HEXICAL DIPOLE MAGNETS FOR POLARIZED PROTONS IN RHIC
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Abstract

Superconducting helical dipole magnets will be used in the Brookhaven Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider (RHIC) to maintain polarization of proton beams and to perform localized spin rotations at the two major experimental detector regions. Requirements for the helical dipole system are discussed, and magnet prototype work is reported.

1 SNAKES AND SPIN ROTATORS IN RHIC

The Relativistic Heavy Ion Collider at Brookhaven National Laboratory allows for the unique possibility of colliding high energy polarized proton beams. To maintain polarization during the acceleration process, two full “Siberian Snakes” are to be inserted on opposite sides of the RHIC lattice for each of the two counter-rotating rings. In addition, other magnetic components—spin rotators—will be located on each side of the two major interaction points (again, for each ring) which allow the spin orientation to be altered from the vertical direction to the longitudinal direction. Superconducting magnets are used in order to contain the magnetic elements for a Snake within a 10 m longitudinal space so as to fit within available room in the RHIC lattice. The use of a helical dipole field as part of a Siberian Snake in a synchrotron was first suggested by Courant[1]. A system made completely of four identical length helices was first proposed by Ptitsin and Shatunov[2]. Four right-handed helical dipole magnets, each 2.4 m long and operating near 4 T or less can produce a Siberian Snake for RHIC. The strong helical fields reduce the orbit excursions normally produced by interleaved horizontal and vertical dipole magnets. Hence, the magnet apertures can be similar to those found elsewhere in RHIC. Furthermore, a combination of right-handed and left-handed helical dipole magnets also within a 10 m space can perform the desired local 90° rotations of the spin at the major detector regions.

1.1 Helical Magnets for Spin Control

Let x and y be the transverse coordinates, z the longitudinal coordinate, and k = 2π/L, with L the repeat period of the helix. Then the magnetic field of a helical dipole magnet in which the field begins in the vertical direction (at z = 0) can be described to lowest order in Cartesian coordinates according to[3]

\[ B_z = -R_0 \left[ 1 + \frac{k^2}{8} \left( x^2 + y^2 \right) \right] \sin k z - \frac{k^2}{4} x y \cos k z \]  

\[ B_y = R_0 \left[ 1 + \frac{k^2}{8} \left( x^2 + y^2 \right) \right] \cos k z - \frac{k^2}{4} x y \sin k z \]  

\[ B_x = -R_0 k \left[ 1 + \frac{k^2}{8} \left( x^2 + y^2 \right) \right] \left( x \cos k z + y \sin k z \right) \]  

The twisting current distribution introduces intrinsic nonlinear terms into the field. To first order, the trajectory through the helical field above is just

\[ x(z) = x_0 - \frac{R_0}{(Bp) k} \left( 1 - \cos k z \right) + \frac{x_0}{k} z \]  

\[ y(z) = y_0 + \frac{R_0}{(Bp) k^2} \sin k z + \frac{y_0}{k} - \frac{1}{(Bp) k^2} z \]

We see that for a complete 360° helix, the effect on the particle trajectory is simply a vertical “shift” in the orbit by an amount \( \delta = -R_0 k / (Bp) \). We also note that the handedness of the helix is given by the sign of \( k \), positive is right-handed, negative is left-handed. By pairing identical length 360° helical magnets of the same strength but opposite field directions (or, equivalently, with equal strength but opposite handedness), the overall orbit distortion outside the system will be zero. With four magnets, this allows one to choose two independent field strengths for control of the spin rotation angle and axis of rotation. A third parameter is needed to completely define the rotation, but by providing the system with reflection symmetry, the axis of rotation is constrained to lie in the horizontal plane.

The spin precession through a helical dipole magnet is most easily found by using the spinor formalism and solving the equation of motion for the first-order field expressions[4],[5]. For the field description given above the axis of rotation, \( n \), and angle of rotation, \( \mu \), can be written as

\[ n = \frac{x_1 + \left( \frac{x}{k} \right) x_2}{\sqrt{1 + \left( \frac{x}{k} \right)^2}} \]  

\[ \mu = -2 \pi \sqrt{1 + \left( \frac{x}{k} \right)^2} \]
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where $\kappa = (1+4\gamma)B_0/(Bp)$, and $\hat{x}_l, \hat{x}_v$ are unit vectors in the longitudinal and vertical directions, respectively. With Eqs. 4-6, the first-order orbit and spin behavior through a system of helical dipole magnets can be readily studied and optimized.

1.2 RHIC Helical Magnet System

In the present RHIC design Snake helical dipoles are all 360° right-handed helices whose fields begin pointed vertically upward or downward. The "Rotator" magnets are either left-handed or right-handed, but each begins with its field pointed in the horizontal plane.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Snake Length</th>
<th>inj. orbit dev: 32 mm</th>
<th>helicity</th>
<th>max. field</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.4 m vertical</td>
<td>RH</td>
<td>1.2 T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4 m vertical</td>
<td>RH</td>
<td>-3.9 T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4 m vertical</td>
<td>RH</td>
<td>3.9 T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4 m vertical</td>
<td>RH</td>
<td>-1.2 T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Rotator Length</th>
<th>inj. orbit dev: 24 mm</th>
<th>helicity</th>
<th>max. field</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.4 m horizontal</td>
<td>RH</td>
<td>3.4 T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4 m horizontal</td>
<td>LH</td>
<td>3.1 T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4 m horizontal</td>
<td>RH</td>
<td>3.1 T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.4 m horizontal</td>
<td>LH</td>
<td>3.4 T</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Helical Magnet Parameters for Siberian Snakes and Spin Rotators in RHIC.

The effects on RHIC operation of helical dipole magnet error fields and misalignments have been studied. In contrast to a "regular" dipole magnet error which can be thought of as producing a kink in the slope of the particle trajectory at the source of the error, a "helical" dipole error will introduce a step in the trajectory. To keep the vertical orbit distortions under control, the helical dipole field errors $\Delta B_L/(B_L)$ should be kept reasonably below 1%, and rotational misalignments should be less than about 10 mrad[7].

Another important parameter will be the total integrated field strength ($\int B_\parallel ds, \int B_\perp ds$) which should be zero, or equivalently the total effective integrated twist of the magnet should be 360°. With careful orbit correction in the vicinity of the helical dipoles, it is expected that this effective twist angle should be 360° ±2° [8]. The ends of the magnets will need to be carefully designed to obtain not only the desired integrated field strength but also the desired total field twist.

Figure 1: Magnetic field, 25 GeV proton trajectory, and proton spin components versus distance through a RHIC Siberian Snake.

Field quality is also an issue for the helical magnets. The intrinsic twist of the helices in addition to the magnet design and construction errors generate nonlinear fields. While the nonlinear field components tend to average to zero over the length of the helical dipole, the protons follow a trajectory which is not centered within the magnet. Thus, one expects to see
feed-down effects. For example, a sextupole component in the magnet will generate a tune shift due to the off-centered orbit. Analytical estimates indicate that the intrinsic tune shift at 25 GeV due to two Snakes in RHIC is on the order of $\Delta v = 0.015$, and that a sextupole component in the magnet design of strength $b_3 = 2 \times 10^3 / \text{cm}^2$ will give approximately the same tune shift[9],[10]. Particle tracking results are in qualitative agreement with these estimates[11]. It may be possible to design the magnet cross-section with qualitative agreement with these estimates[11]. It may be possible to design the magnet cross-section with qualitative agreement with these estimates[11].

FIGURE 2: Spin Rotator field strengths for various RHIC beam energies.

2 PROTOTYPE MAGNET DEVELOPMENT

A total of 48 individual full-helical dipole magnets will be required for the project. The four magnets needed to create one Snake or one Rotator will be mounted inside of a single cryostat. The magnets will be constructed with hundreds of turns of superconducting cable to minimize both the required current and the associated heat leak through the power leads. At present, two possible techniques for producing helical coils are being investigated. (See Figure 3.) The first, called the “slotted” coil method, is based upon established BNL technology used to produce the RHIC sextupole magnets. It consists of an ordered wound cable placed into helical grooves that have been milled into a thick-walled aluminum cylinder. Thin sheets of epoxy-loaded fiberglass are placed between layers, and the entire assembly is cured at elevated temperature and with radial pressure to produce a compact, strong wire matrix. The second, called the “direct-wind” coil method, consists of the same type of cable being bonded directly onto a stainless steel cylinder in multiple layers. The cable is wound into a helical pattern using a computer controlled multi-axis winding machine. This “direct-wind” process holds the promise of a low cost production method.

Half-length prototype helical dipole magnets have been manufactured using both processes. The first prototype produced, using the direct wind method, was completed by AML, Inc., of Palm Bay, FL, and tested at BNL in November, 1996. This magnet reached 475 A (approximately 4 T) at a temperature of 4.35 K. This was the first time a low current direct-wind magnet reached this field level, the previous mark being roughly 2.5 T. The magnet experienced significant training, which was later diagnosed as being due to voids in the cable-epoxy matrix along the first cable layer near the poles. A solution to this problem has been proposed, and a second prototype magnet is scheduled to be built in the near future.

The first complete slotted magnet prototype was constructed at BNL and tested in February, 1997. After two training quenches, the magnet plateaued at its short-sample current of 400 A, corresponding to a field of 4.8 T. The coil for this prototype magnet was wound by hand, and methods for automating the winding process are being investigated. Further details of each of these magnet designs and their performance can be found elsewhere in these proceedings[13],[14]. The prototype magnets have been modeled in 3-D to examine the end effects and to assist in the final magnet parameterization. Results can be found elsewhere in these proceedings[15].
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