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Introduction

Coal reserves in the United States as well as abroad will remain unusable until technology is developed to meet both Clean Air Act mandates and New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) for particulate, SO₂, and NOₓ emissions effectively and economically. Recent breakthroughs in particulate control, specifically ceramic filtration technology, have shown that NSPS limits on particulates can be achieved at high process temperatures, thereby minimizing thermal losses and system complexity. While both calcium based and regenerable metal oxide sorbents are currently utilized for sulfur mitigation, problems such as sintering, temperature limitations, physical attrition, and cost have limited their success.

This research suggests the use of waste metal oxide materials for the removal of sulfur in hot gas streams as an alternative to either traditional calcium based sorbents, or regenerable metal oxide sorbents. When classified to a desired particle size and injected into a high temperature coal utilization process, such a “once-through” sorbent can effectively remove sulfur and simultaneously increase the permeability of dust collected at a downstream ceramic filter station in a highly cost effective manner.

Several waste metal oxides, including the oxides of iron, tin, and zinc, have been evaluated both individually and in combination to assess their capacity for sulfur capture in both oxidizing and reducing atmospheres. Additionally, inert materials such as silica sand as well as more traditional materials such as dolomite and limestone, were evaluated as sorbents under identical test conditions to serve as reference data. Efforts also investigated the overall domestic availability
of the best performing waste metal oxide sorbents, taking into account their geographic
distributions, intrinsic value, etc. to provide the groundwork for commercial implementation of a
low cost, highly effective sulfur sorbent for eventual use in both coal combustion and coal
gasification processes. Recent elevated temperature thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) testing of
these samples, performed at the Institute of Gas Technology (IGT), has further confirmed the
trends in sulfur affinity which were observed in the preliminary testing. In that all of the sorbent
samples tested were of an identical, carefully selected particle size, the data collected will serve as
the basis for future research efforts which will additionally evaluate these waste metal sorbents as
a means of increasing or maintaining the permeability of the dust collected on downstream
particulate control devices, such as ceramic filters.

**Objectives**

The primary goal associated with this research is to promote the dual use of waste metal oxides as
sorbents and filter aid additives in gas streams requiring both desulfurization and enhanced
particle removal efficiency at elevated temperatures.

Specific goals related to this project include the following:

- Determination of the most prevalent types of domestically available waste metal oxides
  and evaluate their current and future availability, geographic distribution, purity, and
  intrinsic value;

- Assessment of the potential reactivity of several waste metal oxides with sulfur in both
  oxidizing and reducing atmospheres;

- Performance of a series of ambient laboratory screening tests to ascertain the affinity for
  sulfur, by way of chemisorption, of the waste metal oxides in both oxidizing and mildly
  reducing atmospheres, and compare these data with similar tests involving non-reactive
  materials and calcium-based sorbents;

- Performance of a series of TGA tests on the more promising waste metal oxides, in both
  oxidizing and reducing atmospheres, to determine their activities and capacities in
  comparative sulfation and sulfidation tests at several elevated temperatures;

- Performance of a series of packed bed reactor tests on the most promising waste metal
  oxides, in both oxidizing and reducing atmospheres, to further validate their
  desulfurization capacity in simulated fuel and flue gas conditions;

- Assessment of the most promising waste metal oxide materials as high temperature
  additives for improved filtration in a ceramic candle filter station at elevated temperature.

Upon completion of the above objectives, efforts will be made for implementation of this
technology within the private sector.
**Approach**

In recent years, ceramic filtration devices have shown that particle removal levels well within New Source Performance Standards (NSPS) limitations can be achieved at high temperature, thereby improving the efficiency and overall performance of processes such as Integrated Gasification Combined Cycle (IGCC) and Pressurized Fluidized Bed Combustion (PFBC). Unfortunately, removal of the high levels of sulfur indigenous to much of America’s coal reserves has been problematic, requiring exotic (and expensive) sorbents, substantial coal feed pretreatment, or a reduction in off-gas temperature to obtain acceptable sulfur emissions.

Many researchers are aware that metal oxide sorbents can be used to effectively reduce sulfur emissions in such processes. However, because of the high cost of most metal oxides, especially such materials as zinc titanate and zinc ferrite, economic studies have shown that for such materials to be cost effective they must be used, regenerated, and reused as many as several hundred times before a return on investment is realized. Additionally, preliminary testing of such sorbents in a pelletized bed configuration, which lends itself to such a regenerative process, has shown that the strength of the pelletized metal sorbent can significantly decrease in as few as five six regenerative cycles. In view of this, it is apparent that a “once through”, non-regenerable metal oxide sorbent can be a much more attractive solution from the standpoints of capacity, overall sulfur removal efficiency, and process economics, particularly if a low cost source of sorbent is readily available. Moreover, in combination with ceramic filtration technology, the use of such a sorbent becomes even more practical in that the sorbent can be introduced well upstream of a ceramic filter station, have sufficient residence time for sulfur removal to take place, and then be easily collected on the surface of the filter, along with the ash and other particulate. By properly classifying and sizing the waste metal oxide sorbent particles, the permeability of the dust accumulating on the filter surface can be enhanced, resulting in lower pressure differentials, better pulse cleaning efficiency, and less susceptibility to filter pore “blinding”.

The test results reported herein show that significant sulfur removal capacities can be achieved using classified, spent metal oxides. Such materials are generated in tremendous volume as a result of metal processing, smelting, and refining operations, and are generally available for little if any cost.

**Project Description**

**Preliminary Tests**

Preliminary tests involved the assessment of the sulfur affinity of waste metal oxides under controlled laboratory conditions by exposing a prepared bed of each material to sulfur dioxide (SO₂) and hydrogen sulfide (H₂S), in separate trials. The testing was performed at the Research & Development Department of Industrial Filter & Pump Mfg. Co., Inc. The waste metal oxides tested are listed in Table 1 which follows:
Table 1. Waste Metal Oxides Evaluated in Preliminary Test Series

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sorbent</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iron oxide</td>
<td>Basic Oxygen Furnace (BOF)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc oxide</td>
<td>Chicago area zinc smelter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc oxide</td>
<td>2nd Chicago area zinc smelter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tin oxide</td>
<td>Chicago area tin refiner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc/iron/lead oxide</td>
<td>Chicago area smelter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although waste copper oxide was readily available and considered as yet another material suitable for testing, it was decided that since many waste copper oxide sources contain significant amounts of arsenic, no testing of this material would be undertaken for safety reasons.

In addition to the testing of the above waste metal oxides, test trials were performed without any sorbents, with commercially available dolomite and limestone, and with inert or moderately inert sorbents, such as silica sand and diatomaceous earth, for reference purposes.

In all of the above test scenarios, the flowrate of the SO$_2$ or H$_2$S gas and nitrogen carrier gas, which was used as a diluent to the SO$_2$ or H$_2$S, was held constant. Likewise, the sample volume and temperature for each test were maintained constant. By bubbling the effluent gas through analyzed collection water, the elapsed time required to depress the pH of the collection water was recorded and indicated the end point of sulfur removal for each sample being tested. Table 2 below shows the preliminary test series conditions; Figure 1 schematically depicts the test apparatus used in the research effort. By plotting the reduction in collection water pH as a function of time for each sample tested, a series of “break through” curves were generated and proved useful in directly comparing the sulfur affinity of each material.

Table 2. Preliminary Test Series: Test Conditions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Temperature:</th>
<th>Ambient</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Test Pressure:</td>
<td>3 psig</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Size:</td>
<td>50 cm$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO$_2$ or H$_2$S Gas Flowrate:</td>
<td>38 cm$^3$/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N$_2$ Carrier Gas Flowrate:</td>
<td>82 cm$^3$/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample Particle Size:</td>
<td>75-106 micron; 90 micron average</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH Endpoint:</td>
<td>5.00 (SO$_2$); 6.5 (H$_2$S)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Initial “shakedown” trials involving nitrogen carrier gas and either SO$_2$ or H$_2$S, without a test sample bed, were conducted to determine the time delay (due to piping lengths and volumes) from the onset of the test until pH depression in the collection beaker.
Both the effect on pH of the nitrogen carrier gas as well as the “time delay” associated with the internal volume of the test apparatus were used in the final data analysis. All tests involving sample test beds were conducted in an identical fashion. Test samples were prepared by drying in an air-circulated oven, after which the weight of the sample stabilized, indicating the removal of any moisture present. The samples then underwent particle size classification using a...

Figure 1. Preliminary Test Series Apparatus
CE Tyler Sieve Products, Inc. portable sieve shaker. Each sample material was classified and the particle fraction passing through a 140 mesh (106 micron opening) screen which was retained on a 200 mesh (75 micron opening) screen was utilized for each test specimen. This particle size is representative of that which would be used to enhance filter cake porosity in the eventual and effective use of IF&P U. S. Patent #4,865,629, which is the focus of future research efforts. By using an identical particle size fraction for each sample tested, it was hoped that the bed permeability in each sample trial would be essentially constant at constant gas flowrate conditions; also, the total available surface area exposed to the sulfur laden gas would be similar from one test to the next. Just prior to each test, the sample material was again dried in an air circulated oven to insure no moisture was retained, after which the classified sample was extracted and weighed for test purposes.

**Thermogravimetric (TGA) Testing**

Selected waste sorbents for TGA testing included tin oxide, zinc oxide, iron oxide, and a mixture of metal oxide and iron oxide, and was conducted by the Institute of Gas Technology (IGT) in Des Plaines, IL. These sorbents were evaluated for their reactivities and absorption capacities with respect to H₂S and SO₂ using a high pressure, high temperature thermogravimetric analyzer (HPTGA) unit. The comparative sulfidation tests were conducted at 538°C (1000°F) using fuel gas containing H₂S, H₂ and N₂; sulfation tests were conducted at 650°C (1200°F) using flue gas containing SO₂, O₂, and N₂.

During a typical TGA test, the sample weight, the rate of weight change, and the temperature of the furnace are recorded. The sample is contained in a platinum basket, suspended from a recording balance by a platinum wire chain, while a metered gas flow is introduced at the bottom of the reactor chamber. The desired composition of the reactant gas is obtained by mixing different streams of gases at pre-determined ratios. Any movement of the balance arm, because of a change in sample weight, is sensed by a linear differential transformer on the sample arm of the balance. A restoring force that is proportional to the change in weight is supplied to the opposite arm with an electromagnet. The recording balance control unit senses the force required to maintain a null and converts this into a signal proportional to the weight of the sample. The procedure for these tests includes heating the sorbent in a nitrogen atmosphere to a pre-determined temperature. At this point the reactant gas mixture containing H₂S or SO₂ is allowed to flow past the sorbent while the change in the sample weight is continuously monitored. The sample is exposed to the reactant gas until the sorbent is converted to its peak value. The weight gain-versus-time curve produced in these tests is used as a measure of reactivity and capacity of the sorbent tested. The TGA test apparatus employed in this phase of testing is depicted schematically in Figure 2.

**Packed Bed Testing**

The packed bed testing, which was also conducted at IGT, utilized an arrangement similar to the schematic shown in Figure 1 but much more sophisticated and suitable for high temperature tests. Based on the results of the above screening tests in the HPTGA unit as well as other
considerations, IF&P selected iron (Fe) oxide waste for packed-bed sulfidation tests, and zinc (Zn) oxide waste for packed-bed sulfation tests. In these tests, the effects of temperature, in the range 450° to 650°C, and space velocity on the performance (pre-breakthrough H₂S levels and effective sulfur capacity) of the iron oxide waste material was determined. The effect of temperature on pre-breakthrough SO₂ levels and effective sulfur capacity of the zinc oxide waste material was also determined in the range of 550° to 750°C.

Field Testing

Assessment of the most promising waste metal oxide, namely iron oxide, at high temperature is underway in field trials at the University of North Dakota’s Energy and Environmental Research Center. The iron oxide dust will be entrained under reducing conditions upstream of an existing filter to desulfurize the gas stream as well as enhance filter operation.

Figure 2. Thermogravimetric (TGA) Test Apparatus

Results

Preliminary Tests: SO₂ Trials
In the preliminary tests, an end-point pH of 5.00 was chosen for the SO$_2$ test series. Of the various materials tested using SO$_2$ gas, waste iron oxide and especially tin oxide appeared to have a significant potential for sulfur removal, as shown in Table 3 which follows.

**Preliminary Tests: H$_2$S Trials**

Because H$_2$S is a weaker acid than SO$_2$ when dissolved in water, and because tap water (which was purposely selected in favor of distilled or demineralized water) was used in the collection beaker, the pH depression observed upon exhaustion of the sample bed in the H$_2$S test series would not be nearly as notable as that observed in the SO$_2$ test series. Consequently, for the preliminary tests, an end-point of 6.50 was selected for all tests involving H$_2$S. In addition to pH depression, the total suspended solids (TSS) and total sulfites were periodically monitored and recorded in the H$_2$S test series to serve as two other means of validating the data.

Of the materials tested in an H$_2$S laden atmosphere, iron and zinc oxides appeared to exhibit high affinity for sulfur. Table 3 shows the various sorbent capacities for H$_2$S; Figure 3 shows the actual breakthrough plots for both SO$_2$ and H$_2$S.
Figure 3. Preliminary Test Series Breakthrough Plots

Table 3. Preliminary Test Trials: Sulfur Removal Capacity
(grams of sulfur/kilogram of sorbent)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sorbent*</th>
<th>SO₂ Capacity</th>
<th>H₂S Capacity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tin oxide</td>
<td>66.02</td>
<td>19.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material</td>
<td>Initial</td>
<td>Final</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron oxide</td>
<td>21.22</td>
<td>59.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc oxide</td>
<td>14.92</td>
<td>70.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron &amp; zinc oxide</td>
<td>20.99</td>
<td>82.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolomite &amp; limestone mix</td>
<td>16.09</td>
<td>4.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) normalized to 85% purity (typical)

**TGA Tests: Sulfation Conditions**

In the case of sulfation, the reaction may be represented by the following equation:

\[ \text{Me}_x\text{O}_y + z\text{SO}_2 + (z-y/2)\text{O}_2 \rightarrow \text{Me}_x(\text{SO}_4)_z \]

Initial sulfation tests at high temperature (1600ºF) indicated no significant sulfation reaction with the zinc oxide waste at such a high temperature. This is consistent with the theoretical thermodynamic limitation of the metal oxide sorbents tested. Comparative sulfation tests were carried out at 650ºC (1200ºF). Since each mole of oxygen is replaced by 1 mole of sulfur and 4 moles of oxygen, the weight gains during the sulfation reaction are much more significant than during sulfidation. The results indicate that in terms of the overall sulfur capacity, zinc oxide waste is the best sorbent, followed by tin oxide waste and iron oxide. As in the sulfidation tests, both the reaction kinetics and final weight gain of the ZnFe mixed oxide waste are intermediate between those obtained with each of the iron oxide waste and the zinc oxide waste, further indicating the consistency of the results.

**TGA Tests: Sulfidation Conditions**

For a metal oxide \( \text{Me}_x\text{O}_y \), the sulfidation reaction may be represented by the following equation:

\[ \text{Me}_x\text{O}_y + z\text{H}_2\text{S} + (y-z)\text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{Me}_x\text{S}_z + y\text{H}_2\text{O} \]

The weight gain is therefore due to the exchange of sulfur (M.W.=32 g/mole) and for oxygen (M.W.=16 g/mole) during the sulfidation reaction. The results from comparative sulfidation tests at 538ºC (1000ºF) using all four metal oxide waste materials indicate that in terms of overall sulfur capacity, iron oxide waste is the best sorbent, followed by zinc oxide waste and tin oxide waste. Both the reaction kinetics and final weight gain of the ZnFe mixed oxide waste are intermediate between those obtained with each of the iron oxide waste and the zinc oxide waste, further indicating the consistency of the results.

**Packed Bed Tests: Sulfation Conditions**

The effect of temperature on pre-breakthrough \( \text{SO}_2 \) levels and effective sulfur capacity of the zinc (Zn) oxide waste material was determined in the range of 550° to 750°C. This material is believed to contain some metal sulfates that decompose during the heat up period, releasing significant amount of \( \text{SO}_2 \). The effective sulfur capacity (obtained after a pretreatment period for release of \( \text{SO}_2 \)) ranged from approximately 0.5% at 750°C to slightly over 5%(i.e., 0.05 g S/g zinc oxide waste) at 550°C.
The packed-bed results indicate 550°C is an optimum temperature for SO₂ removal from flue gases using the zinc oxide waste material. The release of SO₂ during heat up was essentially eliminated by carrying out the sample heat up in an oxidizing atmosphere. The sorbent capacity decreased to about 2% and 1.5% at 650°C and 550°C, respectively, when the SO₂ release was prevented during the heat up period. The sorbent capacity is significantly lower at high space velocity (i.e., 6000 hr⁻¹), compared with those obtained at 2000 hr⁻¹, indicating that this sorbent may not be a suitable candidate for processes that allow a very short residence time for the sorbent. It is also important to note the overall capacity of this sorbent for absorption of SO₂ is only limited to about 5%, and that even such a modest level of absorption will require pretreatment steps and long residence time.

**Packed Bed Tests: Sulfidation Conditions**

The effect of temperature and space velocity on H₂S pre-breakthrough levels and the effective sulfur capacity of the iron oxide waste material was determined in the range 450° to 650°C. The packed-bed results indicate 500°C offers a good operating point for desulfurization using the iron oxide waste material, achieving the best waste material utilization. The effective sulfur capacity for the iron oxide waste material ranged from approximately 11% at 550° and 650°C to slightly over 22% (i.e., 0.22 g S/g iron oxide waste) at 500°C. At a temperature of 450°C, the effective capacity at a space velocity of 6000 hr⁻¹ was similar to that at 2000 hr⁻¹, indicating that the reactivity of iron sulfide is very high, making it a potential candidate for processes where the residence time of the sorbent is very small.

**Field Tests**

Field trials using waste iron oxide material under reducing (sulfidation) conditions at the Energy and Environmental Research Center in Grand Forks, ND are to commence during the 3rd or 4th quarter of 1997.

**Waste Metal Oxides: Availability**

As mentioned earlier, an additional task involved the compilation of information related to the availability, geographical location, and processes which generate the various waste materials tested in this research effort. Among the waste materials tested, namely the oxides of tin, zinc, and iron, waste iron oxide appears to be the most promising because of its tremendous availability and very low cost. Highlights of the availability for each of these materials are given below².

**Iron**

Iron is the most widely used of all the metals. In 1990, the iron and steel shipments in the United States consisted of steel mill products (90%), iron castings (9%), and steel castings (1%). Iron and steel products make up about 75% of the weight of an automobile. Steel is widely used in building, highway, industrial and all other forms of construction, and industrial machinery.

Consumption of steel mill products in the United States amounts to about 100 Mmt/yr. Steel
production generates a number of wastes that contain ferrous and nonferrous metal residues. Junked automobiles are the largest source of obsolete or post consumer scrap.

**Tin**
Tin is one of the earliest metals known to humankind, and is commonly used as a protective coating or as an alloying metal with other metals. The major uses for tin are: cans and containers, 32%; electrical, 22%; construction, 10%; transportation, 11%; and other, 25%.

Waste tin oxides are available from new and old tin scrap by recycling and recovering. In 1991, about 50,000 metric tons of tin was consumed; 98% of the new tin scrap and 96% of the old tin scrap generated was recovered.

**Zinc**
Zinc is the fourth most widely used metal after iron, aluminum, and copper. More than 90% of the metal is used for galvanizing steel and for alloys; the remainder is used to produce dust, oxide, and various chemicals. In 1991, about 354,000 mt of secondary zinc valued at about $412 million, was recovered in basic forms--refined metal, alloys, dusts, and chemicals. Scrap, containing about 96,000 mt of zinc and valued at $62 million, was exported in 1991, whereas 38,000 mt of zinc in scrap, valued at $19 million, was imported into the United States.

**Application**
As a result of the preceding discussions on tin, zinc, and iron oxide, it becomes apparent that extremely large quantities of these materials are and will continue to be readily available in America. In instances where such classified particles are not available, the use of “off-the-shelf” bulk handling, particle size reduction, and classification equipment can be easily used to achieve the desired particle size fraction, and to optimize sorbent capacity and filtration performance. In view of the availability of these three waste materials, one further remaining aspect from an economic standpoint (assuming handling costs, permits, and particle size reduction and classification are similar) is the intrinsic value of each material.

For comparison, the intrinsic value of the three base metal materials is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tin (composite)</td>
<td>$4.16/lb.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc (high grade)</td>
<td>$0.49/lb.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iron (steel)</td>
<td>$0.07/lb.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In contrast, pelletized zinc ferrite or zinc titanate is significantly higher in cost. Even the most novel, fluidized zinc titanate formulations, such as “ZT-4”, were reported to be $7.91/lb, as of June, 1994. It should be emphasized that the intrinsic values shown above are commodity prices for the base metals of each material, and do not represent the cost of the corresponding waste metal oxides, which are much less (waste iron oxide dust, for example, is less than 1/2¢ per pound). The prices do, however, provide an idea of the value of each material after it has been recycled and recovered for sale as pure metal, and are indicative of the relative value of the three
metal oxides which showed the most significant affinity for sulfur. By using the sulfur removal capacity recorded in Table 3 and the intrinsic value noted above, a relative cost comparison for sulfur removal, in grams of sulfur removed per dollar value, is shown in Table 4 as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sorbent</th>
<th>$\text{SO}_2^*$</th>
<th>$\text{H}_2\text{S}$*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Iron oxide</td>
<td>137.79</td>
<td>388.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zinc oxide</td>
<td>13.84</td>
<td>65.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tin oxide</td>
<td>7.21</td>
<td>2.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(*) in grams of 'S' removed/dollar value of pure metal

**Future Activities**

Pending the outcome of the field testing at EERC, efforts focused on commercialization of this technology will be made. Given their high affinity for sulfur, overall availability, and low cost in relation to other metal oxide sorbents, the select use of waste metal oxides as a “once-through” sorbent in combination with ceramic filtration technology will provide effective sulfur removal and enhanced filter performance in a cost-effective manner.
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