LA-UR-96- 3553

CONF-9607139--5

Title:

Lattice Relaxation and the Stability of Plutonium-Based Alloys and Intermetallics

RECEIVED

DEC 26 1996

OSTI

Author(s):

John D. Becker, CMS
Bernard R. Cooper, West Virginia University
Larry (NMI) Cox, NMT-5
John M. Wills, T-1

Submitted to:

NATO Advanced Scientific Institute Series
Kluwer Academic Publishers
To be published in "Actinides and the Environment"

Los Alamos
NATIONAL LABORATORY

Los Alamos National Laboratory, an affirmative action/equal opportunity employer, is operated by the University of California for the U.S. Department of Energy under contract W-7405-ENG-36. By acceptance of this article, the publisher recognizes that the U.S. Government retains a nonexclusive, royalty-free license to publish or reproduce the published form of this contribution, or to allow others to do so, for U.S. Government purposes. The Los Alamos National Laboratory requests that the publisher identify this article as work performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy.

DISTRIBUTION OF THIS DOCUMENT IS UNLIMITED

MASTER

Form No. 836 R5
ST 2629 10/91

DISCLAIMER

**Portions of this document may be illegible
in electronic image products. Images are
produced from the best available original
document.**

DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

LATTICE RELAXATION AND THE STABILITY OF PLUTONIUM-
BASED ALLOYS AND INTERMETALLICS

J.D. Becker^a, B.R. Cooper^b, L. Cox^c, and J.M. Willis^d

Center for Materials Science^a, Nuclear Materials Technology Division^c and Theoretical
Division^d, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87545
Department of Physics^b, West Virginia University, Morgantown, West Virginia

26506-6315

Abstract

The topic of this study is the electronic structure of the compounds Pu_3X [$\text{X} = \text{In}, \text{Ga}, \text{Al}, \text{or Tl}$], reported to have room temperature L_{12} structures. The measured Pu-Pu bond length in δ -phase Pu is 3.28 Å. The Pu-Pu bond lengths in Pu_3Ga and Pu_3Al are 3.18 Å. The similarity in bonding in Pu_3Ga and Pu_3Al is especially notable as the equilibrium atomic volume in Ga is 18% higher than that of Al . Likewise, in Pu_3In and Pu_3Tl the bond lengths are 3.33 Å and 3.32 Å, respectively, although the atomic volumes of In and Tl differ by 10%. The electronic mechanism by which the δ stabilizers Al and Ga contract the Pu-Pu bonds and whereby In and Tl stretch them may relate the stability of pure Pu to that of the Pu_3X compounds. For example, does the addition of Ga or Al shorten the bond length enough to make the close-packed L_{12} structure more stable than does the addition of In or Tl ? The incipient localization of the f electrons and the stabilization of open structures may be indicated through LDA total energies and densities of states.

Overview

The δ (fcc) phase of pure Pu is stable from 320°C to 463°C. Small additions of group IIIB metals ($\text{X} = \text{Al}, \text{Ga}, \text{In}, \text{or Tl}$) can stabilize the δ phase to room temperature. The X-saturated δ -phase alloys form a two phase equilibrium with a Pu_3X compound. The low-temperature limit of the impurity-stabilized δ alloys in Pu-Ga and Pu-Al is disputed. The Pu-Ga phase diagram by Chebotarev *et al* [1] indicates a eutectoid decomposition of the high-temperature δ phase with composition 9 at% Ga into the α - Pu (monoclinic) at 0.1 at% and Pu_3Ga at 25 at% phases at 100°C. By contrast Ellinger *et al* [2] report no such decomposition, and show a nearly vertical Ga-rich solvus line to 0°C. Adler [3] estimates a eutectoid equilibrium of the Al -stabilized δ phase at 9 at% Al with the α and Pu_3Al phases at 62°C and a eutectoid temperature of 81°C for the Ga-stabilized δ alloy. Clearly, the stability of the δ phase depends upon the stability of the Pu_3X compound, and a first-principles study of the compounds is a necessary precedent to a finite-temperature simulation of the partially ordered δ phase.

In general, alloy structural stability is determined by a balance between bandwidths (and filling) and the Madelung energy. For sufficiently narrow bands (large volumes) the splitting of the degenerate bands near the Fermi energy may be comparable to the bandwidth – hence a lowering of symmetry may lower the energy. At small volumes the breaking of degeneracies is less pronounced (compared with the bandwidth) and the Madelung energy dominates – favoring close-packed structures. To elucidate this physics, we have calculated total energies of the *fcc* structures [Pu, X = In, Ga, Al, or Tl] and $L1_2$ [Pu₃X] structures with the full-potential LMTO method. [4] Both the Generalized Gradient Approximation (GGA) [5] and the Local Density Approximation are used. This enables us to calculate formation energies as well as other properties of the Pu₃X compounds.

As expected, each compound displays covalent bonding between the Pu-*d, f* and X-*p*. Two regimes of behavior are seen: Pu₃Al and Pu₃Ga exhibit very similar properties (e.g. atomic volume, bulk modulus, formation energy, and density of states) as do Pu₃In and Pu₃Tl. The formation energies of Pu₃In and Pu₃Tl are 30 mRy/atom higher (less stable) than those of Pu₃Al and Pu₃Ga. The apparent instability in the latter compounds is linked to the more pronounced *pdf* hybridization near the Fermi energy in Pu₃In and Pu₃Tl and the consequent opportunity for Jahn-Teller/Peierls distortion.

Results and Discussion

The LDA Pu-Pu bond length in δ Pu is 2.85 Å. The bond lengths for Pu₃Ga and Pu₃Al are 2.83 Å and 2.84 Å, respectively, and 2.96 Å and 2.99 Å for Pu₃In and Pu₃Tl. In the GGA approximation the δ -phase Pu-Pu bond length is 2.94 Å. In Pu₃Ga and Pu₃Al the bond lengths are, 2.92 Å, and for Pu₃In and Pu₃Tl, 3.09 Å and 3.11 Å, respectively. As with the experimentally determined values, the computed bond lengths in δ Pu are bracketed by those of Pu₃Ga and Pu₃Al on the low side and by Pu₃In and Pu₃Tl on the high side.

The formation energy of a Pu₃X compound is:

$$\Sigma_{Pu_3X}^{formation} = \Sigma_{Pu_3X}^{min} - \frac{3E_{Pu}^{min} + \Sigma_X^{min}}{4}$$

where E^{min} is the minimum total energy of a phase. The LDA formation energies of Pu₃Ga and Pu₃Al are -10 mRy/atom and -5 mRy/atom respectively. The negative sign indicates the stability of the compound with respect to the constituent phases (in this case artificially assumed to be the pure elements in the *fcc* structure). The calculated formation energies of Pu₃In and Pu₃Tl are +20 mRy/atom and +25 mRy/atom respectively. The formation energies calculated with the GGA vary only slightly from the LDA. The large stability difference between the Pu₃Ga/Pu₃Al compounds and those of Pu₃In/Pu₃Tl, approximately 30 mRy/atom indicates the strong possibility of an instability of the $L1_2$ structure in the latter compounds. The compound instability has no definitive implications upon the high-temperature equilibrium structure because the δ -phase of pure Pu exists only at high temperatures and volumes. Using the LDA energy

FIG. 1. *l*-projected density of states for Pu₃In at the theoretical volume 18.4 Å³. The Fermi energy is at 0.

FIG. 2. *l*-projected density of states for Pu₃Ga at the theoretical volume 16.3 Å. The Fermi energy is at 0.

at the experimental volume of δ -Pu as a reference lowers the relevant formation energies of each of the compounds by 56.4 mRy per atom.

The l -projected DOS for Pu_3In at the measured equilibrium volume is shown in Fig. 1. In the l -projected DOS for the Pu and In sites there is a high correlation between the Pu-6*d*, -5*f* and In-5*p* states just below the Fermi energy characteristic of covalent bonding. Similarly, in Pu_3Tl the l -projected DOS shows strong pdf hybridization just below the Fermi energy.

Next we consider the differences in hybridization among the compounds in anticipation of discussing possible structural instabilities. The l -projected DOS for Pu_3Ga are shown in Fig. 2, and we consider the differences from the l -projected DOS for Pu_3In shown in Fig. 1. In each of the Pu_3X compounds there is strong Pu-6*d* 5*f*/X-*p* hybridization at energies between -0.2 Ry and the Fermi level. There is hybridization across the spectrum of valence states, but the appreciable differences between materials are seen near the Fermi energy. In the lighter compounds (Pu_3Ga and Pu_3Al) the hybridization is strongest at about 0.1 Ry below the Fermi energy; and in the heavier (Pu_3In and Pu_3Tl), the hybridization is strongest in the vicinity of the Fermi energy.

This pairing is part of an overall pattern of pairing among the four compounds. Examination of the calculated and measured properties of these compounds suggests two regimes of electronic and thermodynamic behavior. Pu_3Al and Pu_3Ga have very similar measured and calculated atomic volumes, bulk moduli, formation energies, and electronic structure, and in the same manner so have Pu_3In and Pu_3Tl .

The preceding discussion suggests the hypothesis that the crucial difference between the lighter and heavier compounds is that of rather subtle changes of the Pu 5*f*-6*d*/X-*p* hybridization. The more pronounced hybridization near the Fermi energy in Pu_3In and Pu_3Tl may signal a possible structural transition triggered by the degenerate pdf states at the Fermi energy. The electronic "mechanism" behind the stabilization of the δ phase has been suggested by van Ek, Sterne, and Gonis [6] as follows. The addition of the impurity element shifts the Fermi level so that the *f* bonding decreases. This is accompanied by an increase in the *d* bonding - characteristic of the δ phase. Using LMTO-ASA results, van Ek *et al* [6] theorized that bonding in close-packed structures of Pu is governed primarily by *s* and *d* electrons, but in the α phase there is a significant component of 5*f* bonding. This is accomplished by formation of a small number of short bonds, reducing the itinerancy of the *d* electrons. Conceivably, the ground state of the Pu_3X compounds are similarly governed, and a complex open structure is stable at 0 K.

References

1. Chebotarev, N.T., Smotriskaya, E.S., Andrianov, M.A., and Kostyuk, O.E. (1976) *Plutonium 1975 and other Actinides*, 37 (North-Holland, Amsterdam).
2. Ellinger, F.H., Land, C.C., and Struebing, V.O. (1964) *J. Nucl. Mat.* 12, 226.
3. Adler, P.H. (1991) *Met. Trans. A* 22A, 2237.
4. Wills, J.M. and Cooper, B.R. (1987) *Phys. Rev. B* 36, 3809; Price, D.L. and Cooper, B.R. (1989) *ibid*, 39, 4945.
5. Perdew, J.P., Chevary, J.A., Vosko, S.H., Jackson, K.A., Pederson, M.R., and Singh, D.J. (1992) *Phys. Rev. B* 46, 6671.
6. van Ek, J. Sterne, P.A., and Gonis, A (1993) *Phys. Rev. B* 48, 16280.