Abstract

This paper introduces PADMA (PArallel Data Mining Agents), a parallel agent based system for scalable text classification. PADMA contains modules for (1) parallel data accessing operations, (2) parallel hierarchical clustering, and (3) web-based data visualization. This paper introduces the general architecture of PADMA and presents a detailed description of its different modules.

1 Introduction

An intelligent software agent is a program that performs some task autonomously using its own individual expertise and exploiting the shared expertise from other software agents. In the recent past, many software systems have been developed that exploit the agent based architecture. Data mining is a potential field of application for agent based system. Traditionally, data mining means extraction, transformation, and presentation of data in useful form. Typical data mining systems are characterized by a monolithic architecture that process data through these different stages.

In this paper we investigate the possibility of using a distributed agent-based architecture for text data mining. Instead of adopting a monolithic data mining program, we choose to distribute the task of data accessing and pattern extraction among different software agents. In this document an "intelligent" data mining agent is a program that extracts useful information from local databases, exchange information with the other agents either directly or through some mediator, often called a facilitator. In a population of agents, individual agents work on their own in a very distributed manner. This makes an agent based architecture quite suitable for exploiting the benefits of parallel computing. This paper introduces the Parallel Data Mining Agent (PADMA) system that makes an effort to exploit this. Although PADMA architecture is not domain specific, currently it is implemented for unstructured text data mining. Main characteristics of PADMA are,

1. agent based parallel data accessing
2. agent based parallel hierarchical data clustering
3. exchange of clustered data information through a facilitator
4. web based interface for cluster visualization

This paper reports the current status of the system and describes the on-going work.

Section 2 introduces previous work on agent based software systems and parallel data mining. Section 3 presents a general overview of the PADMA system. The parallel relational database accessing operations of PADMA agents are described in Section 4. Section 5 describes the representation scheme of text documents and the hierarchical clustering algorithm incorporated in the agents. Section 6 describes the web-based user interface and visualization module of PADMA. Section 7 outlines the on-going experimentation and testing of PADMA. Finally, Section 8 concludes this paper and identifies the immediate future goals.
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2 Related Work

Development of PADMA was motivated by research in at least two different domains, namely: (1) intelligent agent based architecture and (2) parallel data mining. In this section we briefly review the related efforts in each of these domains.

Interest in agent based software systems soared high during the last few years. An introduction to intelligent agents can be found elsewhere (Maes, 1994; Foner, 1993). The demand for adaptive and smarter software system lead to the incorporation of intelligent agent based technology for addressing many different problems, such as automated mail filtering (Maes, 1994; Lashkari, Metral, & Maes, 1994), meeting scheduling (Kozierok & Maes, 1993). Software agents are also used for aiding information retrieval and processing to extract higher level information. Moukas (1996) reported the Amalthaea system that uses agents to discover and filter information available in the world-wide-web. Amalthaea also used evolutionary learning algorithms for generating new agents. The efficacy of agents was evaluated by the feedback from the user. McElligott and Sorensen (1994) proposed an evolutionary, connectionist approach for information filtering. Their approach suggested using machine learning algorithms to learn suitable representation of the text documents and used feedback from the user for supervised learning.

Parallel data mining is a growing field that tries to exploit the benefits of parallel computing for mining large scale databases. Holsheimer, Kersten, and Siebes (1996) developed a parallel data mining tool, Data Surveyor, that consists of a mining tool and a parallel database server. It supported regular parallel database operations and mechanisms for higher level rule induction. Parallel algorithms for inducing association rules have been reported elsewhere (Zaki, Ogihara, Parthasarathy, & Li, 1996). They primarily focused on optimization issues for parallel rule induction algorithms. The PARKA project (Anderson, Hendler, Evett, & Kettler, 1994) is another example of exploiting the strengths of parallel computing for processing knowledge bases. Although the knowledge base of PARKA is not exactly same as the usual databases used in data mining, it effectively demonstrated the use of parallel computing technology for processing large amount of information. Shek, Mersian, and Muntz (1996) reported the Conquest system for parallel data mining of distributed geoscientific data. This system exploits parallel query processing, distributed data accessing capabilities for geoscientific data mining. A genetic algorithm based parallel data mining system, called GA-MINER is reported elsewhere (Radcliffe, 1995). This system first determines a suitable representation of data and then uses a parallel genetic algorithm to detect patterns in the data. The scalability of the system was investigated for shared and distributed memory machines.

PADMA combines many features of the agent based and parallel data mining systems. The following section presents an overview of PADMA.

3 Architecture Of PADMA

The PADMA is an agent based architecture for parallel data mining. The goal of this effort is to develop a flexible system that will exploit data mining agents in parallel, for the particular application in hand. Although PADMA is not specialized for any particular kind of data mining domain, the current implementation uses agents specializing in unstructured text document classification. Figure 1 shows the overall architecture of PADMA. The main structural components of PADMA are, (1) data mining agents, (2) facilitator for coordinating the agents, and (3) user interface. Each of these items are described in the following.

Data mining agents are responsible for accessing data and extracting higher level useful information from the data. A data mining agent specialises in performing some activity in the domain of interest. In the current implementation, a data mining agent specializes on text classification. Figure 2 shows a schematic
Figure 2: Individual agents in PADMA.

Agents work in parallel and share their information through the facilitator. The facilitator module coordinates the agents, presents information to the user interface, and provides feedbacks to the agents from the user.

PADMA has a graphical web-based user interface for presenting information extracted by the agents to the user. The facilitator accepts queries from the user interface in standard SQL (Structured Query Language) format; the queries are broadcasted to the agents. Agents comes up with the extracted information relevant to the query. Facilitator collects the information and presents it to the user.

The agents and facilitator of PADMA are developed using a Parallel Portable File System (PPFS). Portable Parallel File System (PPFS) user-level library developed in the Computer Science department in University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign (Huber, Elford, Reed, Chien, & Blumenthal, 1995), (Huber, 1995). The PADMA is designed in object-oriented style to provide an extensible infrastructure and coded in C++. MPI (Message Passing Interface) is used as the message passing substrate for interprocess communication. Each data mining agent uses the underlying unix file system on the machines they are executing on for carrying out their local input/output operations. PADMA currently runs on a cluster of Sun Sparc workstations and on IBM SP-2. However it is easily portable to any distributed memory machine provided that MPI is operational on this machine and a unix file system is used for serial input/output operations on its nodes. The user interface is written for Java sensitive browser. PADMA can be functionally decomposed into three different components: (1) parallel query processing and data accessing, (2) hierarchical clustering, and (3) interactive cluster/data visualization. Each of these components of PADMA will be further elaborated in the following sections.

4 Parallel Data Accessing Operations By The Agents

Large scale data mining applications require large amounts of data access; input/output performance is a critical factor in the overall performance of these applications. Therefore parallel data accessing is the key to satisfy the growing input/output requirements of these applications (Dewitt & Gray, 1992). Each data mining agent in PADMA maintains its own disk subsystem to carry out input/output operations locally. This provides parallel data access for the whole system. Currently striped and blocked data distribution algorithms are used to distributed documents across data mining agents. Each agent and the facilitator also maintain a file cache for caching the documents that they access. Several buffer management algorithms, e.g caching, write-back and prefetching, are employed to maximize the benefit obtained from these caches.

Data mining agents in PADMA also provide parallel relational database functionality. This functionality is provided to help the users to select the subset of the documents they want to explore with clustering. Currently a subset of SQL is supported by PADMA. These include table creation and deletion, hash index creation and deletion, parallel select and join operations. PADMA achieves parallel query processing through intra-operator parallelism.

Parallel select operations are carried out independently by each data mining agent without any interprocess communication. After each agent carried out the select operation on its local data, the results are gathered by the facilitator which produces the final outcome of the select operation by merging these individual results.

There are three major algorithms for implementing join operations between two tables (Dewitt, Naughton, & Schneider, 1991), (Schneider & Dewitt, 1989). Nested-join involves comparing each tuple of the first table with all the tuples of the second table, and it’s complexity is \(O(n^2)\). Sort-merge join reduces the complexity to \(O(n \log n)\) by sorting both tables based on the join attribute values. Then these sorted tables are compared using binary search. Hash-join algorithm partitions both tables into a number of buckets based on the join attribute values, and then
matching is performed within each bucket independently. This reduces the complexity to $O(n)$. Hash-join algorithm performs better than the sort-merge join for equijoin operations unless the tables are already in sorted order. However it is ineffective for nonequijoin operations. In order to effectively support both equijoin and nonequijoin operations, sort-merge join algorithm is implemented in PADMA.

A fragment and replicate (broadcast) strategy is utilized to parallelize the sort-merge join algorithm. Each agent initially sorts its part of the both tables, and compares these parts. Each agent then broadcasts its part of the small size table to the other agents. After each agent compares its part of the larger table against the tuples of the small table it received from the other agents, the results are gathered by the facilitator which produces the final outcome of the join operation by merging these individual results. The following section describes the hierarchical clustering algorithm used by the agents.

## 5 Parallel Hierarchical Clustering By Agents

Existing data mining systems typically perform some of the following tasks: detecting patterns by (1) unsupervised learning, (2) supervised learning, and (3) hypothesis testing.

PADMA is currently implemented for unstructured text data mining. Extracting information from unstructured text data is primarily a unsupervised learning process. In this domain the databases contain unstructured documents, with no labeling information that can be used for supervised learning. Therefore, current version of PADMA mainly focus on unsupervised learning or clustering approach. PADMA uses a scalable hierarchical clustering algorithm that generates a hierarchy of clusters in which hierarchy levels can be interpreted as a kind of concept levels. In the following part of this section, we describe the hierarchical clustering algorithm used in PADMA.

### 5.1 Representation and similarity measure

Usually a clustering algorithm works from a representation of the underlying state space and a measure of similarity between any two points from the state space. Typical representation of text documents uses a vector of weighted word frequencies (Salton, Allan, Buckley, & Singhal, 1994) in the document. However, word frequency based representations are sometimes susceptible to spelling errors. An alternate representation called $n$-gram was proposed elsewhere (Damen-shek, 1995). N-grams are n-letter strings. The set of 1-grams is just the alphabet. The set of 2-grams is the set of pairs of letters. With a 26 letter alphabet, there are $26^n$ possible n-grams. Spaces may be included to indicate the boundaries of words. N-grams have been successfully demonstrated for approximate text classification (Damenshek, 1995; Kimbrell, 1988; Cav-nar, 1993). PADMA uses a two level representation for generating a hierarchical classification of the documents, namely: (1) $n$-gram representation for documents (2) or-grams for cluster representation. An or-gram of a cluster is simply a representation obtained by arithmetic or operation among the corresponding n-gram frequencies. This basically generates a vector of n-grams weighted by their relative frequencies within the set of documents in a cluster. We have used cosine of the angle between any two n-grams or any two or-grams as the similarity measure among documents and clusters respectively. The following section describes the hierarchical clustering algorithm used in PADMA.

### 5.2 Hierarchical Clustering Over Blocks of Data

Figure 3 illustrates the idea of hierarchical clustering used in PADMA. First consider the algorithm running on one machine. One pass on the first block produces cluster $c$ and document $5$. Document $5$ is considered as cluster $d$ for future passes. The rest of the blocks are independently processed in a first pass with
their results concatenated in a file. The total results of the first pass look like:

\[
c = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}, \quad d = \{5\}, \quad h = \{6\}, \quad g = \{7, 8, 9, 10\}, \quad k = \{11, 12\}, \quad j = \{13, 14, 15\}.
\]

Note that clusters are formed by binary combinations, but only the end clusters of a level are stored. There are three things to notice: intermediate clusters \(a, b, e, f, i\) are omitted, nary clusters are recorded, and outlying documents or clusters may not be agglomerated until latter passes. The last point shows that documents can combine even if they do not start in the same block. For example, document 5 is several blocks away from 11 and 12 but merges higher up in the hierarchy in cluster \(m\). A further detail can be seen in comparing clusters \(i, j\) and \(k\). Clusters are formed in order of nearness of members rather than in an ordered scan of the input. Thus \(i\) was formed first as 13 and 14 were the closest items in their block. Likewise, \(j\) was formed before \(k\) because 13, 14, 15 are more similar to each other than were 11 and 12.

The second pass is able to form interrelations among documents from several blocks. If there are a large number of blocks then \(O(\log n)\) passes will be needed to assure that all documents get the chance of being interrelated. However, in retrieval, every branch is searched downward until it can be eliminated or given a strong match. So if a document (say 5) can not be related to its ideal companion (say 15) until after it has been clustered with less desirable neighbors, then the or-gram can help. For a query that best matches 5 and 15, the centroid of \(o\) will show it has some promise, but its or-gram will say that a minority of its documents satisfy the query, so the search will continue into data produced in the first pass. Centroids for \(d, k, j\) and \(j\) will be checked to show \(d\) and \(j\) as relevant. Cluster \(d\) will show a match with document 5, and \(j\) will show either a minority or majority match. In a minority match to \(j\), likely only 15 will be returned. For a majority match to \(j\), \(j\) will return all of its members. The rational of the majority return, even if 13 were not close to the query, is that 13 has enough in common with query matching documents 14, 15 that 13 is likely to be related to the query in some interesting way. Highlighting may be used to show either all strong similarities among the results or just the portions of the results which matched with the query.

In the case of multiple Data Mining Agents, each agent can work independently on its portion of the total documents and proceed with clustering until some small number of clusters, five for example, are left at the top. Then the diagram can be seen as three Data Mining Agents feeding their end results to a client. The client can then cluster over a highly condensed amount of input. The client then passes its results for display. User queries can be passed from the client to the DMAs, with the results again passed up to the client which can display deeper clusters if there were too many matches, or display a reasonable number of matched documents. If the user clicks to select a particular cluster in a visual display, the centroid of the chosen cluster is sent as a query vector to each of the DMAs. Again, the combination of clustering over blocks and searching down in the resulting hierarchy can overcome deficiencies resulting from data being distributed among separate machines or cpus.

The only work tradeoff is that more extensive searches may be performed if nearest neighbors tend to be far apart in the input. In this case the data might have a cyclical pattern which can be taken to advantage. For example, quarterly data should be grouped by quarters for data that is seasonally adjustable, or arranged with common sources grouped together and sequenced in quarter order for time series related data. Lagged or phase shifted data can likewise be reordered according to the period of the lag or shift. The following section describes the web based user interface of PADMA.

### 6 Web Based User Interface For Data Visualization

PADMA provides a world wide web based user interface for visual interaction with the system. Users
can specify the requested operation type from the PADMA homepage through an HTML form. This page is shown in Figure 4. The interface then communicates with the PADMA system through a CGI script which submits the request to PADMA. After the requested operation is carried out, the result is displayed to the user.

User interface currently supports five major operations. Create option is used to create a table out of unstructured text documents. Users should supply these documents to PADMA. Then PADMA computes the appropriate n-grams for each document, and stores a text document together with its n-gram vector as a single row of the table. Read option is used to read the contents of a table, namely the text documents and their n-gram vectors. Delete option is used to delete a certain table. Query option is used to query these tables. PADMA applies the SQL query submitted by the user to the appropriate tables and presents the result back to the user.

Clustering option is used to cluster all the documents in a single table as well as clustering a subset of the documents related through a select or join operation. In the latter case, the user also provides an SQL query. PADMA first applies this query to the appropriate tables and then clusters the resulting documents. This helps the user to focus on the documents he wants to explore rather than considering all the documents in a single table. The result of a clustering request is presented to the user in the form of a two dimensional plot. This is carried out by a Java applet. Therefore a Java aware web browser is needed to display the cluster plot. A color encoding scheme is used to represent the density of the clusters. An example cluster plot is shown in Figure 5.

PADMA user interface provides interactive recursive clustering. For an initial clustering request the top level of hierarchical clustering outcome is presented to the user. Users then can click on any one of the clusters presented in a cluster plot to further explore the documents in this cluster. Based on this request the clusters in the next level of hierarchical clustering outcome that belong to this top level cluster selected by the user are presented to the user in a new cluster plot. Users can continue examining the deeper levels of this clustering hierarchy by interactively clicking on the cluster they want to explore further. Finally the documents in the related cluster are presented to the user.

7 Experimental Results

We're currently carrying out experiments on the 128 node IBM SP2 at Argonne National Laboratory. On this machine, 120 nodes are used as compute nodes and the remaining 8 nodes are used as dedicated I/O servers. Each compute node has its own I/O subsystem which uses its own local disk, and the I/O servers have faster I/O subsystems. On this machine, all PADMA components run on the compute nodes. PADMA data mining agents use the input/output subsystem of the nodes they are executing on for storing data.

We're currently assessing the performance of the system on clustering the documents in the whole corpus as well as clustering a subset of the documents related through a select or join operation. We're trying to determine the effect of different data partitioning, caching and prefetching policies on the system performance. Results of these experiments in terms of the system response time and speedup performance metrics will be presented in the final version of this paper.

8 Conclusions

This paper introduced PADMA, an agent based architecture for parallel data mining. PADMA system demonstrated that agent based data mining tools are suitable for exploiting benefits of parallel computing. Main characteristics of PADMA are, (1) parallel query processing & data accessing, (2) parallel hierarchical...
clustering (3) interactive data/cluster visualization. However, PADMA is still under development and requires more work. A learning module for adapting the data representation using the users’ feedback is currently under progress. The graphical interface of PADMA also requires more investigation for better graph drawing algorithms.
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