20 Mrad.
However, as the dose is increased to 40 Mrad, chain-scission dominated and the strength was
degraded. The "stress at break" was between 10 and 20 MPa for all samples irradiated at a dose
of 40 Mrad. The beneficial effects of irradiation at doses below 20 Mrad were enhanced by
avoiding oxygen, while the detrimental effects or irradiation at 40 Mrad were exacerbated by the
presence of oxygen. Some loss in the "stress at break" is also observed. Irradiation with γ-rays
is more damaging that irradiation with electron beams. For example, the "elongation at break"
dropped from 80% to 32% after γ-irradiation at 10 Mrad. An equivalent dose of electrons
reduced the "elongation at break" to only 56%. Other references are also recommended [13-15].
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Figure 1
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