WAPD-RC/E(E)EMR-0400

RECEIVED

SEP 11 1998

OSTI

**1997 Environmental
Monitoring Report**

Bettis Atomic Power Laboratory
West Mifflin, Pennsylvania 15122-0079

MASTER *jat*

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

Prepared for the
U.S. Department of Energy
By Westinghouse Electric Company,
a division of CBS Corporation
Under Contract No. DE-AC11-93PN38195

Contents printed on recycled paper

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

**1997 ENVIRONMENTAL
MONITORING REPORT**

for the

**BETTIS ATOMIC POWER LABORATORY
PITTSBURGH SITE**

**PREPARED FOR THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY BY
WESTINGHOUSE ELECTRIC COMPANY,
A DIVISION OF CBS CORPORATION
WEST MIFFLIN, PENNSYLVANIA 15122-0079**

DOCUMENT NUMBER: WAPD-RC/E(E)EMR-0400

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Table of Contents	i
List of Tables	iii
List of Figures	iv
List of Acronyms/Other Common Abbreviations	v
Abstract	vi
Executive Summary	1
Introduction	7
Site Background and Environmental Setting	8
Environmental Monitoring Programs	15
A. Liquid Effluents	15
Sources	15
Liquid Monitoring	15
Liquid Analyses	17
Liquid Monitoring Results and Conclusions	18
B. Ground Water	25
Sources	25
Ground Water Monitoring	25
Ground Water Analyses	27
Ground Water Monitoring Results and Conclusions	27
C. Airborne Effluents	33
Sources	33
Airborne Monitoring	33
Airborne Analyses	34
Airborne Monitoring Results and Conclusions	34
D. Stream Sediment, Soil, and Vegetation	37
Sources	37
Sediment, Soil, and Vegetation Monitoring	37
Sediment, Soil, and Vegetation Analyses	39
Sediment, Soil, and Vegetation Monitoring Results and Conclusions	39

TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

	<u>Page</u>
E. Radiation Monitoring	44
Radiation Sources	44
Radiation Monitoring	44
Radiation Analyses	44
Radiation Monitoring Results and Conclusions	44
Control of Chemical and Hazardous Wastes	47
Origin	47
Control Program	47
Disposal	47
Control of Radioactive Wastes	48
Origin	48
Control Program	48
Disposal/Treatment/Recycling	48
Control of Mixed Wastes	49
Origin	49
Control Program	49
Disposal	49
Environmental Monitoring Quality Assurance	50
General Quality Assurance Controls	50
Radiological Quality Assurance Controls	50
Nonradiological Quality Assurance Controls	50
Assessment of Risk from Chemical Residues	51
Corrective Measures Study	53
Assessment of Radiation Dose-to-Man	54
Environmental Permits	57
References	59
Distribution	61
Appendix A USEPA Radioanalytical Quality Assurance Results	
Appendix B USDOE/EML Radioanalytical Quality Assurance Results	

LIST OF TABLES

<u>Table Number</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
Table 1	Radiological Environmental Monitoring Program	2
Table 2	Nonradiological Environmental Monitoring Program	3
Table 3	Generalized Section of Rock Strata Beneath the Bettis Laboratory	13
Table 4	Liquid Influent and Effluent Radioactivity Results	19
Table 5	Summary of NPDES Permit Sample Analysis Results	20
Table 6	Summary of Semimonthly and Semiannual Influent and Effluent Water Quality Results	21
Table 7	Annual Influent and Effluent Water Quality Results	23
Table 8	Ground Water Radioactivity Results	29
Table 9	Ground Water Nonradiological VOC Results	32
Table 10	Airborne Effluent Radioactivity Results	35
Table 11	Stream Sediment Radioactivity Results	40
Table 12	Stream Vegetation Radioactivity Results	40
Table 13	Valley National Gases Inc. Soil Nonradiological Results	41
Table 14	Storm Sewer and Stream Sediment Nonradiological Results	43
Table 15	Thermoluminescent Dosimeter (TLD) Environmental Radiation Results	46
Table 16	Radiation Dose-to-Man from Site Operations	55
Table 17	Environmental Permits	57

LIST OF FIGURES

<u>Figure Number</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Page</u>
Figure 1	Relationship of the Bettis Site to the Surrounding Communities	9
Figure 2	Land Uses Immediately Adjacent to the Bettis Site	10
Figure 3	Aerial View of the Developed Portion of the Bettis Laboratory	11
Figure 4	Liquid Effluent Monitoring Locations	16
Figure 5	Locations of Wells and Springs	26
Figure 6	Sediment and Vegetation Monitoring Locations	38
Figure 7	Soil Sample Locations on Valley National Gases Incorporated Property	42
Figure 8	Radiation Monitoring Locations	45

LIST OF ACRONYMS

CERCLA	Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation and Liability Act
CMS	Corrective Measures Study
DCE	1,2-Dichloroethylene
DOE	U.S. Department of Energy
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
HEPA	High Efficiency Particulate Air
MDL	Minimum Detection Level
MEL	Materials Evaluation Laboratory
NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
NRC	Nuclear Regulatory Commission
PADEP	Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection
PA/SI	Preliminary Assessment and Site Inspection
PAH	Polynuclear Aromatic Hydrocarbon
PCB	Polychlorinated Biphenyls
PCE	Tetrachloroethylene (Perchloroethylene)
RCRA	Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
RFI	RCRA Facility Investigation
SIS	Springwater Intercept System
TCE	Trichloroethylene
TLD	Thermoluminescent Dosimeters
VOC	Volatile Organic Compound
VNGI	Valley National Gases Incorporated

OTHER COMMON ABBREVIATIONS

μCi	microcurie = 1×10^{-6} curie
μCi/ml	microcuries per milliliter
μg/l	micrograms per liter
mg/l	milligrams per liter
mrem	millirem
ml	milliliter
mg/ kg	milligrams per kilogram
pCi	picocurie = 1×10^{-12} curie
pCi/g	picocuries per gram
pCi/l	picocuries per liter

ABSTRACT

The 1997 results for the Bettis-Pittsburgh radiological and nonradiological environmental monitoring programs are presented. The results demonstrate that the existing procedures ensured that releases to the environment during 1997 were in accordance with applicable Federal, State, County, and local regulations. Evaluation of the environmental data indicates that current operations at the Site continue to have no adverse effect on human health and the quality of the environment.

A conservative assessment of radiation exposure to the general public as a result of Site operations demonstrates that the dose received by any member of the public was well below the most restrictive dose limits established by the Environmental Protection Agency, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, and the U.S. Department of Energy.

A risk assessment of potentially exposed populations to chemical residues in the environment at the Site demonstrates that these residues do not pose any significant risk to human health or the environment.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The results of the 1997 radiological and nonradiological environmental monitoring programs for the Bettis Atomic Power Laboratory-Pittsburgh Site are summarized below. Tables 1 and 2 summarize the major elements of the environmental monitoring programs.

Liquid Effluents (Other than to Sanitary Sewer)

Approximately 53,700,000 gallons of noncontact cooling water, process waste water, and storm water runoff were released to the environment via the Bull Run (Outfall 001) and Northeast Area (Outfall 002) Monitoring Stations. Radioactivity attributable to Site operations was not detected in any of the samples of these releases. Radioactivity concentrations were either below minimum detection levels or were typical of background levels in city water and precipitation.

Monitoring data for chemical constituents in liquid effluents demonstrated that these effluents did not have any significant impact on the quality of the receiving water. These results demonstrated compliance with U. S. Department of Energy (DOE) standards and the Site's National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit.

Storm water runoff was also released to the environment via Storm Water Outfalls 003, 004, 005 and 006 in accordance with the Site's NPDES Permit and discharges of processed ground water began via a new outfall, 007.

Sanitary Sewer Discharges

Sanitary sewage was discharged to a Publicly Owned Treatment Works. Wastes discharged to the sanitary system were in compliance with applicable regulations.

Radioactivity attributable to Site operations was not detected in any of the samples of sanitary effluent or processed ground water which was also discharged to the sanitary sewer system.

Ground Water

Analyses of water from natural springs, collected on-site and off-site, did not detect any radioactivity in excess of natural background levels.

Analyses of the vast majority of ground water samples from five water-bearing zones beneath the Site did not detect any radioactivity in excess of natural background. Very small portions of the Perched Water-Bearing Zone contained low levels of strontium-90 due to small inadvertent releases in the 1950s and 1960s. In addition, strontium-90 was detected in the Pittsburgh Coal Water-Bearing Zone at levels near background strontium-90 levels from past atmospheric nuclear weapons testing. The levels of strontium-90 were well below the 10 CFR 20 limits for water in unrestricted areas and below 40 CFR 141 limits for drinking water.

The results of the majority of the ground water analyses for a variety of chemicals were less than minimum detection levels; some analyses indicated the presence of volatile organic compounds, primarily tetrachloroethylene, which are associated with past degreasing operations at Bettis and possibly by prior tenants when the Site was an airfield. The presence of these chemicals is not due to current Site operations.

TABLE 1

RADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM ⁽¹⁾		
MEDIA MONITORED	ANALYSIS FREQUENCY	ROUTINE ANALYSES
LIQUID EFFLUENTS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bull Run Monitoring Station • Northeast Area Monitoring Station • Sanitary Sewer (SAN2) 	Weekly	Gross Alpha, Gross Beta
	Quarterly	Strontium-90, Gamma
	Semiannually	Gross Alpha, Gross Beta
LIQUID INFLUENTS <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • City Water • Precipitation 	Weekly	Gross Alpha, Gross Beta
	Quarterly	Strontium-90, Gamma
GROUND WATER <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Springs • Seeps • Wells 	Annually	Gross Alpha, Gross Beta, Strontium-90, Gamma, Uranium-233/234, Uranium-235, Uranium-238
SURFACE WATER <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bull Run Stream 		
STREAM SEDIMENT AND VEGETATION <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bull Run Stream • Northeast Area Stream • Thompson Run Stream • Streets Run Stream (Control) 	Semiannually (Sediment)	Gross Alpha, Gross Beta, Gamma
	Annually (Sediment)	Strontium-90 (Bull Run and Streets Run Streams only)
	Annually (Vegetation)	Strontium-90, Gamma
SOIL <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Valley National Gases Inc. 	Every Two Years	Gross Alpha, Gross Beta, Strontium-90, Gamma, Uranium-233/234, Uranium-235, Uranium-238
RADIATION <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site Perimeter 	Continuously	Gamma Radiation
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Bull Run Stream 	Every Fifth Year	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Valley National Gases Inc. 	Every Two Years	
AIRBORNE EFFLUENT <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Particulate Activity 	Weekly	Gross Alpha, Gross Beta
	Quarterly	Gross Alpha, Gross Beta Strontium-90, Gamma
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gaseous Activity 	Quarterly	Gamma Radiation
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Radon 	Annually	Radon-220, Radon-222
AIRBORNE (Ambient Background) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Large, PA • West Mifflin, PA 	Weekly	Gross Alpha, Gross Beta

NOTES: (1) The monitoring methods used in this program are "grab and composite" sampling.

TABLE 2

NONRADIOLOGICAL ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAM ⁽¹⁾		
MEDIA MONITORED	ANALYSIS FREQUENCY	ROUTINE ANALYSES
LIQUID EFFLUENTS • Bull Run Monitoring Station • Northeast Area Monitoring Station	Semimonthly	Dissolved oxygen, fecal coliforms, oil and grease, pH, suspended solids, temperature.
	Monthly (May to October)	Microbiocides
	Semiannually	Alkalinity, aluminum, ammonia, chloride, color, fluoride, hardness, iron (dissolved), iron (total), manganese, nitrate, nitrite, osmotic pressure, phenols, sulfate, methylene blue active substance, total dissolved solids, threshold odor, turbidity.
	Annually	Antimony, arsenic, base neutral/acids, beryllium, cadmium, chromium (hexavalent), chromium (total), copper, cyanide (free), lead, mercury, nickel, pesticides, polychlorinated biphenyls, selenium, silver, thallium, volatile organic compounds, zinc.
• Bull Run Outfall (001) • Storm Water Outfall 003 • Storm Water Outfall 004 • Storm Water Outfall 005 • Storm Water Outfall 006	Quarterly	Fecal coliforms, aluminum (Outfalls 004 and 005 only)
• Sanitary Sewer (SAN-10)	Semiannually	Biochemical oxygen demand, dissolved oxygen, oil and grease, pH, temperature.
	Annually	Chromium (hexavalent), chromium (total), cyanide (free), lead, mercury, silver.
LIQUID INFLUENTS • City Water	Semimonthly	Dissolved oxygen, fecal coliforms, oil and grease, pH, suspended solids, temperature.
	Semiannually	Alkalinity, aluminum, ammonia, chloride, color, fluoride, hardness, iron (dissolved), iron (total), manganese, nitrate, nitrite, osmotic pressure, phenols, sulfate, methylene blue active substance, total dissolved solids, threshold odor, turbidity.
	Annually	Antimony, arsenic, base neutral/acids, beryllium, cadmium, chromium (hexavalent), chromium (total), copper, cyanide (free), lead, mercury, nickel, pesticides, polychlorinated biphenyls, selenium, silver, thallium, volatile organic compounds, zinc.
GROUND WATER • Wells • Inactive Waste Site Runoff	Annually	Volatile organic compounds. Polychlorinated biphenyls, volatile organic compounds, polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons.
SEDIMENT • Bull Run Monitoring Station • Northeast Area Monitoring Station • Storm Sewers	Annually	Polychlorinated biphenyls, volatile organic compounds, total mercury.
• Valley National Gases Inc.	Annually	Polychlorinated biphenyls, volatile organic compounds, polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons.
SURFACE WATER • Bull Run Stream	Annually	Volatile organic compounds.

NOTES: (1) The monitoring method used in this program is "grab" sampling except for suspended solids sampling at the Bull Run and Northeast Area Monitoring Stations. These samples were collected as composite samples over a 24-hour period.

Stream Sediments and Vegetation

Analyses for radioactivity in the Site's effluent streambeds demonstrated that there were no measurable changes in the low levels of radioactivity, from historical operations, in the streambeds during 1997.

Analyses of vegetation collected in and along the Site's effluent streams did not detect any radioactivity in excess of natural background levels.

Radiation

Radiation surveys of the Site perimeter demonstrated that radiation levels were typical of background radiation levels for Western Pennsylvania. Perimeter thermoluminescent dosimetry data also confirmed that Site operations did not cause any measurable change in the natural radiation environment surrounding the Site.

Airborne Effluents

Radioactivity in Site airborne effluents was controlled using high efficiency particulate filters, wet scrubbing systems and, in some cases, charcoal filters to maintain particulate and gaseous radioactivity releases to as-low-as-reasonably-achievable. The amount of long-lived (>1 day half-life) particulate radioactivity released in airborne effluents was less than 0.0000026 Curie in 1997. Comparison of the Site's airborne effluents with background air samples showed that the Site's airborne particulate effluent was up to six times lower than natural background airborne particulate radioactivity. There were no long-lived gaseous radioactivity releases in 1997.

Nonradiological constituents in Site emissions for Site fuel combustion and heating units were estimated utilizing U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) emission factors and were well below applicable EPA and Allegheny County standards. Operation of the Site's fuel combustion and heating equipment was conducted in accordance with the Site's Allegheny County Air Operating Permit application.

Risk Assessment

A risk assessment was approved by the EPA in 1994 as part of the Site's Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA) Facility Investigation. The assessment determined the "reasonable maximum exposure" of on-site and off-site populations to chemical residues in the environment at the Site.

The assessment concluded that chemical residues in the environment at the Site did not pose significant health risks to potentially exposed populations using "reasonable maximum exposure" assumptions. The only study area where the carcinogenic risk estimate exceeded the EPA comparison criterion of 1×10^{-6} (0.000001) is a small area of soil on a remote portion of Valley National Gases Incorporated (VNGI) property, with a maximum carcinogenic risk of about 2×10^{-5} (0.00002). However, an individual must be exposed (skin contact, ingestion, and inhalation) for 250 days/year for 25 years to achieve this risk. This risk is highly conservative because the contaminated portions of VNGI property are in infrequently accessed or undeveloped locations. In reality, the risk of personnel exposure to soil contaminants at VNGI is far less than 1×10^{-6} .

The 1997 monitoring results support the conclusions of the 1994 risk assessment.

Dose-to-Man

Radiation exposure to the general public from Site airborne releases was too low to measure and could only be estimated using conservative, EPA authorized calculational models. The resultant evaluation of all exposure pathways conservatively estimated a maximum annual hypothetical dose range of 0.13 to 2.4 millirem to an individual off-site. Realistically, the actual maximum annual dose would approach 0.13 millirem. However, using the larger value of 2.4 millirem, the dose is only 2.4% of the numerical guide established for members of the general public by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and the DOE for DOE facilities such as Bettis-Pittsburgh. The annual dose to the population from Site operations within a 50-mile radius of the Site was conservatively estimated to be about 1.6 man-rem which is negligible when compared to the approximately 900,000 man-rem received by this population from natural background radiation.

Chemically Hazardous Waste

A waste minimization program is in place to minimize the generation of chemically hazardous wastes. Chemically hazardous wastes were handled, controlled, and stored by trained personnel in accordance with applicable State regulations and permits. Chemically hazardous wastes were not disposed of on the Site. Approximately 12,100 pounds of chemical and hazardous wastes were disposed of off-site by waste vendors. These vendors operate under State and Federal permits. Approximately 46,400 gallons of processed ground water and water from sampling, containing traces (less than one ounce total) of a few volatile organic compounds, were discharged to the sanitary sewer system in accordance with local, State, and Federal regulations.

Radioactive Waste

The generation of low-level radioactive waste continued to be minimized by implementation of a program to limit the materials that could become contaminated and by waste volume reduction. Radioactive waste generated on-site is shipped off-site for disposal. A total of 726 cubic meters of the low-level radioactive waste generated on-site were packaged in various type containers which comply with U. S. Department of Transportation specifications, and shipped to a DOE-owned disposal facility. The total amount of radioactive waste shipped off-site for disposal during 1997 is less than 1% of the total low-level radioactive waste typically dispositioned annually by DOE-owned facilities. Twenty-one cubic meters of radioactive scrap metals were shipped to a commercial radioactive material processing facility for radioactive metal recycling. When necessary, small amounts of radioactive liquids were solidified prior to shipment off-site for disposal.

Off-site shipments of radioactive waste and scrap metal were controlled by written procedures to ensure compliance with State and Federal regulations and the disposal and recycling site's criteria.

Mixed Waste

Mixed waste is a waste that is both chemically hazardous and radioactive. In 1995, the DOE voluntarily agreed to apply Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP) requirements to the hazardous constituents of mixed waste. In addition, the U.S. DOE Pittsburgh Naval Reactors Office and the EPA signed a Consent Agreement/Consent Order for the storage and treatment of mixed wastes that are generated at the Site. A Site Treatment Plan for all of the Site's mixed wastes continues to be implemented in accordance with the Consent Agreement/Consent Order. Mixed wastes are not disposed of on-site. A mixed waste management program is in place to minimize generation of these wastes.

In 1997, 2.3 cubic meters of mixed waste were shipped to the Idaho Waste Experimental Reduction Facility at the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory (INEEL) for incineration along with 12.7 cubic meters of mixed waste from other Naval Nuclear Propulsion Program facilities. Also in September

Bettis-Pittsburgh 1997 Environmental Monitoring Report

1997, in accordance with an agreement between the DOE Pittsburgh Naval Reactors Office and the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection, 2.4 cubic meters of incinerator hearth ash were received at Bettis-Pittsburgh from the INEEL for temporary storage until off-site disposal arrangements are complete.

Environmental Assessments

In 1988, a Preliminary Assessment and Site Inspection (PA/SI) Report was completed for the Site to meet the requirements of Section 120 of the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA). During 1989, EPA completed their review of the Site's PA/SI and concluded that no further action under CERCLA was required for the Site.

In 1990, the DOE Pittsburgh Naval Reactors Office and EPA Region III signed a Consent Order to conduct a RCRA Facility Investigation (RFI) and Corrective Measures Study (CMS). The purpose of the RFI was to characterize the chemical residues in the environment at the Site and the purpose of the CMS was to determine site-specific remedial alternatives. The investigation of ground water, surface water, soil, sediment, and air specified in the RFI work plans was completed in 1993. The Final RFI Report was approved by the EPA in August of 1994. The RFI report stated that some of the ground water under the Site and some soil areas contain low levels of chemical residues. The most prevalent residue is tetrachloroethylene which is the same solvent used to dry-clean clothing. The report included an assessment of risk to on-site and off-site populations from exposure to the chemical residues. This risk assessment concluded that there are no areas on-site or off-site which present a risk to human health that exceeds the EPA's acceptable risk criteria.

The Final CMS Report was submitted to the EPA in November 1994. This report recommended actions to ensure the risks to human health will remain low and to further reduce the chemical residues in the environment. The EPA approved this report in March 1995.

The EPA issued its preliminary recommendations for corrective measures in November 1995 and requested public comment on the recommendations. The EPA responded to public comments and issued its final recommendations for corrective measures in October 1997. The EPA is expected to issue a new Consent Order for implementation of the EPA's final corrective measures in 1998. Bettis has proactively implemented several of the final recommended corrective measures.

Compliance Summary

During 1997, Site operations remained in compliance with existing permits and applicable regulations governing use, emission, transportation, and disposal of solid, liquid, and gaseous materials and wastes.

Also during 1997, the PADEP conducted on-site inspections of the Site's hazardous waste and water quality and the Allegheny County Health Department conducted asbestos abatement clearance inspections. The Site was found to be in compliance with all applicable regulations. No deficiencies were cited during these inspections.

Conclusion

Operations at the Bettis Atomic Power Laboratory-Pittsburgh Site during 1997 did not result in any significant release of radioactivity or hazardous materials to the environment. Operations also did not have any adverse effect on the human health or the quality of the environment at the Site or in the surrounding communities.

INTRODUCTION

The first nonagrarian use of the Bettis-Pittsburgh Laboratory Site was that of an airfield which operated on the Site from approximately 1926 until 1948. This private airfield served mainly small, privately-owned planes. The general pattern of buildings and roadways currently existing on-site are based on the original airfield design.

The Bettis Laboratory was organized in 1948 through the joint efforts of Westinghouse, the Navy, and the Atomic Energy Commission. Westinghouse acquired title to the Bettis airfield property (~146 acres) and associated buildings in May of 1949. Additional properties were purchased in 1952. In 1957, the approximately 202 acres were deeded to the Federal Government. During 1997, the facility was operated by Westinghouse Electric Company, a division of CBS Corporation, for the U. S. Department of Energy under the jurisdiction of the Pittsburgh Naval Reactors Office. All grounds, buildings, and equipment on the Site are the property of the Federal Government.

The primary mission of the Bettis Laboratory has always been directed toward the design, development, testing, and operational follow of nuclear reactor propulsion plants for naval surface and submarine vessels. Specifically, the Laboratory exists to support this nation's capability to deploy and maintain a modern nuclear Navy. In addition to the primary objective of continuing work in the development of the nuclear Navy, the Laboratory has also played a role in the development of the first U.S. full-scale nuclear power plant for civilian use, the Shippingport Atomic Power Plant.

This document summarizes the 1997 results of the radiological and nonradiological environmental monitoring programs at the Site. This report also discusses the Site programs for handling and off-site disposal of radioactive waste, chemically hazardous waste, and mixed waste. The report is prepared in conformance with U. S. Department of Energy guidelines.

SITE BACKGROUND AND ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

The Site is situated on a 202-acre tract of land in the Borough of West Mifflin, and is located approximately eight miles southeast of the downtown section of Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania. Figure 1 shows the location of the Site with respect to the surrounding communities, and Figure 2 shows the land uses immediately adjacent to the Site. Figure 3 shows an aerial view of the developed portion of the Site. A heavily wooded area borders the Site on the east. Most of this property is owned by the Borough of West Mifflin and a portion of this property has been developed into the West Mifflin Community Park. A fence has been erected to prevent inadvertent access to the Site property from the park area. An industrial district is located along the northern boundary of the Site. Commercial and residential developments border the Site on the south and west. The land use of the region surrounding the Site is largely industrial and residential. The total population within a 50-mile radius of the Site is approximately 3,000,000.

Physiography

Physiography refers to the natural physical landforms of an area. The Site is located within Allegheny County, Pennsylvania, which is situated within the Allegheny Plateau physiographic province of North America. Stream erosion of a formerly raised plateau produced the present rugged land surface. The geologic formations are generally flat-lying, or gently folded and inclined. Stream frequency and the percentage of the land found in slopes decrease with distance from the major drainageways, such as the Monongahela River.

Topography

The Site is located approximately 6000 feet west of the Monongahela River. The maximum elevation at the Site is approximately 1200 feet above sea level. The minimum elevation, found at the point where the Bull Run Stream leaves the southeastern portion of the Site, is approximately 1020 feet above sea level. The normal pool elevation of the Monongahela River near the Site is approximately 720 feet above sea level. Thus, the developed portions of the Site are approximately 480 feet above the surface of the Monongahela River.

Surface drainage at the Site is primarily toward the east, discharging into the Bull Run Stream and its tributaries. The principal sources of water in the Bull Run Stream originating from the Site include once-through, noncontact cooling water, storm water runoff, and process water. The Bull Run Stream flows about 1.4 miles before joining the Thompson Run Stream which empties, about 2.6 miles downstream, into the Monongahela River in the City of Duquesne. A narrow, mostly sloped area that includes a small developed portion of the Site drains northwest toward the Thompson Run Stream. The Monongahela River is used as a raw water source for public water supply serving the Site and some surrounding communities.

Because of the location and elevation of the Site, flooding from local streams or rivers is not possible. Some minor bank overflowing of the Bull Run Stream may occur downstream from the Site during heavy rainfall.

Several springs discharge on the Site property. The largest of these, Buono Spring, RQ-20 Spring, and Northeast Spring, are on the eastern, nondeveloped portion of the Site. These are permanent springs with varying, but very low flows that are reflective of the seasons and precipitation events. In 1997, the Springwater Intercept System (SIS) was constructed to collect these springs and other seeps. The water is treated by air stripping to remove volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and the water is discharged to the Bull Run Stream basin.

FIGURE 1 RELATIONSHIP OF THE BETTIS SITE TO THE SURROUNDING COMMUNITIES

SCALE: 1 INCH ~ 2000 FEET

RESIDENTIAL	UNDEVELOPED	COMMERCIAL/ INDUSTRIAL	MUNICIPAL PARK
---	---	---	---

FIGURE 2 LAND USES IMMEDIATELY ADJACENT TO THE BETTIS SITE

FIGURE 3 AERIAL VIEW OF THE DEVELOPED PORTION OF THE BETTIS LABORATORY

OCT 1996

Soils

The soils at the Site are residual in origin or are the result of filling operations. The residual soils were formed by weathering of the underlying Monongahela Group bedrock. The soils on-site are classified as the Culleoka and Urban Land-Guernsey soils. The Culleoka soils are characterized as moderately deep, well-drained soils formed from shale and fine-grained sandstone bedrock. They generally occur on upland slopes, have moderate permeability, and normally a water table below four feet throughout the year. The surface soil can be described as dark brown, granular silt loam, while the subsoil is yellowish-brown, blocky silt loam to channery clay loam. The substrata consists of yellowish-brown, massive, very channery clay loam.

The Urban Land-Guernsey soils are described as variable, consisting of disturbed land resulting from cut and fill operations and subsequent coverage with urban works. These soils occur in a complex pattern with Culleoka soils which are described above. The Guernsey soils are characterized as deep, well-drained soils with a low permeability and a winter water table within one or two feet of the surface. This soil type is formed from interbedded clay shale, shale, and limestone bedrock.

None of the Site land is utilized for agrarian purposes.

Regional Stratigraphy

The geologic formations that underlie the portion of Allegheny County in which the Site is located are part of the Pennsylvanian System. The Monongahela, Conemaugh, and Allegheny Groups, all part of the Pennsylvanian System, underlie the Site. The Monongahela Group, the uppermost group, includes beds of limestone, variable shales, discontinuous layers of sandstone and coal beds. The base of the Pittsburgh Coal marks the base of the Monongahela Group. Table 3 presents a generalized description of the rock strata beneath the Site.

Some of the important beds in the Monongahela Group are the Uniontown Limestone, Benwood Limestone, Sewickley Sandstone, Fishpot Limestone, Pittsburgh Sandstone, and the Redstone and Pittsburgh Coals. Core borings taken on-site confirm that the bedrock consists of layers of limestone, shale, and sandstone.

Extensive mining of the Pittsburgh Coal seam has occurred to the west and south as well as under the Site. The Pittsburgh Coal seam lies about 200-250 feet below the active portion of the Site. Most of the Pittsburgh Coal that can be mined has been removed. There are no current coal mining activities in this area.

Structural Geology

The bedrock under the Site declines to the southeast a few feet per mile. The Pittsburgh Coal seam under the Site dips 1% to the southeast.

Hydrogeology

The Site is underlain by the geologic units of the Pennsylvanian Monongahela Group. The Monongahela Group is not an important local aquifer. Well yields from the Monongahela Group range from less than one to 30 gallons per minute. Pump tests performed at the Site in the Sewickley Sandstone Water-Bearing Zone, which is one of the main water-bearing zones in the Monongahela Group at the Site, could not sustain flow rates of one to three gallons per minute.

TABLE 3

GENERALIZED SECTION OF ROCK STRATA BENEATH THE BETTIS LABORATORY				
System	Group	Formation	Strata	Remarks
P E N N S Y L V A N I A N	Monongahela	Pittsburgh	Cyclic sequences of shale, limestone, claystone, and coal. Pittsburgh Coal seam is bottom stratum.	Extends from at or near surface down to Pittsburgh Coal. Mined out about 200-250 feet below Bettis.
	Conemaugh	Casselman	Cyclic sequences of sandstone, shale, silty claystone ("red beds"), and thin limestone and coal.	Coal deposits of this group not normally mined. Formation is about 250-300 feet thick; base is near normal level of Monongahela River.
		Glenshaw	Cyclic sequences of sandstone, shale, red beds, and thin limestone and coal; fossiliferous limestone.	Formation is 300 to 380 feet thick.
	Allegheny	Freeport	Upper Freeport Coal seam is top stratum.	Major coal bed, 600 to 630 feet below level of Pittsburgh Coal.
Pennsylvanian System Rocks extend down to about sea level.				

The topographic features of the area such as high hills cut by major stream valleys, greatly affect the direction and depth of water tables. There may be subregional ground water regimes where the discharge of the ground water is to local streams. In cases where the stream channels lie below the water table, some aquifers may discharge on valley slopes.

Based on data obtained through rock coring, monitoring well drilling, geophysical logging, and ground water elevation monitoring, the ground water under the Site is present in five different water-bearing zones. The water-bearing areas are referred to as water-bearing zones rather than aquifers because the amounts of water present in these zones are not sufficient to meet the definition of an aquifer as defined by the American Geological Institute. The water-bearing zones in descending order are: Perched, Benwood Limestone, Sewickley Sandstone, Pittsburgh Sandstone, and Pittsburgh Coal. The Pittsburgh Coal Water-Bearing Zone represents the basal ground water flow at the Site. These water-bearing zones are described in detail in Reference (1).

There are no springs or wells on-site or in the local, hydraulically downgradient area which are known to be used for drinking water, industrial, or irrigation purposes.

Meteorology

The Site has a humid, continental type of climate modified only slightly by its nearness to the Atlantic Seaboard and the Great Lakes. Data accumulated by the Site meteorological monitoring system during 1997 indicated that prevailing winds for the Site occurred about 22% of the time from the south-southeast and about 34% of the time from the northwest. Wind speeds of greater than five miles per hour (mph) occurred about 68% of the time and less than five mph about 32% of the time. The average monthly temperatures during the year ranged from 28 to 72°F. The annual precipitation amounted to approximately 33 inches of water.

ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING PROGRAMS

The major elements of the Site's radiological and nonradiological environmental monitoring programs are summarized in Tables 1 and 2. The various programs and the monitoring results are discussed in this section.

A. LIQUID EFFLUENTS

The purpose of the liquid effluent monitoring programs is to determine the effectiveness of control methods and to measure constituent concentrations in effluents for comparison with applicable standards and natural background levels. In addition to monitoring liquid effluents from the Site, samples of precipitation and influent city water are monitored and used for background comparisons.

Sources

The principal sources of liquid effluents to the storm sewer system included once-through city water used for cooling purposes, surface runoff precipitation, and process water. Total effluent flow through the outfalls at the Bull Run Monitoring Station and the Northeast Monitoring Station is measured in accordance with the NPDES permit. In 1997, the total was 53,700,000 gallons for both outfalls. Approximately 86% of this volume was released at the Bull Run Monitoring Station. This comprises most of the flow in the Bull Run Stream. Storm water runoff was also released to the environment via Storm Water Outfalls 003, 004, 005, and 006 and discharges of processed ground water began via a new outfall, 007. Figure 4 shows the locations of these outfalls.

Water used for sanitary and cleaning purposes was discharged via the sanitary sewer system to the West Mifflin Borough, Thompson Run Sewage Treatment Plant, which discharges treated effluent to the Thompson Run Stream. In addition, approximately 46,400 gallons of processed ground water and water generated from sampling efforts were discharged to the Thompson Run Sewage Treatment Plant. This water contained traces of volatile organic compounds, primarily tetrachloroethylene, which were within allowable release limits to the treatment plant. The Borough of West Mifflin, the EPA, and the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection had been previously notified of the discharges as required by applicable regulations.

Liquid Monitoring

The samples and analyses specified below constitute the minimum samples and analyses conducted at the Site during 1997.

Radiological: Water samples of storm sewer liquid effluents were collected continuously at the Bull Run and Northeast Area Monitoring Stations shown on Figure 4. Weekly, the composite samples were picked up and analyzed for gross alpha and gross beta radioactivity. Quarterly, composites of the weekly samples were analyzed for strontium-90 and gamma-emitters. Influent city water and precipitation samples were analyzed similarly to the liquid effluent samples. Annually, a water sample is collected from the Bull Run Stream at the Site boundary (BR5, Figure 4) and analyzed for gross alpha, gross beta, radiostrontium, gamma emitters and isotopic uranium. Samples of processed ground water were analyzed for gross alpha and gross beta radioactivity prior to release of the processed water to the sanitary sewer to ensure that the processed water did not contain any detectable radioactivity. The minimum detection levels were below the levels allowed by the EPA in drinking water.

Samples of sanitary effluent were collected weekly at the outfall of the main site area, location SAN-2 shown on Figure 4. These samples were analyzed for gross alpha and gross beta radioactivity.

Quarterly, a composite of the weekly samples from SAN-2 was analyzed for strontium-90 and gamma emitters. Semiannually, samples of sanitary effluent were collected at the outfall of the entire Site, location SAN-10 on Figure 4. These samples were analyzed for gross alpha and gross beta radioactivity.

Nonradiological: Semimonthly, samples of storm sewer effluents discharged through the Bull Run and Northeast Area Monitoring Stations were collected and analyzed for dissolved oxygen, fecal coliforms, oil and grease, pH, suspended solids, and temperature. Semiannually, samples were collected and analyzed for alkalinity, aluminum, ammonia, chloride, color, fluoride, hardness, iron (dissolved), iron (total), manganese, nitrate, nitrite, osmotic pressure, phenols, sulfate, methylene blue active substance, total dissolved solids, threshold odor, and turbidity. Annually, samples were collected and analyzed for antimony, arsenic, base neutral/acids, beryllium, cadmium, chromium (hexavalent), chromium (total), copper, cyanide (free), lead, mercury, nickel, pesticides, polychlorinated biphenyls, selenium, silver, thallium, volatile organic compounds and zinc.

Samples of the influent municipal water supply, which comprised a significant portion of the Site's liquid effluents, were collected at the same frequencies and analyzed for the same parameters described above for the Bull Run and Northeast Area effluents.

Quarterly samples of storm water runoff were collected at the Bull Run Outfall (001) and Storm Water Outfalls 003, 004, 005, and 006 and analyzed for fecal coliforms and samples of storm water runoff from Storm Water Outfalls 004 and 005 were also analyzed for aluminum. These analyses are required by the Site's NPDES Permit.

Effluent samples were collected and analyzed for two microbiocides used in the Site's cooling towers on a monthly basis over a six month period. These samples were required by the Site's NPDES Permit to determine if microbiocides were present in the effluent.

Semiannually, samples of sanitary effluent were collected at the outfall of the entire Site, location SAN-10. These samples were analyzed for biochemical oxygen demand, dissolved oxygen, oil and grease, pH, and temperature. Annually, samples of sanitary effluent were collected and analyzed for chromium (hexavalent), chromium (total), cyanide (free), lead, mercury, and silver.

Samples of Bull Run Stream were collected from two locations, BR1 and BR5 shown on Figure 4, and analyzed for volatile organic compounds.

Liquid Analyses

Radiological: Liquid samples (liquid effluent, city water, precipitation, sanitary effluent, ground water, etc.) were prepared for gross alpha and beta analysis by evaporation of approximately 200 ml of liquid. The alpha and beta radioactivity of the samples were measured using a low background proportional counter. Typical minimum detection levels for alpha and beta radioactivity for 200 ml samples were 2.0×10^{-9} $\mu\text{Ci/ml}$ and 3.0×10^{-9} $\mu\text{Ci/ml}$, respectively.

Gamma spectrometry was also performed quarterly on composites of weekly samples to identify gamma-emitting radionuclides using a Germanium-Lithium or a Germanium detector and a multichannel analyzer. A typical minimum detection level for cesium-137 and cobalt-60 in a 500 ml sample of these composites was 5.0×10^{-9} $\mu\text{Ci/ml}$.

Strontium-90 analyses were performed on selected composites of weekly samples using a standard strontium radiochemical procedure. A typical minimum detection level achieved for strontium-90 was 5.0×10^{-10} $\mu\text{Ci/ml}$ for a 1000 ml sample.

Nonradiological: Analyses of influent, effluent, and surface water samples were performed using test methods described in References (2), (3), or other EPA-approved methods.

Liquid Monitoring Results and Conclusions

Radiological: The results of the weekly analyses for alpha radioactivity in the Site's storm sewer effluents showed that the levels were very near or below the minimum detection level and were typical of the background alpha radioactivity levels measured in the city water influent and precipitation. None of 52 alpha radioactivity measurements of the effluents exceeded the limit of Reference (4) for alpha radioactivity, based on thorium-232, in water in uncontrolled areas.

The results of the weekly analyses for beta radioactivity in the Site's storm sewer effluents showed that the beta radioactivity levels were typical of the levels measured in the city water influent and precipitation. None of the 52 beta radioactivity measurements of the effluents exceeded the limit of Reference (4) for beta radioactivity, based on strontium-90, in water in uncontrolled areas.

Table 4 presents the quarterly composite sample results for the Site's storm sewer effluents at the Bull Run and Northeast Area Monitoring Stations and for precipitation and city water samples. The quarterly composite radioactivity results for the potential contaminants of concern for the Site's effluents, city water, and precipitation were all less than the minimum detection levels.

The alpha levels in the Site's sanitary effluent were generally near or below the minimum detection level. None of the 54 gross alpha measurements of the sanitary effluent exceeded the local control level of 1.0×10^{-8} $\mu\text{Ci/ml}$. The beta levels in the Site's sanitary effluent were slightly higher than the alpha levels due primarily to the presence of potassium-40 which occurs naturally in body waste excretions. In five samples, the gross beta level in the sanitary effluent exceeded the local control level of 7.0×10^{-8} $\mu\text{Ci/ml}$. The maximum level was 59×10^{-8} $\mu\text{Ci/ml}$. Specific analyses of these samples did not identify the presence of any Site-generated radioactivity but did identify the medical diagnostic radioisotopes thallium-201, technetium-99m, and gallium-67. Therefore, Site-generated radioactivity was not released to the sanitary sewer system.

The alpha and beta radioactivity levels in processed ground water were less than the minimum detection level of 3.0×10^{-9} $\mu\text{Ci/ml}$. This level is below the level allowed by the EPA in drinking water and is typical of natural background levels.

The alpha, beta, and radiostrontium radioactivity levels in the sample of Bull Run Stream at location BR5 (Figure 4) were at or below minimum detection levels. The natural uranium concentrations were: uranium-234, 0.65×10^{-9} $\mu\text{Ci/ml}$; uranium-235, $<0.29 \times 10^{-9}$ $\mu\text{Ci/ml}$; and uranium-238, 0.26×10^{-9} $\mu\text{Ci/ml}$. These uranium concentrations are consistent with natural background concentrations for uranium in surface water.

Based on the above, the control methods at the Site were effective in ensuring that the water discharged from the Site was of sufficiently high quality to preclude an adverse impact on the environment or downstream water quality. Site-generated radioactivity was not released to the Site's effluent streams or sanitary sewers.

Nonradiological: A summary of sample analysis results for influent city water and effluent water from the Site are presented in Tables 5, 6, and 7. Since a major portion of the Site's process waste water effluent is noncontact cooling water, the quality of the effluent is significantly influenced by the quality of the influent city water. Therefore, the influent city water was sampled for the same parameters as the effluent. Table 5 provides the analysis results for the parameters that are required to be sampled by the Site's National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit. Table 5 also identifies the discharge limits for these parameters as established in the NPDES Permit. Tables 6 and 7 provide the analysis results for various parameters that provide an overall indication of general water quality. Tables 6 and 7 also list the guidelines for general water quality that have been derived from guidelines provided by the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection in Reference (5). These guidelines are not effluent limits, but can be compared against the analysis results to provide an indication of the quality of the effluent from the Site.

TABLE 4

LIQUID INFLUENT AND EFFLUENT RADIOACTIVITY RESULTS ⁽¹⁾ Units 10 ⁻⁹ µCi/ml					
Sample Location	Activity Analysis	First Quarter	Second Quarter	Third Quarter	Fourth Quarter
Bull Run Monitoring Station Effluent	Strontium-90	<0.39	<0.35	<0.50	<0.41
Northeast Area Monitoring Station Effluent		<0.38	<0.35	<0.37	<0.33
Precipitation		<0.32	<0.29	<0.28	<0.58
City Water		<0.38	<0.37	<0.28	<0.41
Bull Run Monitoring Station Effluent	Cesium-137	<5.8	<5.9	<6.4	<6.1
Northeast Area Monitoring Station Effluent		<6.6	<5.7	<6.3	<6.3
Precipitation		<6.4	<5.9	<5.6	<6.5
City Water		<5.5	<6.2	<6.2	<5.6
Bull Run Monitoring Station Effluent	Cobalt-60	<5.4	<6.0	<5.3	<5.0
Northeast Area Monitoring Station Effluent		<5.6	<5.6	<5.9	<6.0
Precipitation		<5.1	<6.0	<4.5	<5.6
City Water		<5.4	<5.9	<5.6	<5.4

NOTES: (1) < signifies the data were below the minimum detection level (MDL). The MDL varies slightly due to the sample size, the chemical recovery yield, count time, and the presence of dissolved materials.

TABLE 5

SUMMARY OF NPDES PERMIT SAMPLE ANALYSIS RESULTS ⁽¹⁾												
Sample Location	Aluminum (mg/l)		Fecal Coliforms ⁽²⁾ (colonies/100ml)		Oil & Grease (mg/l)		pH (units)		Suspended Solids (mg/l)		Temperature (°F)	
	Range	Mean	Range	Mean	Range	Mean	Range	Mean	Range	Mean	Range	Mean
City Water Influent ⁽³⁾					<1-7.1	2	6.8-8.1	7.4	<1.0-2.8	1.1	40-78	59
Bull Run Monitoring Station Effluent			2400-44000		<1-6.7	1	6.8-8.1	7.4	<1.0-24.8	3.0	46-79	63
Northeast Area Monitoring Station Effluent					<1-6.7	1	6.6-8.7	7.3	<1.0-9.6	2.2	42-75	58
Storm Water Outfall 003			<1-65400									
Storm Water Outfall 004	0.0069-3.795	1.263	<1-21000									
Storm Water Outfall 005	0.0032-1.408	0.525	<1-33000									
Storm Water Outfall 006			1700-56500									

- NOTES: (1) The parameters listed are required to be sampled in accordance with the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permit for the Site. Fecal Coliform samples are required to be collected quarterly from the Bull Run Monitoring Station and the Storm Water Outfalls. Aluminum samples are required to be collected quarterly from Storm Water Outfalls 004 and 005. Oil and Grease, pH, Suspended Solids, and Temperature samples are required to be collected semimonthly from the Bull Run Monitoring Station and the Northeast Area Monitoring Station. NPDES Permit concentration limits are: Oil and Grease=30 mg/l maximum and 15 mg/l average; pH between 6.0 and 9.0 units; Suspended Solids= 50 mg/l maximum and 25 mg/l average; and Temperature = 110 °F maximum. Concentration limits for Aluminum and Fecal Coliform are not specified in the NPDES Permit.
- (2) Results are indicative of urban storm water runoff.
- (3) Not required for NPDES monitoring. Results provided for comparative purposes only.

TABLE 6

SUMMARY OF SEMIMONTHLY AND SEMIANNUAL INFLUENT AND EFFLUENT WATER QUALITY RESULTS										
Parameter	Units	Guideline ⁽¹⁾	City Water Influent		Bull Run Monitoring Station Effluent		Northeast Area Monitoring Station Effluent		Sanitary Effluent	
			Range	Mean	Range	Mean	Range	Mean	Range	Mean
Alkalinity	mg/l as CaCO ₃	>20	35.0-59.0	47.0	55.0-72.3	63.7	57.0-95.0	76.0		
Aluminum	mg/l		<0.050	<0.050	0.051-0.066	0.059	0.058-0.075	0.067		
Ammonia	mg/l	0.6	<0.050-0.081	0.066	<0.050-0.12	0.09	<0.050-0.15	0.10		
Biochemical Oxygen Demand	mg/l								170-200	185
Chloride	mg/l		23.8-31.0	27.4	59-84	72	160-280	220		
Color	units		<5.0	<5.0	<5.0-5.0	5.0	<5.0	<5.0		
Fecal Coliform	colonies/100 ml	200 ⁽²⁾	<2-<4		2-32 ⁽⁴⁾		2-108			
Fecal Coliform	colonies/100 ml	2000 ⁽³⁾	<2-<10		2-123 ⁽⁴⁾		2-101			
Fluoride	mg/l	2.0	0.48-1.0	0.7	0.73-0.94	0.84	0.76-0.78	0.77		
Hardness	mg/l		150	150	150-170	160	150-160	155		
Iron, Dissolved	mg/l	0.3	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050	<0.050		
Iron, Total	mg/l	1.5	<0.050	<0.050	0.067-0.079	0.073	<0.050-0.22	0.14		
Manganese	mg/l		<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010		
Methylene Blue Active Substance	mg/l		0.029-0.075	0.052	0.057-0.099	0.078	0.040-0.087	0.064		
Nitrate and Nitrite	mg/l	10	0.864-0.974	0.919	1.104-1.206	1.155	0.784-1.140	0.962		
Oil & Grease	mg/l	100							16-23	20

Note: Table 6 continued on the following page.

TABLE 6 (Continued)

SUMMARY OF SEMIMONTHLY AND SEMIANNUAL INFLUENT AND EFFLUENT WATER QUALITY RESULTS										
Parameter	Units	Guideline	City Water Influent		Bull Run Monitoring Station Effluent		Northeast Area Monitoring Station Effluent		Sanitary Effluent	
			Range	Mean	Range	Mean	Range	Mean	Range	Mean
Osmotic Pressure	mOsm/kg	50	<2.0-2.33	2.2	<2.0-6.98	4.5	<2.0-28.71	15.4		
Oxygen, Dissolved	mg/l	>4.0	5.1-9.9	7.0	4.3-9.6	7.2	5.0-9.5	7.2	4.7-10.7	7.7
pH	pH units	5.5 - 9.0							8.4-9.0	8.6
Phenol	mg/l	0.005	<0.0040	<0.0040	<0.0040	<0.0040	<0.0040	<0.0040		
Solids, Dissolved	mg/l	1500	300-352	326	380-390	385	370-621	496		
Sulfate	mg/l		120-153	137	150-153	152	130-174	152		
Temperature	°F								63-70	67
Threshold Odor #	#		<1.0-1.0	1.0	<1.0-1.0	1.0	<1.0-1.0	1.0		
Turbidity	units		<0.5-0.66	0.6	0.81-2.2	1.5	0.88-1.9	1.4		

- NOTES:** (1) Guidelines for general water quality parameters are based on the guidelines for warm water fishes as identified by the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection in Reference (5). The guidelines noted are for the Bull Run and Northeast Area Monitoring Station effluents and do not apply to sanitary effluent. Applicable sanitary effluent standards/guidelines are based on West Mifflin Ordinance 717.
- (2) The guideline is the geometric mean of five consecutive samples collected on different days for the period May 1 to September 30. The analysis results are the minimum and maximum geometric means of five consecutive samples collected on different days during this period.
- (3) The guideline is the geometric mean of five consecutive samples collected on different days for the period October 1 to April 30. The analysis results are the minimum and maximum geometric means of five consecutive samples collected on different days during this period.
- (4) These fecal coliform results do not include the results provided in Table 5 which are collected as part of the storm water sampling program. The results are from samples that were collected mainly during periods of little or no precipitation.

TABLE 7

ANNUAL INFLUENT AND EFFLUENT WATER QUALITY RESULTS - Units mg/l					
Parameter	Maximum Concentration Guideline ⁽¹⁾	City Water Influent	Bull Run Monitoring Station Effluent	Northeast Area Monitoring Station Effluent	Sanitary Effluent
Antimony	1.095	<0.030	<0.030	<0.030	
Arsenic	0.360	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	
Base Neutral/Acids	--- ⁽²⁾	--- ⁽²⁾	--- ⁽²⁾	--- ⁽²⁾	
Diethyl phthalate	4	<0.01	<0.01	0.016	
Beryllium		<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.00020	
Cadmium	0.0062	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	
Chromium, Hexavalent	0.015	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010
Chromium, Total		<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010
Copper	0.026	<0.010	0.022	<0.010	
Cyanide, Free	0.022	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050	<0.0050
Lead	0.137	<0.0030	0.0098	<0.0030	<0.0030
Mercury	0.0025	<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.00020
Nickel	2	<0.020	<0.020	<0.020	
Pesticides	--- ⁽²⁾	--- ⁽²⁾	--- ⁽²⁾	--- ⁽²⁾	
Polychlorinated Biphenyls	0.001	<0.001	<0.001	<0.001	
Selenium	0.020	<0.00050	<0.00050	<0.00050	
Silver	0.0082	<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.00020	<0.00020
Thallium	0.065	<0.010	<0.010	<0.010	
Volatile Organic Compounds	--- ⁽²⁾	--- ⁽²⁾	--- ⁽²⁾	--- ⁽²⁾	
Bromodichloromethane	--- ⁽³⁾	0.0173	0.0035	<0.005	
Chloroform	1.945	0.0522	0.0066	0.0019	
Dibromochloromethane	--- ⁽³⁾	0.0055	<0.005	<0.005	
Zinc	0.165	0.180	0.280	0.170	

- NOTES: (1) The maximum concentration guideline is based on the fish and aquatic life criteria provided by the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection in Reference (5). The guidelines are for the Bull Run and Northeast Area Monitoring Station effluents and do not apply to sanitary effluent. A hardness value of 150 mg/l was used to calculate several guidelines.
- (2) A complete listing of the compounds analyzed along with the associated guidelines are identified in Appendix A, Table 1, Chapter 16 of Reference (5). Analysis results for each compound analyzed were less than the minimum detection level for the parameter unless otherwise specified.
- (3) No specific guideline available.

The results in Table 5 show that all of the samples collected in accordance with the Site's NPDES Permit were within the established discharge limits. In addition, the general water quality results in Tables 6 and 7 show that the nonregulated parameter analysis results were within the water quality guidelines except for zinc. The zinc levels in the Bull Run Monitoring Station effluent (0.280 mg/l) and Northeast Area Monitoring Station effluent (0.170 mg/l) exceeded the calculated maximum concentration guideline (0.165 mg/l). This elevated zinc level in the Site effluents was primarily attributed to the elevated baseline zinc concentration (0.180 mg/l) in the city water influent.

The sample results for the storm water outfalls in Table 5 indicate the presence of fecal coliform bacteria. An investigation of the possible sources of the fecal coliforms indicated that the on-site sanitary sewer lines are not the cause for the presence of the fecal coliforms. Sampling has indicated that the levels of fecal coliforms in Outfall 001 are influenced by off-site storm water containing fecal coliforms. A review of EPA documents which discuss storm water quality indicates that the levels of fecal coliforms detected in the storm water outfalls are consistent with those found in typical urban storm water runoff.

Volatile organic compound samples were collected from the Bull Run Stream at locations BR1 and BR5 (Figure 4). The volatile organic compound results were less than the analytical laboratory's minimum detection levels, typically 0.005 mg/l.

For a six-month period, monthly samples were collected at the Bull Run and Northeast Area Monitoring Stations for two microbiocides used in the Site's cooling towers. These samples were collected in accordance with the Site's NPDES Permit in order to determine if the microbiocides were present in the effluent and if effluent limitations needed to be established. The microbiocides were not detected in any of the effluent samples and this information was reported to the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection in accordance with the NPDES Permit.

During 1997, a Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (PADEP) Water Quality Management Part II Permit and a modification of the Site's NPDES permit were obtained for the construction and operation of a Springwater Intercept System (SIS). The SIS collects and treats springs and seeps which flow into the Bull Run Stream. Construction of the SIS was completed in October 1997. The NPDES permit sets effluent limits for selected volatile organic compounds (VOC), suspended solids, dissolved iron, and pH. Under normal operating conditions, data indicate that the SIS effluent limits have been met. During periods of heavy or prolonged rainfall, the SIS design flow has been exceeded resulting in ground water bypassing the treatment system. In these cases, the VOC concentrations at the outfall would have exceeded the concentration limits in the NPDES permit. However, under the bypass condition, samples collected in Bull Run Stream at the property boundary were less than the effluent limits. Therefore, there was no overall adverse environmental impact during a bypass situation. Modifications are planned in 1998 to increase the SIS treatment capacity.

Based on the above, the control methods at the Site were effective in ensuring that the water discharged from the Site was of sufficiently high quality to preclude any adverse impact on the environment or downstream water quality.

B. GROUND WATER

The purpose of the ground water monitoring programs is to determine the impact of operations on the ground water. The nonradiological monitoring program is also performed to monitor for the migration of chemical residues in ground water.

Sources

The primary source of radioactivity and chemical constituents in the Site's ground water is from operations conducted in the 1950's and 1960's. During that time, small amounts of radioactivity were released from a few isolated locations on-site as a result of minor breaches in containers and underground pipes containing radioactive materials. Since that time, vigorous efforts to prevent recurrence of these problems have been successful, and much of the soil containing residual radioactivity has been removed. However, there remain some areas of soil within the Site confines that contain small amounts of radioactivity from past Site operations. Monitoring is conducted to detect any influence of this activity on water quality.

The chemical constituents originated from infrequent spills or the past practice of on-site disposal of small amounts of spent solvents, typically degreasing agents such as tetrachloroethylene from Site operations. It is also possible that solvent disposal by prior tenants when the Site was an airfield may have contributed to the ground water contamination. Since the early 1970s, these materials have been disposed of off-site.

Ground Water Monitoring

The samples and analyses specified below constitute the minimum samples and analyses conducted at the Site during 1997. The well locations and on-site springs are shown on Figure 5. The wells monitor five water-bearing zones under the Site down to and including the Pittsburgh Coal Water-Bearing Zone. These water-bearing zones, which are illustrated and discussed in detail in the Final RFI Report, Reference (1), are listed below in order of increasing depth: Perched, Benwood Limestone, Sewickley Sandstone, Pittsburgh Sandstone, and Pittsburgh Coal. The on-site springs and seeps are surface discharge points for water predominantly from the Benwood or Sewickley Water-Bearing Zones.

Seepage samples were not collected from the Inactive Waste Site and from Valley National Gases property during 1997 due to insufficient seepage runoff.

Radiological: Ground water samples, from on-site and off-site springs, select wells and a discharge point for the abandoned Pittsburgh Coal Mine under the site, were collected at least annually and analyzed typically for gross alpha, gross beta, strontium-90, gamma-emitters, and uranium isotopes.

Nonradiological: Ground water samples were collected from select wells and a discharge point for the abandoned Pittsburgh Coal Mine under the Site at least annually and analyzed for volatile organic compounds.

14061A01X

Ground Water Analyses

Radiological: Ground water analysis methods are discussed under Liquid Analyses in Section A.

Nonradiological: Analyses of ground water samples were performed using test methods described in Reference (3).

Ground Water Monitoring Results and Conclusions

Radiological: Table 8 presents the radioactivity data for the ground water monitored in 1997.

The radioactivity data for the Perched Water-Bearing Zone are consistent with natural background levels of radioactivity in ground water in this area with the exception of Wells 88 and 89 around the Materials Evaluation Laboratory (MEL). Wells 87, 88 and 89 were installed at the recommendation of the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection to monitor for migration of residual radioactivity from under the MEL. Consistent with previous years, strontium-90 was detected in Wells 88 and 89 at levels near or above background levels of strontium-90. Background levels of strontium-90 are present throughout the country from past atmospheric nuclear weapons testing. The highest detected concentration of 2.1×10^{-9} $\mu\text{Ci/ml}$ is far below the limit in Reference (4) for strontium-90 in water in unrestricted areas and is one-fourth the limit in Reference (6) for drinking water which is the most restrictive limit for strontium-90 in water. This strontium-90 is due to low levels of residual strontium-90 contamination in the soil under the MEL. Historically, nine of the eleven wells monitoring the Perched Water-Bearing Zone have been sampled on at least one occasion for radioactivity. The remaining two wells have not contained sufficient water for sampling. The 1997 data do not show any increases in the ground water radioactivity levels. Overall, other than low levels of strontium-90 in the wells around the MEL, Bettis-Pittsburgh operations have not affected the natural radioactivity in the Perched Water-Bearing Zone.

The radioactivity data for the Benwood Water-Bearing Zone wells that were sampled in 1997 are consistent with typical natural background levels with the exception the of unenriched uranium results which were slightly higher than typical background levels for Well 26 and the Bull Run Spring. Uranium has been handled at Bettis in both an unenriched form (that is, with the same uranium isotopic ratio as naturally occurring uranium) and in an enriched form (that is, with a higher uranium-235 isotopic ratio than naturally occurring uranium). Since the detected uranium is unenriched and the results are near background levels, it is not possible to determine if any of the uranium detected is present due to past Bettis operations or if the uranium is simply from uranium naturally present in the soil. Nonetheless, the uranium results for Well 26 and the Bull Run Spring are far below the limit in Reference (4) for uranium in water in unrestricted areas. Historically, 41 of 43 wells in the Benwood Zone have been sampled on at least one occasion for radioactivity and the results reported. The remaining two wells have not contained sufficient water for sampling. A review of the results from samples taken during previous years has shown that only Wells 17 and 18, located on the Inactive Waste Site, have been measurably affected by Bettis operations. Strontium-90 has been detected in Well 17 above background levels but well below the limit in Reference (4) for strontium-90 in water in unrestricted areas. Detectable levels of unenriched uranium in Wells 17 and 18, while above background concentrations, are well below the limit in Reference (4) for uranium in water in unrestricted areas. The presence and levels of these radionuclides are consistent with the low levels of residual radioactivity in soil in the Inactive Waste Site from past operations.

The radioactivity data for the Sewickley Sandstone Water-Bearing Zone wells that were sampled in 1997 are consistent with typical natural background levels with the possible exception of unenriched uranium in Well 51 which was present at a level slightly higher than typical background levels. Uranium has been handled at Bettis in both an unenriched form (that is, with the same uranium-235 isotopic ratio than naturally occurring uranium) and in an enriched form (that is, with a higher uranium-235 isotopic ratio than

naturally occurring uranium). Since the detected uranium is unenriched and the results are near background levels, it is not possible to determine if any of the uranium detected is present due to the low levels of residual radioactivity in the soil of the Inactive Waste site or if the uranium is simply from uranium naturally present in the soil. Regardless, the uranium result for Well 51 is far below the limit in Reference (4) for uranium in water in unrestricted areas. Historically, all 13 wells in the Sewickley Zone have been sampled on at least one occasion and the results reported. A review of the results from samples taken during previous years has shown that Wells 78 and 21D have contained unenriched uranium at levels slightly above typical background levels. Since these wells are remote from areas likely affected by Bettis operations, the uranium is unenriched, and the uranium concentrations are near typical background levels, the detected uranium is considered to be from uranium naturally present in the soil. Bettis operations have not had a significant effect on the natural radioactivity in the Sewickley Sandstone Water-Bearing Zone.

The radioactivity data for the Pittsburgh Sandstone Water-Bearing Zone wells that were sampled in 1997 are consistent with natural background levels. Historically, nine of 12 wells in the Pittsburgh Sandstone Zone have been sampled on at least one occasion and the results reported. The remaining three wells have not contained sufficient water for sampling. A previous sample taken from Well 58 in 1994 contained unenriched uranium above normal background. Well 58 is remote from areas likely affected by Bettis operations and the uranium in this well was considered to be from natural sources. Nevertheless, the levels of natural uranium are well below the limits of Reference (4) for this activity in water in unrestricted areas. Bettis operations have not affected the natural radioactivity in the Pittsburgh Sandstone Water-Bearing Zone.

The radioactivity data for the Pittsburgh Coal Water-Bearing Zone wells that were sampled in 1997 are consistent with natural background levels with the possible exception of Wells 44 and 44A. Wells 44 and 44A monitor the Pittsburgh Coal Water-Bearing Zone within a few feet of each other. Well 44A is set in a remaining coal pillar while Well 44 is set in a mined-out void. The results from Wells 44 and 44A indicate the presence of low levels of strontium-90 in three of six samples. Strontium-90 was also detected in Well 44 in 1996. The highest detected concentration was one-tenth the Reference (6) drinking water limit which is the most restrictive strontium-90 limit in water. Recent improvements in the strontium-90 analysis procedure have allowed for the detection of the strontium-90 at these low levels. The levels of strontium-90 detected are similar to levels of strontium-90 which have been detected in ground water around the country and attributed to past atmospheric nuclear weapons testing. Strontium-90 is present in the soil in the Inactive Waste Site from past Bettis operations and higher than background levels of strontium-90 have been detected in surface drainage from the Inactive Waste Site as discussed in past reports. It is not clear what part of the strontium-90 detected in Wells 44 and 44A is due to the presence of strontium-90 from past atmospheric nuclear weapons testing. Samples will continue to be taken from this zone in 1998 to monitor for the presence of strontium-90. Historically, seven of the eight wells in this zone have been sampled on at least one occasion. The remaining well has not contained sufficient water for sampling. Overall, Bettis operations have not had a significant effect on the natural radioactivity in the Pittsburgh Coal Water-Bearing Zone.

Some of the water in the abandoned Pittsburgh Coal Mine under the Site discharges into the Borough of Dravosburg combined sanitary/storm sewer system. Samples of this discharge were analyzed for radioactivity, and the results are in Table 8 as sample point DM176. The results are consistent with natural background levels of radioactivity and demonstrate that Site operations have not affected the natural radioactivity in this water.

In summary, the radiological operations at the Laboratory did not impact the ground water in 1997. A few wells contain low levels of radioactivity attributed to historical operations. The levels are all well below the levels of Reference (4). Overall, site operations have not significantly affected the natural radioactivity in the water-bearing zones under the Site.

TABLE 8

GROUND WATER RADIOACTIVITY RESULTS (Units 10^{-9} μ Ci/ml)									
Sample Point ⁽¹⁾	Water Bearing Zone ⁽²⁾	Gross Alpha	Gross Beta	Strontium-90	Cesium-137	Cobalt-60	Uranium-233/234 ⁽³⁾	Uranium-235	Uranium-238
W56	P	<9.0	<2.1	<0.23	<6.2	<5.6	0.20 $\pm$ 0.14	<0.09	0.26 $\pm$ 0.16
W87	P	16 $\pm$ 10	6.5 $\pm$ 4.8	<0.37	<6.0	<5.9	0.88 $\pm$ 0.43	<0.18	0.41 $\pm$ 0.28
W88	P	22 $\pm$ 13	13 $\pm$ 5.5	0.47 $\pm$ 0.37	<6.1	<5.3	0.98 $\pm$ 0.40	<0.13	0.77 $\pm$ 0.35
W89	P	22 $\pm$ 13	27 $\pm$ 6.3	2.1 $\pm$ 0.48	<6.2	<5.4	0.36 $\pm$ 0.18	<0.07	0.54 $\pm$ 0.22
W26	B	<6.1	<3.6	<0.43	<5.4	<4.6	2.2 $\pm$ 0.59	<0.14	1.4 $\pm$ 0.44
W35	B	<3.5	<1.9	<0.45	<6.0	<5.8	<0.16	<0.14	<0.16
W31	B	<7.6	4.0 $\pm$ 2.7	<0.47	<5.9	<5.4	0.37 $\pm$ 0.20	<0.09	0.39 $\pm$ 0.21
W57	B	<11	<4.1	<0.36	<6.2	<5.8	0.70 $\pm$ 0.35	<0.14	0.22 $\pm$ 0.19
W67	B	<7.2	<4.2	<0.37	<5.5	<5.1	0.69 $\pm$ 0.19	<0.03	0.36 $\pm$ 0.12
W80	B	8.4 $\pm$ 6.2	<2.1	<0.44	<5.4	<5.1	0.81 $\pm$ 0.27	<0.07	0.47 $\pm$ 0.20
W100	B	<9.5	<4.4	<0.28	<6.4	<5.4	<0.13	<0.27	<0.13
BRS	B	<9.7	6.0 $\pm$ 2.8	<0.35	<6.3	<5.2	5.0 $\pm$ 2.4	<1.90	3.3 $\pm$ 1.9
RQ20	B	<5.5	3.1 $\pm$ 2.7	<0.34	<5.8	<5.3	0.97 $\pm$ 0.52	<0.23	0.31 $\pm$ 0.28
BS	B	<6.9	4.3 $\pm$ 2.7	<0.35	<6.7	<4.9	0.95 $\pm$ 0.34	<0.16	0.40 $\pm$ 0.21
CYS	B	<4.8	3.3 $\pm$ 2.7	<0.36	<6.3	<4.5	0.48 $\pm$ 0.22	<0.14	0.36 $\pm$ 0.18
W36	SS	19 $\pm$ 8.0	4.5 $\pm$ 2.7	<0.51	<6.7	<6.2	2.0 $\pm$ 0.58	<0.11	1.2 $\pm$ 0.42
W51	SS	<5.2	<3.5	<0.44	<6.1	<5.8	2.6 $\pm$ 0.67	0.16 $\pm$ 0.14	2.5 $\pm$ 0.65
W98	SS	6.7 $\pm$ 5.8	<3.4	<0.40	<5.4	<4.9	0.15 $\pm$ 0.13	<0.16	<0.077
NES	SS	<4.9	7.6 $\pm$ 2.9	<0.33	<6.7	<5.6	0.57 $\pm$ 0.27	<0.11	0.30 $\pm$ 0.19

NOTE: Table 8 is continued on the following page.

TABLE 8 (Continued)

GROUND WATER RADIOACTIVITY RESULTS (Units 10^{-9} $\mu\text{Ci/ml}$)									
Sample Point ⁽¹⁾	Water Bearing Zone ⁽²⁾	Gross Alpha	Gross Beta	Strontium-90	Cesium-137	Cobalt-60	Uranium-233/234 ⁽³⁾	Uranium-235	Uranium-238
W46	PS	<4.6	<3.3	<0.46	<6.0	<5.6	0.39 ± 0.25	<0.15	0.22 ± 0.19
		<4.1	5.6 ± 4.2	<0.66	<6.2	<5.0	0.36 ± 0.26	<0.17	0.23 ± 0.21
W61	PS	<4.6	<3.3	<0.30	<6.3	<5.6	0.46 ± 0.27	<0.15	<0.16
W99	PS	8.8 ± 5.1	4.2 ± 2.6	<0.40	<6.7	<6.4	0.41 ± 0.20	<0.076	<0.12
W44	PC	<4.5	5.7 ± 4.3	<0.39	<6.2	<5.4	<0.080	<0.10	<0.11
		<4.6	6.5 ± 4.4	0.57 ± 0.44	<7.1	<5.9	<0.14	<0.17	<0.14
		<4.2	<3.3	<0.44	<6.7	<5.6	<0.14	<0.17	<0.14
W44A	PC	<4.5	9.9 ± 4.6	<0.54	<5.9	<5.9	<0.18	<0.16	<0.18
		<4.6	6.9 ± 4.4	0.83 ± 0.52	<5.0	<5.1	<0.063	<0.077	<0.10
		<4.0	<3.2	0.43 ± 0.41	<5.5	<5.4	<0.18	<0.22	<0.14
W45	PC	7.6 ± 6.7	5.9 ± 4.3	<0.40	<6.3	<5.5	<0.17	<0.15	<0.17
		<3.4	6.3 ± 4.1	<0.31	<6.4	<6.3	0.19 ± 0.17	<0.14	<0.12
		<3.2	<3.1	<0.46	<6.6	<5.1	<0.14	<0.17	<0.14
W48	PC	<6.8	<3.8	<0.30	<6.7	<6.3	<0.12	<0.15	<0.16
W63	PC	7.3 ± 6.3	4.5 ± 2.9	<0.40	<6.1	<5.8	0.24 ± 0.12	<0.11	0.20 ± 0.11
W95	PC	<4.1	<3.5	<0.46	<6.5	<5.6	<0.16	<0.12	<0.095
DM176	PC	18 ± 11	5.5 ± 5.3	<0.30	<6.1	<5.6	1.1 ± 0.47	<0.22	1.1 ± 0.46
		17 ± 8.9	7.2 ± 4.5	<0.36	<6.3	<4.9	<0.71	<0.88	<0.71
GF	BKG	<4.6	16 ± 3.4	<0.35	<6.7	<5.3	0.45 ± 0.27	<0.14	0.33 ± 0.23

- Notes: (1) W56 = Monitoring Well No. 56, RQ20 = RQ-20 Spring, BS = Buono Spring, NES = Northeast Spring, DM176 = Coal Mine Drainage, BRS = Bull Run Spring, CYS = CY Spring, GF = Gasowski Farm
 (2) P = Perched Water-Bearing Zone, B = Benwood Water-Bearing Zone, SS = Sewickley Sandstone Water-Bearing Zone, PS = Pittsburgh Sandstone Water-Bearing Zone, PC = Pittsburgh Coal Water-Bearing Zone, BKG = Off-site Background Spring
 (3) The analytical method cannot distinguish between U-233 and U-234. The results are attributed to U-234 based on the source of the radioactivity.

Nonradiological: The results of the analyses of ground water for the chemical constituents of concern are presented in Table 9. The results are summarized below.

Previous environmental monitoring results have shown that the chemical contaminants of concern in Site ground water are volatile organic compounds, primarily tetrachloroethylene and its degradation products, trichloroethylene and trans-1,2-dichloroethylene. Ground water samples analyzed during the RCRA Facility Investigation showed the following average total volatile organic compound level in the water-bearing zones: Perched-0.1 mg/l; Benwood Limestone-1.3 mg/l; Sewickley Sandstone-5.9 mg/l; and Pittsburgh Sandstone-0.065 mg/l. Volatile organic compounds associated with Site operations have not been detected above the analytical laboratory's minimum detection levels in the Pittsburgh Coal Water-Bearing Zone. The 1997 well water monitoring results for volatile organic compounds are consistent with previous results.

Some of the water in the abandoned Pittsburgh Coal Mine under the Site discharges into the Borough of Dravosburg sanitary/storm sewer system. A sample (DM176) of this discharge was analyzed for volatile organic compounds. None of the volatile organic compounds associated with Site operations were detected in this discharge. This result is consistent with previous sample results.

The 1997 ground water monitoring results are consistent with previous results and they do not show any changes in the migration pattern of chemical residues in the ground water.

TABLE 9

GROUND WATER NONRADIOLOGICAL VOC RESULTS ⁽¹⁾			
Units: mg/l			
Water-Bearing Zone	Sample Location	Tetrachloroethylene	Trichloroethylene
PERCHED	W88	0.0088 B	<0.005
	W89	0.0093	0.0025 J
BENWOOD	W31	<0.005	0.0022 J
	W35	0.0012 J	<0.005
	W57	<0.005	<0.005
	W67	<0.005	<0.005
	W80	<0.005	<0.005
	W92	0.0015 J,B	<0.005
	W93	0.0416	0.0102
	W100	<0.005	<0.005
SEWICKLEY SANDSTONE	W36	0.0020 J	0.0022 J
	W51	3.857	0.4794
	W97	0.0033 J,B	<0.005
	W98	0.0021 J,B	<0.005
PITTSBURGH SANDSTONE	W46	<0.005	<0.005
	W61	0.0036 J,B	<0.005
	W75	<0.005	<0.005
	W96	0.0038 J,B	<0.005
	W99	<0.005	<0.005
PITTSBURGH COAL MINE	DM176 ⁽²⁾	<0.005	<0.005
	W45	<0.005	<0.005
	W48	<0.005	<0.005
	W63	<0.005	<0.005
	W95	<0.005	<0.005

NOTES: (1) Samples were analyzed for approximately 39 volatile organic compounds. Only the results for the potential contaminants of concern are reported. Results for the other volatile organic compounds were typically less than the minimum detection level which was generally 0.005 mg/l.

The reported results represent the maximum results where more than one sample was analyzed from a sample location. Data qualifiers: J = analyte present, but reported value may not be accurate or precise; B = analyte not detected substantially above the level reported in laboratory or field blanks (not considered a positive result). Other laboratory contaminants such as acetone and methylene chloride were also detected in the laboratory or field blanks.

(2) Sample of Pittsburgh Coal Mine water discharge into the Dravosburg sanitary/storm sewer system.

C. AIRBORNE EFFLUENTS

The purpose of the airborne effluent monitoring program is to determine the effectiveness of control methods, to measure concentrations in effluents for comparison with applicable standards and natural background levels, and to assess the effect of any inadvertent releases to the environment.

Sources

Sources of radioactive airborne materials included operations associated with examining nuclear fuel and reactor materials. All areas of the Site wherein unencapsulated radioactive materials were handled were equipped with wet scrubbers or filtered exhaust systems. The high efficiency particulate air (HEPA) filters were preceded as necessary by prefilters to remove dust and large particulates. Charcoal filters were installed in select air handling systems to control potential gaseous radioactivity releases. HEPA filters were tested upon installation and at least annually thereafter to assure that high removal efficiencies (99.95%) are maintained.

Sources of airborne effluents not related to radiological operations were heating systems, such as gas and oil fueled boilers and space heaters, and small-scale operations involving chemicals. Fuel-burning and combustion equipment were operated in compliance with the Allegheny County Air Operating Permit application. The Site Air Operating Permit application was submitted to Allegheny County in November 1995 for air emissions at the Site. The Air Operating Permit application replaces the previous individual unit air operating permits. Issuance of the Site Air Operating Permit is anticipated in the near future. Air exhausted from the Site's fume hoods was treated, where appropriate, by filtration or wet scrubbers to minimize the release of materials.

Airborne Monitoring

The samples and analyses specified below constitute the minimum samples and analyses conducted at the Site during 1997.

Radiological: Radioactive airborne effluents from the Site were continuously monitored using fixed-filter air stations operated at a constant, metered sample flow rate. Particulate radioactivity in the effluents was collected on 0.8 micron millipore filters. Gaseous radionuclides, primarily iodine-131 and antimony-125, from appropriate exhausts were monitored using charcoal impregnated filters. Select exhausts were specifically monitored for plutonium-238, europium-152, uranium-233, cesium-137, and strontium-90. Filters were collected and analyzed at least weekly for gross alpha and gross beta radioactivity with the exception of the filter from the inactive L-Building facility which was collected and analyzed quarterly. Additionally, the charcoal filters were analyzed for gamma-emitters. Quarterly, the particulate filters from each exhaust were composited and analyzed for gross alpha, gross beta, strontium-90, and gamma-emitters. In addition, sampling for the short half-life (55 seconds) radon-220 from former thorium handling areas was performed annually using a charcoal filter cold-trap method. The Site's radiological exhaust monitoring systems were in compliance with the EPA's requirements in Reference (7).

Background airborne particulate radioactivity was monitored continuously using fixed-filter air samplers positioned off-site at locations (Large, PA and West Mifflin, PA) which are approximately 5 miles and 1.5 miles, respectively, from the Site. These air filters were collected and analyzed weekly for gross alpha and gross beta radioactivity.

Nonradiological: There were no major chemical operations at the Site during 1997 which might generate significant quantities of airborne chemical pollutants. Estimates of particulate and gaseous emissions were used to ensure that applicable standards were met. Monitoring of nonradiological emissions was not required or necessary.

Airborne Analyses

Radiological: Particulate filter samples were analyzed for gross alpha and gross beta radioactivity at approximately 48 hours after collection to permit the decay of the naturally occurring, short-lived radon-thoron daughter products accumulated on the filters. The alpha and beta radioactivities were measured using a lead-shielded, gas-flow proportional counter. Quarterly, the sample filters were composited and analyzed for gross alpha and beta radioactivity as well as gamma-emitters. Typical minimum detection levels for gross alpha and beta radioactivity were 2.0×10^{-16} $\mu\text{Ci/ml}$ and 4.0×10^{-16} $\mu\text{Ci/ml}$, respectively.

Gamma analyses were conducted using a high resolution Germanium-Lithium or Germanium detector and a multichannel analyzer. A typical minimum detection level for cesium-137 was 8.0×10^{-16} $\mu\text{Ci/ml}$. Uranium and plutonium analyses of selected composites were performed using alpha spectrometry. Typical detection levels for uranium-233 and plutonium-238 were 1.0×10^{-16} $\mu\text{Ci/ml}$ and 2.0×10^{-16} $\mu\text{Ci/ml}$, respectively. In addition, strontium-90 analyses were performed on selected quarterly filter composites from potential source areas. A typical minimum detection level for strontium-90 was 8.0×10^{-16} $\mu\text{Ci/ml}$.

Charcoal impregnated air filters were analyzed for gross alpha and gross beta radioactivity and for specific gamma-emitting radionuclides. Gamma analyses were performed using a Germanium-Lithium or Germanium gamma spectrometry system. A typical minimum detection level for gaseous iodine-131 was 2.0×10^{-14} $\mu\text{Ci/ml}$.

The charcoal filters used for measuring radon-220 releases were analyzed using the high resolution Germanium-Lithium or Germanium detector. The radon-220 concentrations were determined from the characteristic photo-peak of lead-212 which is the principal gamma-emitting product of radon-220 decay.

Nonradiological: Discharges of nonradioactive airborne pollutants were not significant enough to require airborne monitoring. Estimates of emissions were performed, as necessary, to ensure that applicable standards were met.

Airborne Monitoring Results and Conclusions

Radiological: The results of the monitoring of particulate and gaseous radioactivity effluents from the Site during 1997 are summarized in Table 10. Specific results from the airborne monitoring program are discussed below. Although comparisons of the Site's particulate airborne radioactivity results were made to background particulate radioactivity levels measured at off-site locations, no background corrections were made to the Site's release results.

The average concentration of airborne particulate gross alpha radioactivity released during 1997 was less than 1.1×10^{-15} $\mu\text{Ci/ml}$ and the average concentration of airborne particulate gross beta radioactivity was less than 3.8×10^{-15} $\mu\text{Ci/ml}$. In comparison, the average background gross alpha and gross beta airborne particulate radioactivity measured at the off-site locations were 2.0×10^{-15} $\mu\text{Ci/ml}$ and 2.4×10^{-14} $\mu\text{Ci/ml}$, respectively. Thus, on average, the gross alpha and gross beta airborne particulate radioactivity concentrations in air emitted from the Site were approximately two and six times, respectively, less than background airborne radioactivity levels due to the Site's filtration systems on the radiological exhausts.

There were no detectable releases of plutonium-238, europium-152, or uranium-233 during 1997. The concentrations of these radionuclides listed in Table 10 are based on the minimum detection levels of the analyses. The majority of the cesium-137 results were also less than the minimum detection level, but cesium-137 was detected in the effluent from one facility at a maximum concentration of 2.3×10^{-15} $\mu\text{Ci/ml}$; this value is well below the Federal limit of 2.0×10^{-10} $\mu\text{Ci/ml}$.

TABLE 10

AIRBORNE EFFLUENT RADIOACTIVITY RESULTS ⁽¹⁾		
Activity	Average Concentration At Release Point ⁽²⁾ (μCi/ml)	Limit At Site Boundary ⁽³⁾ (μCi/ml)
Effluent Gross Alpha	≤1.1E-15	6.0E-15 ⁽⁴⁾
Background Gross Alpha	2.0E-15	
Effluent Gross Beta	≤3.8E-15	3.0E-11 ⁽⁵⁾
Background Gross Beta	2.4E-14	
Plutonium-238	<1.3E-16	2.0E-14
Europium-152	<3.3E-15	3.0E-11
Uranium-233	<5.0E-17	5.0E-14
Cesium-137	≤8.1E-16	2.0E-10

- NOTES: (1) For radioactivity with half-lives greater than one day.
 (2) < signifies the data are below the minimum detection level; ≤ signifies that both detectable and less than minimum detection levels contributed to the average concentrations.
 (3) Nuclear Regulatory Commission limit as prescribed in Title 10, Code of Federal Regulations, Part 20 for air in uncontrolled regions.
 (4) Based on thorium-232.
 (5) Based on strontium-90.

There were no detectable releases of gaseous radioactivity, such as antimony-125 and iodine-131, with half-lives greater than one day. During 1997, there were no operations conducted which would have generated these types of gaseous radioactivity or other long-lived gaseous radionuclides with half-lives greater than one day such as krypton-85.

Based on the results of radon-220 effluent measurements and pathway evaluations, the average concentration of radon-220 at the location of the nearest off-site receptors was estimated to be approximately 2.4×10^{-12} μCi/ml. This level is below the Federal limit of 3.0×10^{-11} μCi/ml for radon-220 in the air of uncontrolled areas. The releases of the very short-lived (55 seconds) radon-220 gaseous radioactivity from the Site resulted in no adverse effect on the surrounding environment and did not result in radiation exposures above the EPA and DOE radiation dose standards.

The total airborne radioactivity released from the Site with radionuclide decay half-lives greater than one day was less than 0.0000026 (2.6×10^{-6}) Curie.

The results of the Site's airborne radiological effluent monitoring program demonstrate the effectiveness of control methods. The results also show that the amount of airborne radioactivity released was too small to result in any measurable change in the background radioactivity levels in the environment. The concentrations of radioactivity released from the Site during 1997 were below the applicable limits for radioactivity in ambient air. Furthermore, the estimated radiation dose to any member of the public from

the airborne radioactivity released was too low to measure and was significantly below the radiation protection standard established by the EPA in Reference (7).

Nonradiological: The results of evaluations and calculations for the Site's sources of airborne chemical pollutants are summarized below.

Heating System Exhaust

The boilers and space heating systems at the Site were fueled with natural gas and Number 2 distillate fuel oil. The heating systems were operated in accordance with the Allegheny County Health Department, Article XXI, Air Pollution Control regulations. Conservative estimates of the pollutant releases utilizing EPA emission factors were made. These calculations showed that the airborne emissions from the Site's heating systems are well below the applicable local limits identified in Reference (8).

Exhausts from Site Fume Hoods

Chemical vapors discharged by the Site's fume hoods were similar to those from other research labs engaged in analytical chemistry and development efforts. On the basis of the small scale of the operations, the types of material handled, and the treatment provided, it was concluded that the Site fume hood exhausts did not have any adverse effect on the environment.

Asbestos Removal Work

Asbestos removal work was conducted in compliance with the Federal, State and local requirements to limit the potential discharge of asbestos fibers to the environment. During 1997, the Allegheny County Health Department conducted 14 clearance inspections of asbestos abatement projects and passed all areas for release of the work area to the public.

D. STREAM SEDIMENT, SOIL, AND VEGETATION

The purpose of the stream sediment radiological monitoring program is to monitor for the migration of existing radioactive residues. The purpose of the stream vegetation radiological monitoring program is to monitor for the uptake of radioactivity into stream vegetation.

The purpose of the nonradiological sediment sampling program is to monitor for migration of chemical residues in the Site's effluent streams. The purpose of the radiological and nonradiological soil sampling program is to monitor for the migration of chemical and radioactive residues.

Sources

The source of radioactivity in the Bull Run Stream basin is from operations conducted in the 1950's and 1960's. A significant amount of contaminated soil along Bull Run was removed many years ago and disposed of off-site at a DOE burial ground.

The source of soil contamination in the wet-weather drainage ditch on Valley National Gases property is from residual chemical and radioactive contaminants in the Inactive Waste Site.

Sediment, Soil, and Vegetation Monitoring

Radiological: Routine sediment samples were collected semiannually from the streambeds of the Site's effluent streams and from an off-site control stream, as shown in Figure 6. Seven sediment samples were collected along the length of the Bull Run and Thompson Run Streams. One sample each was collected from the Northeast Area Stream, Buono Spring, and the Streets Run Stream. The sediment sample from the Streets Run Stream is a background sample since there are no known nuclear or radiological facilities in operation which could release radioactive effluents to this stream. In addition, sediment samples were collected from the catch basins at the Bull Run and Northeast Area Monitoring Stations and from Storm Sewer manholes upstream of the Bull Run Monitoring Station (Manholes 7 and 45.1). Duplicate aliquots of sediment samples were analyzed for gross alpha and gross beta radioactivity. Single aliquots were analyzed for strontium-90 and gamma-emitters.

Soil samples from Valley National Gases for radiological analysis are collected every other year. Samples were last collected in 1996 and will be collected again in 1998.

Vegetation samples were collected annually from select locations in and along the Site's effluent streams. The vegetation samples were analyzed for strontium-90 activity and gamma-emitters.

Nonradiological: Sediment was collected annually from the catch basins at the Bull Run and Northeast Area Monitoring Stations and from Storm Sewer Manhole #7. The sediment samples were analyzed for polychlorinated biphenyls, volatile organic compounds, and mercury.

Soil samples were collected annually from Valley National Gases property and analyzed for polychlorinated biphenyls, polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons, and volatile organic compounds.

FIGURE 6 SEDIMENT AND VEGETATION MONITORING LOCATIONS

Sediment, Soil, and Vegetation Analyses

Radiological: The sediment samples were oven-dried, milled, homogenized, and screened to remove large stones and extraneous materials. The vegetation samples were air dried, chipped, and blended. Aliquots of the homogenized sediment samples were uniformly distributed on a planchet and the alpha and beta radioactivities were measured by counting the dried samples using a lead shielded, gas-flow proportional counter. Gamma analyses were performed on the sediment and vegetation by counting the dried samples with a gamma spectrometry system. Typical minimum detection levels for the gamma analyses were 0.1 pCi/g for sediment and 0.03 pCi/g for vegetation samples.

Strontium-90 analyses of the samples were performed utilizing a standard strontium radiochemical procedure. The strontium was chemically separated and the sample was counted for beta radioactivity using the low background proportional counter described above. Typical minimum detection levels for strontium-90 ranged from 0.1 - 0.3 pCi/g.

Nonradiological: Analysis of sediments and soils were performed using test methods described in Reference (3).

Sediment, Soil, and Vegetation Monitoring Results and Conclusions

Radiological: The results of the radioactivity analyses of sediments and vegetation are summarized in Tables 11 and 12.

The alpha and beta radioactivity levels in the Bull Run Stream, Thompson Run Stream, the Northeast Area Stream, and Buono Spring sediment samples were consistent with the alpha and beta radioactivity levels in the background (Streets Run) stream sediment.

Low levels of cesium-137 radioactivity, up to a maximum concentration of 3.4 pCi/g, were detected in the sediment samples from the Bull Run and Thompson Run Streams. This radioactivity is from effluent releases which occurred more than 30 years ago. One of the two samples collected from the Northeast Area Stream showed a positive cesium-137 level of 0.14 ± 0.14 pCi/g. This value is consistent with levels of cesium-137 found throughout the environment due to fallout from past atmospheric nuclear weapons testing and is not indicative of Bettis radioactivity. The levels identified in 1997 are consistent with levels detected in past years. The radioactivity levels for cobalt-60 and strontium-90 in the sediment from the Bull Run, Thompson Run, and the Northeast Area Streams, and the Buono Spring were below minimum detection levels and were consistent with background radioactivity levels.

The analysis results of a sample of sediment taken from Manhole 7 were consistent with the alpha and beta radioactivity levels in the background stream sediment. Initial sample results of sediment taken from Manhole 45.1 revealed the presence of uranium-235 at a level of 33 pCi/g and uranium-238 at a level of 160 pCi/g. The source of these isotopes is likely due to historic operations conducted during the 1950s and 1960s. The sediment was at the bottom of the Manhole 45.1 sump which extends approximately two feet below the manhole outlet and serves as a collection point for sediment. Due to the depth of the sump, the sediment was not likely to exit the manhole. However, the sediment has been removed from this manhole. The water from manholes 7 and 45.1 is discharged to the Bull Run Stream via the Bull Run Monitoring Station. Additional composite water samples collected at the Bull Run Monitoring Station during clean out of Manhole 45.1 did not contain any uranium above minimum detectable levels.

Radioactivity levels in vegetation collected in the Site's effluent streams, Thompson Run Stream, and the Buono Spring were below minimum detection levels and were consistent with background radioactivity levels in vegetation.

TABLE 11

STREAM SEDIMENT RADIOACTIVITY RESULTS ⁽¹⁾ Units pCi/g					
Sample Location	Analyses				
	Gross Alpha	Gross Beta	Gross Strontium-90	Cesium-137	Cobalt-60
Bull Run/ Thompson Run Streams	7.9 ± 5.4 to 14 ± 5.8	21 ± 4.1 to 31 ± 4.9	<0.14 to <0.23	0.38 ± 0.14 to 3.4 ± 0.39	<0.073 to <0.13
Buono Spring	<3.1 to 9.8 ± 5.4	12 ± 4.0 to 14 ± 3.9	<0.21	<0.066 to <0.095	<0.076 to <0.087
Northeast Area Stream	9.6 ± 5.2 to 14 ± 5.9	28 ± 4.3 to 29 ± 4.6	<0.17	<0.095 to 0.14 ± 0.14	<0.099 to <0.13
Background (Streets Run Stream)	<3.1 to 8.9 ± 5.1	20 ± 4.0 to 22 ± 4.3	<0.17	<0.079 to <0.087	<0.082 to <0.091

NOTES: (1) < signifies the data are below the minimum detection level.

TABLE 12

STREAM VEGETATION RADIOACTIVITY RESULTS ⁽¹⁾ Units pCi/g			
Sample Location	Analyses		
	Strontium-90	Cobalt-60	Cesium-137
Bull Run/Thompson Run	<0.12	<0.023	<0.019
Buono Spring	<0.086	<0.028	<0.022
Northeast Area	<0.051	<0.016	<0.014
Background	<0.110	<0.037	<0.036

NOTES: (1) < signifies the data are below the minimum detection level. The reported results represent the maximum results where more than one sample was analyzed from a sample location.

In summary, the data did not indicate any uptake of residual radioactivity in stream vegetation or any significant changes in the residual radioactivity levels in stream sediments.

Nonradiological: The results of the nonradiological soil and sediment samples collected and analyzed in 1997 are provided below.

In 1997, soil samples were collected on Valley National Gases property, Figure 7, in the developed portion of the site downgradient of the wet-weather drainage ditch. The sample results are shown in Table 13. Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) were not detected in any sample above the analytical laboratory's minimum detection level. Only one of three samples contained a polychlorinated biphenyl isomer (PCB 1260 at 0.106 mg/kg) above the analytical laboratory's minimum detection level. These results are consistent with previous data for this area and do not indicate any build-up of chemical residue in this area.

TABLE 13

VALLEY NATIONAL GASES INC. SOIL NONRADIOLOGICAL RESULTS ⁽¹⁾						
SAMPLE LOCATION	VOCs (mg/kg)			PCBs ⁽²⁾ (mg/kg)		PAHs
	PCE	TCE	DCE	1254	1260	B(a)P
VW-01	<0.005 UL, h ⁽³⁾	<0.005 UL, h	<0.005 UL, h	<0.050	0.106	<0.33
VW-02	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.050	<0.050	<0.33
VW-03	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	<0.050	<0.050	<0.33

NOTES: (1) The results represent the maximum results where more than one sample was analyzed for a sample location.

(2) Samples were analyzed for 39 volatile organic compounds (VOCs), seven different polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) isomers, and 17 polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbon (PAHs). Results are presented only for the potential contaminants of concern. PCE = Tetrachloroethylene; TCE = Trichloroethylene; DCE = Trans-1,2-dichloroethylene; and B(a)P = Benzo (a) Pyrene.

(3) UL, h = Analyte not detected, but quantitation limit may be higher due to sample being analyzed after the holding time.

The results of sediment sampling in the site's storm sewer system and in the stream that receives the majority of the storm sewer discharges are shown in Table 14. The sample locations are shown in Figure 4. The results show the presence of low levels of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), volatile organic compounds (VOCs), and mercury in some of the storm sewer sediment. The PCB results ranged from < 0.050 to 3.7 mg/kg. The concentration of tetrachloroethylene (PCE), the primary VOC of concern, ranged from < 0.005 to 2.09 mg/kg, and mercury ranged from <0.059 to 0.18 mg/kg. These results are consistent with previous data. Traces of PCE, to a maximum concentration of 0.018 mg/kg were found in samples from the Bull Run Stream. The sources of these chemicals are most likely the residual contamination in and around the storm sewer lines and in the ground water (springs and seeps) which discharge into Bull Run Stream and they are discussed in detail in Reference (1). Further details on the conclusions of Reference (1) are provided in the "Assessment of Risk from Chemical Residues" section toward the back of this report.

TABLE 14

STORM SEWER AND STREAM SEDIMENT NONRADIOLOGICAL RESULTS ⁽¹⁾						
SAMPLE LOCATION	VOCs (mg/kg)			PCBs (mg/kg)		Hg (mg/kg)
	PCE	TCE	DCE	1254	1260	
Bull Run Monitoring Station	0.005	<0.005	<0.005	0.056	<0.050	<0.059
BR1	0.018	<0.005	<0.005	NA	NA	NA
BR5	0.005	<0.005	<0.005	NA	NA	NA
Northeast Area Monitoring Station	<0.005	<0.005	<0.005	0.07	<0.050	0.073
Manhole #7 ⁽²⁾	2.09	0.031 J ⁽³⁾	<0.050	<0.250	3.7	0.18

NOTES: (1) The results represent the maximum result where more than one sample was analyzed for a sample location. Samples were analyzed for 39 volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and seven different polychlorinated biphenyl (PCB) isomers. Results are presented only for the potential contaminants of concern. PCE = Tetrachloroethylene; TCE = Trichloroethylene; DCE = Trans-1,2-dichloroethylene; and Hg = Mercury.

(2) Sediment removed during 1997.

(3) J = Analyte Present. Reported value may not be accurate or precise.

As mentioned in the 1996 Environmental Monitoring Report, small bead-like polyethylene particles, which contain approximately 7% lead by weight, were discovered in an outdoor area on Bettis property. The EPA approved the formal investigative work plan for this area in April 1997. Subsequently, the work plan was completed and a draft report of the investigation was provided to EPA in October 1997. The report concluded that lead contamination was restricted to the top few inches of soil in the area where the beads were observed on the surface. An evaluation of the impact of the lead-contaminated soil on ground water was included in the report. The report concluded that although the lead contamination is not a threat to ground water, removal of the beads and entrained soil for proper disposal off-site is a viable and prudent corrective measure. This corrective measure will be included in the Corrective Measures Implementation Consent Order that the EPA will issue in the near future.

E. RADIATION MONITORING

The purpose of the environmental radiation monitoring program is to measure and verify that Site operations do not alter the natural radiation background around the Site.

Radiation Sources

The sources of radiation at the Site include small specimens of irradiated and unirradiated fuel materials which were handled, processed, and stored at the Site. There are no nuclear reactors at the Site.

Radiation Monitoring

Environmental radiation levels were monitored in the vicinity of the Site with a network of lithium fluoride thermoluminescent dosimeters (TLDs). The approximate locations of the Site TLD monitors are shown on Figure 8. Control TLD monitors were posted at locations remote from the Site to measure the natural background radiation levels typical for western Pennsylvania. The control TLDs were located in nearby communities (Pleasant Hills, Reserve Township, Greensburg, Mt. Pleasant, Elizabeth, and Port Vue, PA). All TLD monitors were posted for quarterly exposure periods.

In addition to the TLD network, which was the primary monitoring method, a radiation survey was conducted around the site perimeter.

Radiation Analyses

The environmental TLDs were calibrated by a 25 millirem (mrem) exposure to a cesium-137 standard source. Only TLDs which exhibited a response within $\pm 10\%$ of the mean response were selected for environmental radiation monitoring. The TLD radiation exposures were measured quarterly utilizing an automated TLD readout system which was calibrated prior to the processing of the TLDs. TLD results were corrected to assure that the environmental TLDs reflected only the exposure received during the time they were posted in the environment.

The perimeter radiation survey was conducted using a low-level radiation survey meter (PRM-7). This meter was calibrated with cesium-137 within six months prior to use and source checked for proper operation immediately prior to use.

Radiation Monitoring Results and Conclusions

The TLD results in Table 15 summarize the radiation levels measured during each quarter. The annual average radiation exposure for the on-site TLDs was 78 mrem. The annual average radiation exposure at the off-site locations was 74 mrem. A statistical t-ratio test (Student-t) was used to evaluate the on-site and the off-site TLD radiation exposure. The results demonstrated that the exposure received by an individual located at the site perimeter was not different from that received from background radiation in the surrounding area.

The radiation dose rates measured during the perimeter radiation survey averaged approximately 0.0095 mrem/hr which, integrated over the TLD exposure period in 1997, predicted an annual exposure of 83 mrem.

TABLE 15

THERMOLUMINESCENT DOSIMETER (TLD) ENVIRONMENTAL RADIATION RESULTS						
Quarterly Exposure Period	ON-SITE RADIATION RESULTS			OFF-SITE BACKGROUND RESULTS		
	Number of Meas.	Range (mrem)	Average (mrem)	Number of Meas.	Range (mrem)	Average (mrem)
First	20	15-25	18.6	7	10-24	17.4
Second	21	18-31	22.5	7	13-31	21.3
Third	21	15-26	19	6	12-31	18.7
Fourth	21	14-25	18.7	6	11-21	16.8

The 1997 radiation monitoring results were consistent with the range of natural radiation levels of 82 to 104 mrem/year observed in a U.S. Public Health Service survey of the northwest and southwest areas of Pennsylvania, Reference (9).

Also, the radiation monitoring results were consistent with the range of natural radiation levels of 79 to 105 mrem/year determined by an aerial radiation survey, Reference (10), that encompassed a 100-square-mile area around the Site. Therefore, it was concluded that the radiation exposure to the general public at the site perimeter was not above the exposure received from natural background radiation. The data show that site operations did not cause any measurable change in the natural radiation environment surrounding the Site.

CONTROL OF CHEMICAL AND HAZARDOUS WASTES

Origin

Operations at the Site resulted in the generation of various types of chemical and hazardous wastes. These wastes included acid solutions, polychlorinated biphenyls, photographic solutions, oil solutions containing metals and organic solvents, batteries, and organic solvent contaminated solids.

Control Program

Hazardous wastes were managed in accordance with environmental regulations of the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. Nonhazardous chemical wastes were managed in accordance with the Site's National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit or other applicable Federal and State, or local regulations. The control programs minimized the quantity of waste generated, assured safe handling and storage of the wastes on-site, and provided for proper disposal of the wastes.

Specific training was provided to personnel who handled hazardous wastes to assure that they were knowledgeable of safe handling techniques and emergency response procedures. Chemical wastes were accumulated in designated staging and storage areas where they were segregated and packaged for shipment. Storage and accumulation areas were inspected periodically to verify that hazardous wastes were properly stored and controlled in accordance with approved procedures. Waste was stored only as necessary to accumulate sufficient volume for economical shipment to a waste disposal vendor.

The Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection conducted an on-site inspection of the hazardous waste management program in 1997. The Site was found to be in compliance. No violations or items of noncompliance were identified with current operations.

Disposal

No chemical or hazardous wastes were disposed of on the Site. Approximately 12,100 pounds of chemical and hazardous waste were shipped off-site to permitted facilities for final disposition. Written documentation was received from these facilities verifying that the waste was received and handled in accordance with requirements. The Site chose vendors with treatment and disposal methods that would reduce long-term concerns and threats to the environment.

CONTROL OF RADIOACTIVE WASTES

Origin

Operations at the Site resulted in the generation of various types of low-level radioactive wastes. These wastes included liquids, filters, metal scrap, rags, resin, paper, soil, plastic, and decontamination debris.

Control Program

All radioactive wastes were managed in accordance with the DOE Naval Nuclear Propulsion Program radiological control requirements.

The volume of waste containing radioactivity was minimized through the use of detailed work procedures and worker training to limit the amount of material that contacted radioactivity during work on radioactive systems and components; waste compaction was also used.

Detailed procedures were used during generation, handling, packaging, and transportation of radioactive waste material. Radioactive wastes shipped off-site were packaged in accordance with applicable DOE disposal site criteria and the U. S. Department of Transportation regulations in Reference (11). In addition, all radioactive liquid wastes were solidified prior to shipment. Internal reviews were made prior to each shipment to ensure that the radioactive material was properly identified, surveyed, and packaged in accordance with Federal and disposal site requirements.

Disposal/Treatment/Recycling

Radioactive waste was shipped off-site for disposal. In addition, some radioactive mixed waste was shipped to a DOE-owned facility for processing and treatment. During 1997, approximately 726 cubic meters of radioactive waste, which contained a total of 15.7 Curies of radioactivity, were shipped from the Bettis Site. As a waste minimization technique, 21 cubic meters of radioactive scrap metals were shipped off-site to a commercial radioactive material processing facility for radioactive metal recycling. The total amount of radioactive material shipped off-site for disposal or recycling is less than 1% of the volume of low-level radioactive waste typically dispositioned annually by all DOE-owned facilities.

CONTROL OF MIXED WASTES

Origin

A mixed waste is a waste that is both chemically hazardous and radioactive. Operations at the Site resulted in the generation of a very small quantity of mixed wastes. These wastes included acidic solutions, chromate solutions, oils, soil, and debris.

Control Program

The Naval Nuclear Propulsion Program has agreed to apply Pennsylvania hazardous waste requirements to the hazardous constituents in mixed waste prior to Pennsylvania being authorized by the EPA to regulate mixed waste. All mixed wastes were managed in accordance with the Bettis Mixed Waste Management Plan which was concurred with by the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection. In addition, the DOE and the EPA signed a Consent Agreement and Consent Order for the management and treatment of mixed waste.

Since mixed wastes are chemically hazardous and radioactive, the controls for hazardous wastes were applied to the hazardous constituents and the controls for radioactive wastes were applied to the radioactive constituents.

In July 1997, the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection conducted a mixed waste management inspection at Bettis. No deficiencies were noted.

Disposal

Mixed wastes were not disposed on-site. Mixed wastes were stored on-site pending treatment and disposal facility availability as specified in the Site Treatment Plan, which is implemented by the Consent Agreement and Consent Order.

In 1997, 2.3 cubic meters of mixed waste were shipped to the Idaho National Engineering and Environmental Laboratory (INEEL) Waste Experimental Reduction Facility for incineration along with 12.7 cubic meters of mixed waste from other Naval Nuclear Propulsion Program facilities. A facility was not available for the disposal of post treatment residuals at the completion of the incineration. Therefore, in September 1997, in accordance with the agreement between DOE Pittsburgh Naval Reactors Office and the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection, 2.4 cubic meters of incinerator hearth ash from the above incineration were received at Bettis-Pittsburgh from the INEEL for temporary storage until off-site disposal arrangements are complete. The hearth ash was tested by the INEEL and shown to meet EPA requirements for land disposal.

ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING QUALITY ASSURANCE

General Quality Assurance Controls

All environmental monitoring was conducted by trained and qualified personnel. Samples were collected in strict accordance with written procedures, and sample containers were specifically selected and cleaned for each type of sample in accordance with Federal guidelines. Samples were preserved upon collection, where necessary, and handled using a chain-of-custody procedure to preserve the integrity of the samples from the point of collection through analysis. Analyses were performed in accordance with approved procedures that met Federal guidelines and requirements.

Radiological Quality Assurance Controls

Analyses for radioactivity were performed by Bettis. The internal quality control followed the guidelines and practices recommended by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission in Reference (12) and the EPA in Reference (13). Bettis participated in interlaboratory quality assurance cross-check programs sponsored by the DOE (Environmental Measurements Laboratory) and the EPA (Nuclear Radiation Assessment Division). These agencies transmitted samples of water, air filters, vegetation, and soil to Bettis for radiological analyses. The purpose of these programs was to evaluate the precision and accuracy of the Site's radioanalytical techniques and results. Appendices A and B summarize the results from the cross-check programs. The Site's results demonstrated satisfactory performance in the interlaboratory quality assurance programs.

Nonradiological Quality Assurance Controls

Nonradiological analyses were normally performed by off-site laboratories under contract to Bettis. These laboratories followed quality control guidelines recommended by the EPA in References (2) and (3) as appropriate. Quality control samples such as reagent blanks, field blanks, duplicates, replicates, and/or reference standards were used to monitor sampling and analytical laboratory performance, and measure accuracy and precision. In addition, audits of the off-site laboratories were conducted by Bettis Analytical Chemistry and Environmental Engineering personnel to ensure the laboratory practices were adequate and in accordance with approved procedures.

Selected data packages from these off-site laboratories were reviewed by chemists in the Bettis Analytical Chemistry group and engineers in the Environmental Engineering group using guidelines established by EPA Region III. These reviews included a check of calculations, standards, graphs, and laboratory quality control sample results (blanks, spikes, reference standards). Concerns noted in the reviews were resolved with the laboratories before final acceptance of the data. In some cases (i.e., Table 9), the validity of the data was qualified. Overall, the analytical laboratories demonstrated satisfactory performance.

ASSESSMENT OF RISK FROM CHEMICAL RESIDUES

A risk assessment was prepared as part of the Site's RCRA Facility Investigation. This assessment was prepared using the Superfund related methodology outlined in Reference (14). The detailed assessment, presented in Reference (1), is summarized below.

The objective of the assessment was to determine the reasonable maximum exposure of on-site and off-site populations to environmental contamination at the Site. The media containing chemical residues are soil, ground water, surface water (springs and streams), and sediment. Residues whose concentrations exceeded the EPA Region III's risk-based screening levels were selected as potential contaminants of concern. The residues evaluated were largely volatile organic compounds, polychlorinated biphenyls, and polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons.

Risks were evaluated for realistic industrial land-use scenarios, now and in the future. EPA exposure parameters were used for off-site commercial/industrial workers at Valley National Gases Incorporated. Site-specific exposure parameters were used for pathways where standard EPA values were not available or were not appropriate. All exposure pathways judged to be complete now and in the future were quantified. Ground water exposure was not quantified because Bettis-Pittsburgh and off-site populations receive municipal water and this is unlikely to change in the foreseeable future.

The risk assessment quantified carcinogenic risk and noncarcinogenic hazard for four potentially exposed populations:

- On-site construction workers exposed to chemical residues in soil;
- Off-site commercial/industrial workers exposed to chemical residues in soil;
- Trespassing children exposed to chemical residues in water and sediment in springs and streams; and
- Off-site children exposed to chemical residues in water in a spring and sediment in a stream.

Based on EPA criteria, the calculated carcinogenic risk and noncarcinogenic hazard values were compared with the values of 0.000001 (1×10^{-6}) and 1.0, respectively, which represent acceptable risk levels.

For on-site construction workers engaged in excavation activities, carcinogenic risks were quantified for all on-site locations where potential contaminants of concern were present. The noncarcinogenic hazard could be calculated only for two sites and the values were several orders of magnitude below the comparison criterion of 1.0. The estimated carcinogenic risks did not exceed the comparison criterion of 1×10^{-6} at any location, with the highest being 5.4×10^{-7} for soil in the Inactive Waste Site (Figure 7).

For off-site workers at Valley National Gases, Figure 7, the estimated carcinogenic risk from exposure to polychlorinated biphenyls and polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbon compounds in surface soil is about 2×10^{-5} . This risk estimate is highly conservative due to the assumptions used in calculating intake (i.e., exposure for 250 days/year for 25 years) and because the chemical residues in the soil are on a part of the Valley National Gases property remote from the main work area. Actual carcinogenic risk would be much lower.

Exposure to surface water and sediment in the on-site and off-site streams and springs was quantified for children, the only group that might have more than occasional contact with these media. The highest estimated risk was 1.0×10^{-7} for exposure to Thompson Run Stream sediment, which is below the comparison criterion of 1×10^{-6} . Noncarcinogenic hazards were several orders of magnitude below the comparison criterion of 1.0.

In summary, chemical residues in the environment at the Site do not pose significant health risks to potentially exposed populations using reasonable maximum exposure assumptions. The only study area with a carcinogenic risk estimate exceeding the comparison criterion is Valley National Gases soil, with a maximum carcinogenic risk of about 2×10^{-5} . However, exposure (skin contact, ingestion, and inhalation) for 250 days/year for 25 years is required to achieve this risk. This risk is highly conservative because the contaminated portions of the company's property are in infrequently accessed or undeveloped locations. In reality, the risk at Valley National Gases is far less than 1×10^{-6} . Noncarcinogenic risks were all significantly less than the comparison criterion of 1.0.

The 1997 results of analyses for chemical residues in the environment support the conclusions of the risk assessment.

CORRECTIVE MEASURES STUDY

Upon EPA approval of the *Final RCRA Facility Investigation Report*, Reference (1), a Corrective Measures Study (CMS), Reference (15), was performed. The purpose of the study was to evaluate and recommend, where needed, corrective measures that would protect human health and the environment. The need for corrective measures was judged primarily from the carcinogenic risks and the noncarcinogenic hazards potentially posed by the chemical contaminants of concern at the Site. The risk assessment, discussed in the previous section, demonstrated that the chemical residues in the environment at the Site do not realistically present a significant carcinogenic risk or noncarcinogenic hazard to human health. Therefore, extensive corrective measures are not necessary to protect human health now or in the foreseeable future.

The actions below were proposed to the EPA to ensure the conclusions of the Final RFI Report remain accurate in the future, to limit potential migration of chemical residues, and to reduce the chemical residues in the environment to as-low-as-reasonably-achievable. The EPA approved these actions in March 1995.

- Intercept four springs and known seepage which drain to the Bull Run and Northeast Area Streams, treat the water to reduce the volatile organic compounds, and add the point discharge to the Site's National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit.
- Remove sediment containing low levels of polychlorinated biphenyls from four storm sewer manholes.
- Remove the only section of the coke gas lines known to be breached. Remove sludge and water from behind the downgrade ends of both lines.
- Monitor the ground water, the receiving stream water, and the storm sewer outfall sediments for the chemical contaminants of concern on an annual basis. Evaluate the need for continuation of these monitoring programs after five years.
- Monitor the seepage from the Inactive Waste Site and sediment in the wet-weather drainage ditch, both of which are on Valley National Gases property, on an annual basis. Evaluate the need for continuation of this monitoring program after five years.
- Monitor the interior of the coke gas lines annually at the four existing portals for changes in contents that could indicate a loss of integrity in the lines.
- Maintain existing policies and procedures which ensure worker safety and health for excavation activities and proper disposal of environmental media containing chemical residues.
- Maintain existing access controls to various areas containing chemical residues.

The selection of corrective measures is expected to be finalized with the EPA in the near future. However, in 1996, the Site initiated voluntary efforts to begin implementation of selected corrective measures.

ASSESSMENT OF RADIATION DOSE-TO-MAN

Effluent monitoring results at the Site during 1997 demonstrated that radioactivity releases were below applicable Federal radioactivity limits. Radiation exposure to the general public from airborne releases was too low to measure and could only be determined with calculational models using the airborne effluent radioactivity data. Airborne effluent radioactivity data which were below the minimum detection levels were assumed to be at the minimum detection level. Therefore, a conservative assessment of the radiation dose-to-man was performed by analyzing the exposure pathways whereby radioactivity might be transmitted from the Site to the general public. The following potential exposure pathways were considered in this assessment. The first two pathways contribute more than 99% of the dose-to-man.

- Direct radiation from residual radioactivity in the Bull Run Stream basin;
- Inhalation of airborne radioactivity;
- Exposure to ground deposits from airborne radioactivity;
- Immersion in the atmosphere containing the released airborne radioactivity;
- Ingestion of food contaminated by deposition of airborne radioactivity;
- Ingestion of radioactivity in the drinking water supply; and
- Direct radiation from Site operations.

Radioactivity attributed to Bettis operations was not released to the Site's streams in 1997. Therefore, exposure to radioactivity in water was not a potential exposure pathway. Specific radionuclide composition of the airborne releases was factored into the assessment. The dose for each exposure pathway was explicitly calculated for each radionuclide and applicable daughters. The air pathway calculations used wind direction data and meteorological parameters that were measured by the Site's meteorological monitoring system in 1997. The Site's meteorological monitoring system measures wind speed, gusts, wind direction, temperature, relative humidity, and inches of rain. The measurements are integrated over 15-minute intervals. The system is part of the Atmospheric Release Advisory Capability service developed by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory to model the atmospheric release of radioactive materials. The population distribution in the vicinity of the Site was based on 1990 census data. The atmospheric dispersion of the Site's radioactive airborne releases and resulting dose were calculated using an EPA-approved computer program described in Reference (16). The radiation dose assessment attributed to Site operations during 1997 is presented in Table 16. Radiation doses were calculated for the whole body and most limiting organ of individuals at locations of maximum exposure to the nearest offsite receptor and for the total population within 50 miles of the Site.

The results in Table 16 show that the maximum whole body radiation exposure which any member of the public could hypothetically receive due to past and present operations ranged from 0.13 to 2.4 mrem. Nearly all of this very low potential dose would be from gaseous radioactivity and from exposure to the Bull Run soil and sediments that contain residual radioactivity from operations over 30 years ago. Based on a conservative estimate that an individual would spend as much as one hour per day, every day of the year, walking along the Bull Run stream bank in the areas with radiation levels above background, the annual hypothetical dose received would be approximately 2.3 mrem. This is less than the amount of additional radiation exposure an individual would receive from a cross-country airplane flight, Reference (17). The maximum potential radiation dose is well below the most restrictive dose limits of the Nuclear Regulatory Commission and the DOE. The maximum potential dose is less than 1% of the approximately

TABLE 16

RADIATION DOSE-TO-MAN FROM SITE OPERATIONS		
Maximum Estimated Radiation Exposure to the Nearest Off-Site Receptor		
Exposure Pathways	Estimated Dose Equivalent ⁽¹⁾	
	Effective Whole Body Dose (mrem)	Maximum Dose to Limiting Organ (mrem)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Liquid Pathways • Airborne Pathways <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Particulate Radioactivity Release⁽³⁾ ◦ Gaseous Radioactivity Release⁽⁴⁾ ◦ EPA Limit • Direct Exposure Pathways <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ Direct Radiation from Bettis Operations ◦ Exposure to Residual Radioactivity in the Bull Run Basin 	None ⁽²⁾ 0.0003 0.13 10 None 0 - 2.3	None ⁽²⁾ 0.007 (Bone) 0.95 (Bone) None 0 - 2.3 (Whole Body)
Maximum Whole Body Dose to Individual	0.13 - 2.4	
◦ DOE Limit	100	
50-Mile Population Radiation Exposure		
Exposure Pathways	Estimated Population Dose Equivalent ⁽¹⁾	
	Effective Whole Body Dose (man-rem)	Maximum Dose to Limiting Organ (man-rem)
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Liquid Pathways • Airborne Pathways • Direct Exposure Pathways 	None ⁽²⁾ 1.6 0 - 0.01	None ⁽²⁾ 11.6 (Bone) 0 - 0.01 (Whole Body)
Maximum Whole Body Population Dose	1.61	

- NOTES:** (1) The dose estimated for the airborne pathways is the committed "50-year" effective dose equivalent. This means that an individual would receive the indicated dose over a 50-year period following inhalation of radioactivity released in this calendar year.
- (2) The Site did not discharge any radioactivity into the liquid effluent streams.
- (3) The principal contributors to the calculated dose from particulate radioactivity releases were conservatively assumed to be cobalt-60 and thorium-232.
- (4) The contributors to the gaseous radioactivity releases were radon-220 and its daughters.

Bettis-Pittsburgh 1997 Environmental Monitoring Report

300 mrem dose a person receives each year from naturally occurring radiation in the environment, Reference (18). The dose resulting from the low levels of radioactivity in the Site's airborne effluents is significantly less than the limits of the EPA in Reference (7).

In conclusion, the maximum radiation exposure to any member of the public as a result of operations at the Bettis Site during 1997 was substantially below the radiation exposure limits established by the DOE, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission, and the EPA. Moreover, the accumulated radiation exposure received during 1997 by the total population of approximately 3,000,000 within 50 miles of the Site was conservatively estimated to be approximately 1.6 man-rem, which is negligible compared to the approximately 900,000 man-rem dose received from natural background radiation. Therefore, the radioactivity released from the Site, as a result of past and present operations, has not resulted in any significant radiation exposure to the general public.

ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITS

The Site has obtained or applied for the environmental permits required by applicable regulations. Table 17 provides a listing of the environmental permits for the Bettis-Pittsburgh Site in 1997.

TABLE 17

ENVIRONMENTAL PERMITS				
Operation Permitted	Unit Permitted	Permit Number	Permitting Agency	Renewal Date
Air Emissions	Bettis-Pittsburgh Site	Operating Permit Application 95-0067	Allegheny County Health Department	(Note 1)
	Bettis-Pittsburgh Site Asbestos Abatement Operation & Maintenance Plan	PAA-960M05	Allegheny County Health Department	December 31, 1997 (Note 2)
	Asbestos Abatement, Various Areas	PAA 97-0685, 0368, 0636, 0023, 0214, 0189, 0118, 0367, 0478, 0678	Allegheny County Health Department	(Note 3)
Water Discharges	Bull Run Monitoring Station, Northeast Area Monitoring Station, Stormwater Outfalls	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit PA0000914	Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection	August 16, 2001
	Springwater Intercept System	Water Quality Management Part II Permit 0297202	Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection	(Note 4)
	Springwater Intercept System	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit Amendment PA0000914-A1	Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection	(Note 5)
Hazardous and Mixed Waste Storage	Chemical/Hazardous Waste Storage Building Mixed Waste Storage Facility	Hazardous Waste Storage Permit PA0890090004	Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection	February 23, 2005 (Note 6)

- NOTES:**
- (1) A Site "Air Operating Permit" application was submitted to the Allegheny County Health Department in November 1995. The renewal date will be five years after the issuance date.
 - (2) Permit is renewed annually.
 - (3) Permits are required for asbestos abatement work. The individual work effort involves the removal or encapsulation of greater than 260 linear feet or 160 square feet of asbestos containing material. Permits are obtained on an as needed basis. Ten asbestos abatement permits were obtained in 1997.
 - (4) Permit required to construct the Springwater Intercept System. Effective date of permit was April 29, 1997.
 - (5) Permit amendment to allow for the discharge of treated effluent from the Springwater Intercept System. Effective date of Permit Amendment was May 1, 1997.
 - (6) A revised "Part B" permit application was submitted to the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection for operating the Mixed Waste Storage Facility on January 5, 1996. On June 4, 1997, additional changes were submitted to resolve comments and to include storage of Naval Nuclear Propulsion Program mixed waste treatment residuals. On June 24, 1997, the DEP identified that the application was considered complete. This facility is operating under "Interim Status" until a revised permit is issued.

As noted in previous sections, the inspections conducted by outside regulatory agencies found the Site to be in compliance with the requirements of the environmental permits discussed in Table 17.

(Intentionally Left Blank)

REFERENCES

- (1) *Final RCRA Facility Investigation Report for the Bettis Laboratory, West Mifflin, Pennsylvania.* June 1994.
- (2) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. "Guidelines Establishing Test Procedures for the Analysis of Pollutants." *Code of Federal Regulations*. Title 40, Part 136.
- (3) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. *Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste Physical/Chemical Methods*. SW-846.
- (4) Nuclear Regulatory Commission. "Standards for Protection Against Radiation." *Code of Federal Regulations*. Title 10, Part 20.
- (5) Commonwealth of Pennsylvania. "Chapter 93, Water Quality Standards." "Chapter 16, Water Quality Toxics Management Strategy." *Pennsylvania Code*. Title 25. Environmental Protection.
- (6) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. "National Primary Drinking Water Regulations". *Code of Federal Regulations*. Title 40, Part 141.
- (7) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. "National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants; Subpart H - National Emission Standard for Radionuclide Emissions from Department of Energy Facilities." *Code of Federal Regulations*. Title 40, Part 61.
- (8) *Allegheny County Health Department Rules and Regulations, Article XXI, Air Pollution Control.* October 1996.
- (9) "Summary of Natural Environmental Gamma Radiation Using a Calibrated Portable Scintillation Counter." *Radiological Health Data and Reports*. Volume 9, Number 11, pp. 620-695. November 1968.
- (10) "An Aerial Radiological Survey of West Mifflin, Pennsylvania, and Surrounding Areas." *EG&G/EM Survey Report*. DOE/NR-8319. March 1984.
- (11) U.S. Department of Transportation. "Hazardous Material Regulations." *Code of Federal Regulations*. Title 49, Parts 171-178.
- (12) Nuclear Regulatory Commission. *Regulatory Guide 4.15*. February 1979.
- (13) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. *Handbook for Analytical Quality Control in Radioanalytical Laboratories*. EPA-600/17-77-008. August 1977.
- (14) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. *Risk Assessment Guidance for Superfund (RAGS) Volume I (Part A), Human Health Evaluation Manual*. 1989.
- (15) *Final Corrective Measures Study Report for the Bettis Laboratory, West Mifflin, Pennsylvania.* January 1995.

REFERENCES (Continued)

- (16) U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. *The Clean Air Act Assessment Package - 1988 (CAP-88) - A Dose and Risk Assessment Methodology for Radionuclide Emissions to Air*. CAP88-PC, Version 1.0. March 1992.
- (17) National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements. "Exposure of the Population in the United States and Canada from Natural Background Radiation." *NCRP Reports*. Report No. 94. December 1987.
- (18) International Commission on Radiological Protection. "Report of the Task Group on Reference Man." *Annals of the ICRP*. Publication 23. Pergamon Press. 1974.

DISTRIBUTION

	<u>No. Copies</u>
Admiral F. L. Bowman, Deputy Assistant Secretary for Naval Reactors U. S. Department of Energy Washington, D.C. 20585	50
Mr. H. A. Cardinali, Manager Pittsburgh Naval Reactors Office, DOE Post Office Box 109 West Mifflin, Pennsylvania 15122	3
Office of Environmental Guidance and Compliance, EH-23 U. S. Department of Energy Washington, D.C. 20585	10
U.S. Department of Energy Headquarters Library Washington, D.C. 20585	1
U.S. Department of Energy Technical Information Center Post Office Box 62 Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37831	1
Science and Technology Department Carnegie Library of Pittsburgh 4400 Forbes Avenue Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15213	1
Mr. W. Michael McCabe, Regional Administrator U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Region III 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029	1
Ms. Maria Parisi-Vickers, Associate Director Office of RCRA Programs U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Region III 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029	1
Mr. Harry T. Daw, Chief RCRA Compliance and Enforcement Corrective Action RCRA Enforcement Section (3WC31) U. S. Environmental Protection Agency Region III 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2029	1

DISTRIBUTION (Continued)

No. Copies

Ms. Carol Amend, Director, Office of Compliance and Enforcement
U. S. Environmental Protection Agency
Region III
1650 Arch Street
Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2029

1

The Honorable Arlen Specter
U. S. Senate
Washington, D.C. 20510

1

The Honorable Rick Santorum
U. S. Senate
Washington, D. C. 20510

1

The Honorable Mike Doyle
U. S. House of Representatives
Washington, D. C. 20515

1

Secretary James M. Seif
Department of Environmental Protection
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
16th Floor Rachel Carson State Office Building
Post Office Box 2063
Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105

2

D. Richard Shipman, Division Chief
Division of Hazardous Waste Management
Bureau of Land Recycling and Waste Management
Department of Environmental Protection
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
P.O. Box 8471
Harrisburg, PA 17105

1

Mr. Joseph W. Chnupa, Assistant Regional Director
Southwest Regional Office
Department of Environmental Protection
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
400 Waterfront Drive
Pittsburgh, PA 15222

1

DISTRIBUTION (Continued)

	<u>No. Copies</u>
Mr. Terry A. Pallas, Chief, Monitoring and Compliance Operations Section Bureau of Water Quality Management Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection Commonwealth of Pennsylvania 400 Waterfront Drive Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15222	1
Mr. James Yusko, Regional Manager Bureau of Radiological Health Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection Commonwealth of Pennsylvania 400 Waterfront Drive Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15222	1
The Honorable Albert V. Belan Pennsylvania Senate Capitol Building Harrisburg, PA 17120	1
The Honorable Richard D. Olasz Pennsylvania House of Representatives Capitol Building Harrisburg, PA 17120	1
Bruce W. Dixon, M. D., Director Allegheny County Health Department 3333 Forbes Avenue Pittsburgh, PA 15213	1
Mr. Roger Westman, Director Air Quality Program Allegheny County Health Department 301 Thirty-ninth Street, Building #7 Pittsburgh, PA 15201	1
Mr. Howard Bednar, Borough Manager West Mifflin Borough 4733 Greensprings Avenue West Mifflin, PA 15122	1
The Honorable John Powell, Mayor Borough of Dravosburg 226 Maple Avenue Dravosburg, PA 15034	1

APPENDIX A

USEPA RADIOANALYTICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS					
Date	Media	Parameter	Known Value (pCi/liter)	Reported Value ⁽¹⁾ (pCi/liter)	Evaluation ⁽²⁾
Dec96	Water	Uranium	5.0 ± 3	4.47	Acceptable
Jan97	Water	Strontium-89 Strontium-90	12.0 ± 5 25.0 ± 5	14.0 22.3	Acceptable Acceptable
Feb97	Water	Gross Alpha Gross Beta	5.2 ± 5 14.7 ± 5	7.57 15.7	Acceptable Acceptable
Feb97	Water	Uranium	27.0 ± 3	25.3	Acceptable
Apr97	Water	Uranium Strontium-89 Strontium-90 Cobalt-60 Cesium-134 Cesium-137	24.0 ± 3 24.0 ± 5 13.0 ± 5 21.0 ± 5 31.0 ± 5 22.0 ± 5	23.1 26.7 10.7 23.3 33.3 23.7	Acceptable Acceptable Acceptable Acceptable Acceptable Acceptable
Jun97	Water	Cobalt-60 Zinc-65 Cesium-134 Cesium-137 Barium-133	18.0 ± 5 100.0 ± 10 22.0 ± 5 49.0 ± 5 25.0 ± 5	19.7 106.7 21.7 45.3 22.3	Acceptable Acceptable Acceptable Acceptable Acceptable
Jul97	Water	Uranium	40.3 ± 4	39.7	Acceptable
Jul97	Water	Gross Alpha Gross Beta	3.1 ± 5 15.1 ± 5	3.8 15.0	Acceptable Acceptable
Jul97	Water	Strontium-89 Strontium-90	44.0 ± 5 16.0 ± 5	46.3 15.0	Acceptable Acceptable
Sep97	Water	Uranium	5.1 ± 3	5.03	Acceptable
Oct97	Water	Uranium Strontium-89 Strontium-90 Cobalt-60 Cesium-134 Cesium-137	31.0 ± 3 36.0 ± 5 22.0 ± 5 10.0 ± 5 41.0 ± 5 34.0 ± 5	31.1 44.0 21.3 10.7 39.7 38.0	Acceptable Acceptable with Warning Acceptable Acceptable Acceptable Acceptable
Oct97	Water	Gross Alpha Gross Beta	14.7 ± 5 48.9 ± 5	14.4 53.0	Acceptable Acceptable
Nov97	Water	Cobalt-60 Zinc-65 Cesium-134 Cesium-137 Barium-133	27.0 ± 5 75.0 ± 8 10.0 ± 5 74.0 ± 5 99.0 ± 10	29.0 88.7 10.0 84.7 99.7	Acceptable Acceptable with Warning Acceptable Not Acceptable ⁽³⁾ Acceptable

NOTES: (1) The reported value is an average of a triplicate set.

(2) Acceptable performance indicates that the measurement fell between ±2 normalized deviations from the known value; the acceptable with warning designation indicates that the measurement fell between +2 and +3 or between -2 and -3 normalized deviations from the established value. The not acceptable designation is given to those measurements greater than ±3 normalized deviations from the accepted value.

(3) The Cs-137 results for the 11/97 water sample were biased high. The cause of this bias was due in part to a difference in the geometry of the sample container compared with the geometry of the calibration source. When corrected for this slight geometry difference, the results are within acceptable limits. This geometry was not used for any environmental samples analyzed in 1997.

APPENDIX B

USDOE/EML RADIOANALYTICAL QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS					
Date	Media	Parameter	Known Value ⁽¹⁾	Reported Value ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	Evaluation ⁽³⁾
Mar97	Water	Manganese-54	563	635	Acceptable
		Cobalt-60	2450	2700	Acceptable
		Cesium-134	555	608	Acceptable
		Cesium-137	1880	2130	Acceptable
		Strontium-90	626	559	Acceptable
		Plutonium-238	34.6	36.5	Acceptable
		Plutonium-239	23.0	23.7	Acceptable
		Uranium-234	14.6	18.3	Acceptable with Warning
		Uranium-238	14.9	17.4	Acceptable with Warning
Mar97	Soil	Cesium-137	22300	23300	Acceptable
		Strontium-90	1090	1100	Acceptable
Mar97	Vegetation	Cesium-137	5110	5860	Acceptable
Mar97	Air Filter	Manganese-54	206	259	Acceptable with Warning
		Cobalt-60	135	149	Acceptable
		Antimony-125	333	410	Acceptable with Warning
		Cesium-134	294	277	Acceptable
		Cesium-137	235	266	Acceptable with Warning
		Strontium-90	39.2	42.9	Acceptable
		Uranium-234	2.78	2.97	Acceptable
		Uranium-238	2.84	2.7	Acceptable
Sep97	Water	Cobalt-60	629	621	Acceptable
		Cesium-134	1780	1830	Acceptable
		Cesium-137	926	1030	Acceptable
		Manganese-54	1020	1130	Acceptable
		Plutonium-238	19.4	20.4	Acceptable
		Plutonium-239	20.3	22.8	Acceptable
Sep97	Soil	Cesium-137	21900	22900	Acceptable
Sep97	Vegetation	Cesium-137	16800	18200	Acceptable
		Cobalt-60	875	1010	Acceptable
Sep97	Air Filter	Cobalt-60	290	285	Acceptable
		Cesium-134	761	617	Acceptable
		Cesium-137	197	208	Acceptable
		Manganese-54	181	201	Acceptable
		Antimony-125	435	482	Acceptable

NOTES: (1) Units are pCi/liter for water samples, pCi/kg for soil and vegetation samples, and pCi/filter for air filter samples.

(2) The reported value is the result of a single determination.

(3) Acceptable performance indicates that the measurement fell between the 15th and 85th percentile. The acceptable with warning designation indicates that the measurement fell between the 5th and 15th percentiles or the 85th and 95th percentiles. The not acceptable designation is established at less than the 5th percentile and greater than the 95th percentile.